

# A New Computational Approach to Estimate the Subdivision Depth of $n$ -Ary Subdivision Scheme

GHULAM MUSTAFA<sup>1</sup>, AAMIR SHAHZAD<sup>2</sup>, FAHEEM KHAN<sup>2</sup>,  
DUMITRU BALEANU<sup>3,4,5</sup>, AND YUMING CHU<sup>6,7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Bahawalpur 63100, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Sargodha, Sargodha 40100, Pakistan

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, Cankaya University, 06530 Ankara, Turkey

<sup>4</sup>Institute of Space Sciences, 077125 Magurele-Bucharest, Romania

<sup>5</sup>Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan

<sup>6</sup>Department of Mathematics, Huzhou University, Huzhou 313000, China

<sup>7</sup>Hunan Provincial Key Laboratory of Mathematical Modeling and Analysis in Engineering, Changsha University of Science and Technology, Changsha 410114, China

Corresponding author: Yuming Chu (chuyuming2005@126.com)

This work was supported in part by the University of Sargodha. The work of Yuming Chu was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 61673169 and Grant 1971142.

**ABSTRACT** The  $n$ -ary subdivision scheme has traditionally been designed to generate smooth curve and surface from control polygon. In this paper, we propose a new subdivision depth computation technique for  $n$ -ary subdivision scheme. The existing techniques do not ensure the computation of subdivision depth unless some strong condition is assumed on the mask of the scheme. But our technique relaxes the effect of strong condition assumed on the mask of the scheme by increasing the number of convolution steps. Consequently, a more precise subdivision depth technique for a given error tolerance is presented in this paper.

**INDEX TERMS** Curves and surfaces,  $n$ -ary subdivision schemes, convolution, error, distance, subdivision depth.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The  $n$ -ary subdivision scheme (nASS) is defined as the set of  $n$ -rules with respect to a sequence of control polygons. The nASS takes a polygon as an input and produces a refined polygon by applying  $n$ -rules on each edge of a coarse polygon. The repeated applications of these  $n$ -rules on the polygons produce a sequence of refined polygons. The sequence of refined polygons converges to a smooth shape. Let  $X^0, X^1, \dots, X^k, \dots$  be a sequence of polygons and  $X^\infty$  be a limiting shape then the distance (also called error) between polygon and limiting shape approaches to zero as  $k$  approaches to  $\infty$ . In literature, there are different types of nASS but few of them are listed in [1]–[9]. Most of the researchers have discussed the some of well known properties of nASS such as smoothness/continuity, Hölder regularity, approximation order and support of the scheme. But few work has been done on error and subdivision depths of nASS. Let the designer has error tolerance  $\epsilon$  and the polygon is divided  $k$ -times. If the distance between refined polygon at  $k$ th level

The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and approving it for publication was Ailong Wu.

**TABLE 1.** The convolution constants of 6-point binary scheme for curve.

$T_1$	$T_2$	$T_3$	$T_4$
0.742000	0.442177	0.285892	0.183215

and the limiting shape is less than  $\epsilon$  then  $k$  is called the subdivision depth of the limiting shape with respect to  $\epsilon$ . In other words, subdivision depth tells us the number of subdivision steps needed to meet the designer error tolerance.

A first attempt to find the distance between polygon and the limiting shape is done in [10] for binary subdivision schemes. Its generalization for ternary and quaternary schemes was done in [11], [12]. Its further generalization for  $n$ -ary scheme was done in [13]. In this reference, the technique to compute the subdivision depth has also been introduced. The distance of a subdivision surface to its control polyhedron has been computed in [14]. Generally, the above techniques do not ensure the computations of subdivision depth unless some strong condition is assumed on the mask of the schemes. The condition for curve case is  $\delta_1 < 1$  while for surface case is  $\delta_2 < 1$ , where  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  are defined in ([13], Equations (5) and (6)).

**TABLE 2. Subdivision depths of 6-point binary scheme for curve.**

$T_{c_0} / \epsilon$	0.0266	0.0031	0.0003	$4.35e-5$	$5.12e-6$	$6.02e-7$	$7.09e-8$
$T_1$	11	18	26	33	40	47	54
$T_2$	3	6	8	11	14	16	19
$T_3$	2	4	5	7	9	10	12
$T_4$	1	3	4	5	6	8	9

**TABLE 3. The convolution constants of 6-point binary scheme for surface.**

$Y_1 Z_1$	$Y_2 Z_2$	$Y_3 Z_3$	$Y_4 Z_4$
0.897800	0.452181	0.232054	0.117641

The generalizations of the work of [10], [11] is done by [15]–[17] by using the convolution technique.

The error bounds of Doo-Sabin surfaces have been computed by Huawei *et al.* [18] in 2002. The different versions of the error bounds and subdivision depths of Catmull-Clark surfaces have been presented by [19]–[21]. The error bounds and subdivision depths of Loop subdivision surfaces have been computed by [22], [23]. But all these techniques have not been extended for the computation of error bounds and subdivision depths for  $n$ -ary,  $n > 2$  schemes yet.

In this paper, we attempt to find the generalized version of the work done in [13] by using the convolution technique. Our technique can relax the effect of strong condition assumed on the mask of the schemes by increasing the number of convolution steps. Using the proposed technique, very less number of iterations (subdivision depths) are required to reach the user given error tolerance. So, this method reduces the burden of computational cost.

Rest of the paper is arranged as: In Section 2, we find the subdivision depth of the  $n$ -ary schemes for the generation of curves. Section 3 is devoted for the generalization of the work presented in Section 2 for surface case. The applications of our computational technique are given in Section 4. Section 5 is for conclusion.

## II. SUBDIVISION DEPTH OF $n$ -ARY SCHEME FOR CURVE

As is usually the case in subdivision depth papers, we will first describe our subdivision depth technique in a curve setting and then generalize it for a surface.

### A. PRELIMINARY RESULTS FOR CURVE

Let  $X^k = \{x_i^k; i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  be a control polygon with points in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , where  $N \geq 2$  and  $k$  be an integer (non-negative), which indicates the number of iterations (subdivision level). A generalized  $n$ -ary subdivision scheme for curve is

**TABLE 4. Subdivision depths of 6-point binary scheme for surface.**

$Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0} / \epsilon$	0.0313	0.0018	0.0001	$6.44e-6$	$3.80e-7$	$2.24e-8$
$Y_1 Z_1$	47	73	99	126	152	178
$Y_2 Z_2$	4	8	11	15	18	22
$Y_3 Z_3$	2	4	6	8	10	12
$Y_4 Z_4$	1	3	4	5	7	8

described as

$$x_{ni+\alpha}^{k+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} a_{\alpha,j} x_{i+j}^k, \quad \alpha = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \quad (1)$$

with

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} a_{\alpha,j} = 1, \quad \alpha = 0, 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (2)$$

By [13], we have

$$\begin{cases} b_{\beta,j} = \sum_{h=0}^j (a_{\beta,h} - a_{\beta+1,h}), & \beta = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, \\ b_{n-1,j} = a_{0,j} - \sum_{\beta=0}^{n-2} b_{\beta,j}, \end{cases}$$

such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} |b_{\beta,j}| < 1, \quad \beta = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} |b_{n-1,j}| < 1.$$

We introduce the coefficients for  $u = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ , such that

$$t_{nu+\gamma} = b_{\gamma,u}, \quad \text{where } \gamma = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \quad (3)$$

In the field of science, mathematics and engineering the convolution product has been used. It is a process that can be used for various branches of signal processing, edge detection and data smoothing. Here in the following section, we define some important results regarding the convolution of  $n$ -ary subdivision scheme for curve.

### B. ONE DIMENSIONAL CONVOLUTION REFORMULATION

Let  $(x_l)_{l \geq 0}$  be a limited length vector and  $(t_l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}} = (t_l)_{l=0}^{nN-1}$ , with  $t_l = 0$  if  $l \geq nN$ . The one time convolution product of  $x = (x_l)$  and  $t = (t_l)$  of  $n$ -ary subdivision scheme for curve is given by

$$(x^{(0)} \star t)_f = \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor f/n \rfloor} x_l t_{f-nl}, \quad (4)$$

TABLE 5. The convolution constants of 4-point ternary scheme for curve.

$T_1$	$T_2$	$T_3$	$T_4$
0.441358	0.176393	0.067478	0.027136

where  $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$  denotes the integer part. Similarly, we get the reformulation for  $c_0$ th convolutions

$$(((\dots((x^{(0)} \star t)^{(0)}) \star t)^{(0)} \star \dots \star t)^{(0)} \star t)_f = \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor f/n^{c_0} \rfloor} x_l E_{l,f}^{c_0}, \tag{5}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} E_{l,f}^1 = t_{f-nl}, \\ E_{l,f}^{c_0} = \sum_{e=nl}^{\lfloor f/n^{c_0-1} \rfloor} E_{l,e}^1 E_{e,f}^{c_0-1}, \end{cases} \quad c_0 \geq 2. \tag{6}$$

By (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(((\dots((x^{(0)} \star t)^{(0)}) \star t)^{(0)} \star \dots \star t)^{(0)} \star t)\|_\infty \\ \leq \|x\|_\infty \sup_f \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor f/n^{c_0} \rfloor} |E_{l,f}^{c_0}| \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Lemma 1: The term  $E_{l,f}^{c_0}$  given in the right hand side of inequality (7) has the following relation for  $n$ -ary subdivision scheme

$$E_{l,f}^{c_0} = E_{l+1,f+n^{c_0}}^{c_0}. \tag{8}$$

Proof: Here, we begin the induction process over  $c_0$ .

- Case  $c_0 = 1$

$$E_{l,f}^1 = t_{f-nl} = t_{f+n-n(l+1)} = E_{l+1,f+n}^1, \tag{9}$$

similarly

$$E_{l+1,f}^1 = t_{f-n(l+1)} = E_{l,f-n}^1. \tag{10}$$

We suppose that for an integer  $M$ , it is true for  $c_0 = M$ , so

$$E_{l,f}^M = E_{l+1,f+n^M}^M. \tag{11}$$

Now, we will prove for

- Case  $c_0 = M + 1$

Consider

$$E_{l,f}^{M+1} = \sum_{d=nl}^{\lfloor f/n^M \rfloor} E_{l,d}^1 E_{d,f}^M.$$

TABLE 6. Subdivision depths of 4-point ternary scheme for curve.

$T_{c_0} / \epsilon$	$1.26e-3$	$1.35e-5$	$1.45e-7$	$1.56e-9$	$1.67e-11$	$1.79e-13$	$1.92e-15$
$T_1$	6	12	17	23	28	34	40
$T_2$	3	5	8	11	13	16	18
$T_3$	2	3	5	7	8	10	12
$T_4$	1	3	4	5	6	8	9

By using (11), we acquire

$$E_{l,f}^{M+1} = \sum_{d=nl}^{\lfloor f/n^M \rfloor} E_{l,d}^1 E_{d+1,f+n^M}^M.$$

Now, replace  $d$  by  $d - n$ , then

$$E_{l,f}^{M+1} = \sum_{d=n(l+1)}^{\lfloor f/n^M + n \rfloor} E_{l,d-n}^1 E_{d-(n-1),f+n^M}^M.$$

Using (10) and (11), we have

$$E_{l,f}^{M+1} = E_{l+1,f+n^{M+1}}^{M+1}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Similarly, in the following lemma, we can deduce another relation for the same term  $E_{l,f}^{c_0}$ .

Lemma 2: The term  $E_{l,f}^{c_0}$  has the following relation for  $n$ -ary subdivision scheme

$$E_{l,f}^{c_0} = E_{l-1,f-n^{c_0}}^{c_0}. \tag{12}$$

Proof: Here, we start the induction process over  $c_0$ .

- Case  $c_0 = 1$

$$E_{l,f}^1 = t_{f-nl} = t_{f-n-n(l-1)} = E_{l-1,f-n}^1, \tag{13}$$

similarly

$$E_{l-1,f}^1 = t_{f-n(l-1)} = E_{l,f+n}^1. \tag{14}$$

We suppose that it is true for  $c_0 = M$ , that is

$$E_{l,f}^M = E_{l-1,f-n^M}^M. \tag{15}$$

Now, we will prove for

- Case  $c_0 = M + 1$

Consider

$$E_{l,f}^{M+1} = \sum_{d=nl}^{\lfloor f/n^M \rfloor} E_{l,d}^1 E_{d,f}^M.$$

By using (15), we acquire

$$E_{l,f}^{M+1} = \sum_{d=nl}^{\lfloor f/n^M \rfloor} E_{l,d}^1 E_{d-1,f-n^M}^M.$$

Now, replace  $d$  by  $d + n$ , then

$$E_{l,f}^{M+1} = \sum_{d=n(l-1)}^{\lfloor f/n^M - n \rfloor} E_{l,d+n}^1 E_{d+(n-1),f-n^M}^M.$$

Using (14) and (15), we have

$$E_{l,f}^{M+1} = E_{l-1,f-n^{M+1}}^{M+1}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**TABLE 7. The convolution constants of 4-point ternary scheme for surface.**

$Y_1 Z_1$	$Y_2 Z_2$	$Y_3 Z_3$	$Y_4 Z_4$
0.505401	0.233098	0.096089	0.040774

Corollary 3: The term  $\sup_f \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor f/n^{c_0} \rfloor} |E_{l,f}^{c_0}| \right\}$  presented in the right hand side of the inequality (7) has the following alternate form

$$T_{c_0} = \sup_f \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor f/n^{c_0} \rfloor} |E_{l,f}^{c_0}| \right\} = \sup_{f \in \Sigma(c_0, N)} \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor f/n^{c_0} \rfloor} |E_{l,f}^{c_0}| \right\}. \quad (16)$$

Proof: Assume that  $t = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{nN-1}\}$ , with  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\Omega(c_0, N) = (n^{c_0} - (n - 1))(nN - 1)$ . Then for  $f > \Omega(c_0, N)$  and by using (6), we acquire

$$E_{0,f}^{c_0} = 0. \quad (17)$$

If  $f > \Omega(c_0, N) + wn^{c_0}$  then by Lemma 1, we get

$$E_{w,f}^{c_0} = 0. \quad (18)$$

Now by using (17) and (18), we get (16).  $\square$

**C. SUBDIVISION DEPTH OF THE SCHEME FOR CURVE**

Now firstly, we present some results for computing the distance between two consecutive polygons. Secondly, we compute the distance between  $k$ th level polygon and limiting curve. Then we describe an important theorem regarding the subdivision depth.

Theorem 4: Let  $X^k$  and  $X^{k+1}$  be two consecutive polygons obtained from the subdivision scheme (1) then the distance between these polygons is

$$\|X^{k+1} - X^k\|_\infty \leq \sigma \tau (T_{c_0})^k, \quad (19)$$

where  $T_{c_0}, c_0 \geq 1$  defined in (16),  $\tau = \max_i \|\Delta x_i^0\|$ , and

$$\sigma = \max \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=0}^{N-2} \tilde{a}_{\alpha,j} \right|, \alpha = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1 \right\},$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{a}_{\alpha,0} = \sum_{h=1}^{N-1} a_{\alpha,h} - \frac{\alpha}{n}, \\ \tilde{a}_{\alpha,j} = \sum_{h=j+1}^{N-1} a_{\alpha,h}, \quad j \geq 1, \quad \alpha = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Similar to the proof given in [13].  $\square$

Theorem 5: Let  $X^k$  and  $X^\infty$  be  $k$ th level polygon and limiting curve respectively obtained from the subdivision scheme (1) then the distance between them is

$$\|X^\infty - X^k\|_\infty \leq \sigma \tau \left( \frac{T_{c_0}}{1 - T_{c_0}} \right)^k, \quad (20)$$

where  $c_0 \geq 1$ , such that  $T_{c_0} < 1$ .

**TABLE 8. Subdivision depths of 4-point ternary scheme for surface.**

$Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0} / \epsilon$	6.59e-3	1.11e-4	1.18e-6	3.21e-8	5.45e-10	9.24e-12	1.56e-13
$Y_1 Z_1$	7	13	19	25	31	37	43
$Y_2 Z_2$	3	6	9	11	14	17	20
$Y_3 Z_3$	2	4	5	7	9	10	12
$Y_4 Z_4$	1	3	4	5	6	8	9

Proof: Similar to the proof given in [13].  $\square$

Theorem 6: Let  $k$  be the subdivision depth and  $\theta^k$  be the distance between  $X^k$  and  $X^\infty$ . For arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$ , if

$$k \geq \log_{T_{c_0}} \left( \frac{\epsilon(1 - T_{c_0})}{\sigma \tau} \right), \quad (21)$$

then  $\theta^k \leq \epsilon$ .

Proof: Since by (20)

$$\theta^k = \|X^\infty - X^k\|_\infty \leq \sigma \tau \left( \frac{T_{c_0}}{1 - T_{c_0}} \right)^k,$$

therefore to attain given error tolerance  $\epsilon > 0$ , consider

$$\sigma \tau \left( \frac{T_{c_0}}{1 - T_{c_0}} \right)^k \leq \epsilon,$$

which implies

$$\frac{\sigma \tau}{\epsilon(1 - T_{c_0})} \leq (T_{c_0}^{-1})^k.$$

Now taking logarithm, we have

$$\begin{aligned} k &\geq \frac{\log \left( \frac{\sigma \tau}{\epsilon(1 - T_{c_0})} \right)}{\log T_{c_0}^{-1}} = \frac{\log \left( \frac{\sigma \tau}{\epsilon(1 - T_{c_0})} \right)}{-\log T_{c_0}} \\ &= -\log_{T_{c_0}} \left( \frac{\sigma \tau}{\epsilon(1 - T_{c_0})} \right) = \log_{T_{c_0}} \left( \frac{\sigma \tau}{\epsilon(1 - T_{c_0})} \right)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$k \geq \log_{T_{c_0}} \left( \frac{\epsilon(1 - T_{c_0})}{\sigma \tau} \right),$$

then  $\theta^k \leq \epsilon$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**III. SUBDIVISION DEPTH OF  $n$ -ARY SCHEME FOR SURFACE**

The surface case is the generalization of the curve case: We perform two dimensional convolution followed by the computation of distance between polygons to compute the subdivision depth.

**A. PRELIMINARY RESULTS FOR SURFACE**

Let  $X^k = \{x_{i,j}^k; i, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  be a polygon at  $k$ th level with points in  $R^N$ , where  $N \geq 2$ . A tensor product of  $n$ -ary subdivision scheme (1) is described as

$$x_{ni+\alpha, nj+\beta}^{k+1} = \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} a_{\alpha,r} a_{\beta,s} x_{i+r, j+s}^k, \quad \alpha, \beta = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1, \quad (22)$$

where  $a_{\alpha,r}$  and  $a_{\beta,s}$  satisfies (2).

**TABLE 9.** The convolution constants of 4-point quaternary scheme for curve.

$T_1$	$T_2$	$T_3$	$T_4$
0.250000	0.062500	0.015625	0.003906

We introduce the coefficients for  $u, v = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$  such that

$$\begin{cases} y_{nu+\gamma} = a_{\gamma, N-u-1}, \\ z_{mv+\gamma} = b_{\gamma, N-v-1} \quad \gamma = 0, 1, \dots, n-1. \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

**B. TWO DIMENSIONAL CONVOLUTION REFORMULATION**

Let a vector  $x = x_{l,m}$  has limited length and  $(y_l) = (y_l)_{l=0}^{nN-1}$ ,  $(z_m) = (z_m)_{m=0}^{nN-1}$  with  $y_l = z_m = 0$  if  $l, m \geq nN$ . The convolution product of  $x = (x_{l,m})$ ,  $y = (y_l)$  and  $z = (z_m)$  for  $n$ -ary tensor product subdivision scheme for surface is given by

$$x_{f,g}^{c_0} = (x^{c_0-1;0} \star yz)_{f,g} = \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor f/n \rfloor} \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor g/n \rfloor} x_{l,m}^{c_0-1} y_{f-nlz} z_{g-nm}. \quad (24)$$

Similarly, we acquire the reformulation for  $c_0$ -th convolutions

$$\begin{aligned} x_{f,g}^{c_0} &= (\dots((x^{c_0-1;0} \star yz) \star yz) \star \dots \star yz)_{f,g} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor f/n^{c_0} \rfloor} \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor g/n^{c_0} \rfloor} x_{l,m}^0 E_{l,f}^{c_0,y} E_{m,g}^{c_0,z}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

with

$$\begin{cases} E_{l,f}^{c_0,y} = \sum_{p=nl}^{\lfloor f/n^{c_0-1} \rfloor} E_{l,p}^{c_0-1,y} E_{p,f}^{c_0-1,y}, \\ E_{m,g}^{c_0,z} = \sum_{q=nm}^{\lfloor g/n^{c_0-1} \rfloor} E_{m,q}^{c_0-1,z} E_{q,g}^{c_0-1,z}. \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

From (25), we have

$$\max_{f,g} |x_{f,g}^{c_0}| \leq \max_{f,g} \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor f/n^{c_0} \rfloor} \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor g/n^{c_0} \rfloor} |E_{l,f}^{c_0,y}| |E_{m,g}^{c_0,z}| \max_{l,m} |x_{l,m}^0| \quad (27)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{f,g} \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor f/n^{c_0} \rfloor} \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor g/n^{c_0} \rfloor} |E_{l,f}^{c_0,y}| |E_{m,g}^{c_0,z}| \right\} \\ = \max_{f,g \in \Sigma(c_0, N)} \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor f/n^{c_0} \rfloor} \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor g/n^{c_0} \rfloor} |E_{l,f}^{c_0,y}| |E_{m,g}^{c_0,z}| \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

**TABLE 10.** Subdivision depths of 4-point quaternary scheme for curve.

$T_{c_0} / \epsilon$	4.41e-4	1.72e-6	6.73e-9	2.63e-11	1.03e-13	4.01e-16	1.57e-18
$T_1$	4	8	12	16	20	24	28
$T_2$	2	4	6	8	10	12	14
$T_3$	1	3	4	5	7	8	9
$T_4$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

Also

$$Y_{c_0} = \max_{f \in \Sigma(c_0, N)} \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor f/n^{c_0} \rfloor} |E_{l,f}^{c_0,y}| \right\} \quad (29)$$

and

$$Z_{c_0} = \max_{g \in \Sigma(c_0, N)} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor g/n^{c_0} \rfloor} |E_{m,g}^{c_0,z}| \right\}. \quad (30)$$

**C. SUBDIVISION DEPTH OF THE SCHEME FOR SURFACE**

In this section, we first estimate the distance between two successive polygon  $X^k$  and  $X^{k+1}$  obtained from (22) then we estimate the distance between polygon  $X^k$  and the limiting surface  $X^\infty$ . After that, we present the subdivision Depth of the scheme for surface.

*Theorem 7:* Let  $X^k$  and  $X^{k+1}$  be two consecutive polygons obtained from the subdivision scheme (22) then the distance between these polygons is

$$\|X^{k+1} - X^k\|_\infty \leq (Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0})^k \sum_{h=1}^3 (\xi_h)(\eta_{\alpha,\beta}^h), \quad (31)$$

where  $Y_{c_0}$  and  $Z_{c_0}$  for  $c_0 \geq 1$  are defined in (29) – (30) and  $\eta_{\alpha,\beta}^h, \xi_h, \alpha, \beta = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{\alpha,\beta}^1 &= \left| a_{\beta,0} \sum_{h=1}^{N-1} a_{\alpha,h} - \frac{\alpha(n-\beta)}{n^2} \right| + \left| a_{\beta,0} \sum_{s=1}^{N-2} \tilde{a}_{\alpha,s} \right|, \\ \eta_{\alpha,\beta}^2 &= \left| \sum_{h=1}^{N-1} a_{\beta,h} - \frac{\beta}{n} \right| + \left| \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} a_{\alpha,r} \sum_{s=1}^{N-2} \tilde{a}_{\beta,s} \right|, \\ \eta_{\alpha,\beta}^3 &= \left| \sum_{h=1}^{N-1} a_{\alpha,h} \sum_{h=1}^{N-1} a_{\beta,h} - \frac{\alpha\beta}{n^2} \right| + \left| \sum_{h=1}^{N-1} a_{\beta,h} \sum_{s=1}^{N-2} \tilde{a}_{\alpha,s} \right|, \\ \Delta_{i,j,1}^k &= x_{i+1,j}^k - x_{i,j}^k, \quad \Delta_{i,j,2}^k = x_{i,j+1}^k - x_{i,j}^k, \\ \Delta_{i,j,3}^k &= x_{i+1,j+1}^k - x_{i,j+1}^k, \\ \xi_h &= \max_{i,j} \|\Delta_{i,j,h}^0\|, \quad h = 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof:* Similar to the proof given in [13]. □

*Theorem 8:* Let  $X^k$  and  $X^\infty$  be  $k$ th level polygon and limiting surface respectively obtained from the subdivision scheme (22) then the distance between them is

$$\|X^\infty - X^k\|_\infty \leq v \left( \frac{(Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0})^k}{1 - Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0}} \right), \quad (32)$$

where  $c_0 \geq 1$ , such that  $Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0} < 1$  and  $v$  is defined as

$$v = \max_{\alpha,\beta} \left\{ \sum_{h=1}^3 (\xi_h)(\eta_{\alpha,\beta}^h), \alpha, \beta = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \right\}.$$

*Proof:* Similar to the proof given in [13]. □

**TABLE 11.** The convolution constants of 4-point quaternary scheme for surface.

$Y_1 Z_1$	$Y_2 Z_2$	$Y_3 Z_3$	$Y_4 Z_4$
0.264140	0.065694	0.016672	0.004209

*Theorem 9:* Let  $k$  be the subdivision depth and  $\vartheta^k$  be the distance between  $X^k$  and  $X^\infty$ . For arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$ , if

$$k \geq \log_{(Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0})} \left( \frac{\epsilon(1 - Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0})}{\nu} \right), \tag{33}$$

then  $\vartheta^k \leq \epsilon$ .

*Proof:* Since by (32)

$$\vartheta^k = \|X^\infty - X^k\|_\infty \leq \nu \left( \frac{(Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0})^k}{1 - Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0}} \right).$$

To obtain given tolerance  $\epsilon > 0$ , consider

$$\nu \left( \frac{(Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0})^k}{1 - Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0}} \right) \leq \epsilon,$$

which implies

$$\left( \frac{\nu}{\epsilon(1 - Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0})} \right) \leq ((Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0})^{-1})^k.$$

Now taking logarithm, we have

$$\begin{aligned} k &\geq \frac{\log \left( \frac{\nu}{\epsilon(1 - Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0})} \right)}{\log(Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0})^{-1}} = \frac{\log \left( \frac{\nu}{\epsilon(1 - Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0})} \right)}{-\log(Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0})} \\ &= -\log_{(Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0})} \left( \frac{\nu}{\epsilon(1 - Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0})} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$k \geq \log_{(Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0})} \left( \frac{\nu}{\epsilon(1 - Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0})} \right)^{-1},$$

which further implies

$$k \geq \log_{(Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0})} \left( \frac{\epsilon(1 - Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0})}{\nu} \right),$$

then  $\vartheta^k \leq \epsilon$ . This completes the proof. □

**IV. NUMERICAL APPLICATIONS**

In this section, the subdivision depths of some well known  $n$ -ary approximating as well as interpolating subdivision schemes are presented. The subdivision depths are presented both in tabular and graphical forms.

*Example 10:* If we take  $n = 1$  and  $\nu = 0$  in ([5], Theorem 1), we get the following coefficients/mask

**TABLE 12.** Subdivision depths of 4-point quaternary scheme for surface.

$Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0} / \epsilon$	$1.51e-3$	$6.36e-6$	$2.68e-8$	$1.13e-10$	$4.74e-13$	$1.99e-15$	$8.4e-18$
$Y_1 Z_1$	4	8	13	17	21	25	29
$Y_2 Z_2$	2	4	6	8	10	12	14
$Y_3 Z_3$	1	3	4	5	7	8	9
$Y_4 Z_4$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

involved in the affine combinations of the 6-point binary interpolating scheme.

$$\begin{aligned} &(a_{0,0}, a_{0,1}, a_{0,2}, a_{0,3}, a_{0,4}, a_{0,5}) \\ &= (0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0), \\ &(a_{1,0}, a_{1,1}, a_{1,2}, a_{1,3}, a_{1,4}, a_{1,5}) \\ &= \left( w, -\frac{1}{16} - 3w, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{9}{16} + 2w, \frac{9}{16} + 2w, -\frac{1}{16} - 3w, w \right). \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

- *Curve case:* The convolution constants  $T_{c_0}$  for  $c_0 \geq 1$  of the scheme (34) are presented in Table 1. In Table 2, subdivision depths are shown and their graphical view is shown in Figure 1(a).
- *Surface case:* The convolution constants  $Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0}$  for  $c_0 \geq 1$  of the tensor product of the scheme (34) are presented in Table 3. In Table 4, subdivision depths are shown and their graphical view is shown in Figure 1(b).

It has been observed that the subdivision depth decreases with the increase of convolution steps. That is we need less number of iterations to get the required result by increasing the number of convolution steps.

*Example 11:* If we take  $w = -\frac{35}{24}$  in ([6], Equation 9), we get 4-point ternary approximating scheme with following coefficients.

$$\begin{aligned} &(a_{0,0}, a_{0,1}, a_{0,2}, a_{0,3}) = \left( -\frac{55}{1296}, \frac{385}{432}, \frac{77}{432}, -\frac{35}{1296} \right), \\ &(a_{1,0}, a_{1,1}, a_{1,2}, a_{1,3}) = \left( -\frac{1}{16}, \frac{9}{16}, \frac{9}{16}, -\frac{1}{16} \right), \\ &(a_{2,0}, a_{2,1}, a_{2,2}, a_{2,3}) = \left( -\frac{35}{1296}, \frac{77}{432}, \frac{385}{432}, -\frac{55}{1296} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

- *Curve case:* The convolution constants  $T_{c_0}$  of the scheme (35) are gathered in Table 5. In Table 6, subdivision depths are shown and their graphical view is shown in Figure 2(a).
- *Surface case:* The convolution constants  $Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0}$  of the tensor product of the scheme (35) are presented in Table 7. In Table 8, subdivision depths are shown and their graphical view is shown in Figure 2(b).

*Example 12:* If we set  $n = 4$ ,  $b = 0$  and the free parameter as  $a_4 = \frac{7}{32} - \frac{7}{64}\mu$ ,  $a_5 = \frac{15}{128} - \frac{5}{64}\mu$ ,  $a_6 = \frac{7}{128} - \frac{3}{64}\mu$ ,  $a_7 = \frac{1}{64} - \frac{1}{64}\mu$  in ([3], Equations (4.9)-(4.10)), we get the following coefficients of the 4-point quaternary approximating




FIGURE 1. The subdivision depths of 6-point binary scheme for curve and surface at first and fourth convolution steps with respect to the user-specified error tolerance.




FIGURE 2. The subdivision depths of 4-point ternary scheme for curve and surface at first and fourth convolution steps with respect to the user-specified error tolerance.

TABLE 13. The convolution constants of 3-point quinary scheme for curve.

$T_1$	$T_2$	$T_3$	$T_4$
0.680000	0.308800	0.128576	0.051796

scheme

$$(a_{0,0}, a_{0,1}, a_{0,2}, a_{0,3}) = \left( \frac{7}{32} - \frac{7}{64}\mu, \frac{29}{64} + \frac{13}{64}\mu, \frac{5}{16} - \frac{5}{64}\mu, \frac{1}{64} - \frac{1}{64}\mu \right),$$

$$(a_{1,0}, a_{1,1}, a_{1,2}, a_{1,3}) = \left( \frac{15}{128} - \frac{5}{64}\mu, \frac{57}{128} + \frac{7}{64}\mu, \frac{49}{128} + \frac{1}{64}\mu, \frac{7}{128} - \frac{3}{64}\mu \right),$$

$$(a_{2,0}, a_{2,1}, a_{2,2}, a_{2,3}) = \left( \frac{7}{128} - \frac{3}{64}\mu, \frac{49}{128} + \frac{1}{64}\mu, \frac{57}{128} + \frac{7}{64}\mu, \frac{15}{128} - \frac{5}{64}\mu \right),$$

$$(a_{3,0}, a_{3,1}, a_{3,2}, a_{3,3}) = \left( \frac{1}{64} - \frac{1}{64}\mu, \frac{5}{16} - \frac{5}{64}\mu, \frac{29}{64} + \frac{13}{64}\mu, \frac{7}{32} - \frac{7}{64}\mu \right). \quad (36)$$

- Curve case: The convolution constants of the scheme (36) are gathered in Table 9. In Table 10, subdivision depths are shown and their graphical view is shown in Figure 3(a).
- Surface case: The convolution constants of the tensor product of the scheme (36) are presented in Table 11.

TABLE 14. Subdivision depths of 3-point quinary scheme for curve.

$T_{e_0} / \epsilon$	$3.27e-3$	$1.69e-4$	$8.79e-6$	$4.55e-7$	$2.35e-8$	$1.22e-9$	$6.32e-11$
$T_1$	10	18	26	34	41	49	57
$T_2$	3	5	8	10	13	15	18
$T_3$	1	3	4	6	7	9	10
$T_4$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7




FIGURE 3. The subdivision depths of 4-point quaternary scheme for curve and surface at first and fourth convolution steps with respect to the user-specified error tolerance.




FIGURE 4. The subdivision depths of 3-point quinary scheme for curve and surface at first and fourth convolution steps with respect to the user-specified error tolerance.

TABLE 15. The convolution constants of 3-point quinary scheme for surface.

$Y_1 Z_1$	$Y_2 Z_2$	$Y_3 Z_3$	$Y_4 Z_4$
0.843200	0.467894	0.238464	0.117563

$$\begin{aligned} (a_{3,0}, a_{3,1}, a_{3,2}) &= \left( -\frac{2}{25}, \frac{24}{25}, \frac{3}{25} \right), \\ (a_{4,0}, a_{4,1}, a_{4,2}) &= \left( -\frac{3}{25}, \frac{21}{25}, \frac{7}{25} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

In Table 12, subdivision depths are shown and their graphical view is shown in Figure 3(b).

Example 13: If we put  $n = 5$  and  $b = 0$  in ([4], Equations (3.10)-(3.14)), we get the coefficients of 3-point quinary interpolating scheme

$$\begin{aligned} (a_{0,0}, a_{0,1}, a_{0,2}) &= \left( \frac{7}{25}, \frac{21}{25}, -\frac{3}{25} \right), \\ (a_{1,0}, a_{1,1}, a_{1,2}) &= \left( \frac{3}{25}, \frac{24}{25}, -\frac{2}{25} \right), \\ (a_{2,0}, a_{2,1}, a_{2,2}) &= (0, 1, 0), \end{aligned}$$

- Curve case: The convolution constants of the scheme (37) are presented in Table 13. In Table 14, subdivision depths are shown and their graphical view is shown in Figure 4(a).
- Surface case: The convolution constants of the tensor product of the scheme (37) are presented in Table 15. In Table 16, subdivision depths are shown and their graphical view is shown in Figure 4(b).

### V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

The main purpose of this research was to provide an optimal technique to compute the subdivision depth. In other

TABLE 16. Subdivision depths of 3-point quinary scheme for surface.

$Y_{c_0} Z_{c_0} / \epsilon$	$3.21e-2$	$h3.77e-3$	$4.44e-4$	$5.22e-5$	$6.14e-6$	$7.21e-7$	$8.48e-8$
$Y_1 Z_1$	23	35	48	60	73	85	98
$Y_2 Z_2$	3	6	9	12	15	18	20
$Y_3 Z_3$	2	3	5	6	8	9	11
$Y_4 Z_4$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7



word, the aim was to predict the number of subdivision steps required to get an error-tolerant shape. In this paper, we have presented the technique to compute the depth of  $n$ -ary subdivision scheme. The advantage of this technique over the existing technique is that the strong condition imposed on the mask/coefficients of the scheme can be knocked out by increasing the number of convolution steps. We have also presented the subdivision depths of binary, ternary, quaternary and quinary schemes in this paper. These examples sentence that the proposed technique is valid and applicable for the computation of depth. The authors are looking, as a future work, to extend the computational technique of the subdivision depth of  $n$ -ary subdivision scheme for the generation of the shapes in higher dimensions.

### AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIAL

“Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.”

### COMPETING INTERESTS

“The authors declare that they have no competing interests.”

### FUNDING

“Not available.”

### AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTIONS

“The authors have contributed equally to this manuscript. They read and approved the final manuscript”.

### REFERENCES

- [1] H. C. Zheng and Q. Song, “Designing general  $p$ -Ary  $n$ -Point smooth subdivision schemes,” *Appl. Mech. Mater.*, vol. 472, pp. 510–515, Jan. 2014.
- [2] H. C. Zheng, Y. Li, G. H. Peng, and Y. N. Tang, “A multicontrol  $p$ -ary subdivision scheme to generate fractal curves,” *Appl. Mech. Mater.*, vols. 263–266, pp. 1830–1833, Dec. 2012.
- [3] G. Mustafa and N. A. Rehman, “The mask of  $(2b + 4)$ -point  $n$ -ary subdivision scheme,” *Computing*, vol. 90, nos. 1–2, pp. 1–14, Oct. 2010.
- [4] G. Mustafa, J. Deng, P. Ashraf, and N. Abdul Rehman, “The mask of odd points- $n$ -ary interpolating subdivision scheme,” *J. Appl. Math.*, vol. 2012, pp. 1–20, 2012.
- [5] K. P. Ko, B. G. Lee, Y. Tang, and G. J. Yoon. (2012). *General formula for the mask of  $(2n + 4)$ -point symmetric subdivision scheme*. [Online]. Available: [http://kown.dongseo.ac.kr/~kpk0/publication/mask\\_elsevier\\_second\\_version-kpk0.pdf](http://kown.dongseo.ac.kr/~kpk0/publication/mask_elsevier_second_version-kpk0.pdf)
- [6] A. Ghaffar, G. Mustafa, and K. Qin, “The 4-point  $\alpha$ -Ary approximating subdivision scheme,” *Open J. Appl. Sci.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 106–111, 2013.
- [7] Lian, J-A., “On  $a$ -ary subdivision for curve design: I. 4-point and 6-point interpolatory schemes,” *Appl. Appl. Math., An Int. J.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 18–29, 2008.
- [8] Lian, J-A., “On  $a$ -ary subdivision for curve design: II. 3-point and 5-point interpolatory schemes,” *Appl. Appl. Math., Int. J.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 176–187, 2008.
- [9] G. Mustafa, R. Hameed, D. Baleanu, and A. Mahmood, “A class of refinement schemes with two shape control parameters,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 98316–98329, 2020.
- [10] G. Mustafa, F. Chen, and J. Deng, “Estimating error bounds for binary subdivision curves/surfaces,” *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, vol. 193, no. 2, pp. 596–613, 2006.
- [11] G. Mustafa and J. Deng, “Estimating error bounds for ternary subdivision curve/surfaces,” *J. Comput. Math.*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 473–484, 2007.
- [12] S. Hashmi and G. Mustafa, “Estimating error bounds for quaternary subdivision schemes,” *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 358, no. 1, pp. 159–167, Oct. 2009.
- [13] G. Mustafa and M. S. Hashmi, “Subdivision depth computation for  $n$ -ary subdivision curves/surfaces,” *Vis. Comput.*, vol. 26, nos. 6–8, pp. 841–851, Jun. 2010.
- [14] J. Peters and X. Wu, “The distance of a subdivision surface to its control polyhedron,” *J. Approximation Theory*, vol. 161, no. 2, pp. 491–507, Dec. 2009.
- [15] M. Moncayo and S. Amat, “Error bounds for a class of subdivision schemes based on the two-scale refinement equation,” *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, vol. 236, no. 2, pp. 265–278, Aug. 2011.
- [16] A. Shahzad, F. Khan, A. Ghaffar, G. Mustafa, S. Nisar, and D. Baleanu, “A novel numerical algorithm to estimate the subdivision depth of binary subdivision schemes,” *Symmetry*, vol. 66, no. 12, pp. 1–13, 2020.
- [17] F. Khan, G. Mustafa, A. Shahzad, D. Baleanu, and M. Maysaa, “A computational method for subdivision depth of ternary schemes,” *Mathematics*, vol. 817, no. 8, pp. 1–22, 2020.
- [18] W. G. Huawei Youjiang and Q. Kaihuai, “Error estimation for Doo-Sabin surfaces,” *Prog. Natural Sci.*, vol. 12, pp. 697–700, Dec. 2002.
- [19] H. Wang and K. Qin, “Estimating subdivision depth of Catmull-Clark surfaces,” *J. Comput. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 19, pp. 657–664, May 2004.
- [20] X.-M. Zeng and X. J. Chen, “Computational formula of depth for Catmull-Clark subdivision surfaces,” *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, vol. 195, nos. 1–2, pp. 252–262, Oct. 2006.
- [21] F. Cheng and J.-H. Yong, “Subdivision depth computation for catmull-clark subdivision surfaces,” *Comput.-Aided Des. Appl.*, vol. 3, nos. 1–4, pp. 485–494, Jan. 2006.
- [22] G. Zhou and X.-M. Zeng, “Error bounds for loop subdivision surfaces,” *Int. J. Comput. Math.*, vol. 91, no. 3, pp. 688–703, Mar. 2014.
- [23] H. H. Wang Sun and K. Qin, “Estimating recursion depth for loop subdivision,” *Int. J.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 11–18, 2004.



**GHULAM MUSTAFA** received the Ph.D. degree in mathematics from the University of Science and Technology of China (USTC), China, in 2004, sponsored by Cultural Exchange Scholarship of China, and the Ph.D. degree from Durham University, U.K., sponsored by Association of Commonwealth Universities U.K., in 2011. He also visited the School of Mathematical Sciences, USTC, in 2010, to do collaborative research under Pakistan Program for Collaborative Research-Foreign Visit of Local Faculty Member. From 2013 to 2014, he was a Visiting Fellow with USTC sponsored by Chinese Academy of Sciences. He is currently a Full Professor of mathematics and the Chairman Department of Mathematics with The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan. He has completed two research projects sponsored by Higher Education Commission (HEC) Pakistan under National Research Program for Universities (NRPU). He has published more than 100 research articles. His research interests include geometric modeling, subdivision schemes, applied approximation theory, and solution of differential equations. He also serves as a reviewer of journals.



**AAMIR SHAHZAD** received the M.Sc. and M.Phil. degrees in mathematics from the University of Sargodha, Sargodha, Pakistan, where he is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree with the Department of Mathematics.



**FAHEEM KHAN** received the M.Sc. degree in mathematics from Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan, and the Ph.D. degree from The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan. He was a Research Associate with the University of Birmingham, U.K. His research interests include numerical computing, numerical solution of ODEs, and CAGD.



**DUMITRU BALEANU** received the B.Sc. degree in physics from the University of Craiova, Romania, in 1988, the M.Sc. degree from the University of Bucharest, Romania, in 1989, and the Ph.D. degree from the Institute of Atomic Physics, Romania, in 1996. He is currently a Professor with the Institute of Space Sciences, Romania. Since 2000, he has been a Visiting Staff Member with Cankaya University, Turkey. He published more than 1000 articles in journals indexed in SCI. He is a co-editor of five books published by Springer. He is the coauthor of three books published by Elsevier and World Scientific. He is an editorial board member of more than six ISI journals. He is on the 2015 Highly Cited Researcher list in mathematics.



**YUMING CHU** was born in Huzhou, Zhejiang, China, in June 1966. He received the B.S. degree from the Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou, China, in 1988, and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees from Hunan University, Changsha, China, in 1991 and 1994, respectively. He worked as an Assistant Professor, from 1994 to 1996, and as an Associate Professor, from 1997 to 2002, with the Department of Mathematics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha. Since 2002, he has been a Professor and the Dean of the Department of Mathematics, Huzhou University, Huzhou. His current research interests include special functions, functional analysis, numerical analysis, operator theory, ordinary differential equations, partial differential equations, inequalities theory and applications, and robust filtering and control.

• • •