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ABSTRACT A new iterative learning control (ILC) approach combined with an open-closed-loop PD scheme is presented for a flexible manipulator with a repeatable motion task in the case that only the endpoint pose of the flexible link is measurable. This approach takes advantage of the fact that the ILC performance is independent of the model used, thereby overcoming the drawback of the heavy reliance of PD controllers on the modeling accuracy. The open-closed-loop PD controller is mainly used to simultaneously reduce the effects of the modeling error and disturbances to enhance the controller’s robustness. Meanwhile, an angular correction term is introduced by using the angular relationship of the system outputs to reward or penalize the ILC law. Specifically, when the current output tends toward the expected trajectory, the ILC law is enhanced accordingly; otherwise, it is penalized. The convergence conditions for the proposed approach are obtained through theoretical analysis, and experiments using a real flexible manipulator are presented. The results show that the proposed ILC scheme can overcome the impact of the endpoint error caused by link flexibility and has a good control effect.

INDEX TERMS Flexible manipulator, Angular relationship, PD, Open-closed-loop, Iterative learning control

I. INTRODUCTION
A flexible manipulator [1]-[3] consisting of light, flexible rods offers several advantages over traditional rigid manipulators [4]-[7], including a higher ratio of load to mass, lower inertia, and a faster system response. Thus, flexible manipulators have come to occupy an important position in the field of robotics, especially in recent years, and the study of flexible manipulators has received extensive attention in many fields. Using Hamilton's principle, Choi [8] established a dynamic model of a flexible mechanical arm composed of one flexible bar and two rigid bars and discussed the nonlinear characteristics of the equation using mathematical tools. Damaren [9] proposed a combination of rigid positioning and flexible vibration that achieved a good control effect and applied this concept to develop a multilink flexible mechanical arm, which was verified through simulation and experimentation. Ulrich [10] constructed a more accurate nonlinear flexible joint model and applied a design method based on singular perturbation theory to develop a flexible joint arm.

However, a flexible manipulator is a non-minimum-phase system with high nonlinearity and a high coupling uncertainty; consequently, trajectory tracking is very difficult. Together with the corresponding computational complexity and equipment cost, this is a disadvantage that hinders flexible robotic manipulators in performing jobs requiring a high accuracy and speed. Therefore, we propose a novel iterative learning control (ILC) scheme for the trajectory tracking of a flexible manipulator that can enable such a manipulator to follow an expected trajectory by means of iterative learning without requiring an accurate model. ILC [11]-[14] is a feedforward control technique...
that improves the performance of a system performing repetitive tasks by reducing the tracking error from trial to trial. The basic idea behind an iterative algorithm is that a skill can be improved and ultimately perfected through constant practice. The performance of systems that execute repetitive tasks can be improved by learning from previous executions. The concept of ILC was first presented by Arimoto [15] in 1984, who proposed a learning control scheme called the improvement process, and since then, many researchers [16]-[17] have addressed flexible manipulator control in combination with ILC. By using an iterative learning method, Qu [18] obtained the boundary control conditions for a flexible manipulator system. Gunnarsson [19] used an ILC algorithm to estimate the angle of a flexible mechanical arm by measuring the motor angle and the angular acceleration of the arm. There are some other methods that we can learn from [20]-[23].

In this paper, a combination of ILC and open-closed-loop PD control is established. Iterative learning methods reduce the requirements for the system accuracy and can compensate for the inadequacy of a flexible manipulator model. Open-closed-loop PD [24]-[26] controllers can effectively reduce the uncertainty of the structure and parameters and the influence of unknown disturbances. Thus, a combination of the two can not only greatly reduce system error but also improve tracking accuracy over the entire working period. In addition, an angular correction term is introduced for the online adjustment of the gain coefficients of the open-closed-loop PD-ILC algorithm. Compared with traditional learning laws with a fixed learning gain, this approach allows further exploitation of the beneficial information contained in the system outputs, thereby improving the dynamic performance. The proposed algorithm improves the robustness and reduces the vibration of a flexible manipulator system.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section II, a dynamic model of a single-link flexible manipulator system is presented. In Section III, a novel ILC algorithm with an angular correction term is proposed. A convergence analysis is presented in Section IV. In Section V, a comparative experimental study is reported to verify the effectiveness of the proposed algorithm. Finally, conclusions are drawn in Section VI.

II. DYNAMIC MODEL OF A SINGLE-LINK FLEXIBLE MANIPULATOR

As shown in Fig. 1, under a direct-drive DC servomotor [27]-[29], a single-link flexible mechanical arm moves around the centerline of the motor rotor in the horizontal plane. One end is fixed to the motor rotor shaft, while the other end is loaded with a mass block. The fixed frame $XOY$ is an inertial frame, and $X_P O_P$ is a tangent frame, in which the $OX_P$ axis is always tangent to the flexible arm at point $O$. The motion of the flexible arm in the horizontal plane can be described as the superposition of the motion of a rigid body over a large range and the motion of elastic deformation over a small range. The rigid angle of the flexible arm is represented by $\theta(t)$, and the amplitude of the superposed small elastic deformation varies with the position and time for each point along the arm. The elastic deformation at any point $P$ on the arm at time $t$ is expressed as $\omega(x,t)$, where $x$ is the horizontal coordinate of $P$ in the $X_P O_P$ coordinate system.

The unit vector along the $OX$ axis is $i_o$, the unit vector along the $OY$ axis is $j_o$, the unit vector along the $OX_P$ axis is $i_P$, and the unit vector along the $OY_P$ axis is $j_P$; thus, the position vector of any point on the arm can be expressed as

$$OP = x_P i_P + y_P j_P = x_P i_o + y_P j_o \quad (1)$$

The velocity vector at $P$ is

$$v_P = \frac{dx_P}{dt} i_P + \frac{dy_P}{dt} j_P = \frac{dx_P}{dt} i_o + \frac{dy_P}{dt} j_o \quad (2)$$

Under the assumption of no longitudinal deformation of the flexible arm, it may be stated that $\dot{x}_P = 0$, and the absolute speed at $P$ is

$$v_P^2 = \left(\frac{dx_P}{dt} + \omega^2(x,t)\right)^2 + \omega^2(x,t) + 2x_P \dot{\omega}^2(t)$$

$$+ 2x_P \dot{\omega}^2(t) \omega^2(x,t) \quad (3)$$

where $\dot{\theta}$ is the speed of the rigid motion of the flexible arm and $\omega(x,t)$ is the speed of the lateral deformation motion.
We use the Lagrangian equations [30]-[32] to establish a dynamic model of a single-link flexible arm. The total kinetic energy of the single-link flexible manipulator system is \( T \), the kinetic energy of the motor rotor is \( T_1 \), and the kinetic energy of the flexible arm itself is \( T_2 \):

\[
T = T_1 + T_2
\]

\[
T_1 = I_r \dot{\theta}_t^2 / 2
\]

where \( I_r \) is the moment of inertia of the motor rotor with respect to the rotor centerline.

The kinetic energy of the flexible manipulator is

\[
T_2 = \frac{1}{2} \rho A \int_{0}^{x_f} \left[ (x^2 + \omega^2) \dot{\theta}_t^2 + \dot{\omega}^2 + 2x \dot{\theta} \ddot{\theta} \right] dx
\]

The elastic potential energy of the bending deformation is

\[
V = \frac{1}{2} EI \int_{0}^{x_f} \left( \frac{\ddot{x}^2}{\omega^2} \right)^2 dx
\]

Using the small deformation hypothesis \((x^2 \gg \omega^2)\), \(\omega = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_k(x)q_k(t)\) and the orthogonality of the modal functions, the simplified Lagrangian function is expressed as

\[
L = \frac{1}{2} \left( I_r + \frac{\rho A L^2}{3} \right) \dot{\theta}_t^2 + \frac{1}{2} \rho A \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \dot{q}_k(t)^2 + \rho A \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \dot{q}_k(t) \dot{\phi}_k(t)
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{2} \rho A \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ddot{q}_k(t) \ddot{\phi}_k(t)
\]

The work performed by the driving torque \(\tau\) on the flexible arm is

\[
G = \tau \theta(t)
\]

where \(\theta\) is a generalized coordinate and \(q_k(t)\) corresponds to the generalized force:

\[
F_{\theta} = \partial G / \partial \theta = \tau
\]

\[
F_{\dot{q}_k} = \partial G / \partial \dot{q}_k = 0
\]

The Lagrangian equations of the flexible manipulator system are

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_k} = F_{\dot{q}_k}
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}_t} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_t} = F_{\dot{\theta}_t}
\]

According to the above formulas, the dynamic equations for the single-link flexible mechanical arm in component form are

\[
\ddot{q}_k(t) + \omega_k^2(x)q_k(t) + \gamma_k \dot{\theta}_t(t) = 0
\]

\[
I_r \ddot{\theta}_t(t) + \rho S \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k \dot{q}_k(t) = \tau
\]

where \(S\) is the cross-sectional area of the rod, \(\rho\) is the volume density, \(q_k(t)\) represents the elastic mode coordinates, \(\omega_k(x)\) are the modal functions, \(\gamma_k\) are the position vectors of the arm, \(\tau\) is the driving torque acting on the flexible mechanical arm, \(I_r\) is the moment of inertia of the motor rotor with respect to the rotor centerline, \(\dot{\theta}_t(t)\) is the angular acceleration of the rigid body motion, \(t\) is the time variable, and \(k\) is the order of the equation.

The single-link flexible arm is taken as the control object, and the state vector \(x(t)\) is taken to be

\[
x(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \theta(t) \dot{\theta}(t) q_1(t) q_2(t) \ldots q_n(t) \dot{q}_1(t) \dot{q}_2(t) \ldots \end{bmatrix}^T
\]

where \(q_k(t)\) is the elastic mode speed.

The complete state-space expression for the flexible mechanical arm is

\[
\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}(t) \\ y(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ u(t) \end{bmatrix}
\]

where \(A, B, C\) are corresponding matrices, \(x(t)\) is the state vector of the system, \(u(t)\) is the input to the system, and \(y(t)\) is the output of the system (the end position of the flexible manipulator).

### III. DESIGNED ILC ALGORITHM WITH AN ANGULAR CORRECTION TERM

The control algorithm designed in this paper can be expressed as

\[
u_{i+1}(t) = u_i(t) + (1 + \cos \beta_i) \left[ \varphi_i(t) \dot{e}_i(t) + \Psi_i(t) e_i(t) \right] + (1 + \cos \beta_{i+1}) \left[ \varphi_i(t) \hat{e}_{i+1}(t) + \Psi_i(t) \dot{e}_{i+1}(t) \right]
\]

where \(i\) denotes the iteration number; \(\varphi_i(t), \varphi_{i+1}(t), \Psi_i(t)\) and \(\Psi_{i+1}(t)\) are the learning gain matrices; and \(e_i(t) = y_{d}(t) - y_i(t)\). A system block diagram of the iterative learning controller designed based on the simplified model derived in this paper is shown in Fig. 2.

**FIGURE 2. Diagram of the ILC system.**

The aim of ILC is to find the ideal control input \(u_d(t)\) for the system by modifying the control quantity such that the output \(y_d(t)\) exactly tracks the desired output. Since the expected input is unknown, there is no standard reference for whether the system input is good or bad in each iteration; only the output can reveal the quality of the input. Although the general ILC algorithm uses the output
deviations to correct the input, this approach is subject to considerable blindness. Therefore, we also impose a special coefficient on the ILC law to determine an appropriate reward or punishment for the control law in accordance with its effect. When the system output \( y_i(t) \) is close to the expected output \( y_d(t) \), the corresponding ILC correction can be enhanced through this coefficient. When the system output \( y_i(t) \) deviates from the expected output \( y_d(t) \), the ILC correction can be weakened. In this paper, we consider not only the error between the system output and the reference trajectory but also the spatial orientation relationship among the three variables in the output vector space.

\[ \beta = \frac{\cos^{-1}(e_i(t) \cdot (y_{i+1}(t) - y_i(t)))}{\| e_i(t) \| \| y_{i+1}(t) - y_i(t) \|} \]

\[ \beta_{i+1} = \frac{e_{i+1}(t) \cdot (y_{i+2}(t) - y_{i+1}(t))}{\| e_{i+1}(t) \| \| y_{i+2}(t) - y_{i+1}(t) \|} \]

The above expressions serve as a basis for judging the quality of the ILC law based on vector analysis. When \( \cos \beta < \pi/2 \), the correction should be strengthened, which is equivalent to the reward for the ILC law discussed previously. The correction will be continuously enhanced with the decreasing \( \beta \). When \( \beta_i = 0 \), the reward is the largest. When \( \cos \beta_i > \pi/2 \), the modification should be weakened, which is equivalent to the punishment of the ILC law discussed previously. When \( \beta_i = \pi \), the correction is completely eliminated; that is, the penalty reaches its maximum.

**IV. CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS**

The complete state-space expression for the single-link flexible mechanical system is

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_i(t) &= f(x_i, t) + B(t)u_i(t) + \omega(x_i, t) \\
y_i(t) &= C(t)x_i(t) + v_i(t)
\end{align*}
\]

(16)

where \( i \) and \( t \) are the iteration number and the run time, respectively; \( x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the state variable, while \( u_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the control variable; \( y_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the system output; \( \omega_i(t) \) and \( v_i(t) \) are the uncertainty term and interferential term, respectively; and \( f(\cdot), B(\cdot), \omega(t) \) are functions.

**Assumption 1.** Functions \( f \) and \( \omega \) are uniformly Lipschitz with respect to \( x, \Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [1, T] \),

\[
\begin{align*}
&\|f(x_{i+1}, t) - f(x_i, t)\| \leq \kappa_i \|x_{i+1} - x_i\| \\
&\|\omega(x_{i+1}, t) - \omega(x_i, t)\| \leq \kappa_\omega \|x_{i+1} - x_i\|
\end{align*}
\]

(17)

where \( \kappa_i > 0 \) and \( \kappa_\omega > 0 \) are the Lipschitzian constants.

**Assumption 2.** The control input matrix \( C(t) \) is first-order differentiable and continuous, and \( B(t) \) and \( C(t) \) exist.

**Assumption 3.** There exist a \( u_d(t) \) and an \( x_d(t) \) that make the desired trajectory \( y_d(t) \) first-order differentiable and continuous.

**Assumption 4.** The initial value of the system satisfies the following criterion:

\[
\|x_{i+1}(0) - x_i(0)\| \leq b_{i0}, \quad \forall i
\]

(18)

**Theorem** Under the action of the ILC algorithm (14), the convergence conditions for the system are

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_1 &= \max_{i \in [0, T]} \| f_i(1 + \cos \beta_{i+1}) B(t) C(t) \|^{-1} < 1 \\
\rho_2 &= \max_{i \in [0, T]} \| - \omega_i(1 + \cos \beta_i) B(t) C(t) \| < 1
\end{align*}
\]

(19)

Then, the tracking error bound converges to within a small neighborhood of the origin, and we can conclude that \( y_i(t) \rightarrow y_d(t) (i \rightarrow \infty) \).

Let us define the following variables:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta x_i(t) &= x_d(t) - x_i(t) \\
\Delta u_i(t) &= u_d(t) - u_i(t) \\
\Delta f_i(t) &= f_d(t, x_d(t)) - f_i(t, x_i(t))
\end{align*}
\]

(20)
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From (35), we obtain
\[ \| \Delta u_{i+1}(t) \|_2 \leq \tilde{\rho} \| \Delta u_i(t) \|_2 + \xi \]  
where
\[ \tilde{\rho} = \frac{\eta_1 \eta_2 (\lambda - k_f - k_o) + \eta_3 P_B (k_f P_{i2} C_2 + P_{i4} P_{i1} P_{i5})}{\lambda - k_f - k_o - \eta_1 P_B (P_{i2} k_f P_{i2} C_2 + P_{i4} P_{i1} P_{i5})} \]
and
\[ \xi = \frac{\kappa (\lambda - k_f - k_o)}{\lambda - k_f - k_o - \eta_1 P_B (P_{i2} k_f P_{i2} C_2 + P_{i4} P_{i1} P_{i5})} \cdot \]
We set \( \tilde{\rho} < 1 \); then,
\[ 0 < \frac{\eta_1 \eta_2 (\lambda - k_f - k_o) + \eta_3 P_B (k_f P_{i2} C_2 + P_{i4} P_{i1} P_{i5})}{\lambda - k_f - k_o - \eta_1 P_B (P_{i2} k_f P_{i2} C_2 + P_{i4} P_{i1} P_{i5})} < 1 \]
According to the previous assumption \( \lambda > k_f + k_o \), we obtain
\[ \eta_1 \eta_2 < 1 - \frac{\eta_3 P_B (P_{i2} k_f P_{i2} C_2 + P_{i4} P_{i1} P_{i5})}{\lambda - k_f - k_o} + \frac{\eta_3 P_B (k_f P_{i2} C_2 + P_{i4} P_{i1} P_{i5})}{\lambda - k_f - k_o} \]
According to the norm definition given in (28), we obtain
\[ \eta_1 \eta_2 (\lambda - k_f - k_o) + \eta_3 P_B (k_f P_{i2} C_2 + P_{i4} P_{i1} P_{i5}) + \eta_3 P_B (P_{i2} k_f P_{i2} C_2 + P_{i4} P_{i1} P_{i5}) > 0 \]
Because \( \eta_1 \eta_2 < 1 \), we obtain
\[ \lim_{i \to \infty} \| \Delta u_i(t) \|_2 \leq \xi / (1 - \tilde{\rho}) \]
Substituting (40) into (34), we obtain
\[ \lim_{i \to \infty} \| \alpha_i(t) \|_2 \leq \xi / (1 - \tilde{\rho}) \]
From (34), we obtain
\[ \lim_{i \to \infty} \| \alpha_i(t) \|_2 \leq \xi / (1 - \tilde{\rho}) \]

Consequently, we find that if the condition \( \eta_1 \eta_2 < 1 \) holds, then the tracking error bound converges to within a small neighborhood of the origin, and we can conclude that
\[ y_i(t) \to y_d(t) (i \to \infty) \].

V. EXPERIMENT
To verify the feasibility and effectiveness of the ILC algorithm, we conducted an experimental study. The single-link planar flexible arm used in the experiments is shown in Fig. 4. The whole flexible arm is fixed to the base; the arm is composed of a light alloy material, the end of the arm is equipped with a clamping hand with a powerful sensor, and the joint is equipped with an encoder to detect the angular displacement. The desired reference trajectory for the flexible mechanical arm was defined as \( q(t) = [\sin(\pi/3) t] \). The mechanical parameters of the flexible manipulator are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I</th>
<th>MECHANICAL PARAMETERS OF THE FLEXIBLE MANIPULATOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecting rod diameter</td>
<td>0.5 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecting rod length</td>
<td>0.48 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecting rod density</td>
<td>0.45 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moment of inertia of the joint</td>
<td>0.17 kg·m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load mass</td>
<td>0.1 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moment of inertia of the mass</td>
<td>0.021 kg·m²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 4. Single-link planar flexible robotic manipulator.

FIGURE 5. Trajectory movement of the flexible robotic arm with a load.

FIGURE 6. Trajectory tracking of the flexible arm during the process of iterative operation.

FIGURE 7. Maximum absolute tracking error in each iteration.

It is easy to verify that the end point trajectory approached the desired trajectory as the iterative learning process proceeded. Fig. 5 illustrates the trajectory tracking process in the experiment, and it is clear from these images how the iterative learning process recovered from the offset due to
the flexibility of the link, showing that it is possible for the proposed controller to successfully cope with the difficult problem of trajectory tracking. Fig. 6 clearly shows the effectiveness of the open-closed-loop PD-ILC scheme with the angle correction term in terms of the tracking error reduction and resistance to disturbances. Fig. 7 presents the maximum tracking errors from one iteration to the next under ILC. These plots clearly demonstrate that the proposed algorithm can ensure lower tracking errors and faster convergence rates in comparison with the traditional open-closed-loop PD-ILC. These results strongly illustrate the importance of the angular correction term. During the last iteration, the system had an acceptably small tracking error due to the nonminimum phase of the flexible arm.

VI. CONCLUSION
A control algorithm based on open-closed-loop PD-ILC corrected with the angular relationship of the output vectors, which can take full advantage of the error information and achieve self-adjustment based on the output angular relationship, has been developed for a single-link flexible manipulator. Through experiments, we have found that in the presence of disturbances and uncertainties, the algorithm can still achieve accurate trajectory tracking and has a high anti-interference ability. The algorithm has a simple structure and is easy to implement in real systems. In the future, we will apply this algorithm in other control fields.
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