A Simplified Form of Beam Spread Function in Underwater Wireless Optical Communication and its Applications

Prakriti Saxena, Student Member, IEEE and Manav R. Bhatnagar, Senior Member, IEEE

Abstract—Underwater wireless optical communication (UWOC) refers to the transmission of data in unguided water medium through optical carriers. Beam spread function (BSF) characterizes the amount of light irradiance received at the receiver as a function of receiver's distance from main beam axis for a particular link length. The existing form of BSF includes multiple integrals which is burdensome to use for mathematical analysis. Because of this, it gets very difficult to employ the existing form of BSF to derive non-integral expressions for bit error rate (BER), capacity, and outage probability of UWOC systems. Moreover, it is very difficult to find any insight of the UWOC systems based on this integral form of BSF. In this paper, we derive a simplified power series expression of BSF by solving the existing integral form. Closed-form expressions of BSF with some approximations, which simplify the derived power series expression, are also provided as special cases. Important insights regarding the behaviour of BSF are also obtained from the derived simplified forms. Furthermore, closed-form expressions of BER, capacity, and outage probability of UWOC system, taking the effect of scattering, absorption, and misalignment in consideration, are also derived.

Index Terms— Beam spread function, bit error rate, capacity, Log-Normal distribution, outage probability, scattering phase function, underwater optical communication, volume scattering function.

I. INTRODUCTION

Since earth's surface is mainly water, we need technologies that can help with communications inside water. Underwater wireless communication (UWC) systems are very useful for study of the environment inside the ocean [1]. Further, UWC systems are very helpful in vehicle-to-vehicle communication inside water. Many technologies such as radio frequency (RF) communication [2], [3] and underwater acoustic communication (UWAC) [4], [5] are widely being used for wireless communication inside water. Despite strong attenuation, acoustic waves can travel a distance of about 10-90 km inside water [6], [7]; the attenuation gets stronger with frequency [8]. As shown in [9], the bandwidth of the acoustic signals depends on the transmission distance. As the distance increases, the bandwidth gets severely limited, thus, rendering poor data rate. The underwater RF communication provides relatively smoother air/water transition than other forms of communication; and it is more tolerant towards water turbulence and turbidity. However, the RF communication can be used only for short links, as the sea water containing salt acts as a conductive transmission medium; hence, RF waves can propagate up to a few meters at extra low frequency (30-300 Hz) [10], [11]. Transmission bandwidth of optical signal in underwater optical communication (UWOC) is higher as compared to RF communication and UWAC, thus providing much higher data rate. Since optical signals are high frequency signals, they can employ high bandwidth for UWOC [11]. To the best of author's knowledge, currently a data rate of 4.8 Gbits/s over a 5.5 m watertank transmission link can be achieved [11]. A study of spatial and temporal dispersion effects of UWOC links under various modulation techniques, coding schemes, and water conditions are studied in [12]. Consequently, the received optical signal experiences a minuscule delay, which further makes it possible to implement real-time applications such as transmission of video signals inside water. Further, UWOC also provides better security than UWAC and RF communication. Since UWOC utilizes line-of-sight (LOS) to establish communication between transmitter and receiver, eavesdropping of the transmitted signals is difficult. Moreover, RF and UWAC schemes require bulky and costly transceivers, whereas UWOC needs photodiodes and laser diodes as transceivers which are relatively smaller and cheaper. This may further lead to a large scale commercialization of UWOC [11]. Although UWOC has many advantages over UWAC and RF communications, it certainly has few limitations, e.g. light signal inside water suffers from severe scattering and absorption [13], [14]. In order to overcome this problem, the wavelength of the transmitted signal is selected in the blue-green spectrum (which offers the least attenuation in visible range) [15], [16]. However, due to the continuous interaction of photons and water molecules, scattering and absorption can badly attenuate the signal even in blue-green spectrum [15], [16]. Since wireless optical communication needs LOS, even a small misalignment can influence the performance of the system greatly [17]. For deep sea applications where solar energy is negligible (for a depth >600 m) [18], we need strong battery backup inside water to keep the instruments powered.
TABLE I: List of mathematical notation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>φ</th>
<th>Angle between scattered and nonscattered part of light</th>
<th>λ</th>
<th>Wavelength</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_{ab}$</td>
<td>Absorbed power</td>
<td>$P_{sc}$</td>
<td>Scattered power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{tr}$</td>
<td>Power of optical beam which is neither scattered nor absorbed</td>
<td>$P_{in}$</td>
<td>Transmitted power of optical beam from source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{RX}$</td>
<td>Total power received</td>
<td>$A(\lambda)$</td>
<td>Absorptance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B(\lambda)$</td>
<td>Scattering</td>
<td>$T(\lambda)$</td>
<td>Transmittance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a(\lambda)$</td>
<td>Absorption coefficient</td>
<td>$b(\lambda)$</td>
<td>Scattering coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{P}(\varphi)$</td>
<td>Scattering phase function (SPF)</td>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>Asymmetry parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\overline{V}(\varphi)$</td>
<td>Volume scattering function (VSF)</td>
<td>$\zeta_{rec}$</td>
<td>Link length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta$</td>
<td>Distance of receiver from origin</td>
<td>$\Delta$</td>
<td>Diameter of receiver aperture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_0(\zeta_{rec})$</td>
<td>Variance of Gaussian source in free space</td>
<td>$J_0(\cdot)$</td>
<td>Bessel function of the first kind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_2(c_1, c_2; \cdot)$</td>
<td>Hypergeometric function</td>
<td>$(F)_m$</td>
<td>Pochhammer Symbol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{R}$</td>
<td>Responsivity</td>
<td>$Pr(\cdot)$</td>
<td>Probability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

working.

A. Motivation

Scattering is a process in which photon particles deviate from their original path interacting with the suspended particles in water. Whereas, absorption is a process in which photon particles lose their energy after colliding with the suspended particles in water. Both phenomena lead to a reduced optical power, thereby diminishing the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) at a particular link length. It is important to consider the effect of scattering, because for a well-collimated beam the nonscattered light is only captured when the transceivers are perfectly aligned. With the increasing link length, more number of photons interact with the suspended particles present in water; resulting in a severe scattering. When the link length becomes extremely large, the receiver receives only the scattered component [19]. Misalignment loss in UWOC occurs due to movements caused by underwater vehicles, ocean currents, and other turbulent sources. A constant tracking between the transmitter and receiver is required to maintain LOS. Misalignment loss further degrades the SNR of the system. In order to get an uninterrupted communication in UWOC, a communication engineer needs to study the process that governs both scattered and nonscattered light to determine other parameters such as range, pointing, acquisition, and tracking. In free space optical (FSO) communication, the exponential pointing error model is widely employed in literature [20], [21]. However, the same model cannot be used for UWOC.

Beam spread function (BSF) shows the total scattered profile of transmitted optical beam. It shows the amount of light irradiance received as a function of distance of the receiver from the main beam axis at a particular link length. Thus, it gives a combined effect of two independent phenomena in a single equation. It has also been mentioned in literature that the link misalignment is modeled through BSF [22], [23], [25], [26]. In [22], the performance of link misalignment in UWOC is studied and a relation of transmit power, link range, and receiver offset is derived. However, no non-integral form of the expression is given. Link misalignment caused by light source properties such as divergence angle and elevation angle, and its effect on the spatial and temporal spreading of light is discussed in [21]. The results are derived using simulation methods and no non-integral form is provided. The effect of random sea slope [26] with link misalignment on a downlink UWOC system is delineated in [24]. The spatial effect of scattering on the optical signal and its impact on the received irradiance is determined by the BSF and its ramification on pointing, tracking, and link misalignment is studied in [25]. However, the current expression of BSF available in literature [25, Eq. (14)] is in integral form; and it is very tedious to deal with the current form for further mathematical explorations. It would be cumbersome to derive physical insights into the UWOC system by using the integral form of BSF to study the effect of scattering, misalignment loss, and oceanic turbulence in terms of performance metrics such as bit error rate (BER), capacity, and outage probability. Therefore, there is a need for a simplified form of BSF which can be used to predict the system performance more conveniently.

In this paper, we simplify the existing BSF and provide a power series based simplified solution for the misalignment loss in UWOC. The nature of BSF for different parameters is studied using this simplified form. By using the derived form of BSF, we are able to explore some special cases for obtaining closed-form expressions of BSF for few practically useful conditions; that greatly helps in obtaining useful insights into the UWOC system and further adding to the intuitive understanding of such systems. Moreover, we derive an expression of instantaneous SNR and using this, the probability density function (pdf) of the received SNR is also obtained. Furthermore, closed-form expressions of
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Figure 1: Geometry to define inherent optical properties.

BER, average channel capacity, and outage probability for a UWOC system with Log-Normal oceanic turbulence and misalignment loss are derived, which are not available in literature to the best of authors’ knowledge.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. The basics of BSF are given in Section II. The derivation of the simplified closed-form expression for BSF is provided in Section III. In Section IV, we calculate the BER and the average channel capacity of UWOC with fading and pointing error. We further derive the pdf of SNR using the simplified form of BSF and find the outage probability of the considered system. The numerical results are discussed in Section V and some conclusions are drawn in Section VI. The paper also contains three appendices.

A comprehensive list of all the mathematical notations used in this paper is given in Table I.

II. BSF PRELIMINARIES

The optical properties of water are broadly classified into two categories: Inherent Optical Properties (IOP) and Apparent Optical Properties (AOP) [27]–[29]. IOPs depend only on medium and are independent of the geometry of ambient light in the medium. The two significant IOPs are \( a(\lambda) \) and volume scattering function (VSF) [30], [31]. On the other hand, AOPs [27] depend upon both the medium and the direction of the ambient light field. Quite frequently used AOPs are the various reflectances, average cosines, and diffuse attenuation coefficients. Radiative transfer theory provides a connection between the IOPs and the AOPs.

Figure 1 shows a small volume \( \Delta V \) of water with thickness \( \Delta r \). A monochromatic beam of light with power \( P_{in}(\lambda) \) W-nm\(^{-1}\) is incident from one side of the column; \( P_{ab}(\lambda) \) is absorbed by the water column while \( P_{sc}(\lambda) \) gets scattered and \( P_{tr}(\lambda) \) remains unaffected. It can be seen from the figure that, \( P_{in}(\lambda) = P_{ab}(\lambda) + P_{sc}(\lambda) + P_{tr}(\lambda) \). Let \( A(\lambda) = \frac{P_{ab}(\lambda)}{P_{in}(\lambda)} \) be the fraction of incident power absorbed in the given volume. Similarly, \( B(\lambda) = \frac{P_{sc}(\lambda)}{P_{in}(\lambda)} \) is the fraction of incident light power scattered in the given volume and \( T(\lambda) = \frac{P_{tr}(\lambda)}{P_{in}(\lambda)} \) is defined as the fraction of light power which is unscattered and unabsoled by the medium. The IOPs commonly used in UWOC are \( a(\lambda) \) and \( b(\lambda) \) which equal to \( A(\lambda) \) and \( B(\lambda) \), respectively, per unit distance in the water column.

It is common to use beam attenuation coefficient to measure the optical loss in turbid water; this is defined for non-scattered light. Another parameter that indicates the turbidity of water is diffused attenuation constant [27], [28]. It shows how visible light penetrates the water column in blue and green spectrum. It is directly dependent upon the presence of scattering particles in water column. At a longer link length, the receiver may just be receiving only the scattered part of light beam. VSF, i.e., \( \mathcal{V}(\phi) \) tells how the medium scatters light, it is defined as the scattered intensity per unit incident irradiance per unit volume of water at a particular angle \( \phi \) [32], [33]; integrating \( \mathcal{V}(\phi) \) over all directions yields \( b(\lambda) \). The integration is often divided into forward scattering and backward scattering. Normalizing \( \mathcal{V}(\phi) \) by \( b(\lambda) \) gives the scattering phase function (SPF), i.e., \( \mathcal{P}(\phi) \) [34]–[36]. Henyey Greenstein parameter which is represented by \( g \) is the average cosine of the scattering angle over all directions. The asymmetry parameter provides an easy way to measure the shape of the phase function.

The total scattering profile of a collimated beam can be described by the BSF. The geometry of the transmitter (source) and a point receiver inside water for calculation of the BSF [37], is shown in Fig. 2. It can be seen from the figure that the source and the point receiver are \( \xi_{rec} \) m away from each other. If the receiver is at origin, i.e., \( \delta = 0 \), then the source and receiver are perfectly aligned. If the receiver is at some distance \( \delta \) from the origin, then a misalignment between the source and the receiver occurs. So the light intensity, received as a function of \( \delta \) on a plane which is \( \xi_{rec} \) m away from the source, is given by the BSF [38], [39]. As the beam passes through water, the transmitted optical beam will spread due to scattering and its power will reduce due to absorption. Therefore, the analytical formulation of the BSF requires the solution of a complex radiative transfer equation [40], [41]. However, by considering small angle approximation (SAA), [42], [43], researchers have come up...
with an integral form of BSF [22], [23], [25], [44], given by:

$$\text{BSF}(\delta, \zeta_{rec}) = E_0(\delta, \zeta_{rec})e^{-\delta \zeta_{rec}} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty E_0(v, \zeta_{rec}) \times e^{-\delta \zeta_{rec}} \times \left\{ \exp \left[ \int_0^{\zeta_{rec}} b \mathcal{P}(v(\zeta_{rec} - \zeta)) d\zeta \right] - 1 \right\} J_0(v\delta)vdv,$$

(1)

where \(c\) is the attenuation coefficient, \(b\) is the scattering coefficient \((v, \zeta_{rec})\) represents the spatial frequency domain and \((\delta, \zeta_{rec})\) represents the spatial coordinate system; \(\mathcal{P}(v(\zeta_{rec} - \zeta))\) is the Hankel Transform of the SPF; \(E_0(v, \delta)\) is the laser source Gaussian irradiance distribution in free space in frequency domain at \(\zeta_{rec}\) with \(P_{in}(\lambda)\) defined as:

$$E_0(v, \zeta_{rec}) \triangleq P_{in}(\lambda)\exp \left[ - \frac{V_0(\zeta_{rec})v^2}{2} \right].$$

(2)

Equation (2) is given in spatial frequency domain, and therefore, the 2-D Fourier transform is required to convert it to the spatial coordinate system. However, the Hankel transform is generally used in place of 2-D Fourier transform in order to simplify the calculations [45]. The Hankel Transform of (2) is given by:

$$E_0(\delta, \zeta_{rec}) = \frac{P_{in}(\lambda)}{2\pi V_0(\zeta_{rec})} \exp \left[ - \frac{\delta^2}{2V_0(\zeta_{rec})} \right].$$

(3)

A. Motivation for A Simplified Form of BSF

The aforementioned equation of BSF given by (1) is in integral form which includes an infinite integral, a finite integral, and Hankel transform of scattering phase function which involves another infinite integral. The BSF is useful for studying the effect of scattering and misalignment loss in UWOC system. Note that BSF depends only upon the IOPs and not on misalignment loss; however, two can be convolved in one equation that provides a single attenuation term at the receiver. Thus, a simpler form is necessary in order to understand the effect of scattering and misalignment loss through BSF function over the performance of UWOC systems.

Due to the existing integral form of BSF as shown in (1), it becomes nearly impossible to consider its effect in the performance analysis of the system. Because of this, BER, capacity, and outage probability analysis of UWOC under the influence of scattering and misalignment errors have not been thoroughly performed, to the best of our knowledge. It is necessary to obtain the pdf under the combined effect of misalignment loss and oceanic turbulence to study the effect of scattering in UWOC. Due to the existing complicated integral form of the BSF, it is difficult to get a simple form of the combined pdf of oceanic turbulence and BSF without any integrals. In [24], the BER performance under the combined influence of surface sea slope and misalignment loss is shown; however, the BER expression contains multiple infinite integrals due to the complex integral form of BSE. Therefore, it is difficult to compute analytical BER of the system. Further, deriving useful insights of the system is not so straightforward using the integral expression of BSF.

This has motivated us to obtain a simplified solution of the BSF. Using this derived expression, some special cases help us to strengthen the intuitive understanding of UWOC systems which cannot be easily obtained using the integral form of BSF. Moreover, it will be shown in (35) that the instantaneous SNR (which takes misalignment loss into account) is a function of BSF. Hence, with the existing integral form of BSF, it gets really difficult to compute the pdf of SNR. Hence, the outage probability calculation also gets involved. Further, having a simplified form of BSF helps in getting a simpler form of BER and capacity, which can be further used to predict the system performance such as SNR loss and link design.

III. COMPUTATION OF BSF

In this section we will compute a simplified form of existing BSF equation given in (1).

In order to study the effect of scattering, the Henyey-Greenstein (HG) function is used which varies from back scattering to forward scattering by the variation of \(g\), whose value varies as \(-1 < g < 1\). When \(g < 0\), back scattering dominates; whereas, for \(g > 0\), forward scattering dominates. In this work, we use \(g = 0.924\) [34], [46], which is applicable for all the water types. SPF is modeled by HG function [11] in UWOC for a given wavelength and represented as:

$$\mathcal{P}(\phi) = \frac{1 - g^2}{4\pi(1 + g^2 - 2g\cos \phi^{3/2}).}$$

(4)

First, we need to find the Hankel transform of SPF at a given wavelength \(\lambda\):

$$\mathcal{P}(\nu) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{(1 - g^2) J_0(\nu \phi) \phi}{4\pi(1 + g^2 - 2g\cos \phi^{3/2})} d\phi.$$ (5)

It should be noted that the integration in (5) has a complex form. In order to get a closed-form, we need to use binomial approximation [47]; after some algebra, (5) can be written as:

$$\mathcal{P}(\nu) = \mathcal{K} \int_0^\infty J_0(\nu \phi) \phi(1 + \frac{3g}{1 + g^2}\cos \phi) d\phi,$$

(6)

where \(\mathcal{K} = (1 - g^2)/(8\pi(1 + g^2)^{3/2})\). Let us rewrite (6) as:

$$\mathcal{P}(\nu) = I_1 + I_2,$$

(7)

where

$$I_1 = \mathcal{K} \int_0^\infty J_0(\nu \phi) \phi d\phi,$$

$$I_2 = \frac{3g\mathcal{K}}{1 + g^2} \int_0^\pi \phi \cos \phi J_0(\nu \phi) d\phi.$$ (8a)

In order to solve the two integrations in (8), the series form of \(J_0(\cdot)\) [48] is used; it will be shown in Section V that the infinite series converges easily for a small number of summation terms. Though the series form of \(J_0(\cdot)\) renders the analytical performance result in the power series; however, its fast convergence simplifies the integral to a large extent. By using the series form of \(J_0(\nu \phi)\), we can rewrite (8a) as
The integration in (17a) can be evaluated as shown in Appendix C:
\[
\int_0^{\xi_{rec}} \frac{I_1(\pi \nu (\xi_{rec} - \xi))}{\nu (\xi_{rec} - \xi)} d\xi = \frac{\pi \xi_{rec}}{2} I_2 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2, -\nu^2 \xi_{rec}^2 \right). \tag{18}
\]
Using (18), \(I_3\) is simplified as:
\[
I_3 = \frac{\mathcal{K} \nu^2 \xi_{rec}}{2} I_2 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2, -\nu^2 \xi_{rec}^2 \right). \tag{19}
\]
Further, \(I_4\) can be computed easily:
\[
I_4 = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{3b \mathcal{K} g(-1)^m \nu^{2m+2}}{(1 + g^2)m! \Gamma(m+1)(2m+2)} \left( \frac{\nu^2}{2} \right)^{2m+1} \frac{\xi_{rec}^{2m+1}}{\Gamma(m+1)(2m+1)(2m+2)} I_2 \left( 1 + m; \frac{1}{2}, 2 + m; -\frac{\pi^2}{4} \right). \tag{20}
\]
By using (15), and (21), (1) is expressed as:
\[
BSF(\delta, \xi_{rec}) = E_0(\delta, \xi_{rec}) \exp(-c \xi_{rec}) + \int_0^\infty E_0(v, \xi_{rec}) \exp(-c \xi_{rec}) \exp(I - 1) J_0(\delta v) dv. \tag{22}
\]
**Remark 2.** The integration in (22) can be approximated using Gauss-Laguerre Quadrature [49], [50] as:
\[
\int_0^\infty \exp(-x) f(x) dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i f(x_i), \tag{23}
\]
where \(x_i\) is the \(i\)th root of Laguerre polynomial \(L_n(x)\) [50] of order \(n\) and the weight \(w_i\) is given by:
\[
w_i = \frac{x_i}{(n+1)^2 (L_{n+1}(x_i))^2}. \tag{24}
\]
**Remark 1.** Equation (15) provides a very accurate and simplified form for the Hankel Transform of SPF. Although (15) is in the form of infinite series but it converges quickly for finitely small values of \(m\) which will be evident from Table III. We have shown the proof of series convergence in Appendix B. Now, \(\mathcal{P}(v(\xi_{rec} - \zeta))\) can be obtained by substituting \(v(\xi_{rec} - \zeta)\) in place of \(v\) in (15). Further, to get a simplified form of BSF given by (1), we need to solve the following integration:
\[
I' = \int_0^{\xi_{rec}} b \mathcal{P}(v(\xi_{rec} - \zeta)) d\zeta = I_3 + I_4. \tag{16}
\]
where
\[
\begin{align}
I_3 &= \mathcal{K} b \pi \int_0^{\xi_{rec}} \frac{I_1(\pi \nu (\xi_{rec} - \zeta))}{v(\xi_{rec} - \zeta)} d\zeta, \tag{17a} \\
I_4 &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{3b \mathcal{K} g(-1)^m \nu^{2m+2}}{(1 + g^2)m! \Gamma(m+1)(2m+2)} I_2 \left( 1 + m; 0.5, 2 + m; -\frac{\pi^2}{4} \right) \int_0^{\xi_{rec}} \left( \frac{v(\xi_{rec} - \zeta)}{2} \right)^{2m} d\zeta. \tag{17b}
\end{align}
\]
BSF\(\delta, \xi_{rec} \) = \( \frac{P_n(s)}{2\pi V_b(V_{rec})} \exp \left( -\frac{\delta^2}{2V_b(V_{rec})} \right) \exp(-c\xi_{rec}) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_i e^{x_i} P_{in}(\lambda) \exp \left( -\frac{V_b(V_{rec})x_i^2}{2} \right) \exp(-c\xi_{rec}) \{ \exp \left( -\frac{X b n^2 \xi_{rec}}{4} \right) \} \times F_2 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; -\frac{x_i^2}{4} \right) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{3h_0 x_l g_{s1}^2}{(1+g_1^2)(m+1)(2m+2)} \frac{2m+1}{2m} F_2 \left( 1+\frac{m}{2}; 1+\frac{m}{2}; m+1; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4} \right) - 1 \} J_0 (x_i \delta)

(25)

BSF\(\delta, \xi_{rec} \) = \( P_n + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i e^{x_i} P_{in}(\lambda) \exp \left( -\frac{V_b(V_{rec})x_i^2}{2} \right) \exp(-c\xi_{rec}) \{ \exp \left( -\frac{X b n^2 \xi_{rec}}{4} \right) \} \times F_2 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; -\frac{x_i^2}{4} \right) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{3h_0 x_l g_{s1}^2}{(1+g_1^2)(m+1)(2m+2)} \frac{2m+1}{2m} F_2 \left( 1+\frac{m}{2}; 1+\frac{m}{2}; m+1; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4} \right) - 1 \} J_0 (x_i \delta).

(26)

BSF\(\delta, \xi_{rec} \) = \( P_n + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i e^{x_i} P_{in}(\lambda) \exp \left( -\frac{V_b(V_{rec})x_i^2}{2} \right) \exp(-c\xi_{rec}) \{ \exp \left( -\frac{X b n^2 \xi_{rec}}{4} \right) \} \times F_2 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; -\frac{x_i^2}{4} \right) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{3h_0 x_l g_{s1}^2}{(1+g_1^2)(m+1)(2m+2)} \frac{2m+1}{2m} F_2 \left( 1+\frac{m}{2}; 1+\frac{m}{2}; m+1; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4} \right) - 0.6079 \frac{h_b x_l g_{s1} \xi_{rec}}{(1+g_1^2)} - 1 \} J_0 (x_i \delta).

(27)

BSF\(\delta, \xi_{rec} \) = \( P_n + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i e^{x_i} P_{in}(\lambda) \exp \left( -\frac{V_b(V_{rec})x_i^2}{2} \right) \exp(-c\xi_{rec}) \{ \exp \left( -\frac{X b n^2 \xi_{rec}}{4} \right) \} \times F_2 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; -\frac{x_i^2}{4} \right) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{3h_0 x_l g_{s1}^2}{(1+g_1^2)(m+1)(2m+2)} \frac{2m+1}{2m} F_2 \left( 1+\frac{m}{2}; 1+\frac{m}{2}; m+1; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4} \right) - 0.6079 \frac{h_b x_l g_{s1} \xi_{rec}}{(1+g_1^2)} - 1 \} \sqrt{2/(\pi x_i \delta)} \cos(x_i \delta - \pi/4)). \) This approximation works well for all values of \(\xi_{rec}\) and \(\delta > 2m).\)

5) Small \(\xi_{rec}\) and small \(\delta\) (e.g. \(\xi_{rec} \leq 2 m\) and \(\delta \leq 2 m\)) : When both \(\xi_{rec}\) and \(\delta\) are small, we can substitute \(m = 0\) in the infinite series and \(J_0 \rightarrow 1\) in (25). Thus, we can further simplify the BSF and get a closed-form expression given in (28) at the top of this page.

6) Small \(\xi_{rec}\) and large \(\delta\) (e.g. \(\xi_{rec} \leq 2 m\) and \(\delta \gg 2 m\)) : For this case, as mentioned for the aforementioned cases, when \(\delta\) is large and \(\xi_{rec}\) is small, \(P_n \rightarrow 0\) and thus \(P_n\) can be neglected. Further as \(\delta\) is large, as mentioned in case 3, \(J_0 (x_i \delta) \rightarrow 1\). Also, since \(\xi_{rec}\) is small, \(m = 0\) in the infinite series of (25). Therefore, the BSF expression in (25) is further simplified and given in (29) at the top of next page.

7) Large \(\xi_{rec}\) and small \(\delta\) (e.g. \(\xi_{rec} \gg 2 m\) and \(\delta \leq 2 m\)) : For large \(\xi_{rec}\), \(P_n \rightarrow 0\), and for small \(\delta\), \(J_0 (x_i \delta) \rightarrow 1\). Thus, for this case BSF expression in (25) reduces to the expression given in (30) at the top of the next page.

8) Large \(\xi_{rec}\) and large \(\delta\) (e.g. \(\xi_{rec} \gg 2 m\) and \(\delta \gg 2 m\)) : For this case also \(P_n \rightarrow 0\) and \(J_0 (x_i \delta) \sim \sqrt{2/(\pi x_i \delta)} \cos(x_i \delta - \pi/4)). Therefore, BSF can be simplified as (31) which is given at the top of the next page.

The above derived approximations results in a very accurate and simple forms of BSF for various conditions on \(\xi_{rec}\) and \(\delta\). We have given the approximations for \(\xi_{rec} \leq 2 m\), \(\xi_{rec} \gg 2 m\), \(\delta \leq 2 m\), and \(\delta \gg 2 m\) The expressions of BSF received after using the approximations are thoroughly verified by simulations in Section V. The closed-form expressions are very simple and can be computed easily using simple packages such as any version of MATLAB and MATHEMATICA. These expressions can be easily used to derive very accurate and closed-form performance metrics of UWOC system which are helpful in link design techniques.
This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2929738, IEEE Access

These rapid fluctuations leads to swift changes in the performance gets also affected by turbulence. Turbulence in UWOC

A. Turbulence in UWOC

Apart from scattering and absorption, UWOC performance gets also affected by turbulence. Turbulence in UWOC mostly arises due to rapid fluctuations in water. These rapid fluctuations leads to swift changes in the refractive index of water. It mostly occurs due to ocean currents which cause a sudden variation in temperature and pressure. Due to this sudden variation in temperature and pressure a change in refractive index occurs which leads to the oceanic turbulence. Contemplating the similarities between the atmospheric optical turbulence and under-water optical turbulence, Log-Normal turbulence model is famously used in literature [53], [54]:

\[ f_h(h) = \frac{1}{h \sqrt{2\pi \sigma_x^2}} \exp\left[\frac{-(\ln h - 2\mu_x)^2}{2\sigma_x^2}\right]. \] (32)

where \( h \) is the weak oceanic turbulence coefficient and \( \mu_x \) and \( \sigma_x^2 \) are the mean and variance, respectively, of Gaussian distributed log amplitude factor \( x = \ln h \). In order to make sure that the fading does not amplify and attenuate, we have normalised the the fading amplitude such that \( E[h] = 1 \) which results in \( \mu_x = -\sigma_x^2 \) [55].

B. Calculation of SNR

We consider an intensity modulated/maximum likelihood (IM/ML) detection optical link with On-Off Shift keying (OOK) modulation scheme in UWOC. The optical power received at receiver in a UWOC system [24], [56] is given as follows:

\[ P_{RX} = \frac{\pi \Delta^2}{4} \text{BSF}(\delta, \xi_{rec}). \] (33)

Here, we have assumed the channel as homogenous downlink through the depth which means \( b \) and \( c \) are not a function of \( \xi_{rec} \). For the simplicity of analysis, we have considered flat fading which means that the coherence bandwidth of the channel is larger than the bandwidth of the signal. The received signal at receiver is given by:

\[ y = \sqrt{P_{RX}} \Re hs + n, \] (34)

where, \( \Re \) is the responsivity. The transmitted bit is represented by \( s \); \( s = 1 \) when signal is present and \( s = 0 \) when signal is absent. The overall effect of background noise, thermal noise, and dark current in the photodetector which is modelled as additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) is represented by \( n \), with \( \sigma_n^2 \) variance. By substituting (33) in (34), the overall SNR of the system is then calculated as:

\[ \gamma = \frac{\pi \Delta^2 \Re^2 h^2 \text{BSF}(\delta, \xi_{rec})}{4\sigma_n^2}. \] (35)

The pdf of SNR can be derived using transformation of random variable [57]. From (35) the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of instantaneous SNR is given by:

\[ F_T(\gamma) = \text{Pr}\left[\frac{\pi \Delta^2 \Re^2 h^2 \text{BSF}(\delta, \xi_{rec})}{4\sigma_n^2} < \gamma\right] = \text{Pr}\left[h < \sqrt{\frac{4\sigma_n^2 \gamma}{\pi \Delta^2 \Re^2 \text{BSF}(\delta, \xi_{rec})}}\right]. \] (36)

Further, we differentiate (36) to get the pdf of SNR as follows:

\[ f_T(\gamma) = \frac{d}{d\gamma} F_T(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{4\sigma_n^2 \gamma}{\pi \Delta^2 \Re^2 \text{BSF}(\delta, \xi_{rec})}}} \left(\frac{4\sigma_n^2 \gamma}{\pi \Delta^2 \Re^2 \text{BSF}(\delta, \xi_{rec})}\right) \left(\frac{4\sigma_n^2 \gamma}{\pi \Delta^2 \Re^2 \text{BSF}(\delta, \xi_{rec})}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \] (37)

The pdf of SNR is evaluated using (32) and (37) as follows:

\[ f_T(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\gamma \sqrt{8\pi \sigma_x^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma_x^2} \ln \gamma - 2\mu_x + \ln \left(\frac{4\sigma_n^2 \gamma}{\pi \Delta^2 \Re^2 \text{BSF}(\delta, \xi_{rec})}\right)^2\right). \] (38)

C. BER Calculation

The instantaneous BER of the considered system with misalignment loss [24] is given by:

\[ P_e = \frac{1}{2} \text{erfc}\left(\frac{\Re P_{RX} h}{2 \sqrt{2\sigma_n}}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \text{erfc}\left(\frac{\sqrt{T}}{2 \sqrt{2}}\right), \] (39)

where

\[ \text{erfc}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_x^\infty \exp(-t^2) dt \]
For the case when the turbulence in water is considered along with the misalignment loss in water, the BER of the considered UWOC system becomes:

$$P_e = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \text{erfc}(\frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{2\sqrt{2}}) f_\gamma(\gamma) d\gamma.$$  

(40)

Using (23) and (40), the BER of the considered UWOC system with oceanic turbulence and misalignment loss will be:

$$P_e = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j e^{x_j} \text{erfc}(\frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{2\sqrt{2}}) \frac{1}{x_j} \sqrt{8\pi \sigma_x^2} \exp\left(-\frac{(\ln x_j - 2\mu_x + \ln\left(\frac{4\sigma_f^2}{\pi \lambda^2 BSF(\delta, \zeta_{\text{rec}})}\right))^2}{8\sigma_x^2}\right).$$  

(41)

D. Computation of Capacity

The average channel capacity under the combined effect of turbulence and misalignment loss is defined using [58, Eq. (13)]:

$$C = \int_{0}^{\infty} \log_2(1 + \gamma) f_\gamma(\gamma) d\gamma.$$  

(42)

Using (23) and (42), the average channel capacity of the considered system is given by:

$$C = \sum_{t=1}^{n} w_t e^{x_t} \log_2(1 + \gamma) \frac{1}{x_t} \sqrt{8\pi \sigma_x^2} \exp\left(-\frac{(\ln x_t - 2\mu_x + \ln\left(\frac{4\sigma_f^2}{\pi \lambda^2 BSF(\delta, \zeta_{\text{rec}})}\right))^2}{8\sigma_x^2}\right).$$  

(43)

E. Outage Probability Calculation

Equation (35) can be rewritten as:

$$\gamma = \frac{\pi \bar{\delta}^2 \lambda^2}{4} BSF(\delta, \zeta_{\text{rec}}),$$  

(44)

where, from (25) taking $P_{\text{in}}(\lambda)$ constant, the equation of BSF can be rewritten as $BSF(\delta, \zeta_{\text{rec}}) = P_{\text{in}}(\lambda) BSF(\delta, \zeta_{\text{rec}})$ and $\bar{\gamma} = P_{\text{in}}(\lambda) / \sigma_x^2$ is the average SNR. Since we have derived a simplified form of BSF, we can find the pdf of SNR from (44) by using transformation of random variables [57] as:

$$f_\gamma(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\gamma \sqrt{8\pi \sigma_x^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\ln \gamma - 2\mu_x + \ln\left(\frac{4\sigma_f^2}{\pi \lambda^2 BSF(\delta, \zeta_{\text{rec}})}\right))^2}{8\sigma_x^2}\right).$$  

(45)

The outage probability of a system is given by [59].

$$P_{\text{out}} = F(\gamma_{th}) = \int_{0}^{\gamma_{th}} f(\gamma) d\gamma.$$  

(46)

Substituting the pdf of $\gamma$ in (46) and simplifying the integration, (46) can be written as:

$$P_{\text{out}} = \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma_{th}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt.$$  

Further, substituting

$$\ln \gamma - 4\mu_x + \ln(4/\pi \bar{\delta}^2 \lambda^2 BSF(\delta, \zeta_{\text{rec}}))/2\sigma_x = -t,$$

we can rewrite (47):

$$P_{\text{out}} = \int_{-\gamma_{th}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt,$$

(48)

where

$$G = \ln \gamma_{th} + 2\mu_x + \ln\left(\frac{4}{\pi \bar{\delta}^2 \lambda^2 BSF(\delta, \zeta_{\text{rec}})}\right).$$  

(49)

The outage probability of the considered UWOC system with combined effects of oceanic turbulence and misalignment loss is given from (48):

$$P_{\text{out}} = Q\left(\frac{2\mu_x + \ln\left(\frac{4}{\pi \bar{\delta}^2 \lambda^2 BSF(\delta, \zeta_{\text{rec}})}\right) - \ln \gamma_{th}}{4\sigma_x}\right),$$  

(50)

where $\gamma_{th}$ is some threshold SNR and

$$Q(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} du.$$  

V. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section, we will discuss the numerical results based on the expressions obtained in the previous sections. In this paper, we have considered $P_{\text{in}}(\lambda) = 3W$ and $\bar{\delta} = 1$. Values of $a, b$ and $c$ for different water types is shown in Table II.

The variation of BSF with respect to (w.r.t.) different receiver position from origin is compared in Fig. 3. The integral form of BSF is plotted using (1) and the derived simplified form of BSF is plotted using (25) for different water types as mentioned in Table II. It can be seen that the derived closed-form of BSF matches very closely with the existing integral form of BSF. It can be seen from the figure that as the quality of water degrades, the detected irradiance for a particular value of $\delta$ decreases. For example, at $\delta = 20$ cm, the detected irradiance is $-3.54$ dB, $-3.94$ dB, $-4.618$ dB, and $-12.68$ dB for pure sea water, clear ocean water, coastal water, and turbid water, respectively. In pure sea water, the effect of absorption dominates the effect of scattering, which further results in low beam divergence.

### Table II: Values of Absorption, Scattering and Attenuation Constant For Different Water Types Taken At Blue/Green Wavelengths [25]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Type</th>
<th>$a(m^{-1})$</th>
<th>$b(m^{-1})$</th>
<th>$c(m^{-1})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pure sea water</td>
<td>0.0405</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean ocean</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal ocean</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>0.298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbid harbor</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>1.824</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License. For more information, see https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.
Thus, as the turbidity of water increases; the amount of dissolved and suspended particles in water increases which results in more amount of photons getting scattered, rendering in the reduced received irradiance at the receiver. Detected irradiance at the receiver which is given by BSF w.r.t. the link length $\zeta_{\text{rec}}$ is shown in Fig. 4 for various water types mentioned in Table II. The BSF curves are obtained from (25). It is observed from the figure that, the attenuation of incident beam increases with link length. For pure sea water, scattering coefficient is negligible because of which the beam divergence is less and thus we are able to receive light even at 90 m with a sufficient intensity. However, as the concentration of dissolved particles such as phytoplankton, detritus, and minerals increases, the value of scattering coefficient grows. Apart from being absorbed by the dissolved particles present in water, as the link length increases, more number of photons get deviated from their path and the receiver just receives the scattered part of the incident beam. This results in reduced signal levels at the receiver and can further limit the maximum data rate. Moreover, it is evident from the figure that, turbid harbour water attenuates the beam more rapidly than the other considered water types. A huge difference in the slopes of the BSF for different water types is also seen in the figure. This is because upto a certain link length the nonscattered part of light dominates the scattered part of light; but as the distance increases, the scattered part of light dominates and at a very large distance, only the scattered part of light reaches the receiver. The amount of nonscattered light reaching the receiver totally depends on the quality of water. More amount of impurities in water will lead to more scattering and less or negligible amount of nonscattered light reaches the receiver.

The verification of approximation provided in is shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 by plotting BSF w.r.t. $\delta$ for various values of $\zeta_{\text{rec}}$. The water type considered is turbid harbour water. The validation of high asymptotic approximation (29) and (31) is shown in Fig. 5. It can be seen from the figure that the derived approximate form of BSF matches very closely to the integral form of BSE. As mentioned in Section III,
for a high $\delta$ or $\zeta_{rec}$ or both, BSF becomes proportional to $J_0(\delta_1\delta)$. Hence, for a given $\zeta_{rec}$, beyond a particular value of $\delta$ say $\delta_s$, the BSF starts fluctuating. If $\delta$ increases further beyond $\delta_s$ for a given $\zeta_{rec}$, the misalignment loss would be so severe that the data received at the receiver will be heavily corrupted due to fluctuations in received irradiance. This is also evident from the fluctuations seen in Fig. 5. For instance, consider the case of $\zeta_{rec} = 2$ m; in this case, $\zeta_{rec}$ is small but $\delta$ varies from 0 to 5 m. It can be seen from the figure that the BSF follows a waterfall curve till $\delta_s = 3.5$ m beyond which it starts to fluctuate. The dip observed in the figure marks the beginning of the fluctuation. If we further increase the range of $\delta$ beyond 5 m, this fluctuation will continue with continuously decreasing amplitude. For $\zeta_{rec} = 4$ m and $\zeta_{rec} = 6$ m, the high asymptote approximation curves are plotted using (31) and the value of $\delta_s$ is around 3.2 m and 3 m, respectively, as can be seen from the figure. It can also be seen from the figure that the high asymptote approximation holds good for the values of $\delta \leq 2$ m.

The low asymptotic approximation is verified in Fig. 6 by plotting the existing integral form of BSF given by (1) and the closed-form expression of BSF derived in (26). It is visible from the figure that the derived closed-form matches perfectly with the existing integral form of BSF for small values of $\zeta_{rec}$ and $\delta$. However, as the value of $\zeta_{rec}$ and $\delta$ increases beyond 2 m, the low asymptote approximation does not hold good.

Remark 4. It should be noted that high asymptote approximate form is valid only for values of $\delta \geq 0.5$ m whereas, the low asymptote approximation is valid for $0 \leq \delta \leq 2$ m. This can be easily verified.

The effect of turbidity of water on the SNR of the considered UWOC for different water types is shown in Fig. 7. The computational curves are obtained using (44). It is noted from (44) that SNR is directly proportional to BSF; thus SNR behaves in a similar manner as BSF for high and
low values of $\delta$ and $\zeta_{\text{rec}}$. It can be seen from the figure that at high value of $\delta$ and $\zeta_{\text{rec}}, \text{SNR}$ starts fluctuating. This is because at high values of $\delta$ and $\zeta_{\text{rec}}, \text{SNR}$ becomes directly proportional to $J_0(x, \delta)$. This insight can be easily proven from the derived approximate forms of BSF in Section III. We further observe from the figure that as the turbidity of water increases, the usable SNR area reduces. For instance, in Fig. 7(a) for pure water, SNR is maximum when $\zeta_{\text{rec}} = 1$ m and $\delta = 0$ m and it decreases uniformly till $\zeta_{\text{rec}} = 5$ m and $\delta = 6$ m. However, if we increase $\zeta_{\text{rec}}$ beyond 5 m and $\delta$ beyond 6 m, SNR starts fluctuating rapidly. Similarly, for clear ocean water, decay in SNR is tolerable till $\zeta_{\text{rec}} = 5$ m and $\delta = 4$ m; for coastal ocean water, the tolerable decay in SNR is upto $\zeta_{\text{rec}} = 5$ m and $\delta = 2$ m; for turbid harbour water, tolerable decay in SNR is upto $\zeta_{\text{rec}} = 3$ m and $\delta = 1$ m. It should be noted that even though scattering helps in reducing the misalignment loss, as the water type degrades, the tolerable range of misalignment loss decreases. The exact tolerable range of $\zeta_{\text{rec}}$ and $\delta$ can be computed using the derived simplified form of BSF given in Eq. (25).

The BER performance of the considered UWOC system with $\zeta_{\text{rec}} = 1, \zeta = 1$ m and $\delta = 50$ cm under the combined effect of turbulence and misalignment loss is depicted in Fig. 8. The BER curves are plotted using (41). The turbulence model is Log-Normal with $\sigma_x = 0.1$. As can be seen from the figure that the scattering and absorption coefficients greatly affect the error performance of the system. Even though the wavelength considered is in blue green region, the impurities in water affect the system BER to a huge extent. As the values of $a$ and $b$ increases with the intensifying concentration of dissolved and suspended particles in water, more and more photons gets deviated from their straight path. Thus, scattered light component overshadows the effect of nonscattered light component which further degrades the system performance. It can be seen from the figure that for turbid water, the BER performance is worst as compared to other water types. Turbid water suffers from power penalty$^2$ of 9.8 dBm, 9.3 dBm, and 9 dBm as compared to other water types. This information is useful in link designing techniques to predict the performance of the link at the initial level. For instance, turbid water will need atleast 9 dBm more power than other water types to achieve a target BER. This insight can be obtained by observing the analytical BER expression given by (41).

The effect of absorption and scattering on the average channel capacity of the considered UWOC system is shown in Fig. 9; the curves are obtained using (43). The link length of the system is 1 m with the receiver positioned at 50 cm from the origin and $\sigma_x = 0.1$. This figure shows the rate at which information can be transmitted reliably for the considered UWOC channel for a given link length and receiver offset distance. As can be seen from the figure that as the turbidity of water increases the average channel capacity of the system degrades. For instance, for a transmit power of 72 dBm, with coastal water, the average channel capacity of the system is 1.45 bits but for turbid water it drastically decays to 0.75 bits.

The outage probability as a function of average SNR for different water types is plotted in Fig. 10 for $\gamma_{\text{th}} = 2$, $\zeta_{\text{rec}} = 1$ m, and $\delta = 50$ cm. The computational curves are retrieved using (50). It is evident from the figure that the outage performance becomes better as the value of $a, b$ and $c$ decreases, i.e., the outage performance is the worst for the case of turbid water ($c = 2.19$ m$^{-1}$) and is the best for pure sea water ($c = 0.043$ m$^{-1}$). For instance, the outage probability for turbid water is 0.1 at 60 dB SNR while it is 0.1 at around 50 dB SNR for pure sea water. Thus, there is approximately 10 dB SNR improvement as the quality of

![Fig. 8: Comparison of analytical BER for different water types.](image)

![Fig. 9: Comparison of analytical average channel capacity for different water types.](image)
The outage performance as a function of $\gamma_{th}$ for turbid water for $\zeta_{rec} = 1$ m and $\delta = 0.5$ m is shown in Fig. 11. It is perceptible from the figure that as the value of $\gamma_{th}$ increases, the outage performance decreases. Also, it can be seen from the figure that the system needs a minimum SNR of 40 dB to overcome the outage and become useful.

The convergence of the infinite series in (25) which is represented as $S(\nu, \zeta_{rec})$ in (58) is shown in Fig. 12. Let the upper limit of the series be represented as $m_u$ which shows the number of terms in the series required for convergence. For $\zeta_{rec} = 1$ m, the series converges at $m_u = 3$ and as the value of $\zeta_{rec}$ increases, the value of $m_u$ at which the series converges also increases. Moreover, since the value $S(\nu, \zeta_{rec})$ are very small, these values are scaled by $10^6$. We have given the values of $m_u$ for different value $\zeta_{rec}$ in Table III.

The power series based simplified form of BSF, which is a measure of misalignment loss in UWOC, has been derived. Few approximations have been provided which simplify the series based expression. Using the approximations, a closed-form of BSF has been derived for a specific range of link length and receiver offset distance from the beam center. Some useful insights have been provided on the behaviour of BSF for different link length and receiver offset distance condition. The proposed model has been validated through numerical results. The approximations provided have been also verified numerically. It has been confirmed via careful observations of IOPs, that the directionality of the beam is lost as the misalignment parameters increases. Using Log-Normal fading characteristics, the closed-form expressions of average BER and channel capacity have been derived and plotted for different water conditions w.r.t. the transmitted power. We have also derived the pdf of the instantaneous SNR, and using this we have obtained a closed-form expression of outage probability. Using this expression of outage probability, the results have been plotted for different water types and for different values of threshold SNR. The effect of scattering and absorption on the system performance has been studied. It has been observed through analysis that as the concentration of dissolved and suspended particles increases, the scattering of beam escalates.

**VI. CONCLUSIONS**

A power series based simplified form of BSF, which is a measure of misalignment loss in UWOC, has been derived. Few approximations have been provided which simplify the series based expression. Using the approximations, a closed-form of BSF has been derived for a specific range of link length and receiver offset distance from the beam center. Some useful insights have been provided on the behaviour of BSF for different link length and receiver offset distance condition. The proposed model has been validated through numerical results. The approximations provided have been also verified numerically. It has been confirmed via careful observations of IOPs, that the directionality of the beam is lost as the misalignment parameters increases. Using Log-Normal fading characteristics, the closed-form expressions of average BER and channel capacity have been derived and plotted for different water conditions w.r.t. the transmitted power. We have also derived the pdf of the instantaneous SNR, and using this we have obtained a closed-form expression of outage probability. Using this expression of outage probability, the results have been plotted for different water types and for different values of threshold SNR. The effect of scattering and absorption on the system performance has been studied. It has been observed through analysis that as the concentration of dissolved and suspended particles increases, the scattering of beam escalates.
APPENDIX A
DERIVATION OF (13)

The integration in (14) is given by:

\[ I'_2 = \int_0^\pi \phi^{2m+1} \cos(\phi) d\phi. \]  

(51)

Using series of \( \cos(\phi) \), the above equation can be expressed as:

\[ I'_2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \pi}{(2n)!((2m+2n+2))}. \]  

(52)

Note that:

\[ \frac{1}{(2m+2n+2)} = \frac{(m+1)n}{(2m+2)(m+2)n}, \]

(53)

where \((-1)_n\) represents Pochhammer Symbol \([60]\) and \(2n!! = 4^n (\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}+1)(\frac{1}{2}+2) \cdots (\frac{1}{2}+n-1))(n(n-1) \cdots 2 \cdots 1)\).

Equation (54) can be simplified in terms of Pochhammer Symbol and is given by:

\[ (2n)! = 4^n (\frac{1}{2}n)!m!. \]  

(55)

Substituting (53) and (55) in (52) and simplifying, we obtain:

\[ I'_2 = \frac{\pi^{2m+2}}{(2m+2)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{m!} \frac{(-\pi)^2}{4} \frac{(m+1)n}{(\frac{1}{2})n(m+2)n}. \]  

(56)

The series in above equation is the series of \( F_2(\cdot, \cdot ; \cdot) \) \([35, Eq. (1)], \) (56) becomes:

\[ I'_2 = \frac{\pi^{2m+2}}{(2m+2)} F_2(1+m;\frac{1}{2};2+m;\frac{-\pi^2}{4}). \]  

(57)

APPENDIX B

CONVERGENCE TEST OF INFINITE SERIES IN (25)

After writing the Hypergeometric function in the series form as given in (19), the infinite series in (25) is given by:

\[ S(v, \zeta_{rec}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{c(-1)^m \pi^{-2m+2}}{m!} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^{2m} \frac{\zeta_{rec}^{2m+1}}{2m+1} \times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+1)n}{(\frac{1}{2})n(m+2)n} \frac{(-\pi)^2}{4} n! \].  

(58)

where \( c \) is the constant \( 3bK(g(-1)^m/(1+g^2)) \). The \( m+1 \) th and \( m \) th terms of this series are represented as \( a_{m+1} \) and \( a_m \) and are given as follows:

\[ a_{m+1} = \frac{c(-1)^{m+1} \pi^{-2m+4}}{(m+1)!} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^{2m+2} \frac{\zeta_{rec}^{2m+3}}{2m+3} \times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2+m)n}{(\frac{1}{2})n(3+m)n} \frac{(-\pi)^2}{4} n! \].  

(59a)

\[ a_m = \frac{c(-1)^m \pi^{-2m+2}}{(m)!} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^{2m+3} \frac{\zeta_{rec}^{2m+1}}{2m+1} \times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1+m)n}{(\frac{1}{2})n(2+m)n} \frac{(-\pi)^2}{4} n! \].  

(59b)

Taking ratio of (59a) and (59b) and after some manipulations, we get:

\[ L = \frac{a_{m+1}}{a_m} = \left( \frac{(-1)^m (\frac{\pi}{2})^2 \zeta_{rec}^{2m+3}}{(m+1)!} \frac{(2+m)n}{(\frac{1}{2})n(3+m)n} \frac{(-\pi)^2}{4} n! \right) \times \frac{1}{\frac{1+m}{(\frac{1}{2})n(2+m)n} \frac{(-\pi)^2}{4} n!}. \]  

(60)

Now applying Cauchy ratio test \([61] \) to (60), we have

\[ L' = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{a_{m+1}}{a_m} \to 0. \]  

(61)

As \( m \to \infty \), we see that the hypergeometric terms in numerator and denominator in (60) stay constant. Hence, as \( m \to \infty \), \( L \to 0 \). This implies that \( L < 1 \). Thus, the series converges absolutely.

APPENDIX C

DERIVATION OF (18)

The integration in (18) after substituting \( v(\zeta_{rec} - \xi) = t \) can be rewritten in the following way:

\[ I'_3 = \int_0^{\zeta_{rec}} t^{-1} J_1(\pi t) dt. \]  

(62)

Using the property of gamma function, \( m! = \Gamma(m+1) \) \([48]\) and the series form of Bessel function in (62), we obtain:

\[ I'_3 = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!(m+1)!} \frac{\pi}{2} 2m+1 \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^{2m+1} \frac{\zeta_{rec}^{2m+1}}{2m+1} \]  

(63)

1/\((m+1)\) can be alternatively written in the form of Pochhammer symbol as:

\[ \frac{1}{(m+1)!} = \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{2})^m}. \]  

(64)

Similarly, \( 1/(2m+1) \) can be represented in the form of Pochhammer Symbol:

\[ \frac{1}{2m+1} = \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_m}{(\frac{1}{2})^m}. \]  

(65)

Substituting (64) and (65) in (63) we get,

\[ I'_3 = \pi \zeta_{rec} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!(2m+1)} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{2m+1} \frac{\zeta_{rec}^{2m+1}}{2m+1}. \]  

(66)

The series in (66) can be represented in terms of Hypergeometric function as:

\[ I'_3 = \pi \zeta_{rec} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!(2m+1)} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{2m+1} \frac{\zeta_{rec}^{2m+1}}{2m+1}. \]  

(67)
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