An Effective Fusing Approach by Combining Connectivity Network Pattern and Temporal-Spatial Analysis for EEG-Based BCI Rehabilitation

Lei Cao^o[, W](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8881-9237)enrong Wang, Chenxi Huang^o, Member, IEEE, Zhixiong Xu, [Han](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3886-4309) W[a](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4516-4629)ng, Jie Jia^o, Shugeng Chen[®], Yilin Dong, Chunjiang Fan, and Victor Hugo C. de Albuquerque[®], Senior Member, IEEE

Abstract—Motor-modality-based brain computer interface (BCI) could promote the neural rehabilitation for stroke patients. Temporal-spatial analysis was commonly used for pattern recognition in this task. This paper introduced a novel connectivity network analysis for EEG-based feature selection. The network features of connectivity pattern not only captured the spatial activities responding to motor task, but also mined the interactive pattern among these cerebral regions. Furthermore, the effective combination between temporal-spatial analysis and network analysis was evaluated for improving the performance of BCI classification (81.7%). And the results demonstrated that it could raise the classificationaccuracies for most of patients (6 of 7 patients). This proposed method was meaningful for developing the effective BCI training program for stroke rehabilitation.

Index Terms—BCI, connectivity network analysis, rehabilitation, stroke, temporal-spatial analysis.

I. INTRODUCTION

MILLIONS of people worldwide suffered from stroke, a chronic disorder characterized by the affections of

Manuscript received 16 March 2022; revised 8 June 2022; accepted 8 August 2022. Date of publication 16 August 2022; date of current version 19 August 2022. This work was supported in part by the National Key Research and Development Program of China under Grant 2018YFC2002300 and Grant 2018YFC2002301, in part by the National Natural Science Young Foundation of China under Grant 62102242 and Grant 62103258, in part by the Shanghai Education Research Program under Grant C2022152, and in part by the Project of Wuxi Health Commission under Grant MS201944 and Grant T201906. (Corresponding author: Chenxi Huang.)

Lei Cao, Wenrong Wang, Zhixiong Xu, Han Wang, and Yilin Dong are with the Department of Artificial Intelligence, Shanghai Maritime University, Shanghai 201306, China (e-mail: lcao@shmtu.edu.cn; 202130310092@stu.shmtu.edu.cn; 202030310299@stu.shmtu.edu.cn; 202130310280@stu.shmtu.edu.cn; yldong@shmtu.edu.cn).

Chenxi Huang is with the Department of Artificial Intelligence, Shanghai Maritime University, Shanghai 201306, China, and also with the School of Informatics, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361000, China (e-mail: tongchenhuang@126.com).

Jie Jia and Shugeng Chen are with the Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Huashan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai 200000, China (e-mail: shannonjj@126.com; tonychshug@126.com).

Chunjiang Fan is with the Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Wuxi Rehabilitation Hospital, Wuxi 214001, China (e-mail: 951786665@qq.com).

Victor Hugo C. de Albuquerque is with the Department of Teleinformatics Engineering, Federal University of Ceara, Fortaleza 60750740, Brazil (e-mail: victor.albuquerque@ieee.org).

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TNSRE.2022.3198434

limb movement [1], [2], [3], [4]. Various types of rehabilitation interventions were applied for improving the life quality of stroke patients [5], [6], [7]. Commonly, all patients performed physical recovery for several months. In the training, some assistive devices, such as robot arm, virtual reality technology and sensor capture equipment, were designed to assist with users at hospital [8], [9]. However, it remained unclear what neurophysiological mechanism was facilitating the functional rehabilitation.

Meanwhile, neuromodulation approaches were employed in the recovery [10]. This technology could provide quantitative indicators by translating sampled bio-signals into numerical values [11], [12]. In this field, Electroencephalogram (EEG)-based BCIs were widely used for repairing upper limb motor function [13], [14], [15]. The neural pathway was reconstructed by this technology for stroke patients. And visual/auditory feedbacks of BCI systems were designed for arousing a sense of embodiment for patients [16]. It played a positive role on facilitating the recovery training for the paralyzed [17], [18].

Feedback performances of BCI were dependent on feature selecting of electroencephalogram (EEG) signal [19], [20]. The motor-modality BCI was commonly decoded by spatiotemporal analysis. The experimental performance was satisfactory for healthy subjects with this method [21], [22]. Representatively, event-related desynchronization/synchronization (ERD/ERS) pattern was proposed for detecting the dynamics of brain oscillations in the training [23]. This qualification indicator was used for validating the effectiveness of BCI rehabilitation.

Moreover, some spatial filter algorithms were employed to extract features of EEG signals. Among them, common spatial pattern (CSP) was a popular method for classifying 2-class mental tasks with motor functions [24], [25]. Recently, numerous studies focused on improving the effect of this CSP method by feature selection in the spatial and spectral domains [21], [26], [27], [28], [29], [30]. Jin *et al.* proposed bispectrum analysis for eliminating noise and redundant information from EEG signals [31]. This algorithm achieved the better performance for motor imagery classification. Besides, spectral features were also extracted for improving the performance against these state-of-art methods in the multi-class

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 License. For more information, see https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

tasks [32], [33]. Nevertheless, the feasibly of these algorithms had not been verified for stroke patients.

Hence, CSP had been commonly applied to decode EEG signals in the BCI-based rehabilitation [34], [35], [36]. In these studies, classification accuracies were considered as a supplementary indicator resulting from the poor performance in the recognition. Furthermore, the deep learning methods were performed for lifting the effect of BCI classifying [37], [38], [39]. These mathematical approaches were helpful for data analysis. However, the neurofeedback efforts were still confusing owing to the unexplainability of neural networks.

In essence, the works mentioned above introduced the difference of power-spectral distributions among multi-class motor tasks. The neuroscience research showed that, the cognitive state was also reflected by functional interactions between various brain regions. The previous study verified that the neural plasticity of the injured brain was related to the connection activity of cerebral regions for stroke patients [17], [40]. In another work conducted by Stem *et al.*, the significant quantitative difference of resting-state brain network was investigated between Alzheimer's patients and the healthy [41]. The connection analysis of brain networks had been widely used for clinical diagnosis [42], [43], [44], [45].

Compared with widespread applications of network analysis for pattern recognition in other fields of bio-signal process, this technology was used for classifying in the minority of studies reported for motor-modality BCI. Ma *et al.* proposed a channel-correlation network for feature extraction from motor tasks of the same limb [46]. The result demonstrated that the accuracy was higher than those of other common approaches. As we know, none of researches proposed connection analysis for BCI rehabilitation.

To address the challenges of feature selection for BCI rehabilitation, a novel approach combining connectivity network pattern and temporal-spatial analysis was proposed for constructing BCI rehabilitation systems. Connection patterns were calculated by three indices, phase-locking value (PLV), Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC), and transfer entropy (TE). CSP features were used for extracting temporal-spatial features. The fusing structure containing the above two algorithms was established for improving the prediction performance.

II. MATERIALS

A. Subjects

Seven male stroke patients (25-75 years old) participated in this experiments, who were recruited from the Department of Rehabilitation Medicine of Huashan Hospital, Shanghai. None of them had the experience of controlling motor-modality BCI. All of them gave written consent and were informed about the procedure in our study. The inclusion criteria were listed in Table I.

B. Experimental Paradigm

In this study, BCI experiments contained 12 sessions. And it was performed three sessions one week for each patient. One session consisted of three runs. Each run had 30 trials for two

TABLE I THE INCLUSION CRITERIA IN OUR STUDY

No.	Inclusion Criteria									
1	Unilateral motor dysfunction diagnosed by com- puter tomography or magnetic resonance imag- ing (MRI).									
\overline{c}	First onset stroke patient.									
3	The time since stroke onset was more than 4 weeks and less than 6 months.									
4	The assessment of cognitive functions: Mini- Mental State Examination score > 25 .									
5	Stable medical conditions.									
6	No severe vision problems (i.e., poor sight, diplopia, hemianopia, visual field defects and total blindness).									
7	Intervention treatment by non-invasive brain s- timulation did not be performed for these pa- tients.									
Attention	Motor Attempt Relax Resting State Cue Time (s									

Fig. 1. The experimental procedure of two-class BCI tasks. The red circle was used for indicating the motor task and the red rectangle was used for indicating the resting task. After the red circle disappeared, the subject was instructed to image rotating his/her wrist extension with affect hand as far as possible while avoiding compensatory movements. In the other task of resting, the subject did not do any thing for a rest.

motor tasks (motor attempt or resting state). The sequence of two tasks was randomized.

In a trial, the patient sat in a comfortable chair, and a computer display was placed at a 1-meter distance in front. As shown in Fig. 1, a white arrow was presented for 3 seconds firstly. Then, a red circle indicating the motor task or a red rectangle indicating the resting state appeared for 1.5 s. After the circle disappeared, the subject was instructed to image rotating his/her wrist extension as far as possible while avoiding compensatory movements (e.g., moving heads and shoulders). Correspondingly, the subject was required to have a rest when the rectangle cue disappeared in the screen. The duration of the mental task lasted 5 seconds. At last, the relaxing was performed for 1.5 s before the next trial began. In this experiment, the task could be interpreted as the mental work. During this period of resting task, the subject needed to keep eyes open without any thought. The subject controlled resting task with very light work load instead of normal resting state because a pure resting state was not possible to achieve in practice.

C. EEG Recording and Signal Preprocessing

The recording was made using a cap consisting of Ag/AgCl electrodes (actiCAP, Brain Products, Germany). The 31 electrodes were placed according to the 10C20 international standards on FP1, FZ, F3, F7, FT9, FC5, FC1, C3, T7, TP9, CP5, CP1, PZ, P3, P7, O1, O2, P4, P8, TP10, CP6, CP2, CZ, C4, T8, FT10, FC6, FC2, F4, F8, FP2. The reference electrode was located in the right mastoid. Electrode impedances were

kept below 5 k Ω , and the sampling rate was set to 200 Hz. The fifth-order Butterworth band-pass filter of 5-30 Hz was used for filtering out components unrelated to sensorimotor rhythms.

III. METHOD

A. Temporal-Spatial Analysis for Motor Task **Classification**

As mentioned above, CSP was always used as the common approach for the comparison with proposed methods. It optimized a set of spatial filters to maximize the variance of one class *j* while minimizing the variance of the other class *k*. The average spatial covariance matrix *R* could be computed as

$$
R_j = \frac{1}{N_j} \sum_{i=1}^{N_j} \frac{E_{i,j} E_T^{i,j}}{tr(E_{i,j} E_T^{i,j})}
$$
(1)

where N was the number of all trials and $E_{i,j}$ denoted EEG matrix of *i*-th trial in class *j*. And the problem could be solved by

$$
argmax \frac{w^T R_j w}{w^T R_k w}
$$
 (2)

where w was the generalized eigenvector. In our experiments, the first and last 3 rows from spatial covariance matrix were selected for further analysis.

Recently, it was verified that filter-bank CSP (FBCSP) was very applicable for various motor-modality BCI recognitions [34]. In particular, CSP features extracted from power-distribution bands (5−8, 8−12, 12−16, 16−20, 20− 24, 24−28, 28−30 Hz) were investigated for spectral filtering by FBCSP. Hence, these two approaches were selected for evaluating classification performances as the benchmark.

B. Network Analysis for Motor Task Classification

In our method, three indicators (i.e., PCC, PLV, TE) computed by inter-channel relevance, were measured for network analysis. And these indicators had been widely used in neuroscientific works [47].

PCC indicator was calculated as a serial number ranging from -1 to 1, which measured the linear relationship between two signals. With the increase of the absolute value of PCC, the relevance got high. And 0 indicated that these two signals were uncorrelated. Let $X_i = \{X_i^1, X_i^2, \dots, X_i^t\}$ denoted an EEG signal of the *i*th channel, where *t* was the time length of one trial. Thus, PCC indicators between two signals X_j and *Xk* was computed as

$$
PCC (j, k) = \frac{\frac{1}{t} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (X_j - \mu_j)(X_k - \mu_k)}{\sigma_j \sigma_k}
$$
(3)

where μ and σ represented the mean and standard deviation of the EEG signal, respectively.

PLV indicator was used for measuring the non-linear phase synchronization [48]. Supposing the instantaneous phases of two-channel signals X_j and X_k being φ_j^t , φ_k^t , then the PLV was defined as

$$
PLV(j,k) = \frac{1}{T} \left| \sum_{t=1}^{T} exp\left\{\varphi_j^t - \varphi_k^t\right\} \right| \tag{4}
$$

where t was the time point and the phase value ranged from 0 to 1.

TE [49] denoted the directed flow of information from an origin signal X_i to another target signal X_k :

$$
TE(j \to k) = \frac{1}{T-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} p\left(X_j^t, X_k^t, X_k^{t+1}\right) \Gamma
$$
 (5)

Here, Γ was defined as

$$
\Gamma = \log \frac{p\left(X_k^{t+1} | X_j^t, X_k^t\right)}{p\left(X_k^{t+1} | X_k^t\right)}
$$
(6)

Moreover, it described the gain obtained by the origin signal to predict the target signal. The value 0 meant no causal relationship between these two signals. The features of the connection network were calculated for all pairs of EEG channels. Hence, the number of obtained features was $N(N-1)/2$ for undirected connectivity (PCC or PLV) or $N(N - 1)$ for directed connectivity (TE). Here N was the number of electrodes.

C. Fusing Pattern for Motor Task Classification

The cognitive states involved neural activities of cerebral regions and interactive influences between functional areas. Therefore, the fusing pattern combining temporal-spatial features and network features was proposed in our study. Firstly, EEG data were computed by CSP and network analysis, respectively. Then, the connection features ($m \times n$ samples) were pooled as an one-dimensional vector $(1 \times mn$ samples). Thus, we could combine CSP features $(1 \times r$ samples) and connection features to integrate fusing features $(1 \times (mn + r))$ samples) for model classification. The detailed procedure was illustrated in Fig. 2.

D. Evaluation of Classification Performances

To solve the problem of inter-subject differences, the personalized classifier was used for training classification models. Consequently, after collecting sufficient feature information, a classical radial basis function kernel support vector machine (RBF-SVM) with personalized settings, was used for evaluating performances of three methodologies. This classifier was widely applied to find an optimal hyperplane with the largest possible margin to separate two classes for BCI tasks. In the phase of model training, the penalty factor and kernel parameter played an important role in improving the correct rate and classification efficiency of SVM. Hence, we decided against a parameter estimation by grid search for model optimization. To avoid overfitting, 3-fold cross validation was used for validating the reliability of different algorithms.

Fig. 2. Flowchart of fusing analysis. The raw data were processed by CSP method and connectivity network analysis. And these two-class features were combined for SVM classification.

Subject		$\overline{2}$	3	$\overline{4}$	5	6	7	Mean Accuracy
CSP	$0.798 \pm$ 0.079	$0.700 \pm$ 0.064	$0.771 \pm$ 0.077	$0.804 \pm$ 0.072	$0.724 \pm$ 0.054	$0.687 +$ 0.040	$0.707 \pm$ 0.082	0.742
FBCSP	$0.614 \pm$ 0.096	$0.578 \pm$ 0.096	$0.627 +$ 0.094	$0.648 \pm$ 0.157	$0.644 \pm$ 0.057	$0.630 \pm$ 0.053	$0.677 \pm$ 0.090	0.631
PCC	$0.824 \pm$ 0.081	$0.737 +$ 0.072	$0.893 \pm$ 0.074	$0.936 \pm$ 0.028	$0.781 +$ 0.071	$0.660 \pm$ 0.055	$0.764 \pm$ 0.088	0.799
PLV	$0.812 +$ 0.095	$0.684 +$ 0.058	$0.911 \pm$ 0.054	$0.918 +$ 0.046	$0.755 \pm$ 0.063	$0.671 +$ 0.054	$0.728 \pm$ 0.106	0.782
TE	$0.849 +$ 0.065	$0.659 \pm$ 0.058	$0.861 \pm$ 0.073	$0.836 \pm$ 0.075	$0.653 \pm$ 0.074	$0.674 \pm$ 0.067	$0.746 \pm$ 0.072	0.814
CSP+PCC	$0.849 +$ 0.067	$0.759 \pm$ 0.085	$0.902 \pm$ 0.063	$0.940 \pm$ 0.029	$0.775 \pm$ 0.072	$0.694 +$ 0.056	$0.780 \pm$ 0.081	0.817
$CSP + PLY$	$0.859 \pm$ 0.075	$0.756 \pm$ 0.071	$0.919 \pm$ 0.047	$0.944 \pm$ 0.022	$0.773 \pm$ 0.071	$0.693 +$ 0.062	$0.764 \pm$ 0.105	0.780
$CSP+TE$	$0.842 +$ 0.071	$0.722 \pm$ 0.070	$0.876 \pm$ 0.058	$0.865 \pm$ 0.051	$0.720 \pm$ 0.057	$0.688 \pm$ 0.038	$0.745 \pm$ 0.091	0.742

TABLE II THE MEAN ACCURACIES OF BASELINE APPROACHES, NETWORK ANALYSIS APPROACHES AND FUSING APPROACHES FOR 7 SUBJECTS

IV. RESULTS

A. Experimental Performances for Each Patients

Table II reported the classification results of baseline approaches and proposed algorithms for 12 sessions of each patient. Furthermore, mean performances in terms of the sensitivity (Se) and specifically (Sp) were calculated for various algorithms (Table. III). The performances of network analysis outperformed those of conventional temporal-spatial

analysis. And the statistical analysis assessed with a Wilcoxon signed-rank test verified the priority of network analysis (PCC with CSP: $p < 0.05$, PLV with CSP: $p < 0.05$, TE with CSP: $p < 0.05$, PCC with FBCSP: $p < 0.05$, PLV with FBCSP: $p < 0.05$, TE with FBCSP: $p < 0.05$).

Furthermore, fusing patterns were investigated to improve the efficiency of classification tasks. FBCSP was not combined with network pattern analysis because of its poor performances in the temporal-spatial analysis. And the result implied that the

TABLE III ASSESSING THE MEAN ACCURACIES OF BCI REHABILITATION BY ALL PROPOSED ALGORITHMS

Subjects			2				4				6			
Parameters	Sp.	Se.												
CSP	0.830	0.763	0.723	0.705	0.744	0.807	0.831	0.772	0.748	0.700	0.685	0.687	0.770	0.694
FBCSP	0.357	0.904	0.432	0.706	0.402	0.874	0.409	0.883	0.628	0.656	0.538	0.712	0.655	0.699
PCC	0.843	0.817	0.778	0.767	0.865	0.920	0.928	0.944	0.806	0.756	0.654	0.702	0.794	0.750
PLV	0.832	0.802	0.698	0.687	0.883	0.939	0.908	0.928	0.761	0.698	0.696	0.654	0.741	0.735
TE	0.858	0.869	0.633	0.698	0.807	0.915	0.825	0.848	0.668	0.615	0.655	0.686	0.761	0.700
$CSP+PCC$	0.861	0.835	0.810	0.764	0.878	0.920	0.932	0.946	0.756	0.761	0.685	0.698	0.796	0.770
$CSP+PLV$	0.872	0.818	0.783	0.729	0.891	0.933	0.906	0.952	0.739	0.758	0.676	0.719	0.787	0.761
$CSP+TE$	0.889	0.817	0.731	0.715	0.869	0.896	0.883	0.857	0.709	0.724	0.709	0.665	0.761	0.768

¹ Se = sensitivity, Sp = specificity.

Fig. 3. The comparison of mean accuracies for all subjects among these algorithms.

accuracies of fusing patterns were higher than those of network analysis for most of the subjects (6 of 7). However, we did not observe the statistical significance between the representative algorithm, which achieved the highest accuracies among fusing patterns for all subjects ($p > 0.05$).

All patients had participated in FugllCMeyer Assessment of Upper Extremity (FMA-UE) at the beginning of BCI training (Table IV). It was considered one of the most gold standards in the field of stroke rehabilitation. And this criteria was clinically well-established for evaluating the impairment degree of stroke patients. Obviously, Sub. 1, Sub. 3 and Sub. 4 performed better performances in the evaluation (FMA-UE score > 30). And we found that the accuracies of BCI tasks for these 3 patients were higher than those for other subjects for all approaches. It was confirmed that the subjects who had higher FMA-UE scores performed better performances in this task. The results implied that the level of motor impairment affected the efforts of neurophysiological rehabilitation.

B. Performance Comparison of These Algorithms

In this experiment, the mean accuracies of the comparison among these algorithms were listed in Fig. 3. It was suggested that classification effects of fusing analysis were better than those of temporal-spatial analysis for all patients. Moreover, the significant improvement of the combination between network analysis and temporal-spatial analysis was not reflected in this result. It was indicated that the connectivity of several

TABLE IV FUGL´ LCMEYER ASSESSMENT OF UPPER EXTREMITY (FMA-UE) AT THE BEGINNING OF BCI TRAINING

No.	FMA-UE Score
	36
$\overline{2}$	30
3	50
4	37
5	28
6	25
7	13

brain regions was important for the rehabilitation of affected brain tissue and cognitive activity.

Meanwhile, ROC curves and AUC scores of the proposed algorithms were reported in Fig. 4. The observation implied that fusing patterns (CSP and PLV, CSP and TE) achieved the best performance compared to other approaches for all subjects. This result demonstrated that fusing analysis was more effective for pattern recognitions of IoT-enabled BCI rehabilitation.

C. Time Consuming Analysis

For assessing the practical performances of BCI systems, which were based on the proposed approaches, the training time and testing time of various patterns were investigated in Fig. 5. The result was evaluated with 3-fold cross validation

Fig. 4. ROC curves with AUC scores for all algorithms. The features with higher ROC and AUC are deemed more salient.

Fig. 5. (a) The training time of SVM classifiers for temporal-spatial analysis, connectivity approaches and fusion algorithms. (b) The testing time of SVM classifiers for temporal-spatial analysis, connectivity approaches and fusion algorithms.

using Python 3.8.5 on a PC with an i5-6500K 3.2GHz CPU and 8GB of RAM. Commonly, BCI models should be retrained for each session per subject. This process was unavoidable for all BCI systems as a result of the limitation of subject-dependent mechanism. In detail, the time consuming of thirty trials on one subject was reported as the training time of one session. Furthermore, the time consuming of predicting motor classes on one subject was reported as the testing time of one trial.

As shown in Fig. 5, the time consumption of the fusing pattern combining CSP and TE was the longest one. However, we think about 107 s training time and nearly 3.44 s testing time per trial were both in an acceptable range for BCI rehabilitation. Moreover, standard physical recovery would last 20-30 minutes and spent about 5 minutes doing some preparatory work. Considering the requirement of classification accuracy, the above pattern was the optimal choice. For the requirement of quick setup, real-time performances, and relatively loose classification precision, the fusing pattern combining CSP and PLV was another excellent choice.

It was worth noting that performing TE method was more time consuming than performing PCC and PLV methods. It was due to TE method performed the discretization process to reconstruct the conditional probability during the preprocessing. Finally the entropy value was calculated based on the conditional probability, which produced a great increase in computational cost. In terms of the programming calculation, the time complexity of PCC and PLV methods were *O*(*nmlogm*), while the time complexity of TE method was *O*(*nmxlogm*). Here, *n* was the number of trials, *m* was the number of leads, and *x* was the length of data in a trial. Hence, the time consuming difference between TE method and other approaches depended on the value of *x*. In this experiment, the value of *x* was 1250.

V. DISCUSSION

A. Comparison With State-of-Art Algorithms

In this paper, a network analysis was firstly proposed for BCI rehabilitation. Experimental performances had verified

Fig. 6. The classification performance across several state-of-art classifiers. The asterisk denotes p *<* 0.05 for paired t test.

the effectiveness of network analysis and fusing patterns. The novel fusing features combining connectivity patterns and temporal-spatial patterns were not ever used in the rehabilitation of BCI systems [50]. However, the network analysis was performed for healthy subjects in the task of motor imagery (MI) -based BCI [46], [51], [52], [53], [54]. There was little difference between the performances of our work (69%-95%) and those of the above studies (73%-98%). It was implied that our approach was feasible for effective BCI communication with an accuracy threshold of 70% [55]. Whereas the temporal-spatial analysis was ineffective for BCI tasks conducted by several patients.

Meanwhile, we compared classification performance across several state-of-art classifiers (i.e., linear discriminating analysis (LDA), random forest (RF), xgboost (XGB), convolutional neural network (CNN)). The results validated that the total accuracies of network connectivity analysis were higher than those of other state-of-art approaches (Fig. 6). It was consistent with above conclusion. And the classification effects were close in all models, while classification accuracies of CNN were slightly worse. It was implied that the classification performance was dependent on feature selection for BCI rehabilitation. These findings supported the validity of our proposed methods.

Evidently, several studies of motor-modality BCI reported time consumptions of modeling computation (Table V). We could observe that the training time and testing time of our approach were the second least among those of all state-of-art algorithms. In our approach, we used 31 electrodes for data collecting. And Belwifi *et al.* proposed a classification model with two-channel (i.e., C3 and C4) inputs to take the least time consumption (i.e. 0.399 s) in a recognition. In essence, the decoding time of BCI model could be reduced by limiting the number of electrodes. However, the time consumption of experimental preparing and cognitive task were greatly longer than that of model classifying. Hence, the significant increase of decoding time (about 4 s) was affordable for BCI rehabilitation. Moreover, our computational resources were low-price and it was affordable for all users. This verified that our approach was high performance-price for BCI-enabled healthcare systems. Furthermore, we compared the qualities of our models with these baseline models in Table V. It could be seen that our models were the most lightweight among them. It was useful for BCI-enabled devices with limited storage resources.

TABLE V THE COMPARISON OF TIME CONSUMPTIONS BETWEEN OUR APPROACH AND PREVIOUS STUDIES

Studies	Training time(s)	Testing time(s)	The size of parameters (M)		
Our approach	108.9	3.44	0.25		
Zhao et al. $[56]$	33.6	3.88	2.02		
Miao et al. [30]	138	143.19	0.28		
Belwafi et al. [57]	N/A	0.399	0.55		

B. Neurophysiological Assessment for BCI Tasks

Previous studies reported that volume conduction effect could affect the connectivity estimates tricked by false neuronal interaction from the same underlying source at the two channels [48], [58]. Hence, we used the Mann-Whitney test, one of nonparametric permutation tests, to alleviate the adverse effects of volume conduction. The results were listed in Table VI. We found that significant differences between FBCSP and connectivity approaches were obtained for most of the subjects (6 of 7 patients). Moreover, higher significance results between CSP and connectivity approaches were obtained for 3 subjects. The results were inconsistent with the previous parametric test for several participants. However, the connectivity features of TE were still reliable as a result of its model-free type of directed measurement.

Typically, features contribution had been evaluated by fisher score algorithm for best-performing and worst-performing patients (i.e., Sub. 4 and Sub. 6). And the top scores of 50 features were ranked in descending order (Fig. 7). The results implied that connection features (i.e. PCC, PLV or TE) played a more prominent role in BCI recognitions for the best-performing patient (Sub. 4). On the other hand, we could see that opposite phenomena took place for the worst-performing patient (Sub. 6). It was presumed that ranking differences might be induced by neurophysiological function. To some extent, the functional connectivity was constructed by neural rehabilitation for best-performing patients. Nevertheless, the performance of BCI control was inefficient (< 70%) compared with the criterion level for the worstperforming patient. It was probably due to the control loss of neural modulation in this task. As a result, connectivity features digitized by network connection could be effectively characterized for neural activities evoked by limb movement.

(a) The features contributes evaluated by fisher score algorithm for Sub.4.

Fisher Scores of Fusion Features Extracted by CSP and Network Connectivity

(b) The features contributes evaluated by fisher score algorithm for Sub.6.

Fig. 7. The features contributes evaluated by fisher score algorithm for best-performing and worst-performing patients (i.e., Sub. 4 and Sub. 6). And the top scores of 50 features were ranked in descending order.

TABLE VI THE RESULTS OF MANN-WHITNEY TEST BETWEEN BASELINE APPROACHES AND NETWORK ANALYSIS APPROACHES FOR 7 SUBJECTS

Subject	CSP v.s. PCC	CSP v.s. PLV	CSP v.s. TE	FBCSP v.s. PCC	FBCSP v.s. PLV	FBCSP v.s. TE
	p > 0.05	p > 0.05	p > 0.05	p < 0.05	p < 0.05	p < 0.05
2	p > 0.05	p > 0.05	p > 0.05	p < 0.05	p < 0.05	p < 0.05
3	p < 0.05	p < 0.05	p < 0.05	p < 0.05	p < 0.05	p < 0.05
4	p < 0.05	p < 0.05	p > 0.05	p < 0.05	p < 0.05	p < 0.05
5	p < 0.05	p > 0.05	p < 0.05	p < 0.05	p < 0.05	p > 0.05
6	p > 0.05	p > 0.05	p > 0.05	p > 0.05	p > 0.05	p > 0.05
7	p > 0.05	p > 0.05	p > 0.05	p < 0.05	p > 0.05	p < 0.05

Fig. 8. Temporal features of spectral powers under conditions of motor and resting tasks for Sub.2.

Relating these changes to post-stroke neuropsychological variables and motor abilities would improve understanding of functional plasticity after stroke. However, fisher scores of all features were evenly distributed for these subjects. It was indicated that CSP and connectivity features were reliable and effective for pattern recognitions in the experiments. Hence, the combination of CSP and TE could be considered as the first option for BCI rehabilitation according to the above findings of neurophysiological reliability.

C. Comparison of Feature Distribution

In previous studies, CSP and FBCSP features were commonly applied for motor-modality BCI tasks [59]. Nevertheless, they performed poorly in our experiments for decoding bio-signals of motor attempts. This might be due to brain damage corresponding to limbs control. The typical temporal-spatial features of spectral powers had been illustrated in Fig. 8 and Fig. 9. Specifically, the ERD/ERS pattern had been observed in the alpha and beta bands. Therefore, CSP-based spatial filtering analysis was ineffective in discriminating motor-modality task and resting state.

The network linkages of Sub.2 were illustrated in Fig. 10. We observed that the network connectivity of motor tasks was denser than that of the resting task for 3 methods. The comparison of network patterns indicated that connectivity pattern was feasible for detecting the difference between these two cognitive activities.

Moreover, the network linkage of the TE indicator implied that the directions of the resting task were towards the

Fig. 9. Scalp spatial powers distributions between 0.1 Hz and 40 Hz at 4 time points under conditions of motor and resting tasks for Sub.3.

Fig. 10. The network linkages constructed by PCC, PLV, and TE methods under conditions of motor and resting tasks for Sub. 5. The straight lines depict undirected connections, and the arrow lines depict directional connections.

unilateral hemisphere. And the directions of motor tasks were disordered displayed in the network topology. The difference between two tasks was helpful for classifying these tasks. Compared with other undirected connectivity patterns, the feature of direction played a more effective role in improving the performances of classification tasks.

Besides, we reported the quantitative connectivity matrix computed by PCC under conditions of motor and resting

Fig. 11. The quantitative connectivity matrix computed by PCC method under conditions of motor and resting tasks for Sub.3.

tasks. (Fig. 11). It was associated with the above investigation of network analysis. The connectivity strength of motor tasks was higher than that of resting task at the motor cortex. It was implied that neural connectivity should be involved to provide related training programs in the recovery.

D. Limitation of Our Works

The possible limitation of the current study would be that we did not give a method of optimal selection for connectivity indicators (e.g., PCC, PLV and TE). In future work, we will perform BCI experiments conducted by enough patients to evaluate the effectiveness of these connectivity patterns and provide the alternative network features for motor-modality -based BCI classifications. Moreover, none of female stroke patients were recruited owing to personal willingness. However, it was reported that a significant interaction between experimenters and participantsgender was found on the evolution of movement-related BCI [60]. Therefore, we will try to evaluate the BCI performances for female patients by their voluntary recruitment in future. The interaction between genders will be discussed to further benefit from it while preventing any bias.

VI. CONCLUSION

Motor-modality -based BCI can promote neural rehabilitation for stroke patients. This paper introduced a novel approach combining connectivity network pattern and temporal-spatial analysis is proposed for BCI-enabled rehabilitation. Effectiveness was evaluated by real-world experiments for improving the performance of BCI classification. Furthermore, the results demonstrated that our approach outperforms several competitive state-of-the-art baselines. This proposed method is practical for stroke patients via by BCI rehabilitation, which is demonstrated by statistical analysis of time consumption and performance comparison.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. J. Daly and J. R. Wolpaw, "Brain–computer interfaces in neurological rehabilitation," *Lancet Neurol.*, vol. 7, no. 11, pp. 1032–1043, 2008.
- [2] M. Song and J. Kim, "A paradigm to enhance motor imagery using rubber hand illusion induced by visuo-tactile stimulus," *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng.*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 477–486, Mar. 2019.
- [3] C. M. J. M. Dourado, Jr., S. P. P. da Silva, R. V. M. da Nóbrega, A. C. D. S. Barros, P. P. R. Filho, and V. H. C. de Albuquerque, "Deep learning IoT system for online stroke detection in skull computed tomography images," *Comput. Netw.*, vol. 152, pp. 25–39, Apr. 2019.
- [4] Z. Bai, K. N. K. Fong, J. J. Zhang, J. Chan, and K. H. Ting, "Immediate and long-term effects of BCI-based rehabilitation of the upper extremity after stroke: A systematic review and meta-analysis," *J. NeuroEng. Rehabil.*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 1–20, Dec. 2020.
- [5] R. Mane, T. Chouhan, and C. Guan, "BCI for stroke rehabilitation: Motor and beyond," *J. Neural Eng.*, vol. 17, no. 4, Aug. 2020, Art. no. 041001.
- [6] M. A. Khan, R. Das, H. K. Iversen, and S. Puthusserypady, "Review on motor imagery based BCI systems for upper limb post-stroke neurorehabilitation: From designing to application," *Comput. Biol. Med.*, vol. 123, Aug. 2020, Art. no. 103843.
- [7] N. Cheng *et al.*, "Brain-computer interface-based soft robotic glove rehabilitation for stroke," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 67, no. 12, pp. 3339–3351, Dec. 2020.
- [8] A. Demofonti, G. Carpino, L. Zollo, and M. J. Johnson, "Affordable robotics for upper limb stroke rehabilitation in developing countries: A systematic review," *IEEE Trans. Med. Robot. Bionics*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 11–20, Feb. 2021.
- [9] A. A. Frolov *et al.*, "Electrical, hemodynamic, and motor activity in BCI post-stroke rehabilitation: Clinical case study," *Frontiers Neurol.*, vol. 9, p. 1135, Dec. 2018.
- [10] D. L. Perez *et al.*, "Neuroimaging in functional neurological disorder: State of the field and research agenda," *NeuroImage, Clin.*, vol. 30, 2021, Art. no. 102623.
- [11] C. M. Stinear, M.-C. Smith, and W. D. Byblow, "Prediction tools for stroke rehabilitation," *Stroke*, vol. 50, no. 11, pp. 3314–3322, Nov. 2019.
- [12] M. A. Cervera *et al.*, "Brain-computer interfaces for post-stroke motor rehabilitation: A meta-analysis," *Ann. Clin. Transl. Neurol.*, vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 651–663, 2018.
- [13] J. Pan et al., "Prognosis for patients with cognitive motor dissociation identified by brain-computer interface," *Brain*, vol. 143, no. 4, pp. 1177–1189, Apr. 2020.
- [14] M. Kawakami *et al.*, "Change in reciprocal inhibition of the forearm with motor imagery among patients with chronic stroke," *Neural Plasticity*, vol. 2018, pp. 1–9, Oct. 2018.
- [15] A. Casey, H. Azhar, M. Grzes, and M. Sakel, "BCI controlled robotic arm as assistance to the rehabilitation of neurologically disabled patients," *Disab. Rehabil., Assistive Technol.*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 525–537, 2021.
- [16] J. J. Q. Zhang, K. N. K. Fong, N. Welage, and K. P. Y. Liu, "The activation of the mirror neuron system during action observation and action execution with mirror visual feedback in stroke: A systematic review," *Neural Plasticity*, vol. 2018, pp. 1–14, Oct. 2018.
- [17] L. Pillette, F. Lotte, B. N'Kaoua, P.-A. Joseph, C. Jeunet, and B. Glize, "Why we should systematically assess, control and report somatosensory impairments in BCI-based motor rehabilitation after stroke studies, *NeuroImage, Clin.*, vol. 28, Jan. 2020, Art. no. 102417.
- [18] D. Delisle-Rodriguez *et al.*, "System based on subject-specific bands to recognize pedaling motor imagery: Towards a BCI for lower-limb rehabilitation," *J. Neural Eng.*, vol. 16, no. 5, Oct. 2019, Art. no. 056005.
- [19] J. Jin, Z. Wang, R. Xu, C. Liu, X. Wang, and A. Cichocki, "Robust similarity measurement based on a novel time filter for SSVEPs detection," *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst.*, early access, Oct. 14, 2021, doi: [10.1109/TNNLS.2021.3118468.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2021.3118468)
- [20] Z. Yuan *et al.*, "Effect of BCI-controlled pedaling training system with multiple modalities of feedback on motor and cognitive function rehabilitation of early subacute stroke patients," *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng.*, vol. 29, pp. 2569–2577, 2021.
- [21] Y. Zhang, C. S. Nam, G. Zhou, J. Jin, X. Wang, and A. Cichocki, "Temporally constrained sparse group spatial patterns for motor imagery BCI," *IEEE Trans. Cybern.*, vol. 49, no. 9, pp. 3322–3332, Sep. 2019.
- [22] J. Jin *et al.*, "Optimization of model training based on iterative minimum covariance determinant in motor-imagery BCI," *Int. J. Neural Syst.*, vol. 31, no. 7, Jul. 2021, Art. no. 2150030.
- [23] G. Pfurtscheller, C. Brunner, A. Schlögl, and F. H. L. da Silva, "Mu rhythm (de) synchronization and EEG single-trial classification of different motor imagery tasks," *NeuroImage*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 153–159, 2006.
- [24] H. Ramoser, J. Müller-Gerking, and G. Pfurtscheller, "Optimal spatial filtering of single trial EEG during imagined hand movement," *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng.*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 441–446, Dec. 2000.
- [25] B. Blankertz, R. Tomioka, S. Lemm, M. Kawanabe, and K. R. Müller, "Optimizing spatial filters for robust EEG single-trial analysis," *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 41–56, Jan. 2008.
- [26] F. Lotte and C. Guan, "Regularizing common spatial patterns to improve BCI designs: Unified theory and new algorithms," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 355–362, Feb. 2011.
- [27] V. Mishuhina and X. Jiang, "Feature weighting and regularization of common spatial patterns in EEG-based motor imagery BCI," *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 783–787, Jun. 2018.
- [28] K. K. Ang, Z. Y. Chin, C. Wang, C. Guan, and H. Zhang, "Filter bank common spatial pattern algorithm on BCI competition IV datasets 2a and 2b," *Frontiers Neurosci.*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 39, 2012.
- [29] A. Jiang, J. Shang, X. Liu, Y. Tang, H. K. Kwan, and Y. Zhu, "Efficient CSP algorithm with spatio-temporal filtering for motor imagery classification," *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng.*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 1006–1016, Apr. 2020.
- [30] Y. Miao *et al.*, "Learning common time-frequency-spatial patterns for motor imagery classification," *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng.*, vol. 29, pp. 699–707, 2021.
- [31] J. Jin, C. Liu, I. M. Y. Daly, S. Li, X. Wang, and A. Cichocki, "Bispectrum-based channel selection for motor imagery based braincomputer interfacing," *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng.*, vol. 28, no. 10, pp. 2153–2163, Oct. 2020.
- [32] P. Gaur, H. Gupta, A. Chowdhury, K. McCreadie, R. B. Pachori, and H. Wang, "A sliding window common spatial pattern for enhancing motor imagery classification in EEG-BCI," *IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas.*, vol. 70, pp. 1–9, 2021.
- [33] S. Xu, L. Zhu, W. Kong, Y. Peng, H. Hu, and J. Cao, "A novel classification method for EEG-based motor imagery with narrow band spatial filters and deep convolutional neural network," *Cogn. Neurodyn.*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 379–389, Apr. 2022.
- [34] K. K. Ang et al., "Brain-computer interface-based robotic end effector system for wrist and hand rehabilitation: Results of a three-armed randomized controlled trial for chronic stroke," *Frontiers Neuroeng.*, vol. 7, p. 30, Jul. 2014.
- [35] U. Talukdar, S. M. Hazarika, and J. Q. Gan, "Adaptive feature extraction in EEG-based motor imagery BCI: Tracking mental fatigue," *J. Neural Eng.*, vol. 17, no. 1, Jan. 2020, Art. no. 016020.
- [36] M. Li, Y. Liu, Y. Wu, S. Liu, J. Jia, and L. Zhang, "Neurophysiological substrates of stroke patients with motor imagery-based brain-computer interface training," *Int. J. Neurosci.*, vol. 124, no. 6, pp. 403–415, Jun. 2014.
- [37] P.-J. Lin et al., "CNN-based prognosis of BCI rehabilitation using EEG from first session BCI training," *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng.*, vol. 29, pp. 1936–1943, 2021.
- [38] F. Xu *et al.*, "A transfer learning framework based on motor imagery rehabilitation for stroke," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1–9, Dec. 2021.
- [39] J. Jin *et al.*, "A novel classification framework using the graph representations of electroencephalogram for motor imagery based braincomputer interface," *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng.*, vol. 30, pp. 20–29, 2021.
- [40] M.-C. Corsi *et al.*, "Functional disconnection of associative cortical areas predicts performance during BCI training," *NeuroImage*, vol. 209, Apr. 2020, Art. no. 116500.
- [41] C. Stam, B. Jones, G. Nolte, M. Breakspear, and P. Scheltens, "Smallworld networks and functional connectivity in Alzheimer's disease," *Cerebral Cortex*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 92–99, 2007.
- [42] M. Ahmadlou and H. Adeli, "Functional community analysis of brain: A new approach for EEG-based investigation of the brain pathology,' *NeuroImage*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 401–408, 2011.
- [43] M. Case *et al.*, "Characterization of functional brain activity and connectivity using EEG and fMRI in patients with sickle cell disease," *NeuroImage, Clin.*, vol. 14, pp. 1–17, Jan. 2017.
- [44] N. Alotaibi and K. Maharatna, "Classification of autism spectrum disorder from EEG-based functional brain connectivity analysis," *Neural Comput.*, vol. 33, no. 7, pp. 1914–1941, 2021.
- [45] C. Huang, Y. Zong, J. Chen, W. Liu, J. Lloret, and M. Mukherjee, "A deep segmentation network of stent structs based on IoT for interventional cardiovascular diagnosis," *IEEE Wireless Commun.*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 36–43, Jun. 2021.
- [46] X. Ma, S. Qiu, W. Wei, S. Wang, and H. He, "Deep channel-correlation network for motor imagery decoding from the same limb," *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng.*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 297–306, Jan. 2020.
- [47] S.-E. Moon, C.-J. Chen, C.-J. Hsieh, J.-L. Wang, and J.-S. Lee, "Emotional EEG classification using connectivity features and convolutional neural networks," *Neural Netw.*, vol. 132, pp. 96–107, Dec. 2020.
- [48] S. Aydore, D. Pantazis, and R. M. Leahy, "A note on the phase locking value and its properties," *NeuroImage*, vol. 74, pp. 231–244, Jul. 2013.
- [49] C. Yang, R. L. B. Jeannès, J.-J. Bellanger, and H. Shu, "A new strategy for model order identification and its application to transfer entropy for EEG signals analysis," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 60, no. 5, pp. 1318–1327, May 2012.
- [50] V. K. Benzy, A. P. Vinod, R. Subasree, S. Alladi, and K. Raghavendra, "Motor imagery hand movement direction decoding using brain computer interface to aid stroke recovery and rehabilitation," *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng.*, vol. 28, no. 12, pp. 3051–3062, Dec. 2020.
- [51] M. Hamedi, S. H. Salleh, and A. M. Noor, "Electroencephalographic motor imagery brain connectivity analysis for BCI: A review," *Neural Comput.*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 999–1041, Jun. 2016.
- [52] C. Brunner, R. Scherer, B. Graimann, G. Supp, and G. Pfurtscheller, "Online control of a brain-computer interface using phase synchronization," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 53, no. 12, pp. 2501–2506, Dec. 2006.
- [53] L. Gu, Z. Yu, T. Ma, H. Wang, Z. Li, and H. Fan, "EEG-based classification of lower limb motor imagery with brain network analysis," *Neuroscience*, vol. 436, pp. 93–109, Jun. 2020.
- [54] W.-Y. Hsu, "Single-trial motor imagery classification using asymmetry ratio, phase relation, wavelet-based fractal, and their selected combination," *Int. J. Neural Syst.*, vol. 23, no. 2, Apr. 2013, Art. no. 1350007.
- [55] B. Z. Allison and C. Neuper, "Could anyone use a BCI?" in *Brain-Computer Interfaces*. London, U.K.: Springer, 2010, pp. 35–54.
- [56] X. Zhao, H. Zhang, G. Zhu, F. You, S. Kuang, and L. Sun, "A multibranch 3D convolutional neural network for EEG-based motor imagery classification," *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng.*, vol. 27, no. 10, pp. 2164–2177, Oct. 2019.
- [57] K. Belwafi, O. Romain, S. Gannouni, F. Ghaffari, R. Djemal, and B. Ouni, "An embedded implementation based on adaptive filter bank for brain–computer interface systems," *J. Neurosci. Methods*, vol. 305, pp. 1–16, Jul. 2018.
- [58] A. M. Bastos and J.-M. Schoffelen, "A tutorial review of functional connectivity analysis methods and their interpretational pitfalls," *Frontiers Syst. Neurosci.*, vol. 9, p. 175, Jan. 2016.
- [59] J. Jin, R. Xiao, I. Daly, Y. Miao, X. Wang, and A. Cichocki, "Internal feature selection method of CSP based on L1-norm and Dempster–Shafer theory," *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst.*, vol. 32, no. 11, pp. 4814–4825, Nov. 2021.
- [60] L. Pillette, A. Roc, B. N'Kaoua, and F. Lotte, "Experimenters' influence on mental-imagery based brain-computer interface user training," *Int. J. Hum.-Comput. Stud.*, vol. 149, May 2021, Art. no. 102603.