Circular $\theta$-QAM: A Circle-Shaped QAM for Higher-Order Modulation
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Abstract

This paper proposes $M$-ary circular $\theta$-quadrature amplitude modulation (CTQAM) for $M = 2^l$, $l \geq 4$, which is constructed by rearrangement of the signal points based on $\theta$-QAM. We provide a construction method for the signal constellation of CTQAM and analyze the symbol and bit error performances of $M$-ary CTQAM in additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) and Nakagami-$m$ fading channels. Through computer simulations, we validate theoretical results.
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I. Introduction

In contemporary communication and broadcasting systems, higher-order modulation is required for high speed data transmission. Since quadrature amplitude modulation (QAM) can achieve high data rate transmission without additional bandwidth, it has been widely studied as a method for higher-order modulation. In particular, a considerable amount of research on square QAM (SQAM) has been conducted [1]-[3], and SQAM has been adopted in many practical systems due to the simplicity of modulation and demodulation methods [4]-[6]. Although SQAM offers low complexity of modulation and demodulation methods, it does not provide optimal error performance. To minimize the error probability of QAM, Foschini et al., using an asymptotic (large signal-to-noise ratio) expression, suggested a modified gradient-search procedure that converges to an optimal constellation [7]. In [7], it was shown that the optimal constellation forms a lattice of equilateral triangles and takes on a circle shape as the number of signal points increases, which provides the minimum average symbol energy for a given minimum Euclidean distance. Although the optimal constellation in [7] provides the minimum error probability, it is not suitable for practical applications due to the presence of signal points at the origin and axis, and irregular decision regions.

To overcome these hindrances of the optimal constellation, more practical constellations were proposed without signal points at the origin and axis, and having symmetric decision regions about the origin. Triangular QAM (TQAM), and $\theta$-QAM including SQAM and TQAM as special cases, were proposed in [8] and [9], respectively, which have better error performance than SQAM. Recently, stepped $\theta$-QAM based on $\theta$-QAM was proposed in [10], which provides better error performance than $\theta$-QAM. Stepped $\theta$-QAM, however, does not form a circle shape as the number of signal points increases. Therefore, there is room for improvement of the error performance and peak-to-average power ratio (PAPR): if we can make $\theta$-QAM into a circle shape, the average symbol energy for a given minimum Euclidean distance, and PAPR can be reduced.

In this paper, we propose a circle-shaped quadrature amplitude modulation for higher-order modulation, $M$-ary circular $\theta$-QAM (CTQAM), for $M = 2^l$, $l \geq 4$ based on $\theta$-QAM, which minimizes the average symbol energy for a given minimum Euclidean distance, among all the constellations with $\theta$-QAM lattice. The construction method for the signal constellation of CTQAM is provided, and it is shown that the average symbol energy and PAPR of CTQAM for a given $M$, are less than those of stepped $\theta$-QAM. Then, we analyze the symbol and bit error performances in additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) and Nakagami-$m$ fading channels. Finally, we validate theoretical error performance results through computer simulations.

II. CIRCULAR $\theta$-QAM

A. SIGNAL CONSTELLATIONS

$M$-ary CTQAM for $M = 2^l$, $l \geq 4$ is constructed by an iterative rearrangement process of the signal points of $M$-ary $\theta$-QAM.
Let $S = \{s_1, \cdots, s_M\}$ be the set of the signal points and $C = \{c_1, \cdots, c_N \mid S\}$ be the set of the candidate signal points given $S$. For this case, the initial set $S$ is equal to the set of the signal points of $M$-ary $\theta$-QAM, $S_{M\text{-ary } \theta\text{-QAM}}$, and each signal point is represented by $s_1, \cdots, s_M$ from left to right, top to bottom. Subsequently, under the condition of maintaining the lattice of $M$-ary $\theta$-QAM, the vacant locations adjacent to the top, bottom, left and right signal points on the edge are set as the candidate signal points, and each candidate signal point is represented by $c_1, \cdots, c_N$ in the counterclockwise direction from the top left. As an example, we depict the signal points of 16-ary $\theta$-QAM ($\theta = 60^\circ$) and candidate signal points in Fig. 1, where $2d$ is the minimum Euclidean distance between two adjacent signal points. If we denote an arbitrary signal point $s_k$ and an arbitrary candidate signal point $c_h$ by coordinate pairs $(s_{k, I}, s_{k, Q})$ and $(c_{h, I}, c_{h, Q})$, the magnitudes of $s_k$ and $c_h$ can be computed as

\[
\|s_k\| = \sqrt{s_{k, I}^2 + s_{k, Q}^2} \tag{1}
\]

\[
\|c_h\| = \sqrt{c_{h, I}^2 + c_{h, Q}^2} \tag{2}
\]

where $k = 1, 2, \cdots, M$; $h = 1, 2, \cdots, N$; $s_{k, I}$ and $s_{k, Q}$ are the in-phase and quadrature values of $s_k$; and $c_{h, I}$ and $c_{h, Q}$ are the in-phase and quadrature values of $c_h$. Let $s_{\text{max}}$ be the signal point with the maximum magnitude in $S$ and $c_{\text{min}}$ be the candidate signal point with the minimum magnitude in $C$. Then, the rearrangement of the signal points of $M$-ary $\theta$-QAM to construct $M$-ary CTQAM is performed by comparing $s_{\text{max}}$ and $c_{\text{min}}$. The detailed construction method of $M$-ary CTQAM is as follows.

First, as mentioned above, the initial values of $S$ and $C$ are determined based on $M$-ary $\theta$-QAM. If the magnitude of $s_{\text{max}}$ is greater than that of $c_{\text{min}}$, $s_{\text{max}}$ is excluded from the signal point and $c_{\text{min}}$ is included in a new signal point to generate a new set of signal points $S$. Then, a new set of candidate signal points $C$ is generated based on the new set of the signal points $S$. Rearrangement is repeated by using newly generated $S$ and $C$ until the magnitude of every $s_{\text{max}}$ is less than or equal to that of $c_{\text{min}}$.

Following the steps above, we formulate the construction method of $M$-ary CTQAM in pseudo code as follows:

\begin{algorithm}
  1: Initialization:
  2: \hspace{1cm} $S = \{s_1, \cdots, s_M\}$; $s_k \in S_{M\text{-ary } \theta\text{-QAM}}, \ k = 1, \cdots, M$;
  3: \hspace{1cm} $C = \{c_1, \cdots, c_N \mid S\}$;
  4: \hspace{1cm} while $\max_{s_k \in S} \|s_k\| > \min_{c_h \in C} \|c_h\|$, $k = 1, \cdots, M$, $h = 1, \cdots, N$
  5: \hspace{2cm} $s_{\text{max}} = \arg \max_{s_k \in S} \|s_k\|$;
  6: \hspace{2cm} $c_{\text{min}} = \arg \min_{c_h \in C} \|c_h\|$;
  7: \hspace{1cm} $S = S \setminus \{s_{\text{max}}\} \cup \{c_{\text{min}}\}$;
  8: \hspace{1cm} $C = \{c_1, \cdots, c_{\text{new}} \mid S\}$;
  9: \hspace{1cm} $N = N_{\text{new}}$;
 10: end

\end{algorithm}

Fig. 2 shows the signal constellations of CTQAM obtained from the above construction method and conventional QAMs, e.g., SQAM, $\theta$-QAM, and stepped $\theta$-QAM, for various $M$ when $\theta = 60^\circ$. Fig. 3 depicts the signal constellations of 256-ary CTQAM for various values of $\theta$. Note in Fig. 2 that CTQAM has a circular shape compared with the conventional QAMs and becomes more circular as the modulation order $M$ increases.

\section{B. AVERAGE SYMBOL ENERGY AND PEAK-TO-AVERAGE POWER RATIO}

The average symbol energy $E_{\text{avg}}$ and PAPR can be calculated by

\begin{figure}
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\includegraphics[width=\columnwidth]{fig1.png}
\caption{Signal points of 16-ary $\theta$-QAM ($\theta = 60^\circ$) and candidate signal points.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}
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\includegraphics[width=\columnwidth]{fig2.png}
\caption{Signal constellations of 64-, 256-, and, 1024-ary SQAM, $\theta$-QAM, stepped $\theta$-QAM, and CTQAM for $\theta = 60^\circ$.}
\end{figure}
avg = 1 \sum_{k=1}^{M} (s_{k,1}^2 + s_{k,0}^2) \quad (3)

\text{PAPR} = \frac{P_{\text{peak}}}{P_{\text{avg}}} = \frac{\max_{i=1,M} (s_{i,1}^2 + s_{i,0}^2)}{\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} (s_{i,1}^2 + s_{i,0}^2)} \quad (4)

where $P_{\text{avg}}$ and $P_{\text{peak}}$ denote the average power and peak power, respectively. We can expect both the average symbol energy and PAPR for a given minimum Euclidean distance to be reduced, because in the proposed construction method a signal point of greater magnitude is rearranged to a new signal point of smaller magnitude, which leads the signal constellation of $M$-ary CTQAM to become circular as $M$ increases.

We tabulate $E_{\text{avg}}$ and PAPR of SQAM, $\theta$-QAM, stepped $\theta$-QAM, and CTQAM in Table I, where we assume a minimum Euclidean distance of $2d$ and $\theta = 60^\circ$. As shown in Table I, CTQAM has lower average symbol energy and lower PAPR than SQAM, $\theta$-QAM, and stepped $\theta$-QAM, and the differences of the average symbol energy and of the PAPR between CTQAM and other modulation schemes become larger as the modulation order $M$ increases. We therefore expect CTQAM to show better error performance than other modulation schemes and the error performance difference to increase as the modulation order increases.

### Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M$</th>
<th>SQAM</th>
<th>$\theta$-QAM</th>
<th>Stepped $\theta$-QAM</th>
<th>CTQAM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>42d^2</td>
<td>37d^2</td>
<td>35.6d^2</td>
<td>35.3d^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>170d^2</td>
<td>149d^2</td>
<td>143.5d^2</td>
<td>141.2d^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1024</td>
<td>682d^2</td>
<td>597d^2</td>
<td>575d^2</td>
<td>564.6d^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4096</td>
<td>2730d^2</td>
<td>2389d^2</td>
<td>2301d^2</td>
<td>2258.2d^2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. BIT-TO-SYMBOL MAPPING

For higher-order modulation, it is important to find optimal bit-to-symbol mapping based on minimizing the bit errors for a given symbol error. In general, since it is likely that most symbol errors occur between adjacent symbols, optimal bit-to-symbol mapping can be obtained by finding a bit-to-symbol mapping that has the minimum average Hamming distance. Theoretically, optimal bit-to-symbol mapping of the signal constellation with the modulation order $M$ can be found by performing all possible searches, which would involve $M!$ possible candidates. This becomes impracticable as $M$ increases. Therefore, we adopt a suboptimal bit-to-symbol mapping scheme, the layer labeling algorithm proposed in [11] that is applicable to high modulation orders without prohibitively huge searches. In this paper we assume that the search limit $N_e$, which is the key parameter of the layer labeling algorithm, is 9 as in [11].
Fig. 4 shows a bit-to-symbol mapping of 64-ary CTQAM, as an example, by using the layer labeling algorithm. The decision regions for each bit of 64-ary CTQAM are depicted in Fig. 5. Note that the decision regions in Fig. 5 are all symmetrical about the origin. Similarly, for a higher modulation order \( M \), we can also obtain bit-to-symbol mapping and the decision regions for each bit, showing symmetry about the origin.

III. EXACT ERROR PROBABILITY OF CIRCULAR \( \theta \)-QAM

In this section, we analyze the exact symbol error rate (SER) and bit error rate (BER) of \( M \)-ary CTQAM, where the exact SER and BER expressions are provided in terms of a two-dimensional (2-D) Gaussian Q-function [12].

The exact SER in an AWGN channel, \( P_{SER} \), can be presented in terms of the error probabilities of the closed decision region \( (R^c) \), \( P_{SER}^c \), and the open decision region \( (R^o) \),

\[
P_{SER} = P_{SER}^c + P_{SER}^o
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{U} \left( 1 - P \{ s_k \in R^c_k \mid s_k = s'_k \} \right) P \{ s'_k \}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{k=1}^{V} \left( 1 - P \{ s_k \in R^o_k \mid s_k = s'_k \} \right) P \{ s'_k \}
\]

where \( U \) and \( V \) are the numbers of the closed and open decision regions; \( s' \) and \( s'' \) are the signal points with the closed and open decision regions, respectively; \( s_k \) and \( s_k \) are the received and transmitted signals; \( R_k \) is the decision region of the signal point \( s_k \); and \( P \{ s'_k \} \) is the a priori probability. Note that in (5), the conditional probability \( P \{ s_k \in R_k \mid s_k = s'_k \} \) that the received signal \( s_k \) falls into the decision region \( R_k \) given that the transmitted signal \( s_k \) is \( s'_k \) can be obtained by using the 2-D Gaussian Q-function [13].

For the closed decision regions, the conditional probability can be obtained as

\[
P \{ s_k \in R^c_k \mid s_k = s'_k \} = Q \left( \frac{E[Y_k]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[Y_k]}}, \frac{E[Y_k]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[Y_k]}}, \rho_{q_k} \right)
\]

\[
+ Q \left( -\frac{E[Y_k]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[Y_k]}}, -\frac{E[Y_k]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[Y_k]}}, -\rho_{q_k} \right)
\]

\[
- \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} Q \left( \frac{E[Y_{ki}]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[Y_{ki}]}} - \frac{E[Y_{ki}]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[Y_{ki}]}} - \rho_{q_k} \right)
\]

and for the open decision regions, it can be expressed as

\[
P \{ s_k \in R^o_k \mid s_k = s'_k \} = Q \left( \frac{E[Y_k]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[Y_k]}}, \frac{E[Y_k]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[Y_k]}}, \rho_{q_k} \right)
\]

\[
- \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} Q \left( \frac{E[Y_{ki}]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[Y_{ki}]]} - \frac{E[Y_{ki}]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[Y_{ki}]}} - \rho_{q_k} \right)
\]

where \( n \) and \( q \) are the numbers of sides of the polygonal closed and open decision regions; \( E[Y_k] = s_k \cos \varphi_k - s_k \sin \varphi_k - d_k \) is the expectation of \( Y_k \) which is a vertical line to the \( k \)-th decision boundary \( X_k \) of the decision region; \( \varphi_k \) is the rotation angle between the in-phase axis and \( X_k \); \( \text{Var}[Y_k] = \sigma^2 \) is the variance of \( Y_k \); and \( d_k \) is the distance from the origin to \( X_k \).

We depict the decision regions for the signal points of 64-ary CTQAM in Fig. 6 to obtain the exact SER of 64-ary CTQAM, when \( \theta = 60^\circ \), as an example. There are four different types of decision regions \( D_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), and the conditional probabilities for each decision region, \( P_{D_i} \), can be calculated by

\[
P_{D_1} = Q \left( \frac{-8E_b}{47N_0}, \frac{-8E_b}{47N_0} - \frac{1}{2} \right) + Q \left( \frac{8E_b}{47N_0}, \frac{8E_b}{47N_0} - \frac{1}{2} \right)
\]

\[
P_{D_2} = Q \left( \frac{-8E_b}{47N_0}, \frac{-8E_b}{47N_0} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + Q \left( \frac{8E_b}{47N_0}, \frac{8E_b}{47N_0} + \frac{1}{2} \right)
\]

\[
P_{D_3} = Q \left( \frac{-8E_b}{47N_0}, \frac{-8E_b}{47N_0} - \frac{1}{2} \right) + Q \left( \frac{8E_b}{47N_0}, \frac{8E_b}{47N_0} - \frac{1}{2} \right)
\]

\[
P_{D_4} = Q \left( \frac{-8E_b}{47N_0}, \frac{-8E_b}{47N_0} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + Q \left( \frac{8E_b}{47N_0}, \frac{8E_b}{47N_0} + \frac{1}{2} \right)
\]

where \( E_b / N_0 \) denotes the bit-energy-to-noise spectral density ratio. The numbers of each type of decision region \( N_{D_i}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), are \( N_{D_1} = 38 \), \( N_{D_2} = 6 \), \( N_{D_3} = 12 \), and \( N_{D_4} = 8 \).

If we assume that the symbols are equally likely to be transmitted, then the exact SER of 64-ary CTQAM for \( \theta = 60^\circ \) is obtained as

\[
P_{SER} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{8E_b}{47N_0} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{-8E_b}{47N_0} \right)^2
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{8E_b}{47N_0} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{-8E_b}{47N_0} \right)^2
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{8E_b}{47N_0} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{-8E_b}{47N_0} \right)^2
\]
This is the average SNR per bit and \( \Gamma(\cdot) \) denotes the Gamma distribution \([15]\), of the received signal will be a random variable, whose probability density function (pdf), can be obtained as closed-form solutions \([16]\), and \( z_i = -E[Y]/\sqrt{\text{VAR}[Y]} \).

In the case of Nakagami-\( m \) fading channel, as an example, the pdf of \( \gamma \) becomes the Gamma distribution \([15]\)

\[
f_\gamma(\gamma) = \frac{m}{\overline{\gamma}^m} \gamma^{m-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma}{\overline{\gamma}}\right), \quad \gamma \geq 0
\]

where \( \overline{\gamma} \) is the average SNR per bit and \( \Gamma(\cdot) \) denotes the Gamma function \([12]\). Then, \( \Psi_{1-D}(z, \sqrt{\overline{\gamma}}) \) and \( \Psi_{2-D}(z, \sqrt{\overline{\gamma}}, z_{in}, \sqrt{\overline{\gamma}}) \) can be obtained as closed-form solutions \([16]\),

\[
\Psi_{1-D}(z, \sqrt{\overline{\gamma}}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} C_{ik} \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{z_i^2}{2m + z_i^2 \overline{\gamma}} \right)^{\frac{-1}{2}} \right]
\]

\[
\Psi_{2-D}(z, \sqrt{\overline{\gamma}}, z_{in}, \sqrt{\overline{\gamma}}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} \frac{\alpha_i}{\pi} \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} + \tan^{-1} \alpha \right] \Omega_1 + \sin\left(\tan^{-1} \alpha \right) \Omega_2 \right]
\]

where

\[
\alpha = -\beta \cot \omega_i, \quad \beta = \frac{c}{1+c} \quad \text{sgn} \quad \omega_i,
\]

\[
c = \frac{z_i^2 \overline{\gamma}}{2m}, \quad \Omega_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} \frac{z_k C_k}{4(1+c)},
\]

\[
\Omega_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} \sum_{l=1}^{Z_i} \frac{z_k C_k}{2(2k-1) + 1^4(1+c)} \cos^{Z_i (2k-1)}(\tan^{-1} \alpha).
\]
By substituting (13) and (14) into (11), we can obtain the exact SER in Nakagami-$m$ fading channels. Analogously, the exact BER in Nakagami-$m$ fading channels can be obtained by averaging (10) over (12).

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section, we validate the theoretical SER and BER results of CTQAM in AWGN and Nakagami-$m$ fading channels by computer simulations. We include the results of conventional QAMs, e.g., SQAM, $\theta$-QAM, and stepped $\theta$-QAM for comparison.

Fig. 7 shows the SER and BER versus $\theta$ of $M$-ary CTQAM in an AWGN channel for specific $E_b/N_0$ values. Note in Fig. 7 that the optimal angles in terms of minimum SER and BER are $\theta \approx 60^\circ$ regardless of $M$, as shown in [9] and [13].

Fig. 8 shows the SER and BER of 64-ary CTQAM versus $\theta$ in Nakagami-$m$ fading channels for specific $E_b/N_0$ values when $m = 1, 2.5,$ and $10$. We see in Fig. 8 that the optimal angles in terms of minimum SER are $\theta \approx 60^\circ$ regardless of the fading severity $m$, but the angles in terms of BER vary with $m$. Concerning BER, when $m = 1$, which results in Rayleigh fading channel, the optimal angle is $\theta \approx 80^\circ$. When $m = 2.5$ and $10$, the optimal angles are $\theta \approx 75^\circ$ and $65^\circ$, respectively, and as the fading severity $m$ approaches infinity, where $m = \infty$ corresponds to an AWGN channel, the optimal angle converges to $\theta = 60^\circ$, as seen in Fig. 7. For other modulation orders, we have observed a similar tendency, as in $M = 64$ where the optimal angles in terms of BER vary with the fading severity $m$.

Fig. 9 depicts the BER of 64-, 256-, and 1024-ary CTQAM for $\theta = 60^\circ$ and $80^\circ$ in Nakagami-$m$ fading channel when $m = 1$, and we see that the BERs for $\theta = 60^\circ$ and for $\theta = 80^\circ$ differ by about 10%.
Fig. 10 shows the SER and BER versus $E_b/N_0$ of 64-ary SQAM, $\theta$-QAM, stepped $\theta$-QAM, and CTQAM in an AWGN channel, when $\theta = 60^\circ$. As shown in Fig. 10, 64-ary CTQAM achieves power gains of about 0.6 dB, 0.2 dB, and 0.05 dB at the SER of $10^{-5}$, and 0.46 dB, 0.18 dB, and 0.04 dB at the BER of $10^{-5}$ over 64-ary SQAM, $\theta$-QAM, and stepped $\theta$-QAM, respectively. CTQAM outperforms other modulation schemes in the error rates because CTQAM has lower average symbol energy for a given minimum Euclidean distance, as shown in Table I.

We also depict the SER and BER of 256- and 1024-ary SQAM, $\theta$-QAM, stepped $\theta$-QAM, and CTQAM in an AWGN channel in Figs. 11 and 12, respectively. From Figs. 10, 11, and 12, we find that power gains of CTQAM over the conventional QAMs get larger as the modulation order $M$ increases.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we proposed $M$-ary CTQAM for $M = 2^l$, $l \geq 4$, which is constructed by rearrangement of the signal points based on $\theta$-QAM. We presented the construction method for...
average symbol energy and PAPR of CTQAM are lower than those of conventional QAMs, e.g., SQAM, θ-QAM, and stepped θ-QAM, for a given minimum Euclidean distance. We then provided the SER and BER performances in AWGN and Nakagami-m fading channels, where the proposed CTQAM offers better error performance than the conventional QAMs. The proposed CTQAM could enable future communication and broadcasting systems to transmit large amounts of data with higher power efficiency and reliability.
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FIGURE 13. SER and BER of 64-, 256- and 1024-ary SQAM, θ-QAM, stepped θ-QAM, and CTQAM in Nakagami-m fading channels. (a) m = 1. (b) m = 2.5. (c) m = 10.

the signal constellation of CTQAM and showed that the