

Received October 30, 2020, accepted November 4, 2020, date of publication November 10, 2020, date of current version December 3, 2020.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3037198

# Almost Difference Set Pairs and Ideal Three-Level Correlation Binary Sequence Pairs

## WEI ZHAO, YUE WU<sup>ID</sup>, YAYA ZHANG, AND YANGUO JIA<sup>ID</sup>

School of Information Science and Engineering, Yanshan University, Qinhuangdao 066004, China

Corresponding author: Yanguo Jia (jyg@ysu.edu.cn)

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 61501395 and Grant 61601401, and in part by the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province under Grant F2016203293 and Grant F2018203057.

**ABSTRACT** Almost difference set pairs are used in cryptography and coding theory, and a majority of the acknowledged almost difference set pairs are constructed by Chinese remainder theorem, cyclotomy or interleaving. In this paper, through the addition or deletion of elements from difference sets and difference set pairs, we ourselves construct almost difference set pairs and sequences thereof. Further, we obtain the ideal three-level correlation binary sequence pairs with high energy efficiency by some renown difference sets or difference set pairs, e.g. Palay-Hardmard difference sets.

**INDEX TERMS** Almost difference set pairs, difference sets, difference set pairs, binary correlation sequence pairs.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Ideal sequences and sequence pairs with good correlation, balance and high linear complexity can be employed to a variety of technical fields including information encryption, radar, sonar, navigation, synchronization, electronic countermeasures, telemetry, and coding aperture imaging. In a real communication system, given the fact that the quantity of code sequences directly matters to the network capacity of the system and the level of spectrum utilization, the greater number of code sequences for the border choices will be a desirable result. with the purpose of obtaining address code sequences as many as possible, scholars work relentlessly to propose generalized ideal sequences, to which mismatch sequences belong. Because of the finitude of these sequences or sequence pairs we acquired from the study on difference sets or difference set pairs, we proposed the concept of almost difference set pairs, studied the properties of almost difference set pairs, and, finally, revealed the equivalence relationship between almost difference set pairs and almost optimal binary correlation sequence pairs.

For conducting the study on the more general three-level correlation binary sequence pairs, we put forward a more generalized concept of almost difference set pairs in [1], established its equivalent relationship with three-level correlation

The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and approving it for publication was Wei-Wen Hu<sup>(D)</sup>.

binary sequence pairs, and, we, through the method of cyclotomy and interleaving, constructed a variety of three-level correlation binary sequence pairs with out-of-phase correlation value  $\{0, -4\}$  and periods N = 4p. The minimum difference value between the two out-of-phase correlation values of three-level correlation binary sequence pairs is 4; therefore the out-of-phase correlation values of three-level correlation binary sequence pairs with even periods are respectively  $\{0, -4\}$ ,  $\{0, 4\}$  or  $\{2, 2\}$ , and the minimum outof-phase correlation values of three-level correlation binary sequence pairs with odd periods are respectively  $\{3, -1\}$ or  $\{1, -3\}$ . A Three-level correlation binary sequence pair which meets the above-mentioned requirement is eligible for an ideal three-level correlation binary sequence pairs. At the very first, Peng et al. [1] constructed a great deal of binary sequence pairs with odd length and out-of-phase correlation values  $\{1, -3\}$  on the basis of the difference sets and the difference set pairs. In [2], the almost difference set pair is given a new definition. A limiting condition is given on the basis of generalized almost difference set pair in order to keep the difference the two out-of-phase correlation values of the three- level correlation binary sequence pairs being unchanged as 4.

Currently, the cyclotomy is one construction method that almost difference set pairs are based on, but it rarely. produces ideal sequences. In [3], several kinds of almost difference set pairs with order pq are constructed through the application

TABLE 1. Summary of known binary sequence pairs with ideal out-of-phase correlation values.

Length	Out-of-phase correlation values	Reference
$N = 4p, \ p \ is \ odd$	$\{0, -4\}$	[1]
$N \equiv 1 (mod \ 2)$	$\{1, -3\}$	[1]
$N = pq, \operatorname{gcd}(p,q) = 1$	$\{1, -3\}$	[4]
N = 3p, odd p > 3	$\{1, -3\}$ or $\{3, -1\}$	[5]
N = 2p, p = 4f + 1 f is even	$\{2, -2\}$	[6]

of the Whiteman generalized cyclotomy. Yet, it is only when p and q are twin primes that these almost difference set pairs only correspond to ideal three-level correlation binary sequence pairs. In [4], several kinds of almost difference set pairs with out-of-phase correlation values of  $\{1, -3\}$ and periods N = np are constructed by using interleaving and Chinese remainder theorem. In [5], several kinds of almost difference set pairs of periods N = 3p with out-ofphase correlation values of  $\{3, -1\}$  or  $\{1, -3\}$  are constructed respectively by using the new generalized cyclotomy based on Chinese remainder theorem. Table 1 summaries the main results currently obtained about binary correlation sequence pairs with ideal out-of-phase correlation values. In [4], several kinds of almost difference pairs with out-of-phase correlation values of 1, 3 and periods N = np are constructed as the result of the utilization of interleaving and Chinese remainder theorem. In [5], a plurality of almost difference set pairs of periods N = 3p with out-of-phase correlation values of  $\{3, -1\}$  or  $\{1, -3\}$  are respectively constructed as the result of the application of the new generalized cyclotomy on the basis of Chinese remainder theorem. Table 1 shows the major results we acquired by now on the binary correlation sequence pairs with ideal out-of-phase correlation values.

According to the energy efficiency of sequence pairs given in [8], we defined express the energy efficiency of almost difference set pairs. It can be evidently seen that the larger e –  $\lambda$  results in the higher energy efficiency of almost difference set pairs is. In this paper, it is for the first time that the almost difference set pairs is constructed through the addition or reduction of elements in the difference sets and the difference to construct, and, consequently, the sequence pairs obtained is proven to be excellent in correlation. The utilization of our method enables the successful construction of a number of new almost difference set pairs with high energy efficiency, based on the known difference sets and difference set pairs with high energy efficiency, such as Paley-Hadamard difference sets and the difference set pairs in [9], [10]. Some new ideal three-level correlation binary sequence pairs from the constructed almost difference set pair were acquired when the value of  $e - \lambda$  is greater than 1.

In this paper, the second section mainly refers to the related concepts and theorems. For the third section and the fourth section, we proposed eight methods for removing elements from to difference sets or difference set pairs. Finally, in accordance with theorems 1, 4 and 5, some new ideal three-level correlation binary sequence pairs with high energy efficiency, wherein the construction of sequence pairs

are based on some known difference sets and difference set pairs with high energy efficiency.

#### **II. PRELIMINARIES**

Definition 1 [11]: Make D, D' be two subsets of  $Z_N$  with k and k' elements, respectively. Make  $|D \cap D'| = e$ , and (D, D') is called a  $(N, k, k', e, \lambda)$  DSP if for every nonzero  $g \in Z_N^*$ , g can be expressed in exactly  $\lambda$  ways in the form  $x - y \equiv g \pmod{N}$ , where  $(x, y) \in (D, D')$ . And if D = D', the DSP is called a difference set,  $(N, k, \lambda)$  DS.

Definition 2 [2]: Make D, D' be two subsets of  $Z_N$  with k and k' elements, respectively. Let  $|D \cap D'| = e$  and let T be the nonzero subset of  $Z_N^*$ , |T| = t, and (D, D') is called an  $(N, k, k', e, \lambda, t)$  ADSP provided that the differences  $d_{(D,D')}(\tau) = \lambda_1 = \lambda$ , when  $\tau \pmod{N} \in T$ . And when  $\tau \pmod{N} \in Z_N^* - T$  the differences  $d_{D,D'}(\tau) = \lambda_2 = \lambda + 1$ ,  $\lambda$  is a constant integer,  $d_{(D,D')}(\tau) = |D' \cap (D+\tau)|$  is difference function.

If  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ , (D, D') is called DSP. And if D = D', the ADSP is called an  $(N, k, \lambda, t)$  almost difference set(ADS).

*Lemma 1 [1]:* Make (D, D') be an  $(N, k, k', e, \lambda, t)$  ADSP of  $Z_N$ , and we have the following necessary condition for the existence of an ADSP:

$$kk' = (\lambda + 1)(v - 1 - t) + e + \lambda t.$$

*Lemma 2 [10]:* Make (D, D') be an  $(N, k, k', e, \lambda, t)$  ADSP of  $Z_N$ , and we can get that  $\overline{D} = Z_N - D$ ,  $\overline{D'} = Z_N - D'$ , then

- 1)  $(D, \overline{D'})$  is an  $(N, k, N k', k e, k \lambda 1, N t 1)$ ADSP;
- 2)  $(\overline{D}, D')$  is an  $(N, N k, k', k' e, k' \lambda 1, N t 1)$ ADSP;
- 3)  $(\overline{D}, \overline{D'})$  is an  $(N, N k, N k', N + e k k', N + \lambda k k', t)$ ADSP.

Lemma 3 [10]: Make (D, D') be an  $(N, k, k', e, \lambda, t)$  ADSP of  $Z_N$ , and let  $x = (x(0), x(1), \dots, x(N-1))$  and  $y = (y(0), y(1), \dots, y(N-1))$  be two characteristic sequences of D and D', respectively. Then

$$R_{(x,y)}(\tau) = \begin{cases} N - 2(k+k') + 4e, & \tau = 0\\ N - 2(k+k') + 4\lambda, & \tau \in T\\ N - 2(k+k') + 4(\lambda+1), & \tau \in Z_N^* - T. \end{cases}$$

difference sets or difference set pairs so as to construct almost difference set pairs, and further correspondingly raised the corollaries of adding elements. Definition 3: Make (D, D') be an  $(N, k, k', e, \lambda, t)$  ADSP of  $Z_N$ . Then,

$$N - 2(k + k') + 4e = F$$
$$N - 2(k + k') + 4\lambda = E_1$$
$$N - 2(k + k') + 4(\lambda + 1) = E_2.$$

Make  $E = \max(|E_1|, |E_2|)$ . We define  $\eta = \frac{F-E}{N}$  is energy efficiency of ADSP. When  $e - \lambda > 1$ , the energy efficiency of ADSP is deemed to be high. Let *F* represent the main peak value of the characteristic sequence of the difference set, and E1, E2 represent the out-of-phase correlation values.

## III. ALMOST DIFFERENCE SET PAIRS CONSTRUCTED BY DIFFERENCE SETS

Theorem 1: Let *D* be the  $(N, k, \lambda)$  difference set of  $Z_N$ , let  $d \in D$ , then  $(D \setminus \{d\}, D)$  is the  $(N, k, k - 1, k - 1, \lambda - 1, k - 1)$ ADSP of  $Z_N$ . *Proof:* According to the definition of difference set, for any non-zero element  $g \in Z_N$ , the number of solutions to equation  $x - y \equiv g \pmod{N}$  is  $\lambda$ . Let  $D = \{d_0, d_1, \ldots, d_{k-1}\}$  and subtract each element in *D* from *d* and we have

$$d - d_0, d - d_1, \ldots, d - d_{k-1}.$$

We have known that there are *k* different values. When the element *d* is removed from *D*, it is without difficulty to get number of k - 1 different non-zero element solution in almost difference set pairs is  $\lambda - 1$ , and the number of the same element in  $D \setminus \{d\}$  and *D* is k - 1.

Example 1: Let the set

 $D = \{1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, 31, 32, 36, 38, 40, 42, 44, 45, 46, 49, 50, 51, 52, 55, 62, 64, 65, 67, 72, 73, 76\}$ 

be a (79, 39, 19) difference set of  $Z_{79}$ , the value of F is 79, and the value of E1 is -1. According to theorem 1, by removing 1 makes us obtain the result:  $D' = D \setminus \{1\}$ . So far, (D, D') are a (79, 39, 38, 38, 18, 38) ADSP of  $Z_{79}$ , the value of F is 77, and the value of  $\{E1, E2\}$  is  $\{-3, 1\}$ .

*Corollary 1:* If *D* is an  $(N, k, \lambda)$  difference set of  $Z_N$ , and  $d \in \overline{D}$ , then  $(D \cup \{d\}, D)$  is an  $(N, k + 1, k, k, \lambda, N - k - 1)$ ADSP of  $Z_N$ .

Obviously, due to the symmetry of the difference set, i.e. D is a difference set, then  $\overline{D}$  is also a difference set. Then the result of removing an element from D is identical with the result of adding an element to  $\overline{D}$  for the construction of almost difference set pairs.

The difference set and the difference set pairs have the same symmetry, so there will be no proving process for the similar inference provided in the following.

The almost difference set pairs with  $\{-3, 1\}$ ,  $\{-1, 3\}$ ,  $\{2, -2\}$ ,  $\{0, -4\}$ ,  $\{0, 4\}$  as the values of  $\{E1, E2\}$  constructed by Theorem 1 correspond to three-level correlation binary sequence pairs. With  $\{-3, 1\}$  as an example, (N, k, k - 1, 1)

 $k - 1, \lambda - 1, k - 1$ ) ADSP gets

$$\begin{cases} N - 2(k - 1 + k') + 4(\lambda - 1) = -3\\ N - 2(k - 1 + k') + 4\lambda = 1 \end{cases}$$

from Lemma 3, then we can obtain that E1 of  $(N, k, \lambda)$  difference set is -1.

Similarly, when the out-of-phase correlation values of binary sequence pairs are  $\{-1, 3\}$ ,  $\{2, -2\}$ ,  $\{0, -4\}$ ,  $\{0, 4\}$ , the *E*1 of difference sets are 1, 0, -2, 2. Because the value range of difference set is

$$n^2 + n + 1 \ge N \ge 4n + 1 \tag{1}$$

where  $k - \lambda = n$ , the minimum value of E1 of difference set is -1, then -2 is unable.

By  $k^2 = k + (N - 1)\lambda$ , then:

When *E*1 of difference set is -1, N = 4n - 1, then

$$\begin{cases} k = 2n - 1 \\ \lambda = n - 1, \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} k = 2n \\ \lambda = n. \end{cases}$$

Given that (4n - 1, 2n - 1, n - 1) difference set and (4n - 1, 2n, n) difference set are complementary, we merely take (4n - 1, 2n - 1, n - 1) difference set, which has the same parameter of Paley-Hadamard difference set.

When *E*1 of difference set is 2, there are

$$\begin{cases} N = 4n + 2\\ k = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{16n + 9}}{2}\\ \lambda = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{16n + 9} - 2n}{2}. \end{cases}$$

From(1), we can get  $n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ , which means only the (6, 3, 2) difference set meets the above-mentioned conditions; however its energy efficiency is 0.

When E1 of difference set is 1, there are

$$\begin{cases} N = 4n + 1\\ k = \frac{4n + 1 \pm \sqrt{8n + 1}}{2}\\ \lambda = \frac{2n + 1 \pm \sqrt{8n + 1}}{2}. \end{cases}$$

From(1), we can further  $n \ge 3$ , which implies that only this difference set fulfills conditions as stated above. When n = 3, (13, 4, 1) difference set is the singer difference set. If n > 3, it can be seen therefrom that the difference set does not exist, according to MannTest[12].

When E1 of difference set is 0, there is only one known difference set with parameters (4, 3, 2), but its energy efficiency is 0.

Conclusion 1: There is a Paley-Hadamard difference set, then the almost difference set pairs with parameters (4n - 1, 2n - 1, 2n - 2, 2n - 2, n - 2, 2n - 2) can be constructed by under Theorem 1, which the sequence pairs has a main peak value of 4n - 3, the value of  $\{E1, E2\}$  of  $\{-3, 1\}$  and an energy efficiency of  $\frac{4n}{4n-1}$ .

*Conclusion 2:* There is only (13, 4, 1) difference set of  $Z_{13}$  can construct (13, 4, 3, 3, 0, 3) the ideal three-level correlation binary sequence pairs by Theorem 1. The main peak value of sequence pairs is 13, the value of {E1, E2} is {-1, 3}, and the energy efficiency is  $\frac{8}{13}$ .

For the next step, we used the same analysis method is used for other theorems to remove elements from difference set, so the conclusion we draw from the following similar theorems will be provided.

*Theorem 2:* Make *D* be an  $(N, k, \lambda)$  difference set of  $Z_N$ , let

$$d \neq d' \in D,$$
  
$$d - d' \notin \{d_x - d_y | d_x \in D \setminus \{d\}, d_y \in D \setminus \{d'\}\}.$$

and  $(D \setminus \{d, d'\}, D)$  be an  $(N, k, k - 2, k - 2, \lambda - 1, 2(k - 1))$  ADSP of  $Z_N$ . *Proof:* According to the definition of difference set, for any non-zero element  $g \in Z_N$ , the number of solutions to equation  $x - y \equiv g \pmod{N}$  is  $\lambda$ . Make  $D = \{d_0, d_1, \ldots, d_{k-1}\}$ , take the element  $d, d' \in D$  minus each element in D respectively, and we have:

$$d - d_0, d - d_1, \dots, d - d_{k-1},$$
  
 $d' - d_0, d' - d_1, \dots, d' - d_{k-1}$ 

We have known that there are 2k - 1 different values by  $d - d' \notin \{d_x - d_y | d_x \in D \setminus \{d\}, d_y \in D \setminus \{d'\}\}$ . When the elements d, d' are removed from D, it is easy to get that the number of 2k - 2 different non-zero element solution pairs in almost difference set pairs is  $\lambda - 1$ , and the number of the same element in D and  $D \setminus \{d, d'\}$  is k - 2.

Example 2: The set

$$D = \{7, 10, 11, 16, 18\}$$

is a (21, 5, 1) difference set of  $Z_{21}$ . The value of F is 21, and the value of E1 is 5. By removing 7 and 10, we got: D' ={11, 16, 18}. Then D and D' construct a (21, 5, 3, 3, 0, 8) ADSP of  $Z_{21}$ , for which the value of F is 17, and the value of {E1, E2} is {9, 5}.

From (1), we can know the min value of E1 of ADSP constructed by Theorem 2 is 5.

*Corollary 2:* Let *D* be an  $(N, k, \lambda)$  difference set of  $Z_N$ , when

$$d \neq d' \in \overline{D},$$
  
$$d - d' \notin \{d_x - d_y | d_x, d_y \in D\},$$

 $(D \cup \{d, d'\}, D)$  is an  $(N, k + 2, k, k, \lambda, N - 2k - 1)$  ADSP of  $Z_N$ .

*Theorem 3:* Make *D* be an  $(N, k, \lambda)$  difference set of  $Z_N$ , and

$$d \neq d' \in D,$$
  
$$d + d' \notin \{d_x + d_y | d_x \in D \setminus \{d\}, d_y \in D \setminus \{d'\}\},$$

then  $(D \setminus \{d\}, D \setminus \{d'\})$  be an  $(N, k - 1, k - 1, k - 2, \lambda - 1, 2k - 3)$  ADSP of  $Z_N$ .

*Proof:* The proof for Theorem 3 is similar with that for Theorem 2.

*Example 3:* The set

$$D = \{1, 7, 9, 10, 12, 16, 26, 33, 34\}$$

is a (37, 9, 2) difference set of  $Z_{37}$ . The value of F is 37, and the value of E1 is 9. According to Theorem 3, Make d = 1 and d' = 26. At this time,  $D \setminus \{d\}$  and  $D \setminus \{d'\}$  are a (37, 8, 8, 7, 1, 15) ADSP of  $Z_{37}$ , for which the value of F is 33, and the value of  $\{E1, E2\}$  is  $\{13, 9\}$ .

*Corollary 3:* Make *D* be an  $(N, k, \lambda)$  difference set of  $Z_N$ , when

$$d \neq d' \in \overline{D},$$
  
$$d + d' \notin \{d_x + d_y | d_x, d_y \in D\}$$

then  $(D \cup \{d\}, D \cup \{d'\})$  is an  $(N, k+1, k+1, k, \lambda, N-2k-2)$ ADSP of  $Z_N$ .

Obviously, if the conditions in theorem stated above are satisfied, there must be  $k > 2, \lambda > 1$ . These conditions are omitted out of the consideration for quick reading, and the same below.

## IV. ALMOST DIFFERENCE SET PAIRS CONSTRUCTED BY DIFFERENCE SET PAIRS

Theorem 4: Make (D, D') be a  $(N, k, k', e, \lambda)$  DSP of  $Z_N$ , and let  $d \in D-D'$ , then  $(D \setminus \{d\}, D')$  is an  $(N, k-1, k', e, \lambda-1, k')$  ADSP of  $Z_N$ .

Proof: Let 
$$D' = \{d'_0, d'_1, d'_2, \dots, d'_{k'}\}$$
, then  
 $d - d'_0, d - d'_1, \dots, d - d'_{k'},$ 

are k' different values. When removing an element d in D is moved, it is no trouble to get that the number of k' different non-zero element solution pairs in ADSP is  $\lambda - 1$ .

*Example 4:* (*D*, *D*<sup>'</sup>) is a (69, 35, 24, 24, 12) DSP of Z<sub>69</sub>,

- $D = \{2,4,5,6,8,9,10,11,13,16,17,18,19,21,22,23,26,$ 27,33,35,36,37,38,39,42,43,45,47,52,53,55,60, $64,66,67\},$
- $D' = \{4, 6, 9, 10, 13, 16, 18, 19, 21, 22, 27, 33, 36, 37, 39, 42,$  $43, 45, 52, 55, 60, 64, 66, 67\}.$

The value of *F* is 47, value of *E*1 is -1. According to Theorem 4, by removing a non-common element 2 in *D*, we can get that  $D \setminus \{2\}$  and D' is an (69, 34, 24, 11, 24) ADSP of  $Z_{69}$ , and the value of *F* is 49, value of  $\{E1, E2\}$  is  $\{-3, 1\}$ .

Corollary 4: Make (D, D') be a  $(N, k, k', e, \lambda)$  DSP of  $Z_N$ , and  $d \in D - D'$ , and we can see that  $(D \cup \{d\}, D')$  is an  $(N, k + 1, k', e + 1, \lambda, N - k')$  ADSP of  $Z_N$ .

An ADSP which is constructed by Theorem 4 corresponds to an ideal three-level correlation binary sequence pairs when the value of  $\{E1, E2\}$  is  $\{-3, 1\}, \{-1, 3\}, \{2, -2\}, \{0, -4\}, \{0, 4\}$ . When value of  $\{E1, E2\}$  is  $\{-3, 1\}$  and DSP with parameters  $(N, k - 1, k', e, \lambda - 1, k')$ , by the Lemma 3, we have:

$$\begin{cases} N - 2(k - 1 + k') + 4(\lambda - 1) = 3\\ N - 2(k - 1 + k') + 4\lambda = 1, \end{cases}$$

#### TABLE 2. Energy efficiency of different DSP.

DSP	the value of $E1$ of DSP	Condition	energy efficiency
$(N, \frac{N-1}{2}, \frac{N-1}{2}, 0, \frac{N-1}{4}), (N, \frac{N+1}{2}, \frac{N+1}{2}, 0, \frac{N+1}{4})$	1	\	low
perfect binary sequence pairs	0	$N \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$	low
(tsv + ts, tv + t, sv, v, v)	-2	s = 1, t = 2, v = 1	1
$(N, \frac{N-1}{4}, \frac{N-1}{4}, 0, \frac{N-1}{16})$	2	N = 5	low

#### TABLE 3. The Conclusions of Theorem 4.

DSP	Condition	energy efficiency
$(mp, \frac{mp+1}{2}, \frac{(m+1)(p+1)}{4}, \frac{(m+1)(p+1)}{4}, \frac{(m+1)(p+1)}{8})$	$m \neq p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$	
	$p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$	(m+1)(p+1)+8
	$p = 4s^2 + 27, m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, m \neq p$	2mp
	$p = 4s^2 + 27, m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, m \neq p$	-
$(m(2^t-1), \frac{m(2^t-1)+1}{2}, (m+1)2^{t-2}, (m+1)2^{t-2}, (m+1)2^{t-3})$	$t \ge 1 \ne 2^t - 1$ , $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \ne 2^t - 1$	$- \frac{(m+1)2^{t-1}+4}{m(2^t-1)}$
	$t \ge 1, m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$	
$(mp(p+2), \frac{(mp(p+2)+1}{2}, \frac{(m+1)(p+1)^2}{4}, \frac{(m+1)(p+1)^2}{4}, \frac{(m+1)(p+1)^2}{8})$	$p, p+2, m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \gcd(m, p(p+2)) = 1$	$-\frac{(m+1)(p+1)^2+8}{2mp(p+2)}$
	$p, p+2, m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \gcd(m, p(p+2)) = 1$	2mp(p+2)

then the value of  $\{E1, E2\}$  of DSP with parameters  $(N, k, k', e, \lambda)$  is  $N - 2(k + k') + 4\lambda = -1$ .

Similarly, when value of  $\{E1, E2\}$  is  $\{-1, 3\}$ ,  $\{2, -2\}$ ,  $\{0, -4\}$ ,  $\{0, 4\}$ , value of E1 of DSP with parameters  $(N, k, k', e, \lambda)$  is 1, 0, -2, 2.

DSP with value of E1 = -1 is pseud random sequence pairs, and it can construct an  $(N, k - 1, k', e, \lambda - 1, k')$  ideal three-level correlation binary sequence pairs by Theorem 4. Among them, DSP with high energy efficiency in [10] can also construct ideal three-level correlation binary sequence pairs with high energy efficiency.

When value of E1 is changed, it can be shown in Table 2 that the energy efficiency of ADSP is low, there will be no further analyzation.

From the six difference set pairs in Table 3, we can effortlessly calculate the parameters, the main peak value and the out-of-phase correlation values of the ideal three-level correlation binary sequence pairs through Theorem 4, for which m, p, p + 2, t are primes, and these ideal three-level correlation binary sequence pairs have high energy efficiency.

Theorem 5: Make (D, D') be a  $(N, k, k', e, \lambda)$  DSP of  $Z_N$ , and let  $d \in D \cap D'$ , and we can get that  $(D \setminus \{d\}, D')$  is an  $(N, k - 1, k', e - 1, \lambda - 1, k' - 1)$  ADSP of  $Z_N$ .

*Proof:* According to the definition of the difference set pairs, for any non-zero element  $g \in Z_N$ , the number of solutions satisfying the condition of the equation  $x - y \equiv g \pmod{N}$  is  $\lambda$ . Make  $D = \{d, d_1, \dots, d_k\}, D' = \{d, d'_1, \dots, d'_{k'}\}$ , each element in D' differs from element  $d \in D \cap D'$ , and have:

$$d-d, d-d'_1, \ldots, d-d'_{k'}.$$

We have known that there are k different values, when the element d is removed from D, we can easily get that the number of k - 1 different non-zero element solution pairs in ADSP is  $\lambda - 1$ .

*Example 5:* (*D*, *D*') is a (69, 34, 24, 11, 24) DSP of Z<sub>69</sub>,

$$D = \{2,5,6,7,9,10,12,13,14,16,18,19,20,21,22,23,25,30,31,33,36,37,39,41,48,49,50,54\},\$$

 $D' = \{6, 9, 14, 16, 19, 21, 31, 36, 39, 41, 49, 54\}.$ 

The value of *F* is 23, and value of *E*1 is -1, According to Theorem 5, by removing a common element 6 in *D*, we obtained that  $D \setminus \{6\}$  and *D'* is an (55, 27, 12, 11, 5, 11) ADSP of *Z*<sub>69</sub>, the value of *F* is 21, and value of  $\{E1, E2\}$  is  $\{1, -3\}$ .

*Corollary 5:* Make (D, D') be a  $(N, k, k', e, \lambda)$  DSP of  $Z_N$ , and let  $d \notin D \cap D'$ , and we can get that  $(D \cup \{d\}, D')$  is an  $(N, k + 1, k', e, \lambda, N - k' - 1)$  ADSP of  $Z_N$ .

Theorem 6: Let (D, D') be a  $(N, k, k', e, \lambda)$  DSP of  $Z_N$ , and let  $d \in D \cap D'$ , if 2d cannot be written as the sum of two distinct elements of D and D', and let k + k' < N + 1, then  $(D \setminus \{d\}, D' \setminus \{d\})$  is an  $(N, k-1, k'-1, e-1, \lambda-1, k+k'-2)$ ADSP of  $Z_N$ . *Proof:* According to the definition of DSP, make:

$$D = \{d_0, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k\},\$$
  
$$D' = \{d'_0, d'_1, d'_2, \dots, d'_{k'}\},\$$

take the element  $d \in D$  and each element in D' as the difference, and take the element  $d' \in D'$  and each element in D as the difference to get:

$$d' - d_0, d' - d_1, \dots, d' - d_k,$$
  
 $d - d'_0, d - d'_1, \dots, d - d'_{k'}.$ 

We have known that there are k + k' - 2 different values by 2*d* not equal to the sum of two distinct elements of *D* and *D'*. When removing an element *d* in *D* and removing an element *d'* in *D'* are removed, there are k + k' - 2 pairwise nonzero elements' and the number of nonzero solutions is  $\lambda - 1$ .

*Example 6:* (*D*, *D*′) is a (31, 11, 6, 6, 2) DSP of *Z*<sub>31</sub>,

$$D = \{0, 11, 13, 21, 22, 26\},\$$
  
$$D' = \{0, 7, 11, 13, 14, 19, 21, 22, 25, 26, 28\},\$$

The value of *F* is 21, value of *E*1 is 5. According to Theorem 6, by removing a common element 0 in *D* and *D'*, we obtain  $D \setminus \{0\}$  and  $D' \setminus \{0\}$  is an (31, 10, 5, 5, 1, 15) ADSP of  $Z_{31}$ , the value of *F* is 21, value of  $\{E1, E2\}$  is  $\{5, 9\}$ .

*Corollary 6:* Make (D, D') be a  $(N, k, k', e, \lambda)$  DSP of  $Z_N$ , Make V be a universal set, if:

$$d \in V - D - D',$$
  
$$2d \notin \{d_x + d_y | d_x \in D, d_y \in D'\}$$

and we can get that then  $(D \cup \{d\}, D' \cup \{d\})$  is an  $(N, k + 1, k' + 1, e + 1, \lambda, N - k - k' - 1)$  ADSP of  $Z_N$ .

Theorem 7: Make (D, D') be a  $(N, k, k', e, \lambda)$  DSP of  $Z_N$ , let  $d \in D, d' \in D'$  and  $d \neq d'$ , then

1)  $(D \setminus \{d\}, D' \setminus \{d'\})$  is an  $(N, k - 1, k' - 1, e, \lambda - 1, k + k' - 1)$  ADSP of  $Z_N$ , in which case

$$d, d' \notin D \cap D',$$
  
$$d + d' \notin \{d_x + d_y | d_x \in D \setminus \{d\}, d_y \in D' \setminus \{d'\}\}.$$

2)  $(D \setminus \{d\}, D' \setminus \{d'\})$  is an  $(N, k - 1, k' - 1, e - 1, \lambda - 1, k + k' - 2)$  ADSP of  $Z_N$ , in which case

either 
$$d$$
 or  $d' \in D \cap D'$ ,  
 $d + d' \notin \{d_x + d_y | d_x \in D \setminus \{d\}, d_y \in D' \setminus \{d'\}\}.$ 

3)  $(D \setminus \{d\}, D' \setminus \{d'\})$  is an  $(N, k - 1, k' - 1, e - 2, \lambda - 1, k + k' - 3)$  ADSP of  $Z_N$ , in which case

$$d, d' \in D \cap D',$$
  
$$d + d' \notin \{d_x + d_y | d_x \in D \setminus \{d\}, d_y \in D' \setminus \{d'\}\}.$$

*Proof:* Here is how we prove 1): According to the definition of the difference set pairs, for any non-zero element  $g \in Z_N$ , the number of solutions satisfying the condition of the equation  $x - y \equiv g \pmod{N}$  is  $\lambda$ . Make  $D = \{d, d_1, \ldots, d_{k-1}\}, D' = \{d', d'_1, \ldots, d'_{k'-1}\}$ , element  $d \in D$  different from each element in D', and each element in D differ from  $d' \in D'$ , and we can:

$$d - d', d - d'_1, \dots, d - d'_{k'-1}, d - d', d_1 - d', \dots, d_{k-1} - d'.$$

Because of  $d + d' \notin \{d_x + d_y | d_x \in D \setminus \{d\}, d_y \in D' \setminus \{d'\}\}$ , we know that there are k + k' + 1 different values. When the element *d* is removed from *D* and *d'* is removed from *D'*, we can easily get that the number of k + k' - 1 different non-zero element solution pairs in ADSP is  $\lambda - 1$ .

The reason applies to the proving for 2) and 3) alike.

Example 7:

1) (D, D') is a (17, 6, 3, 2, 1) DSP of  $Z_{17}$ ,

$$D = \{0, 8, 9\},$$
  
$$D' = \{2, 5, 8, 9, 12, 15\}.$$

The value of *F* is 7, value *E*1 is 3. According to Theorem 7(1), by removing a non-common element 0 in *D* and a non-common element 5 in *D'*, we obtained  $D \setminus \{0\}$  and  $D' \setminus \{5\}$  is an (17, 5, 2, 2, 0, 8) ADSP of  $Z_{17}$ , the value of *F* is 11,and value of  $\{E1, E2\}$  is  $\{7, 3\}$ .

2) (D, D') is a (31, 11, 6, 6, 2) DSP of  $Z_{31}$ ,

$$D = \{0, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16\},\$$
  
$$D' = \{0, 1, 2, 4, 8, 11, 13, 16, 21, 22, 26\}.$$

The value of F is 21, value of E1 is 5. According to Theorem 7(2), by removing a non-common element 1 in D and a non-common element 13 in D', we obtained that  $D \setminus \{1\}$  and  $D' \setminus \{13\}$  is an (31, 10, 5, 5, 1, 15) ADSP of  $Z_{31}$ , the value of F is 11,and value of  $\{E1, E2\}$  is  $\{9, 5\}$ .

- 3) (D, D') is a (33, 17, 12, 12, 6) DSP of  $Z_{33}$ ,
  - $D = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 12, 15, 16, 17, 22, 25, 27, 29, 31, 32\},\$
  - $D' = \{0, 1, 3, 4, 9, 12, 15, 16, 22, 25, 27, 31\}.$

The value of *F* is 23, value of *E*1 is -1, According to Theorem 7(3), by removing a non common element 0 in *D* and a common element 22 in *D'*, we obtained  $D \setminus \{0\}$  and  $D' \setminus \{22\}$  is an (33, 16, 11, 10, 5, 26) ADSP of  $Z_{33}$ , the value of *F* is 19, value of  $\{E1, E2\}$  is  $\{3, -1\}$ .

*Corollary 7:* Make (D, D') be a  $(N, k, k', e, \lambda)$  DSP of  $Z_N$ , let *V* be a universal set, then

1)  $(D \cup \{d\}, D' \cup \{d'\})$  is an  $(N, k + 1, k' + 1, e, \lambda, N - k - k' - 2)$  ADSP of  $Z_N$ , in which case

$$d \neq d' \in V - D - D',$$
  
$$d + d' \notin \{d_x + d_y | d_x \in D, d_y \in D'\}.$$

2)  $(D \cup \{d\}, D' \cup \{d'\})$  is an  $(N, k+1, k'+1, e+2, \lambda, N-k-k')$  ADSP of  $Z_N$ , in which case

$$d \in D - D',$$
  

$$d' \in V - D - D',$$
  

$$d + d' \notin \{d_x + d_y | d_x \in D, d_y \in D'\}.$$

3)  $(D \cup \{d\}, D' \cup \{d'\})$  is an  $(N, k + 1, k' + 1, e, \lambda, N - k - k' - 2)$  ADSP of  $Z_N$ , in which case

$$d \in D - D',$$
  

$$d' \in D' - D,$$
  

$$d + d' \notin \{d_x + d_y | d_x \in D \setminus \{d\}, d_y \in D' \setminus \{d'\}\}.$$

Theorem 8: Let (D, D') be an  $(N, k, k', e, \lambda)$  DSP of  $Z_N$ , and let  $d, d' \in D, d \neq d', d - d' \notin \{d_x - d_y | d_x, d_y \in D \setminus \{d, d'\}\}$ , then 1)  $(D \setminus \{d, d'\}, D')$  is an  $(N, k-2, k', e-2, \lambda-1, 2(k'-1))$ ADSP of  $Z_N$ , in which case

$$d, d' \in D \cap D'.$$

2)  $(D \setminus \{d, d'\}, D')$  is an  $(N, k-2, k', e, \lambda - 1, 2k')$  ADSP of  $Z_N$ , in which case

$$d, d' \notin D \cap D'$$

3)  $(D \setminus \{d, d'\}, D')$  is an  $(N, k-2, k', e-1, \lambda-1, 2k'-1)$ ADSP of  $Z_N$ , in which case

either d or 
$$d' \in D \cap D'$$
,

*Proof:* Here is how 1)is proved. According to the definition of the difference set pairs, for any non-zero element  $g \in Z_N$ , the number of solutions satisfying the condition of equation  $x - y \equiv g \pmod{N}$  is  $\lambda$ . Make  $D = \{d, d', d_2, \ldots, d_{k-1}\}, D' = \{d'_0, d'_1, \ldots, d'_{k'-1}\}$ , Make elements  $d, d' \in D \cap D'$  different from each element in D', then we get:

$$d - d'_0, d - d'_1, \dots, d - d'_{k'-1}, d' - d'_0, d' - d'_1, \dots, d' - d'_{k'-1}.$$

Because of  $d - d' \notin \{d_x - d_y | d_x, d_y \in D \setminus \{d, d'\}\}$ , we know that there are 2(k' - 1) different values. When the elements d, d' are removed from D, we can easily get that the number of 2(k'-1) different non-zero element solution pairs in ADSP is  $\lambda - 1$ . The number of the same elements in D and D' is e - 2.

The reason applies to the proving for 2) and 3) alike. *Example 8:* 

1) (D, D') is a (31, 11, 6, 6, 2) DSP of  $Z_{31}$ ,

 $D = \{0, 3, 6, 12, 17, 24\},$  $D' = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 16, 17, 24\}.$ 

The value of *F* is 21, and value of  $\{E1, E2\}$  is 5. According to Theorem 8(1), by removing two common elements 0 and 24 in the set *D'*, we obtained *D* and *D'* \  $\{0, 24\}$  is an (31, 9, 6, 4, 1, 10) ADSP of *Z*<sub>31</sub>, the value of *F* is 17, value of  $\{E1, E2\}$  is  $\{9, 5\}$ .

- 2) (D, D') is a (65, 33, 12, 12, 6) DSP of  $Z_{65}$ ,
  - $D = \{0,3,6,10,11,12,13,17,19,21,22,23,24,25,27,$ 30,31,34,35,38,40,41,42,43,44,46,48,52,53, $54,55,59,62\},$
  - $D' = \{3, 12, 17, 2, 23, 27, 38, 42, 43, 48, 53, 62\}.$

The value of *F* is 23, value of *E*1 is -1.According to Theorem 8(2), by removing two non-common elements 13 and 21 in the set *D*, we obtain  $D \setminus \{13, 21\}$  and *D'* is an (65, 31, 12, 12, 5, 24) ADSP of *Z*<sub>65</sub>, the value of *F* is 27, value of  $\{E1, E2\}$  is  $\{3, -1\}$ .

3) (D, D') is a (35, 18, 8, 8, 4) DSP of  $Z_{35}$ ,

$$D = \{0, 1, 3, 4, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 21, 25, 27, 29, 30, 33\},$$
  
$$D' = \{1, 4, 9, 11, 14, 16, 21, 29\}.$$

The value of *F* is 15, value of *E*1 is -1. According to Theorem 8(3), by removing a non common element 30 and a common element 21 in the set *D*, we obtain  $D \setminus \{21, 30\}$  and *D'* is an (35, 16, 8, 7, 3, 15) ADSP of  $Z_{35}$ , the value of *F* is 15, value of  $\{E1, E2\}$  is  $\{3, -1\}$ .

*Corollary 8:* Make (D, D') be a  $(N, k, k', e, \lambda)$  DSP of  $Z_N$ , let V be a universal set, then

1)  $(D \cup \{d, d'\}, D')$  is an  $(N, k + 2, k', e + 1, \lambda, N - 2k')$ ADSP of  $Z_N$ , in which case

$$d \in D - D',$$
  

$$d' \in V - D - D',$$
  

$$d - d' \notin \{d_x - d_y | d_x, d_y \in D'\}.$$

2)  $(D \cup \{d, d'\}, D')$  is an  $(N, k+2, k', e+2, \lambda, N-2k+1')$ ADSP of  $Z_N$ , in which case

$$d \neq d' \in D - D',$$
  
$$d - d' \notin \{d_x - d_y | d_x, d_y \in D' \setminus \{d, d'\}\}.$$

3)  $D \setminus \{d, d'\}, D'$  is an  $(N, k + 2, k', e, \lambda, N - 2k' - 1)$ ADSP of  $Z_N$ , in which case

$$d \neq d' \in V - D - D',$$
  
$$d - d' \notin \{d_x - d_y | d_x, d_y \in D'\}.$$

Theorem 5, 6, 7, 8 can be analysed similarly as Theorem 4, and the conclusions are similarly as Theorem 4. Therefore, we will not repeat.

## **V. CONCLUSION**

In this paper, for the purpose of new almost difference set pairs in a large number, we proposed eight theorems by adding or deleting elements from difference sets and difference set pairs. The relevancy between the selected difference sets as well as difference set pairs and the balance, correlation and energy efficiency of almost difference set pairs can be explicitly observed. Specifically, if the characteristics of the selected difference set and difference set pairs are great, the characteristics of the almost difference set pairs will be correspondly great.

Through computer search, only a few special examples were found among examples of constructing almost difference set pairs by difference set pairs in this paper. Our method is distinct from the construction of almost difference set pairs by difference sets with some general parametric forms. This phenomenon, we supposed, is partly because that the study of difference sets has been studied in more extensive and in-depth manner, while difference set pairs has not been yet at present. Therefore, the study on the latter remains a problem.

Currently, there are quite numerous kinds of difference sets and difference set pairs with good characteristics have been obtained. The construction methods of the computer-based exhaustively search and composite-based construction are the two construction methods lacks efficiency, thereby failing to meet the demand for sequence pairs in a large number. At present, almost difference set pairs are based on the construction method of cyclotomy, but the correlation and balance of sequence pairs obtained are not ideal. The methods currently used in this paper are efficient, with the sequence pairs obtained therefrom being well-correlated. The research in this paper makes the relation between difference sets (pairs) and almost difference set pairs established, and numerous kinds of new almost difference set pairs with good characteristics obtained. Therefore, it greatly contributes to the progress of the further research of discrete signals based on pairs.



**WEI ZHAO** was born in Qiqihar, Heilongjiang, China. He received the B.E. degree in information management and information systems from Qiqihar University. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree in computer science and technology with Yanshan University. His research interests include difference set pairs, binary sequence pair, and code.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to sincerely appreciate K. T. Arasu, who provided ideas in [13] that helped us for the completion of this article.

#### REFERENCES

- X. Peng and C. Xu, "The constructions of almost binary sequence pairs with three-level correlation based on cyclotomy," *IEICE Trans. Fundam. Electron.*, vol. E94.A, no. 9, pp. 1886–1891, 2012.
- [2] L. Zheng, L. Lin, and S. Zhang, "Construction of almost difference set pairs based on cyclotomy," J. Math., vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 116–122, 2014.
- [3] Y. Mu, J. Wang, and Y. Jia, "Some new constructions of the almost difference set pairs based on whiteman generalized cyclotomic," *ICIC Express Lett.*, vol. 11, no. 7, pp. 1245–1251, 2017.
- [4] X. Peng, J. Ren, C. Xu, and K. Liu, "New families of binary sequence pairs with three-level correlation and odd composite length," *IEICE Trans. Fundam. Electron., Commun. Comput. Sci.*, vol. E99.A, no. 4, pp. 874–879, 2016.
- [5] X. Shen, Y. Jia, and X. Song, "Constructions of binary sequence pairs of period 3*p* with optimal three-level correlation," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 21, no. 10, pp. 2150–2153, Oct. 2017.
- [6] X. Liu, J. Wang, and D. Wu, "Two new classes of binary sequence pairs with three-level cross-correlation," *Adv. Math. Commun.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 117–128, 2015.
- [7] X. Peng, H. Lin, J. Ren, and X. Chen, "Constructions for almost perfect binary sequence pairs with even length," *J. Syst. Eng. Electron.*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 256–261, Apr. 2018.
- [8] S.-Y. Jin and H.-Y. Song, "Binary sequence pairs with two-level correlation and cyclic difference pairs," *IEICE Trans. Fundam. Electron., Commun. Comput. Sci.*, vol. E93-A, no. 11, pp. 2266–2271, 2010.
- [9] Y. Jia, X. Shen, and L. Zhang, "The search of difference set pairs with high energy efficiency," *Acta Electronica Sinica*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 304–307, 2018.
- [10] X. Peng, C. Xu, and K. T. Arasu, "New families of binary sequence pairs with two-level and three-level correlation," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 58, no. 11, pp. 6968–6978, Nov. 2012.
- [11] C. Xu, "Difference set pairs and approach for the study of perfect binary array pairs," Acta Electronica Sinica, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 87–89, 2001.
- [12] H. B. Mann, "Balanced incomplete block designs and Abelian difference sets," *Illinois J. Math.*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 252–261, Jun. 1964.
- [13] K. T. Arasu and D. Cunsheng, "Almost difference sets and their sequences with optimal autocorrelatio," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory.*, vol. 47, no. 7, pp. 2934–2943, Nov. 2001.



**YUE WU** was born in Lanzhou, Gansu, China, in 1994. She received the B.E. degree from Yanshan University, in 2017, and the M.E. degree in information science and engineering, in 2020. Her research interests include almost difference set pairs, binary sequence pairs, and code.



**YAYA ZHANG** was born in Shijiazhuang, Hebei, China, in 1994. She received the B.E. degree, in 2017, and the M.E. degree in information science and engineering from Yanshan University, in 2020. Her research interests include almost difference set pairs, binary sequence pair, and code.



**YANGUO JIA** was born in Luanxian, Hebei, China, in 1971. He received the master's and Ph.D. degrees from Yanshan University, in 1999 and 2006, respectively. He is currently a Professor in information science and engineering with Yanshan University. His research interests include channel coding theory, cryptography, spread spectrum sequence design, and software engineering.

...