

$I_2 - I_\infty$ Control for Discrete-Time Descriptor Systems

XIAO-HENG CHANG, (Member, IEEE), AND JIAN WANG^(D)

School of Information Science and Engineering, Wuhan University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430081, China Corresponding author: Xiao-Heng Chang (changxiaoheng@sina.com)

This work was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China under Grant 61773298 and Grant 62173261.

ABSTRACT The problem of l_2-l_{∞} controller design for a class of discrete-time descriptor systems is addressed in this paper. In other words, the controller is designed to ensure the admissibility and the prescribed l_2-l_{∞} performance for the descriptor control system. The l_2-l_{∞} performance analysis and the feedback controller design of the descriptor control system are studied by the matrix inequality technique. Novel design conditions of the feedback controller for the descriptor systems are introduced in terms of linear matrix inequality (LMI) representations. An RC circuit is applied to explain the effectiveness of the proposed l_2-l_{∞} control design method.

INDEX TERMS Discrete-time descriptor system, l_2-l_{∞} performance, feedback control, linear matrix inequalities (LMIs).

I. INTRODUCTION

The descriptor system [1]–[3], also known as singular system, has provided a unified and useful modeling method for many real applications, such as electricity, aerospace, and social economy. Therefore, it is clearly observed that the study on descriptor system is active over the recent decades and has made great process [4], [5], in which, as the most important field, the problem of asymptotic stability and feedback stabilization have been attracted more attention from researchers. And some results involving the research on system performance analysis for descriptor system have been reported, for instance, in [6], the problem of a reduced-order \mathcal{H}_{∞} controller based on the geometric structures was solved. [7] discussed the problem of the finite-time \mathcal{H}_{∞} control for nonlinear descriptor system via state under composed method. In order to handle the effects of unknown inputs, [8] presented a systematical reduced-order observer design method for switched descriptor system. In [9], the design method of the \mathcal{H}_{∞} observer for the nonlinear discrete-time descriptor system with time-varying delays and disturbance inputs was investigated. [10] studied the problem of the adaptive \mathcal{H}_{∞} sliding mode control for nonlinear system. [11] dealt with the state feedback \mathcal{H}_{∞} control problem for discrete-time descriptor system. The output tracking control and filtering problems were investigated for nonlinear descriptor system

in the discrete-time domain in [12]. In [13], the robust \mathcal{H}_{∞} controller design scheme for uncertain discrete-time descriptor system was addressed in terms of a set of LMI.

We can find that, among the mentioned references above, the most of the works mainly investigated the \mathcal{H}_{∞} performance of the descriptor system. In this work, it takes the advantage to such a degree that obtaining the accurate statistic of external disturbance is not required, which can take any form as long as its energy is bounded. Therefore, it is of significance in theoretical research and practical application [14]–[19]. However, in practice, the other work namely $l_2 - l_\infty$ performance analysis and design for the descriptor system is also of considerable academic value in control theory, its goal is to ensure that the ratio of maximum value of control output (filtering error) to bounded energy of disturbances is required to be less than the given value. That is different from the research on \mathcal{H}_{∞} performance [20], so this work becomes very necessary. In the recent years, the study on the $l_2 - l_\infty$ controller (filter) design is reported in much literature. In [21], an output feedback controller design scheme for seismic excitation structures was proposed, which considered the $l_2 - l_{\infty}$ performance and the saturation of actuator. Based on polyhedral uncertainties in the state-space equations, [22] investigated the $l_2 - l_{\infty}$ filter design problem for uncertain system in both discrete-time and continuous-time domains. [23] addressed the filter design problem for T-S fuzzy stochastic system, which aimed to find a more convenient filter design condition that ensured the mean square asymptotic stability

The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and approving it for publication was Hosam El-Ocla

and the l_2-l_{∞} performance. For continuous-time nonlinear uncertain system, [24] discussed the resilient l_2-l_{∞} control problem.

It can be seen that the study on l_2-l_{∞} control (filtering) problem has achieved fruitful results [25]. However, it is worth noting that, to our best knowledge, the l_2-l_{∞} performance has not been developed abundantly in descriptor system. Due to the special structure of the descriptor system, it's difficult to use classical LMI technique to obtain the l_2-l_{∞} controller of descriptor system. Therefore, there are still many problems to be solved in the design of l_2-l_{∞} controller for descriptor system.

Based on the above motivation, the l_2-l_{∞} control problem for the discrete-time descriptor system is dealt with in this paper, and the feasible controller design method is proposed to ensure the resulting descriptor system satisfies the given l_2-l_{∞} performance by using the classical LMI technique. The contributions are described as the following:

- This paper aims at the l₂−l_∞ performance index for the discrete-time descriptor system, based on this, it proposes the novel performance analysis criteria to ensure the closed-loop descriptor system is admissible and meets l₂−l_∞ performance;
- For a given closed-loop descriptor system, the proposed l₂−l_∞ controller design conditions are given under strict LMI presentation.

II. PROBLEM FORMULATION AND PRELIMINARIES

The following discrete-time descriptor system is considered,

$$Ex(k+1) = Ax(k) + Bu(k) + Fw(k)$$
$$z(k) = Cx(k)$$
(1)

where $x(k) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x}$ and $u(k) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$ stand for the state variable and the control input, respectively; $w(k) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_w}$ refers to the noise input which belongs to $l_2[0, \infty)$; $z(k) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z}$ stands for the controlled output; *A*, *B*, *F*, and *C* are given system matrices with appropriate dimensions given by f_{\star} which will be introduced in the following of this section. In particular, *E* is singular but nonzero and satisfies rank(E) = r < n.

Assumption 1: In this paper, the practical discrete-time system described by the descriptor system model (1) is assumed as:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(k+1) &= f_1\big[x_1(k), \, x_2(k), \dots, x_n(k), \, u(k), \, w(k)\big] \\ x_2(k+1) &= f_2\big[x_1(k), \, x_2(k), \dots, x_n(k), \, u(k), \, w(k)\big] \\ &\vdots \\ x_r(k+1) &= f_r\big[x_1(k), \, x_2(k), \dots, x_n(k), \, u(k), \, w(k)\big] \\ &0 &= f_{r+1}\big[x_1(k), \, x_2(k), \dots, x_n(k), \, u(k), \, w(k)\big] \\ &\vdots \\ 0 &= f_n\big[x_1(k), \, x_2(k), \dots, x_n(k), \, u(k), \, w(k)\big] \\ &z(k) &= f_z\big[x_1(k), \, x_2(k), \dots, x_r(k), \, w(k)\big] \end{aligned}$$

where f_{\star} represents a linear relationship of x(k) and w(k). The form means that a property on the system parameter matrices

is $E = \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $C = \begin{bmatrix} C_r & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ in the descriptor system (1). In fact, such a form has a wide range of universality for the descriptor system (1) such as the RC circuit system [26] and the RLC circuit systems [27], the Leontief systems [28], the inverted pendulum balancing systems [29], the open flow canal systems [30], etc.

Definition 1 ([1]): Given a scalar s > 0, the descriptor system (1) is admissible if det(sE - A) is not identically zero, deg(det(sE - A)) = rank(E) and all the roots of det(sE - A) = 0 lie in the interior of unit disk (i.e., regular, casual, and stable).

Further, in order to achieve the control tasks for the descriptor system (1), the state feedback controller can be considered as

$$u(k) = Kx(k) \tag{2}$$

where K is controller gain matrix to be determined.

The main objective of this work is to construct controller (2) to ensure the following requirements are guaranteed:

- 1) When w(k) = 0, the descriptor system (1) with the controller (2) is admissible;
- 2) Under the zero initial condition, the descriptor system (1) with the controller (2) satisfies the prescribed l_2-l_{∞} performance γ , i.e., for any $w(k) \in l_2[0, \infty)$, the output z(k) guarantees the condition $||z(k)||_{\infty} < \gamma ||w(k)||_2$ where $||z(k)||_{\infty} = \sup_k |z(k)|$ and $||w(k)||_2^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w^T(k)w(k)$.

Due to the rank deficiency of matrix E, the l_2-l_{∞} control problem is difficult to solve. In fact, via using results given in the literature [21]–[24], a nonlinear matrix inequality can be obtained for the controller design directly. In order to describe this problem, we introduce the following system:

$$x(k+1) = Ax(k) + Bu(k) + Fw(k)$$
$$z(k) = Cx(k)$$
(3)

Then, by importing the feedback controller described in (2), the closed-loop system is obtained as

$$x(k+1) = (A + BK)x(k) + Fw(k)$$

$$z(k) = Cx(k)$$
(4)

Furthermore, considering the Lyapunov function $V(x(k)) = x^T(k)P^{-1}x(k)$ with P > 0, the system (4) satisfies the l_2-l_{∞} performance if the following inequality conditions hold:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -P^{-1} & * \\ 0 & -I \end{bmatrix}$$
$$+ \begin{bmatrix} A + BK & F \end{bmatrix}^T P^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} A + BK & F \end{bmatrix} < 0 \qquad (5)$$

$$\frac{1}{\gamma^2} \begin{bmatrix} C & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} C & 0 \end{bmatrix} < \begin{bmatrix} P^{-1} & * \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (6)

Hence, by applying the matrix inequality congruence property and Schur complement lemma to the matrix inequalities (5) and (6), the corresponding LMI-based l_2-l_{∞} controller design conditions for system (4) can be summarized as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -P & * & * \\ 0 & -I & * \\ AP + BN & F & -P \end{bmatrix} < 0$$
(7)

$$\begin{bmatrix} -P & * & * \\ 0 & -I & * \\ CP & 0 & -\gamma^2 I \end{bmatrix} < 0$$
(8)

where N = KP. If there exist some variables *P* and *N* which satisfy the above inequalities (7) and (8), we will get the l_2-l_{∞} controller gain matrix as $K = NP^{-1}$ for system (4).

We should note that above approach of analysing the l_2-l_{∞} performance and designing controller for system (4) is inapplicable to the descriptor system (1) with the feedback controller (2). As a matter of fact, the Lyapunov function is often selected by $V(x(k)) = x^T(k)E^T P Ex(k)$ with $E^T P E \ge 0$ for the descriptor system (1) with the feedback controller (2), then the l_2-l_{∞} performance analysis criteria corresponding to one in (5) and (6) can be described as

$$\begin{bmatrix} -E^T P E & * \\ 0 & -I \end{bmatrix} + [A + BK F]^T P [A + BK F] < 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} F^T P E & * \end{bmatrix}$$
(9)

$$\frac{1}{\gamma^2} \begin{bmatrix} C & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} C & 0 \end{bmatrix} < \begin{bmatrix} E^T P E & * \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (10)

Based on the l_2-l_{∞} performance analysis criteria in (9) and (10), it is difficult to acquire design conditions for the descriptor system (1) with the controller in (2). The key to this problem is that

- 1) Since $E^T PE \ge 0$, it leads to that the Schur complement lemma cannot be applied on (9) and (10) as (7) and (8), respectively;
- 2) If the matrix inequality decoupling property [31] is adopted to (9) and separate the matrix P for the term $[A + BK F]^T P[A + BK F]$, it is difficult to get a linear coupling between decision variables because E is singular.

We can clearly observe if these presented results on l_2-l_{∞} performance research in literature [21]–[24] are directly applied to the design of the controller for descriptor system, corresponding design conditions are difficult to be obtained via strict LMI framework. Based on this, the feedback control problem for the descriptor system is first addressed, and then, the design conditions for the feedback controller are deduced to satisfy the prescribed l_2-l_{∞} performance by the solvability of a set of LMIs.

For the sake of convenience, the following lemma is presented, which is important to the results of this paper.

Lemma 1 ([31]): The two next problems are equivalent. i) Find $P = P^T$ such that

$$A^T P A < 0. (11)$$

ii) Find $P = P^T$, *L*, and *G* such that

Τ

$$\begin{bmatrix} T + A^T L^T + LA & * \\ -L^T + GA & -G - G^T + P \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$
(12)

In the following, we introduce the augmented descriptor representation approach to represent the descriptor system (1) with the controller (2). First of all, based on the form of the feedback controller presented in (2), one can effortlessly give

$$0 = Kx(k) - u(k).$$
(13)

Furthermore, combining the descriptor system (1) with (13), one leads to

$$Ex(k + 1) = Ax(k) + Bu(k) + Fw(k)$$

$$0 \cdot u(k + 1) = Kx(k) - u(k)$$

$$z(k) = Cx(k)$$
(14)

Then, denoting an augmented vector as $\eta(k) = [x^T(k) \ u^T(k)]^T$, (14) can be represented by the following augmented descriptor system:

$$\mathcal{E}\eta(k+1) = \mathcal{A}\eta(k) + \mathcal{F}w(k)$$
$$z(k) = \mathcal{C}\eta(k)$$
(15)

where

$$\mathcal{E} = \begin{bmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ K & -I \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{bmatrix} F \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{C} = \begin{bmatrix} C & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, based on such an augmented system, the final goal of this paper can be determined to find the controller gain matrix K introduced in (2) to ensure that the augmented descriptor system (15) is admissible with prescribed l_2-l_{∞} performance.

Without loss of generality, the corresponding performance analysis criteria are given before the controller design conditions are presented.

Theorem 1: Consider the descriptor system (15), for a known scalar $\gamma > 0$, if there exist matrices \mathcal{P} , \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{G} , and K, such that

$$\mathcal{E}^{T} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{E} \geq 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Omega & * \\ -[\mathcal{L}^{T} & 0] + \mathcal{G}[\mathcal{A} & \mathcal{F}] & -\mathcal{G} - \mathcal{G}^{T} + \mathcal{P} + \mathcal{C}^{T} \mathcal{C} \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

$$(17)$$

where $\Omega = diag\{-\mathcal{E}^T \mathcal{P}\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{C}^T \mathcal{C}, -\gamma^2 I\} + [\mathcal{L}^T \ 0]^T [\mathcal{A} \ \mathcal{F}] + [\mathcal{A} \ \mathcal{F}]^T [\mathcal{L}^T \ 0]$, the descriptor system (15) is admissible with the prescribed $l_2 - l_\infty$ performance γ .

Proof: Firstly, selecting a special Lyapunov function as

$$V(\eta(k)) = \eta^{T}(k)\mathcal{E}^{T}(\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{C}^{T}\mathcal{C})\mathcal{E}\eta(k), \quad \mathcal{E}^{T}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E} \ge 0$$
(18)

we have

$$V(\eta(k+1)) - V(\eta(k))$$

= $\eta^{T}(k+1)\mathcal{E}^{T}(\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{C}^{T}\mathcal{C})\mathcal{E}\eta(k+1)$
 $-\eta^{T}(k)\mathcal{E}^{T}(\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{C}^{T}\mathcal{C})\mathcal{E}\eta(k).$ (19)

VOLUME 9, 2021

Let's focus on the following inequality condition:

$$\eta^{T}(k+1)\mathcal{E}^{T}(\mathcal{P}+\mathcal{C}^{T}\mathcal{C})\mathcal{E}\eta(k+1) -\eta^{T}(k)\mathcal{E}^{T}(\mathcal{P}+\mathcal{C}^{T}\mathcal{C})\mathcal{E}\eta(k) < \gamma^{2}w^{T}(k)w(k).$$
(20)

And we can easily find from (20), when the external disturbance w(k) = 0, one follows

$$V(\eta(k+1)) - V(\eta(k)) < 0$$
 (21)

which means that the descriptor system (15) is admissible.

Therefore, from the above, we know that under the condition of w(k) = 0, if (20) holds, the system is admissible. Next, in order to verify the l_2-l_{∞} performance with $w(k) \neq 0$ from (20), considering the special system structure, we rewrite (20) as

$$\eta^{T}(k+1)\mathcal{E}^{T}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}\eta(k+1) - \eta^{T}(k)\mathcal{E}^{T}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}\eta(k) + \eta^{T}(k+1)\mathcal{E}^{T}\mathcal{C}^{T}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{E}\eta(k+1) - \eta^{T}(k)\mathcal{E}^{T}\mathcal{C}^{T}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{E}\eta(k) = \eta^{T}(k+1)\mathcal{E}^{T}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}\eta(k+1) - \eta^{T}(k)\mathcal{E}^{T}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}\eta(k) + \eta^{T}(k+1)\mathcal{C}^{T}\mathcal{C}\eta(k+1) - \eta^{T}(k)\mathcal{C}^{T}\mathcal{C}\eta(k) < \gamma^{2}w^{T}(k)w(k).$$
(22)

Then, consider the structure of system (15), one can be obtained that

$$\eta^{T}(k+1)\mathcal{E}^{T}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}\eta(k+1) - \eta^{T}(k)\mathcal{E}^{T}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}\eta(k) + z^{T}(k+1)z(k+1) - z^{T}(k)z(k) < \gamma^{2}w^{T}(k)w(k).$$
(23)

Further, taking sum on both sides of (23) from 0 to k - 1 yields

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left(\eta^{T}(j+1)\mathcal{E}^{T}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}\eta(j+1) - \eta^{T}(j)\mathcal{E}^{T}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}\eta(j) \right) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left(z^{T}(j+1)z(j+1) - z^{T}(j) z(j) \right) < \gamma^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} w^{T}(j)w(j)$$
(24)

which is

$$\eta^{T}(k)\mathcal{E}^{T}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}\eta(k) - \eta^{T}(0)\mathcal{E}^{T}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}\eta(0) + z^{T}(k) z(k) - z^{T}(0)z(0) < \gamma^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} w^{T}(j)w(j).$$
(25)

In fact, under the zero initial condition, (25) means

$$\eta^{T}(k)\mathcal{E}^{T}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}\eta(k) + z^{T}(k) z(k) < \gamma^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} w^{T}(j)w(j).$$
(26)

Because of the fact $\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} w^T(j)w(j) \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w^T(k)w(k)$, (26) gives

$$\eta^{T}(k)\mathcal{E}^{T}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}\eta(k) + z^{T}(k)\,z(k) < \gamma^{2}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}w^{T}(k)w(k).$$
 (27)

From the condition that $\mathcal{E}^T \mathcal{P} \mathcal{E} \ge 0$ in (18), it leads to $\eta^T(k)\mathcal{E}^T \mathcal{P} \mathcal{E} \eta(k) \ge 0$. Based on this, consider the inequality (27), the following inequality condition will be easily established:

$$z^{T}(k) z(k) < \gamma^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w^{T}(k) w(k)$$
 (28)

which means $||z(k)||_{\infty} < \gamma ||w(k)||_2$ for any external disturbance $w(k) \in l_2[0, \infty)$ when $\eta(0) = 0$.

The above discussion shows that the inequality condition (20) can be viewed as an analysis condition to detect whether or not the considered feedback descriptor system with known parameters is admissible and meets required l_2-l_{∞} performance, which can be organized into

$$\mu^{T}(k)\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} \quad \mathcal{F} \end{bmatrix}^{T} (\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{C}^{T} \mathcal{C}) \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} \quad \mathcal{F} \end{bmatrix} \mu(k) + \mu^{T}(k) \begin{bmatrix} -\mathcal{E}^{T} (\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{C}^{T} \mathcal{C}) \mathcal{E} & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma^{2} I \end{bmatrix} \mu(k) < 0 \quad (29)$$

where $\mu(k) = \begin{bmatrix} \eta(k) \\ w(k) \end{bmatrix}$.

Then, a sufficient condition that ensures the above inequality holds is described as follows,

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\mathcal{E}^{T}(\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{C}^{T}\mathcal{C})\mathcal{E} & *\\ 0 & -\gamma^{2}I \end{bmatrix} + [\mathcal{A} \quad \mathcal{F}]^{T}(\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{C}^{T}\mathcal{C})[\mathcal{A} \quad \mathcal{F}] < 0.$$
(30)

So far, we have that (30) can ensure that the preparatory condition (20) holds, and thus guarantee the l_2-l_{∞} performance γ for the descriptor system (15). Then, by applying the Lemma 1 to (30), we have the strict LMI condition (17). The proof is completed.

Remark 1: In the l_2-l_{∞} performance analysis for the descriptor system (15), a useful Lyapunov function is constructed in (18). In contrast to traditional function $\mathcal{E}^T \mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}$, the function (18) has changed the Lyapunov matrix \mathcal{P} for the establishment of the l_2-l_{∞} performance, under which the novel performance analysis criteria of the l_2-l_{∞} performance for the descriptor system (15) are presented by two matrix inequalities (16) and (17). It should be noted that a constraint $\mathcal{E}^T \mathcal{P} \mathcal{E} \geq 0$ is necessary for deducing the $l_2 - l_{\infty}$ performance analysis condition (see (28)). In fact, since $\mathcal{C}^T \mathcal{C} \geq 0$, which with $\mathcal{E}^T \mathcal{P} \mathcal{E} \geq 0$ can ensure $\mathcal{E}^T (\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{C}^T \mathcal{C}) \mathcal{E} \geq 0$ for the Lyapunov function (18).

Such a construction form of the augmented system (15) with feedback controller (2) is helpful to the analysis of l_2-l_{∞} performance for the feedback system. If we adopt the construction method similar to system (4), it will bring certain difficulties to the subsequent controller design. Our ultimate goal is to find the optimal l_2-l_{∞} controller based on the solvability of the strict LMIs, so the construction method of this augmented system is necessary. Moreover, due to the particularity of equation (13), the structure of system (15) retains the characteristics of descriptor system, which is the extension of system (1), so that the analysis of the system (1) with the controller (2) is not affected.

144020

Next, since we already know that the criterion for the l_2-l_{∞} performance analysis for the descriptor system (15) is introduced in Theorem 1, so we will propose the corresponding design condition for the controller given in (2), i.e., determine the controller *K*, Lyapunov matrix \mathcal{P} , and relevant matrix variables \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{G} in (16) and (17) to ensure the descriptor system (15) guarantees the given l_2-l_{∞} performance γ .

Theorem 2: Consider the descriptor system (15), for a known scalar $\gamma > 0$, if there exist matrices $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{P}_3, N$, L, L_1, L_2, G_1 , and G_2 satisfying the following two matrix inequalities:

$$E^T \mathcal{P}_1 E \ge 0 \tag{31}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{1} & * & * & * & * \\ \Psi_{2} & He\{L_{2}B - L\} & * & * & * \\ (L_{1}F)^{T} & (L_{2}F)^{T} & -\gamma^{2}I & * & * \\ \Psi_{3} & \Psi_{4} & G_{1}F & \Psi_{5} & * \\ \Psi_{6} & \Psi_{7} & G_{2}F & \Psi_{8} & \Psi_{9} \end{bmatrix} < 0 \quad (32)$$

where

$$\begin{split} \Psi_1 &= -E^T \mathcal{P}_1 \ E - C^T C + He\{L_1 \ A + BN\} \\ \Psi_2 &= L_2 \ A + N + (L_1 \ B - BL)^T \\ \Psi_3 &= G_1 \ A + BN - L_1^T \\ \Psi_4 &= G_1 \ B - BL - L_2^T \\ \Psi_5 &= -G_1 - G_1^T + \mathcal{P}_1 + C^T C \\ \Psi_6 &= G_2 \ A + N - (BL)^T \\ \Psi_7 &= G_2 \ B - L - L^T \\ \Psi_8 &= -G_2 - (BL)^T + \mathcal{P}_2 \\ \Psi_9 &= -L - L^T + \mathcal{P}_3 \end{split}$$

the descriptor system (15) is admissible with the prescribed l_2-l_{∞} performance γ . Further, the l_2-l_{∞} controller gain matrix is constructed as

$$K = L^{-1}N. ag{33}$$

Proof: According to the inequality conditions (16) and (17) in Theorem 1, we can detect whether the given l_2-l_{∞} performance level γ for the descriptor system (15) is guaranteed through the solvability of the matrix inequalities (16) and (17).

Now, let's consider these matrix variables \mathcal{P} , \mathcal{L} , and \mathcal{G} by the following form:

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}_1 & * \\ \mathcal{P}_2 & \mathcal{P}_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{L} = \begin{bmatrix} L_1 & BL \\ L_2 & L \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{G} = \begin{bmatrix} G_1 & BL \\ G_2 & L \end{bmatrix}.$$
(34)

Substitute (34) into (16) and (17) and defining N = LK, the LMI-based l_2-l_{∞} controller design conditions (31) and (32) can be acquired directly.

Remark 2: From the inequality (17), we can see that the system matrix \mathcal{A} is multiplied by \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{G} , respectively. By taking into account the matrix form of $\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ K & -I \end{bmatrix}$ in (15), the structure of the matrix variables \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{G} is considered in (34) where B in \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{G} is used to

adjust the matrix dimension. Indeed, the structure in \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{G} inevitably leads to a certain degree of design conservatism due to the repetition of the matrix variable L, however, the matrix variables L_1, L_2, G_1 , and G_2 are free that can also produce some relaxation for the controller design. The structure of the matrix variables \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{G} has been widely used in the design of the descriptor system [32], [33].

Remark 3: One should point out that if the constraint condition on the Lyapunov matrix (31) is not counted, the l_2-l_{∞} controller design condition in Theorem 2 residues (32). Relative to the conventional design results (7) and (8), the proposed l_2-l_{∞} controller design condition has been summarized as a single matrix inequality, it can bring convenience to the design.

Remark 4: Theorem 2 provides useful design methods for l_2-l_{∞} control problem of the descriptor system (15), especially, the l_2-l_{∞} controller design conditions (31) and (32) are strict LMIs (the semi-definite matrix inequality (31) can be organized into a strict LMI through constructing the matrix \mathcal{P}_1 with a reasonable structure, see [34] for the details). Relative to the conventional design results (7) and (8), the proposed l_2-l_{∞} controller design conditions are more effective for the descriptor system, it can bring convenience to the design.

Remark 5: In Theorem 2, the LMI-based design schemes are introduced to guarantee l_2-l_{∞} performance for the descriptor system (15). From the proof of Theorem 2, one can know that the LMI-based design schemes are obtained with the help of Lemma 1 because $-\mathcal{E}^T \mathcal{P} \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{C}^T \mathcal{C} \leq 0$. In the study on the problem of controller design for descriptor system via LMI technique, Lemma 1 has been effectively applied [32], [34]. The focus of this paper is to give LMI representation of the design conditions of the l_2-l_{∞} controller for discrete-time descriptor system. In fact, the extensive research has been devoted to extended LMI characterizations of Lemma 1 for the problem of stability and system performance in [35], which will provide further analysis and design for discrete-time descriptor system with the l_2-l_{∞} performance.

Remark 6: It should be noted that matrix inequality conditions in Theorem 2 can be solved in polynomial time with complexity proportional to $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{D}^3 \mathbb{L}$ where \mathbb{D} and \mathbb{L} means the number of undetermined variables and lines of the matrix inequalities to be solved. Therefore, we can analyse the numerical complexity by calculating \mathbb{D} and \mathbb{L} . The numbers of variables and the numbers of lines in Theorem 2 are $\mathbb{D}_{Th2} = \frac{5}{2}n_xn_x + \frac{3}{2}n_un_u + \frac{1}{2}n_x + \frac{1}{2}n_u$, $\mathbb{L}_{Th2} = 2n_x + 2n_u + n_w + r$.

IV. EXAMPLE

In this section, we aim to validate the feasibility and the effectiveness of the proposed methods by introducing the RC circuit shown in Fig. 1. From the Kirchhoff's laws, one can obtain $C\dot{v} = -Gv + i_R$ and $0 = -v - m(i_R)$ where $\underline{m} \leq m(i_R)/i_R \leq \overline{m}$ with $\underline{m} \geq 0$. That has appeared in [26], [36], in which $m(i_R)$ is only sector constrained. In summary,



FIGURE 1. The RC circuit.



FIGURE 2. Responses of system states.

an augmented equation can be described as

$$\dot{v} = -\frac{G}{C}v + \frac{1}{C}i_R$$

$$0 = -v - \Phi(i_R) - \underline{m}i_R$$
(35)

 $m(i_R) - \underline{m}i_R$. Denote x(t)where $\Phi(i_R)$ = $[x_1(t) \quad x_2(t)]^T = [v(t) \quad i_R(t)]^T, z(t) = x_1(t) = v(t),$ $u(t) = -\Phi(i_R)$, and consider the disturbance, (54) may be expressed as

$$E_c \dot{x}(t) = A_c x(t) + B_c u(t) + F_c w(t)$$

$$z(t) = C_c x(t)$$
(36)

In (55), considering $\underline{m} = 1$ and choose these parameters by C = 0.2F and G = 1S respectively, we have

$$E_c = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_c = \begin{bmatrix} -1/0.2 & 1/0.2 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$B_c = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad F_c = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C_c = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(37)

and for the convenience of demonstrating the different algorithms.

For the above continuous-time system, by using the discretization process with h = 0.05s, a discrete-time descriptor system with the following parameters can be obtained

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0.75 & 0.25 \\ -0.05 & -0.05 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0.05 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$F = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{38}$$



FIGURE 4. Ratio of $\sqrt{z^T(k) z(k)} / \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w^T(k) w(k)$.

This detailed discretization process can be seen in Appendix at end of this paper.

In the next, we will design the controller defined in (2) for system (38) by using the design schemes provided in Theorem 2. It ensures the system (38) with the determined controller meets the given $l_2 - l_\infty$ index γ .

By choosing $\gamma = 2.0$ and applying the MATLAB LMI Control Toolbox to solve (31) and (32) for the considered system (38), the related matrix variables can be obtained by L = -3.9640, N = [-10.9829]- 12.5189]. Moreover, by (33), one gives the $l_2 - l_{\infty}$ controller gain as

$$K = [2.7706 \quad 3.1581]. \tag{39}$$

By considering x(0) = [0] $[0]^T$ and w(k) = $cos(0.3k)e^{-0.02k}$, we will respectively simulate the descriptor system (38) with the controller.

Based on the controller gain (39) for the descriptor system (38): The simulation results of $x_1(k)$ and $x_2(k)$ are illustrated in Fig. 2. Fig. 3 shows the simulation result of z(k). Fig. 4 shows the trend of ratio of $\sqrt{z^T(k)z(k)}/\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w^T(k)w(k)$, which clearly appears to be decreasing at a macro level. And we can see the maximum value 0.3793 is less than the given performance index 2.0.

The results indicate the designed $l_2 - l_\infty$ controllers achieve the prescribed control tasks for the descriptor system (38). In addition, it should be noted that when Lemma 1 is applied in Theorem 1, a structure of the auxiliary matrix variable

 $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{L}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$ is selected. Of course, the auxiliary matrix variable with the structure $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{L}^T & \mathcal{M}^T \end{bmatrix}^T$ can also be considered in the controller design. One notes that the matrix variable \mathcal{M} also is coupled with the system matrix \mathcal{A} , then which can certainly be constructed as $\mathcal{M} = \begin{bmatrix} M & L \end{bmatrix}$. However, if we use the structure of the matrix variable to be $\begin{bmatrix} M & L \end{bmatrix}$ in Theorem 2 and design the l_2-l_{∞} controller for the above system (38), the corresponding condition is feasible only for larger γ , compared with the γ in our current Theorem 2. That means the structure of $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{L}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$ used in the presented Theorem 2 is better for design conservatism.

A. COMPARATIVE EXPLANATIONS

This paper gives useful l_2-l_{∞} controller design schemes for discrete-time descriptor system. Compared with the existing design methods for l_2-l_{∞} performance [21]–[24], the main advantages of the proposed results in this paper can be summarized as the next: 1) The conditions presented in Theorem 2 are effective and feasible for the l_2-l_{∞} controller design of descriptor system (38); 2) The conditions (31) and (32) are strict LMIs, which can easily solved by using the MATLAB LMI Control Toolbox.

V. CONCLUSION

The $l_2 - l_{\infty}$ control problem for discrete-time descriptor system has been investigated in this work. The resultant control system is formulated via augmented descriptor representation approach. And in this paper, for the known controller gain matrices, we have given the analysis for the l_2-l_{∞} performance for the descriptor control system to detect whether or not the known controllers can ensure the feedback descriptor system guarantees the admissibility and meets the required $l_2 - l_\infty$ performance index γ . Then, based on the analysis criteria, the corresponding controller design scheme is proposed according to the solution of the strict LMIs, which can ensure the discrete-time descriptor system is admissible with the prescribed $l_2 - l_{\infty}$ performance. The RC circuit has been presented to explain the effectiveness of the proposed method. So in the future work, we will extend the proposed results to the l_2-l_{∞} feedback control for the nonlinear descriptor systems [37]-[42].

REFERENCES

- S. Xu and J. Lam, *Robust Control Filtering Singular System*. Berlin, Germany: Springer, 2006.
- [2] I. Masubuchi, Y. Kamitane, A. Ohara, and N. Suda, "H_∞ control for descriptor systems: A matrix inequalities approach," *Automatica*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 669–673, Apr. 1997.
- [3] X.-H. Chang, M. Qiao, and X. Zhao, "Fuzzy energy-to-peak filtering for continuous-time nonlinear singular system," *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, early access, May 17, 2021, doi: 10.1109/TFUZZ.2021.3080978.
- [4] J. Wang, H. Wu, X. Ji, and X. Liu, "Robust finite-time stabilization for uncertain discrete-time linear singular systems," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 100645–100651, 2020.
- [5] Z. Du, W. Li, J. Li, and X. Yang, "Event-triggered H_∞ control for continuous-time singular networked cascade control systems with state delay," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 2760–2771, 2020.
- [6] P.-F. Wu, C.-F. Yung, and H.-S. Wang, "On the geometric structures of the \mathcal{H}_{∞} controllers for descriptor systems," *Automatica*, vol. 95, pp. 552–555, Sep. 2018.

- [8] J. Zhang, X. Zhao, F. Zhu, and H. R. Karimi, "Reduced-order observer design for switched descriptor systems with unknown inputs," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 287–294, Jan. 2020.
- [9] Y. Yang, C. Lin, and B. Chen, "Nonlinear H_∞ observer design for onesided Lipschitz discrete-time singular systems with time-varying delay," *Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 252–267, Oct. 2018.
- [10] X. Sun and Q. Zhang, "Observer-based adaptive sliding mode control for T-S fuzzy singular systems," *IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern. Syst.*, vol. 50, no. 11, pp. 4438–4446, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.1109/ TSMC.2018.2852957.
- [11] Y. Feng and M. Yagoubi, "On state feedback H_∞ control for discretetime singular systems," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 58, no. 10, pp. 2674–2679, Oct. 2013.
- [12] Y. Wang, H. R. Karimi, H.-K. Lam, and H. Yan, "Fuzzy output tracking control and filtering for nonlinear discrete-time descriptor systems under unreliable communication links," *IEEE Trans. Cybern.*, vol. 50, no. 6, pp. 2369–2379, Jun. 2020, doi: 10.1109/TCYB.2019.2920709.
- [13] M. Chadli and M. Darouach, "Further enhancement on robust \mathcal{H}_{∞} control design for discrete-time singular systems," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 59, no. 2, pp. 494–499, Feb. 2014.
- [14] X.-H. Chang, Y. Liu, and M. Shen, "Resilient control design for lateral motion regulation of intelligent vehicle," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 2488–2497, Dec. 2019.
- [15] A.-Y. Lu, D. Zhai, J. Dong, and Q.-L. Zhang, "Network-based fuzzy H_{∞} controller design for T-S fuzzy systems via a new event-triggered communication scheme," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 273, pp. 403–413, Jan. 2018.
- [16] M. Shen, S. K. Nguang, and C. K. Ahn, "Quantized H_∞ output control of linear Markov jump systems in finite frequency domain," *IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern. Syst.*, vol. 49, no. 9, pp. 1901–1911, Sep. 2019, doi: 10.1109/TSMC.2018.2798159.
- [17] H.-N. Wu, Z.-P. Wang, and L. Guo, " \mathcal{H}_{∞} sampled-data fuzzy control for attitude tracking of Mars entry vehicles with control constraints," *Inf. Sci.*, vol. 475, pp. 182–201, Feb. 2019.
- [18] C. Zhang, J. Hu, J. Qiu, and Q. Chen, "Event-triggered nonsynchronized \mathcal{H}_{∞} filtering for discrete-time T-S fuzzy systems based on piecewise Lyapunov functions," *IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern. Syst.*, vol. 47, no. 8, pp. 2330–2341, Aug. 2017.
- [19] X.-H. Chang and G.-H. Yang, "Nonfragile H_∞ filter design for T-S fuzzy systems in standard form," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 61, no. 7, pp. 3448–3458, Jul. 2014.
- [20] X.-H. Chang, J.-S. Song, and X. Zhao, "Resilient H_∞ filter design for continuous-time nonlinear systems," *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, early access, Nov. 16, 2020, doi: 10.1109/TFUZZ.2020.3037961.2020.
- [21] H. Du and J. Lam, "Energy-to-peak performance controller design for building via static output feedback under consideration of actuator saturation," *Comput. Struct.*, vol. 84, nos. 31–32, pp. 2277–2290, Dec. 2006.
- [22] H. Zhang, A. S. Mehr, and Y. Shi, "Improved robust energy-to-peak filtering for uncertain linear systems," *Signal Process.*, vol. 90, no. 9, pp. 2667–2675, Sep. 2010.
- [23] T. Zoulagh, B. Boukili, A. Hmamed, and A. El Hajjaji, "L2 − L_∞ reducedorder filter design for T-S fuzzy stochastic discrete systems," *Int. J. Syst. Sci.*, vol. 49, no. 10, pp. 2061–2072, Jun. 2018.
- [24] X.-H. Chang, J. H. Park, and P. Shi, "Fuzzy resilient energy-to-peak filtering for continuous-time nonlinear systems," *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 1576–1588, Dec. 2017.
- [25] X. Xu, X. Li, P. Dong, Y. Liu, and H. Zhang, "Robust reset speed synchronization control for an integrated motor-transmission powertrain system of a connected vehicle under a replay attack," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 70, no. 6, pp. 5524–5536, Jun. 2021.
- [26] V. B. Bajiä, "Partial exponential stability of semi-state systems," Int. J. Control, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 1383–1394, Nov. 1986.
- [27] R. Eini and A. R. Noei, "Identification of singular systems under strong equivalency," *Int. J. Control Sci. Eng.*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 73–80, 2013.
- [28] D. Efimov, A. Polyakov, and J.-P. Richard, "Interval observer design for estimation and control of time-delay descriptor systems," *Eur. J. Control*, vol. 23, pp. 26–35, May 2015.
- [29] J. Li, Q. Zhang, X.-G. Yan, and S. K. Spurgeon, "Robust stabilization of T–S fuzzy stochastic descriptor systems via integral sliding modes," *IEEE Trans. Cybern.*, vol. 48, no. 9, pp. 2736–2749, Sep. 2018.

- [30] A. H. Hassanabadi, M. Shafiee, and V. Puig, "UIO design for singular delayed LPV systems with application to actuator fault detection and isolation," *Int. J. Syst. Sci.*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 107–121, 2016.
- [31] F. Delmotte, T. M. Guerra, and M. Ksantini, "Continuous Takagi–Sugeno's models: Reduction of the number of LMI conditions in various fuzzy control design technics," *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 426–438, Jun. 2007.
- [32] M. Chadli and T. M. Guerra, "LMI solution for robust static output feedback control of discrete Takagi–Sugeno fuzzy models," *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 1160–1165, Dec. 2012.
- [33] X.-H. Chang, C. Yang, and J. Xiong, "Quantized fuzzy output feedback H_∞ control for nonlinear systems with adjustment of dynamic parameters," *IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern. Syst.*, vol. 49, no. 10, pp. 2005–2015, Oct. 2019.
- [34] X.-H. Chang and G.-H. Yang, "A descriptor representation approach to observer-based control synthesis for discrete-time fuzzy systems," *Fuzzy Sets Syst.*, vol. 185, no. 1, pp. 38–51, Dec. 2011.
- [35] G. Pipeleers, B. Demeulenaere, J. Swevers, and L. Vandenberghe, "Extended LMI characterizations for stability and performance of linear systems," *Syst. Control Lett.*, vol. 58, no. 7, pp. 510–518, 2009.
- [36] C. Yang, Q. Zhang, and L. Zhou, "Strongly absolute stability of Lur'e descriptor systems: Popov-type criteria," *Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control*, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 786–806, May 2009.
- [37] X. Huo, L. Ma, X. Zhao, and G. Zong, "Observer-based fuzzy adaptive stabilization of uncertain switched stochastic nonlinear systems with input quantization," *J. Franklin Inst.*, vol. 356, no. 4, pp. 1789–1809, 2019.
- [38] X. Zhao, X. Wang, S. Zhang, and G. Zong, "Adaptive neural backstepping control design for a class of nonsmooth nonlinear systems," *IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern. Syst.*, vol. 49, no. 9, pp. 1820–1831, Sep. 2019.
- [39] Y. Kang, D.-H. Zhai, G.-P. Liu, and Y.-B. Zhao, "On input-to-state stability of switched stochastic nonlinear systems under extended asynchronous switching," *IEEE Trans. Cybern.*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 1092–1105, May 2016.
- [40] J. Qin, G. Zhang, W. X. Zheng, and Y. Kang, "Adaptive sliding mode consensus tracking for second-order nonlinear multiagent systems with actuator faults," *IEEE Trans. Cybern.*, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 1605–1615, May 2019, doi: 10.1109/TCYB.2018.2805167.
- [41] X. Xie, D. Yue, and J. H. Park, "Observer-based fault estimation for discrete-time nonlinear systems and its application: A weighted switching approach," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I, Reg. Papers*, vol. 66, no. 11, pp. 4377–4387, Nov. 2019.
- [42] Z.-M. Li and J. H. Park, "Dissipative fuzzy tracking control for nonlinear networked systems with quantization," *IEEE Trans. Syst.*, *Man, Cybern. Syst.*, vol. 50, no. 12, pp. 5130–5141, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1109/TSMC.2018.2866996.



XIAO-HENG CHANG (Member, IEEE) received the B.E. and M.S. degrees from Liaoning Technical University, Fuxin, China, in 1998 and 2004, respectively, and the Ph.D. degree from Northeastern University, Shenyang, China, in 2007.

He is currently a Professor with the School of Information Science and Engineering, Wuhan University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China. He is a Chutian Scholars Distinguished Professor of Hubei Province, China. He is the

author of the monographs Takagi-Sugeno Fuzzy Systems Non-fragile H-infinity Filtering (Berlin Heidelberg, Springer, 2012) and Robust Output Feedback H-infinity Control and Filtering for Uncertain Linear Systems (Berlin Heidelberg, Springer, 2014). His research interests include fuzzy control systems, networked control systems, and robust control.

Prof. Chang is an Associate Editor of Applied Mathematics and Computation, International Journal of Control, Automation and Systems, and Transactions of the Institute of Measurement and Control, and an Academic Editor of Mathematical Problems in Engineering. He is also an Editorial Board Member of International Journal of Modelling, Identification and Control.



JIAN WANG received the B.E. degree from the Wuhan University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China, in 2018, where he is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree with the School of Information Science and Engineering. His research interests include networked control systems and filtering theory.

...