

Received 5 June 2022, accepted 6 July 2022, date of publication 21 July 2022, date of current version 29 July 2022. Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3193233

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Enhanced Chaotic Manta Ray Foraging Algorithm for Function Optimization and Optimal Wind Farm Layout Problem

FATIMA DAQAQ^{®1,2}, RACHID ELLAIA², MOHAMMED OUASSAID^{®1}, (Senior Member, IEEE), HOSSAM M. ZAWBAA^{®3,4}, AND SALAH KAMEL^{®5}

¹Engineering for Smart and Sustainable Systems Research Center, Mohammadia School of Engineers, Mohammed V University in Rabat, Rabat 10090, Morocco ²Laboratory of Study and Research for Applied Mathematics, Mohammadia School of Engineers, Mohammed V University in Rabat, Rabat 10090, Morocco ³Faculty of Computers and Artificial Intelligence, Beni-Suef University, Beni-Suef 62511, Egypt

⁴CeADAR Irelands Center for Applied AI, Technological University Dublin, Dublin, D07 EWV4 Ireland

⁵Electrical Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Aswan University, Aswan 81542, Egypt

Corresponding authors: Hossam M. Zawbaa (hossam.zawbaa@gmail.com) and Fatima Daqaq (fati.daqaq@gmail.com)

This work was supported by the National Research and Development Agency of Chile (ANID) under Grant ANID/Fondap/15110019. The work of Hossam M. Zawbaa was supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Enterprise Ireland under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant 847402.

ABSTRACT Manta ray foraging optimization (MRFO) algorithm is relatively a novel bio-inspired optimization technique directed to given real-world engineering problems. In this present work, wind turbines layout (WTs) inside a wind farm is considered a real nonlinear optimization problem. In spite of the better convergence of MRFO, it gets stuck into local optima for large problems. The chaotic sequences are among the performed techniques used to tackle this shortcoming and improve the global search ability. Therefore, ten chaotic maps have been embedded into MRFO. To affirm the performance of the suggested chaotic approach CMRFO, it was first assessed using the IEEE CEC-2017 benchmark functions. This examination has been systematically compared to eight well-known optimization algorithms and the original MRFO. The non-parametric Wilcoxon statistical analysis significantly demonstrates the superiority of CMRFO as it ranks first in most test suites. Secondly, the MRFO and its best enhanced chaotic version were tested on the complex problem of finding the optimal locations of wind turbines within a wind farm. Besides, the application of the CMRFO to the wind farm layout optimization (WFLO) problem aims to minimize the cost per unit power output and increase the wind-farm efficiency and the electrical power engendered by all WTs. Two representative scenarios of the problem have been dealt with a square-shaped farm installed on an area of $2 \text{ km} \times 2 \text{ km}$, including variable wind direction with steady wind speed, and both wind direction and speed are variable. The WFLO outcomes reveal the CMRFO capability to find the optimal locations of WTs, which generates a maximum power for the minimum cost compared to three stochastic approaches and other previous studies. At last, the suggested CMRFO with Singer chaotic sequence has been successfully enhanced by accelerating the convergence and providing better accuracy to find the global optimum.

INDEX TERMS Chaotic sequences, manta ray foraging optimization, stochastic optimization, wake effect, wind farm layout, wind turbines.

NOMENCLATURE	AOA	Arithmetic optimization algorithm.
a Axial induction factor.	BWOA	Black widow optimization algorithm.
ABC Artificial bee colony.	С	Chaotic variables.
	CEC-2017	Congress on evolutionary computation 2017.
The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and	CMRFO	Chaotic manta ray foraging optimization.
approving it for publication was Ioannis Schizas ^(D) .	d	Dimension of the problem.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 License. For more information, see https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

D	Rotor diameter.
DDOA	Dynamic differential annealed optimization.
α	Entrainment constant.
h	Hub height.
HHO	Harris hawks optimization.
Lb	Lower bound.
LFD	Levy flight distribution.
MRFO	Manta ray foraging optimization.
N	Population size.
r	Rotor radius.
r_1	Downstream rotor radius.
r_2	Wake region radius.
SCA	Sine cosine algorithm.
SSA	Salp swarm algorithm.
Т	Maximum iteration.
Ub	Upper bound.
u_{∞}	Free incident wind speed.
WFLO	Wind farm layout optimization.
WTs	Wind turbines.

I. INTRODUCTION

78346

The development of renewable energy is a priority of the worldwide energy strategy marked by reducing electrical power output costs. Besides, renewable energy plays an important role in future necessities by keeping a clean, safe and better environment, and that is why often denoted as clean energy or green energy. Generally, solar, wind, biomass, hydroelectric, and geothermal power are all referred to as clean sources. Over the last two decades, wind energy has increasingly received worldwide attention among other various kinds of energies and has become an important power source. The global world wind energy installed capacity was 539.1 GW at the end of 2017 and is predicted to achieve 840 GW by 2022 [1]. Generally, in the field of renewable energy, wind power is usually produced by wind turbines that convert kinetic energy into electrical power. Therefore, one of the techniques used to raise the rate of wind power production is to improve wind farm planning by optimizing the placement of wind turbines in a wind park.

As wind farm layout optimization is a complicated task, many extensive efforts have been addressed using metaheuristic optimization algorithms to maximize the energy output and efficiency at a minimum cost per unit. It is worth noting that the first optimization approach used for the WFLO problem is outlined in the work of Mosetti et al. in 1994 [2]. They employed the wake model developed by Jensen [3]. This approach is called a genetic algorithm; it extracts a maximum output power at a minimum cost per unit value. For the same algorithm applied by Mosetti, several works employed GA and its variants [4]-[12]. Referring to [13], the authors attempted to solve the power produced by a wind farm using the particle swarm optimization approach (PSO). This last was developed by many researchers in order to find the improved layout of the wind farm as demonstrated in [14] - [18]. The main objectives of all these studies are focused on enhancing the power output in wind farm layouts. In this regard, more computation intelligence approaches have been improved and introduced to solve this problem such as: evolutionary algorithm (EA) [19], monte carlo simulation [20], greedy algorithm [21], simulated annealing (SA) [22], sequential convex programming [23], random search algorithm (RSA) [24]-[27], multi-objective random search algorithm (MORSA) [28], ant colony (AC) [29], ant lion optimization (ALO) [30], sparrow search algorithm (SSA) [31], single-objective hybrid optimizer (SOHO) [32], binary invasive weed optimization (BIWO) [33], [34]; differential evolution(DE) [35], Jaya algorithm [36], integer programming [37], success history based adaptive differential evolution (L-SHADE) [38], cuckoo search (CS) [39], [40]; biogeography-based optimization (BBO) [41], multiteam perturbation-guiding jaya (MTPG-Jaya) [42], water cycle optimization (WCO) [43], dynastic optimization algorithm (DOA) [44], binary most valuable player algorithm (BMVPA) [45], adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system (ANFIS) [46], extended pattern search algorithm (EPS) [47].

In this present study, the optimal wind turbine layout was for the first time performed based on a modified new inspired evolutionary algorithm recently developed in 2020 by Zhao et al. [48]; named manta ray foraging optimization (MRFO). In that respect, many previous pieces of research focused on the application of MRFO and its variants in numerous research areas, including electrical engineering. For instance, the authors in [49] have examined the global maximum power point (GMPP) of partially shaded MJSC PV array applying the MRFO algorithm. In addition, fahd et al. [50] applied the standard MRFO to perform the dynamic operation for connecting PV into the grid system. Regarding the work of Selem et al. [51], the MRFO was applied to define the unknown electrical parameters of proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFC) stacks, which is considered a constrained optimization problem. El-Hameed et al. [52] used MRFO to solve the solar module parameters identifications of three diode equivalent models (3DeM). In the field of speech emotion feature selection, Chattopadhyay et al. [53] utilized MRFO to recognize emotion from speech signals in order to select the reliable features for classification and discard the redundant ones. Besides, in an attempt to ameliorate the performance of the suggested approach, Dalia et al. [54] introduced a modified MRFO by using fractional-order optimization algorithms in order to enhance its exploitation ability. Furthermore, another alteration occurred by merging MRFO with the simulated annealing algorithm (SA) to tune the parameters for the proportional-integral-derivative (PID) controller. In their work, the SA was integrated as the initial population of MRFO with the aim of raising its convergence speed [55]. Referring to [56], a binary version of MRFO has been proposed using four S-Shaped and four V-Shaped transfer functions for the feature selection problem. In the bio-medical area, Karrupusamy utilized a hybrid MRFO to identify the issue in existing brain tumors by using a convolutional neural



(a) Manta ray in the ocean

FIGURE 1. Manta ray body form.

network as a classifier that classifies the features and supplies optimal classification results [57]. Within the scope of COVID-19, a hybrid MRFO with differential evolution (DE) was employed as a methodology for diagnosing the disease based on the lungs x-ray images of the potential patients [58]. As opposed to the single optimization algorithm, a multiobjective manta ray foraging optimization (MO-MRFO) based weighted sum was utilized to perform the allocation and size of distributed generations (DG) [59]. In their work, the objective functions were converted to a single objective optimization problem using the weighted factors. In this sense, Shaheen et al. have applied MO-MRFO to handle the OPF problem for hybrid AC and multi-terminal direct current (MTDC) power grids [60]. Additionally, in an effort to resolve the optimal power flow incorporating wind/solar/small-hydro power, a multi-objective version of MRFO is suggested in [61].

Along these lines, the significant powerful features of MRFO and its borrowed evolutionary algorithms mentioned above, motivated us to improve a novel variant of MRFO based on chaos sequences, named chaotic manta ray foraging optimization (CMRFO), for solving the complex wind farm layout design issue. However, no research in the literature extends the MRFO algorithm to deal with the WFLO problem. Besides, it is widely known that combining the meta-heuristic methods with chaotic maps increases the algorithms' performance and convergence speed. It is seen from the literature review that there are many works which used chaotic maps such as, chaotic grasshopper optimization algorithm (GOA) [62], bat algorithm (BA) [63], bird swarm algorithm (BSA) [64], crow search algorithm (CSA) [65], genetic algorithm (GA) [66], big bang-big crunch algorithm (BB-BC) [67], krill herd algorithm (KHA) [68], artificial immune system optimization algorithm (AIS) [69], atom search optimization (ASO) [70], dragonfly algorithm (DA) [71], and gravitational search algorithm (GSA) [72], harmony search algorithm (HSA) [73], imperialist competitive algorithm (ICA) [74], grey wolf optimization (GWO) [75], particle swarm optimization (PSO) [76], moth-flame optimization (MFO) [77], salp swarm algorithm (SSA) [78], symbiotic organisms search algorithm (SOS) [79], cuckoo search algorithm (CSA) [80], electromagnetic field optimization (EFO) [81], biogeography-based optimization (BBO) [82], etc.



(b) Parts of a manta ray, dorsal and ventral

The principal contributions of this current paper can be summarized as follows:

- A selected of ten different chaotic maps have been integrated into MRFO.
- A set of twenty-nine benchmark problems of CEC-2017 is implemented to show the performance of CMRFO, including composite, hybrid, multimodal and unimodal functions.
- The best chaotic sequence is applied to the WFLO problem for the first time.
- The suggested approach is compared with the standard MRFO and other existing stochastic algorithms.

The remaining parts of this work are structured as follows: Section II introduces the fundamental mathematical formulations of the WFLO problem, including the wind turbine, wind farm, wake model, power product and cost per unit. A brief description of MRFO is provided in Section III, besides the concepts, steps and implementation of chaotic MRFO. Section IV deals with the experiments conducted in this current study. In closing, Section V concludes the study with a conclusion and a discussion of the future work of MRFO.

II. OPTIMIZATION METHODOLOGY

A. MANTA RAY FORAGING OPTIMIZATION (MRFO)

As its name signifies, the MRFO is a bio-inspired algorithm simulating the feeding behavior of manta ray marine creatures [83]. Their cephalic fin movements and body turns to make them as elegant marine critters; they swim as birds freely fly. In spite of the colossal statue of these fascinating creatures, they feed on some tiny organisms (planktons) living in the sea; accordingly, they are considered the gentle giants of the sea [84]. According to their species, Manta rays can survive in tropical, subtropical and temperate oceans. Therefore, there are two species of manta ray in nature, the giant manta ray and the reef manta. The first kind is called Mantas birostris; they are considered the giant of the two oceanic species; they can reach up to 9 m in width and have a dark color around the mouth. On the other side, Mantas alfredi is the resident in reef manta, reaching a width up to 4.5 m. The shape of a manta ray is illustrated in Fig.1. Generally, depending on the number of mantas and their swimming behavior, there are eight intelligent manta foraging strategies: chain, cyclone, somersault, surface,



FIGURE 2. Simulation model of manta ray foraging behaviors.

sideways, piggy-back, straight, and bottom feedings [85]. In terms of MRF optimization, three main mechanisms were addressed: chain, somersault, and cyclone feeding. Fig. 2. illustrates these three foraging behaviors [48]. Furthermore, the manta rays are assumed to search agents which explore the planktons' location and proceed towards them. Then the planktons at significant concentration represent the best solution.

In the manner of the population-based optimization algorithms, MRFO is first initialized by a random process as introduced in below [48]:

$$x_i = \mathbf{Lb}_i + \mathrm{rand} \times (\mathbf{Ub}_i - \mathbf{Lb}_i), \ i = 1, 2, \dots, N$$
(1)

where Ub and Lb are the maximum and minimum bounds of variables in the search space, rand is a random number between 0 and 1, and rand $\in [0,1]$.

The details of the three main behaviors are explicated and mathematically modeled in the following subsections.

1) CHAIN FORAGING

In chain feeding, the mantas foraging in the group, forming a line of dozen individuals lining up head-to-tail in horizontal movement with a fully open mouth. The female manta rays piggyback the smaller males in order to match the beating of the female's pectoral fins. The manta chain is divided into the leader, which is the manta at the front of the chain, and the followers. Therefore, the missed planktons by the previous manta rays will be consumed by the next ones. During the feeding process, each individual updates its position towards the best plankton source and towards the manta ray in front of the current individual until it reaches the best position. The following equations represent the position updating equations [48]:

$$x_{i,j}^{t+1} = \begin{cases} x_{i,j}^{t} + r_1 \left(x_{\text{best},j}^{t} - x_{i,j}^{t} \right) \\ + \alpha \left(x_{\text{best},j}^{t} - x_{i,j}^{t} \right), & i = 1 \\ x_{i,j}^{t} + r_2 \left(x_{i-1,j}^{t} - x_{i,j}^{t} \right) \\ + \alpha \left(x_{\text{best},j}^{t} - x_{i,j}^{t} \right), & i = 2, \dots, N \end{cases}$$
(2)

where $x_{i,j}$ is the position of i^{th} manta ray in j^{th} dimension, r_1 and r_2 are the random vector in range [0-1], $x_{\text{best},j}^t$ is the best plankton concentration position, α is a weight coefficient that

is expressed as [48]:

$$\alpha = 2r_3 \sqrt{|\log(r_4)|} \tag{3}$$

where r_3 and r_4 introduce the random vector in range [0-1].

2) CYCLONE FORAGING

This attitude was observed in manta alfredi species. It seems to be the previous feeding strategy, except that the chain moves in a spiral shape after the mantas find out the high concentration site of plankton. Along with this spiral behavior, each manta swims toward the one in front of it.

This spiral movement is mathematically formulated as [48]:

$$x_{i,j}^{t+1} = \begin{cases} x_{\text{best},j} + r_5 \left(x_{\text{best},j}^t - x_{i,j}^t \right) \\ + \beta \left(x_{\text{best},j}^t - x_{i,j}^t \right), & i = 1 \\ x_{\text{best},j} + r_6 \left(x_{i-1,j}^t - x_{i,j}^t \right) \\ + \beta \left(x_{\text{best},j}^t - x_{i,j}^t \right), & i = 2, \dots, N \end{cases}$$
(4)

where r_5 and r_6 present the random value in [0-1], β is the weight coefficient that is formulated as [48]:

$$\beta = 2e^{r_7 \frac{T-t+1}{T}} \sin(2\pi r_7)$$
 (5)

where r_7 denotes the random vector in range [0-1], T and t are the maximum and current iteration, respectively.

In the field of searching mechanisms, cyclone foraging possesses improved intensification and diversification processes. The exploitation potential increases based on the best plankton region found as mantas reference positions. In addition, the cyclone behavior boosts the exploration process by enforcing each manta to update its position to a new random one that is far away from the current best position. This exploration phase incites the MRFO algorithm to achieve the overall optimal solution in accordance with the mathematical equations described below [48]:

$$x_{\text{rand},j} = \text{Lb}_{j} + r_{8} (\text{Ub}_{j} - \text{Lb}_{j})$$
(6)
$$x_{i,j}^{t+1} = \begin{cases} x_{\text{rand},j} + r_{9} (x_{\text{rand},j} - x_{i,j}^{t}) \\ + \beta (x_{\text{rand},j} - x_{i,j}^{t}), & i = 1 \\ x_{\text{rand},j} + r_{10} (x_{i-1,j}^{t} - x_{ij}^{t}) \\ + \beta (x_{\text{rand},j} - x_{i,j}^{t}), & i = 2, \dots, N \end{cases}$$
(7)

VOLUME 10, 2022



Algorithm 1 Manta Ray Foraging Optimization
1: Function $x = MRFO(N, T, f(x), Ub, Lb, d)$
2: Generate a uniform random initial population of mantas <i>x</i> respect to Ub and Lb using:
3: $x_i = Lb_i + rand \times (Ub_i - Lb_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, N$
4: Compute the fitness function of each manta
5: Find the best solution x_{best} which is the plankton with high concentration in the initial population x_{best}
6: While $t < T$ (stopping criteria) do
7: Ior $i = 1$: N (each manta in the population) do 8: if rend(0, 1) < 0.5 then
8. If $fand(0, 1) < 0.5$ then $0 \qquad \qquad$
9. $7770000000000000000000000000000000000$
10. $II = II = II = II = II = II = II = II$
12: else
13: Update the mantas' position using (4)
14: end if
15: else
16: %%Chain foraging
17: Update the mantas' position using (2)
18: end if
19: Evaluate new solution of each manta $f(x_i^{t+1})$
20: if new solutions are better, $f(x_i^{t+1}) < f(x_{best})$ then
21: Update them in the population, $x_{\text{best}} = x_i^{t+1}$
22: end if
23: end for
24: %%Somersault foraging
25: for $i = 1 : N$ (each manta in the population) do
26: Update the mantas' position using (8)
27: Evaluate new solution of each manta $f(x_i^{t+1})$
28: if new solutions are better, $f(x_i^{t+1}) < f(x_{best})$ then
29: Update them in the population, $x_{\text{best}} = x_i^{t+1}$
30: end if
31: end for
32: end while
33: Output the best solution found

where $x_{rand,j}$ is the random position generated inside the search space.

3) SOMERSAULT FORAGING

As a final behavior, each manta feeds individually by somersaulting around itself, which increase the plankton intake considering a common pivot point for all manta bunch. Then, each individual updates their position around the pivot. The mathematical equation of somersault feeding is given as follows [48]:

$$x_{i,j}^{t+1} = x_{i,j}^{t} + S\left(r_{11}x_{\text{best},j} - r_{12}x_{i,j}^{t}\right), \ i = 1, 2, \dots, N$$
(8)

where r_{11} , r_{12} depict the random values between 0 and 1. S is the somersault factor, S = 2.

MRFO's diversification and intensification phases are balanced using the value of the variations t/T, which is

gradually increasing. The expression (t/T > rand) denotes the exploration stage; reversibly, exploitation process is adopted. The main steps followed in MRFO are demonstrated in Algorithm 1.

B. CHAOTIC MANTA RAY FORAGING OPTIMIZATION (CMRFO)

1) CHAOTIC THEORY

Chaos is one of the universal mathematical phenomena. It appears in some nonlinear dynamical systems. In fact, these systems are characterized by a high sensitivity to their primary conditions, in which slight variations in the initial conditions can radically lead to significant outcomes after several cycles in the system. This effect is known as the butterfly effect, which was founded by Lorenz in 1963 [86]. Chaos refers to a deterministic random-like process. Although the system model is deterministic, its behavior



FIGURE 3. Visualization of chaotic maps.

TABLE 1. Descriptions of the Chaotic maps.

No	Maps names	Maps expressions	Ranges
CMRF01	Chebyshev [88]	$x_{i+1} = \cos\left(i\cos^{-1}(x_i)\right)$	(-1,1)
CMRFO2	Logistic [89]	$x_{i+1} = ax_i(1-x_i), a = 4$	(0,1)
CMRFO3	Piecewise [82]	$x_{i+1} = \begin{cases} \frac{x_i}{P} & 0 \le x_i \prec P\\ \frac{x_i - P}{0.5 - P} & P \le x_i \prec 0.5\\ \frac{1 - P - x_i}{0.5 - P} & 0.5 \le x_i < 1 - P\\ \frac{1 - x_i}{1 - x_i} & 1 - P \le x_i \prec 1 \end{cases}$	(0,1)
CMRFO4	Sine [82]	$x_{i+1} = \frac{a}{4}\sin(\pi x_i), a = 4$	(0,1)
CMRFO5	Singer [82]	$x_{i+1} = \mu \left(7.86x_i - 23.31x_i^2 + 28.75x_i^3 - 13.302875x_i^4 \right), \ \mu = 1.07$	(0,1)
CMRFO6	Sinusoidal [82]	$x_{i+1} = ax_i^2 \sin(\pi x_i), a = 2.3$	(0,1)
CMRF07	Tent [90]	$x_{i+1} = \begin{cases} rac{x_i}{0.7} & x_i \prec 0 \ rac{10}{2} (1-x_i) & x_i \ge 0.7 \end{cases}$	(0,1)
CMRFO8	Lozi [91]	$y_{i+1} = 1 - 1.7 y_i + x_i$	(-1,1)
CMRFO9	Henon [66]	$ \begin{array}{l} x_{i+1} = 0.5y_i \\ x_{i+1} = 1 - ax_i^2 + y_i, a = 1.4 \\ y_{i+1} = bx_i, b = 0.3 \end{array} $	(0,1)
CMRFO10	Quadratic [92]	$x_{i+1} = a - x_i^2, a = 1.4$	(0,1)

appears stochastic. This means that the future behavior of such systems is entirely determined by their initial chaotic variables, without any random variable being involved. The chaotic systems exhibit the following characteristics: nonlinearity, ergodicity, determinism, hypersensitivity, unpredictability, and irregularity. The chaotic dynamical systems study can be formulated with a discrete function of dimension 1 as follows [87]:

$$x(k+1) = f(x(k)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \ k \in \mathbb{N}^*$$
(9)

Lately, chaos maps have been widely employed for adjusting the stochastic algorithm parameters, due to the fact that chaos often offers high searching behavior compared to stochastic variables.

2) DIFFERENT CHAOTIC SEQUENCES FOR MRFO

In this section, the chaotic maps employed for improving the MRFO are first presented, and then the steps followed for embedding them are described. Ten chaotic variants are considered in this article as: Chebyshev [88], Logistic [89], Piecewise [82], Sine [82], Singer [82], Sinusoidal [82], Tent [90], Lozi [91], Henon [66], Quadratic [92]. Furthermore, there are more maps have been used to improve the suggested approach but have not been presented due to the worst results found. The visualization of all chaotic maps utilized is shown in Fig. 3. The mathematical formulations for all these chaotic variants are listed in Table 1, their ranges are shifted to be in the interval of (0,1) with an initial value of 0.7 ($x_0 = 0.7$).

It is widely known that in any nature-inspired optimization algorithm, there is always a scope for modifications, avoiding the premature convergences to escape from different local optima and exploring more accurate solutions. From this perspective, the chaos maps dynamical features were widely used to overcome these shortcomings, enhance the intensification and diversification processes, and appraise the performance of stochastic algorithms. For this purpose, using the chaotic mapping mechanism with the standard MRFO in both search strategies and speed parameters achieves its ability to find the global optimum for the complex high-dimensional problem. The chaotic manta ray foraging optimization CMRFO was generated by substituting random values in the basic MRFO with a chaotic variables, especially the random values r_1 , r_2 , r_5 , r_6 , r_8 , and r_{11} as explained in Algorithm 2.

III. PROBLEM FORMULATION

In this section, the wind farm mathematical modeling will be discussed. Like the assumptions done in the previous works, the Jensen wake model [3] is considered in this study; in



Algorithm 2 Chaotic Manta Ray Foraging Optimization
1: Function $x = \text{CMRFO}(N, T, f(x), \text{Ub}, \text{Lb}, d, C)$
2: Generate a uniform random initial population of mantas x respect to Ub and Lb using:
3: $x_i = Lb_i + rand \times (Ub_i - Lb_i), i = 1, 2,, N$
4: Compute the fitness function of each manta
5: Find the best solution x_{best} which is the plankton with high concentration in the initial population
6: while $t < T$ (stopping criteria) do
7: for $i = 1 : N$ (each manta in the population) do
8: if $rand(0, 1) < 0.5$ then
9: %%Cyclone foraging
10: if $t/T < rand(0, 1)$ then
11: $x_{\text{rand}} = Lb + C(t)(Ub - Lb)$
12: $x_{i}^{t+1} = \begin{cases} x_{\text{rand}} + r_9 (x_{\text{rand}} - x_{i}^{t}) + \beta (x_{\text{rand}} - x_{i}^{t}), & i = 1 \\ x_{\text{rand}} + r_{10} (x_{i-1}^{t} - x_{i}^{t}) + \beta (x_{\text{rand}} - x_{i}^{t}), & i = 2, \dots, N \end{cases}$
13: else $(r_{1}, r_{2}) + C(t)(r_{2}, r_{1}) + R(r_{2}, r_{2}) + i = 1$
14: $x_{i}^{t+1} = \begin{cases} x_{\text{best}} + C(t) (x_{\text{best}} - x_{i}) + \beta (x_{\text{best}} - x_{i}), \ t = 1\\ x_{\text{best}} + C(t) (x_{i-1}^{t} - x_{i}^{t}) + \beta (x_{\text{best}} - x_{i}^{t}), \ i = 2, \dots, N \end{cases}$
15: end if
16: else
17: % Chain foraging $\left[x^{t} + C(t) \left(x - x^{t} \right) + \alpha \left(x - x^{t} \right) \right] = 1$
18: $x_i^{t+1} = \begin{cases} x_i + C(t) (x_{\text{best}} - x_i) + \alpha (x_{\text{best}} - x_i), \ t = 1 \\ x_i^t + C(t) (x_{i-1}^t - x_i^t) + \alpha (x_{\text{best}} - x_i^t), \ i = 2, \dots, N \end{cases}$
19: end if $(+1)$
20: Evaluate new solution of each manta $f(x_i^{i+1})$
21: if new solutions are better, $f(x_i^{t+1}) < f(x_{best})$ then
22: Update them in the population, $x_{\text{best}} = x_i^{t+1}$
23: end if
24: end for
25: %%Somersault foraging
26: for $i = 1 : N$ (each manta in the population) do
27: $x_i^{i+1} = x_i^i + S(C(t)x_{\text{best}} - r_{12}x_i^i)), i = 1, 2, \dots, N$
28: Evaluate new solution of each manta $f(x_i^{t+1})$
29: if new solutions are better, $f\left(x_i^{t+1}\right) < f\left(x_{best}\right)$ then
30: Update them in the population, $x_{\text{best}} = x_i^{i+1}$
31: end if
32: end for
33: end while
34: Output the best solution found

addition to a square-shaped wind farm area $(2 \text{ km} \times 2 \text{ km})$ partitioned into 100 possible turbine positions, each cell dimension is taken to be 200 m \times 200 m, and each wind turbine is installed at the center of each cell with a hub height of 60 m and a rotor diameter of 40 m. The wind farm grid model in use is presented in Fig. 4(a).

A. WAKE MODEL

As mentioned previously, the wake model utilized for computing the extracted actual power from turbines and getting a wind velocity decay is the Jensens wake effect model, which is assumed to be the most used analytical model. In this model, the momentum is conserved inside the wake. After the wind flows through the turbine, the wind speed decreases and the turbulence intensity increases, forming the wake. As depicted in Fig. 4(b)., the wake region can be modelled as a conical region.

The wind speed inside the wake region subject to only one wake is expressed as [4]:

$$u = u_{\infty} \left[1 - \frac{2a}{\left(1 + \alpha \frac{x}{r_1}\right)^2} \right]$$
(10)

where, $a = \frac{1-\sqrt{1-C_T}}{2}$, $\alpha = \frac{0.5}{\ln(h/z_0)}$, $r_1 = r\sqrt{\frac{1-a}{1-2a}}$ u_{∞} is the free incident wind speed, *a* is the axial induction factor, α the entrainment constant, *x* is the distance between upstream and downstream turbine, C_T is the wind turbine thrust coefficient, r_1 is the downstream rotor radius, *r* is the



FIGURE 4. Schematic of wind farm layout and Jensen wake effect model.

rotor radius, h is the hub height of the turbines, z_0 is the surface roughness of wind farm.

The radius of the wake region r_2 is a function of downwind distance x [4]:

$$r_2 = \alpha x + r_1 \tag{11}$$

When multiple wakes flow, the wind speed of N turbines N_T can be calculated as below [4]:

$$u_i = u_{\infty} \left[1 - \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N_T} \left(1 - \frac{u}{u_{\infty}} \right)^2} \right]$$
(12)

B. POWER GENERATION MODEL

The power generated by i^{th} in kW turbine is given by [38]:

$$P_i = 0.5\rho\pi r^2 u_i^3 \frac{C_p}{1000}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N_T$$
(13)

where ρ , r, u_i , and C_p are the air density (1.225 kg/m³), rotor radius, the wind speed approaching the wind turbine i, and power coefficient, respectively.

The total power output in a wind park is equal to the sum of the product of each turbine power [38]:

$$P_{total} = \sum_{k=0}^{350} \sum_{i=1}^{N_T} f_k P_i(u_i)$$
(14)

where N_T is the total turbines number, f_k is the wind probability distribution for a wind speed at a specific direction k and $\sum_{k=0}^{350} f_k = 1$.

The farm efficiency is described by the following formula [38]:

$$\eta = \frac{P_{total}}{P_{total,\max}} \tag{15}$$

where $P_{\text{total,max}}$ is the maximum output power generated without any wake.

C. COST MODEL

The cost of a wind farm utilized by Mosetti *et al.* [2] is the same as applied in this paper. This cost is modelled by a

TABLE 2.	Simulation	data
----------	------------	------

Property	Value
area	2000 m ²
Hub height h	60 m
Rotor diameter 2r	40 m
Rotor efficiency C_P	0.4
Trust coefficient C_T	0.8888
Surface roughness z_0	0.3 m
Air density ρ	1.2254 kg/m ³

simple function that is related only to the number of turbines placed in the wind park.

Its mathematical expression can be represented by [2]:

Cost =
$$N_T \left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3} \exp\left(-0.00174 N_T^2 \right) \right)$$
 (16)

The objective function to be optimized in this work is the minimization of the cost per unit power generated, it can be defined as follows [38]:

Objective Function
$$= \frac{\text{Cost}}{P_{\text{total}}}$$
 (17)

This considered cost model depends only on the number of turbines installed. Their design variables have a dimension of 100. Each element vector adopts 100 discrete integer values of either 0 or 1 ($X_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\}$ where i, j = 1, 2, ..., 100). A value of "1" means the location has a turbine, while "0" indicates the location is empty. Hence, the output configuration has an unfixed number of wind turbines up to 100 WTs. During the CMRFO optimization process, any fraction value of design variables is rounded off to the nearest integer value.

In the following section, two experiences have been carried out to demonstrate that CMRFO performs better than the original approach.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For evaluating the performance of an optimization algorithm, various benchmark functions must be employed. To this end, the authors of this paper have utilized CEC-2017 functions [94] existed in literature. Besides, a complex real-world optimization problem named wind farm layout

Algorithms	Parameters	Values
ABC [95]	Number of food sources	SN/2
	Number of limit trials	$0.6 \times$ SN $\times D$
AOA [96]	α	5
	μ	0.499
HHO [97]	β	1.5
	E_0 variable	$\in [-1,1]$
SCA [98]	Number of elites a	2
BWOA [99]	pp = procreate rate	0.8
	Pm = mutation rate	0.4
	CR = cannibalism rate	0.5
DDOA [100]	Maximum temperature	2000
	Cooling rate α	0.995
LFD [101]	Threshold	2
	Comparative scalar value CSV	0.5
	β	1.5
	$lpha_1$	10
	α_2	0.00005
	α_3	0.005
	∂_1	0.9
	∂_2	0.1
SSA [102]	Leader position update probabil-	0.5
	ity	
MRFO	Somersault factor S	2

 TABLE 3. Parameters settings of the tested algorithms.

optimization (WFLO). The wind turbine properties based on preview studies are shown in Table 2. The employed benchmark tests comprise unimodal, multimodal, hybrid, and composite functions. This diversity of benchmark classification can help prove the reliability and ability to explore, exploit, and converge towards the global optimum solution of the proposed algorithm. Furthermore, the outcomes of the proposed CMRFO and MRFO on CEC-2017 test functions for a number of population size and maximum iterations of 50 and 1000, respectively, are compared to 8 significant novel approaches, named ABC [95], AOA [96], HHO [97], SCA [98], BWOA [99], DDOA [100], LFD [101], and SSA [102]. Table 3 provides the parameter settings of all these algorithms. Statistical testings such as min, mean, standard deviation, and Wilcoxon signed-rank test have been carried out over 50 independent runs.

All simulations and analyses are implemented on a personal computer core i5 with 4GB-RAM Processor @1:8GHz under Microsoft Windows 10 operating system using MATLAB 2020a programming software.

A. EVALUATION OF CMRFO ON CEC-2017 TEST ANALYSIS

In this study, the performance of CMRFO has been assessed using different sets of well-known functions, including the CEC-2017, in which some of them have global optima and others have more than one local optima. The descriptions of these selected functions, including lower, upper boundaries and minimum values, are summarized in Table 4. Function F1 represents a unimodal function, F3 to F9 represent multimodal functions, F10 to F19 represent hybrid functions, whereas F20 to F30 represent composite functions. In this experiment, the mentioned benchmark tests (F1-F30) have been addressed with various variants of CMRFO. It is worth mentioning that the unimodal functions are more suitable for assessing the potential performances of algorithms' exploitation, whereas the exploration capability can be generally checked using the multimodal functions. Dissimilar to the stated type of functions, the complex and challenging benchmark tests under the name of hybrid and composition examine the local optima avoidance. These functions are particularly appropriate for testing the algorithms' ability to solve real-world problems.

Based on the statistical mean in Table 8, it can be observed that MRFO occupied the last average rank over its variants. The optimal findings are shown in bold text and underlined. Furthermore, these outcomes obviously show that CMRFO with the singer (CMRFO5) and quadratic (CMRFO10) chaotic maps outperform the standard MRFO in most CEC-2017 functions. As it can be seen, CMRFO5 holds the first rank for F3, F4, F5, F7, F8, F13, F14, F18, F19, F20, F21, F22, F24, F26, and F27; besides, it secures the second rank for F11, F12, F16, F17, F25, F29, and F30, also the third position for F12, F28. Additionally, CMRFO5 performs better than MRFO for F1, F10, F15, F23, and F28. The quadratic map CMRFO10 reaches first place for F11, F16, F28, F29, and second for F9, F20, F21, and F22. Then, CMRF2, CMRF3, CMRF6, CMRF7, CMRF8, and CMRF9 present their best results only (F6, F23), F10, F25, F1, F12, F15, respectively. Moreover, to prove the significant differences between the CMRFO variants, the Wilcoxon rank-sum test [103] is employed; it is considered a non-parametric test that is used to determine whether two independent sets of obtained results are different statistically or not. In this context, a significance level of less than 5% signifies that the algorithms are different. According to the p-values in Table 8, the singer CMRFO5 shows the ability to outstrip the MRFO in most tests suites significantly.

Table 9 outlines the statistical results corresponding to their min, mean and std of fitness values of the winner CMRFO5 and the state-of-the-art algorithms mentioned above. The optimal outcomes are shown in bold text and underlined. Over the 29 considered functions, CMRFO5 performs better in 20 test suites; it attains the lowest mean fitness value for the various types of benchmark functions. Additionally, it can be seen that the novel developed algorithms LFD and AOA achieve the worst results in most cases.

In order to analyze the convergence performance of the algorithms, the convergence curve for 1000 iterations and 50 populations is used as a qualitative metric. The best fitness values of all competitive algorithms have been plotted according to the best run. It is obvious from Fig. 5. that CMRFO5 has a steady and speed convergence acceleration toward the global optimum. Despite the fact that CMRFO5 fails to reach the optimum results for some functions, it holds second place afterwards: ABC for F6, F9, F11, F30, BWOA for F7, F8, HHO for F25, and SSA for F27, with a very small difference. Furthermore, to assert the converging capability and stability of the winner chaotic map CMRFO5 compared to the competitors mentioned above, intensive statistical analyses have been investigated regarding the empirical

TABLE 4. Descriptions of the benchmark functions CEC-2017.

No	Name	Dim	Range	fmin
Unimodal function	name	DIII	Runge	
F1	Shifted and Rotated Bent Cigar Function	10	[-100 100]	100
Multimodal func	tions	10	[100,100]	100
F3	Shifted and Rotated Rosenbrock's Function	10	[-100.100]	300
F4	Shifted and Rotated Rastrigin's Function	10	[-100,100]	400
F5	Shifted and Rotated Expanded Scaffer's F6 Function	10	[-100.100]	500
F6	Shifted and Rotated Lunacek BiRastriginFunction	10	[-100,100]	600
F7	Shifted and Rotated Non-Continuous Rastrigin's Function	10	[-100,100]	700
F8	Shifted and Rotated Levy Function	10	[-100,100]	800
F9	Shifted and Rotated Schwefel's Function	10	[-100,100]	900
Hybrid functions	(N is basic number of functions)			
F10	Hybrid Function 1 ($N = 3$)	10	[-100,100]	1000
F11	Hybrid Function 2 ($N = 3$)	10	[-100,100]	1100
F12	Hybrid Function 3 ($N = 3$)	10	[-100,100]	1200
F13	Hybrid Function 4 ($N = 4$)	10	[-100,100]	1300
F14	Hybrid Function 5 ($N = 4$)	10	[-100,100]	1400
F15	Hybrid Function 6 ($N = 4$)	10	[-100,100]	1500
F16	Hybrid Function 6 ($N = 5$)	10	[-100,100]	1600
F17	Hybrid Function 6 ($N = 5$)	10	[-100,100]	1700
F18	Hybrid Function 6 ($N = 5$)	10	[-100,100]	1800
F19	Hybrid Function 6 ($N = 6$)	10	[-100,100]	1900
Composite functi	ons (N is basic number of functions)			
F20	Composite Function 1 ($N = 3$)	10	[-100,100]	2000
F21	Composite Function 2 ($N = 3$)	10	[-100,100]	2100
F22	Composite Function 3 $(N = 4)$	10	[-100,100]	2200
F23	Composite Function 4 ($N = 4$)	10	[-100,100]	2300
F24	Composite Function 5 ($N = 5$)	10	[-100,100]	2400
F25	Composite Function 6 ($N = 5$)	10	[-100,100]	2500
F26	Composite Function 7 ($N = 6$)	10	[-100,100	2600
F27	Composite Function 8 ($N = 6$)	10	[-100,100]	2700
F28	Composite Function 9 ($N = 6$)	10	[-100,100]	2800
F29	Composite Function $10 (N = 3)$	10	[-100,100]	2900
F30	Composite Function 11 ($N = 3$)	10	[-100,100]	3000

distribution of the results. The box plots of all approaches executed fifty times are depicted in Fig. 6. It is obvious from the smallest interquartile ranges and medians that the proposed CMRFO5 optimizer has consistent stability in most CEC2017 benchmark functions.

According to the Wilcoxon test, Table 5 reveals the p-values of all comparative algorithms along with the higher T+ and T– values. It is apparent that most of the obtained p-values are less than the assumed significant level 5% compared to other approaches. This signifies that CMRFO5 significantly improves the performance of the basic MRFO. In summary, the reported results affirm the remarkable influence of singer map CMRFO5 on the primary MRFO and reveal its good exploration and exploitation capability in dealing with various types of functions. Therefore, CMRFO5 is the recommended variant for dealing with the real-world problem of wind farm layout optimization WFLO, which will be discussed in the next section.

B. EVALUATION OF CMRF05 ON WFLO PROBLEM

The wind farm layout problem has been handled in this work with the purpose of validating the performance of the proposed chaotic approach CMRFO with singer map. As previously mentioned, this selected wind farm has a square shape split into 100 grids which aims to install the optimal number of wind turbines out of 100 turbines in optimal positioning, and the spacing distance between turbines is at least 100 m. In this present study, the two scenarios suggested by Mosetti and some latest studies have been investigated, in which the first considers a variable wind direction and steady wind speed, while the second case assumes a variable wind direction and a variable wind speed. The fitness function to be optimized is the minimization of the cost per unit of power production, along with the total power (KW) and efficiency. The simulation results are implemented with a population size of 30 and a maximum number of 300 iterations over 30 independent runs for each case study. The computational results are compared to the previous studies, including Mosetti, Grady and some newest researches. It is worth noting that in most preceding works, Mosetti and Grady are reputed for evaluating the performance of the improved meta-heuristic algorithms. Additionally, the outcomes of all rival approaches have been re-validated according to their optimal placement plotted in their papers.

1) SCENARIO 1: CONSTANT WIND SPEED, VARIABLE DIRECTION

In this case study, a single wind speed of 12 m/s blowing from 36 rotational wind directions are considered; it varied from 0° to 360° with 10° difference between two adjacent directions and a uniform probability of occurrence. Computational experiments of MRFO, its winner CMRFO5 and the mentioned competitor methods, as well as the AOA, SCA, SSA algorithms, are summarized in Table 6. The



FIGURE 5. Comparison of convergence curves of CMRFO5 vs the state-of-the-art algorithms for CEC-2017 benchmark tests.



FIGURE 5. (Continued.) Comparison of convergence curves of CMRFO5 vs the state-of-the-art algorithms for CEC-2017 benchmark tests.





FIGURE 6. Box plots of CMRFO and the state-of-the-art algorithm for CEC2017 problems.



FIGURE 6. (Continued.) Box plots of CMRFO and the state-of-the-art algorithm for CEC2017 problems.

TABLE 5. Statistical comparisons of CMRFO5 vs recent state-of-the-art algorithms for CEC-2017 benchmark tests.

No	ABC			AOA			ННО			SCA		
	p-value	T+	T-	p-value	T+	T-	p-value	T+	T-	p-value	T+	T-
F1	7.066×10^{-18}	1275	0	7.066×10^{-18}	1275	0	7.066×10^{-18}	1275	0	7.066×10^{-18}	1275	0
F3	3.311×10^{-20}	1275	0	3.311×10^{-20}	1275	0	3.311×10^{-20}	1275	0	3.311×10^{-20}	1275	0
F4	4.733×10^{-20}	1275	0	4.733×10^{-20}	1275	0	4.733×10^{-20}	1275	0	4.733×10^{-20}	1275	0
F5	2.777×10^{-13}	1246	29	1.193×10^{-16}	1275	Ő	9.848×10^{-16}	1254	21	1.005×10^{-17}	1275	Ő
F6	1.023×10^{-02}	255	1020	7.065×10^{-18}	1275	ő	7.065×10^{-18}	1275	0	7.065×10^{-18}	1275	õ
F7	6.536×10^{-03}	885	390	8.462×10^{-18}	1275	Ő	1.174×10^{-15}	1269	6	1.010×10^{-16}	1264	11
F9	1.401×10^{-12}	1240	26	1.835×10^{-11}	1210	56	1.174×10^{-10}	1170	06	1.010×10^{-16}	1204	2
F0	1.401×10^{-08}	66	1200	1.833×10^{-18}	1219	50	7.042×10^{-18}	1275	90	8.424×10^{-18}	1275	0
F10	3.931×10^{-17}	1274	1209	1.228×10^{-12}	1275	20	7.042×10^{-05}	1027	220	1.605×10^{-16}	1273	2
F10 E11	0.45026	1274 601	504	1.556×10 1.064×10^{-17}	1240	29	9.409×10 2.682 × 10 ⁻¹⁵	1057	230	6.002×10^{-18}	1275	2
F11 E12	0.43020	1075	394	1.004×10^{-17}	1275	0	2.082×10^{-17}	1208	<i>'</i>	0.993×10^{-18}	1275	0
F12	7.000×10^{-18}	1275	0	2.198×10^{-18}	1275	0	1.539×10^{-18}	1275	0	7.000×10^{-18}	1275	0
F13	7.066×10^{-18}	1275	0	7.066×10^{-18}	1275	0	7.066×10^{-18}	1275	0	7.066×10^{-18}	1275	0
F14	7.066×10^{-18}	1275	0	7.066×10^{-18}	1275	0	7.066×10^{-18}	1275	0	7.066×10^{-18}	1275	0
F15	/.066×10 ⁻¹⁰	1275	0	7.066×10 ⁻¹⁰	1275	0	7.066×10 ⁻¹⁶	1275	0	7.066×10 ⁻¹⁰	1275	0
F16	5.585×10^{-09}	977	298	1.366×10^{-17}	1275	0	8.379×10^{-10}	1257	18	2.444×10^{-11}	1218	57
F17	5.611×10^{-10}	1166	109	1.700×10^{-10}	1272	3	1.152×10^{-12}	1247	28	3.791×10^{-10}	1270	5
F18	7.066×10^{-18}	1275	0	7.066×10^{-18}	1275	0	7.066×10^{-18}	1275	0	7.066×10^{-18}	1275	0
F19	7.066×10^{-18}	1275	0	7.066×10^{-18}	1275	0	7.066×10^{-18}	1275	0	7.066×10^{-18}	1275	0
F20	8.762×10^{-09}	1108	167	1.729×10^{-17}	1275	0	2.192×10^{-17}	1275	0	1.283×10^{-17}	1275	0
F21	6.614×10^{-19}	1275	0	6.614×10^{-19}	1275	0	6.800×10^{-18}	1274	1	6.614×10^{-19}	1275	0
F22	4.444×10^{-15}	1259	16	7.066×10^{-18}	1275	0	1.471×10^{-14}	1146	129	1.174×10^{-15}	1271	4
F23	4.695×10^{-15}	1275	0	8.462×10^{-18}	1275	0	5.016×10^{-14}	1257	18	2.198×10^{-17}	1275	0
F24	2.032×10^{-11}	1212	63	2.524×10^{-12}	1242	33	1.464×10^{-13}	1232	43	1.188×10^{-13}	1139	136
F25	0.27297	876	399	6.491×10^{-15}	1263	12	4.1035×10^{-04}	826	449	7.411×10^{-12}	1267	8
F26	2.104×10^{-15}	1262	13	9.508×10^{-19}	1275	0	9.753×10^{-12}	1207	68	1.076×10^{-14}	1268	7
F27	7.801×10^{-12}	1233	42	7.063×10^{-18}	1275	0	4.156×10^{-12}	1224	51	1.721×10^{-05}	1019	256
F28	8.272×10^{-15}	1242	33	2.378×10^{-18}	1275	0	6.752×10^{-13}	1153	122	2.024×10^{-15}	1240	35
F29	3.791×10^{-16}	1271	4	1.202×10^{-16}	1273	2	1.110×10^{-15}	1270	5	2.142×10^{-14}	1234	41
F30	0.62208	465	810	4.206×10^{-17}	1275	0	8.942×10^{-14}	1149	126	1.551×10^{-14}	1203	72
No	BWOA			DDOA			LFD			SSA		
No	BWOA p-value	T+	T-	DDOA p-value	T+	T-	LFD p-value	T+	T-	SSA p-value	T+	T-
No F1	$\frac{\text{BWOA}}{\text{p-value}}$ 7.066×10^{-18}	T+ 1275	T- 0	$\frac{\text{DDOA}}{\text{p-value}}$ 7.066×10^{-18}	T+ 1275	T- 0	LFD p-value 7.066×10 ⁻¹⁸	T+ 1275	T- 0	SSA p-value 7.066×10 ⁻¹⁸	T+ 1275	T- 0
No F1 F3	$\frac{BWOA}{p-value} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ 200$	T+ 1275 1275	T- 0 0	$\frac{\text{DDOA}}{\text{p-value}} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ 200$	T+ 1275 1275	T- 0 0	$\frac{\text{LFD}}{\text{p-value}} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ 20 \\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ 3$	T+ 1275 1275	T- 0 0	$\frac{\text{SSA}}{\text{p-value}}$ 7.066×10 ⁻¹⁸ 1	T+ 1275 1275	T- 0 0
No F1 F3 F4	$\begin{tabular}{c} BWOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} \hline DDOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 0	LFD p-value 7.066×10^{-18} 3.311×10^{-20} 4.733×10^{-20}	T+ 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 0
No F1 F3 F4 F5	$\begin{tabular}{c} BWOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.889 \times 10^{-04} \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1024	T- 0 0 0 251	$\begin{array}{c} \hline DDOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 8.911 \times 10^{-18} \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 0 0	$\begin{tabular}{c} LFD \\ \hline p-value$ \\ \hline 7.066×10^{-18} \\ 3.311×10^{-20} \\ 4.733×10^{-20} \\ 7.007×10^{-18} \\ \hline 1007×10^{-18} \\ \hline $	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 984	T- 0 0 0 291
No F1 F3 F4 F5 F6	$\begin{array}{c} \text{BWOA} \\ \hline \text{p-value} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.889 \times 10^{-04} \\ 7.967 \times 10^{-18} \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1024 1275	T- 0 0 0 251 0	$\begin{array}{c} \hline DDOA\\ \hline p-value\\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18}\\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20}\\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20}\\ 8.911 \times 10^{-18}\\ 7.065 \times 10^{-18}\\ \hline \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline LFD \\ \hline p-value$ \\ \hline 7.066×10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.311×10^{-20} \\ \hline 4.733×10^{-20} \\ \hline 7.007×10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065×10^{-18} \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{r} {\rm SSA} \\ \hline {\rm p-value} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248	T- 0 0 0 291 27
No F1 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1024 1275 2	T- 0 0 251 0 1273	$\begin{array}{c} \text{DDOA} \\ \text{p-value} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 8.911 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.969 \times 10^{-18} \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline LFD & \\ \hline p-value & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & \\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20} & \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} & \\ \hline 7.007 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 7.504 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} \text{SSA} \\ \text{p-value} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588	T- 0 0 291 27 687
No F1 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1024 1275 2 108	T- 0 0 251 0 1273 1167	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{DDOA} \\ \mbox{p-value} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 8.911 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.969 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.025 \times 10^{-18} \\ \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline LFD & \\ \hline p-value & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & \\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20} & \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} & \\ \hline 7.007 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 7.504 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 7.025 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{r} {\rm SSA} \\ {\rm p-value} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904	T- 0 0 291 27 687 371
No F1 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline BWOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.889 \times 10^{-04} \\ \hline 7.967 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.075 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline 2.392 \times 10^{-08} \\ 4.777 \times 10^{-14} \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1024 1275 2 108 1212	T- 0 0 251 0 1273 1167 63	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{DDOA} \\ \mbox{p-value} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 8.911 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.969 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.025 \times 10^{-18} \\ 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline LFD \\ \hline P-value \\ \hline $7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ $3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ $4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ $7.007 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.504 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.025 \times 10^{-18} \\ $6.630 \times 10^{-18} \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{r} {\rm SSA} \\ \hline {\rm p-value} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815	T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460
No F1 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9 F10	$\begin{array}{c} BWOA\\ \hline p-value\\ 7.066\times 10^{-18}\\ 3.311\times 10^{-20}\\ 4.733\times 10^{-20}\\ 1.889\times 10^{-04}\\ 7.967\times 10^{-18}\\ 7.075\times 10^{-16}\\ 2.392\times 10^{-08}\\ 4.777\times 10^{-14}\\ 0.18678\end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1024 1275 2 108 1212 762	T- 0 0 251 0 1273 1167 63 513	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{DDOA} \\ \mbox{p-value} \\ \mbox{7.066} \times 10^{-18} \\ \mbox{3.311} \times 10^{-20} \\ \mbox{4.733} \times 10^{-20} \\ \mbox{8.911} \times 10^{-18} \\ \mbox{7.065} \times 10^{-18} \\ \mbox{7.969} \times 10^{-18} \\ \mbox{7.025} \times 10^{-18} \\ \mbox{6.630} \times 10^{-18} \\ \mbox{6.338} \times 10^{-17} \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline LFD \\ \hline P-value \\ \hline $7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ $3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ $4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ $7.007 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.504 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.025 \times 10^{-18} \\ $6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ $2.400 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4	$\begin{array}{r} {\rm SSA} \\ \hline {\rm p-value} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938	T- 0 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337
No F1 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9 F10 F11	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline BWOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.889 \times 10^{-04} \\ 7.967 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.075 \times 10^{-16} \\ 2.392 \times 10^{-08} \\ 4.777 \times 10^{-14} \\ 0.18678 \\ 3.702 \times 10^{-17} \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1024 1275 108 1212 762 1275	T- 0 0 251 0 1273 1167 63 513 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline DDOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 8.911 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.969 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.025 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.338 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 6.993 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline LFD \\ \hline P-value \\ \hline $7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ $3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ $4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ $7.007 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.504 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.025 \times 10^{-18} \\ $6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ $2.400 \times 10^{-16} \\ $6.993 \times 10^{-18} \\ \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0	$\begin{array}{r} {\rm SSA} \\ \hline {\rm p-value} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257	T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18
No F1 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9 F10 F11 F12	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline BWOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.889 \times 10^{-04} \\ 7.967 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.075 \times 10^{-16} \\ 2.392 \times 10^{-08} \\ 4.777 \times 10^{-14} \\ 0.18678 \\ 3.702 \times 10^{-17} \\ 1.907 \times 10^{-16} \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1275 1024 1275 108 1212 762 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 251 0 1273 1167 63 513 0 2	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{DDOA} \\ \mbox{p-value$} \\ \mbox{$7.066 \times 10^{-18}$} \\ \mbox{$3.311 \times 10^{-20}$} \\ \mbox{$4.733 \times 10^{-20}$} \\ \mbox{$8.911 \times 10^{-18}$} \\ \mbox{$7.065 \times 10^{-18}$} \\ \mbox{$7.025 \times 10^{-18}$} \\ \mbox{$7.025 \times 10^{-18}$} \\ \mbox{$6.630 \times 10^{-18}$} \\ \mbox{$6.338 \times 10^{-17}$} \\ \mbox{$6.933 \times 10^{-18}$} \\ \mbox{$7.066 \times 10^{-18}$} \\ \mbox{$7.066 \times 10^{-18}$} \end{array}$	T+ 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline LFD \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline $7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ $3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ $4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ $7.007 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.504 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.025 \times 10^{-18} \\ $6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ $2.400 \times 10^{-16} \\ $6.993 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 0	$\begin{array}{r} {\rm SSA} \\ \hline {\rm p-value} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275	T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0
No F1 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9 F10 F11 F12 F13	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline BWOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.889 \times 10^{-04} \\ \hline 7.967 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.075 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline 2.392 \times 10^{-08} \\ 4.777 \times 10^{-14} \\ 0.18678 \\ \hline 3.702 \times 10^{-17} \\ 1.907 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1024 1275 108 1212 762 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 251 0 1273 1167 63 513 0 2 0	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{DDOA} \\ \mbox{$ p$-value} \\ \mbox{$ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $$	T+ 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline LFD \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 7.007 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.025 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 2.400 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline 6.993 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline \end{array}$	T+ 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275 1275	T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0
No F1 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9 F10 F11 F12 F13 F14	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline BWOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.889 \times 10^{-04} \\ 7.967 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.075 \times 10^{-16} \\ 2.392 \times 10^{-08} \\ 4.777 \times 10^{-14} \\ 0.18678 \\ 3.702 \times 10^{-17} \\ 1.907 \times 10^{-16} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 2.071 \times 10^{-17} \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1024 1275 108 1212 762 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 251 0 1273 1167 63 513 0 2 0 0 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline DDOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 8.911 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.338 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 6.993 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 8.000 \\ \hline 8.0$	T+ 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline LFD \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 7.007 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.025 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.025 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 2.400 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline 6.993 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.060 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.000 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.00$	T+ 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0
No F1 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9 F10 F11 F12 F13 F14 F15	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline BWOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.889 \times 10^{-04} \\ 7.967 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.075 \times 10^{-16} \\ 2.392 \times 10^{-08} \\ 4.777 \times 10^{-14} \\ 0.18678 \\ \hline 3.702 \times 10^{-17} \\ 1.907 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 2.071 \times 10^{-17} \\ 8.484 \times 10^{-17} \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1275 1024 1275 108 1212 762 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1273	T- 0 0 251 0 1273 1167 63 513 0 2 0 0 0 2	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline DDOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 8.911 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.338 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 6.993 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 8.000 \\ \hline 8.$	T+ 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline LFD \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 7.007 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.025 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.025 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 2.400 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline 6.993 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 8.000 $	T+ 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0 0 0
No F1 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9 F10 F11 F12 F13 F14 F15 F16	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline BWOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 1.889 \times 10^{-04} \\ \hline 7.967 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.075 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline 2.392 \times 10^{-08} \\ \hline 4.777 \times 10^{-14} \\ \hline 0.18678 \\ \hline 3.702 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.907 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 2.071 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 8.484 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 8.561 \times 10^{-15} \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 10275 108 1212 762 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1273 1225	$\begin{array}{c} \hline T^{-} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 251 \\ 0 \\ 1273 \\ 1167 \\ 63 \\ 513 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 50 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline DDOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 8.911 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.025 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.338 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 6.933 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.283 \times 10^{-14} \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 1267	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline LFD & \\ \hline p-value & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} & \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} & \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-10} & \\ \hline 7.007 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 7.025 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 7.025 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 7.025 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 2.400 \times 10^{-16} & \\ \hline 6.993 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 2.266 \times 10^{-16} & \\ \hline ext{tabular}$	T+ 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1.598 \times 10^{-11} \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1265	T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 110
No F1 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9 F10 F11 F12 F13 F14 F15 F16 F17	$\begin{array}{r} BWOA\\ \hline p-value\\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18}\\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20}\\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20}\\ 1.889 \times 10^{-04}\\ 7.967 \times 10^{-18}\\ 7.075 \times 10^{-16}\\ 2.392 \times 10^{-08}\\ 4.777 \times 10^{-14}\\ 0.18678\\ 3.702 \times 10^{-17}\\ 1.907 \times 10^{-16}\\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18}\\ 2.071 \times 10^{-17}\\ 8.484 \times 10^{-17}\\ 8.561 \times 10^{-15}\\ 2.569 \times 10^{-05}\\ \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1024 1275 108 1212 762 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1273 1225 1048	$\begin{array}{c} \hline T^{-} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 251 \\ 0 \\ 1273 \\ 1167 \\ 63 \\ 513 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 50 \\ 227 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{DDOA} \\ \mbox{p-value} \\ \mbox{7.066} \times 10^{-18} \\ \mbox{3.311} \times 10^{-20} \\ \mbox{4.733} \times 10^{-20} \\ \mbox{8.911} \times 10^{-18} \\ \mbox{7.065} \times 10^{-18} \\ \mbox{7.065} \times 10^{-18} \\ \mbox{7.025} \times 10^{-18} \\ \mbox{6.630} \times 10^{-18} \\ \mbox{6.630} \times 10^{-18} \\ \mbox{7.066} \times 10^{-14} \\ \mbox{1.274} \times 10^{-16} \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1267 1272	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline LFD \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 7.007 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.055 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 2.400 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline 6.993 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 2.266 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1.598 \times 10^{-11} \\ 2.767 \times 10^{-10} \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1223	T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 110 52
No F1 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9 F10 F11 F12 F13 F14 F15 F16 F17 F18	$\begin{array}{r} BWOA\\ \hline p-value\\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18}\\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20}\\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20}\\ 1.889 \times 10^{-04}\\ 7.967 \times 10^{-18}\\ 7.075 \times 10^{-16}\\ 2.392 \times 10^{-08}\\ 4.777 \times 10^{-14}\\ 0.18678\\ 3.702 \times 10^{-17}\\ 1.907 \times 10^{-16}\\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18}\\ 2.071 \times 10^{-17}\\ 8.484 \times 10^{-17}\\ 8.561 \times 10^{-15}\\ 2.569 \times 10^{-05}\\ 7.504 \times 10^{-18}\\ \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1024 1275 108 1212 762 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1273 1225 1048 1275	$\begin{array}{c} \hline T^{-} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 251 \\ 0 \\ 1273 \\ 1167 \\ 63 \\ 513 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 50 \\ 227 \\ 0 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} \label{eq:boundary} DDOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 8.911 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.025 \times 10^{-18} \\ 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.283 \times 10^{-14} \\ 1.274 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1272 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline LFD & & \\ \hline p-value & & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} & \\ \hline 4.733 \times 10^{-20} & \\ \hline 7.007 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 2.400 \times 10^{-16} & \\ \hline 6.993 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 2.265 \times 10^{-17} & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 8.266 \times 10^{-17} & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 8.266 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 8.266 \times 10^{-17} & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 8.266 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 8.266 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 8.266 \times 10^{-17} & \\ \hline 8.266 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 8.266 \times$	T+ 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1.598 \times 10^{-11} \\ 2.767 \times 10^{-10} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1265 1223 1275	T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
No F1 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9 F10 F11 F12 F13 F14 F15 F16 F17 F18 F19	$\begin{array}{r} BWOA\\ \hline p-value\\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18}\\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20}\\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20}\\ 1.889 \times 10^{-04}\\ 7.967 \times 10^{-18}\\ 7.075 \times 10^{-16}\\ 2.392 \times 10^{-08}\\ 4.777 \times 10^{-14}\\ 0.18678\\ 3.702 \times 10^{-17}\\ 1.907 \times 10^{-16}\\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18}\\ 2.071 \times 10^{-17}\\ 8.484 \times 10^{-17}\\ 8.561 \times 10^{-15}\\ 2.569 \times 10^{-05}\\ 7.504 \times 10^{-18}\\ 8.462 \times 10^{-18}\\ \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1024 1275 108 1212 762 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275	$\begin{array}{c} \hline T^{-} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 251 \\ 0 \\ 1273 \\ 1167 \\ 63 \\ 513 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 50 \\ 227 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	$\begin{array}{r} \label{eq:boundary} DDOA\\ \hline p-value\\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18}\\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20}\\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20}\\ 8.911 \times 10^{-18}\\ 7.065 \times 10^{-18}\\ 7.065 \times 10^{-18}\\ 7.025 \times 10^{-18}\\ 6.630 \times 10^{-18}\\ 6.630 \times 10^{-18}\\ 6.338 \times 10^{-17}\\ 6.993 \times 10^{-18}\\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18}\\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18}\\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18}\\ 3.283 \times 10^{-14}\\ 1.274 \times 10^{-16}\\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18}\\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18}\\ \end{array}$	T+ 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline LFD \\ \hline P-value \\ \hline $7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ $3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ $4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ $7.007 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.025 \times 10^{-18} \\ $6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ $2.400 \times 10^{-16} \\ $6.993 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ $2.266 \times 10^{-16} \\ $2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ $7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{r} {\rm SSA} \\ \hline {\rm p-value} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1.598 \times 10^{-11} \\ 2.767 \times 10^{-10} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1265 1223 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
No F1 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9 F10 F11 F12 F13 F14 F15 F16 F17 F18 F19 F20	$\begin{array}{r} BWOA\\ \hline p-value\\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18}\\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20}\\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20}\\ 1.889 \times 10^{-04}\\ \hline 7.967 \times 10^{-18}\\ \hline 7.075 \times 10^{-16}\\ 2.392 \times 10^{-08}\\ 4.777 \times 10^{-14}\\ 0.18678\\ 3.702 \times 10^{-17}\\ 1.907 \times 10^{-16}\\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18}\\ 2.071 \times 10^{-17}\\ 8.484 \times 10^{-17}\\ 8.561 \times 10^{-15}\\ 2.569 \times 10^{-05}\\ 7.504 \times 10^{-18}\\ 8.462 \times 10^{-18}\\ 5.864 \times 10^{-14}\\ \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1024 1275 108 1212 762 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1247	$\begin{array}{c} \hline T^{-} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 251 \\ 0 \\ 1273 \\ 1167 \\ 63 \\ 513 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 50 \\ 227 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 28 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline DDOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 8.911 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.025 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline \hline \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline LFD \\ \hline P-value \\ \hline $7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ $3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ $4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ $7.007 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.025 \times 10^{-18} \\ $6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ $2.400 \times 10^{-16} \\ $6.993 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066×10	T+ 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{r} {\rm SSA} \\ \hline {\rm p-value} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1.598 \times 10^{-11} \\ 2.767 \times 10^{-10} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.086 \times 10^{-17} \\ \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275	T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3
No F1 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9 F10 F11 F12 F13 F14 F15 F16 F17 F18 F19 F20 F21	$\begin{array}{r} BWOA\\ \hline p-value\\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18}\\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20}\\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20}\\ 1.889 \times 10^{-04}\\ 7.967 \times 10^{-18}\\ \hline 7.075 \times 10^{-16}\\ 2.392 \times 10^{-08}\\ 4.777 \times 10^{-14}\\ 0.18678\\ 3.702 \times 10^{-17}\\ 1.907 \times 10^{-16}\\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18}\\ 2.071 \times 10^{-17}\\ 8.484 \times 10^{-17}\\ 8.561 \times 10^{-15}\\ 2.569 \times 10^{-05}\\ 7.504 \times 10^{-18}\\ 8.462 \times 10^{-18}\\ 5.864 \times 10^{-14}\\ 6.614 \times 10^{-19}\\ \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1024 1275 108 1212 762 1275	$\begin{array}{c} \hline T \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 251 \\ 0 \\ 1273 \\ 1167 \\ 63 \\ 513 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 50 \\ 227 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 28 \\ 0 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline DDOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 8.911 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.025 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.427 \times 10^{-17} \\$	T+ 1275 1226	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline LFD \\ \hline P-value$ \\ \hline 7.066×10^{-18} \\ 3.311×10^{-20} \\ 4.733×10^{-20} \\ 7.007×10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065×10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.055×10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.055×10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.055×10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065×10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.066×10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.060×10^{-18	T+ 1275 1271	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1.598 \times 10^{-11} \\ 2.767 \times 10^{-10} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.984 \times 10^{-17} \\ 5.042 \times 10^{-15} \\ \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275 1272 1205	T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 370
No F1 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9 F10 F11 F12 F13 F14 F15 F16 F17 F18 F19 F20 F21 F22	$\begin{array}{r} BWOA\\ \hline p-value\\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18}\\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20}\\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20}\\ 1.889 \times 10^{-04}\\ 7.967 \times 10^{-18}\\ 7.075 \times 10^{-16}\\ 2.392 \times 10^{-08}\\ 4.777 \times 10^{-14}\\ 0.18678\\ 3.702 \times 10^{-17}\\ 1.907 \times 10^{-16}\\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18}\\ 2.071 \times 10^{-17}\\ 8.484 \times 10^{-17}\\ 8.561 \times 10^{-15}\\ 2.569 \times 10^{-05}\\ 7.504 \times 10^{-18}\\ 8.462 \times 10^{-18}\\ 8.462 \times 10^{-18}\\ 5.864 \times 10^{-14}\\ 6.614 \times 10^{-19}\\ 1.010 \times 10^{-16}\\ \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1024 1275 108 1212 762 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1247 1275 1237	T- 0 0 1273 1167 63 513 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 3	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline DDOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 8.911 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.025 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.274 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.427 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.174 \times 10^{-15} \\ \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 </td <td>T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline \$LFD\$ \\ \hline \$P\$-value\$ \\ \hline \$7.066 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \$3.311 \times 10^{-20}\$ \\ \$7.007 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$7.065 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$7.065 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$7.055 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$7.025 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$7.025 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$7.066 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-17}\$ \\ \hline \$7.066 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-17}\$ \\ \hline \$7.066 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-17}\$ \\ \hline \$7.066 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-17}\$ \\ \hline \$7.066 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-17}\$ \\ \hline \$7.066 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-17}\$ \\ \hline \$7.066 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-17}\$ \\ \hline \$7.066 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-17}\$ \\ \hline \$7.066 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-17}\$ \\ \hline \$7.066 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-17}\$ \\ \hline \$7.066 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-17}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-17}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-17}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-17}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-17}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-17}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \hline \$1.427 \times 10^{-$</td> <td>T+ 1275</td> <td>T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>$\begin{array}{r} {\rm SSA} \\ \hline {\rm p-value} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1.598 \times 10^{-11} \\ 2.767 \times 10^{-10} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.984 \times 10^{-17} \\ 5.042 \times 10^{-15} \\ 1.358 \times 10^{-04} \end{array}$</td> <td>T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275 1272</td> <td>T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 70 419</td>	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline LFD \\ \hline P-value$ \\ \hline 7.066×10^{-18} \\ 3.311×10^{-20} \\ 7.007×10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065×10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065×10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.055×10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.025×10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.025×10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.066×10^{-18} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-17} \\ \hline 7.066×10^{-18} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-17} \\ \hline 7.066×10^{-18} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-17} \\ \hline 7.066×10^{-18} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-17} \\ \hline 7.066×10^{-18} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-17} \\ \hline 7.066×10^{-18} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-17} \\ \hline 7.066×10^{-18} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-17} \\ \hline 7.066×10^{-18} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-17} \\ \hline 7.066×10^{-18} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-17} \\ \hline 7.066×10^{-18} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-17} \\ \hline 7.066×10^{-18} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-18} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-18} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-18} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-18} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-18} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-18} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-18} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-18} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-18} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.427×10^{-18} \\ \hline $1.427 \times 10^{-$	T+ 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{r} {\rm SSA} \\ \hline {\rm p-value} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1.598 \times 10^{-11} \\ 2.767 \times 10^{-10} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.984 \times 10^{-17} \\ 5.042 \times 10^{-15} \\ 1.358 \times 10^{-04} \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275 1272	T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 70 419
No F1 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9 F10 F11 F12 F13 F14 F15 F16 F17 F18 F19 F20 F21 F22 F23	$\begin{array}{r} BWOA\\ \hline p-value\\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18}\\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20}\\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20}\\ 1.889 \times 10^{-04}\\ 7.967 \times 10^{-18}\\ 7.075 \times 10^{-16}\\ 2.392 \times 10^{-08}\\ 4.777 \times 10^{-14}\\ 0.18678\\ 3.702 \times 10^{-17}\\ 1.907 \times 10^{-16}\\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18}\\ 2.071 \times 10^{-17}\\ 8.484 \times 10^{-17}\\ 8.561 \times 10^{-15}\\ 2.569 \times 10^{-05}\\ 7.504 \times 10^{-18}\\ 8.462 \times 10^{-18}\\ 5.864 \times 10^{-14}\\ 6.614 \times 10^{-19}\\ 1.010 \times 10^{-16}\\ 1.851 \times 10^{-13}\\ \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1024 1275 2 108 1212 762 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 124 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1273	T- 0 0 0 0 1273 1167 63 513 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 3 3 2	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline DDOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 8.911 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.025 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.427 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.174 \times 10^{-15} \\ \hline 3.477 \times 10^{-05} \\ \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 </td <td>T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline \$LFD\$ \\ \hline \$P\$-value\$ \\ \hline \$7.066 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \$3.311 \times 10^{-20}\$ \\ \$7.007 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \$7.065 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \$7.065 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \$7.055 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \$7.025 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \$7.025 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \$7.066 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \$1.427 \times 10^{-17}\$ \\ \$7.066 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \$1.427 \times 10^{-17}\$ \\ \$7.066 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \$1.733 \times 10^{-17}\$ \end{tabular}$</td> <td>T+ 1275</td> <td>T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1.598 \times 10^{-11} \\ 2.767 \times 10^{-10} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.984 \times 10^{-17} \\ 5.042 \times 10^{-15} \\ 1.358 \times 10^{-04} \\ 0.26556 \end{array}$</td> <td>T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275</td> <td>T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0 0 110 52 0 0 3 70 419 561</td>	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline LFD \\ \hline P-value$ \\ \hline 7.066×10^{-18} \\ 3.311×10^{-20} \\ 7.007×10^{-18} \\ 7.065×10^{-18} \\ 7.065×10^{-18} \\ 7.055×10^{-18} \\ 7.025×10^{-18} \\ 7.025×10^{-18} \\ 7.066×10^{-18} \\ 1.427×10^{-17} \\ 7.066×10^{-18} \\ 1.427×10^{-17} \\ 7.066×10^{-18} \\ 1.733×10^{-17} \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1.598 \times 10^{-11} \\ 2.767 \times 10^{-10} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.984 \times 10^{-17} \\ 5.042 \times 10^{-15} \\ 1.358 \times 10^{-04} \\ 0.26556 \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275	T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0 0 110 52 0 0 3 70 419 561
No F1 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9 F10 F11 F12 F13 F14 F15 F16 F17 F18 F19 F20 F21 F22 F23 F24	$\begin{array}{r} \mbox{BWOA} \\ \hline \mbox{p-value$} \\ \hline \mbox{$7.066\times10^{-18}$} \\ \mbox{$3.311\times10^{-20}$} \\ \mbox{$4.733\times10^{-20}$} \\ \mbox{$1.889\times10^{-04}$} \\ \mbox{$7.967\times10^{-18}$} \\ \mbox{$7.967\times10^{-16}$} \\ \mbox{$2.392\times10^{-08}$} \\ \mbox{$4.777\times10^{-14}$} \\ \mbox{$0.18678$} \\ \mbox{$3.702\times10^{-17}$} \\ \mbox{$1.907\times10^{-16}$} \\ \mbox{$7.066\times10^{-18}$} \\ \mbox{$2.071\times10^{-17}$} \\ \mbox{$8.484\times10^{-17}$} \\ \mbox{$8.561\times10^{-15}$} \\ \mbox{$2.569\times10^{-05}$} \\ \mbox{$7.504\times10^{-18}$} \\ \mbox{$8.462\times10^{-18}$} \\ \mbox{$8.64\times10^{-14}$} \\ \mbox{$6.14\times10^{-19}$} \\ \mbox{$1.00\times10^{-16}$} \\ \mbox{$1.851\times10^{-13}$} \\ \mbox{$5.25\times10^{-07}$} \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1024 1275 2 108 1212 762 1275 1237 1253 1068	$\begin{array}{c} \hline T \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 251 \\ 0 \\ 1273 \\ 1167 \\ 63 \\ 513 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 50 \\ 227 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 50 \\ 227 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 8 \\ 0 \\ 38 \\ 22 \\ 207 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline DDOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 8.911 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.025 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.427 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.174 \times 10^{-15} \\ \hline 3.477 \times 10^{-05} \\ \hline 0.73657 \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 </td <td>T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline \$LFD\$ \\ \hline \$P\$-value\$ \\ \hline \$7.066 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \$3.311 \times 10^{-20}\$ \\ \$7.007 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \$7.065 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \$7.065 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \$7.025 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \$7.025 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \$7.025 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \$7.066 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \$1.427 \times 10^{-17}\$ \\ \$7.066 \times 10^{-18}\$ \\ \$1.733 \times 10^{-17}\$ \\ \$1.864 \times 10^{-07}\$ \\ \end{tabular}$</td> <td>T+ 1275 <!--</td--><td>T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td><td>$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.984 \times 10^{-17} \\ 5.042 \times 10^{-15} \\ 1.358 \times 10^{-04} \\ 0.26556 \\ 3.019 \times 10^{-05} \end{array}$</td><td>T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275</td><td>T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 110 52 0 3 70 419 561 188</td></td>	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline LFD \\ \hline P-value$ \\ \hline 7.066×10^{-18} \\ 3.311×10^{-20} \\ 7.007×10^{-18} \\ 7.065×10^{-18} \\ 7.065×10^{-18} \\ 7.025×10^{-18} \\ 7.025×10^{-18} \\ 7.025×10^{-18} \\ 7.066×10^{-18} \\ 1.427×10^{-17} \\ 7.066×10^{-18} \\ 1.733×10^{-17} \\ 1.864×10^{-07} \\ \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 </td <td>T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.984 \times 10^{-17} \\ 5.042 \times 10^{-15} \\ 1.358 \times 10^{-04} \\ 0.26556 \\ 3.019 \times 10^{-05} \end{array}$</td> <td>T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275</td> <td>T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 110 52 0 3 70 419 561 188</td>	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.984 \times 10^{-17} \\ 5.042 \times 10^{-15} \\ 1.358 \times 10^{-04} \\ 0.26556 \\ 3.019 \times 10^{-05} \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275	T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 110 52 0 3 70 419 561 188
No F1 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9 F10 F11 F12 F13 F14 F15 F16 F17 F18 F19 F20 F21 F22 F23 F24 F25	$\begin{array}{r} \mbox{BWOA} \\ \hline \mbox{p-value$} \\ \hline \mbox{$7.066\times10^{-18}$} \\ \mbox{$3.311\times10^{-20}$} \\ \mbox{$4.733\times10^{-20}$} \\ \mbox{$1.889\times10^{-04}$} \\ \mbox{$7.967\times10^{-16}$} \\ \mbox{$7.967\times10^{-16}$} \\ \mbox{$2.392\times10^{-08}$} \\ \mbox{$4.777\times10^{-14}$} \\ \mbox{$0.18678$} \\ \mbox{$3.702\times10^{-17}$} \\ \mbox{$1.907\times10^{-16}$} \\ \mbox{$7.066\times10^{-18}$} \\ \mbox{$2.071\times10^{-17}$} \\ \mbox{$8.484\times10^{-17}$} \\ \mbox{$8.561\times10^{-15}$} \\ \mbox{$2.569\times10^{-05}$} \\ \mbox{$7.504\times10^{-18}$} \\ \mbox{$8.462\times10^{-18}$} \\ \mbox{$8.64\times10^{-14}$} \\ \mbox{$6.14\times10^{-19}$} \\ \mbox{$1.010\times10^{-16}$} \\ \mbox{$1.851\times10^{-13}$} \\ \mbox{$5.215\times10^{-07}$} \\ \mbox{$3.60\times10^{-15}$} \\ $3.60\times$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1024 1275 2 108 1212 762 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 1273 1167 63 513 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 50 2277 0 28 0 38 22 207 12	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline DDOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 8.911 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.025 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.30 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.338 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 6.993 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.427 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.174 \times 10^{-15} \\ \hline 3.477 \times 10^{-05} \\ \hline 0.73657 \\ \hline 7.028 \times 10^{-18} \\ \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 1271 858 886 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline LFD \\ \hline P-value$ \\ \hline 7.066×10^{-18} \\ 3.311×10^{-20} \\ 7.007×10^{-18} \\ 7.065×10^{-18} \\ 7.065×10^{-18} \\ 7.025×10^{-18} \\ 7.025×10^{-18} \\ 7.025×10^{-18} \\ 7.066×10^{-18} \\ 1.427×10^{-17} \\ 7.066×10^{-18} \\ 1.733×10^{-17} \\ 1.864×10^{-07} \\ 7.028×10^{-18} \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 </td <td>T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>$\begin{array}{r} {\rm SSA} \\ \hline {\rm p-value} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.984 \times 10^{-17} \\ 5.042 \times 10^{-15} \\ 1.358 \times 10^{-04} \\ 0.26556 \\ 3.019 \times 10^{-05} \\ 0.38492 \\ \end{array}$</td> <td>T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275</td> <td>T- 0 0 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 70 419 561 188 518</td>	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{r} {\rm SSA} \\ \hline {\rm p-value} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.984 \times 10^{-17} \\ 5.042 \times 10^{-15} \\ 1.358 \times 10^{-04} \\ 0.26556 \\ 3.019 \times 10^{-05} \\ 0.38492 \\ \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275	T- 0 0 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 70 419 561 188 518
No F1 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9 F10 F11 F12 F13 F14 F15 F16 F17 F18 F19 F20 F21 F22 F23 F24 F25 F26	$\begin{array}{r} \mbox{BWOA} \\ \hline \mbox{p-value} \\ \hline \mbox{7.066} \times 10^{-18} \\ \mbox{3.311} \times 10^{-20} \\ \mbox{4.733} \times 10^{-20} \\ \mbox{1.889} \times 10^{-04} \\ \hline \mbox{7.967} \times 10^{-18} \\ \mbox{7.967} \times 10^{-16} \\ \mbox{2.392} \times 10^{-08} \\ \mbox{4.777} \times 10^{-14} \\ \mbox{0.18678} \\ \mbox{3.702} \times 10^{-17} \\ \mbox{1.907} \times 10^{-16} \\ \mbox{7.066} \times 10^{-18} \\ \mbox{2.071} \times 10^{-17} \\ \mbox{8.484} \times 10^{-17} \\ \mbox{8.561} \times 10^{-15} \\ \mbox{2.569} \times 10^{-05} \\ \mbox{7.504} \times 10^{-18} \\ \mbox{8.462} \times 10^{-18} \\ \mbox{8.462} \times 10^{-18} \\ \mbox{5.864} \times 10^{-14} \\ \mbox{6.614} \times 10^{-19} \\ \mbox{1.010} \times 10^{-16} \\ \mbox{1.851} \times 10^{-13} \\ \mbox{5.215} \times 10^{-07} \\ \mbox{3.360} \times 10^{-17} \\ \mbox{1.301} \times 10^{-17} \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1024 1275 2 108 1212 762 1275	$\begin{array}{c} \hline T^{-} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 251 \\ 0 \\ 1273 \\ 1167 \\ 63 \\ 513 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 50 \\ 227 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 28 \\ 0 \\ 38 \\ 22 \\ 207 \\ 12 \\ 1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} \label{eq:boundary} DDOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 8.911 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.274 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.427 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.174 \times 10^{-15} \\ \hline 3.477 \times 10^{-05} \\ \hline 0.73657 \\ \hline 7.028 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 2.297 \times 10^{-18} \\ \end{array}$	T+ 1275 </td <td>$\begin{array}{c} \hline T^{-} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\$</td> <td>$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline LFD & \\ \hline p-value & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} & \\ \hline 4.733 \times 10^{-20} & \\ \hline 7.007 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 7.025 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 1.427 \times 10^{-17} & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 1.733 \times 10^{-17} & \\ \hline 1.864 \times 10^{-07} & \\ \hline 7.028 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 1.25$</td> <td>T+ 1275 <!--</td--><td>T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td><td>$\begin{array}{r} {\rm SSA} \\ \hline {\rm p-value} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.984 \times 10^{-17} \\ 5.042 \times 10^{-15} \\ 1.358 \times 10^{-04} \\ 0.26556 \\ 3.019 \times 10^{-05} \\ 0.38492 \\ 2.833 \times 10^{-09} \end{array}$</td><td>T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275</td><td>T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 110 52 0 0 370 419 561 188 518 355</td></td>	$\begin{array}{c} \hline T^{-} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline LFD & \\ \hline p-value & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} & \\ \hline 4.733 \times 10^{-20} & \\ \hline 7.007 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 7.025 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 1.427 \times 10^{-17} & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 1.733 \times 10^{-17} & \\ \hline 1.864 \times 10^{-07} & \\ \hline 7.028 \times 10^{-18} & \\ \hline 1.25 $	T+ 1275 </td <td>T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>$\begin{array}{r} {\rm SSA} \\ \hline {\rm p-value} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.984 \times 10^{-17} \\ 5.042 \times 10^{-15} \\ 1.358 \times 10^{-04} \\ 0.26556 \\ 3.019 \times 10^{-05} \\ 0.38492 \\ 2.833 \times 10^{-09} \end{array}$</td> <td>T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275</td> <td>T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 110 52 0 0 370 419 561 188 518 355</td>	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{r} {\rm SSA} \\ \hline {\rm p-value} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.984 \times 10^{-17} \\ 5.042 \times 10^{-15} \\ 1.358 \times 10^{-04} \\ 0.26556 \\ 3.019 \times 10^{-05} \\ 0.38492 \\ 2.833 \times 10^{-09} \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275	T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 110 52 0 0 370 419 561 188 518 355
No F1 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9 F10 F11 F12 F13 F14 F15 F16 F17 F18 F19 F20 F21 F22 F23 F24 F25 F26 F27	$\begin{array}{r} BWOA\\ \hline p-value\\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18}\\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20}\\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20}\\ 1.889 \times 10^{-04}\\ \hline 7.967 \times 10^{-18}\\ \hline 7.075 \times 10^{-16}\\ 2.392 \times 10^{-08}\\ 4.777 \times 10^{-14}\\ 0.18678\\ 3.702 \times 10^{-17}\\ 1.907 \times 10^{-16}\\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18}\\ 2.071 \times 10^{-17}\\ 8.561 \times 10^{-17}\\ 8.561 \times 10^{-17}\\ 8.561 \times 10^{-18}\\ 5.864 \times 10^{-16}\\ 1.851 \times 10^{-13}\\ 5.215 \times 10^{-17}\\ 3.360 \times 10^{-17}\\ 1.538 \times 10^{-17}\\ \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1024 1275 108 1212 762 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1225 1048 1275 1247 1275 1237 1253 1068 1263 1274 1273	$\begin{array}{c} \hline T^{-} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 251 \\ 0 \\ 1273 \\ 1167 \\ 63 \\ 513 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 50 \\ 227 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 50 \\ 227 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 28 \\ 0 \\ 38 \\ 22 \\ 207 \\ 12 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} \mbox{DDOA} \\ \mbox{p-value} \\ \mbox{7.066 \times 10^{-18}} \\ \mbox{3.311 \times 10^{-20}} \\ \mbox{4.733 \times 10^{-20}} \\ \mbox{8.911 \times 10^{-18}} \\ \mbox{7.065 \times 10^{-18}} \\ \mbox{7.065 \times 10^{-18}} \\ \mbox{7.065 \times 10^{-18}} \\ \mbox{7.066 \times 10^{-17}} \\ \mbox{1.427 \times 10^{-16}} \\ \mbox{7.066 \times 10^{-17}} \\ \mbox{1.427 \times 10^{-17}} \\ \mbox{1.427 \times 10^{-15}} \\ \mbox{3.477 \times 10^{-05}} \\ \mbox{0.73657} \\ \mbox{7.028 \times 10^{-18}} \\ \mbox{1.349 \times 10^{-16}} \\ \mbox{1.349 \times 10^{-16}} \end{array}$	T+ 1275 </td <td>$\begin{array}{c} \hline T^{-} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\$</td> <td>$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline LFD & & & \\ \hline p-value & & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} & & \\ \hline 4.733 \times 10^{-20} & & \\ \hline 7.007 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 2.400 \times 10^{-16} & & \\ \hline 6.993 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 1.427 \times 10^{-17} & & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 1.733 \times 10^{-17} & & \\ \hline 1.864 \times 10^{-07} & & \\ \hline 7.028 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 1.225 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 5.969 \times 10^{-16} & & \\ \hline \end{tabular}$</td> <td>T+ 1275 <!--</td--><td>$\begin{array}{c} \hline T^{-} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\$</td><td>$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-16} \\ 7.$</td><td>T+ 1275 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275</td><td>T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 370 419 561 188 518 355 1237</td></td>	$\begin{array}{c} \hline T^{-} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline LFD & & & \\ \hline p-value & & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} & & \\ \hline 4.733 \times 10^{-20} & & \\ \hline 7.007 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 2.400 \times 10^{-16} & & \\ \hline 6.993 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 1.427 \times 10^{-17} & & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 1.733 \times 10^{-17} & & \\ \hline 1.864 \times 10^{-07} & & \\ \hline 7.028 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 1.225 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 5.969 \times 10^{-16} & & \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 </td <td>$\begin{array}{c} \hline T^{-} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-16} \\ 7.$</td> <td>T+ 1275 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275</td> <td>T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 370 419 561 188 518 355 1237</td>	$\begin{array}{c} \hline T^{-} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-16} \\ 7.$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275	T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 370 419 561 188 518 355 1237
No F1 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9 F10 F11 F12 F13 F14 F15 F16 F17 F18 F19 F20 F21 F22 F23 F24 F25 F26 F27 F28	$\begin{array}{r} BWOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.889 \times 10^{-04} \\ \hline 7.967 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.075 \times 10^{-16} \\ 2.392 \times 10^{-08} \\ 4.777 \times 10^{-14} \\ 0.18678 \\ 3.702 \times 10^{-17} \\ 1.907 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 2.071 \times 10^{-17} \\ 8.484 \times 10^{-17} \\ 8.561 \times 10^{-15} \\ 2.569 \times 10^{-05} \\ \hline 7.504 \times 10^{-18} \\ 8.462 \times 10^{-18} \\ 5.864 \times 10^{-16} \\ 1.851 \times 10^{-13} \\ 5.215 \times 10^{-07} \\ 3.360 \times 10^{-17} \\ 1.538 \times 10^{-17} \\ 4.275 \times 10^{-16} \\ \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1024 1275 108 1212 762 1275 1273 1275 1273 1275 1273 1225 1048 1275 1237 1253 1068 1263 1273 1273 1273 1273 1273 1273 1273 1273 1273 1273 1273 1273 1273 1273 1273 1273	$\begin{array}{c} \hline T^{-} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 251 \\ 0 \\ 1273 \\ 1167 \\ 63 \\ 513 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 50 \\ 227 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 28 \\ 0 \\ 38 \\ 22 \\ 207 \\ 12 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 25 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline DDOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 8.911 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.338 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 6.993 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.274 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.427 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.174 \times 10^{-15} \\ \hline 3.477 \times 10^{-05} \\ \hline 0.73657 \\ \hline 7.028 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 1.349 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline 3.104 \times 10^{-14} \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 </td <td>$\begin{array}{c} \hline T^{-} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\$</td> <td>$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline LFD & & & \\ \hline p-value & & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} & & \\ \hline 4.733 \times 10^{-20} & & \\ \hline 7.007 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 2.400 \times 10^{-16} & & \\ \hline 6.993 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 1.427 \times 10^{-17} & & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 1.733 \times 10^{-17} & & \\ \hline 1.864 \times 10^{-07} & & \\ \hline 7.028 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 5.969 \times 10^{-16} & & \\ \hline 8.738 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline \end{tabular}$</td> <td>T+ 1275 <!--</td--><td>T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td><td>$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-10} \\ 7.$</td><td>T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275</td><td>T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 370 419 561 188 518 355 1237 193</td></td>	$\begin{array}{c} \hline T^{-} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline LFD & & & \\ \hline p-value & & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} & & \\ \hline 4.733 \times 10^{-20} & & \\ \hline 7.007 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 2.400 \times 10^{-16} & & \\ \hline 6.993 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 1.427 \times 10^{-17} & & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 1.733 \times 10^{-17} & & \\ \hline 1.864 \times 10^{-07} & & \\ \hline 7.028 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 5.969 \times 10^{-16} & & \\ \hline 8.738 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 </td <td>T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-10} \\ 7.$</td> <td>T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275</td> <td>T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 370 419 561 188 518 355 1237 193</td>	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-10} \\ 7.$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275	T- 0 0 291 27 687 371 460 337 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 370 419 561 188 518 355 1237 193
No F1 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9 F10 F11 F12 F13 F14 F15 F16 F17 F18 F19 F20 F21 F22 F23 F24 F25 F26 F27 F28 F29	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{BWOA} \\ \hline \textbf{p-value} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.889 \times 10^{-04} \\ \hline 7.967 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.075 \times 10^{-16} \\ 2.392 \times 10^{-08} \\ 4.777 \times 10^{-14} \\ 0.18678 \\ 3.702 \times 10^{-17} \\ 1.907 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 2.071 \times 10^{-17} \\ 8.484 \times 10^{-17} \\ 8.561 \times 10^{-15} \\ 2.569 \times 10^{-05} \\ \hline 7.504 \times 10^{-18} \\ 8.462 \times 10^{-18} \\ 5.864 \times 10^{-17} \\ 1.910 \times 10^{-16} \\ 1.851 \times 10^{-15} \\ 1.301 \times 10^{-17} \\ 1.538 \times 10^{-17} \\ 4.275 \times 10^{-16} \\ 4.089 \times 10^{-11} \\ \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1024 1275 108 1212 762 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1237 1253 1068 1263 1274 1275 1237 1253 1068 1263 1274 1275 1237 1253 10212	$\begin{array}{c} \hline T^{-}\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 251\\ 0\\ 1273\\ 1167\\ 63\\ 513\\ 0\\ 2\\ 0\\ 0\\ 2\\ 0\\ 0\\ 2\\ 50\\ 227\\ 0\\ 0\\ 2\\ 25\\ 0\\ 38\\ 22\\ 207\\ 12\\ 1\\ 2\\ 25\\ 63\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline DDOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 8.911 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.338 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 6.993 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.274 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.427 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.174 \times 10^{-15} \\ \hline 3.477 \times 10^{-05} \\ \hline 0.73657 \\ \hline 7.028 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 1.349 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline 3.104 \times 10^{-14} \\ \hline 2.850 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 </td <td>$\begin{array}{c} \hline T^{-} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\$</td> <td>$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline LFD & & & \\ \hline p-value & & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} & & \\ \hline 4.733 \times 10^{-20} & & \\ \hline 7.007 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 7.055 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 2.400 \times 10^{-16} & & \\ \hline 6.993 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 1.427 \times 10^{-17} & & \\ \hline 1.864 \times 10^{-07} & & \\ \hline 7.028 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 5.969 \times 10^{-16} & & \\ \hline 8.738 \times 10^{-17} & & \\ \hline 1.88 \times 10^{-17} & & \\ \hline 1.88 \times 10^{-17} & & \\ \hline 1.80 \times 10^{-1$</td> <td>T+ 1275 <!--</td--><td>T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td><td>$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-10} \\ 7.068 \times 10^{-10} \\ 7.084 \times 10^{-10} \\ 7.$</td><td>T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275</td><td>$\begin{array}{c} \hline T \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 291 \\ 27 \\ 687 \\ 371 \\ 460 \\ 337 \\ 18 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 110 \\ 52 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 110 \\ 52 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 70 \\ 419 \\ 561 \\ 188 \\ 518 \\$</td></td>	$\begin{array}{c} \hline T^{-} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline LFD & & & \\ \hline p-value & & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} & & \\ \hline 4.733 \times 10^{-20} & & \\ \hline 7.007 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 7.055 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 6.630 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 2.400 \times 10^{-16} & & \\ \hline 6.993 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 1.427 \times 10^{-17} & & \\ \hline 1.864 \times 10^{-07} & & \\ \hline 7.028 \times 10^{-18} & & \\ \hline 5.969 \times 10^{-16} & & \\ \hline 8.738 \times 10^{-17} & & \\ \hline 1.88 \times 10^{-17} & & \\ \hline 1.88 \times 10^{-17} & & \\ \hline 1.80 \times 10^{-1$	T+ 1275 </td <td>T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-10} \\ 7.068 \times 10^{-10} \\ 7.084 \times 10^{-10} \\ 7.$</td> <td>T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275</td> <td>$\begin{array}{c} \hline T \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 291 \\ 27 \\ 687 \\ 371 \\ 460 \\ 337 \\ 18 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 110 \\ 52 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 110 \\ 52 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 70 \\ 419 \\ 561 \\ 188 \\ 518 \\$</td>	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-10} \\ 7.068 \times 10^{-10} \\ 7.084 \times 10^{-10} \\ 7.$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275	$\begin{array}{c} \hline T \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 291 \\ 27 \\ 687 \\ 371 \\ 460 \\ 337 \\ 18 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 110 \\ 52 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 110 \\ 52 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 70 \\ 419 \\ 561 \\ 188 \\ 518 \\ $
No F1 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9 F10 F11 F12 F13 F14 F15 F16 F17 F18 F19 F20 F21 F22 F23 F24 F25 F26 F27 F28 F29 F30	$\begin{array}{r} BWOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.839 \times 10^{-04} \\ \hline 7.967 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.075 \times 10^{-16} \\ 2.392 \times 10^{-08} \\ 4.777 \times 10^{-14} \\ 0.18678 \\ 3.702 \times 10^{-17} \\ 1.907 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 2.071 \times 10^{-17} \\ 8.484 \times 10^{-17} \\ 8.561 \times 10^{-17} \\ 8.561 \times 10^{-15} \\ 2.569 \times 10^{-05} \\ 7.504 \times 10^{-18} \\ 8.462 \times 10^{-18} \\ 5.864 \times 10^{-16} \\ 1.851 \times 10^{-13} \\ 5.215 \times 10^{-07} \\ 3.360 \times 10^{-15} \\ 1.301 \times 10^{-17} \\ 4.275 \times 10^{-16} \\ 4.089 \times 10^{-11} \\ 9.926 \times 10^{-14} \\ \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 1024 1275 108 1212 762 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1237 1253 1068 1263 1274 1273 1263 1274 1273 1263 1274 1273 1263 1274 1273 1202	$\begin{array}{c} \hline T^{-} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 251 \\ 0 \\ 1273 \\ 1167 \\ 63 \\ 513 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 50 \\ 227 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 50 \\ 227 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 28 \\ 0 \\ 38 \\ 22 \\ 207 \\ 12 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 25 \\ 63 \\ 73 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline DDOA \\ \hline p-value \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ \hline 8.911 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.05 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 6.338 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 6.933 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.274 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline 7.066 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.427 \times 10^{-17} \\ \hline 1.174 \times 10^{-15} \\ \hline 3.477 \times 10^{-05} \\ \hline 0.73657 \\ \hline 7.028 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 2.297 \times 10^{-18} \\ \hline 1.349 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline 3.104 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline 5.643 \times 10^{-16} \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	T+ 1275 1274 </td <td>$\begin{array}{c} \hline T^{-} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\$</td> <td>$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline LFD & & & \\ \hline \$P\$-value & & \\ \hline \$7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \$3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ \$4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ \$7.007 \times 10^{-18} \\ \$7.007 \times 10^{-18} \\ \$7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \$7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ \$7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \$1.427 \times 10^{-17} \\ \$7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ \$1.427 \times 10^{-17} \\ \$1.864 \times 10^{-07} \\ \$7.028 \times 10^{-18} \\ \$1.225 \times 10^{-18} \\ \$5.969 \times 10^{-16} \\ \$8.738 \times 10^{-17} \\ \$7.551 \times 10^{-17} \\ \$</td> <td>T+ 1275 <!--</td--><td>T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td><td>$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-19} \\ 1.598 \times 10^{-11} \\ 3.58 \times 10^{-04} \\ 0.26556 \\ 3.019 \times 10^{-05} \\ 0.38492 \\ 2.823 \times 10^{-09} \\ 8.158 \times 10^{-11} \\ 3.398 \times 10^{-08} \\ 2.503 \times 10^{-03} \\ 3.100 \times 10^{-12} \\ \end{array}$</td><td>T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275</td><td>$\begin{array}{c} \hline T \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 291 \\ 27 \\ 687 \\ 371 \\ 460 \\ 337 \\ 18 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 110 \\ 52 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 110 \\ 52 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 70 \\ 419 \\ 561 \\ 188 \\ 518 \\ 355 \\ 1237 \\ 193 \\ 267 \\ 120 \\ \end{array}$</td></td>	$\begin{array}{c} \hline T^{-} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline LFD & & & \\ \hline P-value & & \\ \hline $7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ $3.311 \times 10^{-20} \\ $4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ $7.007 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.007 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.065 \times 10^{-18} \\ $7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ $1.427 \times 10^{-17} \\ $7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ $1.427 \times 10^{-17} \\ $1.864 \times 10^{-07} \\ $7.028 \times 10^{-18} \\ $1.225 \times 10^{-18} \\ $5.969 \times 10^{-16} \\ $8.738 \times 10^{-17} \\ $7.551 \times 10^{-17} \\ $$	T+ 1275 </td <td>T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-19} \\ 1.598 \times 10^{-11} \\ 3.58 \times 10^{-04} \\ 0.26556 \\ 3.019 \times 10^{-05} \\ 0.38492 \\ 2.823 \times 10^{-09} \\ 8.158 \times 10^{-11} \\ 3.398 \times 10^{-08} \\ 2.503 \times 10^{-03} \\ 3.100 \times 10^{-12} \\ \end{array}$</td> <td>T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275</td> <td>$\begin{array}{c} \hline T \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 291 \\ 27 \\ 687 \\ 371 \\ 460 \\ 337 \\ 18 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 110 \\ 52 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 110 \\ 52 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 70 \\ 419 \\ 561 \\ 188 \\ 518 \\ 355 \\ 1237 \\ 193 \\ 267 \\ 120 \\ \end{array}$</td>	T- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{r} SSA \\ \hline p-value \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 4.733 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.827 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.168 \times 10^{-15} \\ 0.62696 \\ 1.887 \times 10^{-02} \\ 0.34364 \\ 5.642 \times 10^{-03} \\ 1.213 \times 10^{-13} \\ 2.954 \times 10^{-17} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-18} \\ 7.066 \times 10^{-19} \\ 1.598 \times 10^{-11} \\ 3.58 \times 10^{-04} \\ 0.26556 \\ 3.019 \times 10^{-05} \\ 0.38492 \\ 2.823 \times 10^{-09} \\ 8.158 \times 10^{-11} \\ 3.398 \times 10^{-08} \\ 2.503 \times 10^{-03} \\ 3.100 \times 10^{-12} \\ \end{array}$	T+ 1275 1275 1275 984 1248 588 904 815 938 1257 1275	$\begin{array}{c} \hline T \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 291 \\ 27 \\ 687 \\ 371 \\ 460 \\ 337 \\ 18 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 110 \\ 52 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 110 \\ 52 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 70 \\ 419 \\ 561 \\ 188 \\ 518 \\ 355 \\ 1237 \\ 193 \\ 267 \\ 120 \\ \end{array}$

CMRFO5 achieved a power generation of 18337 KW with 86.28% efficiency for a cost equal to **0.0015306**, while

MRFO produced a total power of 17880 KW and 86.23% efficiency for a cost equal to 0.0015375. Along with these

TABLE 6. Simulation results of WFLO problem for case 1.

Authors		No. WT	Total Power (kW)	Efficiency (%)	Fitness Value
CMRFO5		41	18337	86.28	0.0015306
MRFO		40	17880	86.23	0.0015375
AOA		37	16530	86.18	0.0015611
SCA		36	16092	86.23	0.0015696
SSA		40	17692	85.32	0.0015539
Mosetti et al. [2]	Reported	19	9245	93.86	0.0017371
	Calculated	19	9234	93.75	0.0017378
Grady et al. [4]	Reported	39	17220	85.17	0.0015666
	Calculated	39	17204	85.09	0.0015649
Pookpunt et al. [14]	Reported	35	15796	87.06	0.0015648
	Calculated	35	15796	87.06	0.0015648
Taleb et al. [30]	Reported	40	15853	86.85	0.0015322
Kalyan et al. [31]	Reported	40	17781	85.74	0.0015461
	Calculated	40	17781	85.75	0.0015461
Partha et al. [38]	Reported	40	17920	86.42	0.0015341
	Calculated	40	17920	86.42	0.0015341
Hegazy et al. [43]	Reported	40	17878	86.22	0.0015380
	Calculated	40	17878	86.22	0.0015377



FIGURE 7. Optimal configurations and Convergence curves of CMRFO5 vs MRFO, AOA, SCA, SSA for Case 1.

findings, it is observed that the cost per unit power is improved compared to those reported in the literature and the re-implemented approaches and has been decreased by 11.92% for Mosetti *et al.* [2], 2.19% for Grady *et al.* [4], 2.18% for Pookpunt *et al.* [14], 0.10% for Taleb *et al.* [30], 1% for Kalyan *et al.* [31], 0.23% for Biswas *et al.* [38], 0.46% for Hegazy *et al.* [43], and 1.95%, 2.48%, 1.5% for AOA, SCA, and SSA, respectively. It is worth noting that the results are compared for unfixed WTs. Total wind turbines placed in this present case study are better than all approaches; it is reported that 41 number of turbines with a lower cost and a higher output total power. Moreover, regarding these earlier researches reported in Table 6, it can be seen that the Mosetti results found a better farm efficiency of 93.75% and a worst total power output of 9234 KW for a minimum number of wind turbines equal to 19 machines. Furthermore, Pookpunt reaches the second rank in regard to efficiency, which is equal to 87.06%, and a smaller power output of 15796 KW for a number of wind turbines equal to 35. Besides, the optimal layouts corresponding to 39 and 40 machines have close results with each other, except that of Taleb *et al.* which gives a decreased cost with the lowest total power. Along these lines, it is obvious that the increased number of turbines affects an increase in power output and a reduction in efficiency. Fig. 7. describes the corresponding optimal configurations for CMRFO5, MRFO and the other competitive algorithms AOA, SCA, and SSA. Furthermore, the convergence curves of the fitness values for all approaches

TABLE 7. Simulation results of WFLO problem for case 2.



FIGURE 8. Optimal configurations and Convergence curves of CMRFO5 vs MRFO, AOA, SCA, SSA for Case 2.

are illustrated in Fig. 7. As can be seen, this figure reveals that CMRFO5 converges faster to lower fitness compared to the other approaches.

2) SCENARIO 2: VARIABLE WIND SPEED, VARIABLE DIRECTION

The variation of wind direction in this case study is identical to that of the previous scenario; however, the wind speed is assumed to be variable 8 m/s, 12 m/s, and 17 m/s, with a different occurrence probability. As tabulated in Table 7, CMRFO5 attains the best layout, which extracts a total power output of 33052 KW for 40 wind turbines with an efficiency of 86.34%, in addition to a best minimum cost value of **0.0008317**. Whereas the MRFO obtains a fitness value equal to 0.0008360 with a power output of 32884 KW and an efficiency of 85.90% for the same

found, it can be clearly seen that according to the fitness value, the proposed CMRFO5 algorithm yields better results than the other approaches; it is reduced by 15.54%, 1.67%, 2.41%, 0.40%, 0.72%, 0.08%, 0.45%, 1.75%, 2.65%, and 1.45%, compared with Mosetti *et al.* [2], Grady *et al.* [4], Pookpunt *et al.* [14], Taleb *et al.* [30], Kalyan *et al.* [31], Biswas *et al.* [38], Hegazy *et al.* [43], AOA, SCA, and SSA, respectively. According to the studies mentioned above, Mosetti reported higher efficiency of up to 94.65% with a lower total power output of 13588 KW for 15 turbines. While, Pookpunt demonstrated better power output of up to 36440 KW with the worst efficiency of 82.78% for a number of turbines equal to 46 machines, which confirms the relationship between the number of turbines in dealing with the farm efficiency and the output power. Through these

wind turbines number as CMRFO5. Through the results

StatsMRFOCMRFO1CMRFO2CMRFO3CMRFO4CMRFO5Mean 2097.573 100.1421 100.1152 100.2464 100.0899 100.1184 Std 1981.0906 0.3458 0.4061 1.6103 0.2719 0.3689 p-valueN/A 0.18×10^{-16} 0.12×10^{-16} 0.15×10^{-16} 0.18×10^{-16}	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c c} \mbox{CMRF04} & \mbox{CMRF05} \\ \hline 100.0899 & 100.1184 \\ 0.2719 & 0.3689 \\ 0.15 \times 10^{-16} & 0.18 \times 10^{-16} \end{array}$	CMRFO5 100.1184 0.3689 0.18×10 ⁻¹⁶		CMRF06 100.2784 1.2796 0.14×10 ⁻¹⁶	CMRF07 100.0359 0.0876 0.15×10 ⁻¹⁶	CMRF08 100.0395 0.1281 0.13×10 ⁻¹⁶	CMRF09 100.1761 0.8891 0.18×10 ⁻¹⁶	CMRF010 100.1240 0.3936 0.19×10 ⁻¹⁶
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{300} \\ \textbf{3.15} \times 10^{-14} \\ \textbf{3.15} \times 10^{-14} \\ \textbf{3.15} \times 10^{-14} \\ \textbf{400} \\ \textbf{1.03} \times 10^{-04} \\ \textbf{50.71} \times 10^{-17} \\ \textbf{0.71} \times 10^{-17} \end{array}$	0,004400	$\begin{array}{c} 600\\ 5.25 \times 10^{-14}\\ 0.007313\\ 0.0\\ 3.34 \times 10^{-08}\\ 0.70 \times 10^{-17} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.00\\ 3.54 \times 10^{-14}\\ 0.001126\\ 400\\ 2.99 \times 10^{-09}\\ 0.70 \times 10^{-17}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.00\\ 3.45 \times 10^{-14}\\ 0.002149\\ 400\\ 5.65 \times 10^{-11}\\ 0.70 \times 10^{-17}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 300\\ 3.54 \times 10^{-14}\\ 0.001126\\ 400\\ 4.10 \times 10^{-07}\\ 0.70 \times 10^{-17}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 300\\ 3.54 \times 10^{-14}\\ 0.006867\\ 400\\ 2.62 \times 10^{-09}\\ 0.70 \times 10^{-17}\end{array}$
Mean 520.0583 521.2722 520.3767 519.5211 521.1727 516.9541 Std 10.9221 7.6882 9.5459 8.399 10.8565 7.1322 p -value N/A 0.196 0.674 0.8119 0.5417 0.2069 Mean 600.3673 601.2773 600.2466 600.8839 601.0143 600.5113 Std 1.0691 2.3148 $\overline{0.6791}$ 1.767 1.985 1.0269	520.0583 521.272 520.3767 519.5211 521.1727 516.9541 10.9221 7.6882 9.5459 8.399 10.8565 7.1322 N/A 0.196 0.674 0.8119 0.5417 0.2069 600.3673 601.2773 600.2466 600.8839 601.0143 600.5113 1.0691 2.3148 $\overline{0.6791}$ 1.767 1.985 1.0269 N/A $0.92 \cdot 10^{-06}$ 0.0001 $2.65 \cdot 10^{-06}$ 0.0173	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	521.1727 516.9541 10.8565 7.1322 0.5417 0.2069 601.0143 600.5113 1.985 1.0269	516.9541 7.1322 0.2069 600.5113 1.0269 0.001725		519.143 9.3347 0.8039 600.4155 1.2742	520.8762 10.6724 0.669 600.6223 1.4251 1.6 \times 10 ⁻⁰⁵	520.5558 9.4851 0.5693 601.0446 1.5694 5.66 \ 10-07	519.5609 8.6519 0.8685 600.3579 0.7673	519.9588 9.1574 0.8361 600.6541 1.3301 5.57~10 ⁻⁰⁵
p-value VAL 0.02×10 0.00021 0.00021 0.00120 0.011760 0.011760 0.011760 0.011760 0.011760 0.011760 0.011760 0.011760 0.011760 0.011760 0.011760 0.011760 0.0100 0.0100 0.011760 0.011760	NMA 0.025×10 0.00021 3.05×10 0.00112 0.00112 738.2276 737.3985 738.3651 740.4357 737.1145 737.1145 13.6897 12.9944 14.3418 17.1498 12.5163 $\overline{11.7301}$ N/A 0.87673 0.88217 0.69691 0.20587 0.88761 820.4364 820.6553 821.113 817.9888 818.4067 817.8694 7.9093 7.2519 7.1105 8.7546 8.7162 7.8907 N/A 0.84691 0.5146 0.1001 0.10809 0.11756	$0.82 \times 10^{-0.00021}$ 0.00021 0.00173 0.00113 0.001145 737.1145 737.3985 733.3651 740.4357 740.74557 737.1145 12.9944 14.3418 17.1498 12.5163 11.7301 0.87673 0.88217 0.69691 0.20587 0.88761 820.6553 821.113 817.9888 818.4067 817.8694 7.2519 7.1105 8.7546 8.7162 7.8907 0.84691 0.5146 0.1001 0.10809 0.11756	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2.50×10 0.001125 740.74557 737.1145 12.5163 11.7301 0.20587 0.88761 818.4067 817.8694 8.7162 7.8907 0.10809 0.11756	0.11756 0.117501 0.88761 817.8694 7.8907 0.11756		0.1000599 741.5147 14.0488 0.19615 819.4614 6.4329 0.59542	1.00×10 739,0989 15,9511 0.86588 818.7052 7.9799 0.3224	2.00×10 743.560 16.9829 0.1152 821.1727 10.5546 0.83879	0.014690 739.0906 12.8629 0.60754 820.2175 7.5035 0.98349	0.285482 738.5482 14.4294 0.89852 818.9042 8.9173 0.2898
Mean 901.0928 905.3002903.8685911.1309904.2973903.6283Std $\overline{2.3416}$ 15.368711.552531.93047.12519.021p-valueN/A 2.30×10^{-05} 0.048169 4.09×10^{-05} 5.17×10^{-05} 0.001453Mean1733.0791710.8411650.347 1633.621 1703.8071677.137Std 255.8102 271.360 325.9786 $\overline{268.6976}$ 309.7243 230.7086 p-valueN/A0.936810.22370.0854310.903970.47128	901.0928 905.3002 903.8685 911.1309 904.2973 903.6283 903.7086 904.137 1677.137 1713.807 1677.137 1656.6376 309.7243 230.7086 903.7243 230.7086 903.7243 903.7086 904.7128 903.7086 N/A 0.93681 0.2237 0.085431 0.90397 0.47128 903.7086	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	903.6283 9.021 0.001453 1677.137 230.7086 0.47128		905.7259 12.6996 0.34×10^{-05} 1683.402 306.226 0.45864	903.1203 5.7436 0.001296 1635.545 269.3882 0.098724	908.7865 16.6634 7.97×10 ⁻⁰⁶ 1788.829 264.5313 0.22889	907.7559 32.0578 0.021049 1657.611 273.9412 0.28996	902.6299 6.7766 0.010004 1636.241 268.5263 0.16065
Mean 1110.235 1111.199 1109.968 1110.543 1112.459 1109.172 Std 7.2728 11.1417 7.2436 7.0104 10.0735 7.3677 p-value N/A 0.72255 0.42188 0.87129 0.33315 0.23708 Mean 14720.981 7523.205 5854.747 6853.126 8057.099 6139.737 Std 11100.60 8167.705 5441.902 7317.477 9413.227 7140.055	1110.235 1111.199 1109.48 1110.543 1112.459 1109.172 7.2728 11.1417 7.2436 7.0104 10.0735 7.3677 N/A 0.72255 0.42188 0.87129 0.38315 0.23708 14720.981 7523.205 5854.747 6853.126 8057.099 6139.737 11100.60 8167.705 5441.902 7317.477 9413.227 7140.055 N/A 0.435.100-06 6.571.00-06 6.571.00-04 0.324.10-06 0.324.10-06	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1109.968 1110.543 1112.459 1109.172 7.2436 7.0104 10.0735 7.3677 0.42188 0.87129 0.38315 0.23708 5854.747 6853.126 8057.099 6139.737 5441.902 7.317.477 9413.227 7140.055 0.672.10-06 6.623.10-06 6.32.10-06 6.32.10-06	1110.543 1112.459 1109.172 7.0104 10.0735 7.3677 0.87129 0.38315 0.23708 6853.126 8057.099 6139.737 7317.477 9413.227 7140.055 0.57.10-04 0.38.10-06 0.38.10-06	11112.459 1109.172 10.0735 7.3677 0.38315 0.23708 8057.099 6139.737 9413.227 7140.055 0.23.004 0.23.006	1109.172 7.3677 0.23708 6139.737 7140.055 0.28×10 ⁻⁰⁶		1112.708 11.5696 0.62694 8126.845 8259.906	1109.626 9.1464 0.14864 7057.930 9153.314	1111.648 9.1811 0.96426 5 5211.294 6559.616 0.77~10-08	$\begin{array}{c} 1109.792\\ 10.8018\\ 0.19853\\ 6542.096\\ 6281.521\\ 0.45 < 10^{-05} \end{array}$	1107.222 5.09 0.007864 7427.965 8702.721 0.17~10-04
p-value V/A 0.45×10^{-5} 0.51×10^{-5} 0.52×10^{-5} 0.25×10^{-10} 0.25×10^{-10} 0.15×10^{-11} 0.15×10^{-11} 0.15×10^{-11} 0.15×10^{-11} 0.15×10^{-12} 0.15×10^{-10} 0.55×10^{-10} 0.55×10^{-14}	NAA 0.45×10^{-9} 0.51×10^{-9} 0.50×10^{-9} 0.20×10^{-9} 0.50×10^{-9} 0.20×10^{-9} 0.15×10^{-11} 0.21×10^{-11} 0.15×10^{-12} 0.15×10^{-12} 1425.662 1425.662 1423.230 1426.426 1419.358 10.5732 10.5732 10.5732 10.5732 10.5732 10.5732 10.5732 10.57×10^{-09} 0.13×10^{-10} 0.57×10^{-07} 0.55×10^{-14} 0.55×10^{-14}	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0.27 \times 10 & -0 & 0.26 \times 10 & -0 \\ 1364.350 & 1330.123 \\ 94.7453 & \overline{37.7711} \\ 0.21 \times 10^{-10} & 0.15 \times 10^{-12} \\ 1426.426 & 1419.358 \\ 14.5004 & \overline{9.7909} \\ 0.57 \times 10^{-07} & 0.65 \times 10^{-14} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.58 \times 10^{-20} \\ 1.30 \times 10^{-12} \\ \overline{37.7711} \\ 0.15 \times 10^{-12} \\ 1419.358 \\ \overline{9.7909} \\ 0.65 \times 10^{-14} \end{array}$		0.83×10^{-12} 1333.607 42.8626 0.23×10^{-12} 1425.065 11.8333 0.83 × 10^{-09}	0.74×10^{-12} 1337.466 48.9177 0.34×10^{-12} 1422.818 11.245 0.74×10^{-11}	$0.77 \times 10^{-0.0}$ 1357.060 88.8647 0.71×10^{-11} 1419.424 11.1055 0.13×10^{-12}	$\begin{array}{c} 0.45 \times 10 \\ 1338.732 \\ 62.319 \\ 0.52 \times 10^{-12} \\ 1423.869 \\ 9.5554 \\ 0.42 \times 10^{-11} \end{array}$	$0.1/\times 10^{-20}$ 1355.911 102.7725 0.95×10^{-11} 1422.640 11.1913 0.31×10^{-11}
Mean 1551.832 1512.795 1517.579 1514.496 1515.094 1513.823 Std 27.084 12.6681 18.5882 12.9492 13.3844 10.1424 p-value N/A 0.53×10^{-13} 0.74×10^{-11} 0.23×10^{-12} 0.85×10^{-12} 0.19×10^{-1} Mean 1699.692 1654.407 1647.224 1678.250 1660.914 1627.396 Std 96.6835 88.3096 92.824 98.6938 80.2507 61.691 p-value N/A 0.023116 0.004557 0.26853 0.19615 0.000456	1551.832 1512.795 1517.579 1514.496 1515.094 1513.823 27.084 12.6681 18.5882 12.9492 13.3844 10.1424 N/A 0.53 $\times 10^{-13}$ 0.74 $\times 10^{-11}$ 0.23 $\times 10^{-12}$ 0.85 $\times 10^{-12}$ 0.19 $\times 10^{-11}$ 1699.692 1654.407 1647.224 1678.250 1660.914 1627.396 96.6835 88.3096 92.824 98.6938 80.2507 61.691 N/A 0.023116 0.004557 0.26853 0.19615 0.000456	1512.795 1517.579 1514.496 1515.044 1513.823 12.6681 18.5882 12.9492 13.3844 10.1424 0.53 $\times 10^{-13}$ 0.74 $\times 10^{-11}$ 0.23 $\times 10^{-12}$ 0.855 $\times 10^{-12}$ 0.19 $\times 10^{-11}$ 1654.407 1647.224 1678.250 1660.914 1627.396 88.3096 92.824 98.6938 80.2507 61.691 0.023116 0.004557 0.26853 0.19615 0.000456	1517.579 1514.496 1515.044 1513.823 18.5882 12.9492 13.3844 10.1424 0.74×10^{-11} 0.23×10^{-12} 0.85×10^{-12} 0.19×10^{-1} 1647.224 1678.250 1660.914 1627.396 92.824 98.6938 80.2507 61.691 0.004557 0.26853 0.19615 0.000456	1514.496 1515.094 1513.823 12.9492 13.3844 10.1424 12.9492 13.3844 10.1424 0.23 $\times 10^{-12}$ 0.85 $\times 10^{-12}$ 0.19 $\times 10^{-1}$ 0.73 $\times 10^{-12}$ 0.860.914 1627.396 98.6938 80.2507 61.691 0.26853 0.19615 0.000456	1515.094 1513.823 1515.094 1513.823 13.3844 10.1424 0.85×10^{-12} 0.19×10^{-1} 0.85×10^{-12} 0.19×10^{-1} 0.85×10^{-12} 0.19×10^{-1} 0.19615 0.000456	$\begin{array}{c} 1513.823\\ 10.1424\\ 0.19 \times 10^{-1}\\ 1627.396\\ 61.691\\ 0.000456\end{array}$	3	$\begin{array}{c} 1516.544\\ 17.0922\\ 0.48 \times 10^{-11}\\ 1686.284\\ 100.0328\\ 0.34318\end{array}$	1517.122 13.3365 13.3365 0.24×10^{-11} 1659.668 82.4766 0.034605	$\begin{array}{c} 1513.002\\ 15.097\\ 15.097\\ 0.80 \times 10^{-13}\\ 1656.949\\ 87.6941\\ 0.038951\end{array}$	$\frac{1509.240}{8.8584}$ 0.43×10 ⁻¹⁵ 1651.765 83.2081 0.016284	$\begin{array}{c} 1513.522\\ 10.2843\\ 0.25 \times 10^{-13}\\ 1624.964\\ \overline{45.178}\\ 0.001152\end{array}$

TABLE 8	. (Conunue	<i>id.)</i> Statistical rest										
No	Stats	MRFO	CMRF01	CMRFO2	CMRFO3	CMRF04	CMRFO5	CMRFO6	CMRF07	CMRFO8	CMRF09	CMRFO10
F17	Mean	1730.906	1734.302	1742.851	1739.227	1738.697	1732.976	1740.438	1733.948	1738.724	1736.621	1737.918
	Std	19.4774	21.291	18.401	16.5471	19.0345	18.4703	22.5484	25.1026	24.0929	19.2864	19.4665
	p-value	N/A	0.56953	0.002083	0.016908	0.028115	0.5192	0.06618	0.79069	0.1168	0.16585	0.084185
F18	Mean	5266.412	1849.094 27 7707	1837.650	1836.842	1841.319	<u>1831.475</u>	1833.161	1841.373	1831.954	1834.493	1839.684 25 2447
	Std	3903.9091	30.7700 0.1510-16	30.2554	29.4733 0.11.10-16	34.1000 0.11.10-16	25.0948 0.05-10-17	20.8113 0.05.110-17	30.1781 0.1110-16	20.3735 20.3730-17	23.4119 0.10.10-16	35.2447 011.10-16
	p-value	N/A 1040 100	01 01×51.0	0.11×10 to	0.11×10	$0.11 \times 10^{-0.1}$	0.85×10 010 2001	100×100	0.11×10 to	0.90×10 1005 000	0.10×10^{-10}	0.14×10^{-10}
ГIУ	Mean	1940.190 31 6386	1907.731 6 2459	505.6061 0 4789	1707.11 67774	1906.877 4 1106	<u>3 0517</u>	1900.304 4 0076	1906.844 8.0286	966.001 33636	1905.100 C	190/.13/ 5 8103
	n voluo	NI/A	0.2127	0.77×10^{-13}	0.40~10-15	0.67×10^{-16}	0.15×10^{-16}	0.47×10^{-16}	0.0200 0.28×10^{-15}	0.21×10^{-16}	0.11×10^{-16}	0.10×10^{-15}
F20	p-value Mean	2019.204	2.021.986	2.024.320	2024.502	2020.0145	2016.492	2027.570	0.38×10	2029.472	2020.684	0.19×10
	Std	13.4683	13.8541	22.8912	16.1367	13.6785	14.8198	24.5368	22.7432	18.3301	25.7883	14.6405
	p-value	N/A	0.20585	0.31916	0.15258	0.78804	0.13826	0.13287	0.10897	0.00552	0.5742	0.27145
F21	Mean	2207.781	2207.478	2205.218	2214.831	2202.416	2198.510	2209.484	2205.132	2215.707	2212.585	2200.306
	Std	27.3744	27.6319	22.6942	38.8256	15.6643	14.2533	30.1718	22.3107	40.8907	36.5628	0.85468
	p-value	N/A 2200 202	0.2582	0.51178	0.64903	0.030079	0.029352	0.78975	0.31437	0.46846	0.3972	0.021595
F22	Mean	2299.285	2296.352	2296.616	2297.364	2298.049	2294.377	2299.509	2296.076	2295.238	2299.578	2299.117
	510 5 milus	15.4059	18.09/4	8/CQ./I	20.0/04	10.08/1	21.8495 0 02215	04004 0	20.528	20.2870 0 54170	102120	10.01
E73	p-value	NA 7672 715	0.04904 2610.014	0.0/0.0	16462.0	1.0000 7614.744	C10C0.0	0.0470 070 1770	1672/10	6/14C.0	0.01/21 7671 310	7615.515C
C7.1	Std	10.0785	64.8183	64.6926	8.2051	47.0857	46.8682	9,108	10.8377	10.2469	8.0734	46.6508
	p-value	N/A	0.54637	0.49275	0.047482	0.07143	0.50149	0.69691	0.95876	0.19855	0.50589	0.50589
F24	Mean	2645.364	2639.611	2666.839	2652.940	2670.467	2617.792	2637.538	2650.205	2671.155	2636.646	2643.363
	Std	121.8942	125.2977	117.9319	121.3604	118.3891	130.3928	128.2829	124.2159	118.8997	127.336	123.5607
	p-value	N/A	0.72636	0.34784	0.71108	0.084471	0.66669	0.89925	0.65621	0.049547	0.94961	0.89682
F25	Mean	2926.363	2924.140	2920.666	2930.653	2931.797	2920.031	2911.196	2920.543	2923.921	2922.562	2930.158
	Std	22.902	23.6923	24.3344	22.1875	21.8469	23.3299	50.3703	53.5412	23.4852	27.4467	26.3107
	p-value	N/A	0.31747	0.39834	0.098688	0.030657	0.35376	0.095163	0.86586	0.95601	0.33608	0.38865
F26	Mean	2902.715	2924.174	2920.931	2910.464	2927.537	2895.736	2910.682	2935.590	2918.266	2937.241	2918.4607
	Std	81.0566	72.9282	88.3753	84.3696	76.5973	99.2268	73.1941	104.2287	73.6738	67.4352	62.8099 6.61666
	p-value	N/A	0.052922	0.054394	0.14872	0.006538	0.015042	0.067/98	0.016306	0.0197/8	0.000533	0.010896
F21	Mean Std	3102.843 14 0757	3102.741 10.013	3100.948 6 5/35	3101.200 6 6570	3102.914 7 4465	<u>3099.90</u> 6 5504	5101.535 7 1703	5105.891 15 0561	3106.04/ 15 2060	3101.090 8.0154	3101.180 8 5161
	n-value	N/A	0.15258	0.51697	0.22369	0.03895	0.96701	0.3574	0.081736	0.019259	0.46915	0.49929
F28	Mean	3224.024	3172.664	3132.7821	3160.5751	3173.3103	3139.6296	3168.2362	3192.8285	3181.2652	3164.6667	3119.3295
	Std	141.2499	137.8906	109.9723	123.7692	126.1408	77.1226	120.2117	141.2381	145.3184	110.5937	108.5022
	p-value	N/A	0.39593	0.25049	0.32443	0.47323	0.03368	0.12593	0.84728	0.22104	0.49815	0.12112
F29	Mean	3188.567	3186.335	3183.497	3181.938	3185.724	3175.528	3192.128	3196.197	3185.016	3180.431	3170.467
	Std	27.2441	34.2804	25.7617	26.2456	25.9548	27.313	38.5012	34.2694	33.1409	28.0777	23.0438
	p-value	N/A	0.45033	0.32253	0.22899	0.64169	0.009445	0.96426	0.41398	0.28372	0.12506	0.001181
F30	Mean	$1.10 \times 10^{+01}$	$1.52 \times 10^{+05}$	$0.86 \times 10^{+0.5}$	$1.68 \times 10^{+0.5}$	$1.03 \times 10^{+0.5}$	$0.86 \times 10^{+0.5}$	$1.52 \times 10^{+00}$	$1.69 \times 10^{+0.5}$	$1.19 \times 10^{+01}$	$1.03 \times 10^{+0.5}$	$2.01 \times 10^{+0.5}$
	Std	$2.79 \times 10^{+00}$	$3.18 \times 10^{+05}$	$2.48 \times 10^{+05}$	$3.32 \times 10^{+00}$	$2.69 \times 10^{+00}$	$2.49 \times 10^{+05}$	$3.19 \times 10^{+00}$	$3.32 \times 10^{+05}$	$2.87 \times 10^{+00}$	$2.71 \times 10^{+0.5}$	$3.53 \times 10^{+05}$
	p-value	N/A	0.27×10^{-04}	$0.55 \times 10^{-0/2}$	0.38×10^{-04}	0.40×10^{-00}	$0.20 \times 10^{-0/2}$	0.32×10^{-04}	0.000948	$0.73 \times 10^{-0.00}$	$0.74 \times 10^{-0/2}$	0.000838
	Rank	11	7	3	9	10	-	8	S	6	4	1

TABLE 9.	Statistic	al results of Mikri		י בי					1000		• 00
N	DIALS	CUNIKFUD	MKFU	ABC	AUA	ОННО	DCA	BWUA	DUUA	LFU	ASA
Fl	Min	100	100.0178	104.1253	$11765 \times 10^{+0.5}$	18073.0793	$26103 \times 10^{+04}$	$89888 \times 10^{+0.5}$	$61104 \times 10^{+04}$	$17520 \times 10^{+00}$	102.6611
	Mean	100.1184	2097.5726	1396.5559	$61080 \times 10^{+05}$	367089.812	$70076 \times 10^{+04}$	$82828 \times 10^{+04}$	$19242 \times 10^{+05}$	$40097 \times 10^{+05}$	2461.5861
	Std	0.36895	1981.0906	2434.7704	$32658 \times 10^{+05}$	272042.856	$29806 \times 10^{+04}$	$50294 \times 10^{+04}$	$46369 \times 10^{+04}$	$10203 \times 10^{+05}$	2664.6494
F3	Min	300	300	6907.0694	2364.5342	300.4506	669.4676	2109.2407	1743.6912	5139.2863	300
	Mean	300	300	15934.051	8033.3806	301.6873	1773.4468	4911.2324	5609.9035	12667.789	300
	Std	$\overline{3.15 \times 10^{-14}}$	1.82×10^{-14}	5151.3014	2623.9994	0.81282	1212.5124	2026.4448	1447.2838	3702.0663	9.15×10^{-10}
F4	Min	400	400.0016	404.6338	461.9055	400.0834	419.0679	414.6165	464.2657	479.6992	400.0035
	Mean	400	400.131	405.6868	748.3511	418.6887	448.9998	473.4022	517.2639	687.0031	405.8495
	Std	0.000103	0.18617	0.274	232.0912	26.5822	19.2625	27.338	30.1383	88.2683	7.2882
F5	Min	506.9647	505.9697	518.9	522.8948	514.1694	536.4773	511.2835	529.7721	540.0721	507.9597
	Mean	516.9541	520.0583	529.9409	550.4531	550.1288	547.4939	521.6379	556.3508	566.7704	522.8465
	Std	7.1322	10.9221	4.1004	19.3692	17.8895	7.0818	5.9429	7.9848	8.6734	8.0716
F6	Min	009	600	600.0001	620.5485	608.785	610.3868	603.8795	618.5312	622.1313	600.2623
	Mean	600.5113	600.3673	600.0006	637.9537	631.3302	617.9957	609.063	630.1757	634.5783	610.1005
	Std	1.0269	1.0691	0.000547	7.236	11.6899	3.9539	3.0375	4.3916	6.0805	8.9165
F7	Min	717.3423	718.8392	727.9238	762.5366	722.3464	751.5879	712.4135	768.8683	770.9526	716.333
	Mean	737.1145	738.2276	740.9227	800.6055	781.3049	773.6834	718.6622	799.4081	817.3019	735.5679
	Std	11.7301	13.6897	4.8365	14.1751	18.8604	10.6426	2.9405	13.1215	12.5765	11.4014
F8	Min	802.9849	805.9698	818.6597	816.9260	813.1308	827.7127	804.1334	839.8767	838.7315	806.9647
	Mean	817.8694	820.4364	830.3386	830.3633	830.6293	840.3830	810.0329	852.482	859.2124	822.9039
	Std	7.8907	7.9093	3.8789	6.6514	8.1434	7.3276	3.2466	5.7841	8.9286	10.2899
F9	Min	900	006	006	957.1875	934.8182	937.3978	901.2887	1044.2472	1110.1635	006
	Mean	903.6283	901.0928	006	1318.7258	1381.61	1004.2918	928.0941	1281.4594	1590.1124	923.9426
	Std	9.021	2.3416	9.092×10^{-07}	174.0482	250.1831	38.3651	22.4807	120.9949	236.3869	68.3522
F10	Min	1243.8315	1312.6962	2045.595	1539.8016	1271.8121	1879.8585	1283.6307	1927.0878	1704.7719	1335.9077
	Mean	1677.1372	1733.0787	2549.2267	2158.0853	1924.2944	2305.4892	1734.1427	2273.7947	2328.9231	1826.104
	Std	230.7086	255.8102	166.3546	267.8692	332.4876	191.2879	229.6797	111.208	213.6471	260.7996
F11	Min	1100.995	1101.991	1105.6035	1115.7255	1104.1502	1151.6464	1112.7086	1194.9936	1283.8498	1103.3742
	Mean	1109.1719	1110.235	1108.3025	1592.7285	1153.2778	1200.0524	1193.7832	1287.8524	1542.4541	1165.5813
	Std	7.3677	7.2728	1.3005	1106.6402	39.0712	51.2447	91.2865	42.0288	165.1428	53.9057
F12	Min	1418.1468	1576.4888	251642.80	6786.59	8458.29	1649005	3169.6114	5256718	7682788	4936.69
	Mean	6139.7371	14720.9811	$30960 \times 10^{+02}$	$47035 \times 10^{+02}$	2666190	$15755 \times 10^{+03}$	744264.29	$24251 \times 10^{+03}$	$83181 \times 10^{+03}$	1508777.39
	Std	7140.0549	11100.5981	1723274.83	$16367 \times 10^{+0.5}$	2874531	$13609 \times 10^{+03}$	742262.79	$10671 \times 10^{+03}$	$50840 \times 10^{+03}$	2047571.04
F13	Min	1302.0134	1301.0296	4917.9339	3556.8576	1954.7416	5087.5675	2597.3715	3568.2548	2217.8035	1810.9977
	Mean	1330.1229	1845.5697	15406.1273	11308.8108	14685.457	317/8.3576	8369.9657	33685.0613	16349.1861	14/05.1368
ļ	Std	37.7711	490.2762	6277.1276	9127.5776	10528.8749	24008.6383	3702.0104	20812.1108	15460.7087	10682.0534
Г]4	MIN	1401.9899	966.8141	1524.4842	14//.0030	1449.1699	14//.0439	1430.014	1491.2249	14/0.0/12	1438.9911
	Mean	1419.35/8	1441.2343	2122.9352	8050.3722	1040/201	1649./892	2231.1212	1162.0461	1804.465	1525./306
101 <i>5</i>	Std	9.//909 1.500.3000	1610,2732	510.4962	8029.022	122.5014	120.8306	1433.5194	32.4504	3/6.0068	117.1178
CIJ	M	0007.0001	1010.2402	4110.012 E164	0010.6001	0061.2001	1002.0941	2060.1161	C717.0//1	1/01.404/ 5/12 0700	1029.1440
	NICAII	<u>10 1474 0101 010 010 010 010 010 010 010 010 0</u>	2100.1001	4010.010/ 1755 1316	1000.00001	0020.1070	1400.2002	2009.1000 1617 6799	2042.3032 516 1091	370137	1000.0002
F16	Min	1600.0219	27:007 1600 340	1610 2732	1628 0261	1608 5178	1632 0765	1609 862	1668 1162	1638 5112	1602 5192
01.1	Mean	1627.3958	1699.6915	1656.9162	2069.255	1878.5048	1724.4419	1801.9117	1772.1934	1897.0009	1728.5368
	Std	61.691	96.6835	22.5655	143.8633	121.4399	65.0614	93.8844	45.8059	117.6753	109.4442
F17	Min	1703.3477	1701.718	1739.5189	1743.4245	1726.8772	1752.9925	1717.4384	1740.4005	1754.1786	1726.2664

SSA	1770.3044	38.1312	2026.6548	17447.0587	11439.666	1920.2902	2942.4398	1631.0557	2022.7651	2107.6999	61.7107	2200	2255.537	61.5842	2200	2295.4293	24.4415	2602.8877	2621.3689	10.0525	2500	2743.1118	36.0513	2897.7457	2926.6928	23.2835	2600.0002	2903.3126	52.1753	3088.7395	3092.9233	3.0130	3100.0001	3235.3463	134.2783	3145.2615	3199.9829	43.6350	3863.0929	370009.28	596442.87
LFD	1819.8408	39.1812	2096.7769	77239.175	257452.658	1947.1354	8423.1065	10542.068	2068.843	2123.9272	37.0621	2174.2486	2256.8003	24.3393	2349.2804	2562.2961	131.8384	2624.5076	2676.1679	19.2904	2642.6328	2753.7541	43.5004	3020.8231	3140.3566	67.765	3089.6984	3411.6511	139.8058	3103.2581	3125.7408	15.3302	3252.7368	3431.6078	73.9556	3230.1135	3312.9168	50.0444	340339.913	2834144.16	2307448.56
DDOA	1791.0506	15.4929	8669.365	85544.995	71160.931	2047.196	2799.5349	868.9286	2050.1699	2099.5555	19.8881	2134.5095	2222.0102	14.0774	2290.6514	2359.9358	28.3016	2490.0623	2637.6187	55.547	2600.2986	2642.9627	20.4202	2976.815	3020.1336	25.4794	2984.7778	3214.4323	72.353	3111.2657	3123.6978	6.9483	3184.5424	3302.0953	37.7771	3208.0934	3255.3683	26.1005	231411.57	1102934	576164.25
BWOA	1746.2963	12.3443	1901.5941	4306.4942	2135.4314	1910.3291	4031.3567	1932.124	2022.7563	2055.2607	35.2617	2211.278	2281.09	46.5784	2246.1956	2333.114	24.3549	2617.1965	2638.2439	10.3262	2531.0516	2717.9785	76.0115	2921.5528	2958.1683	13.2733	2928.8598	3202.19	121.5204	3109.1918	3145.6997	21.7126	3147.7552	3491.9769	175.1864	3178.1644	3211.2884	20.9899	84071.09	862177.61	1178717.51
SCA	1778.8731	13.2039	28646.288	121514.735	69175.198	1983.2817	5092.9924	4485.9498	2064.8837	2090.3139	15.5265	2205.2296	2243.5206	58.7958	2279.0935	2364.4225	26.1537	2627.0676	2652.696	8.7391	2552.8709	2755.5963	70.5407	2928.8544	2959.4559	16.9835	3013.9735	3075.4684	40.1005	3099.69	3102.8825	1.6199	3202.8309	3291.0347	74.7545	3176.1416	3236.7101	33.7090	105883.27	899251.63	703039.82
OHH	1781.7098	45.6446	2156.2826	18558.102	13464.689	1979.3116	11404.764	7902.8866	2028.4065	2170.7308	77.2246	2202.0304	2306.9123	69.7107	2246.1528	2311.2681	14.5599	2612.9991	2667.416	30.2906	2423.1867	2788.9748	96.6027	2614.0944	2915.0283	89.2939	2814.4504	3422.6353	500.7396	3091.3629	3142.4088	45.6239	2829.9748	3327.1651	157.8645	3170.8027	3312.2524	70.6285	28305.04	1032816.9	1737991
AOA	1894.8271	96.2881	2027.2261	16227.555	9979.5705	1934.7269	23225.399	24872.1411	2045.8318	2133.4025	61.5859	2235.0465	2318.0154	35.0129	2429.3177	2820.1521	305.4068	2631.9881	2702.3875	33.8882	2566.6138	2809.2629	73.0732	2826.1958	3158.9327	139.1985	3173.0966	3766.7459	388.4017	3139.4707	3222.7261	48.2171	3220.5054	3664.8534	171.1487	3187.9611	3378.0624	130.0635	129089.56	11382700	12987386
ABC	1753.3206	7.0195	18477.475	68631.177	43243.905	1975.8087	3140.6203	859.694	2022.6279	2027.6732	3.3562	2232.6869	2282.81	28.0774	2301.9761	2306.0737	2.0097	2621.6152	2635.0097	4.0387	2717.1801	2758.0784	10.7342	2897.9406	2929.8366	13.5180	2943.1652	3090.1683	76.1166	3082.3692	3147.1258	36.4590	3274.5365	3292.6532	5.6190	3190.0704	3258.0053	29.3141	3267.1926	4265.3591	816.83
MRFO	1730.9062	19.4774	1864.5561	5266.4123	3963.9691	1908.2395	1940.1962	31.6386	2000.312	2019.2039	13.4683	2200	2207.7807	27.3744	2230.8422	2299.2845	13.4659	2609.778	2623.2152	10.0785	2500	2645.3644	121.8942	2897.7429	2926.3632	22.902	2600	2902.7154	81.0566	3089.7479	3102.8427	14.0252	3100	3224.024	141.2499	3143.8982	3188.5672	27.2441	3905.9333	109479.7439	279200.96
CMRFO5	1732.9763	18.4703	1802.3609	1831.4745	25.0948	1900.6711	1905.0104	3.0517	2000.312	2016.4915	14.8198	2100	2198.5103	14.2533	2223.4439	2294.3766	21.8493	2300	2618.0858	46.8682	2400	2617.7919	130.3928	2897.7429	2920.0312	23.3299	2600	2895.7361	99.2268	3088.2834	3099.96	6.5504	3100	3139.6296	77.1226	3135.5201	3175.5276	27.313	3547.927	86424.038	248811.34
Stats	Mean	Std	Min	Mean	Std	Min	Mean	Std	Min	Mean	Std	Min	Mean	Std	Min	Mean	Std	Min	Mean	Std	Min	Mean	Std	Min	Mean	Std	Min	Mean	Std	Min	Mean	Std	Min	Mean	Std	Min	Mean	Std	Min	Mean	Std
No			F18			F19			F20			F21			F22			F23			F24			F25			F26			F27			F28			F29			F30		

analyses, CMRFO5 was able to find the optimal layout with a reasonable solution and a compromise between total power output and efficiency. The optimal locations of the current study for MRFO, CMRFO5 with singer map, and the state-of-the-art algorithms AOA, SCA, and SSA are plotted as depicted in Fig. 8. It can be evidently expected that the suggested chaotic approach attempts to install the wind turbines at the wind farm boundary. This is due to the low wind frequencies from straight all directions (0°, 90°, 180°, and 270°). This figure also shows the convergence curves of cost per unit throughout the simulation proceeding for basic MRFO; its variant CMRFO5, AOA, SCA, and SSA. To this end, the singer CMRFO5 converges faster than the original one and attains the minimum fitness value.

V. CONCLUSION

An optimal position of a wind turbine installed in a wind farm increases the overall power output and farm efficiency for a minimum cost. Accordingly, this study focuses on the development of a new inspired optimization approach, known as the manta ray foraging optimization MRFO algorithm, which is recommended in most academic studies. This algorithm was enhanced based on the chaotic sequences. To use this chaotic method, some MRFO's random values were replaced by chaotic maps that help in balancing between the intensification and diversification of algorithms and avoiding any entrapment in local optima. Ten chaotic maps have been embedded into the MRFO optimizer, supposedly ten improvement cases. To demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed approaches, twenty-nine CEC-2017 benchmark functions were applied, and the best performing chaotic map out of ten chaotic sequences has been recommended to deal with the real word problem wind farm layout optimization WFLO, which includes two case studies. One with a constant wind speed and variable direction and one with both wind speed and direction are variables. Thus, the chaotic sequence that was found to be suitable for enhancing the MRFO is the singer map. Moreover, eight recent algorithms have been considered for comparison, including ABC, AOA, HHO, SCA, BWOA, DDOA, LFD, and SSA. Through the analysis of the results found for both experiments: CEC-2017 and WFLO problems, the CMRFO5 has the ability to balance between exploration and exploitation, and then to converge faster to the optimal solution, it is obviously that CMRFO-singer is often higher than the re-implemented wellknown state-of-the-art algorithms, and those stated in the literature, in terms of optimization results and convergence speed. Further, the suggested approach provides an intriguing configuration of wind turbines that extracts a higher total output power and efficiency for the lowest cost. In accordance with these remarkable outcomes, The technique developed in this work is a useful approach for WF configuration. Thus, the authors recommend CMRFO with singer sequence to handle the WFLO for a realistic, higher dimensional problem that exceeds 100 variables as considered in this research and to deal with multiple types of WTs with a fixed number of WTs.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

APPENDIX A

COMPARISON RESULTS OF MRFO VS CMRFO5 AND RECENT STATE-OF-THE-ART ALGORITHMS FOR CEC-2017 BENCHMARK TESTS

See Tables 8 and 9.

REFERENCES

- RCCN. The New Installed Capacity of Wind Power Will Break 60 GW in 2020. Accessed: Jan. 13, 2021. [Online]. Available: http://en.rccn.com.cn/content_article_2839.html
- [2] G. Mosetti, C. Poloni, and B. Diviacco, "Optimization of wind turbine positioning in large windfarms by means of a genetic algorithm," *J. Wind Eng. Ind. Aerodyn.*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 105–116, Jan. 1994, doi: 10.1016/0167-6105(94)90080-9.
- [3] N. O. Jensen, A Note on Wind Generator Interaction, vol. 2411. Roskilde, Denmark: Risø National Laboratory, Nov. 1983.
- [4] S. A. Grady, M. Y. Hussaini, and M. M. Abdullah, "Placement of wind turbines using genetic algorithms," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 259–270, Feb. 2005, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2004.05.007.
- [5] A. M. Abdelsalam and M. A. El-Shorbagy, "Optimization of wind turbines siting in a wind farm using genetic algorithm based local search," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 123, pp. 748–755, Aug. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2018.02.083.
- [6] N. Charhouni, M. Sallaou, and K. Mansouri, "Realistic wind farm design layout optimization with different wind turbines types," *Int. J. Energy Environ. Eng.*, vol. 10, pp. 307–318, Apr. 2019, doi: 10.1007/s40095-019-0303-2.
- [7] X. Ju and F. Liu, "Wind farm layout optimization using self-informed genetic algorithm with information guided exploitation," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 248, pp. 429–445, Aug. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.04.084.
- [8] F. Liu, X. Ju, N. Wang, L. Wang, and W.-J. Lee, "Wind farm macrositing optimization with insightful bi-criteria identification and relocation mechanism in genetic algorithm," *Energy Convers. Manage.*, vol. 217, Aug. 2020, Art. no. 112964, doi: 10.1016/j.enconman.2020.112964.
- [9] P.-Y. Yin, T.-H. Wu, and P.-Y. Hsu, "Risk management of wind farm micro-siting using an enhanced genetic algorithm with simulation optimization," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 107, pp. 508–521, Jul. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2017.02.036.
- [10] Q. Yang, J. Hu, and S.-S. Law, "Optimization of wind farm layout with modified genetic algorithm based on Boolean code," *J. Wind Eng. Ind. Aerodyn.*, vol. 181, pp. 61–68, Oct. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jweia.2018.07.019.
- [11] H. Sun, H. Yang, and X. Gao, "Investigation into spacing restriction and layout optimization of wind farm with multiple types of wind turbines," *Energy*, vol. 168, pp. 637–650, Feb. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2018.11.073.
- [12] S. Markarian, F. Fazelpour, and E. Markarian, "Optimization of wind farm layout considering wake effect and multiple parameters," *Environ. Prog. Sustain. Energy*, vol. 38, no. 5, p. 13193, Mar. 2019, doi: 10.1002/ep.13193.
- [13] C. Wan, J. Wang, G. Yang, H. Gu, and X. Zhang, "Wind farm micro-siting by Gaussian particle swarm optimization with local search strategy," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 48, pp. 276–286, Dec. 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2012.04.052.
- [14] S. Pookpunt and W. Ongsakul, "Optimal placement of wind turbines within wind farm using binary particle swarm optimization with timevarying acceleration coefficients," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 55, pp. 266–276, Jul. 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2012.12.005.
- [15] S. Pookpunt and W. Ongsakul, "Design of optimal wind farm configuration using a binary particle swarm optimization at Huasai district, Southern Thailand," *Energy Convers. Manage.*, vol. 108, pp. 160–180, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.enconman.2015.11.002.
- [16] S. Chowdhury, J. Zhang, A. Messac, and L. Castillo, "Unrestricted wind farm layout optimization (UWFLO): Investigating key factors influencing the maximum power generation," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 16–30, Feb. 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2011.06.033.

- [17] H. Long and Z. Zhang, "A two-echelon wind farm layout planning model," *IEEE Trans. Sustain. Energy*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 863–871, Jul. 2015, doi: 10.1109/TSTE.2015.2415037.
- [18] M. Song, K. Chen, and J. Wang, "Three-dimensional wind turbine positioning using Gaussian particle swarm optimization with differential evolution," *J. Wind Eng. Ind. Aerodyn.*, vol. 172, pp. 317–324, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jweia.2017.10.032.
- [19] P. P. Biswas, P. N. Suganthan, and G. A. J. Amaratunga, "Decomposition based multi-objective evolutionary algorithm for windfarm layout optimization," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 115, pp. 326–337, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2017.08.041.
- [20] L. Wang, J. Yuan, M. E. Cholette, Y. Fu, Y. Zhou, and A. C. Tan, "Comparative study of discretization method and Monte Carlo method for wind farm layout optimization under Weibull distribution," *J. Wind Eng. Ind. Aerodyn.*, vol. 180, pp. 1455–1481, Sep. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jweia.2018.07.021.
- [21] K. Chen, M. X. Song, X. Zhang, and S. F. Wang, "Wind turbine layout optimization with multiple hub height wind turbines using greedy algorithm," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 96, pp. 676–686, Oct. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2016.05.018.
- [22] K. Yang, G. Kwak, K. Cho, and J. Huh, "Wind farm layout optimization for wake effect uniformity," *Energy*, vol. 183, pp. 983–995, Sep. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2019.07.019.
- [23] J. Park and K. H. Law, "Layout optimization for maximizing wind farm power production using sequential convex programming," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 151, pp. 320–334, Aug. 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.03.139.
- [24] J. Feng and W. Z. Shen, "Solving the wind farm layout optimization problem using random search algorithm," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 78, pp. 182–192, Jun. 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2015.01.005.
- [25] J. Feng and W. Z. Shen, "Wind farm power production in the changing wind: Robustness quantification and layout optimization," *Energy Convers. Manage.*, vol. 148, pp. 905–914, Sep. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.enconman.2017.06.005.
- [26] J. Feng and W. Z. Shen, "Design optimization of offshore wind farms with multiple types of wind turbines," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 205, pp. 1283–1297, Nov. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.08.107.
- [27] J. Feng, W. Shen, and Y. Li, "An optimization framework for wind farm design in complex terrain," *Appl. Sci.*, vol. 8, no. 11, p. 2053, Oct. 2018, doi: 10.3390/app8112053.
- [28] J. Feng, W. Z. Shen, and C. Xu, "Multi-objective random search algorithm for simultaneously optimizing wind farm layout and number of turbines," *J. Phys., Conf. Ser.*, vol. 753, no. 3, Sep. 2016, Art. no. 032011, doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/753/3/032011.
- [29] Y. Eroğlu and S. U. Seçkiner, "Design of wind farm layout using ant colony algorithm," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 44, pp. 53–62, Aug. 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2011.12.013.
- [30] T. Nawal, B. Bachir, C. Saliha, H. Abdelkader, and R. El-Schiemy, "Renewable energy sources scheduling approach for windfarm layout optimization by using ant lion optimization algorithm," *Appl. Mech. Mater.*, vol. 905, pp. 79–92, Feb. 2022, doi: 10.4028/p-1bvgm9.
- [31] K. K. Kumar and G. N. Reddy, "The sparrow search algorithm for optimum position of wind turbine on a wind farm," *Int. J. Renw. Energy Res.*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 1939–1946, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.20508/ijrer.v11i4.12345.g8346.
- [32] S. R. Reddy, "Wind farm layout optimization (WindFLO): An advanced framework for fast wind farm analysis and optimization," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 269, Jul. 2020, Art. no. 115090, doi: 10.1016/j. apenergy.2020.115090.
- [33] M. Beskirli, I. Koc, and H. Kodaz, "Optimal placement of wind turbines using novel binary invasive weed optimization," *Tehnicki Vjesnik*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 56–63, Feb. 2019, doi: 10.17559/TV-20170725231351.
- [34] I. Koc, "A comprehensive analysis of grid-based wind turbine layout using an efficient binary invasive weed optimization algorithm with levy flight," *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 198, Jul. 2022, Art. no. 116835, doi: 10.1016/j.eswa.2022.116835.
- [35] H. Hakli, "A new approach for wind turbine placement problem using modified differential evolution algorithm," *TURKISH J. Electr. Eng. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 4659–4672, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.3906/elk-1901-192.
- [36] M. Aslan, M. Gunduz, and M. S. Kiran, "A Jaya-based approach to wind turbine placement problem problem," *Energy Sources, A, Recovery, Utilization, Environ. Effects*, 2020, doi: 10.1080/15567036.2020.1805528.

- [37] S. D. O. Turner, D. A. Romero, P. Y. Zhang, C. H. Amon, and T. C. Y. Chan, "A new mathematical programming approach to optimize wind farm layouts," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 63, pp. 674–680, Mar. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2013.10.023.
- [38] P. P. Biswas, P. N. Suganthan, and G. A. J. Amaratunga, "Optimal placement of wind turbines in a windfarm using L-SHADE algorithm," in *Proc. IEEE Congr. Evol. Comput. (CEC)*, Jun. 2017, pp. 83–88, doi: 10.1109/CEC.2017.7969299.
- [39] S. Afanasyeva, J. Saari, O. Pyrhönen, and J. Partanen, "Cuckoo search for wind farm optimization with auxiliary infrastructure," *Wind Energy*, vol. 21, no. 10, pp. 855–875, May 2018, doi: 10.1002/we.2199.
- [40] S. Rehman, S. S. Ali, and S. A. Khan, "Wind farm layout design using cuckoo search algorithms," *Appl. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 32, no. 11, pp. 899–922, Feb. 2017, doi: 10.1080/08839514.2017.1279043.
- [41] J. C. Bansal and P. Farswan, "Wind farm layout using biogeography based optimization," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 107, pp. 386–402, Jul. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2017.01.064.
- [42] R. V. Rao and H. S. Keesari, "Multi-team perturbation guiding Jaya algorithm for optimization of wind farm layout," *Appl. Soft Comput.*, vol. 71, pp. 800–815, Oct. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.asoc.2018.07.036.
- [43] H. Rezk, A. Fathy, A. A. Z. Diab, and M. Al-Dhaifallah, "The application of water cycle optimization algorithm for optimal placement of wind turbines in wind farms," *Energies*, vol. 12, no. 22, p. 4335, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.3390/en12224335.
- [44] S.-U.-R. Massan, A. I. Wagan, and M. M. Shaikh, "A new metaheuristic optimization algorithm inspired by human dynasties with an application to the wind turbine micrositing problem," *Appl. Soft Comput.*, vol. 90, May 2020, Art. no. 106176, doi: 10.1016/j.asoc.2020.106176.
- [45] M. A. M. Ramli and H. R. E. H. Bouchekara, "Wind farm layout optimization considering obstacles using a binary most valuable player algorithm," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 131553–131564, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3009046.
- [46] S. Shamshirband, D. Petkovic, R. Hashim, and S. Motamedi, "Adaptive neuro-fuzzy methodology for noise assessment of wind turbine," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 9, no. 7, Jul. 2014, Art. no. 103414, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0103414.
- [47] B. L. D. Pont and J. Cagan, "An extended pattern search approach to wind farm layout optimization," *J. Mech. Des.*, vol. 134, no. 8, pp. 677–686, Aug. 2012, doi: 10.1115/1.4006997.
- [48] W. Zhao, Z. Zhang, and L. Wang, "Manta ray foraging optimization: An effective bio-inspired optimizer for engineering applications," *Eng. Appl. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 87, Jan. 2020, Art. no. 103300, doi: 10.1016/j.engappai.2019.103300.
- [49] A. Fathy, H. Rezk, and D. Yousri, "A robust global MPPT to mitigate partial shading of triple-junction solar cell-based system using Manta ray foraging optimization algorithm," *Sol. Energy*, vol. 207, pp. 305–316, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.solener.2020.06.108.
- [50] F. A. Alturki, H. O. Omotoso, A. A. Al-Shamma'a, H. M. H. Farh, and K. Alsharabi, "Novel Manta rays foraging optimization algorithm based optimal control for grid-connected PV energy system," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 187276–187290, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3030874.
- [51] S. I. Selem, H. M. Hasanien, and A. A. El-Fergany, "Parameters extraction of PEMFC's model using manta rays foraging optimizer," *Int. J. Energy Res.*, vol. 44, no. 6, pp. 4629–4640, May 2020, doi: 10.1002/er.5244.
- [52] M. A. El-Hameed, M. M. Elkholy, and A. A. El-Fergany, "Threediode model for characterization of industrial solar generating units using Manta-rays foraging optimizer: Analysis and validations," *Energy Convers. Manage.*, vol. 219, Sep. 2020, Art. no. 113048, doi: 10.1016/j.enconman.2020.113048.
- [53] S. Chattopadhyay, A. Dey, and H. Basak, "Optimizing speech emotion recognition using Manta-ray based feature selection," Sep. 2020, arXiv:2009.08909.
- [54] M. A. Elaziz, D. Yousri, M. A. A. Al-Qaness, A. M. Abdelaty, A. G. Radwan, and A. A. Ewees, "A Grunwald–Letnikov based Manta ray foraging optimizer for global optimization and image segmentation," *Eng. Appl. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 98, Feb. 2021, Art. no. 104105, doi: 10.1016/j.engappai.2020.104105.
- [55] M. Micev, M. Ćalasan, Z. M. Ali, H. M. Hasanien, and S. H. E. A. Aleem, "Optimal design of automatic voltage regulation controller using hybrid simulated annealing—Manta ray foraging optimization algorithm," *Ain Shams Eng. J.*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 641–657, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.asej.2020.07.010.

- [56] K. K. Ghosh, R. Guha, S. K. Bera, N. Kumar, and R. Sarkar, "S-shaped versus V-shaped transfer functions for binary Manta ray foraging optimization in feature selection problem," *Neural Comput. Appl.*, vol. 33, no. 17, pp. 11027–11041, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s00521-020-05560-9.
- [57] P. Karrupusamy, "Hybrid Manta ray foraging optimization for novel brain tumor detection," *J. Trends Comput. Sci. Smart Technol.*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 175–185, Jul. 2020, doi: 10.36548/jscp.2020.3.005.
- [58] M. A. Elaziz, K. M. Hosny, A. Salah, M. M. Darwish, S. Lu, and A. T. Sahlol, "New machine learning method for image-based diagnosis of COVID-19," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 15, no. 6, Jun. 2020, Art. no. 0235187, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0235187.
- [59] M. G. Hemeida, S. Alkhalaf, A.-A.-A. Mohamed, A. A. Ibrahim, and T. Senjyu, "Distributed generators optimization based on multi-objective functions using Manta rays foraging optimization algorithm (MRFO)," *Energies*, vol. 13, no. 15, p. 3847, Jul. 2020, doi: 10.3390/en13153847.
- [60] A. M. Shaheen, R. A. El-Schiemy, A. M. Elsayed, and E. E. Elattar, "Multi-objective Manta ray foraging algorithm for efficient operation of hybrid AC/DC power grids with emission minimisation," *IET Gener.*, *Transmiss. Distrib.*, vol. 15, no. 8, pp. 1314–1336, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1049/gtd2.12104.
- [61] F. Daqaq, S. Kamel, M. Ouassaid, R. Ellaia, and A. M. Agwa, "Non-dominated sorting Manta ray foraging optimization for multiobjective optimal power flow with wind/solar/small-hydro energy sources," *Fractal Fractional*, vol. 6, no. 4, p. 194, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.3390/fractalfract6040194.
- [62] S. Arora and P. Anand, "Chaotic grasshopper optimization algorithm for global optimization," *Neural Comput. Appl.*, vol. 31, no. 8, pp. 4385–4405, Aug. 2019, doi: 10.1007/s00521-018-3343-2.
- [63] S. Mugemanyi, Z. Qu, F. X. Rugema, Y. Dong, C. Bananeza, and L. Wang, "Optimal reactive power dispatch using chaotic bat algorithm," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 65830–65867, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2982988.
- [64] E. V. Altay and B. Alatas, "Bird swarm algorithms with chaotic mapping," *Artif. Intell. Rev.*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 1373–1414, Feb. 2020, doi: 10.1007/s10462-019-09704-9.
- [65] G. I. Sayed, A. E. Hassanien, and A. T. Azar, "Feature selection via a novel chaotic crow search algorithm," *Neural Comput. Appl.*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 171–188, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1007/s00521-017-2988-6.
- [66] G. Fuertes, M. Vargas, M. Alfaro, R. Soto-Garrido, J. Sabattin, and M. A. Peralta, "Chaotic genetic algorithm and the effects of entropy in performance optimization," *Chaos, Interdiscipl. J. Nonlinear Sci.*, vol. 29, no. 1, Jan. 2019, Art. no. 013132, doi: 10.1063/1.5048299.
- [67] B. Alatas, "Uniform big bang-chaotic big crunch optimization," *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 3696–3703, Sep. 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.cnsns.2010.12.025.
- [68] G.-G. Wang, L. H. Guo, A. H. Gandomi, G.-S. Hao, and H. Q. Wang, "Chaotic krill herd algorithm," *Inf. Sci.*, vol. 274, pp. 17–34, Aug. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.ins.2014.02.123.
- [69] J. A. Rezaee, "A chaotic artificial immune system optimisation algorithm for solving global continuous optimisation problems," *Neural Comput. Appl.*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 827–833, May 2015, doi: 10.1007/s00521-014-1751-5.
- [70] J. Too and A. R. Abdullah, "Chaotic atom search optimization for feature selection," *Arabian J. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 45, no. 8, pp. 6063–6079, Aug. 2020, doi: 10.1007/s13369-020-04486-7.
- [71] G. I. Sayed, A. Tharwat, and A. E. Hassanien, "Chaotic dragonfly algorithm: An improved metaheuristic algorithm for feature selection," *Appl. Intell.*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 188–205, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1007/s10489-018-1261-8.
- [72] S. Mirjalili and A. H. Gandomi, "Chaotic gravitational constants for the gravitational search algorithm," *Appl. Soft Comput.*, vol. 53, pp. 407–419, Apr. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.asoc.2017.01.008.
- [73] B. Alatas, "Chaotic harmony search algorithms," *Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 216, no. 9, pp. 2687–2699, Jul. 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.amc. 2010.03.114.
- [74] S. Talatahari, B. F. Azar, R. Sheikholeslami, and A. H. Gandomi, "Imperialist competitive algorithm combined with chaos for global optimization," *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 1312–1319, Mar. 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.cnsns.2011.08.021.
- [75] M. Kohli and S. Arora, "Chaotic grey wolf optimization algorithm for constrained optimization problems," *J. Comput. Des. Eng.*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 458–472, Oct. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jcde.2017.02.005.

- [76] Y. Sun, Y. Gao, and X. Shi, "Chaotic multi-objective particle swarm optimization algorithm incorporating clone immunity," *Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 2, p. 146, Feb. 2019, doi: 10.3390/math7020146.
- [77] Y. Xu, H. Chen, A. A. Heidari, J. Luo, Q. Zhang, X. Zhao, and C. Li, "An efficient chaotic mutative moth-flame-inspired optimizer for global optimization tasks," *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 129, no. 1, pp. 135–155, Sep. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.eswa.2019.03.043.
- [78] G. I. Sayed, G. Khoriba, and M. H. Haggag, "A novel chaotic salp swarm algorithm for global optimization and feature selection," *Appl. Intell.*, vol. 48, no. 10, pp. 3462–3481, Oct. 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10489-018-1158-6.
- [79] E. Yalçın, E. Çam, and M. C. Taplamacıoğlu, "A new chaos and global competitive ranking-based symbiotic organisms search algorithm for solving reactive power dispatch problem with discrete and continuous control variable," *Electr. Eng.*, vol. 102, no. 2, pp. 573–590, Jun. 2020, doi: 10.1007/s00202-019-00895-6.
- [80] D. Yousri and S. Mirjalili, "Fractional-order cuckoo search algorithm for parameter identification of the fractional-order chaotic, chaotic with noise and hyper-chaotic financial systems," *Eng. Appl. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 92, Jun. 2020, Art. no. 103662, doi: 10.1016/j.engappai.2020. 103662.
- [81] H. Bouchekara, "Solution of the optimal power flow problem considering security constraints using an improved chaotic electromagnetic field optimization algorithm," *Neural Comput. Appl.*, vol. 32, no. 7, pp. 2683–2703, Apr. 2020, doi: 10.1007/s00521-019-04298-3.
- [82] S. Saremi, S. Mirjalili, and A. Lewis, "Biogeography-based optimisation with chaos," *Neural Comput. Appl.*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 1077–1097, Oct. 2014, doi: 10.1007/s00521-014-1597-x.
- [83] H. Dewar, P. Mous, M. Domeier, A. Muljadi, J. Pet, and J. Whitty, "Movements and site fidelity of the giant Manta ray, *Manta birostris*, in the Komodo Marine Park, Indonesia," *Mar. Biol.*, vol. 155, no. 2, pp. 121–133, Aug. 2008, doi: 10.1007/s00227-008-0988-x.
- [84] Mantaray-World. Manta Ray Facts. Accessed: Dec. 26, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://www.mantaray-world.com/
- [85] Manta Trust. Mobulid Behavioural Ecology. Accessed: Dec. 26, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://www.mantatrust.org/mobulid-behaviouralecology
- [86] E. N. Lorenz, "Deterministic non-periodic flow," J. Atmos. Sci., vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 130–141, Mar. 1963, doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1963)020<0130:DNF>2.0.CO;2.
- [87] T.-Y. Li and J. A. Yorke, "Period three implies chaos," Amer. Math. Monthly, vol. 82, no. 10, pp. 985–992, Dec. 1975, doi: 10.1080/00029890.1975.11994008.
- [88] Z. Zhang, H. Wang, and Y. Gao, "C2MP: Chebyshev chaotic mapbased authentication protocol for RFID applications," *Pers. Ubiquitous Comput.*, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 1053–1061, Oct. 2015, doi: 10.1007/s00779-015-0876-6.
- [89] R. M. May, "Simple mathematical models with very complicated dynamics," *Nature*, vol. 261, pp. 459–467, Jun. 1976, doi: 10.1038/ 261459a0.
- [90] N. Dong, X. Fang, and A.-G. Wu, "A novel chaotic particle swarm optimization algorithm for parking space guidance," *Math. Problems Eng.*, vol. 2016, pp. 1–14, Sep. 2016, doi: 10.1155/2016/5126808.
- [91] M. Alizadeh, M. Alizadeh, and S. Ganjefar, "Simultaneous coordinated design of PSS and SSSC using improved Lozi map based chaotic optimization algorithm (ILCOA)," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 122, pp. 181–192, Dec. 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.neucom.2013.06.032.
- [92] M. Joglekar, E. Ott, and J. A. Yorke, "Scaling of chaos versus periodicity: How certain is it that an attractor is chaotic?" *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 113, no. 8, Aug. 2014, Art. no. 084101, doi: 10.1103/Phys-RevLett.113.084101.
- [93] I. Katic, J. Højstrup, and N. O. Jensen, "A simple model for cluster efficiency," in *Proc. Eur. Wind Energy Assoc. Conf. Exhib. (EWEC)*, A. Raguzzi, Ed., Rome, Italy, 1986, pp. 407–410.
- [94] N. H. Awad, M. Z. Ali, J. J. Liang, B. Y. Qu, and P. N. Suganthan, "Problem definitions and evaluation criteria for the Cec 2017 special session and competition on single objective bound constrained realparameter numerical optimization," Nanyang Technol. Univ., Singapore, Tech. Rep., Nov. 2016.
- [95] B. Basturk and D. Karaboga, "An artificial bee colony (ABC) algorithm for numeric function optimization," in *Proc. IEEE Swarm Intell. Symp.*, Indianapolis, IN, USA, May 2006, pp. 687–697.

- [96] L. Abualigah, A. Diabat, S. Mirjalili, M. A. Elaziz, and A. H. Gandomi, "The arithmetic optimization algorithm," *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.*, vol. 376, Apr. 2021, Art. no. 113609, doi: 10.1016/j.cma. 2020.113609.
- [97] A. A. Heidari, S. Mirjalili, H. Faris, I. Aljarah, M. Mafarja, and H. Chen, "Harris hawks optimization: Algorithm and applications," *Future Gener. Comput. Syst.*, vol. 97, pp. 849–872, Aug. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.future.2019.02.028.
- [98] S. Mirjalili, "SCA: A sine cosine algorithm for solving optimization problems," *Knowl.-Based Syst.*, vol. 96, pp. 120–133, Mar. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.knosys.2015.12.022.
- [99] V. Hayyolalam and A. A. P. Kazem, "Black widow optimization algorithm: A novel meta-heuristic approach for solving engineering optimization problems," *Eng. Appl. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 87, Jan. 2020, Art. no. 103249, doi: 10.1016/j.engappai.2019.103249.
- [100] H. N. Ghafil and K. Jármai, "Dynamic differential annealed optimization: New metaheuristic optimization algorithm for engineering applications," *Appl. Soft Comput.*, vol. 93, Aug. 2020, Art. no. 106392, doi: 10.1016/j.asoc.2020.106392.
- [101] E. H. Houssein, M. R. Saad, F. A. Hashim, H. Shaban, and M. Hassaballah, "Lévy flight distribution: A new metaheuristic algorithm for solving engineering optimization problems," *Eng. Appl. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 94, Sep. 2020, Art. no. 103731, doi: 10.1016/j.engappai.2020.103731.
- [102] S. Mirjalili, A. H. Gandomi, S. Z. Mirjalili, S. Saremi, H. Faris, and S. M. Mirjalili, "Salp swarm algorithm: A bio-inspired optimizer for engineering design problems," *Adv. Eng. Softw.*, vol. 114, pp. 163–191, Dec. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.advengsoft.2017.07.002.
- [103] F. Wilcoxon, "Individual comparisons by ranking methods," *Biometrics Bull.*, vol. 1, no. 6, pp. 80–83, Dec. 1945, doi: 10.2307/3001968.

simulation; and renewable energy systems, home energy management



MOHAMMED OUASSAID (Senior Member, IEEE) received the Diploma of Agrégation degree in electrical engineering from the Ecole Nationale Supérieure d'Art et Métiers de Rabat (ex ENSET), Rabat, Morocco, in 1999, the M.Sc.A. and Ph.D. degrees in electrical engineering from the Mohammadia School of Engineers (EMI), Mohamed V University in Rabat, Rabat, in 2002 and 2006, respectively, and the Diploma degree from University's Habilitation, in 2012. From 2008 to 2015,

he was a Professor at the National School of Applied Sciences of Safi (ENSA-Safi), Cadi Ayyad University, Marrakesh, Morocco. In 2015, he joined the Department of Electrical Engineering, Mohammadia School of Engineers, Mohammed V University in Rabat, where he is currently a Professor. He is the author or coauthor of numerous book chapters and articles in refereed journals and international conference proceedings. He is also involved in a number of research and teaching projects. His main research interests include electrical drives, power systems, control and optimization of renewable energy, integration of wind power and photovoltaic systems, and smart grid. He is a member of the IEEE Power and Energy Society.



HOSSAM M. ZAWBAA was born in Cairo, Egypt, in 1987. He received the B.Sc. and M.Sc. degrees from the Faculty of Computers and Information, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt, in 2008 and 2012, respectively, and the Ph.D. degree from Babeş-Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, in 2018. He is currently an Assistant Professor at the Faculty of Computers and Artificial Intelligence, Beni-Suef University, Beni-Suef, Egypt. He has authored or coauthored over 80 research

publications in peer-reviewed reputed journals and international conference proceedings. His research interests include computational intelligence, machine learning, computer vision, and natural language understanding. He has been rated as one of the top 2% scientists worldwide by Stanford in the field of AI, in 2020 and 2021. Moreover, he has been awarded the State Encouragement Award in the field of engineering sciences from the Academy of Scientific Research and Technology, Egypt, in 2020.



systems, and smart grid.

RACHID ELLAIA received the Ph.D. degree (3rd cycle) in applied mathematics and optimization from Paul Sabatier University, Toulouse, France, in 1984, and the Ph.D. degree in applied and computational mathematics from Mohammed V University in Rabat, Rabat, Morocco, in 1992. He has held a Visiting Professor positions at Toulouse University, Chile University, INSA of Rouen, Nice Sophia Antipolis University, Haute Alsace University, and INRIA Lille. He is currently a

FATIMA DAQAQ received the B.Tech. degree

in mechanical engineering from Sultan Moulay

Slimane University, Beni-Mellal, in 2012, and the

M.Sc. degree in mechanics and engineering from

Hassan II University of Casablanca, Casablanca,

in 2014. She is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree

with the Mohammadia School of Engineers (EMI),

Mohammed V University in Rabat. Her research

interests include meta-heuristics, nature inspired

computing, power system modeling, analysis, and

Full Professor at the Mohammadia School of Engineers, Mohammed V University in Rabat, the Director of the Laboratory of Study and Research in Applied Mathematics (LERMA), and the Founding Member of Engineering for Smart and Sustainable Systems Research Center, E3S. His research interests include metaheuristics and nature inspired computing, optimization and uncertainties, finance, global, and stochastic optimization. Actually, he is the President of the Moroccan Society of Nature-Inspired Computing and Meta-Heuristics (METAH).



SALAH KAMEL received the international Ph.D. degree from Jaen University, Spain (Main), and Aalborg University, Denmark (Host), in January 2014. He is currently an Associate Professor with the Department of Electrical Engineering, Aswan University, Aswan, Egypt. He is also the Leader of the Advanced Power Systems Research Laboratory (APSR Lab), Power Systems Research Group, Aswan. His research interests include power system analysis and optimization, smart grid, and renewable energy systems.

....