

Received February 28, 2020, accepted March 22, 2020, date of publication March 25, 2020, date of current version April 8, 2020. *Digital Object Identifier* 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2983330

# An Efficient Commutative Encryption and Data Hiding Scheme for HEVC Video

# BO GUAN<sup>1</sup>, DAWEN XU<sup>D1</sup>, (Member, IEEE), AND QIAN LI<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Electronics and Information Engineering, Ningbo University of Technology, Ningbo 315211, China <sup>2</sup>College of Digital Technology and Engineering, Ningbo University of Finance and Economics, Ningbo 315175, China

Corresponding author: Dawen Xu (dawenxu@126.com)

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 61771270, in part by the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant LR20F020001 and Grant LQ20F020025, and in part by the Ningbo Natural Science Foundation under Grant 2018A610054.

**ABSTRACT** In this paper, an improved commutative encryption and data hiding scheme for bit rate preservation of High Efficiency Video Coding (HEVC) is proposed. To achieve commutative property, one set of syntax elements within the HEVC standard is utilized for data hiding, while another set is exploited for encryption. Specifically, the syntax elements including the sign of quantized transform coefficient (QTC), the sign of motion vector difference (MVD), and the magnitude of MVD are encrypted to perceptually distort the video. On the other hand, an improved QTC modification method is employed for data hiding to increase the embedding capacity. The proposed framework produces the format compliant bitstream and allows the hidden information to be extracted regardless of the video being in the encrypted or decrypted from. Experimental results demonstrate that the proposed scheme keeps the bit rate unchanged while increasing the embedding capacity.

**INDEX TERMS** Video encryption, video data hiding, commutative encryption and data hiding, high efficiency video coding (HEVC).

#### I. INTRODUCTION

With the availability of high-speed broadband access and intelligent terminal devices, video data has become the most universal and popular application carrier. People can easily access, store and transmit digital video, but the security problems are also facing serious challenges. How to ensure the content security of digital video and prevent the information contained in digital video from being eavesdropped, intercepted, destroyed or tampered by illegal users has become an important research issue in the field of cyberspace security. Video cryptography [1] and video data hiding [2], [3] have been designed to solve these problems.

Video cryptography scrambles plaintext into ciphertext using a specific key, which is then required to restore the video. The simple way to protect video content is to encrypt the entire video stream with a secure cipher, regardless of the video coding structure defined as Naive Encryption Algorithm (NEA) [1]. The NEA algorithm has disadvantages of high computational cost during the process of

The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and approving it for publication was Zhaoqing Pan<sup>(b)</sup>.

encryption and decryption, and will lead to format incompatibility. Therefore, as a flexible alternative to NEA, selective encryption has attracted great attention. Its main goal is to reduce the amount of data to encrypt by encrypting only some highly sensitive elements. Selective encryption should achieve a required level of security while maintaining format compatibility. In recent years, various schemes have been proposed for compressed video. According to the syntax elements to be encrypted, the works proposed in [4] and [5] encrypt transform coefficients (texture information), while that in [6] encrypts intra-prediction modes (structure information). Sallam et al. [7] proposed a RC6-based HEVC selective encryption technique that encrypts the sign bits of Non-Zero Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT) coefficients, the remaining absolute value suffixes of DCT coefficients, the sign bits of MVD and the absolute value suffixes of MVD.

Video data hiding technique aims to embed secret message into a cover media for the purpose of secure covert communication or copyright protection. In the literature, many different data hiding algorithms have been proposed and overviews of these algorithms are also provided [2], [3]. For example, some existing systems embed the information

in the uncompressed domain [8], [9]. However, lossy compression is inherently contradictory with data hiding and may result in unreliable extraction of hidden information. Consequently, a great deal of research using the compressed domain has been conducted. Data embedding in compressed domain can be achieved by exploiting intra prediction modes [10], motion vectors [11], DCT coefficients [12], and entropy coding [13]. However, these methods are mainly aimed at H.264/AVC or MPEG-2 standards. Data hiding methods for the HEVC standard are still relatively scarce. Chang et al. [14] proposed an error propagation-free data hiding algorithm for HEVC intra-coded frames. Dutta and Gupta proposed the robust watermarking frameworks that embed the watermark information into I frames [15] and P frames [16] of HEVC encoded video. Later, Yang and Li [17] proposed an efficient information hiding method for HEVC by introducing motion vector space.

Although many methods of video encryption and data hiding have been proposed, there are few reports of commutative encryption and data hiding. In [18], a scheme to realize commutative encryption and watermarking is designed. In this scheme, IPM, MVD and DCT coefficients' signs are encrypted, while DCT coefficients' amplitudes are adaptively watermarked. However, the independence of encryption and embedding may lead to some security flaws. To overcome the security problem, Lian [19] proposed a quasi-commutative watermarking and encryption scheme for MPEG-2 video. In addition, some schemes of irreversible data hiding [20]–[23] and reversible data hiding [24]–[26] in partially encrypted H.264/AVC or HEVC videos are investigated.

In [27], an efficient scheme of commutative encryption and data hiding based on HEVC codec is provided. Quantized transform coefficients' (QTCs) signs, MVDs' signs and IPMs are encrypted, while Non-Zero QTCs' level values are utilized for data embedding. However, due to the encryption of IPM, the bit rate will be actually increased. In addition, data embedding is carried out by single *bin-string* substitution. That is to say, a qualified bin-string can only be used to hide one bit of information, which means that the substitution does not make full use of the embedding space. Aiming at these challenges, in this paper, an improved version of commutative encryption and data hiding method in HEVC compression is designed. The improvement focuses on providing more efficient selective encryption and data embedding mechanism. In order to prevent encryption and hiding from interfering with each other, the elements in the HEVC coding structure are divided into two groups. The first set of elements can lead to significant perceptual distortion even when they are slightly modified, so they are suitable for encryption. The second group is suitable for data hiding because their modifications will have little effect on visual quality. Specifically, such parameters as the sign bits of QTCs, the sign bits of MVDs, and the absolute value suffixes of MVDs are encrypted, while the Non-Zero QTCs' level is utilized for data embedding. The advantage is that it can maintain constant bit rate and format compatibility by encrypting sensitive video elements.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II, the proposed scheme is introduced. Experimental results and analysis are presented in Section III. Finally in Section IV, conclusion and future work are drawn.

# **II. PROPOSED SCHEME**

The proposed scheme consists of two parts, i.e., video encryption and video data hiding. If encryption and data hiding operations are commutative, the following equation will be established [27].

$$S(E(O, K_e), K_s) = E(S(O, K_s), K_e)$$
(1)

where O represents the original video, E() represents the encryption algorithm, S() represents the data hiding algorithm,  $K_e$  represents the encryption key, and  $K_s$  represents the data hiding key.

# A. SELECTIVE ENCRYPTION OF HEVC VIDEO

Context Adaptive Binary Arithmetic Coding (CABAC) is a form of entropy coding first introduced in H.264/AVC and now used in HEVC. The core algorithm of CABAC involves binarization, context modeling, and arithmetic coding [29], [30]. In the binarization step, non-binary syntax elements are converted to binary symbols (*bins*), also known as "*bin strings*". Context modeling is to estimate the probability of the various bins. Arithmetic coding refers to compressing the bins to bits based on the estimated probability. Arithmetic coding can be carried out in either *regular* mode or *bypass* mode. For *regular* mode, the probability mode of the bin is selected by the context, which refers to the previously encoded syntax elements. In *bypass* mode, a fixed probability model is used to perform arithmetic coding.

Nowadays, CABAC-based encryption is still a challenging research topic. The reason is that the bits in CABAC compressed bitstream are very interdependent. The proposed HEVC selective encryption uses the stream cipher to encrypt a set of the bins that use the bypass-BAC mode in the entropy process, so as to ensure format compatibility and maintain the same bit rate. From the perspective of binarization operation, the truncated rice code and k-th order Exp-Golomb (EGk) code can meet these constraints of HEVC selective encryption. In this work, the encryption space is constituted of the sign bits of QTCs, the sign bits of MVDs, and MVD absolute value. The main consideration is that any modification of the MVD suffix and the sign bins will not change the format compatibility and maintain the same bit rate. Fig.1 shows the block diagram of the proposed HEVC selective encryption technique.

# 1) QTC'S SIGN ENCRYPTION

For each non-zero residual macroblock, a stream cipher (e.g., Rabbit or RC4) is used to encrypt the signs bits of *QTCs* in both I-frames and P-frames. Typically, a XOR operation is



**FIGURE 1.** The block diagram of HEVC selective encryption and data embedding.

performed between the bits of plaintext and the secret bits generated from a pseudorandom number generator (PRNG). Consequently, the bits of cipher text can be obtained as

$$C_i = X_i \oplus K_i \tag{2}$$

where  $X_i$  represents the sign bit of the *i*-th non-zero *QTC* to be encrypted. If the *QTC* is positive,  $X_i$  is equal to 0. Otherwise,  $X_i$  is equal to 1.  $K_i$  denotes the secret bit generated by the stream cipher, and  $C_i$  is the corresponding ciphertext bit. The main advantages of this algorithm are simplicity and high speed.

More importantly, the sign bit of non-zero *QTC* is encoded in *bypass* mode. In *bypass* mode, each bin is directly encoded via the *bypass* coding engine without updating probability model, so its encryption will not affect compression performance.

#### 2) MVD'S SIGN ENCRYPTION

The motion vectors (*MVs*) play an important role for interprediction in HEVC video coding, and it is very important for video reconstruction. In HEVC, advanced motion vector prediction is adopted to predict current motion vector. That is, not *MVs* but *MVDs* are actually coded in the bitstream. Encrypting *MVDs* is critical for protecting contours and motion information. Since the signs and magnitudes of *MVDs* are encoded separately in HEVC, they are encrypted separately in the proposed scheme. The signs of *MVDs* are coded in *bypass* mode which means changing zero to one or vice versa does not affect the compression ratio. In order to keep the length of the coded stream unchanged, the signs of *MVDs* are encrypted by applying the bitwise XOR operation with a stream cipher.

## 3) MVD'S MAGNITUDE ENCRYPTION

In H.264/AVC, the first -nine bins of *MVD* are regular coded truncated unary bins, followed by 3rd order Exp-Golomb bins that are coded via *bypass* mode. In HEVC,

#### TABLE 1. Binarization of MVD'S magnitude.

MVD's Magnitude	abs_mvd_minus2	Bin String
0		abs mvd greater0 flag
1		abs mvd greater1 flag
2	0	0 0
3	1	0 1
4	2	10 00
5	3	10 01
6	4	10 10
7	5	10 11
8	6	110 000
9	7	110 001
10	8	110 010
11	9	110 011
12	10	110 100
13	11	110 101
14	12	110 110
15	13	110 111
16	14	1110 0000
17	15	1110 0001
18	16	1110 0010
19	17	1110 0011
20	18	1110 0100
21	19	1110 0101
22	20	1110 0110
23	21	1110 0111

the number of regular coded bins for *MVD* is greatly reduced [31]. Only the first two bins are regular coded (abs\_mvd\_greater0\_flag, abs\_mvd\_greater1\_flag), followed by first-order Exp-Golomb (EG1) bins that are coded via *bypass* mode (abs\_mvd\_minus2). TABLE.1 shows the binarization of *MVD*'s magnitude. The *MVD*'s magnitude encryption is performed by XORing the suffix with pseudorandom bits, which is determined by an encryption key as shown in Fig. 1. In order to achieve format compatibility, the encryption should keep the length of the bin string unchanged.

The proposed encryption technology is to encrypt HEVC video content while maintaining format compatibility and the same bit rate. Format compatibility is achieved by encrypting bins in bypass mode, and the structure of HEVC video bit-stream is not modified. By encrypting *QTCs* sign bits, *MVDs* sign bits, and *MVD* absolute value suffixes, it is ensured that the encrypted bin has the same length as the original bin, thereby achieving the same bit rate.

In summary, the proposed HEVC selective encryption scheme is to encrypt the sensitive bin strings, which has no impact on the compression ratio and can achieve effective perceptual scrambling performance. This will be confirmed by later experimental observations. In addition, only XOR operations are performed with the features of low complexity overhead and fast encoding time.

# **B. DECRYPTION OF HEVC VIDEO**

The decryption process can be performed by an authorized user with the encryption key. According to the encryption

#### **TABLE 2.** Binarization of the remaining level (m = 0).

coeff_abs_level_remaining	prefix	suffix	Suffix Range
0	0		
1	10		
2	110		
3	1110		
4~5	11110	Х	0 to 1
6~9	111110	XX	0 to 3
10~17	1111110	XXX	0 to 7
18~33	11111110	XXXX	0 to 15

**TABLE 3.** Binarization of the remaining level (m = 1).

coeff_abs_level_remaining	prefix	suffix	Suffix Range
0~1	0	х	0 to 1
2~3	10	Х	0 to 1
4~5	110	Х	0 to 1
6~7	1110	Х	0 to 1
8~11	11110	XX	0 to 3
12~19	111110	XXX	0 to 7
20~35	1111110	XXXX	0 to 15
36~67	11111110	XXXXX	0 to 31

process, we will decrypt the sign of *QTCs*, the sign of *MVDs*, and the magnitude of *MVDs* respectively. The decryption operation is relatively simple. Specifically, the encrypted binstrings are decrypted using the same cipher stream as the encryption process. Because the XOR operation is symmetrical, the decryption operation will be the same as the encryption operation. Therefore, the detailed decryption process is omitted.

## C. DATA EMBEDDING PROCESS

To realize commutative encryption and data hiding, the syntax elements utilized for data embedding should be distinguished from the syntax elements selected for encryption. In this work, the coefficient level is considered for data embedding. In H.264/AVC, the coefficient level is coded as a truncated unary code in regular mode for bins 1 to 14. If the level is larger than 14, then a suffix is appended to the truncated unary code. The suffix is binarized with a 0th-order Exp-Golomb code (EG0) and coded in bypass mode. However, in HEVC, only the first two bins of (i.e., coeff\_abs\_level\_greater1\_flag and coeff abs level greater2 flag) are regular coded [31]. The remaining level (coeff\_abs\_level\_remaining) is coded in bypass mode in order to increase throughput. HEVC employs Golomb-Rice codes for small values and Exp-Golomb code for larger values. The Rice parameter m is set to 0 at the beginning of each coefficient group and it is conditionally updated depending on the previous value of the parameter and the current absolute level as follows [32].

If abs Coeff Level >  $3 \times 2^m$ ,  $m = \min(4, m+1)$  (3)

where the absolute value of the coefficient is calculated as

 $abs Coeff Level = base Level + coeff _abs_level_remaining$ (4)

The baseLevel of a coefficient is defined as

$$baseLevel = significant\_coeff\_flag + coeff\_abs\_level\_greater1\_flag + coeff\_abs\_level\_greater2\_flag$$
(5)

where a flag has a value of 0 or 1 and is inferred to be 0 if not present.

Generally, for the binarization of the synta x element *coeff\_abs\_level\_remaining*, the prefix is coded using a truncated unary code, and the suffix is coded using a fixed length code. In order to improve coding efficiency, the number of fixed length bins also depends on Rice parameter *m*. When *m* ranges from 0 to 4, the binarization of the remaining level are shown in TABLE. 2 to 6, respectively [27].

Data embedding can be accomplished by modifying eligible *bin-strings* of *coeff\_abs\_level\_remaining* in TABLE.2~6. Generally, in order to improve the security, the additional data is first encrypted using a data-hiding key. The encrypted version can be denoted as  $W = \{w(i)|i = 1, 2, ..., K, w(i) \in \{0, 1\}\}$ . According to the Rice parameter value, the data embedding operation is carried out in the following five cases.

Case 1 Rice Parameter m = 0:

As can be seen from TABLE.2, when *coeff\_abs\_level\_ remaining* is less than or equal to 3, there is no suffix that satisfies the equal-length substitution condition. Therefore, if the current value is less than or equal to 3, data

# **TABLE 4.** Binarization of the remaining level (m = 2).

coeff_abs_level_remaining	prefix	suffix	Suffix Range
0~3	0	XX	0 to 3
4~7	10	XX	0 to 3
8~11	110	XX	0 to 3
12~15	1110	XX	0 to 3
16~23	11110	XXX	0 to 7
24~39	111110	XXXX	0 to 15
40~71	1111110	XXXXX	0 to 31
	•••		• • •

#### **TABLE 5.** Binarization of the remaining level (m = 3).

coeff_abs_level_remaining	prefix	suffix	Suffix Range
0~7	0	XXX	0 to 7
8~15	10	XXX	0 to 7
16~23	110	XXX	0 to 7
24~31	1110	XXX	0 to 7
32~47	11110	XXXX	0 to 15
48~79	111110	XXXXX	0 to 31

**TABLE 6.** Binarization of the remaining level (m = 4).

coeff_abs_level_remaining	prefix	suffix	Suffix Range
0~15	0	XXXX	0 to 15
16~31	10	XXXX	0 to 15
32~47	110	XXXX	0 to 15
48~63	1110	XXXX	0 to 15
64~95	11110	XXXXX	0 to 31

embedding will be skipped. Otherwise, the secret bits can be embedded by *bin-string* substitution (6)–(8), as shown at the bottom of this page, where  $\overline{EGO\_SUFFIX}$  denotes

the *suffix* of *bin-string* after data embedding. To simplify, only EG0\_suffix is listed. In addition,  $C1 = \{'0', '1'\}$ ,  $C2 = \{'00', '01', '10', '11'\}$ ,  $C3 = \{'000', '001', '010'\}$ ,

$$\overline{EG0\_SUFFIX} = \begin{cases} '0' & if w (i) = 0 \& EG0\_SUFFIX \in C1 \\ '1' & if w (i) = 1 \& EG0\_SUFFIX \in C1 \end{cases}$$
(6)  
$$\overline{EG0\_SUFFIX} = \begin{cases} '00' & if w (i, i+1) = 00 \& EG0\_SUFFIX \in C2 \\ '01' & if w (i, i+1) = 01 \& EG0\_SUFFIX \in C2 \\ '10' & if w (i, i+1) = 10 \& EG0\_SUFFIX \in C2 \\ '11' & if w (i, i+1) = 11 \& EG0\_SUFFIX \in C2 \\ '001' & if w (i, i+1) = 00 \& EG0\_SUFFIX \in C3 \\ '001' & if w (i, i+1) = 01 \& EG0\_SUFFIX \in C3 \\ '001' & if w (i, i+1) = 10 \& EG0\_SUFFIX \in \{C3, C4\} \\ '010' & if w (i, i+1) = 11 \& EG0\_SUFFIX \in \{C3, C4, C5\} \\ '100' & if w (i, i+1) = 00 \& EG0\_SUFFIX \in \{C3, C4, C5\} \\ '100' & if w (i, i+1) = 00 \& EG0\_SUFFIX \in \{C5, C6\} \\ '110' & if w (i, i+1) = 10 \& EG0\_SUFFIX \in \{C5, C6\} \\ '110' & if w (i, i+1) = 11 \& EG0\_SUFFIX \in \{C5, C6\} \\ '111' & if w (i, i+1) = 10 \& EG0\_SUFFIX \in \{C5, C6\} \\ '110' & if w (i, i+1) = 10 \& EG0\_SUFFIX \in \{C5, C6\} \\ '110' & if w (i, i+1) = 10 \& EG0\_SUFFIX \in \{C5, C$$

#### Procedure

```
if (w<sub>i</sub> = =0 and coeff_abs_level_remianing %2= =0)
{
    The current absCoeffLevel is unmodified. And let i=i+1.
    }
    else if (w<sub>i</sub> = =0 and coeff_abs_level_remianing %2= =1)
    {
        if (absCoeffLevel = =8) absCoeffLevel=absCoeffLevel -1.
        else
            { absCoeffLevel=absCoeffLevel -1. And let i=i+1.}
    }
    else if (w<sub>i</sub> = =1 and coeff_abs_level_remianing %2= =1)
    {
        The current absCoeffLevel is unmodified. And let i=i+1.
    }
    else if (w<sub>i</sub> = =1 and coeff_abs_level_remianing %2= =0)
    {
        if (absCoeffLevel ==5) absCoeffLevel=absCoeffLevel +1.
        else
            { absCoeffLevel ==5) absCoeffLevel+1. And let i=i+1.}
    }
}
```

FIGURE 2. The procedure of data embedding.

 $C4 = \{'011'\}, C5 = \{'100'\}, and C6 = \{'101', '110', '111'\}$ . For example, when *coeff\_abs\_level\_remaining* is equal to 13, the *EG0\_SUFFIX* of its bin-string is "011" which belongs to *C4*. If the to-be-embedded bits are "01", the *EG0\_SUFFIX* "011" should be substituted with "001" which is the corresponding replaceable bin-string in *C3*. After the substitution operation, the *coeff\_abs\_level\_remaining* will be changed to 11.

*Case 2: Rice Parameter* m = 1*:* 

According to Eq. (3), if the value of *absCoeffLevel* changes from 6 to 7 due to data hiding, the rice parameter *m* may fall into another region. As a result, the wrong Rice parameter will be used to decode the *absCoeffLevel*. To ensure format compatibility, data embedding will not be performed when the current *absCoeffLevel* is equal to 6 or 7. Otherwise, if the current *coeff\_abs\_level\_remaining* value is less than or equal to 7, the data embedding procedure is presented in Fig.2. In addition, if it is in the interval [8], [11], data embedding can be performed as Eq. (7). If it is in the interval [12], [19], data embedding can be performed as Eq. (8).

*Case 3 Rice Parameter* m = 2*:* 

In this case, for format compatibility, data embedding is also not performed when the current *absCoeffLevel* is equal to 12 or 13. In addition, if the current *coeff\_abs\_level\_remaining* value is in the interval [0, 3] or [4, 7], data embedding can be performed as Eq. (7). Otherwise, the data embedding will be performed according to the procedure in Fig.2. The only difference is to replace 8 and 5 in Fig.2 with 14 and 11, respectively.

*Case 4 Rice Parameter* m = 3*:* 

Similarly, it can be inferred from Eq.(3) that when the current *absCoeffLevel* is equal to 24 or 25, data embedding cannot be performed. In addition, if the current

*coeff\_abs\_level\_remaining* value is in the interval [0, 7] or [8, 15], data embedding can be performed as Eq. (8). Otherwise, the data embedding is performed according to the procedure in Fig.2. It should be noted that 8 should be replaced with 26 and 5 with 23.

*Case 5 Rice Parameter* m = 4*:* 

Since the coefficients satisfying this situation are scarce, data embedding is not considered.

The advantage of the algorithm is that data embedding can be carried out through simple *QTC* modification. Furthermore, the *bin-string* of the marked coefficient has the same length as the original *bin-string* and thus the length of the Network Abstraction Layer (NAL) units is preserved as well. Since I-frames are critical to the video sequence, distortion occurring in I-frames due to data hiding will propagate to subsequent P-frames. Therefore, the *QTC* modification in this paper is only implemented in P-frames, while all bin-strings of *absCoeffLevel* in I-frames remain unchanged. In general, P-frames have smaller embedding capacity because they are highly compressed by motion compensation and entropy coding.

## D. DATA EXTRACTION PROCESS

As with the embedding process, data extraction can be performed in the following five categories according to the Rice parameter.

*Case 1 Rice Parameter* m = 0*:* 

Obviously, from the embedding process, if the current *coeff\_abs\_level\_remaining* value is less than or equal to 3, data extraction will be skipped. Otherwise, data extraction is performed as follows.

$$\overline{w(i)} = \begin{cases} 0 & if \ EG0\_SUFFIX =' \ 0' \\ 1 & if \ \overline{EG0\_SUFFIX} =' \ 1' \end{cases}$$
(9)  
$$\overline{w(i, i+1)} = \begin{cases} 00 & if \ \overline{EG0\_SUFFIX} \in \{'00', '000', '100'\} \\ 01 & if \ \overline{EG0\_SUFFIX} \in \{'01', '001', '101'\} \\ 10 & if \ \overline{EG0\_SUFFIX} \in \{'10', '010', '110'\} \\ 11 & if \ \overline{EG0\_SUFFIX} \in \{'11', '011', '111'\} \end{cases}$$
(10)

where  $\overline{w(i)}$  denotes the extracted data,  $\overline{EG0\_SUFFIX}$  is the *suffix* of currently processing *bin-string* in encrypted domain. *Case 2 Rice Parameter* m = 1:

According to the previous embedding process, if the current *absCoeffLevel* value is equal to 6 or 7, data extraction will not be performed. If the current *coeff\_abs\_level\_remaining* value is less than or equal to 7, data extraction can be performed as Eq. (9). Otherwise, if it is in the interval [8], [11] or [12], [19], the extraction of the data bit can be performed as Eq. (10).

*Case 3 Rice Parameter* m = 2*:* 

Similarly, if the current *absCoeffLevel* value is equal to 12 or 13, data extraction will not be performed. If the current *coeff\_abs\_level\_remaining* value is in the interval [0, 3] or [4, 7], data extraction can be carried out as Eq. (10). Otherwise, data extraction is performed as Eq.(9).

#### TABLE 7. The set of benchmark video sequences used to evaluate the performance.

Class	Resolution	Frame Rate	Videos
А	352×288	30	Football, Bus
В	832×480	50-60	BasketballDrill, BQMall
С	1920×1080	24	Kimono, Tennis
D	2560×1600	30	PeopleOnStreet, Traffic



Case 4 Rice Parameter m = 3:

As before, if the current *absCoeffLevel* value is equal to 24 or 25, data extraction will not be performed. If the current *coeff\_abs\_level\_remaining* value is in the interval [0, 7] or [8, 15], data extraction can be carried out as Eq. (10). Otherwise, data extraction is performed as Eq. (9).

FIGURE 3. Original video frames of eight benchmark video sequences.

*Case 5 Rice Parameter* m = 4*:* 

In this case, data extraction will not be performed.

With the data-hiding key, the extracted hidden bits can be further decrypted to obtain the original message. It can be noted that in the proposed scheme, those syntax elements for encryption are not used for data embedding, and vice versa. Therefore, the order of embedding or encryption is irrelevant. Consequently, the proposed scheme conforms to the commutative property of Eq. (1).

#### **III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

The proposed scheme is implemented by applying the encryption and data embedding on the HEVC reference software version HM-12.0 [33]. TABLE.7 defines the set of benchmark video sequences that are used in the performance study. These video sequences have different characteristics, such as high or low texture, high or low motion. The proposed encryption and data hiding scheme is applied to all the benchmark video sequences for *low delay* mode. The frame number is 100, and intra period is set to 4.

## A. SCRAMBLING EFFECT AND SECURITY ANALYSIS

To quantify the visual degradation, a numerical quality analysis is provided in this section. The Peak Signal to Noise Ratio (*PSNR*), the Structural Similarity Index (*SSIM*), and the Video Quality Measurement (VQM) metrics are the common metrics used to evaluate video encryption [20]. The proposed scheme encrypts the signs of QTCs, the signs of MVDs, and the Magnitudes of MVDs, which seriously distorts video content and ensures the perception security. TABLE.8 illustrates the results of eight video sequence without encryption and with selective encryption for QP value 28 and 32. Average *PSNR* value for all sequences is 10.87dB for QP value 28, and is 10.74dB for QP value 32 for selective encryption. It can be noticed that the objective quality of the video is decreased to the low quality level, which confirms that the proposed algorithm can provide effective visual security.

The visualization of the encryption effect is also shown in Fig.3 and Fig.4. Fig.3 shows an original frame of each video, and Fig.4 shows the corresponding encrypted results. Due to space limitations, we do not list the visual results of all frames. It can be clearly seen that encrypted frames cannot be recognized by human vision. In Fig.5, each frame analysis is supplemented by a comparison between the original video and the corresponding encrypted video. In summary, the areas containing many details and textures will have a lot of nonzero coefficients, so it will be highly encrypted. On the other hand, uniform regions in each video frame, which contain a series of identical pixels, are less encrypted. Although the *PSNR* value of each frame has a small fluctuation, the scrambling effect can be guaranteed.

Cryptographic security of the proposed video encryption scheme depends on the adopted stream cipher. The traditional cipher is adopted in our scheme, and its security has been authenticated. One of the common attacks in video encryption is the replacement attack. In the replacement attack,



(e) Kimono (8.4353 dB)

(f) Tennis (7.3065dB)

(g) PeopleOnStreet (11.0796 dB)

(h) Traffic (10.2312 dB)

C	Saguanaa OP		PSNR (dB)		1	VQM		
Sequence	Qr	Reconstructed	Encrypted	Reconstructed	Encrypted	Reconstructed	Encrypted	
Football	32	34.2510	12.8877	0.9004	0.3288	1.1290	9.9598	
	28	37.1813	12.9634	0.9434	0.3228	0.8573	9.9069	
Dava	32	32.8120	9.3961	0.9328	0.2183	1.2732	10.2807	
Bus	28	35.6082	9.1046	0.9606	0.2095	0.9743	10.4647	
Daabath all Duill	32	35.6245	11.8614	0.9013	0.3757	1.0704	12.0096	
BasketballDrill	28	37.8842	11.5383	0.9342	0.3487	0.8449	12.8574	
POMall	32	35.2199	12.1766	0.9272	0.3511	1.0752	10.1694	
BQMall	28	37.5068	11.6471	0.9498	0.3413	0.8566	9.9966	
Kimono	32	38.6690	10.5612	0.9337	0.4545	0.9270	8.9011	
Kimono	28	40.5499	10.3708	0.9527	0.4428	0.7684	9.1386	
Tommia	32	37.9429	8.5510	0.9189	0.3746	0.9440	9.4087	
Tennis	28	39.7046	9.9851	0.9436	0.3912	0.7844	9.0739	
Paople On Streat	32	35.9914	10.2785	0.9261	0.3622	0.9739	11.1340	
reopieOnstreet	28	38.3239	10.7466	0.9498	0.3595	0.7723	10.6729	
Traffie	32	37.1660	10.2398	0.9399	0.3667	1.0257	12.2554	
11/4///10	28	39.0604	10.6305	0.9581	0.3600	0.8420	12.3852	

 TABLE 8. Perceptual quality of the encrypted videos.

FIGURE 4. The corresponding encrypted video frames.

the encrypted bits are usually replaced with constant bits to improve video quality and make it watchable. In our experiment, the replacement attack is performed by setting all the cipherable bits to "1" (marked as "Replacement attack 1") or "0" (marked as "Replacement attack 2") [23]. After the replacement attack, PSNR values of the corresponding frames are also shown in Fig.5. It can be seen that the quality improvement is still very limited, which means that none of the sequences can provide perceptible reconstruction. This confirms that the proposed encryption scheme is robust to the replacement attack. Moreover, histogram analysis is also carried out. Ideally, the histogram of cryptographic video frame should be different from that of plain video frame [27]. This can be guaranteed in our algorithm. Taking Kimono and Tennis video as examples, the histograms of the 10th frame before and after encryption are shown in Fig.6  $\sim$  9.

#### **B. VISUAL QUALITY OF DECRYPTED VIDEO**

In some cases, encrypted video containing hidden data provided by the server needs to be decrypted by an authorized user. To evaluate visual quality, the corresponding decrypted versions containing hidden data are shown in Figures 2 and 10, respectively. It can be seen that the perceptual quality of marked video is almost the same as that of original video.

Since HEVC is lossy compression, video perception quality is degraded even without data hiding and encryption. Generally, the larger the QP value, the greater the distortion. In order to better demonstrate the impact of data embedding on video quality, the visual quality of un-marked video stream should also be tested. Specifically, the video sequence obtained by decompressing the un-marked video stream (i.e., reconstructed video) is used as a target sequence, and the original uncompressed video sequence is used as a reference video sequence. Similarly, in order to test the visual quality of marked video stream, the video sequence obtained through encryption, data hiding, decryption and decompression processing is used as a target sequence. It can be seen that in this case, the target video contains hidden data. The comparison results are listed in TABLE.9. The visual quality degradation caused by data hiding is very low, that is, it is usually difficult to detect the degradation in video quality. Furthermore, the PSNR values of all marked frames are shown in Fig.11.



FIGURE 5. PSNR values of all encrypted frames.



C. EMBEDDING CAPACITY

In TABLE.9, the maximum embedding capacity of each video is listed when QP is 28 and 32. It can be seen that the maximum embedding capacity is largely dependent on the

video content. As shown in TABLE.9, the *Football*, *Kimono*, *and PeopleOnStreet* sequences provide significantly larger embedding capacity than other sequences. The reason is that the *Football* sequence has considerable motion, while



FIGURE 6. Histogram of Kimono plain video frame #10.



FIGURE 7. Histogram of Kimono cipher video frame #10.



FIGURE 8. Histogram of Tennis plain video frame #10.



FIGURE 9. Histogram of Tennis cipher video frame #10.

*Kimono* and *PeopleOnStreet* sequences have high textures. Therefore, the number of *QTCs* satisfying the embedding condition in P-frames of these video sequences is relatively large. In contrast, the sequences *BasketballDrill*, *BQMall*, and *Traffic* are mostly static with limited motion in some frames and coded by *skip* blocks. In addition, the embedding capacity decreases with the increase of QP value. As the QP value increases, the number of residual coefficients decreases, and thus the qualified *bin-string* also decreases.



FIGURE 10. The corresponding decrypted video frames containing the hidden data.

#### TABLE 9. Test results of the proposed scheme.

Comment		Maximum capacity	PSNI	PSNR (dB)		SSIM		VQM	
Sequence	QP	(bits)	Non-stego	Stego	Non-stego	Stego	Non-stego	Stego	
Football	32	6177	34.2510	34.1064	0.9004	0.8998	1.1290	1.1898	
	28	20379	37.1813	36.7557	0.9434	0.9421	0.8573	0.9682	
Dug	32	1429	32.8120	32.7909	0.9328	0.9327	1.2732	1.2900	
DUS	28	8414	35.6082	35.5091	0.9606	0.9604	0.9743	1.0389	
Packoth all Dwill	32	1832	35.6245	35.6135	0.9013	0.9013	1.0704	1.0803	
BaskeibaliDrili	28	6040	37.8842	37.8499	0.9342	0.9341	0.8449	0.8795	
BQMall	32	1913	35.2199	35.2087	0.9272	0.9271	1.0752	1.0831	
	28	6766	37.5068	37.4703	0.9498	0.9497	0.8566	0.8982	
Vimono	32	28554	38.6690	38.6086	0.9337	0.9334	0.9270	0.9570	
Kimono	28	103359	40.5499	40.4079	0.9527	0.9522	0.7684	0.8186	
Tommia	32	34480	37.9429	37.8276	0.9189	0.9184	0.9440	1.0038	
Tennis	28	78172	39.7046	39.5438	0.9436	0.9431	0.7844	0.8697	
PeopleOnStreet	32	69342	35.9914	35.9444	0.9261	0.9260	0.9739	1.0795	
	28	229316	38.3239	38.1974	0.9498	0.9495	0.7723	0.8983	
Turffe	32	2409	37.1660	37.1638	0.9399	0.9399	1.0257	1.0353	
тајјіс	28	8640	39.0604	39.0554	0.9581	0.9581	0.8420	0.8661	

#### TABLE 10. Performance comparison between the proposed method and the method in [27].

Company	QP	Maximum capacity (bits)		$\Delta PSNR (dB)$		BR_var	
Sequence		Method in	Proposed	Method in	Proposed	Method in	Proposed
		[27]	method	[27]	method	[27]	method
Easthall	32	2199	6177	0.0677	0.1446	3.88	0
Football	28	11138	20379	0.3447	0.4256	3.12	0
Dur	32	2834	1429	0.0582	0.0211	2.82	0
Bus	28	26210	8414	0.3748	0.0991	1.71	0
BasketballDrill	32	890	1832	0.0074	0.011	18.02	0
	28	4358	6040	0.0429	0.0343	12.94	0
DOM:11	32	1033	1913	0.0059	0.0112	9.11	0
БОман	28	9338	6766	0.0533	0.0365	6.26	0
<i>V</i> :	32	15692	28554	0.0213	0.0604	5.19	0
Kimono	28	35412	103359	0.0335	0.142	4.23	0
Trunin	32	9594	34480	0.0186	0.1153	14.94	0
Iennis	28	14398	78172	0.03	0.1608	12.13	0

# D. BIT RATE OVERHEAD

In the proposed scheme, the encryption of *QTCs* sign bits, *MVDs* sign bits, and *MVD* absolute value suffixes does not affect the bit rate, because they are coded with the bypass coding mode wherein a fixed context is used. Moreover, data hiding is carried out by modifying a suitable *absCoeffLevel* 

to another *absCoeffLevel* which has the same length of *bin-string*. This has also been verified in our experiments.

## E. DISCUSSION AND COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

In previous works [18], [20]–[27], some efficient algorithms for embedding data into encrypted video are proposed.



FIGURE 11. PSNR values of all marked frames.



In H.264/AVC, when the absolute value of non-zero *QTCs* is not greater than 15, there are no suitable *bin-strings* available for information embedding [22], [23]. In HEVC, only the

first two bins of the coefficient level are coded with context update. The remaining bins (i.e., *coeff\_abs\_level\_remaining*) are coded in bypass mode [31]. Consequently, there are more

Methods	Elements for encryption	Elements for data embedding	Bit-rate increase	Separability	Reversibility	Coding standard
[18]	IPM, sign of MVD, sign of QTC	Amplitude of QTC	Yes	Yes	No	H.264/AVC
[20]	IPM, sign of MVD, sign of QTC	CAVLC codewords	No	Yes	No	H.264/AVC
[21]	IPM, sign of MVD, sign of QTC	CAVLC codewords	No	Yes	No	H.264/AVC
[22]	sign of MVD, sign of QTC	CABAC bin-strings	No	Yes	No	H.264/AVC
[23]	IPM, sign of MVD, sign of QTC	CABAC bin-strings	Yes	Yes	No	H.264/AVC
[24]	IPM, sign of MVD, sign of QTC	Amplitude of QTC	Yes	Yes	Yes	H.264/AVC
[25]	IPM, sign of MVD, sign of QTC	Amplitude of QTC	Yes	Yes	Yes	H.264/AVC
[26]	sign of MVD, amplitude of MVD, sign of QTC	Amplitude of QTC	Yes	Yes	Yes	HEVC
[27]	sign of MVD, sign of QTC, IPM	Amplitude of QTC	Yes	Yes	No	HEVC
Proposed method	sign of MVD, amplitude of MVD, sign of QTC	Amplitude of QTC	No	Yes	No	HEVC

#### TABLE 11. Comparative analysis of the existed schemes.

qualified bin-strings in HEVC, which means a larger embedding capacity. The data hiding methods proposed in [24]-[26] are reversible, so it is not compared here. Compared with the method in [27], the proposed method has two advantages. First, encryption in [27] leads to an increase in video bit rate, while the proposed encryption method can keep the bit rate unchanged. Second, the embedding capacity is increased in most cases, as shown in TABLE.10. However, as the embedding capacity increases, the PSNR value will decrease correspondingly. Here,  $\Delta$  *PSNR* represents the difference between the *PSNR* values before and after data embedding, and BR\_var indicates the rate of video bit-rate increase caused by data embedding. In addition, the qualitative comparative analysis are also shown in TABLE.11. It can be seen that the proposed scheme is suitable for the latest video coding standard HEVC and keeps the bit rate unchanged.

#### **IV. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK**

In this paper, an improved commutative encryption and data hiding scheme is presented, which can completely maintain the bit rate of HEVC video. In order to realize commutative property, one set of syntax elements is used for encryption, while another set of syntax elements is utilized for data hiding. The selective encryption is designed to encrypt QTCs sign bits, MVDs sign bits, and MVD absolute value suffixes, which has no effect on the HEVC video format compliance and bit rate. An improved coefficient modification technique is designed for data embedding, which results in a certain increase in embedding capacity. In addition, the data extraction process can be performed regardless of whether the video is in the encrypted or decrypted domain. The security analysis results also demonstrate that the scheme can achieve perceptual security and cryptographic security. Future work will focus on further improving the embedding rate and distortion performance of the algorithm.

#### REFERENCES

- T. Stutz and A. Uhl, "A survey of H.264 AVC/SVC encryption," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol.*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 325–339, Mar. 2012.
- [2] Y. Tew and K. Wong, "An overview of information hiding in H.264/AVC compressed video," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol.*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 305–319, Feb. 2014.

- [3] M. Asikuzzaman and M. R. Pickering, "An overview of digital video watermarking," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol.*, vol. 28, no. 9, pp. 2131–2153, Sep. 2018.
- [4] Z. Shahid, M. Chaumont, and W. Puech, "Fast protection of H.264/AVC by selective encryption of CAVLC and CABAC for I and P frames," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol.*, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 565–576, May 2011.
- [5] Y. Wang, M. O'Neill, and F. Kurugollu, "A tunable encryption scheme and analysis of fast selective encryption for CAVLC and CABAC in H.264/AVC," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol.*, vol. 23, no. 9, pp. 1476–1490, Sep. 2013.
- [6] B. Boyadjis, C. Bergeron, B. Pesquet-Popescu, and F. Dufaux, "Extended selective encryption of H.264/AVC (CABAC)-and HEVC-encoded video streams," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol.*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 892–906, Apr. 2017.
- [7] A. I. Sallam, O. S. Faragallah, and E.-S.-M. El-Rabaie, "HEVC selective encryption using RC6 block cipher technique," *IEEE Trans. Multimedia*, vol. 20, no. 7, pp. 1636–1644, Jul. 2018.
- [8] R. J. Mstafa, K. M. Elleithy, and E. Abdelfattah, "A robust and secure video steganography method in DWT-DCT domains based on multiple object tracking and ECC," *IEEE Access*, vol. 5, pp. 5354–5365, 2017.
- [9] H. Mareen, J. De Praeter, G. Van Wallendael, and P. Lambert, "A scalable architecture for uncompressed-domain watermarked videos," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Security*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 1432–1444, Jun. 2019.
- [10] D. Xu, R. Wang, and J. Wang, "Prediction mode modulated data-hiding algorithm for H.264/AVC," J. Real-Time Image Process., vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 205–214, Dec. 2012.
- [11] H. A. Aly, "Data hiding in motion vectors of compressed video based on their associated prediction error," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Security*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 14–18, Mar. 2011.
- [12] Y. Liu, M. Hu, X. Ma, and H. Zhao, "A new robust data hiding method for H.264/AVC without intra-frame distortion drift," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 151, pp. 1076–1085, Mar. 2015.
- [13] T. Stutz, F. Autrusseau, and A. Uhl, "Non-blind structure-preserving substitution watermarking of H.264/CAVLC inter-frames," *IEEE Trans. Multimedia*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 1337–1349, Aug. 2014.
- [14] P.-C. Chang, K.-L. Chung, J.-J. Chen, C.-H. Lin, and T.-J. Lin, "A DCT/DST-based error propagation-free data hiding algorithm for HEVC intra-coded frames," *J. Vis. Commun. Image Represent.*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 239–253, Feb. 2014.
- [15] T. Dutta and H. P. Gupta, "A robust watermarking framework for high efficiency video coding (HEVC)–encoded video with blind extraction process," J. Vis. Commun. Image Represent., vol. 38, pp. 29–44, Jul. 2016.
- [16] T. Dutta and H. P. Gupta, "An efficient framework for compressed domain watermarking in p frames of high-efficiency video coding (HEVC)encoded video," ACM Trans. Multimedia Comput., Commun., Appl., vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 1–24, Jan. 2017.
- [17] J. Yang and S. Li, "An efficient information hiding method based on motion vector space encoding for HEVC," *Multimedia Tools Appl.*, vol. 77, no. 10, pp. 11979–12001, May 2018.
- [18] S. Lian, Z. Liu, Z. Ren, and H. Wang, "Commutative encryption and watermarking in video compression," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol.*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 774–778, Jun. 2007.

- [19] S. Lian, "Quasi-commutative watermarking and encryption for secure media content distribution," *Multimedia Tools Appl.*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 91–107, May 2009.
- [20] D. W. Xu, R. D. Wang, and Y. Q. Shi, "Data hiding in encrypted H. 264/AVC video streams by codeword substitution," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Security*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 596–606, Apr. 2014.
- [21] D. Xu, R. Wang, and Y. Q. Shi, "An improved scheme for data hiding in encrypted H.264/AVC videos," J. Vis. Commun. Image Represent., vol. 36, pp. 229–242, Apr. 2016.
- [22] D. Xu and R. Wang, "Context adaptive binary arithmetic coding-based data hiding in partially encrypted H.264/AVC videos," *J. Electron. Imag.*, vol. 24, no. 3, Jun. 2015, Art. no. 033028.
- [23] D. Xu, R. Wang, and Y. Zhu, "Tunable data hiding in partially encrypted H.264/AVC videos," J. Vis. Commun. Image Represent., vol. 45, pp. 34–45, May 2017.
- [24] D. Xu and R. Wang, "Efficient reversible data hiding in encrypted H.264/AVC videos," J. Electron. Imag., vol. 23, no. 5, Oct. 2014, Art. no. 053022.
- [25] Y. Z. Yao, W. M. Zhang, and N. H. Yu, "Inter-frame distortion drift analysis for reversible data hiding in encrypted H. 264/AVC video bitstreams," *Signal Process.*, vol. 128, pp. 531–545, Nov. 2016.
- [26] M. Long, F. Peng, and H.-Y. Li, "Separable reversible data hiding and encryption for HEVC video," *J. Real-Time Image Process.*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 171–182, Jan. 2018.
- [27] D. Xu, "Commutative encryption and data hiding in HEVC video compression," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 66028–66041, 2019.
- [28] X. Zhang, "Commutative reversible data hiding and encryption," Secur. Commun. Netw., vol. 6, no. 11, pp. 1396–1403, Nov. 2013.
- [29] D. Marpe, H. Schwarz, and T. Wiegand, "Context-based adaptive binary arithmetic coding in the H.264/AVC video compression standard," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol.*, vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 620–636, Jul. 2003.
- [30] G. J. Sullivan, J.-R. Ohm, W.-J. Han, and T. Wiegand, "Overview of the high efficiency video coding (HEVC) standard," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol.*, vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 1649–1668, Dec. 2012.
- [31] V. Sze and M. Budagavi, "High throughput CABAC entropy coding in HEVC," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol.*, vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 1778–1791, Dec. 2012.
- [32] J. Sole, R. Joshi, N. Nguyen, T. Ji, M. Karczewicz, G. Clare, F. Henry, and A. Duenas, "Transform coefficient coding in HEVC," *TEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol.*, vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 1765–1777, Dec. 2012.
- [33] HEVC Reference Software HM 12.0. Accessed: Dec. 6, 2016. [Online]. Available: https://hevc.hhi.fraunhofer.de/trac/hevc/browser/tags



**BO GUAN** is currently an Associate Professor with the School of Electronics and Information Engineering, Ningbo University of Technology, China. His research interests include digital watermarking and digital signal processing.



**DAWEN XU** (Member, IEEE) received the M.S. degree in communication and information system from Ningbo University, China, in 2005, and the Ph.D. degree in computer applied technology from Tongji University, China, in 2011. He is currently a Professor with the School of Electronics and Information Engineering, Ningbo University of Technology, China. His research interests include digital watermarking and information hiding, steganalysis, and signal processing in the encrypted

domain. He has served as a Technical Paper Reviewer for IEEE conferences, journal, and magazines.



**QIAN LI** received the Ph.D. degree in communication and information system from Ningbo University, China, in 2017. She is currently a Lecturer with the College of Digital Technology and Engineering, Ningbo University of Finance and Economics, China. Her research interests include digital video forensics and digital video information hiding.

...