

# Bounds on Covering Radius of Some Codes Over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2 + uv\mathbb{F}_2$

## FANGHUI MA AND JIAN GAO

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shandong University of Technology, Zibo 255091, China Corresponding author: Jian Gao (dezhougaojian@163.com)

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant A010206, Grant 11701336, Grant 11626144, Grant 11671235, and Grant 12071264.

**ABSTRACT** Let  $R = \mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2 + uv\mathbb{F}_2$  be a finite non-chain ring, where  $u^2 = u$ ,  $v^2 = v$ , uv = vu. We give the lower and upper bounds on the covering radius of different types of repetition codes for Chinese Euclidean distance over *R*. Furthermore, we determine the upper bound on the covering radius of block repetition codes, simplex codes of types  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , MacDonald codes of types  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  for Chinese Euclidean distance over *R*.

**INDEX TERMS** Covering radius, repetition codes, simplex codes, MacDonald codes.

### I. INTRODUCTION

In 1994, Hammons *et al.* in [1] discovered that the best known nonlinear binary codes can be constructed by cyclic codes and Gray map over  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ . After this work, the coding theory over finite rings has attracted great attention from coding scholars. In order to obtain optimal codes over finite fields, many important research results have been determined by studying linear codes with special structures over finite rings [2]–[5]. Furthermore, the research on  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_p[\nu]$ -additive cyclic codes has also achieved some good results [6], [7].

The covering radius is an important geometric parameter that characterizes the maximum error-correcting capability of codes. Particularly, for codes applied in data compression, the covering radius is a measure of maximum distortion [8]. Therefore, the covering radius of codes has become a research hotspot in recent years. In 1978, Helleseth et al. in [9] studied the upper bounds on the covering radius of binary codes. In 1985, Cohen et al. in [10] and Graham et al. in [11] further studied the covering radius of binary linear codes and obtained some new results, respectively. Moreover, Levitin et al. in [12] discovered that the covering radius is used to upperbound the weight of zero neighbors in solving the minimum distance decoding problem. In 1999, the covering radius of codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  for Lee distance and Euclidean distance was studied in [13]. Later, Pandian et al. in [14] studied the covering radius of codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  for Chinese Euclidean distance. The covering radius of codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_2 + u\mathbb{Z}_2$  with  $u^2 = 0$  for Lee distance, Euclidean distance and Chinese Euclidean distance was studied in [15], [16].

Recently, the covering radius of codes over finite non-chain ring has been studied. In 2015, the covering radius of codes over  $\mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2$  for Lee distance was studied in [17]. Later, Gao *et al.* in [18] studied the covering radius of repetition codes, simplex codes and MacDonald codes over  $\mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2$ for Chinese Euclidean distance. Furthermore, Li *et al.* in [19] studied the covering radius of repetition codes, simplex codes and MacDonald codes over  $\mathbb{F}_2R$  for Chinese Euclidean distance, where  $R = \mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2$ .

Moreover, repetition codes are the simplest type of linear block codes with good error-correcting capability and have important applications in communication systems. Every nonzero codeword of the r-dimensional simplex code over finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  has weight  $q^{r-1}$  and the simplex codes meet the Griesmer Bound. The MacDonald codes are punctured codes of the simplex codes and have many wide applications in authentication codes, association schemes and secret sharing schemes [20]–[22]. Therefore, it is very significant to study repetition codes, simplex codes and MacDonald codes. Until now, the research of different types of linear codes over  $R = \mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2 + uv\mathbb{F}_2$  has achieved many good results, where  $u^2 = u$ ,  $v^2 = v$ , uv = vu. However, few coding scholars studied the covering radius of linear codes over R for Lee distance, Euclidean distance and Chinese Euclidean distance. Motivated by [18] and [19], we first consider the covering radius of repetition codes, simplex codes and MacDonald codes over R for Chinese Euclidean distance.

The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and approving it for publication was Byung-Gyu Kim<sup>(D)</sup>.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, some basic results and the covering radius of codes for Chinese Euclidean distance over *R* are given. In Section III, we determine the lower and upper bounds on the covering radius of different types of repetition codes over *R*. In Section IV, we determine the upper bound on the covering radius of simplex codes of types  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  over *R*. In Section V, we determine the upper bound on the covering radius of MacDonald codes of types  $\alpha$ and  $\beta$  over *R*. Section VI concludes the paper.

### **II. PRELIMINARIES**

Let  $R = \mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2 + uv\mathbb{F}_2$  be a finite commutative ring with characteristic 2, where  $u^2 = u$ ,  $v^2 = v$ , uv = vu. Clearly, *R* is isomorphic to the quotient ring  $\mathbb{F}_2[u, v]/\langle u^2 - u, v^2 - v, uv - vu \rangle$ . Moreover, it is easy to observe that *R* is a Frobenius ring but not a local ring or a chain ring.

Definition 1: A linear code C of length n over R is an R-submodule of  $R^n$ .

For any  $r \in R$ , there exist  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_2$  such that r can be expressed as r = a + ub + vc + uvd. Define a Gray map  $\phi$  from R to  $\mathbb{F}_2$  as follows:

$$\theta: R \to \mathbb{F}_2$$
  
$$a + ub + vc + uvd \mapsto (a + b + c + d, a + c, a + b, a).$$

In [23], the authors described the notion of a Chinese Euclidean weight. For any  $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ , the Chinese Euclidean weight of  $\mathbf{x}$  is defined as  $w_{CE}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \{2 - 2\cos(\frac{2\pi x_i}{4})\}$ . Applying the conditions to the ring R and Gray map  $\phi$ , for any  $r \in R$ , the Chinese Euclidean weight of r is defined as

$W_{CE}($	r)	
1	0,	r = 0;
	2,	r = uv, v + uv, u + uv, 1 + u + v + uv;
= {	4,	r = u, v, 1 + u, 1 + v, u + v, 1 + u + v;
	6,	r = 1 + uv, 1 + v + uv, 1 + u + uv, u + v + uv;
	8,	r = 1.

The Chinese Euclidean weight of  $(r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_{CE}(r_i)$ . For any two distinct codewords  $c_1, c_2 \in C$ , the Chinese Euclidean distance is defined as  $d_{CE}(c_1, c_2) = w_{CE}(c_1 - c_2)$ . The minimum Chinese Euclidean distance of *C* is defined as  $d_{CE}(C) =$  $min\{d_{CE}(c_1, c_2)|c_1 \neq c_2, c_1, c_2 \in C\}$ . Clearly, for a linear code *C*,  $d_{CE}(C) = min\{w_{CE}(c)|0 \neq c \in C\}$ . If *C* is a linear code of length *n* over *R* with the number of codewords *M* and the minimum Chinese Euclidean distance  $d_{CE}$ , then we call it an  $(n, M, d_{CE})$  code.

Definition 2: Let C be a linear code of length n over R. For any  $y \in R^n$ , the Chinese Euclidean distance between y and C is defined as

$$d_{CE}(\mathbf{y}, C) = \min\{d_{CE}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) | \forall \mathbf{x} \in C\}.$$

The covering radius of C for Chinese Euclidean distance is defined as

$$r_{CE}(C) = \max\{d_{CE}(\mathbf{y}, C) | \forall \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

TABLE 1.	Repetition	Codes of	Length <i>n</i>	over R.
----------	------------	----------	-----------------	---------

Repetition	Generator Matrix	Parameters of
Codes		Repetition Codes
	n	
$C_1$	$\overbrace{(1\cdots 1)}^{n}$	(n, 16, 2n)
$C_2$	$(\overbrace{u\cdots u}^n)$	(n, 4, 2n)
$C_3$	$(\overbrace{v\cdots v}_{n})$	(n,4,2n)
$C_4$	$(\overbrace{uv\cdots uv}_{n})$	(n,2,2n)
$C_5$	$(\overbrace{1+u\cdots 1}^{n+u+u})$	(n,4,2n)
$C_6$	$(\overbrace{1+v\cdots 1+v}^{n})$	(n, 4, 2n)
$C_7$	$(\overbrace{1+uv\cdots 1+uv}^n)$	(n, 8, 2n)
$C_8$	$(\underbrace{u+v\cdots u+v}_{n})$	(n, 8, 2n)
$C_9$	$(u+uv\cdots u+uv)$	(n,2,2n)
$C_{10}$	$(v + uv \cdots v + uv)$	(n,2,2n)
$C_{11}$	$(\overbrace{1+u+v\cdots 1+u+v}^n)$	(n,4,2n)
$C_{12}$	$(\overbrace{1+u+uv\cdots 1+u+uv}^{n})$	(n, 8, 2n)
$C_{13}$	$(\overbrace{1+v+uv\cdots 1+v+uv}^{n})$	(n, 8, 2n)
$C_{14}$	$\overbrace{(u+v+uv\cdots u+v+uv)}^{(u+v+uv\cdots u+v+uv)}$	(n, 8, 2n)
$C_{15}$	$\overbrace{(1+u+v+uv\cdots 1+u+v+uv)}$	(n, 2, 2n)

The following result of Mattson plays an important role in computing the covering radius of linear codes over *R*.

*Proposition 1:* [10] Let  $C_0$  and  $C_1$  be linear codes over R generated by matrices  $G_0$  and  $G_1$ , respectively. If C is the linear code generated by

$$\left( \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & G_1 \\ \hline G_0 & A \end{array} \right)$$

then  $r_d(C) \le r_d(C_0) + r_d(C_1)$  and the covering radius of D, containing of  $C_0$  and  $C_1$ , satisfies  $r_d(D) \ge r_d(C_0) + r_d(C_1)$  for all distance d.

## **III. COVERING RADIUS OF REPETITION CODES**

In this section, we consider repetition codes of length *n* over *R*. There are two types of repetition codes of length *n* over *R*. One type is the unit repetition code  $C_1$ , the other is zero divisor repetition code  $C_i$  for  $2 \le i \le 15$ . We list them in TABLE 1.

The following result gives the lower and upper bounds on the covering radius of repetition codes  $C_i(1 \le i \le 15)$  of length *n* over *R*.

 $\begin{aligned} r_{CE}(C_9) &\leq 7n, 2n + 34\lfloor \frac{n}{14} \rfloor \leq r_{CE}(C_{10}) \leq 7n, 60\lfloor \frac{n}{14} \rfloor \leq \\ r_{CE}(C_{11}) &\leq 6n, 58\lfloor \frac{n}{14} \rfloor \leq r_{CE}(C_{12}) \leq 5n, 58\lfloor \frac{n}{14} \rfloor \leq \\ r_{CE}(C_{13}) &\leq 5n, 2n + 30\lfloor \frac{n}{14} \rfloor \leq r_{CE}(C_{14}) \leq 5n, \\ 2n + 34\lfloor \frac{n}{14} \rfloor \leq r_{CE}(C_{15}) \leq 7n. \end{aligned}$ 

*Proof* See the appendix.

In the following, in order to get the upper bound on the covering radius of simplex codes of types  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  over *R*, we give the definition of block repetition codes.

Let  $BRep^n$  be a block repetition code generated by

$$G = \left(\underbrace{\stackrel{n_1}{1\cdots 1} \underbrace{\stackrel{n_2}{u\cdots u}}_{v\cdots v} \underbrace{\stackrel{n_3}{v\cdots v}}_{uv\cdots uv} \underbrace{\stackrel{n_4}{1+u\cdots 1+u}}_{n_1} \underbrace{\stackrel{n_5}{1+u\cdots 1+u}}_{n_1} \\ \underbrace{\stackrel{n_6}{1+v\cdots 1+v} \underbrace{\stackrel{n_7}{1+uv\cdots 1+uv}}_{n_{10}} \underbrace{\stackrel{n_8}{u+uv\cdots u+v}}_{n_{10}} \\ \underbrace{\stackrel{n_9}{u+uv\cdots u+uv} \underbrace{\stackrel{n_{10}}{v+uv\cdots v+uv}}_{n_{11}} \\ \underbrace{\stackrel{n_{12}}{1+u+v\cdots 1+u+v} \underbrace{\stackrel{n_{12}}{1+u+v\cdots 1+u+uv}}_{n_{13}} \\ \underbrace{\stackrel{n_{14}}{1+v+uv\cdots 1+v+uv} \underbrace{\stackrel{n_{14}}{v+v+uv\cdots u+v+uv}}_{n_{15}} \\ \underbrace{\stackrel{n_{15}}{1+u+v+uv\cdots 1+u+v+uv}}_{n_{11}} \\ \underbrace{\stackrel{n_{15}}{u+uv\cdots 1+u+v+uv}}_{n_{12}} \\ \underbrace{\stackrel{n_{16}}{u+uv\cdots 1+u+v+uv}}_{n_{12}} \\ \underbrace{\stackrel{n_{16}}{u+uv\cdots 1+u+v+uv}}_{n_{15}} \\ \underbrace{\stackrel{n_{16}}{u+uv\cdots 1+u+v+uv}}_{n_{15}} \\ \underbrace{\stackrel{n_{16}}{u+uv\cdots 1+u+v}}_{n_{15}} \\ \underbrace{\stackrel{n_{16}}{u+uv\cdots 1+u+v}_{n_{15}} \\ \underbrace{\stackrel{n_{16}}{u+uv\cdots 1+u+v}}_{n_{15}} \\ \underbrace{\stackrel{n_{16}}{u+uv\cdots 1+u+v}_{n_{15}} \\ \underbrace{\stackrel{n_{16}}{u+uv\cdots 1+u}_{n_{15}} \\ \underbrace{\stackrel{n_{16}}{u+uv\cdots 1+uv}_{n_{15}} \\ \underbrace{\stackrel{n_{16}}{u+uv}_{n_{15}} \\ \underbrace{\stackrel{n_{16}$$

where  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{15} n_i$ . Then, we have that  $BRep^n = \{\mu G | \mu \in R\}$ .

*Theorem 2:* The upper bound on the covering radius of  $BRep^n$  is given by

$$r_{CE}(BRep^{n}) \le 4n_{1} + 5(n_{7} + n_{13} + n_{14}) + 6(n_{5} + n_{6} + n_{8} + n_{9} + n_{11} + n_{12}) + 7(n_{2} + n_{3} + n_{4} + n_{9} + n_{10} + n_{15}),$$

where  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{15} n_i$ . Particularly, if  $n_1 = n_2 = \cdots = n_{15}$ , then  $r_{CE}(BRep^n) \le 91n$ . *Proof* Let

$$\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1 | \mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{x}_3 | \mathbf{x}_4 | \mathbf{x}_5 | \mathbf{x}_6 | \mathbf{x}_7 | \mathbf{x}_8 | \mathbf{x}_9 | \mathbf{x}_{10} | \mathbf{x}_{11} | \mathbf{x}_{12} | \mathbf{x}_{13} | \mathbf{x}_{14} |$$
  
$$\mathbf{x}_{15}) \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where  $\mathbf{x}_1$  has positions  $a_i(0 \le i \le 15)$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_2$  has positions  $b_i(0 \le i \le 15)$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_3$  has positions  $d_i(0 \le i \le 15)$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_4$  has positions  $e_i(0 \le i \le 15)$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_5$  has positions  $f_i(0 \le i \le 15)$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_6$  has positions  $g_i(0 \le i \le 15)$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_7$  has positions  $h_i(0 \le i \le 15)$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_8$  has positions  $j_i(0 \le i \le 15)$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_7$  has positions  $h_i(0 \le i \le 15)$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_8$  has positions  $j_i(0 \le i \le 15)$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_9$  has positions  $k_i(0 \le i \le 15)$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_{10}$  has positions  $l_i(0 \le i \le 15)$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_{11}$  has positions  $m_i(0 \le i \le 15)$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_{12}$  has positions  $r_i(0 \le i \le 15)$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_{13}$  has positions  $t_i(0 \le i \le 15)$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_{14}$  has positions  $p_i(0 \le i \le 15)$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_{15}$  has positions  $q_i(0 \le i \le 15)$ , satisfying  $\sum_{i=0}^{15} a_i = n_1$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^{15} b_i = n_2$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^{15} d_i = n_3$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^{15} e_i = n_4$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^{15} f_i = n_5$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^{15} g_i = n_6$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^{15} h_i = n_7$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^{15} j_i = n_8$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^{15} k_i = n_9$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^{15} l_i = n_{10}$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^{15} m_i = n_{11}$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^{15} r_i = n_{12}$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^{15} t_i = n_{13}$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^{15} p_i = n_{14}$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^{15} q_i = n_{15}$ . According to the proof process of Theorem 1, we get the

According to the proof process of Theorem 1, we get the expressions of  $A_{\omega}$ ,  $B_{\omega}$ ,  $D_{\omega}$ ,  $E_{\omega}$ ,  $F_{\omega}$ ,  $G_{\omega}$ ,  $H_{\omega}$ ,  $I_{\omega}$ ,  $J_{\omega}$ ,  $K_{\omega}$ ,  $L_{\omega}$ ,  $M_{\omega}$ ,  $R_{\omega}$ ,  $T_{\omega}$ ,  $P_{\omega}$ ,  $Q_{\omega}$ . In the following proof process, for

convenience we need to use the above expressions, but the subscript  $\omega$  should be replaced with other letters, for example  $A_a = n_1 - a_0 + 7a_1 + 3a_2 + 3a_3 + a_4 + 3a_5 + 3a_6 + 5a_7 + 3a_8 + a_9 + a_{10} + 3a_{11} + 5a_{12} + 5a_{13} + 5a_{14} + a_{15}$  (replace  $\omega$  with a in  $A_{\omega}$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^{15} a_i = n_1$ ). Since  $BRep^n = \{c_0 = 0 \cdot G, c_1 = 1 \cdot G, c_2 = u \cdot G, c_3 = 0\}$ 

Since  $BRep^n = \{c_0 = 0 \cdot G, c_1 = 1 \cdot G, c_2 = u \cdot G, c_3 = v \cdot G, c_4 = uv \cdot G, c_5 = (1 + u) \cdot G, c_6 = (1 + v) \cdot G, c_7 = (1 + uv) \cdot G, c_8 = (u + v) \cdot G, c_9 = (u + uv) \cdot G, c_{10} = (v + uv) \cdot G, c_{11} = (1 + u + v) \cdot G, c_{12} = (1 + u + uv) \cdot G, c_{13} = (1 + v + uv) \cdot G, c_{14} = (u + v + uv) \cdot G, c_{15} = (1 + u + v + uv) \cdot G\},$ then we have that

$$d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_0) = A_a + A_b + A_d + A_e + A_f + A_g + A_h + A_j + A_k + A_l + A_m + A_r + A_t + A_p + A_q.$$
  
$$d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_1) = B_a + D_b + E_d + F_e + G_f + H_g + I_h + J_j + K_k + L_l + M_m + R_r + T_t + P_p + Q_q.$$

$$d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_2) = D_a + D_b + F_d + F_e + A_f + K_g + K_h + K_j + K_k + A_l + F_m + F_r + D_l + D_p + A_q.$$

$$d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_3) = E_a + F_b + E_d + F_e + L_f + A_g + L_h + L_j$$
  
+  $A_k + L_l + F_m + E_r + F_t + E_p + A_a.$ 

$$d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_4) = F_a + F_b + F_d + F_e + A_f + A_g + A_h + A_j$$
  
+  $A_k + A_l + F_m + F_r + F_t + F_n + A_a$ .

$$d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_5) = G_a + A_b + L_d + A_e + G_f + Q_g + G_h + L_j + A_k + L_l + Q_m + G_r + Q_t + L_n + Q_a.$$

$$d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_6) = H_a + K_b + A_d + A_e + Q_f + H_g + H_h + K_j + K_k + A_l + Q_m + Q_r + H_t + K_p + Q_a.$$

$$d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_7) = I_a + K_b + L_d + A_e + G_f + H_g + I_h + J_j + K_k + L_l + Q_m + G_r + H_l + J_p + Q_q.$$

$$d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_8) = J_a + K_b + L_d + A_e + L_f + K_g + J_h + J_j + K_k + L_l + A_m + A_r + K_l + J_p + A_q.$$

$$d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_9) = K_a + A_b + A_d + A_e + A_f + K_g + K_h + K_j + K_k + A_l + A_m + A_r + K_t + K_p + A_a.$$

$$d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_{10}) = L_a + A_b + A_d + A_e + L_f + A_g + L_h + L_j$$
$$+ A_k + L_l + A_m + L_r + A_t + L_p + A_a.$$

$$d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_{11}) = M_a + F_b + F_d + F_e + Q_f + Q_g + Q_h + A_j$$
$$+ A_k + A_l + M_m + M_r + M_t + F_p + Q_q.$$

$$d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_{12}) = R_a + F_b + E_d + F_e + G_f + Q_g + G_h + L_j + A_k + L_l + M_m + R_r + M_t + E_p + Q_q.$$

$$d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_{13}) = T_a + D_b + F_d + F_e + Q_f + H_g + H_h + K_j + K_k + A_l + M_m + M_r + T_t + D_p + Q_q.$$

$$d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_{14}) = P_a + D_b + E_d + F_e + L_f + K_g + J_h + J_j + K_k + L_l + F_m + E_r + D_t + P_p + A_q.$$

$$d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_{15}) = Q_a + A_b + A_d + A_e + Q_f + Q_g + Q_h + A_j + A_k + A_l + Q_m + Q_r + Q_l + A_p + Q_q.$$

Therefore,

$$d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, BRep^{n}) = \min\{d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_{0}), d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_{1}), d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_{2}), \\ d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_{3}), d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_{4}), d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_{5}), d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_{6}), d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_{7}), \\ d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_{8}), d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_{9}), d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_{10}), d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_{11}), d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_{12}), d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_{13}), d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_{14}), d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, c_{15})\} \le 4n_{1} + 5(n_{7} + n_{13} + n_{14}) + 6(n_{5} + n_{6} + n_{8} + n_{9} + n_{11} + n_{12}) + 7(n_{2} + n_{3} + n_{4} + n_{9} + n_{10} + n_{15}).$$

This means that

$$r_{CE}(BRep^{n}) \le 4n_{1} + 5(n_{7} + n_{13} + n_{14}) + 6(n_{5} + n_{6} + n_{8} + n_{9} + n_{11} + n_{12}) + 7(n_{2} + n_{3} + n_{4} + n_{9} + n_{10} + n_{15}).$$

Let  $BRep^m$  be a block repetition code generated by

$$G = \left(\underbrace{\frac{m_1}{1\cdots 1}}_{v\cdots v} \underbrace{\frac{m_2}{1+u+v\cdots 1+u+v}}_{1+u+v\cdots 1+u+v}\right)$$

where  $m = m_1 + m_2 + m_3$ . Then, we have that  $BRep^n = \{\gamma G | \gamma \in R\}$ . Similar to the proof process of Theorem 2, we can directly obtain the following result.

*Theorem 3:* The upper bound on the covering radius of  $BRep^m$  is given by

$$r_{CE}(BRep^m) \le 4m_1 + 7m_2 + 6m_3,$$

where  $m = m_1 + m_2 + m_3$ .

#### IV. SIMPLEX CODES OF TYPES $\alpha$ AND $\beta$ OVER R

A type  $\alpha$  simplex code  $S_k^{\alpha}$  is a linear code over R. The generator matrix  $G_k^{\alpha}$  of  $S_k^{\alpha}$  is constructed inductively. Let  $G_k^{\alpha}$  be a  $k \times 2^{4k}$  matrix over R. Let

$$G_1^{\alpha} = (A_1 | A_2 | A_3 | A_4),$$

 $A_{1} = (0 \quad 1 \quad u \quad 1+u), A_{2} = (v \quad uv \quad (1+u)v \quad 1+v), A_{3} = (1+uv \quad 1+(1+u)v \quad u+v \quad u+uv), A_{4} = (u+(1+u)v \quad 1+u+v \quad 1+u+uv \quad 1+u+(1+u)v).$ Then  $G_{k}$  is constructed inductively as follows

$$G_{k}^{\alpha} = \left(\frac{0 | 1 | \cdots | 1 \mathcal{C} u \mathcal{C} (1 \mathcal{C} u) v}{G_{k-1}^{\alpha} | G_{k-1}^{\alpha} | \cdots | G_{k-1}^{\alpha}}\right), \qquad (1)$$

where 0, 1, 1 C u C (1 C u)v are denoted as  $0 \cdots 0, 1 \cdots 1, 1 + u + (1 + u)v \cdots 1 + u + (1 + u)v$ . The bold characters below have the same meaning.

A type  $\beta$  simplex code  $S_k^{\beta}$  is a linear codes over R constructed by omitting some columns from  $G_k^{\alpha}$ . Let  $\lambda_k$  be a  $k \times \frac{2^{4k}-2^{2k}}{3}$  matrix over R. Let

$$\lambda_1 = \left(1 \ u \ 1 + u \ v\right)$$

and

where

$$\lambda_2 = \left( B_1 | B_2 \right),$$

$$B_{1} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{u} & \mathbf{1} \, \mathcal{C} \, \mathbf{u} & \mathbf{v} \\ \hline \lambda_{1} & |G_{1}^{\alpha}| & G_{1}^{\alpha} & |G_{1}^{\alpha}| \\ \end{bmatrix} \right),$$
  
$$B_{2} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{1} \, \mathcal{C} \, (\mathbf{1} \, \mathcal{C} \, \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{v} & \mathbf{u} \, \mathcal{C} \, \mathbf{u} \mathbf{v} & \mathbf{1} \, \mathcal{C} \, \mathbf{u} \, \mathcal{C} \, \mathbf{v} \\ \hline \lambda_{1} & \lambda_{1} & \lambda_{1} & \lambda_{1} \end{array} \right).$$

Then  $\lambda_k$  is constructed inductively as follows

$$\lambda_k = \left( \left. C_1 \right| C_2 \right),$$

where

$$C_{1} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{1} \quad \mathbf{u} \quad \mathbf{1} \quad \mathcal{C} \quad \mathbf{u} \quad \mathbf{v}}{\lambda_{k-1} \mid G_{k-1}^{\alpha} \mid G_{k-1}^{\alpha} \mid G_{k-1}^{\alpha} \mid G_{k-1}^{\alpha}}\right),$$

$$C_{2} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{1} \quad \mathcal{C} \quad (\mathbf{1} \quad \mathcal{C} \quad \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{u} \quad \mathcal{C} \quad \mathbf{u} \mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{1} \quad \mathcal{C} \quad \mathbf{u} \quad \mathcal{C} \quad \mathbf{v}}{\lambda_{k-1} \mid \lambda_{k-1} \mid \lambda_{k-1}}\right)$$

$$S_{1} = c_{1} = c_{2}^{24k} - 2^{2k} \text{ or } \mathbf{i} \quad \mathbf{i} = c_{1} = C_{1} + C_{1$$

Let  $\delta_k$  be a  $k \times \frac{2^m - 2^{-m}}{3}$  matrix over *R*. Let

$$\delta_1 = (1 \ u \ 1 + u \ 1 + u + v)$$

and

where

$$\delta_2 = \left( D_1 \left| D_2 \right. \right)$$

$$D_1 = \left( \frac{\mathbf{0} \mid \mathbf{1} \mid \boldsymbol{u} \mid \mathbf{1} \, \mathcal{C} \, \boldsymbol{u}}{\delta_1 \mid G_1^{\alpha} \mid G_1^{\alpha} \mid G_1^{\alpha} \mid G_1^{\alpha}} \right),$$
  
$$D_2 = \left( \frac{\mathbf{1} \, \mathcal{C} \, \boldsymbol{u} \, \mathcal{C} \, \boldsymbol{v} \mid \boldsymbol{v} \mid \boldsymbol{uv} \mid (\mathbf{1} \, \mathcal{C} \, \boldsymbol{u}) \boldsymbol{v}}{G_1^{\alpha} \mid \delta_1 \mid \delta_1 \mid \delta_1} \right).$$

Then  $\delta_k$  is constructed inductively as follows

$$\delta_k = \left( E_1 | E_2 \right),$$

where

$$E_{1} = \left(\frac{0 | \mathbf{1} | \mathbf{u} | \mathbf{1} C \mathbf{u}}{\delta_{k-1} | G_{k-1}^{\alpha} | G_{k-1}^{\alpha} | G_{k-1}^{\alpha}}\right),$$
  

$$E_{2} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{1} C \mathbf{u} C \mathbf{v} | \mathbf{v} | \mathbf{uv} | (\mathbf{1} C \mathbf{u})\mathbf{v}}{G_{k-1}^{\alpha} | \delta_{k-1} | \delta_{k-1} | \delta_{k-1}}\right)$$

Let  $G_k^{\beta}$  be a  $k \times (\frac{2^{2k}-1}{3})^2$  generator matrix of  $S_k^{\beta}$ . Let  $G_1^{\beta} = (1)$  and

$$G_2^{\beta} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{1} \mid 0 \mid \mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{1} \mathrel{\mathcal{C}} \mathit{u} \mathrel{\mathcal{C}} \mathit{v}}{G_1^{\alpha} \mid \mathbf{1} \mid \delta_1 \mid \lambda_1}\right).$$

Then  $G_k^{\beta}$  is constructed inductively as follows

$$G_{k}^{\beta} = \left(\frac{1 \quad 0 \quad \nu \quad 1 \mathcal{C} \, u \, \mathcal{C} \, \nu}{G_{k-1}^{\alpha} \mid G_{k-1}^{\beta} \mid \delta_{k-1} \mid \lambda_{k-1}}\right) \tag{2}$$

Theorem 4:  $r_{CE}(S_k^{\alpha}) \le 91(\frac{2^{4k}-2^4}{15}) + 96$ . *Proof* By using the computational algebra system

*Proof* By using the computational algebra system Magma [24], we get that  $r_{CE}(S_1^{\alpha}) = 96$ . Next, we prove this result by induction on k. Firstly, we have  $r_{CE}(S_1^{\alpha}) = 96$  for k = 1, which is consistent with our calculation. Secondly, assume that the result holds for k - 1, i.e.,  $r_{CE}(S_{k-1}^{\alpha}) \leq 91(\frac{2^{4(k-1)}-2^4}{15}) + 96$ . Finally, we prove that the result holds for k, i.e.,  $r_{CE}(S_k^{\alpha}) \leq 91(\frac{2^{4k}-2^4}{15}) + 96$ .

According to Eq. (1), Proposition 1 and Theorem 2, we have that

$$r_{CE}(S_k^{\alpha}) \leq r_{CE}(S_{k-1}^{\alpha}) + r_{CE}(\underbrace{(1\cdots 1}_{2^{4(k-1)}} \underbrace{2^{4(k-1)}}_{1+u\cdots u} \underbrace{2^{4(k-1)}}_{1+u\cdots 1+u} \underbrace{2^{4(k-1)}}_{v\cdots v} \underbrace{2^{4(k-1)}}_{uv\cdots uv}$$

	$2^{4(k-1)}$	$2^{4(k-1)}$
	$\overbrace{(1+u)v\cdots(1+u)v}$	$\overline{1+v\cdots 1+v}$
	$2^{4(k-1)}$	$2^{4(k-1)}$
	$\overline{1+uv\cdots 1+uv}$ $\overline{1+uv}$	$(1+u)v\cdots 1+(1+u)v$
	$2^{4(k-1)}$	$2^{4(k-1)}$
	$\overline{u+v\cdots u+v}\overline{u+u}$	$\overline{v \cdots u + uv}$
	24(k-1)	
	$u+(1+u)v\cdots u+c$	(1+u)v
	$2^{4(k-1)}$	
	$\overline{1+u+v\cdots 1+u+}$	- v
	$2^{4(k-1)}$	
	$\overline{1+u+uv\cdots 1+u}$	$\overline{+uv}$
	$2^{4(k-1)}$	)
	$\overbrace{1+u+(1+u)v\cdots}$	1 + u + (1 + u)v))
<	$91(2^{4(k-1)} + 2^{4(k-2)})$	$+\cdots + 2^4) + 96$
	$2^{4k} - 2^4$	
=	91() + 96.	

Theorem 5:  $r_{CE}(S_k^{\beta}) \le 5(\frac{2^{4k}-2^8}{9}) - 13(\frac{2^{2k}-2^4}{9}) + 106.$ Proof By using the computational algebra system

Magma [24], we get that  $r_{CE}(S_2^{\beta}) = 106$ . Next, we prove this result by induction on k. Firstly, we have  $r_{CE}(S_2^{\beta}) = 106$  for k = 2, which is consistent with our calculation. Secondly, assume that the result holds for k - 1, i.e.,  $r_{CE}(S_{k-1}^{\beta}) \leq 5(\frac{2^{4(k-1)}-2^8}{9}) - 13(\frac{2^{2(k-1)}-2^4}{9}) + 106$ . Finally, we prove that the result holds for k, i.e.,  $r_{CE}(S_k^{\alpha}) \leq 5(\frac{2^{4k}-2^8}{9}) - 13(\frac{2^{2k}-2^4}{9}) + 106$ . 106.

According to Eq. (2), Proposition 1 and Theorem 2, we have that

$$\begin{split} r_{CE}(S_k^{\beta}) &\leq r_{CE}(S_{k-1}^{\beta}) + r_{CE}((\overbrace{1\cdots1}^{2^{4(k-1)}-2^{2(k-1)}}, \overbrace{v\cdotsv}^{2^{4(k-1)}-2^{2(k-1)}}, \overbrace{v\cdotsv}^{2^{4(k-1)}-2^{2(k-1)}}, \\ &\leq r_{CE}(S_{k-1}^{\beta}) + 4 \cdot 2^{4(k-1)} + 7(\frac{2^{4(k-1)}-2^{2(k-1)}}{3}) \\ &+ 6(\frac{2^{4(k-1)}-2^{2(k-1)}}{3}) \\ &= r_{CE}(S_{k-1}^{\beta}) + \frac{25}{3} \cdot 2^{4(k-1)} - \frac{13}{3} \cdot 2^{2(k-1)} \\ &\leq \frac{25}{3}(2^{4(k-1)} + \cdots + 2^{4\times2}) - \frac{13}{3}(2^{2(k-1)} + \cdots \\ &+ 2^{2\times2}) + 106 \\ &= 5(\frac{2^{4k}-2^8}{9}) - 13(\frac{2^{2k}-2^4}{9}) + 106. \end{split}$$

## V. MacDonald CODES OF TYPES $\alpha$ AND $\beta$ OVER R

The MacDonald codes of type  $\alpha$  over R can be constructed from the generator matrix  $G_k^{\alpha}$  of simplex code  $S_k^{\alpha}$ . For  $2 \leq 1$  $u \leq k-1$ , let  $G_{k,u}^{\alpha}$  be the matrix obtained from  $G_k^{\alpha}$  by deleting

columns corresponding to the columns of  $G_{\mu}^{\alpha}$ , i.e.,

$$G_{k,u}^{\alpha} = \left( G_k^{\alpha} \setminus \frac{\mathbf{0}}{G_u^{\alpha}}, \right)$$

where  $(A \setminus B)$  denotes the matrix obtained from the matrix A by deleting the matrix B and **0** is a  $(k - u) \times 2^{4u}$  zero matrix.

Definition 3: A linear code  $C_{k,u}^{\alpha}$  generated by  $G_{k,u}^{\alpha}$  is called a type  $\alpha$  MacDonald code.

The MacDonald codes of type  $\beta$  over R can be constructed from the generator matrix  $G_k^{\beta^1}$  of simplex code  $S_k^{\beta}$ . For  $2 \le u \le k-1$ , let  $G_{k,u}^{\beta}$  be the matrix obtained from  $G_k^{\beta}$  by deleting columns corresponding to the columns of  $G_u^{\beta}$ , i.e.,

$$G_{k,u}^{\beta} = \left( G_k^{\beta} \setminus \frac{\mathbf{0}}{G_u^{\beta}}, \right)$$

where  $(A \setminus B)$  denotes the matrix obtained from the matrix A by deleting the matrix B and **0** is a  $(k - u) \times (\frac{2^{2u}-1}{3})^2$  zero matrix.

Definition 4: A linear code  $C_{k,u}^{\beta}$  generated by  $G_{k,u}^{\beta}$  is called a type  $\beta$  MacDonald code.

Theorem 6:  $r_{CE}(C_{k,u}^{\alpha}) \leq 91(\frac{2^{4k}-2^{4r}}{15}) + r_{CE}(C_{r,u}^{\alpha})$  for  $u < r \leq k$ .

*Proof* Since  $2 \le u < r \le k$ , then the minimum value of k is 3. Next, we prove this result by induction on k. If k = 3, then k = r and  $r_{CE}(C_{3,u}^{\alpha}) = r_{CE}(C_{3,u}^{\alpha})$ , which is consistent with the above result. Assuming that the result holds for k - 1, i.e.,  $r_{CE}(C_{k-1,u}^{\alpha}) \leq 91(\frac{2^{4(k-1)}-2^{4r}}{15}) + r_{CE}(C_{k,u}^{\alpha}) \leq 91(\frac{2^{4k}-2^{4r}}{15}) + r_{CE}(C_{k,u}^{\alpha}) \leq 91(\frac{2^{4k}-2^{4r}}{15}) + r_{CE}(C_{r,u}^{\alpha}).$ According to Proposition 1 and Theorem 2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{CE}(C_{k,u}^{\alpha}) &\leq r_{CE}(C_{k-1,u}^{\alpha}) + r_{CE}(\overbrace{(1\cdots1}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 2^{4(k-1)}) \\ &\xrightarrow{2^{4(k-1)}} 2^{4(k-1)} 2^{4(k-1)} \\ &\overbrace{(1+u)v\cdots(1+u}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 2^{4(k-1)}) \\ &\overbrace{(1+u)v\cdots(1+u}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + v \\ &\xrightarrow{2^{4(k-1)}} 2^{4(k-1)} \\ &\overbrace{(1+u)v\cdots(1+u}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + (1+u)v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u)v\cdots(1+u}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + v + v \\ &\xrightarrow{2^{4(k-1)}} 2^{4(k-1)} \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + u + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + u + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + u + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + u + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + u + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + u + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + u + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + u + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + u + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + u + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + u + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + u + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + v + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + v + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + v + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + v + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + v + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + v + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + v + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + v + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + v + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + v + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + v + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + v + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + v + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + v + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + v + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + v + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + v + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + v + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + v + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + v + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-1)}} 1 + v\cdots1 + v + v \\ &\overbrace{(1+u+v\cdots(1+u+v)}^{2^{4(k-$$

Theorem 7:  $r_{CE}(C_{k,\mu}^{\beta}) \leq 5(\frac{2^{4k}-2^{4r}}{9}) - 13(\frac{2^{2k}-2^{2r}}{9}) +$  $r_{CE}(C_{r,u}^{\beta})$  for  $u < r \le k$ .

*Proof* It is clear that the minimum value of k is 3. Next, we prove this result by induction on k. If k = 3, then we prove this result by induction k. If k = 5, then k = r and  $r_{CE}(C_{3,u}^{\beta}) = r_{CE}(C_{3,u}^{\beta})$ , which is consistent with the above result. Assume that the result holds for k - 1, i.e.,  $r_{CE}(C_{k-1,u}^{\beta}) \le 5(\frac{2^{4(k-1)}-2^{4r}}{9}) - 13(\frac{2^{2(k-1)}-2^{2r}}{9}) + 1$  $r_{CE}(C_{r,u}^{\beta})$ . Finally, we prove that the result holds for k, i.e.,  $r_{CE}(C_{k,u}^{\alpha}) \leq 5(\frac{2^{4k}-2^{4r}}{9}) - 13(\frac{2^{2k}-2^{2r}}{9}) + r_{CE}(C_{r,u}^{\beta})$ . According to Proposition 1 and Theorem 2, we have that

 $2^{4(k-1)} 2^{4(k-1)} 2^{2(k-1)}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} r_{CE}(C_{k,u}^{\beta}) &\leq r_{CE}(C_{k-1,u}^{\beta}) + r_{CE}((1\cdots 1 \underbrace{\overbrace{v\cdots v}^{3}}_{v\cdots v}) \\ & \underbrace{\frac{2^{4(k-1)}-2^{2(k-1)}}{3}}_{1+u+v\cdots 1+u+v})), \\ &\leq r_{CE}(C_{k-1,u}^{\beta}) + 4 \cdot 2^{4(k-1)} \\ &+ 7(\underbrace{\frac{2^{4(k-1)}-2^{2(k-1)}}{3}}_{1+u+v\cdots 1+u+v})) + 6(\underbrace{\frac{2^{4(k-1)}-2^{2(k-1)}}{3}}_{1+u+v\cdots 1+v}) \\ &= r_{CE}(C_{k-1,u}^{\beta}) + \frac{25}{3} \cdot 2^{4(k-1)} - \frac{13}{3} \cdot 2^{2(k-1)} \\ &\leq \frac{25}{3}(2^{4(k-1)} + \cdots + 2^{4r}) - \frac{13}{3}(2^{2(k-1)} + \cdots \\ &+ 2^{2r}) + r_{CE}(C_{r,u}^{\beta}) \\ &= 5(\underbrace{\frac{2^{4k}-2^{4r}}{9}}_{9}) - 13(\underbrace{\frac{2^{2k}-2^{2r}}{9}}_{9}) + r_{CE}(C_{r,u}^{\beta}). \end{aligned}$$

## **VI. CONCLUSION**

In this paper, we study some upper bounds on the covering radius of repetition codes, simplex codes and MacDonald codes for Chinese Euclidean distance over  $R = \mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$  $v\mathbb{F}_2 + uv\mathbb{F}_2$  with  $u^2 = u$ ,  $v^2 = v$ , uv = vu. Unfortunately, the lower bound on the covering radius of these codes is not given. This will be our follow-up research direction. Furthermore, research on the covering radius of repetition codes, simplex codes and MacDonald codes for different distances over  $\mathbb{F}_p + u\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p + uv\mathbb{F}_p$  will be an open interesting problem in the future, where *p* is an odd prime.

#### **APPENDIX**

Proof of Theorem 1 Let

$$\boldsymbol{x}_u$$

$$= \underbrace{(1, \dots, 1, v, \dots, v, uv, uv, uv, 1 + u, \dots, 1 + u,}_{s} \underbrace{s}_{1+v, \dots, 1+v, 1+v, uv, \dots, 1+uv, u+v, \dots, u+v,}_{s} \underbrace{s}_{1+v, \dots, v+uv, v, v+uv, \dots, v+uv, v, u+v, \dots, 1+u+v, \dots, 1+u+v, \dots, 1+u+v, \dots, 1+u+v, uv, \dots, 1+v+uv, \dots, 1+v+uv, \dots, 1+v+uv, \dots, 1+v+uv, \dots, 1+v+uv, \dots, 1+v+v, \dots, 1+v+v+v, \dots, 1+v+v, \dots, 1+$$

The code  $C_2 = \{(0, ..., 0), (u, ..., u), (uv, ..., uv), (u + uv$  $uv, \ldots, u + uv$  generated by  $(u \cdots u)$  is an (n, 4, 2n) code. Then

$$d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}_{u}, (0, ..., 0)) = 2n + 32s, d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}_{u}, (u, ..., u)) = 6n - 24s, d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}_{u}, (uv, ..., uv)) = 4n + 4s, d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}_{u}, (u + uv, ..., u + uv)) = 4n + 4s.$$

Therefore,

$$d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}_u, C_2) = \min\{2n + 32s, 6n - 24s, 4n + 4s\}.$$

According to the definition of covering radius, it follows that

$$r_{CE}(C_2) \ge 2n + 32s.$$

Let y be any element of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\omega_0$  coordinates as 0's,  $\omega_1$ coordinates as 1's,  $\omega_2$  coordinates as u's,  $\omega_3$  coordinates as v's,  $\omega_4$  coordinates as uv's,  $\omega_5$  coordinates as (1 + u)'s,  $\omega_6$ coordinates as (1 + v)'s,  $\omega_7$  coordinates as (1 + uv)'s,  $\omega_8$ coordinates as (u + v)'s,  $\omega_9$  coordinates as (u + uv)'s,  $\omega_{10}$ coordinates as (v + uv)'s,  $\omega_{11}$  coordinates as (1 + u + vu)'s,  $\omega_{12}$  coordinates as (1 + u + uv)'s,  $\omega_{13}$  coordinates as (1 + uv)v + uv)'s,  $\omega_{14}$  coordinates as (u + v + uv)'s,  $\omega_{15}$  coordinates as (1 + u + v + uv)'s. Then  $\sum_{i=0}^{15} \omega_i = n$ . Since  $C_2 =$  $\{(0, \ldots, 0), (u, \ldots, u), (uv, \ldots, uv), (u + uv, \ldots, u + uv)\},\$ then we get that

$$d_{CE}(\mathbf{y}, (0, ..., 0)) = n - \omega_0 + 7\omega_1 + 3\omega_2 + 3\omega_3 + \omega_4 + 3\omega_5 + 3\omega_6 + 5\omega_7 + 3\omega_8 + \omega_9 + \omega_{10} + 3\omega_{11} + 5\omega_{12} + 5\omega_{13} + 5\omega_{14} + \omega_{15},$$

 $d_{CE}(\mathbf{y},(u,\ldots,u))$ 

 $= n + 3\omega_0 + 3\omega_1 - \omega_2 + 3\omega_3 + \omega_4$  $7\omega_5 + 3\omega_6 + 5\omega_7 + 3\omega_8 + \omega_9 + 5\omega_{10} + 3\omega_{11} + 5\omega_{12}$ 

$$\begin{split} &+ \omega_{13} + \omega_{14} + 5\omega_{15}, \\ &d_{CE}(\mathbf{y}, (uv, \dots, uv)) \\ &= n + \omega_0 + 5\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3 - \omega_4 \\ &5\omega_5 + 5\omega_6 + 7\omega_7 + 5\omega_8 + 3\omega_9 + 3\omega_{10} + \omega_{11} + 3\omega_{12} \\ &+ 3\omega_{13} + 3\omega_{14} + 3\omega_{15}, \\ &d_{CE}(\mathbf{y}, (u + uv, \dots, u + uv)) \\ &= n + \omega_0 + 5\omega_1 + \omega_2 \end{split}$$

$$+5\omega_3 + 3\omega_4 + 5\omega_5 + \omega_6 + 3\omega_7 + \omega_8 - \omega_9 + 3\omega_{10} + 5\omega_{11} + 7\omega_{12} + 3\omega_{13} + 3\omega_{14} + 3\omega_{15}.$$

Therefore.

$$d_{CE}(\mathbf{y}, C_2) = \min\{d_{CE}(\mathbf{y}, (0, ..., 0)), d_{CE}(\mathbf{y}, (u, ..., u)), d_{CE}(\mathbf{y}, (uv, ..., uv)), d_{CE}(\mathbf{y}, (u+uv, ..., u+uv))\} \le 6n$$

As a consequence,

$$2n+32\lfloor\frac{n}{14}\rfloor \le r_{CE}(C_2) \le 6n.$$

Similar to the proof process of  $r_{CE}(C_2)$ , we can get 2n + $32\lfloor \frac{n}{14} \rfloor \leq r_{CE}(C_3) \leq 5n, 2n+34\lfloor \frac{n}{14} \rfloor \leq r_{CE}(C_4) \leq 7n,$  $60\lfloor \frac{n}{14} \rfloor \leq r_{CE}(C_5) \leq 6n, \ 60\lfloor \frac{n}{14} \rfloor \leq r_{CE}(C_6) \leq 6n,$  $58\lfloor \frac{n}{14} \rfloor \leq r_{CE}(C_7) \leq 5n, 2n + 32\lfloor \frac{n}{14} \rfloor \leq r_{CE}(C_8) \leq 6n,$  $2n+34\lfloor \frac{n}{14} \rfloor \le r_{CE}(C_9) \le 7n, 2n+34\lfloor \frac{n}{14} \rfloor \le r_{CE}(C_{10}) \le 7n,$  $60\lfloor \frac{n}{14} \rfloor \stackrel{1}{\leq} r_{CE}(C_{11}) \leq 6n, 58\lfloor \frac{n}{14} \rfloor \stackrel{1}{\leq} r_{CE}(C_{12}) \leq 5n,$  $58\lfloor \frac{n}{14} \rfloor \le r_{CE}(C_{13}) \le 5n, 2n+30\lfloor \frac{n}{14} \rfloor \le r_{CE}(C_{14}) \le 5n,$  $2n + 34\lfloor \frac{n}{14} \rfloor \leq r_{CE}(C_{15}) \leq 7n$ , so we omit them. Let *z* be any element of  $R^n$ . Then we have that  $d_{CE}(z, (0, \ldots, 0))$  $= n - \omega_0 + 7\omega_1 + 3\omega_2 + 3\omega_3 + \omega_4$  $+3\omega_5 + 3\omega_6 + 5\omega_7 + 3\omega_8 + \omega_9 + \omega_{10} + 3\omega_{11} + 5\omega_{12}$  $+5\omega_{13}+5\omega_{14}+\omega_{15}=A_{\omega},$  $d_{CE}(z, (1, \ldots, 1))$  $= n + 7\omega_0 - \omega_1 + 3\omega_2 + 3\omega_3 + 5\omega_4$  $+3\omega_5 + 3\omega_6 + \omega_7 + 3\omega_8 + 5\omega_9 + 5\omega_{10} + 3\omega_{11} + \omega_{12}$  $+\omega_{13}+\omega_{14}+5\omega_{15}=B_{\omega},$  $d_{CE}(z, (u, \ldots, u))$  $= n + 3\omega_0 + 3\omega_1 - \omega_2 + 3\omega_3 + \omega_4$  $+7\omega_5 + 3\omega_6 + 5\omega_7 + 3\omega_8 + \omega_9 + 5\omega_{10} + 3\omega_{11} + 5\omega_{12}$  $+\omega_{13}+\omega_{14}+5\omega_{15}=D_{\omega},$  $d_{CE}(z,(v,\ldots,v))$  $= n + 3\omega_0 + 3\omega_1 + 3\omega_2 - \omega_3 + \omega_4$  $+3\omega_{5}+7\omega_{6}+5\omega_{7}+3\omega_{8}+5\omega_{9}+\omega_{10}+3\omega_{11}+\omega_{12}$  $+5\omega_{13}+\omega_{14}+5\omega_{15}=E_{\omega}.$  $d_{CE}(z, (uv, \ldots, uv))$  $= n + \omega_0 + 5\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3 - \omega_4$  $+5\omega_5+5\omega_6+7\omega_7+5\omega_8+3\omega_9+3\omega_{10}+\omega_{11}+3\omega_{12}$  $+3\omega_{13}+3\omega_{14}+3\omega_{15}=F_{\omega}.$  $d_{CE}(z, (1+u, \ldots, 1+u))$  $= n + 3\omega_0 + 3\omega_1 + 7\omega_2$  $+3\omega_{3}+5\omega_{4}-\omega_{5}+3\omega_{6}+\omega_{7}+3\omega_{8}+5\omega_{9}+\omega_{10}$  $+3\omega_{11}+\omega_{12}+5\omega_{13}+5\omega_{14}+\omega_{15}=G_{\omega}.$  $d_{CE}(z, (1 + v, \ldots, 1 + v))$  $= n + 3\omega_0 + 3\omega_1 + 3\omega_2$  $+7\omega_{3}+5\omega_{4}+3\omega_{5}-\omega_{6}+\omega_{7}+3\omega_{8}+\omega_{9}+5\omega_{10}$  $+3\omega_{11}+5\omega_{12}+\omega_{13}+5\omega_{14}+\omega_{15}=H_{\omega}.$  $d_{CE}(z, (1 + uv, \ldots, 1 + uv))$  $= n + 5\omega_0 + \omega_1 + 5\omega_2$  $+5\omega_3 + 7\omega_4 + \omega_5 + \omega_6 - \omega_7 + \omega_8 + 3\omega_9 + 3\omega_{10}$  $+5\omega_{11} + 3\omega_{12} + 3\omega_{13} + 3\omega_{14} + 3\omega_{15} = I_{\omega}.$  $d_{CE}(z, (u + v, ..., u + v))$  $= n + 3\omega_0 + 3\omega_1 + 3\omega_2$  $+3\omega_3 + 5\omega_4 + 3\omega_5 + 3\omega_6 + \omega_7 - \omega_8 + \omega_9 + \omega_{10}$  $+7\omega_{11}+5\omega_{12}+5\omega_{13}+\omega_{14}+5\omega_{15}=J_{\omega}.$  $d_{CE}(z, (u+uv, \ldots, u+uv))$ 

47674

 $= n + \omega_0 + 5\omega_1 + \omega_2$  $+5\omega_3 + 3\omega_4 + 5\omega_5 + \omega_6 + 3\omega_7 + \omega_8 - \omega_9 + 3\omega_{10}$  $+5\omega_{11}+7\omega_{12}+3\omega_{13}+3\omega_{14}+3\omega_{15}=K_{\omega}.$  $d_{CE}(z, (v + uv, \ldots, v + uv))$  $= n + \omega_0 + 5\omega_1 + 5\omega_2$  $+\omega_3 + 3\omega_4 + \omega_5 + 5\omega_6 + 3\omega_7 + \omega_8 + 3\omega_9 - \omega_{10}$  $+5\omega_{11}+3\omega_{12}+7\omega_{13}+3\omega_{14}+3\omega_{15}=L_{\omega}.$  $d_{CE}(z, (1 + u + v, \dots, 1 + u + v))$  $= n + 3\omega_0 + 3\omega_1$  $+3\omega_2 + 3\omega_3 + \omega_4 + 3\omega_5 + 3\omega_6 + 5\omega_7 + 7\omega_8 + 5\omega_9$  $+5\omega_{10}-\omega_{11}+\omega_{12}+\omega_{13}+5\omega_{14}+\omega_{15}=M_{\omega}.$  $d_{CE}(z, (1 + u + uv, \dots, 1 + u + uv))$  $= n + 5\omega_0 + \omega_1$  $+5\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}+3\omega_{4}+\omega_{5}+5\omega_{6}+3\omega_{7}+5\omega_{8}+7\omega_{9}$  $+3\omega_{10}+\omega_{11}-\omega_{12}+3\omega_{13}+3\omega_{14}+3\omega_{15}=R_{\omega}.$  $d_{CE}(z, (1 + v + uv, \dots, 1 + v + uv))$  $= n + 5\omega_0 + \omega_1$  $+\omega_{2}+5\omega_{3}+3\omega_{4}+5\omega_{5}+\omega_{6}+3\omega_{7}+5\omega_{8}+3\omega_{9}$  $+7\omega_{10}+\omega_{11}+3\omega_{12}-\omega_{13}+3\omega_{14}+3\omega_{15}=T_{\omega}.$  $d_{CE}(z, (u+v+uv, \ldots, u+v+uv))$  $= n + 5\omega_0 + \omega_1$  $+\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}+3\omega_{4}+5\omega_{5}+5\omega_{6}+3\omega_{7}+\omega_{8}+3\omega_{9}$  $+3\omega_{10}+5\omega_{11}+3\omega_{12}+3\omega_{13}-\omega_{14}+7\omega_{15}=P_{\omega}.$  $d_{CE}(z, (1 + u + v + uv, \dots, 1 + u + v + uv))$  $= n + \omega_0$  $+5\omega_1 + 5\omega_2 + 5\omega_3 + 3\omega_4 + \omega_5 + \omega_6 + 3\omega_7 + 5\omega_8 + 3\omega_9$  $+3\omega_{10}+3\omega_{11}+3\omega_{12}+3\omega_{13}+7\omega_{14}-\omega_{15}=Q_{\omega}.$ Therefore.  $d_{CE}(z, C_1)$  $= \min\{A_{\omega}, B_{\omega}, D_{\omega}, E_{\omega}, F_{\omega}, G_{\omega}, H_{\omega}, I_{\omega}, J_{\omega},$ 

$$K_{\omega}, L_{\omega}, M_{\omega}, R_{\omega}, T_{\omega}, P_{\omega}, Q_{\omega}\} \le 4n.$$

## Let

$$x_{1} = (0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 1, u, \dots, u, v, \dots, v, uv, \dots, uv, uv, 1 + u, 1 + u, \dots, 1 + v, 1 + uv, \dots, v, uv, \dots, uv, uv, 1 + uv, \dots, 1 + uv, 1 + uv, \dots, 1 + uv, 1 + uv, \dots, v + uv, v + uv, \dots, v + uv, 1 + u + uv, \dots, v + uv, 1 + u + uv, \dots, 1 + u + v + uv) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad t = \lfloor \frac{n}{16} \rfloor.$$

Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}_{1}, (0, \dots, 0)) &= 2n + 32t, \\ d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}_{1}, (1, \dots, 1)) &= 6n - 32t, \\ d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}_{1}, (u, \dots, u)) &= 6n - 32t, \\ d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}_{1}, (u, \dots, u)) &= 6n - 32t, \\ d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}_{1}, (v, \dots, v)) &= 6n - 32t, \\ d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}_{1}, (uv, \dots, uv)) &= 4n, \\ d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}_{1}, (1 + u, \dots, 1 + u)) &= 2n + 32t, \\ d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}_{1}, (1 + v, \dots, 1 + v)) &= 2n + 32t, \\ d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}_{1}, (1 + v, \dots, 1 + v)) &= 4n, \\ d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}_{1}, (u + v, \dots, u + v)) &= 6n - 32t, \\ d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}_{1}, (u + v, \dots, u + v)) &= 6n - 32t, \\ d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}_{1}, (u + v, \dots, u + v)) &= 4n, \\ d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}_{1}, (u + v, \dots, v + uv)) &= 4n, \\ d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}_{1}, (1 + u + v, \dots, 1 + u + v)) &= 2n + 32t, \\ d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}_{1}, (1 + u + v, \dots, 1 + u + v)) &= 4n, \\ d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}_{1}, (1 + v + uv, \dots, 1 + v + uv)) &= 4n, \\ d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}_{1}, (1 + v + uv, \dots, 1 + v + uv)) &= 4n, \\ d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}_{1}, (u + v + uv, \dots, 1 + v + uv)) &= 8n - 64t, \\ d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}_{1}, (1 + u + v, \dots, 1 + u + v + uv)) &= 64t. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

```
d_{CE}(\mathbf{x}_1, C_1) = \min\{2n + 32t, 6n - 32t, 4n, 8n - 64t, 64t\}
            > 64t.
This means that 64\lfloor \frac{n}{16} \rfloor \leq r_{CE}(C_1) \leq 4n.
  Next, we give the Magma calculation program for r_{CE}(S_1^{\alpha}).
Similarly, we can get r_{CE}(S_2^{\beta}).
procedure inc_adic (~v,n,adic)
v[n] := v[n] + 1;
for i:=0 \sim to n-2 \sim do
if v[n-i] ne adic then
break ;
end if;
v[n-i-1]:=v[n-i-1]+1;
v[n-i]:=0;
end for;
end procedure;
F := GF(2);
P < u, v > := PolynomialRing(F, 2);
R < u, v > := quo < P | u^2 - u, v^2 - v, u * v - v * u >;
function weight(c,n)
wt := 0;
for i:=1 \sim to n do
if c[i] in [u,1+u,v,1+v,u+v,1+u+v]
then wt+:=4;
elif c[i] in [u*v,(1+u)*v,u+u*v,
1+u+(1+u)*v] then wt+:=2;
elif c[i] in [1+u*v, 1+(1+u)*v, u+(1+u)*v,
1+u+u*v] then wt+:=6;
elif c[i] eq 1 eq t = 8;
end if;
end for;
```

return wt; end function; function weight(c) wt := 0;if c in [u, 1+u, v, 1+v, u+v, 1+u+v]then wt:=4;elif c in [u\*v,(1+u)\*v,u+u\*v,1+u+(1+u)\*v]then wt:=2;elif c in [1+u\*v, 1+(1+u)\*v, u+(1+u)\*v,1+u+u\*v] then wt:=6; elif c eq 1 wt:=8; end if; return wt; end function; Rset := [R|0, 1, u, 1+u, v, u\*v, (1+u)\*v, 1+v,1+u\*v, 1+(1+u)\*v, u+v, u+u\*v, u+(1+u)\*v,1+u+v, 1+u+u\*v, 1+u+(1+u)\*v];n := #R;G := R set;C := [];for r in Rset do c := [];for  $i:=1 \sim to n do$ c[i] := r \* G[i];end for; Include(~C, c); end for; vec := [];for  $i:=1 \sim to n-1 \sim do$ vec[i]:=0; end for; vec[n]:=1;RC := 0;while vec[1] ne n do y := [];for  $i:=1 \sim to n do$ y[i] := Rset[vec[i]+1];end for; if y in C then inc\_adic(~vec,n,n); continue; end if; dyc := 8 \* n;for c in C do d := 0: for  $i:=1 \sim to n do$ d+:=weight(y[i]-c[i]);end for; if d lt dyc then dyc := d;end if; end for; if dyc gt RC then RC:=dyc;printf "R(C)=for i:=1~to n do

printf "
end for;
printf "]\n";
end if;
inc\_adic(~vec,n,n);
end while;

#### REFERENCES

- A. R. Hammons, P. V. Kumar, A. R. Calderbank, N. J. A. Sloane, and P. Sóle, "The Z<sub>4</sub>-linearity of Kerdock, Preparata, Goethals, and related codes," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 301–319, Mar. 1994.
- [2] N. Aydin, S. Karadeniz, and B. Yildiz, "Some new binary quasi-cyclic codes from codes over the ring 𝔽<sub>2</sub>+𝑢𝔽<sub>2</sub>+𝑢𝔽<sub>2</sub>+𝑢𝔽<sub>2</sub>," *Applicable Algebra Eng., Commun. Comput.*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 355–367, Nov. 2013.
- [3] S. Zhu and X. Kai, "A class of constacyclic codes over F<sub>p</sub> + vF<sub>p</sub> and its Gray image," *Discrete Math.*, vol. 311, nos. 23–24, pp. 2677–2682, Dec. 2011.
- [4] M. Özen, F. Z. Uzekmek, N. Aydin, and N. T. Özzaim, "Cyclic and some constacyclic codes over the ring <u>Z<sub>4</sub>[u]</u>," *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 38, pp. 27–39, Mar. 2016.
- [5] Y. Gao, J. Gao, T. Wu, and F.-W. Fu, "1-generator quasi-cyclic and generalized quasi-cyclic codes over the ring <sup>Z4[u]</sup>/<sub>(u<sup>2</sup>-1)</sub>," Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput., vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 457–467, Feb. 2017.
- [6] L. Diao, J. Gao, and J. Lu, "Some results on Z<sub>p</sub>Z<sub>p</sub>[v]-additive cyclic codes," Adv. Math. Commun., vol. 14, nol. 4, pp. 555–572, Nov. 2020.
- [7] X. Hou and J. Gao, "Z<sub>p</sub>Z<sub>p</sub>[v]-additive cyclic codes are asymptotically good," J. Appl. Math. Comput. Accessed: Nov. 24, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s12190-020-01466-w.
- [8] T. Berger, *Rate Distortion Theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ, USA: Prentice-Hall, 1971.
- [9] T. Helleseth, T. Klove, and J. Mykkeltveit, "On the covering radius of binary codes (Corresp.)," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 627–628, Sep. 1978.
- [10] G. Cohen, M. Karpovsky, H. Mattson, and J. Schatz, "Covering radiussurvey and recent results," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 328–343, May 1985.
- [11] R. Graham and N. Sloane, "On the covering radius of codes," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 385–401, Jun. 1985.
- [12] L. Levitin and C. Hartmann, "A new approach to the general minimum distance decoding problem: The zero-neighbors algorithm," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 378–384, May 1985.
- [13] T. Aoki, P. Gaborit, M. Harada, M. Ozeki, and P. Solé, "On the covering radius of Z<sub>4</sub> codes and their lattices," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 2162–2168, Sep. 1999.
- [14] P. C. Pandian and C. Durairajan, "On the covering radius of codes over Z<sub>4</sub> with Chinese Euclidean weight," *Int. J. Inform. Theory*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 1–8, Oct. 2015.
- [15] P. C. Pandian and C. Durairajan, "On the covering radius of some code over  $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 + u\mathbb{Z}_2$ , where  $u^2 = 0$ ," *Int. J. Res. Appl.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 61–70, 2014.

- [16] P. C. Pandian, "On covering radius of codes over  $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 + u\mathbb{Z}_2$ , where  $u^2 = 0$  using Chinese Euclidean distance," *Discrete Math. Algorithms Appl.*, vol. 9, no. 2, Apr. 2017, Art. no. 1750017.
- [17] D. Huang, "Covering radius of codes over ring  $\mathbb{F}_2 + \nu \mathbb{F}_2$ ," *Coll. Math.*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 93–96, 2015.
- [18] J. Gao, Y. Wang, and J. Li, "Bounds on covering radius of linear codes with Chinese Euclidean distance over the finite non chain ring  $\mathbb{F}_2 + \nu \mathbb{F}_2$ ," *Inform. Process. Lett.*, vol. 138, pp. 22–26, Oct. 2018.
- [19] J. Li, J. Gao, and F.-W. Fu, "Bounds on covering radius of F₂R-linear codes," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 23–27, Jan. 2021.
- [20] X. Wang, J. Gao, and F.-W. Fu, "Secret sharing schemes from linear codes over F<sub>p</sub> + vF<sub>p</sub>," Int J. Found Comput. Sci., vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 595–605, Aug. 2016.
- [21] X. Wang, J. Gao, and F.-W. Fu, "Complete weight enumerators of two classes of linear codes," *Cryptography Commun.*, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 545–562, Sep. 2017.
- [22] Y. Wang and J. Gao, "MacDonald codes over the ring  $\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p + v^2\mathbb{F}_p$ ," *Comput. Appl. Math.*, vol. 38, no. 4, p. 169, Dec. 2019.
- [23] M. K. Gupta, G. G. David, and G. T. Aaron, "On senary simplex codes," in *Proc. Int. Symp. Appl. Algebra*. Berlin, Germany: Springer, 2001, pp. 112–121.
- [24] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, and C. Playoust, "The magma algebra system I: The user language," J. Symbolic Comput., vol. 24, nos. 3–4, pp. 235–265, Sep. 1997.



**FANGHUI MA** received the Ph.D. degree from the Chern Institute of Mathematics, Nankai University, in 2020. She is currently a Lecturer with the Shandong University of Technology, China. Her research interests include coding theory and quantum codes.



**JIAN GAO** received the Ph.D. degree from the Chern Institute of Mathematics, Nankai University, in 2015. He is currently an Associate Professor with the Shandong University of Technology, China. He has published more than 60 articles in important international journals including, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON INFORMATION THEORY, *Finite Fields and Their Applications, Designs, Codes, and Cryptography*, IEEE COMMUNICATIONS LETTERS, *Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Com*-

munications and Computing, Cryptography and Communications, Advances in Mathematics of Communications, and Quantum Information Processing. His research interests include coding theory and their applications.

• • •