Design of an Efficient Pulsed Dy$^{3+}$:ZBLAN Fiber Laser Operating in Gain Switching Regime
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Abstract—A time-dependent numerical model of a dysprosium-doped ZBLAN glass fiber is developed in order to design a pulsed laser emitting at about 3 µm wavelength, by employing an in-band pumping scheme. A number of design parameters are changed to optimize the laser performance. Gain-switching regime with an output signal peak power close to 59 W and a full width at half maximum (FWHM) pulse duration shorter than 184 ns is simulated for a fiber with dopant concentration of 2000 ppm, by employing a pulsed input pump with a peak power of 5 W and a repetition rate of 100 kHz at the wavelength of 2.8 µm. These characteristics are very promising and theoretically predict the feasibility of a laser which can find application in many areas such as chemical, biological and environmental monitoring.
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I. INTRODUCTION

THE NEED for high beam quality ($M^2 \approx 1$) emission in the middle-infrared (Mid-IR) wavelength range is originated by a number of potential applications, in the field of free-space communication, chemical and biological sensing, remote sensing and earth atmosphere monitoring, medical diagnostic and surgery, material processing and material science measurements. More precisely, the interaction of Mid-IR light beams with biological tissues, gases, water, air contaminants and many other materials is extremely promising. Innovative sensing systems can be developed by exploiting the characteristic absorption fingerprints exhibited by the chemical and biological molecules in the Mid-IR wavelength range (2–20 µm) due to, e.g., the vibrational resonances of C-H, N-H and O-H chemical bonds. Also laser ablation surgery can be efficiently obtained at Mid-IR wavelengths by exploiting the strong water absorption. Novel communication systems based on free space propagation could utilize the transmission windows of earth atmosphere, e.g. the 3–4 µm, 4.3–5.0 µm, 8–10 µm and 10–14 µm wavelength ranges.

Different glasses can be employed as host materials for the construction of rare-earth-doped fiber lasers providing efficient Mid-IR emission. Chalcogenide fiber lasers have been extensively investigated for their excellent transparency at very long wavelengths, till 20 µm, but further technological development is required in order to obtain working prototypes [1]–[8]. Tellurite [9]–[11] and fluoride [12]–[23] fiber glasses constitute more feasible alternatives in the 2–3 µm wavelength range. In particular, the market availability of efficient laser diodes as pumping sources has allowed significant advances in the construction of both continuous-wave (CW) and pulsed ZBLAN fiber lasers close to 3 µm wavelength. As an example, in [24] a Q-switched Er$^{3+}$-doped ZBLAN fluoride fiber laser has been proposed. Nonlinear polarization rotation (NPR) method [25] and gain switching [26]–[28] constitute further approaches in order to obtain high-energy pulsed laser operation.

In this work, for the first time to the best of our knowledge, a time-dependent numerical model for an in-band pumped configuration of Dy$^{3+}$-doped ZBLAN fiber laser is proposed in order to investigate the generation of optical pulses at 3 µm. Till now, only CW Dy$^{3+}$-doped ZBLAN fiber lasers with in-band pumped configuration have been demonstrated [29]–[32]. Therefore, the investigation illustrated in this work could pave the way to the pulsed operation for this kind of lasers. The gain switching method, in which a suitable input pump modulates the optical gain, is considered to achieve pulsed operation. Stable single-pulse regime is predicted. Moreover, this investigation has a practical interest since simulation parameters pertaining to commercially available fluoride fibers are employed [32].

II. GAIN-SWITCHED LASER MODEL

In the proposed model, the rate equations coupled with the time-varying power propagation equations for the pump and signal beams are solved by including the time derivatives.
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The optical behavior of dysprosium ions, for in-band pumping at $\lambda_p = 2.8 \mu m$ wavelength, can be suitably modeled by employing a two levels laser system, as shown in Fig. 1. The $^6H_{13/2}$ and $^6H_{15/2}$ energy levels are the fundamental state and the excited state, respectively. By taking into account the typical light-rare earth interactions, i.e., absorption, stimulated emission, radiative and nonradiative decays, the following equation system for the level populations $N_1(x, y, z, t)$ and $N_2(x, y, z, t)$ can be written:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial N_2}{\partial t} &= W_{GSA} N_1 - (W_E + A_{21} + R_{21}) N_2 \\
\frac{\partial N_1}{\partial t} &= -W_{GSA} N_1 + (W_E + A_{21} + R_{21}) N_2
\end{aligned}$$

(1)

where $W_{GSA} = W_{GSA}^p + W_{GSA}^s$ is the total transition rate pertaining to the Ground State Absorption (GSA), $W_E = W_E^p + W_E^s$ is the total transition rate pertaining to the Stimulated Emission (E), while $A_{21} = \tau_2^{-1}$ and $R_{21} = T_2^{-1}$ are the radiative and nonradiative decay rates for the $^6H_{13/2} \rightarrow ^6H_{15/2}$ transition, respectively. The transition rates for the pump ($p$) and the signal ($s$) can be calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{GSA}^p &= \frac{\sigma_{12}(\nu_p)}{\hbar \nu_p} \left[ P_p^+(z, t) + P_p^-(z, t) \right] i_p(x, y) \\
W_E^p &= \frac{\sigma_{21}(\nu_p)}{\hbar \nu_p} \left[ P_p^+(z, t) - P_p^-(z, t) \right] i_p(x, y) \\
W_{GSA}^s &= \frac{\sigma_{12}(\nu_s)}{\hbar \nu_s} \left[ P_s^+(z, t) + P_s^-(z, t) \right] i_s(x, y) \\
W_E^s &= \frac{\sigma_{21}(\nu_s)}{\hbar \nu_s} \left[ P_s^+(z, t) - P_s^-(z, t) \right] i_s(x, y)
\end{aligned}$$

(2)

where $h$ is the Planck constant, $\nu_p$ is the pump frequency, $\nu_s$ is the signal frequency, $\sigma_{12}(\nu)$ and $\sigma_{21}(\nu)$ are the frequency-dependent absorption and emission sections, respectively, $P_p^\pm$ is the forward/backward pump power and $P_s^\pm$ is the forward/backward signal power, $i_p$ and $i_s$ are the normalized transverse intensity profiles of pump and signal beams, respectively. The previous system of differential equations (1) can be simplified because the sum of level populations is equal to the total dopant concentration $N_{Dy}(x, y, z) = N_1(x, y, z, t) + N_2(x, y, z, t):

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial N_2}{\partial t} &= W_{GSA} N_1 - (W_E + A_{21} + R_{21}) N_2 \\
N_1(x, y, z, t) &= N_{Dy}(x, y, z) - N_2(x, y, z, t)
\end{aligned}$$

The propagation of the pump and signal optical beams is taken into account by the following nonlinear partial differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial P_p^+}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{v_g^p} \frac{\partial P_p^+}{\partial t} &= [g_p(z, t) - \alpha(\nu_p)] P_p^+ \\
\frac{\partial P_p^-}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{v_g^p} \frac{\partial P_p^-}{\partial t} &= [-g_p(z, t) + \alpha(\nu_p)] P_p^- \\
\frac{\partial P_s^+}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{v_g^s} \frac{\partial P_s^+}{\partial t} &= [g_s(z, t) - \alpha(\nu_s)] P_s^+ + a_{sp}(z, t) \\
\frac{\partial P_s^-}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{v_g^s} \frac{\partial P_s^-}{\partial t} &= [-g_s(z, t) + \alpha(\nu_s)] P_s^- - a_{sp}(z, t)
\end{aligned}$$

(3)

where

$$\begin{aligned}
g_p(z, t) &= -\sigma_{12}(\nu_p) n_{1p}(z, t) + \sigma_{21}(\nu_p) n_{2p}(z, t) \\
g_s(z, t) &= -\sigma_{12}(\nu_s) n_{1s}(z, t) + \sigma_{21}(\nu_s) n_{2s}(z, t) \\
a_{sp}(z, t) &= 2 h \nu_s B_{ase} \sigma_{21}(\nu_s) n_{2a}(z, t)
\end{aligned}$$

are the gain coefficient for the pump, the gain coefficient for the signal and the spontaneous emission term, respectively, $v_g^p$ and $v_g^s$ are the group velocities for the pump and the signal, respectively, $\alpha(\nu)$ is the frequency-dependent optical loss of the glass and $B_{ase}$ is the equivalent noise bandwidth for the Amplified Spontaneous Emission (ASE). The overlap integrals over the rare earth-doped region $\Omega_z$ between the ion populations and the pump/signal optical modes are calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{1p}(z, t) &= \int_{\Omega_z} N_1(x, y, z, t) i_p(x, y) \, dx \, dy \\
n_{2p}(z, t) &= \int_{\Omega_z} N_2(x, y, z, t) i_p(x, y) \, dx \, dy \\
n_{1s}(z, t) &= \int_{\Omega_z} N_1(x, y, z, t) i_s(x, y) \, dx \, dy \\
n_{2s}(z, t) &= \int_{\Omega_z} N_2(x, y, z, t) i_s(x, y) \, dx \, dy
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the actual spatial distribution of both the ion population and the electromagnetic field is taken into account.

In order to solve the previous PDEs (3), suitable boundary and initial conditions are imposed (see Fig. 2):

$$\begin{aligned}
P_p^+(0, t) &= P_{p0}^+(t) \\
P_p^-(L, t) &= P_{p0}^-(t) \\
P_s^+(0, t) &= R_1(\nu_s) P_s^-(0, t) \\
P_s^-(L, t) &= R_2(\nu_s) P_s^+(L, t)
\end{aligned}$$

where $z = 0$ and $z = L$ represent the endpoints of the laser cavity, $P_{p0}^\pm(t)$ is the input forward/backward pump power signal, $R_1(\nu_s)$ is the first mirror reflectivity and $R_2(\nu_s)$ is the second mirror reflectivity. In addition, the system is considered to be initially at rest, therefore all rare earth ions are in the ground state and all the signals are zero everywhere:

$$\begin{aligned}
N_1(x, y, z, 0) &= N_{Dy}(x, y, z) \\
N_2(x, y, z, 0) &= 0 \\
P_p^+(z, 0) &= P_p^+(z, 0) = P_s^+(z, 0) = P_s^-(z, 0) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

The time evolution of the generated optical pulses can be obtained as follows:

$$P_{out}(t) = [1 - R_2(\nu_s)] P_s^+(L, t)$$

(4)
III. Numerical results

The fiber considered in the simulation is a step-index fluoride fiber, commercially available (Le Verre Fluoré), with core diameter \( d_{\text{core}} = 12.5 \mu m \), cladding diameter \( d_{\text{clad}} = 125 \mu m \) and numerical aperture \( NA = 0.16 \). The absorption and emission cross sections for the pump are \( \sigma_{12}(\nu_p) = 3.26 \times 10^{-25} \text{m}^2 \) and \( \sigma_{21}(\nu_p) = 2.04 \times 10^{-25} \text{m}^2 \), respectively. The absorption and emission cross sections for the signal are \( \sigma_{12}(\nu_s) = 9.61 \times 10^{-26} \text{m}^2 \) and \( \sigma_{21}(\nu_s) = 1.65 \times 10^{-25} \text{m}^2 \), respectively. The \( ^{6}\text{H}_{13/2} \rightarrow ^{6}\text{H}_{15/2} \) radiative lifetime is \( \tau = 13.7 \text{ms} \) and the \( ^{6}\text{H}_{13/2} \rightarrow ^{6}\text{H}_{15/2} \) nonradiative decay rate is \( R_{21} = 1539 \text{s}^{-1} \). The equivalent ASE noise bandwidth is \( B_{\text{ase}} = 100 \text{nm} \). The glass refractive index is \( n = 1.48 \) at the wavelength \( \lambda = 2.88 \mu m \). A suitable Sellmeier equation is considered to model the glass cladding refractive index dispersion, while keeping constant the numerical aperture \( NA \). The group velocities for the pump and the signal are \( v_g^p = 2.025 \times 10^8 \text{m s}^{-1} \) and \( v_g^s = 2.027 \times 10^8 \text{m s}^{-1} \), respectively. They are very close, as expected. The optical losses are assumed to be equal to \( \alpha = 0.9 \text{dB m}^{-1} \) at both pump and signal wavelengths, i.e. high enough to include potential losses due to the splicing of the different parts of the laser cavity. The dopant concentration is \( N_{\text{Dy}} = 2000 \text{ppm} = 3.63 \times 10^{25} \text{ions/m}^3 \). The first mirror reflectivity is \( R_1 = 99 \% \). The pump and signal wavelengths are \( \lambda_p = 2.8 \mu m \) and \( \lambda_s = 3.0 \mu m \), respectively. The input pump peak power is \( P_{\text{peak}}^p = 5 \text{W} \). The time step size is \( \Delta t = 5 \text{ns} \) and the space step size is \( \Delta z = 1 \text{cm} \). In the following, the excitation pump waveform is assumed to be a square wave with variable amplitude, repetition rate and duty cycle. The time-dependent model has been validated by considering, as particular case, input pump power pulses with duty cycle \( D = 100 \% \), i.e. CW operation. All the parameters of the laser experimental set-up reported in [29] have been considered. By supposing a realistic coupling efficiency of about \( 30 \% \), an output laser power very close to the experimental one has been obtained, with an agreement within \( 5 \% \).

As an example of time-dependent simulation, Fig. 3 shows the unstable output signal pulses and the input pump pulses as a function of the time, input pump duty cycle \( D = 40 \% \), laser cavity length \( L = 1 \text{m} \) and second mirror reflectivity \( R_2 = 50 \% \). It is apparent that a proper design of the laser is mandatory in order to obtain stable single-pulse emission.

The output laser characteristics are investigated as a function of: i) laser cavity length \( L \), see Figs. 4–6; ii) second mirror reflectivity \( R_2 \), see Figs. 7–9; iii) input pump duty cycle \( D \), see Figs. 10–12. Only points belonging to single-pulse stability regions are shown.

Fig. 4 shows the output signal peak power \( P_{\text{peak}}^s \) as a function of the laser cavity length \( L \) for different input pump duty cycles. The curves exhibit an increasing behavior for small cavity lengths, they reach the maximum for \( L = 0.8 \text{m} \) and then they decrease by increasing the cavity length. In other words, for a given laser configuration and dopant concentration, even by changing the average input pump power by considering different duty cycle values, the length \( L = 0.8 \text{m} \) seems to be the optimal one.
Fig. 5. Output signal pulse width $\tau_s$ as a function of the cavity length $L$ for different input pump duty cycles, $D = 25\%$ (dotted curve), $D = 30\%$ (dashed curve), $D = 35\%$ (dash-dot curve), $D = 40\%$ (solid curve). Pump repetition rate $f_R = 100$ kHz; second mirror reflectivity $R_2 = 50\%$.

Fig. 6. Output signal pulse energy $E_s$ as a function of the cavity length $L$ for different input pump duty cycles, $D = 25\%$ (dotted curve), $D = 30\%$ (dashed curve), $D = 35\%$ (dash-dot curve), $D = 40\%$ (solid curve). Pump repetition rate $f_R = 100$ kHz; second mirror reflectivity $R_2 = 50\%$.

Fig. 7. Output signal peak power $P_{\text{peak}}$ as a function of the output mirror reflectivity $R_2$ for different input pump duty cycles, $D = 25\%$ (dotted curve), $D = 30\%$ (dashed curve), $D = 35\%$ (dash-dot curve), $D = 40\%$ (solid curve). Pump repetition rate $f_R = 100$ kHz; cavity length $L = 0.9$ m.

Fig. 8. Output signal pulse width $\tau_s$ as a function of the output mirror reflectivity $R_2$ for different input pump duty cycles, $D = 25\%$ (dotted curve), $D = 30\%$ (dashed curve), $D = 35\%$ (dash-dot curve), $D = 40\%$ (solid curve). Pump repetition rate $f_R = 100$ kHz; cavity length $L = 0.9$ m.

Fig. 9 shows the output signal peak power $P_{\text{peak}}$ as a function of the output mirror reflectivity $R_2$ for different input pump duty cycles. The curves exhibit an increasing behavior for low reflectivities and a decreasing behavior for high reflectivities. The maximum is reached around $R_2 = 50\%$, even if the pump duty cycle changes from $D = 25\%$ to $D = 35\%$. It is worthwhile noting that, for higher pump duty cycles, the single-pulse stability region gets narrower and narrower.

Fig. 10 depicts the output signal peak power $P_{\text{peak}}$ as a function of the input pump duty cycle $D$ for different pump repetition rates. It is worthwhile noting that these curves refer to the average signal power, defined as $P_{\text{avg}} = E_s f_R$, varying...
Fig. 9. Output signal pulse energy $E_s$ as a function of the output mirror reflectivity $R_2$ for different input pump duty cycles, $D = 25\%$ (dotted curve), $D = 30\%$ (dashed curve), $D = 35\%$ (dash-dot curve), $D = 40\%$ (solid curve). Pump repetition rate $f_R = 100$ kHz; cavity length $L = 0.9$ m.

Fig. 10. Output signal peak power $P_{\text{peak}}$ as a function of input pump duty cycle $D$ for different pump repetition rates, $f_R = 10$ kHz (dotted curve), $f_R = 30$ kHz (solid curve), $f_R = 50$ kHz (dashed curve), $f_R = 70$ kHz (dash-dot curve), $f_R = 90$ kHz (solid curve with square markers), $f_R = 100$ kHz (solid curve with diamond markers), $f_R = 120$ kHz (solid curve with asterisk markers), $f_R = 140$ kHz (solid curve with circle markers). Cavity length $L = 0.9$ m; second mirror reflectivity $R_2 = 50\%$.

Fig. 11. Output signal pulse width $\tau_s$ as a function of input pump duty cycle $D$ for different pump repetition rates, $f_R = 10$ kHz (dotted curve), $f_R = 30$ kHz (dashed curve), $f_R = 50$ kHz (dash-dot curve), $f_R = 70$ kHz (solid curve), $f_R = 90$ kHz (solid curve with square markers), $f_R = 100$ kHz (solid curve with diamond markers), $f_R = 120$ kHz (solid curve with asterisk markers), $f_R = 140$ kHz (solid curve with circle markers). Cavity length $L = 0.9$ m; second mirror reflectivity $R_2 = 50\%$.

Fig. 12. Output signal pulse energy $E_s$ as a function of input pump duty cycle $D$ for different pump repetition rates, $f_R = 10$ kHz (dotted curve), $f_R = 30$ kHz (dashed curve), $f_R = 50$ kHz (dash-dot curve), $f_R = 70$ kHz (solid curve), $f_R = 90$ kHz (solid curve with square markers), $f_R = 100$ kHz (solid curve with diamond markers), $f_R = 120$ kHz (solid curve with asterisk markers), $f_R = 140$ kHz (solid curve with circle markers). Cavity length $L = 0.9$ m; second mirror reflectivity $R_2 = 50\%$.

from $P_{\text{avg}} = 0.04$ W to $P_{\text{avg}} = 1.45$ W and represent the regions in which stable output pulses are generated. It can be seen that a repetition rate as high as $f_R = 140$ kHz is feasible. Fig. 11 shows the output signal pulse width $\tau_s$ as a function of the input pump duty cycle $D$ for different pump repetition rates, for the same simulation parameters of Fig. 10. The curves are monotone decreasing for all repetition rate values, with a slope less and less steep as the repetition rate increases. In addition, the pulse width never falls below $\tau_s = 180$ ns. This is probably due to an inherent limitation of this fiber laser in gain switching operation. Also in this case, the pulse energy $E_s$, which is shown in Fig. 12, exhibits a behavior similar to that of the pulse peak power. Energies of about $E_s = 10–11$ µJ can be achieved for each value of the repetition rate, which provides great flexibility in the design of the device for both low and high repetition rates applications.
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Figs. 10–12 are obtained for nearly optimized cavity length $L$ and second mirror reflectivity $R_2$ and allow identifying the maximum pulse peak power $P_{\text{peak}}$, width $\tau_s$ and energy $E_s$ achievable by varying the operating condition in terms of repetition rate $f_R$ and duty cycle $D$.

Fig. 13(a) reports the generated pulses for the optimized laser, showing the stable output signal pulses and the input pump pulses as a function of the time, input pump duty cycle $D = 35\%$, laser cavity length $L = 0.8$ m and second mirror reflectivity $R_2 = 50\%$. After a build-up time of about $t = 65\mu$s, the first pulse is generated. Stable gain-switched pulsed regime with an output peak power of $P_{\text{peak}} = 59$ W and a pulse duration of $\tau_s = 184$ ns, corresponding to an output energy of $E_s = 11$ µJ, is obtained after $t = 110$ µs. Fig. 13(b) depicts a zoom of a single output signal pulse.

The obtained results are promising, even with reference to the state of the art [28], [35], [36]. As examples, the following characteristics of gain switched lasers were reported in the recent literature: i) in [35], pulse trains at $\lambda = 2.8 \mu$m with a maximum peak power of $P_{\text{peak}} = 68$ W, a duration of $\tau_s = 300$ ns and a pulse energy of $E_s = 20.4$ µJ at the repetition rate of $f_R = 100$ kHz in an Er$^{3+}$-doped ZBLAN fiber laser; ii) in [28], pulse trains at $\lambda = 2.8 \mu$m with a maximum peak power of $P_{\text{peak}} = 3.85$ W, a duration of $\tau_s = 1.55$ µs and a pulse energy of $E_s = 5.97$ µJ at the repetition rate of $f_R = 20$ kHz in the same fiber; iii) in [36], pulse trains at $\lambda = 2.98 \mu$m with a maximum peak power of $P_{\text{peak}} = 3.26$ W, a duration of $\tau_s = 1.49$ µs and a pulse energy of $E_s = 4.87$ µJ at the repetition rate of $f_R = 80$ kHz in a Ho$^{3+}$-doped ZBLAN fiber. In view of these results, the proposed ZBLAN fiber laser doped with Dy$^{3+}$ ions constitutes an attractive solution since it allows the generation of pulse trains at $\lambda = 3 \mu$m with a maximum peak power of $P_{\text{peak}} = 59$ W, a duration of $\tau_s = 184$ ns, a pulse energy of $E_s = 11$ µJ and an optical-to-optical efficiency of $\eta = 60\%$ at the repetition rate of $f_R = 100$ kHz. Moreover, the proposed solution promises stable gain-switching operation even at higher repetition rates, e.g. at $f_R = 140$ kHz.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

For the first time, a Dy$^{3+}$-ZBLAN fiber laser operating in gain switching regime is accurately modeled and numerically investigated. By employing an input pump of 5 W with 100 kHz repetition rate and 35% duty cycle, pulses with a peak power of 59 W and a full width at half maximum (FWHM) width of 184 ns can be obtained. The related energy is 11 µJ, which corresponds to an optical-to-optical efficiency larger than 60%. The parameters of a commercially available fluoride fiber are used. Therefore, the proposed investigation can be considered a feasibility investigation of a pulsed laser which can be constructed by employing fluoride fibers available on the market.
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