

Received September 29, 2020, accepted October 9, 2020, date of publication October 12, 2020, date of current version October 21, 2020. *Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3030535*

# Consensus Control of Position-Constrained Multi-Agent Systems Without the Velocity Information of Neighbors

FANGYAN YANG<sup>1</sup>, WE[I](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2621-1821) LI<sup>192</sup>, YUN ZHAN[G](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7831-5246)<sup>2</sup>, AND GANG WANG<sup>193</sup>, (Member, IEEE)<br><sup>1</sup>School of Mechanical Engineering, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai 200093, China

<sup>2</sup> School of Finance, Shanghai Lixin University of Accounting and Finance, Shanghai 201209, China <sup>3</sup> Institute of Machine Intelligence, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai 200093, China Corresponding author: Wei Li (20180107@lixin.edu.cn)

**ABSTRACT** Currently, most consensus control approaches need each agent to access all its neighbors' states directly. The distributed consensus issue of second-order multi-agent systems subject to position constraints is studied without such requirements. The only condition for the communication topology is to include a directed spanning tree. An innovative reference position is provided to deal with the position constraints while eliminating the need for neighbors' velocity variables. An adaptive control method is designed by constructing a sliding-mode-esque variable so that each agent's transformed position can converge towards the reference position. This new method can guarantee uniform boundedness of each closed-loop signal as well as asymptotic consensus, and the requirements to meet the position constraints are satisfied at all times. Numerical simulation verifies the correctness of the theoretical results.

**INDEX TERMS** Consensus, adaptive control, uncertain dynamics, directed graphs.

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

Distributed control of multi-agent systems is a relatively new research field that is of great interest because of its wide range of potential applications, such as aerial systems, robotic systems, and collaborative surveillance. One of the critical requirements is the distributed consensus of a multi-agent system, where all agents attempt to arrive at the same position utilizing only limited information [1]–[5]. The initial approach focuses on the consensus of simple multi-agents, without considering the agent's uncertainty. These methods have then been generalized to the consensus literature of complex uncertain multi-agent systems. With the aid of neural network approximation and robust control technology, an adaptive distributed controller is designed for the first-order nonlinear multi-agent system under undirected communication topologies [6]. Reference [7] has studied the consensus control of first-order and second-order linearly parameterized multi-agent systems with unknown identical control directions. By constructing an auxiliary signal using the consensus value estimation, the leaderless consensus control issue of the uncertain nonlinear multi-agent system

with different control directions has been addressed in our previous work [8]. A limiting assumption that is usually made on second- and higher-order multi-agent setups is that each neighbors' state must be available for the realization of each agent's controller [7], [8], which poses a considerable challenge when only the neighbors' position-like state can be measured.

For a second-order multi-agent system, a distributed consensus algorithm on a general directed graph has been proposed without requiring velocity states from neighboring agents in [9]. Furthermore, a consensus algorithm has been presented in [10] with a simple static compensator structure to realize consensus, using only neighbors' position-like states, for a higher-order multi-agent system. However, these works [9], [10] do not consider the position restrictions of each agent. In reality, most of the physical system are subject to environmental regulations, saturation or performance and safety specifications, and their position should be limited within a specific range. Therefore, it is of paramount importance to take position constraints into account when designing distributed control laws. In recent years, a variety of solutions have been provided to deal with output constraints of single nonlinear systems [11]–[13]. For the first-order nonlinear multi-agent system in the presence of state constraints,

The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and approving it for publication was Fei Chen.

a consensus controller is designed by using Laplacian matrix symmetric positive semi-definiteness on the undirected connected graph and state transformation technology in [14]. In addition, the results have been extended to higher-order multi-agents with output limitations and unknown control directions under an undirected graph [15]. With the further development of the directed graph in [16], a desired output is presented using a transformation strategy, and a consensus control law is obtained in the backstepping framework.

This article considers the leaderless consensus issue of uncertain second-order nonlinear agents, including position constraints. The communication topology is a directed graph including a spanning tree. A novel reference position is constructed for every agent, which plays a vital role in handling the position limitations while eliminating the requirement of neighbors' velocity variables. Further, the control problem of the multi-agent system becomes a single agents' regulation control issue. We derive an adaptive control input by constructing a sliding-mode-esque variable so that each agent's transformed position converges towards the reference position. This new method can guarantee uniform boundedness of each closed-loop signal and asymptotic consensus, and the requirements to meet the position constraints are always satisfied. Compared with state-of-the-art results, the novelty and additive value of the present paper are:

- (1) We construct a new dynamic signal to deal with the position constraints, and at the same time, to relax the limitations of the above relevant research by removing the requirement of neighbors' velocity measurements, profiting from which the intricate leaderless consensus issue of the position-constrained multi-agent system under directed communication topologies can be interpreted into a single agents' regulation control issue.
- (2) Compared with the result of nonlinear multi-agent systems [6]–[8], the considered multi-agent model, including position constraints, is more practical and incorporates a more comprehensive application. All agent positions' asymptotic consensus of the current controller can be accomplished, contrary to the uniformly ultimate boundedness result [6].
- (3) Different from the position-constrained consensus controller under the undirected graph [14], [15], this innovative distributed method is able to address the consensus issue and the position-constrained issue under the directed topology condition. In addition, neighbors' velocity measurement required in [16] is unnecessary.

The problem formulation and the basic graph theory are described in Section [II.](#page-1-0) Section [III](#page-2-0) covers the novel reference position, controller design, and stability and convergence properties. Extensive simulation results and conclusion are, respectively, provided in Sections [IV](#page-4-0) and [V.](#page-5-0)

*Notation:* For a vector function  $\eta(t)$ , we say  $\eta \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}$  $[0, t_f)$  if  $\sup_{0 \le t < t_f} ||\eta(t)||$  <  $\infty$ , and  $\eta \in \mathcal{L}_p[0, t_f)$  if  $\left(\int_0^{t_f} ||\eta(t)||^p dt\right)^{1/p} < \infty, p = 1, 2, 1_\ell \text{ and } 0_\ell \text{ are the } \ell-\text{vector}$  of all ones and all zeros, respectively.  $I_\ell$  is the  $\ell \times \ell$  identity matrix. diag{ $k_1, \ldots, k_\ell$ } is the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $k_1$  to  $k_\ell$ .

## <span id="page-1-0"></span>**II. PROBLEM FORMULATION AND PRELIMINARIES** A. GRAPH THEORY

 $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, A_n\}$  denotes a directed graph modeling the topology among the *N* agents, in which  $V = \{1, \ldots, N\}$  represents the set of nodes,  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$  is the set of directed edges, and  $A_n = [a_{ij}] \in R^{N \times N}$  is called the adjacency matrix. An edge  $(i, j)$  ∈  $E$  suggests that node *j* can get data from node *i*, and node *i* is a neighbor of node *j*. The set of all neighbors of node *i* is denoted by  $\mathcal{N}_i$ . A directed path from node  $i_1$  to node  $i_p$  is a sequence of directed edges in the form of  $(i_m, i_{m+1})$ ,  $m = 1, \ldots, p - 1$ . A directed graph is said to contain a directed spanning tree if there exists at least a node from which there is a directed path to each other node in  $\mathcal{G}$ .  $a_{ij} > 0$ if  $(j, i) \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $a_{ij} = 0$  otherwise. The Laplacian matrix  $L_n =$  $[l_{ij}] \in R^{N \times N}$  associated with G is defined as  $l_{ii} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} a_{ij}$ and  $l_{ij} = -a_{ij}$ ,  $i \neq j$ .  $D_n = \text{diag}\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\}$  represents the in-degree matrix with  $d_i = l_{ii}$  being the in-degree of node *i*.

## B. PROBLEM FORMULATION

A multi-agent group comprised of  $n(n > 2)$  agents, labeled as agents 1 to *n*, is considered under a directed communication topology. The dynamics of the *i*th,  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , agent can be represented by

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
\dot{p}_i = v_i
$$
  
\n
$$
\dot{v}_i = u_i + \varphi_i(p_i, v_i)^T \theta_i + \tau_i(p_i, v_i, t)
$$
 (1)

in which  $p_i$  ∈ *R*,  $v_i$  ∈ *R*, and  $u_i$  ∈ *R* are, respectively, the position state, the velocity state, and the control input,  $\theta_i \in R^{m_i}$  is an unknown constant vector,  $\varphi_i: R^2 \to R^{m_i}$ is a known smooth vector-valued function,  $\tau_i \in R$  represents the unknown piecewise continuous system uncertainty. In this study, each agent's position  $p_i$  should be restricted to an open set, namely,  $L < p_i < U$ , where *L* and *U* (satisfying  $L < U$ ) are known constants.

The problem to be solved in this work is to develop a distributed controller  $u_i$  for each agent [\(1\)](#page-1-1) so that (i) each closed-loop signal remains bounded, (ii) the agent positions achieve asymptotic consensus, i.e.,  $\lim_{t\to\infty}(p_i(t)-p_j(t))=0$ and  $\lim_{t\to\infty} v_i(t) = 0$  for all  $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$ , and (iii) the requirements to meet the position constraints are satisfied at all times, namely,

$$
L < p_i(t) < U, \quad \forall t \ge 0. \tag{2}
$$

In order to solve the above-mentioned multi-agent control problem, we make the following assumptions about the agent  $(1)$ .

<span id="page-1-2"></span>*Assumption 1:* The functions  $\tau_i(p_i, v_i, t)$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, n$  are bounded by  $|\tau_i(p_i, v_i, t)| \le \tau_i^*$ ,  $\forall [p_i, v_i, t]^T \in R^2 \times [0, \infty)$ , where  $\tau_i^*$  are unknown positive constants.

<span id="page-1-3"></span>*Assumption 2:* The initial conditions  $p_i(0)$  are inside the constraint bounds, i.e.,  $L < p_i(0) < U$  for all  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ .

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>**III. MAIN RESULT**

This section introduces an adaptive control approach that enables the agent position to achieve asymptotic consensus without neighbor velocity variables. Before starting the design, V is partitioned into two subsets as  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , in which  $V_1 = \{i \in V | d_i \neq 0\}$  and  $V_2 = \{i \in V | d_i = 0\}.$ To deal with the position constraints while eliminating the need for the velocity variables of its neighbors, the new dynamic policy to produce a reference position  $x_{i,1}$  for the *i*th ( $i \in V_1$ ) agent is designed as

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
\dot{x}_{i,1} = x_{i,2} \n\dot{x}_{i,2} = \gamma_i \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \xi_j - \lambda_{i,1} x_{i,1} - \lambda_{i,2} x_{i,2}
$$
\n(3)

where  $\xi_i = \ln((p_i - L)/(U - p_i))$  is intentionally designed to deal with the position constraints,  $\lambda_{i,1} > 0$  and  $\lambda_{i,2} > 0$  are constants chosen to make the roots of  $s^2 + \lambda_{i,2} s^{m-1} + \lambda_{i,1} =$ 0 negative real numbers, and  $\gamma_i$  is set to  $\gamma_i = \lambda_{i,1}/d_i$ . Note that no data of other agents can be obtained by the agents in  $V_2$ . The reference position of the agent in  $V_2$  is designed as  $x_{i,1} = \gamma_i$  with  $\dot{x}_{i,1} = x_{i,2} = 0$ , where  $\gamma_i$  is a constant.

The distributed control strategy for the *i*th,  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , agent [\(1\)](#page-1-1) is selected as

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
u_i = -\hat{\theta}_i^T \varphi_i(p_i, v_i) - \Delta_i - \frac{k_i z_i + \eta_i v_i - x_{i,2} + \dot{\eta}_i v_i - \dot{x}_{i,2}}{\eta_i}
$$
\n(4)

in which  $z_i = e_i + \dot{e}_i$  is a sliding-mode-esque signal with  $e_i = \xi_i - x_{i,1}, k_i > 0$  is a parameter representing the control gain,  $\eta_i = \frac{U - L}{(p_i - L)(U - p_i)}, \Delta_i(t) = \hat{\omega}_i \tanh(z_i \eta_i / \varepsilon_i(t))$  denotes a robust term.  $\varepsilon_i(t)$  represents a positive smooth function meeting  $\int_0^\infty \varepsilon_i(t)dt \leq \overline{\varepsilon}_i$ , in which  $\overline{\varepsilon}_i > 0$  is a finite constant.  $\hat{\theta}_i$  and  $\hat{\omega}_i$  are the estimates of unknown parameters  $\theta_i$  and  $(\tau_i^* + (U - L)/4)$ , respectively. The update strategies for  $\hat{\theta}_i$ and  $\hat{\omega}_i$  are proposed as

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
\dot{\hat{\theta}}_i = \Gamma_i \eta_i z_i \varphi_i (p_i, v_i), \dot{\hat{\omega}}_i = \mu_i \eta_i z_i \tanh(\eta_i z_i / \varepsilon_i(t)) \qquad (5)
$$

where  $\Gamma_i \in R^{m_i \times m_i}$  denotes a positive definite adaptive gain matrix and  $\mu_i$  denotes a positive parameter.

Having developed the control method and reference position, we are ready to state our main consensus results.

*Theorem 1:* Assume that the graph  $G$  includes a directed spanning tree. A second-order nonlinear multi-agent system consisting of *n* agents [\(1\)](#page-1-1) is considered. Let Assumptions [1](#page-1-2)[-2](#page-1-3) hold. The proposed distributed control strategy [\(4\)](#page-2-1) with the reference position [\(3\)](#page-2-2) and parameter update laws [\(5\)](#page-2-3) guarantees that: (i) the agent positions achieve asymptotic consensus, namely,  $\lim_{t\to\infty} (p_i(t)-p_j(t)) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t\to\infty} v_i(t) = 0$ for all  $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$ , (ii) each closed-loop signal remains bounded, and (iii) the requirements to meet the position constraints are satisfied at all times, namely,  $L \, \langle p_i(t) \, \langle U, \rangle$  $\forall t > 0.$ 

*Proof:* To begin with, we write the agents [\(1\)](#page-1-1) with control strategies [\(4\)](#page-2-1) and adaptive parameters [\(5\)](#page-2-3) in vector form. Towards this direction, let us define generalized states  $\zeta = [p^T, v^T, x_1^T, x_2^T, \hat{\theta}^T, \hat{\omega}^T]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{5n+m}$ ,

where  $m = m_1 + \cdots + m_n$ ,  $p = [p_1, \ldots, p_n]^T \in R^n$ ,  $v = [v_1, \ldots, v_n]^T \in R^n, x_1 = [x_{1,1}, \ldots, x_{n,1}]^T \in R^n, x_2 =$  $[x_{1,2}, \ldots, x_{n,2}]^T \in R^n$ ,  $\hat{\theta} = [\hat{\theta}_1^T, \ldots, \hat{\theta}_n^T]^T \in R^m$ , and  $\hat{\omega} =$  $[\hat{\omega}_1, \dots, \hat{\omega}_n]^T \in R^n$ . Then the closed-loop dynamical system of  $\zeta$  takes the form  $\dot{\zeta} = f(\zeta, t)$ . The nonempty and open set  $\Omega = \{ \zeta \in R^{5n+m} | L \langle p_i \rangle | U \leq h \}$  is defined. Because of the piecewise continuity and boundedness of  $\tau_i(p_i, v_i, t)$  as well as smoothness of nonlinearities  $\varphi_i(p_i, v_i)$ , it follows from [\(3\)](#page-2-2)-[\(5\)](#page-2-3) that  $f(\zeta, t)$  is piecewise continuous and locally Lipschitz. That means a unique continuous solution  $\zeta$  over the set Ω exists as shown in [17, Th. 54]. Let the maximum interval of existence be [0, *t<sup>f</sup>* ).

In view of the definition of  $\xi_i$ , we obtain  $\dot{\xi}_i = \eta_i v_i$  and  $\ddot{\xi}_i = \dot{\eta}_i v_i + \eta_i \dot{v}_i$ . Noting [\(3\)](#page-2-2) and  $z_i = e_i + \dot{e}_i$ , we get

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
\dot{z}_i = (\dot{\xi}_i - x_{i,2}) + (\ddot{\xi}_i - \dot{x}_{i,2}) \n= \eta_i (u_i + \varphi_i^T \theta_i + \tau_i) + \dot{\eta}_i v_i - \dot{x}_{i,2} + \eta_i v_i - x_{i,2}.
$$
\n(6)

We now consider the Lyapunov function for the *i*th,  $i =$  $1, \ldots, n$ , agent as

$$
V_i = \frac{1}{2}z_i^2 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\theta}_i^T\Gamma_i^{-1}\tilde{\theta}_i + \frac{1}{2\mu_i}(\tau_i^* + \frac{U - L}{4} - \hat{\omega}_i)^2
$$
 (7)

with  $\tilde{\theta}_i = \theta_i - \hat{\theta}_i$  being the parameter estimation error. Taking the derivative of  $V_i$  along [\(6\)](#page-2-4) results in

<span id="page-2-5"></span>
$$
\dot{V}_i = z_i \dot{z}_i + \tilde{\theta}_i^T \Gamma_i^{-1} (-\dot{\hat{\theta}}_i) + \frac{1}{\mu_i} (\tau_i^* + \frac{U - L}{4} - \hat{\omega}_i)(-\dot{\hat{\omega}}_i)
$$
  
=  $z_i (\eta_i (u_i + \varphi_i^T \theta_i + \tau_i) + \dot{\eta}_i v_i - \dot{x}_{i,2} + \eta_i v_i - x_{i,2})$   
+  $\tilde{\theta}_i^T \Gamma_i^{-1} (-\dot{\hat{\theta}}_i) + \frac{1}{\mu_i} (\tau_i^* + \frac{U - L}{4} - \hat{\omega}_i)(-\dot{\hat{\omega}}_i).$  (8)

Substituting the control input [\(4\)](#page-2-1) into [\(8\)](#page-2-5), we have

<span id="page-2-6"></span>
$$
\dot{V}_i = -k_i z_i^2 + \eta_i z_i \varphi_i^T \tilde{\theta}_i + \eta_i z_i \tau_i - \eta_i z_i \Delta_i(t) \n+ \tilde{\theta}_i^T \Gamma_i^{-1} (-\dot{\hat{\theta}}_i) + \frac{1}{\mu_i} (\tau_i^* + \frac{U - L}{4} - \hat{\omega}_i)(-\dot{\hat{\omega}}_i).
$$
\n(9)

The substitution of [\(5\)](#page-2-3) into [\(9\)](#page-2-6) shows

$$
\dot{V}_i = -k_i z_i^2 - \eta_i z_i (\tau_i^* + (U - L)/4) \tanh(\eta_i z_i / \varepsilon_i(t)) + \eta_i z_i \tau_i
$$
\n
$$
\leq -k_i z_i^2 - \eta_i z_i (\tau_i^* + (U - L)/4) \tanh(\eta_i z_i / \varepsilon_i(t)) + \eta_i |z_i| \tau_i^*
$$
\n
$$
\leq \kappa_i \varepsilon_i - k_i z_i^2 - \eta_i z_i ((U - L)/4) \tanh(\eta_i z_i / \varepsilon_i(t))
$$

where  $\eta_i |z_i|\tau_i^* - \eta_i z_i\tau_i^* \tanh(\eta_i z_i/\varepsilon_i) \leq \kappa_i \varepsilon_i$  with  $\kappa_i =$  $0.2785\tau_i^*$  is used [18]. Upon integration we arrive at

$$
V_i(t) \le V_i(0) + \int_0^t \kappa_i \varepsilon_i(\sigma) d\sigma - \int_0^t k_i z_i^2(\sigma) d\sigma
$$
  
- 
$$
\int_0^t \frac{U - L}{4} \eta_i(\sigma) z_i(\sigma) \tanh(\frac{\eta_i(\sigma) z_i(\sigma)}{\varepsilon_i(\sigma)}) d\sigma
$$
  

$$
\le V_i(0) + \kappa_i \bar{\varepsilon}_i.
$$
 (10)

which leads us to the conclusion that  $V_i$ ,  $z_i$ ,  $\tilde{\theta}_i$ ,  $(\tau_i^*$  +  $(U - L)/4 - \hat{\omega}_i$ ,  $\int_0^t \eta_i(\sigma) z_i(\sigma) \tanh(z_i(\sigma) \eta_i(\sigma) / \varepsilon_i(\sigma)) d\sigma \in$  $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}[0, t_f)$  and  $z_i \in \mathcal{L}_2[0, t_f)$ . The conclusion that  $\hat{\theta}_i(t)$  and  $\hat{\omega}_i(t)$  are bounded on [0,  $t_f$ ) then follows from that  $\theta_i$  and  $\tau_i^*$ 

are constants. Viewing that  $\eta_i(t) \geq 4/(U - L)$ ,  $\forall t \in [0, t_f)$ , it is easy to deduce

$$
0 \leq \int_0^t |z_i(\sigma)|d\sigma \leq \int_0^t \frac{U - L}{4} \eta_i(\sigma)|z_i(\sigma)|d\sigma
$$
  

$$
\leq \int_0^t \frac{U - L}{4} \eta_i(\sigma)z_i(\sigma) \tanh(\frac{\eta_i(\sigma)z_i(\sigma)}{\varepsilon_i(\sigma)})d\sigma
$$
  

$$
+ \int_0^t \frac{U - L}{4} \kappa_i^* \varepsilon_i(\sigma) d\sigma
$$

which together with  $\varepsilon_i \in L_1[0,\infty)$  suggests that  $z_i \in$  $\mathcal{L}_1[0, t_f)$ . Using the definition of  $z_i$ , we can also conclude  $e_i, \dot{e}_i \in \mathcal{L}_1[0, t_f) \cap \mathcal{L}_{\infty}[0, t_f).$ 

Observing [\(3\)](#page-2-2), we rewrite the dynamics of the reference position as  $\overline{\dot{x}}_i = A_i \gamma_i \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \xi_i + B_i \overline{x}_i$ , where  $i \in \mathcal{V}_1$ ,  $\overline{x}_i =$  $[x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}]^T$ ,  $A_i = [0, 1]^T$ , and

$$
B_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\lambda_{i,1} & -\lambda_{i,2} \end{bmatrix}.
$$

The eigenvalues of  $B_i$  is represented by  $c_{i,1}$  and  $c_{i,2}$  in a non-specific order. For analytical purposes, a transformation matrix  $T_i$  is employed for the *i*th agent as

.

.

$$
T_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1/c_{i,1} \end{bmatrix}
$$

Then, it holds that  $T_i B_i = F_i T_i$ , where

$$
F_i = \begin{bmatrix} c_{i,1} & -c_{i,1} \\ 0 & c_{i,2} \end{bmatrix}
$$

Taking the state transformation  $\bar{q}_i = [q_{i,1}, q_{i,2}]^T = T_i \bar{x}_i$  for  $i \in V_1$  and using  $\xi_i = e_i + x_{i,1}$ , we get

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\dot{q}_{i,1} = c_{i,1}q_{i,1} - c_{i,1}q_{i,2}
$$
\n
$$
\dot{q}_{i,2} = c_{i,2}q_{i,2} - (c_{i,2}/d_i) \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(q_{j,1} + e_j) \qquad (11)
$$

where  $c_{i,1} = \lambda_{i,1}/c_{i,2}$  and  $q_{i,1} = x_{i,1}$  are used.

There exists at most one agent with no neighbors since the communication topology involves a directed spanning tree. Two cases are considered: (*C1*) every agent can get data from at least one other agent, i.e.,  $V_1 = V$  and (C2) there is an agent that cannot obtain any data from any other agent.

*C1:* We start by defining the column vectors  $\delta = [0_n^T,$  $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n$ ]<sup>T</sup> and  $q = [q_{1,1}, \ldots, q_{n,1}, q_{1,2}, \ldots, q_{n,2}]^T$ , where  $\delta_i = -(c_{i,2}/d_i) \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} e_j, i = 1, ..., n$ . It follows from [\(11\)](#page-3-0) that

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\dot{q}(t) = -\bar{L}q(t) + \delta(t) \tag{12}
$$

where

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
\bar{L} = \begin{bmatrix} -c_1 & c_1 \\ c_2 \bar{A}_n & -c_2 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (13)

 $c_1 = \text{diag}\{c_{1,1}, \ldots, c_{n,1}\}, c_2 = \text{diag}\{c_{1,2}, \ldots, c_{n,2}\},$  and  $\bar{A}_n = D_n^{-1}A_n$ . Since  $L1_{2n} = 0_{2n}$  and each off-diagonal entry of  $\overline{L}$  is a non-positive number,  $\overline{L}$  has the form of a Laplacian matrix. Consequently, the system [\(12\)](#page-3-1) can be seen as a multi-agent group comprised of 2*n* agents which are connected under the augmented directed graph  $\bar{\mathcal{G}} = (\bar{\mathcal{V}}, \bar{\mathcal{E}})$ .

Here  $\overline{V} = \{1, \ldots, 2n\}, \overline{L}$  is the related Laplacian matrix, and the edge set  $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$  is able to be obtained by [\(13\)](#page-3-2). Noting that rank( $c_2$ ) = *n* and rank( $c_2$ ( $I_n - \bar{A}_n$ )) = rank( $L_n$ ) =  $n-1$ , it is readily verified that rank( $\overline{L}$ ) = 2*n* − 1. We get from [1] that  $\overline{L}$  has a single zero eigenvalue and all other eigenvalues have positive real parts. That implies there is a finite constant  $\overline{\dot{m}}$  enabling  $\|\overline{e^{-\overline{L}t}}\| \leq \overline{\dot{m}}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ [19, p. 138]. Integrating [\(12\)](#page-3-1) over the interval [0, *t*] yields  $q(t) = e^{-\bar{L}t}q(0) + \int_0^t e^{-\bar{L}(t-\sigma)}\delta(\sigma)d\sigma$ . Employing  $||e^{-\bar{L}t}|| \le$ *m*<sup> $m$ </sup> and *e<sub>i</sub>* ∈  $\mathcal{L}_1[0, t_f)$ , we have *q* ∈  $\mathcal{L}_\infty[0, t_f)$ . The statement  $\bar{x}_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}[0, t_f)$  then follows from that  $T_i$  is a nonsingular matrix. Noting  $e_i = \xi_i - x_{i,1}$  and  $e_i, \dot{e}_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}[0, t_f)$ , it can be concluded that  $\xi_i$ ,  $\xi_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}[0, t_f)$ . Thus, there is a constant  $\xi_i^* > 0$  so that  $|\xi_i(t)| \leq \xi_i^*$  for all  $t \in [0, t_f)$ . Performing the inverse logarithmic operation on ξ*<sup>i</sup>* results in

$$
L < L_i \le p_i(t) \le U_i < U, \quad \forall t \in [0, t_f) \tag{14}
$$

where  $L_i = (Ue^{-\xi_i^*} + L)/(e^{-\xi_i^*} + 1)$  and  $U_i = (Ue^{\xi_i^*} + L)$  $L$ )/( $e^{\xi_i^*}$  + 1). Noting  $\xi_i = \eta_i v_i$  and  $\eta_i(t) \ge 4/(U - L)$  for all  $t \in [0, t_f)$ , we have  $v_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}[0, t_f)$ . Note from  $v_i, \bar{x}_i, \hat{\theta}_i, \hat{\omega}_i \in$  $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}[0, t_f)$  and  $L < L_i \leq p_i(t) \leq U_i < U$  for all  $t \in [0, t_f)$ that  $\zeta(t) \in \Omega^*$  for all  $t \in [0, t_f)$ , in which  $\Omega^*$  is a nonempty and compact subset of  $\Omega$ . As a result, no finite-time escape phenomenon may occur. Hence,  $t_f = \infty$ . From [\(4\)](#page-2-1) and [\(6\)](#page-2-4), we have  $\dot{z}_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}[0,\infty)$  for  $i=1,\ldots,n$ . Combining this with  $z_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}[0,\infty) \cap \mathcal{L}_2[0,\infty)$ , it follows from Barbalat's lemma that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} z_i(t) = 0$ , which means, in particular, that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} e_i(t) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \dot{e}_i(t) = 0$ .

Next, it will show the agent positions achieve asymptotic consensus. For this reason, the relative error vectors  $\tilde{q}$  =  $[q_1 - q_2, \ldots, q_{2n-1} - q_{2n}]^T \in R^{2n-1}$  and  $\tilde{\delta} = [\underline{\delta}_1 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}]^T$  $\delta_2, \ldots, \delta_{2n-1} - \delta_{2n}$ <sup>T</sup>  $\in R^{2n-1}$  are introduced, where  $q_\ell$ and  $\delta_{\ell}$  are, respectively, the  $\ell$ th element of *q* and  $\delta$  for  $\ell =$ 1, ..., 2*n*. The dynamics of  $\tilde{q}$  can be deduced from [\(12\)](#page-3-1) as  $\dot{\tilde{q}} = -\Omega \tilde{q} + \tilde{\delta}$ , where  $\Omega \in R^{(2n-1)\times(2n-1)}$  is a constant matrix. We get from  $rank(\overline{L}) = 2n - 1$  and [1] that  $\overline{G}$  involves a spanning tree. By [1, Th. 2.14], it can be obtained that the system  $\tilde{q} = -\Omega \tilde{q}$  is asymptotically stable. Note from [20, Th. 4.14] that if a linear time-invariant system is asymptotically stable, then it is also exponentially stable. Combining this with  $e_i \in \mathcal{L}_1[0,\infty)$  can have that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \tilde{q}(t) = 0_{2n-1}$ . Noting that  $x_{i,1} = q_{i,1}$ , we have  $\lim_{t \to \infty} (x_{i,1}(t) - x_{i,1}(t)) =$ 0, which together with  $\lim_{t\to\infty} (\xi_i - x_{i,1}(t)) = 0$  yields that  $\lim_{t \to \infty} (\xi_i(t) - \xi_j(t)) = 0$  for all  $i, j = 1, ..., n$ . According to the definition of  $\xi_i$ , we have over the set  $\Omega^*$ that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} (p_i(t) - L)(U - p_i(t)) - (U - p_i(t))(p_i(t) - L) =$ lim<sub>*t*→∞</sub>(*U* − *L*)( $p_i(t)$  −  $p_j(t)$ ) = 0,  $\forall 1 \le i \ne j \le N$ . This together with the fact  $U - L > 0$  yields  $\lim_{t\to\infty} (p_i(t)$  $p_j(t) = 0$ . Since  $\lim_{t \to \infty} (\gamma_i \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \xi_j(t) - \lambda_{i,1} x_{i,1}(t)) = 0$ , we can infer from [\(3\)](#page-2-2) that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} x_{i,2}(t) = 0$ , which, with  $\lim_{t\to\infty} (\dot{\xi}_i(t) - x_{i,2}(t)) = 0$ , gives that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} v_i(t) = 0$ .

*C2:* In such a situation, we suppose that the agent with index 1 is the agent without neighbors.  $G$  with the node set  $V = \{2, \ldots, n\}$  and the edge set  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq V \times V$  is used to model the topology between the agents 2 to *n*.  $A_{n-1}$ ,  $D_{n-1}$ , and  $L_{n-1}$ are, respectively, the adjacency matrix, the in-degree matrix,

and the Laplacian matrix related to  $\mathcal{G}_{n-1}$ . Thus,  $L_n$  related to G can be separated as

$$
L_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0_{n-1}^T \\ h & L_{n-1} \end{bmatrix},
$$

where  $h = [a_{21}, \dots, a_{n1}]^T \in R^{n-1}$ . Since  $G$  involves a directed spanning tree, we get from [1] that  $rank(L) = n - 1$ . This means that  $rank(L_{n-1}) = n - 1$ .

Let us define the vectors  $q_1 = [\xi_{1,1}, q_{2,1}, \dots, q_{n,1}]^T$ ,  $q_2 = [q_{2,2}, \ldots, q_{n,2}]^T$ ,  $\delta = [0_{2n-1}^T, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_n]^T$ , and  $q =$  $[q_1^T, q_2^T]^T$  with  $i = 2, ..., n$  and  $\delta_i = -(c_{i,2}/d_i) \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}z_{j,1}$ . Viewing [\(11\)](#page-3-0) and the fact that  $x_{1,1}$  is a constant, we have

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
\dot{q}(t) = -\bar{L}q(t) + \delta(t) \tag{15}
$$

where

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
\bar{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0_{(n-1)m}^T \\ \hbar & L_{(n-1)m} \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (16)

with

$$
h = [0_{n-1}^T, h^T]^T \in R^{2(n-1)}, \quad L_{(n-1)m} = \begin{bmatrix} -c_1 & c_1 \\ c_2 \bar{A}_{n-1} & -c_2 \end{bmatrix},
$$

 $c_1$  = diag{ $c_{2,1}, \ldots, c_{n,1}$ },  $c_2$  = diag{ $c_{2,2}, \ldots, c_{n,2}$ }, and  $\overline{A}_{n-1} = D_{n-1}^{-1}A_{n-1}$ . It can be seen that the matrix  $\overline{L}$  has the form of a Laplacian matrix, as  $\overline{L}1_{2(n-1)+1} = 0_{2(n-1)+1}$  and each off-diagonal entry of  $\overline{L}$  is a non-positive number. The system [\(15\)](#page-4-1) can be seen as a multi-agent group comprised of 2*n* − 1 agents which are connected under the augmented directed graph  $\bar{\mathcal{G}} = (\bar{V}, \bar{\mathcal{E}})$ , where  $\bar{V} = \{1, \ldots, 2(n 1) + 1$ ,  $\overline{L}$  is the related Laplacian matrix, and the edge set  $\mathcal E$  can be deduced from [\(16\)](#page-4-2). Since rank( $c_1$ ) =  $n - 1$  $rank(c_2(I_{n-1} - \bar{A}_{n-1})) = rank(L_{n-1}) = n - 1$ , we obtain  $rank(L_{2(n-1)}) = 2(n - 1)$ . By [\(16\)](#page-4-2), it can be obtained  $rank(L) = 2(n - 1)$ . Proceeding in a fashion similar to *C1*, it can be concluded that each closed-loop signal remains bounded,  $\lim_{t\to\infty}(p_i(t) - p_i(t)) = 0$ , and  $L < p_i(t) < U$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , for all  $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$ . Besides, as  $x_{i,1}$  is a constant for  $i \in V_2$  in this situation, we have that  $\lim_{t \to \infty} p_j(t) = \gamma_i^*$ for all *j* = 1, ..., *n*, where  $\gamma_i^* = (U + Le^{-\gamma_i})/(1 + e^{-\gamma_i})$ . The proof is complete.

*Remark 1:* Because of unknown technical challenges, there exist still some unresolved points that deserve further study. For example, this work does not consider actuator failure. Due to the aging of components, actuator failures are often encountered in practice. Following sliding mode control methods proposed in [21]–[23], future work will solve the consensus issue with actuator faults and model uncertainties.

*Remark 2:* Although our new method can guarantee uniform boundedness of each closed-loop signal, it is unclear how the parameters affect the convergence speed. Intuitively, increasing the control gain  $k_i$  is able to speed up the convergence of consensus. A rigorous analysis of that situation requires further study. The designed control algorithm has a clear structure, and there are not many requirements for its parameters. Therefore, it is easy to implement in practical applications.

*Remark 3:* Note that the proposed distributed consensus algorithm requires all states of each agent. Constructing a velocity observer for each agent is a promising way to eliminate such requirements.

*Remark 4:* In recent work [24], the leaderless consensus problem under directed communication topologies was studied by using a dynamic output design method. However, it does not actually take into account the position constraint requirement during operation, which is an essential consideration in practice. We propose a new reference position to deal with this problem, including position constraints and nonlinear transformations. To the best of our knowledge, there has been no research so far to achieve asymptotic consensus without requiring the velocity variables of neighboring agents in the constrained control literature.

#### <span id="page-4-0"></span>**IV. SIMULATION STUDY**

A multi-agent system consisting of four single-link robots is considered. Each robot can be described by

<span id="page-4-4"></span>
$$
J_i \ddot{q}_i + B_i \dot{q}_i + M_i \sin(q_i) = g_i + \tau_{di}(t), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \quad (17)
$$
  
in which  $J_i$ ,  $B_i$ , and  $M_i$  denote system parameters that can  
be found on [25, p. 190],  $q_i$  is the angle of the link of  
the *i*th robot,  $g_i$  is the voltage input, and  $\tau_{di}$  represents the  
uncertain disturbance. The simulation parameters are set to  
 $J_i = 1.71 - 0.0i$ ,  $B_i = 0.45 + 0.01i$ ,  $M_i = 0.82 + 0.01i$ , and  
 $\tau_{di}(t) = 0.1 \cos(t)$ . The angle limitation of each robot is

 $\tau_{di}(t) = 0.1 \cos(t)$ . The angle limitation of each robot is  $-1$  $(\text{rad}) < q_i(t) < 1.4$  (rad) for all  $t \geq 0$ . The directed graph is shown in Fig. [1.](#page-4-3) The initial configurations of the robots satisfying Assumption [2](#page-1-3) are  $q_1(0) = 1.25$  (rad),  $q_2(0) = 0.31$  $\text{(rad)}$ ,  $q_3(0) = -0.52 \text{ (rad)}$ , and  $q_4(0) = -0.93 \text{ (rad)}$ . The initial angular velocities are zero. Let  $p_i = q_i$ ,  $v_i = \dot{q}_i$ , and  $u_i = g_i / J_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . By defining  $\varphi_i(p_i, v_i) =$  $[-v_i, -\sin(p_i)]^T$ ,  $\theta_i = [B_i / J_i, M_i / J_i]^T$ , and  $\tau_i(t) = \tau_{di}(t) / J_i$ , model [\(17\)](#page-4-4) can be transformed to [\(1\)](#page-1-1). The design parameters are set to  $\lambda_{i,1} = 1, \lambda_{i,2} = 2, k_i = 1.5, \Gamma_i = 5I_2, \mu_i = 5$ , and  $\varepsilon_i = e^{-0.05t}$ .



<span id="page-4-3"></span>**FIGURE 1.** Directed communication topology.

Figs. [2-](#page-5-1)[5](#page-5-2) show the validity of our proposed approach. The angle and angular velocity profile of each robot is shown in Figs. [2](#page-5-1)[-3,](#page-5-3) from which we can observe that all robots have reached a consensus and have always met the requirements for robot angle constraints. Figs. [4](#page-5-4)[-5](#page-5-2) exhibit the evolution of the reference positions. In the light of the simulation results, regardless of the existence of angle constraints and uncertain dynamic characteristics, our proposed control strategy is able to complete the consensus task under the condition of a directed topology and holds satisfactory closed-loop performance.



**FIGURE 2.** The angles  $p_j$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ .

<span id="page-5-1"></span>

**FIGURE 3.** The angular velocities  $v_j$  for  $1 \le i \le 4$ .

<span id="page-5-3"></span>

**FIGURE 4.** Trajectories of  $x_{i,1}$  for  $1 \le i \le 4$ .

<span id="page-5-4"></span>

<span id="page-5-2"></span>**FIGURE 5.** Trajectories of  $x_{i,2}$  for  $1 \le i \le 4$ .

## <span id="page-5-0"></span>**V. CONCLUSION**

The consensus issue of second-order multi-agent systems has been carefully handled. A new reference position has been

VOLUME 8, 2020  $\,$  184839  $\,$ 

designed for each agent to address the position constraints while eliminating the requirement of neighbor velocity variables, and an adaptive control scheme has been developed on this basis. It has been shown that the proposed control strategy guarantees not only the convergence of the consensus error to zero but also the boundedness of all closed-loop signals. Simulations on four single-link robots validated the theoretical findings. Following the benchmark method designed in this article, future work includes extending the results to multi-agent systems including position constraints under switching communication topologies.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] W. Ren and R. W. Beard, *Distributed Consensus in Multi-Vehicle Cooperative Control*. New York, NY, USA: Springer, 2008.
- [2] R. Olfati-Saber and R. M. Murray, ''Consensus problems in networks of agents with switching topology and time-delays,'' *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 49, no. 9, pp. 1520–1533, Sep. 2004.
- [3] W. Yu, G. Chen, and M. Cao, "Some necessary and sufficient conditions for second-order consensus in multi-agent dynamical systems,'' *Automatica*, vol. 46, no. 6, pp. 1089–1095, Jun. 2010.
- [4] W. Xie, B. Ma, T. Fernando, W. Huang, and Y. Zhao, ''Global smooth leaderless consensus control of high-order nonholonomic chained systems,'' *Int. J. Control*, early access, Jun. 22, 2020, doi: [10.1080/00207179.2020.1779957.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00207179.2020.1779957)
- [5] G. Wang, C. Wang, and L. Li, ''Fully distributed low-complexity control for nonlinear strict-feedback multiagent systems with unknown dead-zone inputs,'' *IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern. Syst.*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 421–431, Feb. 2020.
- [6] Z.-G. Hou, L. Cheng, and M. Tan, "Decentralized robust adaptive control for the multiagent system consensus problem using neural networks,'' *IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern. B. Cybern.*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 636–647, Jun. 2009.
- [7] W. Chen, X. Li, W. Ren, and C. Wen, ''Adaptive consensus of multiagent systems with unknown identical control directions based on a novel Nussbaum-type function,'' *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 59, no. 7, pp. 1887–1892, Jul. 2014.
- [8] G. Wang, "Distributed control of higher-order nonlinear multi-agent systems with unknown non-identical control directions under general directed graphs,'' *Automatica*, vol. 110, Dec. 2019, Art. no. 108559.
- [9] J. Mei, W. Ren, and J. Chen, "Distributed consensus of second-order multiagent systems with heterogeneous unknown inertias and control gains under a directed graph,'' *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 61, no. 8, pp. 2019–2034, Aug. 2016.
- [10] A. Abdessameud and A. Tayebi, "Distributed consensus algorithms for a class of high-order multi-agent systems on directed graphs,'' *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 63, no. 10, pp. 3464–3470, Oct. 2018.
- [11] X. Jin, "Adaptive fixed-time control for MIMO nonlinear systems with asymmetric output constraints using universal barrier functions,'' *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 64, no. 7, pp. 3046–3053, Jul. 2019.
- [12] X. Jin, "Iterative learning control for output-constrained nonlinear systems with input quantization and actuator faults,'' *Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 729–741, Jan. 2018.
- [13] K. P. Tee, S. S. Ge, and E. H. Tay, ''Barrier Lyapunov functions for the control of output-constrained nonlinear systems,'' *Automatica*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 918–927, Apr. 2009.
- [14] W. Meng, Q. Yang, J. Si, and Y. Sun, "Consensus control of nonlinear multiagent systems with time-varying state constraints,'' *IEEE Trans. Cybern.*, vol. 47, no. 8, pp. 2110–2120, Aug. 2017.
- [15] B. Fan, Q. Yang, S. Jagannathan, and Y. Sun, "Output-constrained control of nonaffine multiagent systems with partially unknown control directions,'' *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 64, no. 9, pp. 3936–3942, Sep. 2019.
- [16] G. Wang, C. Wang, and X. Cai, "Consensus control of output-constrained multiagent systems with unknown control directions under a directed graph,'' *Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control*, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 1802–1818, Mar. 2020.
- [17] E. D. Sontag, *Mathematical Control Theory: Deterministic Finite Dimensional Systems*. New York, NY, USA: Springer, 2013.
- [18] M. M. Polycarpou, "Stable adaptive neural control scheme for nonlinear systems,'' *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 447–451, Mar. 1996.
- [19] C.-T. Chen, *Linear System Theory and Design*. New York, NY, USA: Oxford Univ. Press, 1999.
- [20] P. J. Antsaklis and A. N. Michel, *A Linear Systems Primer*. Boston, MA, USA: Birkhäuser, 2007.
- [21] Y. Wang, B. Jiang, Z.-G. Wu, S. Xie, and Y. Peng, "Adaptive sliding mode fault-tolerant fuzzy tracking control with application to unmanned marine vehicles,'' *IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern. Syst.*, early access, Jan. 24, 2020, doi: [10.1109/TSMC.2020.2964808.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TSMC.2020.2964808)
- [22] Y. Wang, X. Xie, M. Chadli, S. Xie, and Y. Peng, ''Sliding mode control of fuzzy singularly perturbed descriptor systems,'' *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, early access, May 29, 2020, doi: [10.1109/TFUZZ.2020.2998519.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TFUZZ.2020.2998519)
- [23] Y. Wang, Y. Xia, H. Li, and P. Zhou, ''A new integral sliding mode design method for nonlinear stochastic systems,'' *Automatica*, vol. 90, pp. 304–309, Apr. 2018.
- [24] G. Wang, C. Wang, Z. Ding, and Y. Ji, ''Distributed consensus of nonlinear multi-agent systems with mismatched uncertainties and unknown highfrequency gains,'' *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. II, Exp. Briefs*, early access, Aug. 31, 2020, doi: [10.1109/TCSII.2020.3016977.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TCSII.2020.3016977)
- [25] M. W. Spong, S. Hutchinson, and M. Vidyasagar, *Robot Modeling and Control*. New York, NY, USA: Wiley, 2006.



WEI LI received the Ph.D. degree from Xiamen University, China, in 2014. From 2014 to 2016, he has worked with the Research Department of China Foreign Exchange Trade System. From 2016 to 2018, he has worked with the Financial Market Department of Suzhou Bank, managing the bond investment with a scale of more than 50 billion yuan. Since 2018, he has been with the Shanghai Lixin University of Accounting and Finance. His main research interests include

machine learning, intelligent investment, and program trading.



YUN ZHANG received the Ph.D. degree from East China Normal University. He was a Postdoctoral Research with Fudan University and a Visiting Research with the University of California, UCR. He is currently serving as the Executive Dean, a Professor, and the Doctoral Tutor with the School of Finance, Shanghai Lixin University of Accounting and Finance, China. His main research interests include data finance and investment, green finance and environmental economy, and so on.



FANGYAN YANG received the B.S. degree in information and computing science and the M.S. degree in nonlinear circuits and systems from the Chongqing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Chongqing, China, in 2003 and 2007, respectively. She was appointed as a Lecturer, in 2007, and an Associate Professor, in 2014 with the Chongqing University of Posts and Telecommunications. Since 2017, she has been an Associate Professor with the University of Shanghai

for Science and Technology, Shanghai, China. Her current research interests include nonlinear circuits and systems, numerical computation, and bifurcation and chaos.



GANG WANG (Member, IEEE) received the B.Sc. degree in information and computing science and the Ph.D. degree in systems analysis and integration from the University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai, China, in 2012 and 2017, respectively. After working as a Research Associate with the University of Nevada, Reno, NV, USA, for two years, he joined the University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, in 2020, where he is currently a Lecturer with the

Institute of Machine Intelligence. His research interests include distributed control of nonlinear systems, adaptive control, and robotics. He was a finalist for the Best Paper Award at the 2019 IEEE/ASME International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics.