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ABSTRACT The performance of encoded opportunistic transmission schemes in wireless channels affected by Rayleigh fading and additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) is analyzed. In opportunistic transmission, the information is transmitted only when the fading amplitude is above a threshold. For this, the receiver with the knowledge of the channel state information notifies the instants the transmitter should transmit. Opportunistic systems with convolutional error correcting codes or with trellis coded modulation are analyzed in terms of closed-form bit error rate (BER) expressions. Nevertheless, the approach presented can be employed with any kind of error correcting codes. Hence, the performance of turbo codes is also presented in the simulations. Monte Carlo simulations verify the accuracy of the derived expressions and provide insights on the system performance. Performance results show that uncoded and encoded opportunistic systems are superior to uncoded and encoded ordinary systems (non-opportunistic), respectively. In particular, the BER curves of the opportunistic system decay exponentially when the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) increases. On the other hand, BER curves for ordinary transmission decay linearly, where the slope is proportional to the diversity that depends on the error correcting code. Thus, opportunistic systems require less SNR to guarantee the same BER of ordinary transmission. The BER gain increases as the SNR increases. It is also observed that uncoded opportunistic systems are even superior to encoded ordinary ones. The results are validated guaranteeing the same spectral efficiency for all the scenarios. Finally, due to the exponential decay of the BER curves, coding gain expressions, used in ordinary systems over AWGN, can be used as approximations for opportunistic transmission in fading channels.

INDEX TERMS Wireless communication, opportunistic transmission, error correction codes, bit error rate, Rayleigh channels.

I. INTRODUCTION Wireless communication research aims to improve the system performance and to employ the channel resources in an efficient manner. Important performance indicators of those researches are the bit error rate (BER) and the spectral efficiency [1]–[3].

A well-known technique to improve wireless systems performance is error correction employing codes. Error correcting codes (ECC) add redundancy to the original message so that the receiver is able to correct errors produced by the channel. Thus, BER is improved at a cost in spectral efficiency. ECC are crucial for real-time applications where resending messages is not possible [4]–[6]. Different ECC have been proposed in the literature. Among them appear the convolutional codes [7]–[9] and trellis coded modulation (TCM) [10]–[12]. In both techniques, the receiver employs a trellis decoding, which allows a maximum likelihood decoding with low complexity [11]. Typically, convolutional codes are employed with binary modulations as binary-phase-shift-keying (BPSK) [13]–[16]. Moreover, TCM uses high order modulations, as multilevel quadrature-amplitude-modulations (M-QAM), in order to compensate for spectral efficiency losses produced by the redundancy increasing.

Although in the literature there are more powerful error correction codes such as turbo codes [17],
low-density-parity-check (LDPC) codes [18] or polar codes [19], the majority of times, are evaluated through simulations [20]–[22] because it is not straightforward to find expressions to evaluate their performance in different scenarios. On the other hand, both convolutional codes and TCM codes enable a mathematical modeling that allows to find closed form-expressions to evaluate the mean BER, so that its performance is still analyzed in different operating scenarios [3], [23]. Additionally, this type of error correction codes are considered in some works related to fifth generation (5G) mobile systems [24], [25].

There are other techniques to improve the performance of wireless systems and among these techniques appear transmission schemes that take advantage of the random nature of the communications channel. Thus, this type of transmission aims the wireless channel works in favor of the system performance. One of these schemes is named as opportunistic transmission or only opportunistic transmission [26]. With this approach, the transmission is made only when the fading amplitude1 is above a threshold value. This opportunistic transmission method improves the system performance considerably at the cost of a spectral efficiency reduction because there is no transmissions intervals. However, due to the enhanced system performance, it is possible to use higher order modulations to compensate for this loss. On the other hand, these non-transmission periods are also an interesting opportunity for cognitive networks that use the interweave technique [27], since secondary users can transmit in the non-transmission periods of primary users in the network.

In [28], opportunistic transmission is employed in wireless sensor networks scenarios. In this case, the strategy is that each sensor, with a constrained average transmission power, should save power when its channel is poor (low fading amplitude) and act when opportunities arise. The system performance is evaluated via the sum rate capacity considering uncoded scenarios. The results show that as the SNR increases, the number of active sensors can be reduced due to the opportunistic transmission employed. However, a BER analysis is not performed in this work. Another work employing opportunistic transmission is presented in [29]. Specifically, the receiver uses an antenna array and the opportunism is applied when the combiner takes only signals affected by high fading amplitudes, or equivalently, fading amplitudes above a threshold value. The system performance is evaluated in terms of the mean BER. Closed-form approximate expressions are derived in order to calculate this performance indicator. Results show that the system diversity increases significantly for each receiving antenna that is placed in the array at the receiver. In addition, in [30], the authors consider a $K$-user bursty Rayleigh fading interference channel, where each user transmits data intermittently with a certain probability under the local channel state information assumption.

For this, it is considered an opportunistic transmission based on desired channel gain, that is, similar to the approach presented in [26], In particular, the authors evaluate the achievable rate under different interference conditions. Results show that opportunistic transmission achieves a higher bit rate than random transmissions as well as the conventional non-opportunistic transmission.

By the above, it is clear that transmitting when the fading amplitude is above a threshold has been employed in different scenarios. Nevertheless, to our best knowledge, the performance of this transmission scheme has not been evaluated in encoded systems. On the other hand, other opportunistic approaches have been considered in the literature. For example, in [31], considering that it is easier for sensors to track large scale shadowing variations than small scale fading variations, the authors derive closed form expressions to evaluate the BER. The results show that opportunistic transmission, based on shadowing, can achieve very good performance improvements compared to typical transmissions. Thus, depending on the number of sensors in the network, the system has a gain in terms of SNR, but the diversity does not change. Moreover, in [32], the authors use opportunistic transmission for encoded cooperative networks, where the cooperation mode is activated based on outage events. In this work, 8-PSK modulation is employed to obtain some numerical results, where it is observed that the proposed scheme gains certain degrees of diversity compared to ordinary transmissions. Thus, the BER curves change their inclination but maintain a linear decay when plotted as a function of the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR).

Considering that error correction codes are fundamental for adequate operation of wireless systems, in this work, an encoded opportunistic transmission scheme is evaluated in terms of the mean BER. Specifically, in the analyzed system, the encoded symbols are transmitted when the fading amplitude is above a threshold value. Because in specific scenarios, simulations can be time consuming, difficult to validate and does not present an explicit interdependence over all the system parameters, closed-form upper bound expressions to evaluate the mean BER are derived in this work considering convolutional and TCM codes. Nevertheless, the proposed transmission scheme can be employed with any kind of error correcting codes. To prove this, turbo codes [33] are also used in some simulations presented in this work. The above codes are considered because of their good performance and low implementation complexity, which make them to be used in under the local channel state information assumption.

---

1 In wireless systems, fading refers to a specific kind of attenuation which is highly frequency and time dependent.
ensures independent fading on each symbol of the same encoded block is assumed. For more insightful analysis, the spectral efficiency and the coding gain are also analyzed. Simulations using the Monte Carlo method are carried out in order to verify the tightness of the derived expressions.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. The notation and the list of symbols is presented in Section II. The channel and system models are described in Section III. Some preliminary results related to opportunistic transmission are presented in Section IV. The system performance is analyzed in Section V. Numerical results and discussions are carried out in Section VI. Finally, the conclusions are presented in Section VII.

II. NOTATION AND LIST OF SYMBOLS
In what follows, lowercase letters, \( x \), and bold lowercase letters, \( \mathbf{x} \), denote scalars and vectors, respectively, and \( x_\ell \) is the \( \ell \)-th element of \( \mathbf{x} \). Moreover, the connotation of each symbol employed along this paper is shown in Table 1.

III. CHANNEL AND SYSTEM DESCRIPTION
In this section, the channel model and the encoded opportunistic system are described.

### Table 1. List of Symbols.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Connotation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( A )</td>
<td>signal amplitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B )</td>
<td>bandwidth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b )</td>
<td>uncoded sequence of bits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c )</td>
<td>sequence of encoded symbols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d_{\text{free,H}}^2 )</td>
<td>Euclidean free distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d_{\text{free,E}} )</td>
<td>Hamming free distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_b )</td>
<td>received energy per bit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f_c )</td>
<td>carrier frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f(x) )</td>
<td>probability density function of the random variable ( x )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( g(t) )</td>
<td>Nyquist pulse format with duration ( T_s )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( i )</td>
<td>imaginary, ( i = \sqrt{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k )</td>
<td>number of bits in an uncoded sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L )</td>
<td>transmitted sequence length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( M )</td>
<td>modulation order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n )</td>
<td>number of encoded bits after the encoding process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N_0 )</td>
<td>unilateral noise power spectral density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P )</td>
<td>non-transmission probability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_b )</td>
<td>mean bit error rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P(c \rightarrow c') )</td>
<td>pairwise error probability between ( c ) and ( c' )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( q )</td>
<td>transmission probability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_c )</td>
<td>encoder rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_b )</td>
<td>bit rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathbb{R} )</td>
<td>real operator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( t )</td>
<td>time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_s )</td>
<td>symbol duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_b )</td>
<td>bit duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T )</td>
<td>threshold value for the fading amplitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha )</td>
<td>Rayleigh fading amplitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_c )</td>
<td>fading amplitude conditioned on ( \alpha &gt; T )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma )</td>
<td>mean signal-to-noise ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \xi )</td>
<td>mean spectral efficiency of encoded symbols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma )</td>
<td>Rayleigh parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma_n^2 )</td>
<td>noise variance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ f(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha}{\sigma^2} \exp\left( -\frac{\alpha^2}{2\sigma^2} \right), \quad \alpha \geq 0, \quad \text{(1)} \]

where \( 2\sigma^2 \) is the fading mean power. In addition, the received signals are also perturbed by AWGN. Consequently, the noise samples are modeled as zero-mean Gaussian random variables with variance

\[ \sigma_n^2 = \frac{N_0}{4T_s}, \quad \text{(2)} \]

where \( N_0 \) is the unilateral noise power spectral density and \( T_s \) is the symbol duration.

### A. CHANNEL DESCRIPTION
A flat-slow fading channel is considered. Let \( \alpha \) be the random fading amplitude modeled as a Rayleigh random variable. Hence, its probability density function (PDF) is given by [40]

\[ f(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha}{\sigma^2} \exp\left( -\frac{\alpha^2}{2\sigma^2} \right), \quad \alpha \geq 0, \quad \text{(1)} \]

where \( 2\sigma^2 \) is the fading mean power. In addition, the received signals are also perturbed by AWGN. Consequently, the noise samples are modeled as zero-mean Gaussian random variables with variance

\[ \sigma_n^2 = \frac{N_0}{4T_s}, \quad \text{(2)} \]

where \( N_0 \) is the unilateral noise power spectral density and \( T_s \) is the symbol duration.

### B. TRANSMITTER AND RECEIVER DESCRIPTION
Fig. 1 shows the transmitter structure, where a sequence of binary digits with equal probability is generated. The bit sequence is denoted as \( b = (b_1, b_2, ..., b_k) \). Afterwards, these bits are encoded. The sequence of complex encoded symbols is denoted by \( c = (c_1, c_2, ..., c_L) \). These symbols belong to a constellation with normalized mean power. Then, the symbols are passed through an ideal time interleaver, i.e., an interleaver with infinitely interleaving depth. This ensures that, after deinterleaving on the receiver side, the fading process is uncorrelated from symbol to symbol, which ensures some degrees of diversity [41]. The sequence of symbols at the interleaver output is denoted by \( x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_L) \). Finally, these symbols are stored into a buffer until the transmission is enabled. For this purpose, the receiver compares the instantaneous fading amplitude with a threshold value \( T \). If the fading amplitude is above \( T \), the receiver sends an enabling transmission command to the transmitter through a feedback link. If the transmission is enabled, the symbols pass through a base-band pulse format filter, \( g(t) \), that satisfies the Nyquist criterion. The above, the sequence of encoded, interleaved and modulated transmitted symbols can be written as

\[ s(t) = A \Re \left\{ \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} x_\ell g(t - \ell T_s) \exp(i 2\pi f_c t) \right\}, \quad \text{(3)} \]

where \( t \) denotes time, \( A \) is the amplitude of the transmitted signal, \( L \) is the transmitted sequence length, \( x_\ell \) is the \( \ell \)-th
The received signal can be written as

\[ r(t) = \alpha(t)s(t) + n(t), \]  

(4)

where \( \alpha(t) \) and \( n(t) \) are independent stochastic processes. Specifically, \( \alpha(t) \) and \( n(t) \) are the fading amplitude and the noise as a function of time.

The question to answer in this case is: Will the opportunistic system, transmitting the same rate as an ordinary system, behave better or worse than that ordinary system? In order to answer it, in the numerical results shown in Section VI, it is compared the performance of ordinary and opportunistic systems with the same transmission rate, translated in terms of the same spectral efficiency.

**A. MEAN BIT ERROR RATE**

In uncoded scenarios, the mean bit error rate (BER) of a user employing opportunistic transmission can be obtained as

\[ P_b = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(b|\alpha_c)f(\alpha_c)d\alpha_c, \]  

(9)

where \( P(b|\alpha_c) \) denotes the BER conditioned on the instantaneous fading amplitude.

In the following, BER expressions for BPSK and \( M \)-QAM modulations are derived.

A greater modulation order implies higher transmission rate but the system is more susceptible to the channel effects.
1) BPSK MODULATION
In this scenario, the BER conditioned on the instantaneous fading amplitude is [43]

\[ P(b|\alpha_c) = \frac{1}{2} \text{erfc} \left( \sqrt{\alpha_c^2 \frac{Eb}{N_0}} \right). \] (10)

where \( \text{erfc}(x) \) is the complementary error function, defined as [40]

\[ \text{erfc}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_x^{\infty} \exp(-y^2)dy, \] (11)

and \( E_b \) is the received energy per bit.

Before calculate the mean BER for BPSK modulation, we define the mean SNR per received symbol, \( \gamma_c \), as

\[ \gamma_c = 2\sigma^2 \frac{E_b}{N_0} \log_2 M, \] (12)

where \( 2\sigma^2 \) is the Rayleigh fading mean power and \( M \) is the modulation order. For BPSK, \( M = 2 \). In this case \( \gamma_c = 2\sigma^2 E_b/N_0 \) represents the mean SNR per received bit.

By employing (7)-(12), Appendix A shows that the mean BER for BPSK modulation is given by

\[ \bar{P}_b = \frac{\sigma^2}{q} \left[ \text{erf} \left( \sqrt{\gamma_c/2\sigma^2} \right) - 1 \right] \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma_c} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \sigma^2 \text{erfc} \left( \sqrt{\gamma_c/2\sigma^2} \right), \] (13)

where \( \text{erf}(x) \) denotes the error function and it is obtained as \( \text{erf}(x) = 1 - \text{erfc}(x) \).

Appendix B shows that for large \( x \), (11) can be approximated by

\[ \text{erfc}(x) \approx \frac{1}{x\sqrt{\pi}} \exp(-x^2). \] (14)

With the above result, in the high SNR regime, (13) can be approximated by

\[ \bar{P}_b \approx \frac{1}{2} \text{erfc} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_c}{2\sigma^2}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{\gamma_c}{2\sigma^2} \right)^{-1}. \] (15)

By comparing (10) with (15), note that the mean SNR appears within the \( \text{erfc}(\cdot) \) function in both expressions. As consequence of that, they have a similar behavior. It means that BER curves for opportunistic transmission, when plotted as a function of the mean SNR, have a exponential decay.\(^4\) This suggests that opportunistic transmission strongly mitigates the fading effects. This is verified in the numerical results performed in Section VI.

2) M-QAM MODULATION
Considering Gray encoding, the BER conditioned on the instantaneous fading amplitude for square \( M \)-QAM

\(^4\)The exponential decayment comes from the \( \text{erfc}(\cdot) \) function behavior. Note that its approximation, given by (14), has an exponential form.
modulations is [43]

\[
P(b|a_c) = \frac{2(\sqrt{M} - 1)}{\sqrt{M} \log_2 M} \text{erfc} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2 E_b}{\zeta N_0} \log_2 M} \right),
\]

where \(\zeta = 2(M - 1)/3\). From (7)-(9), (12) and (16), the mean BER for opportunistic transmission with \(M\)-QAM modulations is given by

\[
\bar{P}_b = \frac{2(\sqrt{M} - 1)}{\sqrt{M} \log_2 M} \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha^2}{q}} \left( 1 + \frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{c}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \text{erf} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\alpha^2}} \right) - 1 \right] + \text{erfc} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{2\alpha^2 \zeta} \right).
\]

Employing (14), (17) can be approximated by

\[
\bar{P}_b \approx \frac{\sqrt{M} - 1}{\sqrt{M} \log_2 M} \left( \frac{2\alpha^2}{1 + \sqrt{c}/\zeta} \right) \text{erfc} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{2\alpha^2 \zeta} \right).
\]

**B. SPECTRAL EFFICIENCY**

The spectral efficiency is defined as the bit rate, \(R_b\), that can be transmitted over a given bandwidth, \(B\). With the opportunistic scheme, transmissions occur with probability \(q\). Consequently, the bit rate is equal to \(R_b = q \log_2 M / T_b\) bits/s. As the bandwidth is equal to \(B = 1 / T_b\) Hz, the mean spectral efficiency is equal to

\[
\bar{\xi} = \frac{R_b}{B} = q \log_2 M \text{ bits/Hz}.
\]

As particular case, if \(q = 1\), then (19) becomes the spectral efficiency of an ordinary system, i.e., a system that transmits all the time regardless the fading amplitude.

By the above, the non-transmission periods represent loss of spectral efficiency. Nevertheless, it is possible to compensate for this loss by increasing the modulation order. Specifically, the modulation order can be expanded from \(M\) to \(M^\frac{1}{k}\), similar to what is done with TCM codes.

**V. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS**

Expressions to evaluate the mean BER for encoded opportunistic transmission are obtained in this section. Convolutional and TCM codes are considered.

**A. CONVOLUTIONAL CODES SCENARIO**

In convolutional codes, codewords are generated employing linear operations into a finite state machine. In general, a convolutional code is represented as \((n, k, m)\), where \(n\) is the number of encoded bits, \(k\) is the number of message bits and \(m\) is the total number of memories employed by the encoder. Generally, \(n\) and \(k\) are small integers satisfying that \(k < n\). Moreover, as larger is \(m\), better is the system performance and higher is the decoding complexity [11]. The ratio between the number of message bits and the number of encoded bits is known as encoder rate \(r_c = k/n\). A convolutional encoder can be represented by a generator matrix, which produces a state diagram or a trellis [13].

1) **MEAN BIT ERROR RATE**

Convolutional codes performance in AWGN channel is determined by \(r_c\) and by the Hamming free distance, which is defined as [13]

\[
d_{\text{free, Hamming}} = \min \left\{ d(c, c') : b \neq b' \right\},
\]

where \(c\) and \(c'\) are encoded sequences obtained from the information sequences \(b\) and \(b'\), respectively. In addition, \(d(c, c')\) denotes the Hamming distance between \(c\) and \(c'\). The greater \(d_{\text{free, Hamming}}\), the greater the minimum separation between encoded sequences. Consequently, the greater is the correction capability in the decoding process.

For linear codes, it is possible to assume that the all-zero sequence is transmitted. In this case, the BER is related to the probability that the detector chooses another sequence different of the all-zero sequence. Under this assumption, the mean BER upper bound for convolutional codes in AWGN channel is obtained as [44]

\[
\bar{P}_b \leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{d = d_{\text{free, Hamming}}}^{\infty} B_d R_d,
\]

with \(B_d = A_d N_d\), where \(A_d\) is the number of paths with Hamming distance \(d\) from the all-zero sequence and \(N_d\) is the number of information bits in error in that path. Moreover, \(R_d\) is given by [13]

\[
R_d = P_f \sum_{\ell=0}^{d-1} \left( d-1+\ell \right) (1-P_f)^\ell,
\]

where \(P_f\) is the mean BER in fading channels. Considering that the encoded bits are modulated employing BPSK modulation, \(P_f\) is calculated as [43]

\[
P_f = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \sqrt{\frac{r_c \gamma}{1 + r_c \gamma}} \right),
\]

where \(\gamma\) is given by (12). Finally, it is important to indicate that (21) is calculated considering all possible encoded sequences, which are compared with the all-zero sequence.

For \(r_c \gamma \gg 1\), \(P_f \approx (4 r_c \gamma)^{-1}\) and thus, \(P_f \ll 1\). As consequence, \(R_d \approx P_f^d (2d-1)^{-1}\). In addition, the first term in the summation of (21) is dominant. Therefore, an upper bound for (21) is calculated as

\[
\bar{P}_b \approx \frac{1}{k} B_{d_{\text{free, Hamming}}} \left( 2d_{\text{free, Hamming}} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{1}{4r_c \gamma} \right)^{d_{\text{free, Hamming}}}.
\]

From (24), \(\bar{P}_b \propto y_c^{-d_{\text{free, Hamming}}}\). Hence, a system employing convolutional codes in a fading channel has diversity \(d_{\text{free, Hamming}}\).

Now, the mean BER for convolutional encode opportunistic transmission is calculated. For this scenario, the mean BER upper bound can be easily obtained from the results of Section IV and the result of (21). As this expression is a function of the BER in fading channels, \(P_f\), can be replaced.
by (13) but considering also the encoding rate. Hence, the new \( P_t \) to be employed in (22), named as \( P_{t,o} \), is given by

\[
P_{t,o} = \frac{\sigma^2}{q} \left[ \text{erf} \left( T \sqrt{\frac{1 + r_c \gamma_c}{2\sigma^2}} \right) - 1 \right] \left( 1 + \frac{1}{r_c \gamma_c} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \sigma^2 \text{erfc} \left( T \sqrt{\frac{r_c \gamma_c}{2\sigma^2}} \right).
\]

Consequently, the mean BER upper bound for convolutional encoded opportunistic transmission is obtained replacing (25) in (22) and then, this result in (21). Considering the results of (15) and (24), this mean BER upper bound can be approximated by

\[
P_b \approx \frac{B d_{\text{free,H}}}{k} \left( \frac{2d_{\text{free,H}} - 1}{d_{\text{free,H}}} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{2} \text{erf} \left( T \sqrt{\frac{r_c \gamma_c}{2\sigma^2}} \right) \right]^{d_{\text{free,H}}} \times \left( \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{r_c \gamma_c} \right)^{-d_{\text{free,H}}}.
\]

In the above expression, the factor in brackets is the one that decreases more rapidly as \( \gamma_c \) increases. Therefore, this factor defines the BER curve behavior. Thus, from (14) and (26), the BER for convolutional encoded opportunistic transmission has an exponential decay as \( \gamma_c \) increases.

2) CODING GAIN

The coding gain is defined as the ratio between the SNR required by uncoded and encoded systems in order to reach the same BER in the asymptotic region. For convolutional codes in AWGN channel, the coding gain is given approximately by the product of the coding rate and the Hamming free distance [13], that is

\[
\mathcal{G} \approx r_c d_{\text{free,H}}.
\]

Even though this expression is valid for AWGN channels, the numerical results of Section VI show that (27) can also be used for scenarios with opportunistic transmission. This is due to the exponential decay of the BER curves when they are plotted as a function of the SNR.

3) SPECTRAL EFFICIENCY

For this scenario, the bit rate is \( R_b = 1/T_b \) bits/s, where it is considered that the bit duration is equal to the symbol duration, i.e., \( T_b = T_s \). The minimum Nyquist bandwidth is given by \( B = 1/(r_c T_b) \) Hz. As a consequence, the mean spectral efficiency is

\[
\bar{\xi} = \frac{R_b}{B} = r_c \text{ bits/s/Hz.}
\]

Hence, convolutional codes expand the bandwidth. Moreover, convolutional encoded opportunistic transmission provides a bit rate equal to \( R_o = q/T_b \) bits/s. The minimum Nyquist bandwidth is given by \( B = 1/(r_c T_b) \) Hz. Therefore, the mean spectral efficiency for this scenario is given by

\[
\bar{\xi} = \frac{R_o}{B} = qr_c \text{ bits/s/Hz.}
\]

B. TRELLOIS CODED MODULATION SCENARIO

TCM allows noise immunity without altering the employed bandwidth. With this aim, the modulation order is expanding from \( 2^k \) to \( 2^q \). A TCM encoder is comprised of a convolutional encoder with rate \( r_c = k/n \) followed by a modulator whose constellation contains \( M^q = 2^n \) symbols.

1) MEAN BIT ERROR RATE

The TCM performance is measured in terms of the minimum squared Euclidean distance (MSED). The MSED between the sequences \( c \) and \( c' \) is calculated employing

\[
d^2_{\text{free,E}} = \min_{c \neq c'} \sum_{j \in \eta} |c_j - c'_j|^2,
\]

where \( C \) is the set of all encoded sequences and \( \eta \) is the set of positions where the encoded symbol sequences \( c \) and \( c' \) are different.

In order to derive an upper bound for the mean BER of a system employing TCM in fading channels, the pairwise error probability concept [45] can be employed. Thus, this mean BER upper bound is obtained as

\[
P_b \leq \sum_{c \neq c'} \sum_{\eta} \beta(c, c') P(c) P(c' \rightarrow c'),
\]

where \( \beta(c, c') \) is the number of bits in error appearing when the sequence \( c \) is transmitted and the sequence \( c' \neq c \) is chosen by the decoder, \( P(c) \) is the a priori probability of transmitting \( c \) and \( P(c' \rightarrow c') \) is the pairwise error probability, that is, the probability that the decoder chooses \( c' \) when indeed \( c \) was transmitted. Note that (31) is calculated for all \( c, c' \in C \).

The pairwise error probability conditioned on the instantaneous fading amplitudes is given by [34]

\[
P(c \rightarrow c' | \eta_j) \leq \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{4 \gamma_c} d^2(c, c') \right],
\]

where

\[
d^2(c, c') \triangleq \sum_{j \in \eta} \alpha_j^2 |c_j - c'_j|^2
\]

represents the square of the Euclidean distance between the symbol sequences \( c \) and \( c' \), \( \eta \) is the set of all \( j \) for which \( c_j \neq c'_j \) and \( \alpha_j \) is the fading amplitude on the \( j \)-th time interval whose PDF is given by (1). Considering ideal time interleaving, the random variables \( \alpha_j \) are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d) for all \( j \). Thus, the upper bound for the pairwise error probability can be obtained by averaging (32). Hence, we have that

\[
P(c \rightarrow c') \leq \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty P(c \rightarrow c' | \eta_j) \prod_{j \in \eta} f(\alpha_j) d\alpha_j.
\]

Solving (34), the upper bound of the pairwise error probability is given by

\[
P(c \rightarrow c') \leq \left( \prod_{j \in \eta} \frac{1}{\gamma_c} |c_j - c'_j|^2 \right)^{-1}.
\]
Finally, substituting (35) in (31), we get the upper bound of the mean BER for TCM codes, that can be written as

\[
P_b \leq \sum_{c \in C} \sum_{c' \in C'} \beta(c, c') P(c) \left( \prod_{j \in \eta} \frac{1}{4 \gamma} |c_j - c'_j|^2 \right)^{-1}.
\]  

(36)

In order to derive an upper bound for the mean BER of TCM encoded opportunistic transmission we rewrite (34) as

\[
P(c \to c') \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ldots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(c \to c' | \alpha_{c,j}) \prod_{j \in \eta} f(\alpha_{c,j}) d\alpha_{c,j}
\]

\[\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ldots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{4 \gamma} \sum_{j \in \eta} \alpha_{c,j}^2 |c_j - c'_j|^2 \right)
\]

\[\times \prod_{j \in \eta} f(\alpha_{c,j}) d\alpha_{c,j},
\]

(37)

where \(f(\alpha_{c,j})\) is given by (8) and we have employed the results of (32) and (33). Because \(\alpha_{c,j}\) are i.i.d. random variables \(\forall j\), (37) has a closed-form given by

\[
P(c \to c') \leq \prod_{j \in \eta} \frac{1}{q^j} \exp \left[ -\frac{T^2}{\sigma^2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4 \gamma} |c_j - c'_j|^2 \right) \right].
\]

(38)

Substituting (38) in (31), we get the upper bound for the mean BER of TCM encoded opportunistic transmission, that is written as

\[
P_b \leq \sum_{c \in C} \sum_{c' \in C'} \beta(c, c') P(c)
\]

\[\times \prod_{j \in \eta} \frac{1}{q^j} \exp \left[ -\frac{T^2}{\sigma^2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4 \gamma} |c_j - c'_j|^2 \right) \right].
\]

(39)

Note that (39) depends on the Euclidean distance between the symbols of two different encoded sequences. The \(M\)-ary trellis of the code can be used to calculate these distances.

2) CODING GAIN

The coding gain is given approximately by the ratio between the squared Euclidean free distance \(d_{\text{free,E}}^2\) of the expanded constellation and the minimum square distance of the original constellation [34], that is

\[G \approx \frac{d_{\text{free,E}}^2}{d_{\text{min}}^2}.
\]

(40)

3) SPECTRAL EFFICIENCY

The bit rate employing TCM codes is \(R_b = \frac{1}{T_s} \log_2 M\) bits/s, where \(M = 2^k\). The minimum Nyquist bandwidth is \(B = 1/T_s\) Hz. As a consequence the mean spectral efficiency is

\[\xi = \frac{R_b}{B} = \log_2 M \ \text{bits/s/Hz}.
\]

(41)

Therefore, TCM does not expand the bandwidth. For better understanding observe Fig. 4.

Finally, TCM encoded opportunistic transmission provides a bit rate equal to \(R_b = \frac{q}{T_s} \log_2 M\) bits/s and the minimum Nyquist bandwidth is given by \(B = 1/T_s\) Hz. Hence, the mean spectral efficiency is given by

\[\xi = \frac{R_b}{B} = q \log_2 M \ \text{bits/s/Hz},
\]

(42)

which expands the bandwidth, except if the modulation order employed is equal to \(M^2\).

C. TURBO CODES SCENARIO

In the transmitter, turbo codes are generated employing a parallel concatenation of two recursive systematic convolutional (RSC) codes, with an interleaver between the two encoders. At the receiver, the iterative MAP is used in order to perform the decoding process. In particular, MAP algorithm provides not only the estimated bit sequence, but also the probabilities for each bit that it has been decoded correctly. This is essential for the iterative decoding of turbo codes [35].

Finding expressions to evaluate the BER of systems employing turbo codes can become quite intricate. For this reason, several works have evaluated their BER based on simulations [36]–[39]. Moreover, in the literature, it is known that the number of iterations performed in the MAP detector directly affects the system performance. In this sense, the performance of opportunistic transmission with turbo codes is evaluated as a function of the number of iterations via simulations in Section VI.

VI. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section, we assess the performance of the proposed scheme in some representative scenarios. Simulations employing the Monte Carlo method are carried out in order to verify the accuracy of the derived expressions.

The simulations have been performed employing the error correcting codes detailed on Table 2. In addition, the fading mean power has been normalized, i.e., \(2\sigma^2 = 1\).

Fig. 5 shows the mean BER as a function of \(E_b/N_0\) for uncoded and encoded ordinary systems (non-opportunistic) and for uncoded and encoded opportunistic systems with BPSK modulation. Encoded scenarios use the code \(C_1\) of Table 2. The Hamming free distance for this code is \(d_{\text{free,H}} = 5\). For opportunistic transmission scenarios, the transmission probability is set to \(q = 1/2\). Note the accuracy between theoretical and simulation results. In particular, BER curves for ordinary transmissions are
the same transmission power for each uncoded bit. Thus, comparison, the encoded and uncoded systems must guarantee behavior, it is important to indicate that to make a fair com-

This can be verified in the BER expression given by (13) that the second scenario requires approximately 4 dB. This shows that the aforementioned
can be verified in its approximate expression, which is given by (15). Therefore, opportunistic transmission presents the best performance. Obviously, encoded systems have better performance than uncoded ones. Compare uncoded with encoded opportunistic results in the low BER region. Notice that the BER curve for the opportunistic case present exponential decayment as $E_b/N_0$ increases. It is also verified that both systems have the same mean spectral efficiency of $\bar{E} = 1/2$ bits/s/Hz. In addition, uncoded opportunistic systems are superior to encoded ordinary systems not only in performance but they also have smaller complexity imple-

obtained employing $T = 0$, or equivalently, $q = 1$. Moreover, some curves are accurate only in the low BER region because they are upper bounds. Note that the BER curve for the uncoded non-opportunistic scenario has a linear decayment as $E_b/N_0$ increases. In particular, in the literature it is known that the diversity is equal to 1 for this scenario [43]. On the other hand, note that the BER curve for the opportunistic system has an exponential decayment as $E_b/N_0$ increases. This can be verified in the BER expression given by (13) and with more detail in its approximation, given by (14), where the $\text{erfc}(\cdot)$ function has the exponential behavior. This can be verified in its approximate expression, which is given by (15). Therefore, opportunistic transmission presents the best performance. Obviously, encoded systems have better performance than uncoded ones. Compare uncoded with encoded opportunistic results in the low BER region. Notice that the second scenario requires approximately 4.5 dB less $E_b/N_0$ in order to guarantee the same BER as the first scenario. The expected coding gain, given by (27), is approximately 4 dB. This shows that the aforementioned expression can also be used for opportunistic transmission scenarios due to the BER curves behavior. As a particular case, the uncoded scenarios have a slightly lower BER than the encoded ones in the low $E_b/N_0$ region. To explain this behavior, it is important to indicate that to make a fair com-

the encoded systems transmit a lower power per bit (encoded or uncoded). As a result, it is observed that the encoded systems have a performance slightly lower than that of the uncoded systems in the region of very low $E_b/N_0$. Specifically, the code has not yet started to work properly in this region. However, this is a particular case, as this may change depending on the type of code used. Thus, more powerful codes may not present this type of behavior when compared to encoded systems. Finally, another interesting scenario to note is that uncoded opportunistic system has better perfor-

TABLE 2. Employed Error Correcting Codes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifier</th>
<th>Code Generator</th>
<th>$r_c$</th>
<th>$m_u$</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Modulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_1$</td>
<td>[5 7]</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Convolut.</td>
<td>BPSK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_2$</td>
<td>[5 2]</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>TCM</td>
<td>QPSK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_3$</td>
<td>[33 14 5 10]</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>TCM</td>
<td>16-QAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_5$</td>
<td>[7, 5]</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Turbo</td>
<td>BPSK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 5. Mean BER as a function of $E_b/N_0$ for different scenarios considering BPSK modulation. Encoded scenarios employ convolutional encoding via the code $C_1$. Opportunistic transmission is applied with $q = 1/2$.

FIGURE 6. Mean BER as a function of $E_b/N_0$ for different scenarios considering QPSK modulation. Encoded scenarios employ TCM encoding via the code $C_2$. Opportunistic transmission is applied with $q = 1/2$.
require a greater $E_b/N_0$ to guarantee the same BER than our proposal.

Fig. 7 shows the mean BER as a function of the $E_b/N_0$ ratio for uncoded and encoded ordinary systems and for uncoded and encoded opportunistic systems employing 16-QAM modulation. The encoded scenarios use TCM with the code $C_3$ of Table 2. The opportunistic transmission applies a transmission probability $q = 1/4$. Note that theoretical curves are accurate with simulation results. Even when $q$ and $r_c$ decrease, the uncoded opportunistic system maintains better performance than the encoded ordinary system. While the mean BER curve of the uncoded opportunistic system decays exponentially, the mean BER curve of the encoded ordinary system decays linearly. Notice that both systems present the same spectral efficiency of $\xi = 1$ bits/s/Hz. Finally, observe that the encoded opportunistic system has the best performance. However, the mean spectral efficiency for this scenario is $\xi = 1/4$ bits/s/Hz.

In order to make a fair comparison, systems with the same spectral efficiency are considered in Fig. 8, which compares the BER curves obtained for different scenarios with spectral efficiency $\xi = 1$ bits/s/Hz. The systems considered are uncoded ordinary with BPSK modulation, encoded opportunistic employing QPSK modulation and $q = 1/2$, encoded TCM ordinary employing the code $C_2$ with QPSK modulation and encoded TCM opportunistic employing the code $C_4$, 16-QAM modulation and $q = 1/3$. For simplicity, only simulation results have been plotted. The fact that all systems have the same spectral efficiency implies that the ratio between the transmitted bit rate and the used bandwidth is maintained in all scenarios. Although the proposed opportunistic scheme enables transmission only when the fading amplitude is above a threshold value, the transmitted bit rate may be maintained by increasing the modulation order, which ensures that the bandwidth is not increased. In the results, note that the encoded opportunistic scenario presents the best performance. Observe also that the uncoded opportunistic system has better performance than both ordinary systems. Therefore, from a performance viewpoint, the encoded opportunistic system can be considered as the best option. However, considering the complexity-performance trade-off, the uncoded opportunistic approach is an interesting option because it requires only 3.5 dB more $E_b/N_0$ than the encoded opportunistic system in order to guarantee the same BER, but with lower implementation complexity.

The derived BER expressions and the above numerical results show the exponential behavior of the BER curves for opportunistic systems when they are plotted as a function of $E_b/N_0$. This behavior occurs for both uncoded and encoded systems operating in fading channels. This shows that the fading effects are strongly attenuated. Consequently, opportunistic systems in fading channels exhibit similar performance to ordinary systems that operate in AWGN channels. To corroborate this, Fig. 9 shows the following scenarios: uncoded ordinary system in AWGN channel employing BPSK modulation, encoded TCM ordinary system in AWGN channel employing
Interestingly, it is observed that with opportunistic transmission, the BER increases, as happens with convolutional or TCM codes in systems. In this figure, the code \( C \) and fading scenarios. For the chosen code, it is obtained that opportunistic transmission ensures a lower BER than the ordinary transmission considering BPSK modulation. The behavior of the BER curves of this type of transmission to be used in these type of channels is desirable. In addition, another aspect to be analyzed is that channels may vary rapidly, so feedback channels can have some difficulties. Opponistic transmission may be more or less susceptible to the undesired effects of fading in the feedback channel. Considered an error-free feedback link. However, depending on how the feedback link is transmitted if via TDM or TDMA, opportunistic transmission may be more or less susceptible to the undesired effects of fading in the feedback channel. In addition, another aspect to be analyzed is that channels may vary rapidly, so feedback channels can have some difficulties. Therefore, to look for mechanisms that allow opportunistic transmission to be used in these type of channels is desirable. Finally, while most current cellular systems use turbo codes, the trend for new cellular systems is to use the so-called polar codes [22]. Thus, another future work would consists of evaluating the performance of opportunistic systems using this type of coding.

**APPENDIX A**

**OPPORTUNISTIC TRANSMISSION MEAN BER EMPLOYING BPSK MODULATION**

In this section, the mean BER of the uncoded opportunistic transmission considering BPSK modulation is derived.
From (9) and (10), this mean BER is obtained as

$$P_b = \int_{T}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \text{erfc} \left( \frac{\alpha_c E_b}{\sigma^2 N_0} \right) \frac{\alpha_c}{\sigma^2} \exp \left( -\frac{\alpha_c^2 + T^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) d\alpha_c$$

$$= q \int_{T}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \text{erfc} \left( \frac{\alpha_c^2 E_b}{\sigma^2 N_0} \right) \frac{\alpha_c}{\sigma^2} \exp \left( -\frac{\alpha_c^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) d\alpha_c.$$ (43)

where (7) has been employed. The above integral has a closed-form and as a consequence, (43) can be rewritten as

$$P_b = \sigma^2 \left( 1 + 2\sigma^2 \frac{E_b}{N_0} \right) \frac{1}{q} \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma^2 E_b}{N_0}} \left( 1 + 2\sigma^2 \frac{E_b}{N_0} \right) \times \text{erf} \left( T \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{E_b}{N_0}} \right) + \sqrt{1 + 2\sigma^2 \frac{E_b}{N_0}}$$

$$\times \left[ \left( 1 + 2\sigma^2 \frac{E_b}{N_0} \right) \sqrt{\frac{E_b}{N_0}} \right] \times \left[ -\frac{1}{q} \sqrt{2\sigma^2 E_b} \left( 1 + 2\sigma^2 \frac{E_b}{N_0} \right) \right] \right],$$ (44)

where (7) has been employed and \(\text{erf}(x)\) denotes the error function, which is given by \(\text{erf}(x) = 1 - \text{erfc}(x)\). The mean SNR has been defined in (12). Employing this definition, with \(M = 2\) for BPSK, and after some simplifications, (44) can be rewritten as (13), which is the mean BER expression for uncoded opportunistic transmission schemes considering BPSK modulation.

### APPENDIX B

**ASYMPTOTIC APPROXIMATION FOR THE COMPLEMENTARY ERROR FUNCTION**

An approximation for the complementary error function, defined by (11), is obtained in this appendix considering the high SNR region.

Unfortunately, the integral defined by (11) cannot be evaluated in closed form in terms of elementary functions. However, by employing a recursive process, it is possible to write the complementary error function as a series. Below we detail some steps of this process.

Rewriting (11) as

$$\text{erfc}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y} \exp(-y^2) dy,$$ (45)

it is possible to integrate by parts by letting \(u = 1/y\), \(du = -y^{-2}\), \(dv = \exp(-y^2) dy\) and \(v = -\exp(-y^2)/2\). Therefore,

$$I = uv \bigg|_{x}^{\infty} - \int_{x}^{\infty} v du$$

$$= \frac{1}{2x} \exp(-x^2) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y^2} \exp(-y^2) dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{2x} \exp(-x^2) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y^3} \exp(-y^2) dy.$$ (46)

![FIGURE 11. Complementary error function approximation.](image_url)

Similarly, \(J\) can be integrated by parts by letting \(u = 1/y^3\), \(du = -3y^{-4}\), \(dv = \exp(-y^2) dy\) and \(v = -exp(-y^2)/2\). Hence,

$$J = \frac{1}{2x^3} \exp(-x^2) - \frac{3}{2} \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y^4} \exp(-y^2) dy.$$ (47)

With (46) and (47), (45) is rewritten as

$$\text{erfc}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left[ \frac{1}{x} \exp(-x^2) - \frac{1}{2x^3} \exp(-x^2) + \frac{3}{2} \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y^4} \exp(-y^2) dy \right].$$ (48)

Repeating the previous process an infinite number of times yields

$$\text{erfc}(x) = \frac{1}{x \sqrt{\pi}} \exp(-x^2) \times \left[ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \times 3 \times 5 \times \cdots \times (2k-1) \right].$$ (49)

For large \(x\), the first term in the above series is the dominant. Hence, this term can be considered as an asymptotic approximation for the complementary error function, that is given by (14). To prove this, Fig. 11 shows the exact \(\text{erfc}(x)\) function together with approximations considering 1 and 10 terms of the series given by (49). A region has been amplified in order to observe the results better.
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