

Received December 20, 2019, accepted February 5, 2020, date of publication February 17, 2020, date of current version March 16, 2020. *Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2974586*

# Metrological Performance of Hybrid Measurement Technique Applied for the Lamb Waves Phase Velocity Dispersion Evaluation

# LINA DRAUDVILI[E](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8057-5802)NE®1[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9960-7619) ASTA MESKUOTIENE $^{\mathbf{2}}$ , OLGIRDAS TUMŠYS<sup>1</sup>, LIUDAS MAZEIKA<sup>1</sup>, AND VYKINTAS SAMAITIS<sup>®1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ultrasound Institute, Kaunas University of Technology, 44249 Kaunas, Lithuania <sup>2</sup>Metrology Institute, Kaunas University of Technology, 44249 Kaunas, Lithuania Corresponding author: Lina Draudviliene (lina.draudviliene@ktu.lt)

**ABSTRACT** The work presents the metrological evaluation of the modified hybrid spectrum decomposition and zero-crossing technique. The presented technique enables to reconstruct the phase velocity dispersion curve part of Lamb wave modes using only two signals. This is set to consequently simplify the of complex guided wave signals analysis. Experimentally measured asymmetric  $A_0$  mode Lamb wave signals propagating in 4 mm thickness non-homogeneous Glass Fibre Reinforced Plastic (GFRP) plate are used for assessment of the proposed technique. The phase velocity dispersion curve (DC) segments are obtained using three different filter bandwidths as reference using the DC obtained by the semi-analytical finite element method SAFE. The proposed technique quantitative and qualitative characteristics are presented. Using this technique and employing various band-pass filters it is shown that the DC segments are reconstructed in approximately 50% - 88% bandwidth of the incident signal frequency spectrum. The average of the calculated expanded uncertainties for all filter bandwidths is equal to approximately 2%. The narrower filter bandwidth has produced smaller systematic errors equal to 1.8%, yielding to wider reconstructed dispersion curve segments.

**INDEX TERMS** Composite plate, dispersion curves, Lamb waves, phase velocity, reliability, uncertainty quantification.

#### **I. INTRODUCTION**

In recent years, the ultrasonic guided waves (UGW) are used in many industrial fields and applications due to possibility to detect defects and delaminations and to evaluate their parameters in large structures. By analyzing the amplitude or variation of propagation velocity of these waves, the non-homogeneities which may be available on objects with various geometries and properties can be detected [1]–[9]. Therefore, guided wave inspection is a convenient tool for the evaluation of the non-homogeneities in composite materials owing to sensitivity of propagation velocity to the material surface and internal defects and capability to propagate long distances with reasonable attenuation [10]–[15]. However, guided waves possess a dispersion phenomenon,

The associate editor coordinating the review of [this](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7351-0982) manuscript and approving it for publication was Ravibabu Mulaveesala<sup>10</sup>.

a multi-mode character and conversion to other modes on the boundaries of non-uniformities [14], [16]–[20]. The dispersion phenomenon leads to presence of two types of interrelated velocities - phase and group, both frequencies dependent and characterized by dispersion curves [21]–[22]. As the different frequency components propagate with different velocities after some distance they are concentrated in different UGW signal parts in the time domain [23]. This leads to the change of the waveform, elongation in time and reduction of the amplitude [1], [24], [25].

The incidence of many modes at the same frequency band with similar signal amplitudes [26] complicates the identification of the particular signal under interest. The multimodal behavior of guided waves exists in any case even in the case of single mode excitation techniques, as any imperfection in the structure may cause at least one conversion of guided wave modes. Such complex features of

the guided waves generate hurdles to identify the required signal and to determine accurately the amplitudes of the signal and/or propagation velocities [27]. Therefore, in order to use effectively the UGW in non-destructive applications, it is necessary to reconstruct the dispersion curves of group and/or phase velocities by extracting the time and frequency information from these complicated signals. The reconstructed dispersion curves allow identifying different modes in complex trails of the signal. Identification of different modes offers the possibility to determine more accurately the defect location and size and/or estimation of the material properties [11]. To resolve these tasks, the hybrid spectrum decomposition and zero-crossing technique for the evaluation of phase velocity dispersion relations of the Lamb wave's fundamental modes presented in previous work was proposed [28]. This technique has been verified in two different conditions:

a) On a homogenous medium using simulated and experimental signals of aluminum plate.

b) On a non-homogeneous medium using signals obtained by 3D finite element model of Carbon Fiber Reinforced Plastic (CFRP) plate.

In both cases, the obtained results have indeed verified suitability the proposed hybrid measurement technique to evaluate the Lamb waves phase velocity dispersion properties and to reconstruct the segment of dispersion curve. However, any measurement would be incomplete without an associated uncertainty to go along with. It is an important aspect of measurements that would affect costs, quality, and risks of the taken decisions. Therefore, to apply this presented hybrid technique in future investigations its reliability is needed to be evaluated. It has been reported in a previous study [28] that all stages of this method influence the measurement uncertainties. To evaluate a set of factors affecting the quality of experimental results the systematic and random errors are analyzed. These errors are quantified using conventional analytic methods and Monte Carlo simulation. The obtained experimental errors are combined with other unobserved sources of uncertainties, known using some other means. The estimation process of the measurement uncertainty includes the grouping sources covered by existing data, quantifying of the grouped and remaining components, converting these components to standard deviations.

This work aim is to evaluate the accuracy of the proposed hybrid spectrum decomposition and zero-crossing technique in Lamb wave's applications. To achieve this goal, the quantitative and qualitative characteristics of the method have been assessed based on the calculation of the systematic and random errors, the expanded uncertainty and the determination of the main uncertainty components influenced by the measurement result.

The investigation consists then in two main stages: the experimental investigation and the hybrid technique reliability evaluation. At first, the measurement object, the experimental set-up and the hybrid technique are described. Then the phase velocity dispersion curve segments of  $A_0$  mode



**FIGURE 1.** The configuration of the GFRP plate is used in the investigation.

**TABLE 1.** The elastic coefficients of the glass fiber reinforced plastic (GFRP) plate.

Material	$E_1,$ GPa	$E_2$ GPa	$v_{12}$	$v_{23}$	$G_{12}$ GPa	kg/m <sup>3</sup>
<b>GFRP</b>	27.1	12.1	0.18	0.2	4.1	1960

are obtained using hybrid technique and SAFE method as reference. Secondly, the evaluation uncertainties of the proposed hybrid technique are analyzed and assessed.

# **II. EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION**

### A. MEASUREMENT OBJECT

The composite GFRP plate is selected as an object of investigation. Such composites are widely used in many industrial applications, such as the manufacturing of the bodies of buses, ships, and wind power wings etc. [29]–[31].The selected GFRP laminate consists of six layers with ply orientations of −45◦ and +45◦ degrees yielding to overall plate thickness of  $d = 4$  mm (Fig. 1).

The semi-analytical finite element (SAFE) method [10], [32] has been used for the theoretical dispersion curves calculation. The elastic properties [33] of the investigated object are presented in Table 1, where the axis *x*, *y*, *z* are marked accordingly as 2, 3, 1. In this case, a generalized stiffness matrix was used to describe a GFRP laminate [34]. Hence, the stiffness matrix was obtained by summing the contribution of each ply in terms of their respective thicknesses. The obtained Lamb waves fundamental  $A_0$  and  $S_0$  modes phase velocity dispersion curves with SAFE method are presented in Fig. 2.

As it can be seen in Fig. 2, the asymmetric  $A_0$  mode possesses a big dispersion nature especially in lower frequency bandwidth, while the symmetric  $S_0$  mode is almost non-dispersive in frequency ranges under analysis.

There are mainly two parameters – the velocity and the amplitude of the signal used in the non-destructive evaluations and/or in monitoring applications. According to the UGW phase velocity, frequency and peak amplitude variations, the location and the size of the defect such as delamination can be detected [11]. Therefore, it is necessary to reconstruct dispersion curves from the signals acquired on the object under investigation.



**FIGURE 2.** The Lamb waves  $A_0$  and  $S_0$  modes phase velocity dispersion curves obtained with SAFE method.



**FIGURE 3.** The experimental set-up for phase velocity measurement of the Lamb waves  $A_0$  and  $S_0$  modes propagating in 4 mm thickness multilayer GFRP sample.

#### B. EXPERIMENTAL SET-UP

To investigate the feasibility of proposed dispersion curve reconstruction technique, experiments have been carried out on GFRP sample, possessing the geometry and sensor allocation, as shown in Fig. 3. Throughout the experiments, the custom-made thickness mode actuator was mounted on top of the sample. To get the reliable acoustic contact between the sensor and the specimen, a glass textolite protector with a contact area of 3  $mm<sup>2</sup>$  and a thin layer of glycerol was used. An actuator was driven with a 3 cycle, 200 V square pulses with a central frequency of 130 kHz, to generate multiple modes in wide frequency bandwidth. To collect the experimental data, the same type of transducer was attached perpendicularly to the surface of the sample and scanned along the straight wave path of guided waves. The receiver was moved away from the transmitter up to 600 mm with a step increment 0.5 mm. The initial spacing between the actuator and sensor was equal to 100 mm. The waveforms were recorded using a 50 MHz sampling frequency. The response signals at each position were measured 8 times and averaged to ensure better signal to noise ratio. In this way, the B-scan dataset of out-of-plane component was collected at the centerline of the sample. The experimental set-up is



**FIGURE 4.** The B–scan image of the Lamb wave signals measured on the 4 mm thickness GFRP plate.

graphically pointed up at Fig. 3; the B-scan image of the Lamb waves  $A_0$  mode signals is presented in Fig. 4.

The B-scan data was required just to have general view of propagating waves. The proposed hybrid technique uses only a pair of the B-scan signals. The use of these two signals measured at different positions essentially simplifies the approach and makes it suitable for structural health monitoring applications. So, from now on only one pair of the signals recorded at the receiver position 150 mm and 155 mm will be used. For the processing of the selected signals, the technique is used. A brief description of this technique is presented in the next section.

#### C. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE HYBRID TECHNIQUE

The proposed hybrid technique combines two approaches: the zero-crossing method and the spectrum decomposition method (Fig. 5). At first, these methods were used separately to evaluate the Lamb waves phase velocity (zero-crossing method) [35] and group velocity (spectrum decomposition method) [36] dispersion characteristics and to reconstruct the dispersion curve segments. The uncertainty quantification for both techniques has been completed accordingly to previous works [37], [38]. The investigations have confirmed that both methods are suitable for the Lamb wave applications. As regards the hybrid technique basics, mathematical description and suitability for the Lamb wave's dispersion evaluation are also available in earlier work [28]. The block diagram of the proposed hybrid method for the estimation of the phase velocity of the guided waves exploiting the signals measured at two different spatial positions is presented in Fig. 5 and briefly described below.

According to the presented block diagram (Fig. 5), in the first stage the spectrum decomposition approach is used [28]:

- Two signals at two different spatial positions  $u^z(t)$ ,  $u^{z+\Delta z}(t)$  are recorded, where  $\Delta z$  is the distance between two signals;
- The frequency spectra of these two signals are calculated using the Fourier transform  $U^z(f)$ ,  $U^{z+\Delta z}(f)$ ;



**FIGURE 5.** The block diagram based on the spectrum decomposition and zero-crossing approaches for the Lamb waves phase velocity reconstruction using signals measured at two different spatial positions.

• The frequency spectra of these two signals are filtered using *K* bandpass Gaussian filters:

$$
B_k(f) = e^{4\ln(0.5)\left(\frac{f-f_L - (k-1)d f}{\Delta B}\right)^2},
$$
 (1)

where  $k = 1, 2, \dots K$ , is the number of the bandpass filter,  $K$  is the total number of filters:

$$
K \ge \frac{f_H - f_L}{\Delta B} + 1,\tag{2}
$$

*f*<sup>L</sup> and *f*<sup>H</sup> are the frequency ranges in which the central frequencies of the filters are varied with step  $df$ ;  $\Delta B$  is the filter bandwidth at −6 dB level;

• The step in a frequency domain between central frequencies of such signals is calculated according to the expression presented by He [39]:

$$
df = \frac{f_H - f_L}{K - 1};
$$
\n(3)

• The filtered signals are reconstructed back to the time domain using the inverse Fourier transform.

In the second stage, for each pair of the filtered signals the zero-crossing approach is used [19]:

• According to the chosen threshold level  $U_{\text{th}}$  the multiple zero-crossing time instances at which the signals crosses



**FIGURE 6.** The Lamb wave A<sub>0</sub> mode waveform of the signal at 150 mm distance (a) and the frequency response of this signal (b).

the zero amplitude line are estimated in the each of the filtered signal  $t_{1,k}^z, t_{2,k}^z, \ldots, t_{N,k}^z$  and  $t_{1,k}^{z+\Delta z}, t_{2,k}^{z+\Delta z}, \ldots$ ,  $t_{N,k}^{z+\Delta z}$ , where *N* is the total number of measured zero-crossing instances in the signal;

• The phase velocity is estimated using time difference between corresponding zero-crossing instances in the signals measured at two different spatial position signals [37]:

$$
c_{n,k} = \frac{\Delta z}{t_{n,k}^{z+\Delta z} - t_{n,k}^{z}};
$$
\n(4)

• The equivalent frequencies  $f_{n,k}^x$  of the filtered first signals  $u_k^x(t)$  are calculated by:

$$
f_{n,k}^z = \frac{1}{2 \cdot \left(t_{n+1,k}^z - t_{n,k}^z\right)};
$$
 (5)

• The segment of the phase velocity dispersion curves  ${f_{n,k}^z, c_{n,k}}$  is determined by creating sets of pairs of estimated equivalent frequencies  $f_{n,k}^z$  and phase velocities  $c_{n,k}$ .

Using such algorithm, the processing of the selected two signals is then performed and the obtain results are presented in the next section.

#### D. EVALUATION OF THE PHASE VELOCITY DISPERSION

To obtain the Lamb wave phase velocity dispersion curves the proposed hybrid technique is applied. For this technique some significant parameters are needed to be determined [22] and they are resulted from the signal frequency spectrum. The signal at distance 150 mm and its frequency spectrum are presented in Fig. 6(a) and Fig. 6(b).

According to the  $A_0$  mode signal frequency spectrum (Fig.  $6(b)$ ) the bandwidth at  $-40$  dB level is in the range of 10-210 kHz. Therefore, the frequency range for the filtering is chosen according to these parameters, which means that the reconstructed phase velocity dispersion curve should cover this frequency range. In order, to assess this statement the proposed method was investigated using three different band-pass filters applied for spectrum decomposition.

The bandwidth of band-pass filters was set to  $\Delta B_1 = 25$  kHz,  $\Delta B_2 = 50$  kHz and  $\Delta B_3 = 75$  kHz. Such bandwidths were selected taking into the account the frequency bandwidth of the analyzed signal, which is  $\Delta D = 200$  kHz at  $-40$ dB. The number of filters *K* for spectrum decomposition is calculated according to the Eq.(2) taking into account the selected filter bandwidth  $\Delta B$ . In the case of 25 kHz, 50 kHz and 75 kHz filter bandwidth  $\Delta B$  the corresponding number of filters was  $K = 10, K = 5$  and  $K = 4$ . The measurement results using different filters bandwidth and different sets of the filters are compared with the dispersion curve obtained by SAFE method (Fig. 7). The main parameters of the reconstructed A<sup>0</sup> mode phase velocity dispersion curves are presented in the Table 2.

So, it can be seen from the graphs Fig. 7 and data in Table 2 that using proposed hybrid technique the phase velocity dispersion curve is reconstructed in frequency ranges of different sizes and coverage from 50 to 88 % of the original signal bandwidth. When using narrowest filter bandwidth of 25 kHz, the  $A_0$  mode phase velocity dispersion curve is reconstructed in wider frequency range compared with other. As presented in previous work [28] narrower filter bandwidth increase the sensitivity of the signal technique to the frequency components with small amplitudes. The frequency components having smaller amplitudes are distributed in the beginning and in the end of the frequency spectrum Fig. 6(b). Frequency components with higher amplitudes are filtered out when using narrow-band filter while frequency components having low amplitudes are exposed. Thus, the remaining frequency components with low amplitudes are extended, leading to the reconstructed phase velocity dispersion curve in a wide band-width. However, a larger amount of narrow-band filters are required to cover the entire spectrum of the signal to be analyzed, therefore the calculation time is prolonged. On the other hand, using the wide-band filters a small number of the filters is needed to cover the bandwidth of the signal but the phase velocity dispersion curve is reconstructed in a narrower frequency range. It means that the frequency components having smaller amplitudes are missing and as



FIGURE 7. Reconstructed phase velocities dispersion curves of the Lamb wave A<sub>0</sub> mode: theoretical dispersion curve (solid line) calculated using SAFE method, measured values (dots): a – obtained with hybrid algorithm using 10 bandpass Gaussian filters (the bandwidth of the each filter  $\Delta B = 25$  kHz); b – obtained with proposed algorithm using 5 filters ( $\Delta B = 50$  kHz); c – obtained with proposed algorithm using 4 filters ( $\Delta B = 75$  kHz)).

**TABLE 2.** The main parameters of the reconstructed phase velocity dispersion curves of the  $A_0$  mode obtained in experimental investigation.

Filter bandwidth of filters $\Delta B$ , kHz	Number Κ	Central frequency, kHz		Frequency range, kHz	Band width, kHz	Band width, $\%$
		1 th filter	Kth filter			
25	10	20	200	25 200	175	88
50		30	180	30-160	130	65
75		35	185	50-150	100	50

well as a part of the information. Consequently, it is important to complete the calculations of the quantitative and quality characteristics of the proposed hybrid technique using filters of different bandwidths. These characteristics should show which narrow-band or wide-band filter bandwidth gives more reliable and accurate results and which filter bandwidth could be recommended to use in future applications.

## **III. EVALUATION OF THE METHOD ACCURACY**

The presented method is a part of the whole measurement process, therefore during the assessment of its accuracy the key attention is focused on the complex estimation of measurement uncertainty. A variety of filter bandwidths

(25 kHz, 50 kHz and 75 kHz) are selected and used for evaluation of the method characteristics. The steps of the procedure to evaluate the method metrological parameters are:

- Comparison of reference and calculated phase velocities of dispersion curves and evaluation of systematic errors.
- Identification of sources of the errors and data preparation for the calculation of standard uncertainty components.
- Calculation of a complete uncertainty budget or the combined uncertainty and the expanded uncertainty.

Every standard uncertainty is calculated as:

$$
u_m(Y) \equiv |W_m| u(X_m), \tag{6}
$$

where  $W_m \equiv \partial f / \partial X_m$  is the absolute sensitivity coefficient (uncertainties budget table in Fig. 8, the fifth column),  $u(X_m)$  is the standard uncertainty (uncertainties budget table in Fig. 8, the third column) that is obtained from repeated observations or is evaluated by scientific judgement based on information of possible variability of the measured value  $X_m$  (uncertainties budget table in Fig. 8, the second column) [40]. The combined standard uncertainty  $u_c(c_{ph})$ 

# **IEEE** Access®



#### **FIGURE 8.** The expanded uncertainty of each reconstructed frequency range accordingly for filter bandwidth: a) 25 kHz, b) 50 kHz and c) 75 kHz.

(uncertainties budget table in Fig. 8, the last value of the third column) calculation involves all standard uncertainty contributions  $u(Y_m)$  (uncertainty budget table in Fig. 8, the sixth column). The GUM Workbench version 2.4.1.384 software is used for the combined uncertainty components processes and analyzes. The expanded uncertainty of each reconstructed frequency range has been calculated also using Monte Carlo simulation. Values of *Wm*( sensitivity coefficient),  $X_m$ (*value*),  $u(X_m)$  (standard uncertainty),  $u(Y_m)$  (uncertainty contribution),  $u_c(c_{ph})$  (combined standard uncertainty) are given in uncertainty budget table of Fig. 8, in columns accordingly 5, 2, 3, 6, 3 (last value in the column).

The systematic error is assessed comparing the experimental measurements results with the theoretical dispersion curve calculated by the SAFE method. The mean values of the absolute error  $\overline{\Delta}_{c_{ph}}$ , the average standard deviation  $\sigma_{\overline{\Delta}_{c_{ph}}}$  and the mean relative error  $\bar{\delta}_{c_{ph}}$  are determined for each segment of the estimated phase velocity dispersion curve and separately for each used filter. The calculated results are listed in Table 3.

The mean value of the absolute error is calculated according:

$$
\bar{\Delta}_{c_{\rm ph}} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left| c_{\rm phm} - c_{\rm ph}^{\rm SAFE} \right|, \tag{7}
$$

**TABLE 3.** The mean values of the absolute and relative errors and the average standard deviations of the dispersive curves for separate filter bandwidth.

Velocity value for A <sub>o</sub> mode, $c_{\rm ph}$	Filter bandwidth. kHz	Number of filters	Mean absolute error $\overline{\Delta}_{c_{\rm ph}}, \, \text{m/s}$	Average standard deviation $\sigma_{\overline{\Delta}_{c_{\rm ph}}},$ m/s	Mean relative error (systematic) $\bar{\delta_{c}}_{\rm ph}, \%$
	25	10	20.5	4.8	1.8
1152	50	5	24.8	8.2	2.2
m/s	75	4	28.3	10.4	2.5

where  $M$  is the number of points in a segment of the reconstructed dispersion curve,  $m<sup>th</sup>$  – the point of experimentally reconstructed dispersion curve, *c*ph*<sup>m</sup>* is the phase velocity of the experimentally reconstructed dispersion curve,  $c_{ph}^{\text{SAFE}}$  is the phase velocity calculated using the SAFE method at the same frequency values.

The average standard deviation is calculated according:

$$
\sigma_{\bar{\Delta}_{c_{\text{ph}}}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{m=1}^{M} (c_{\text{phm}} - c_{\text{ph}}^{\text{SAFE}})^2}{M \cdot (M - 1)}}.
$$
\n(8)

The mean relative error is equal to:

$$
\bar{\delta}_{c_{\rm ph}} = 100\% \cdot \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \frac{\left| c_{\rm phm} - c_{\rm ph}^{\rm SAFE} \right|}{c_{\rm ph}^{\rm SAFE}}.
$$
 (9)

The various factors such as: the measuring equipment, the object mechanical and geometrical parameters, the environment, the measurement technique and others influence each other the measurement results. The measurements were performed in a laboratory at a controlled environment temperature (20 $\pm$ 2)  $\degree$  C. Based on the prior work [37], where the contact measurement method at sufficiently short distance 500 mm is used and the temperature changes do not constitute more than 2% of the overall uncertainty. Therefore, this parameter may be neglected.

Research has allowed highlighting the following sources of the combined uncertainty: the reconstruction of phase velocities dispersion curves, uncorrected error for frequency range, the scanner step, material properties of the object and thickness of the test sample.

It is then important to evaluate accuracy of the proposed technique in the entire reconstructed phase velocity dispersion curve segment. Which means, the maximum deviation from the average error for one point across the frequency range is included in total uncertainty [37]. To show the limits of the actual variability of the obtained results the difference between limit value of absolute error and mean absolute error are calculated:

$$
\Delta_{c_{\text{phmax}}} = \max \left( \Delta_{c_{\text{phm}}} - \bar{\Delta}_{c_{\text{ph}}} \right). \tag{10}
$$

Accordingly, the obtained results for the different filter bandwidths 25 kHz, 50 kHz and 75 kHz are equal to 32.5 m/s,

41.2 m/s and 7.7 m/s. Then the standard uncertainty can be calculated as follows (it is assumed a normal distribution):

$$
u\left(\Delta_{c_{\text{phmax}}}\right) = \frac{\Delta_{c_{\text{phmax}}}}{3}.\tag{11}
$$

The estimated standard uncertainties are 10.8 m/s, 13.7 m/s and 2.6 m/s respectively for the different filter bandwidths 25 kHz, 50 kHz and 75 kHz (values are given in Fig. 8, column 3 of uncertainty budget table). This component corresponds to the estimated fluctuations of the Lamb wave frequency  $f$ , which is directly affected by the characteristics of the receiver [37].

When using the same measuring instruments to realise the techniques (instruments for environmental control and ultrasound system ''*Ultralab*''), the scanning step of the scanner, transducer and object characteristics influence the phase velocity measurement results [37], [38].

The difference between two neighbouring points at which signals are received is  $l = 0.5$  mm. The distance was determined with the standard uncertainty of 0.006mm. The sensitivity coefficient  $W_{\Delta l}$  is equal to 1/*t*, where *t* is the rectangular single pulse duration 3.85  $\mu$ s. The dimension ∂*f* /∂∆<sub>*l*</sub>*u*(∆<sub>*l*</sub>) has considered the uncertainty of scanner step which is a component of the total standard uncertainty.

The thickness of test sample is 4mm and its variation  $\Delta d$  is assumed to be equal to  $\pm 0.0001$ m. Based on this information, the absolute standard uncertainty can be calculated using rectangular distribution:  $u(\Delta_d) = \pm \frac{\Delta_d}{\sqrt{3}}$  $\frac{d}{3}$ (Fig. 8, column 3 of the uncertainty budget table).

Changes of phase velocity depend on the change of elastic constants. There are six independent elastic constants for characterization of the materials and their values are presented in Table 1: density, Young's modulus in longitudinal  $E_1$  and transverse  $E_2$  directions, Poisson's rations in longitudinal  $v_{12}$  and transverse  $v_{23}$  directions and shear modulus in both longitudinal and transverse directions  $G_{12}$ . Their standard uncertainties and sensitivity coefficients are calculated using the methodology described in the previous article [37] and presented in Fig. 8. Each elastic constant is changed 20% except the density, which is specified by the manufacturer. The phase velocity is not been influenced by the  $E_2$ ,  $v_{12}$ ,  $v_{23}$  changes. The Young's modulus  $E_1$  changes are incited a maximum change of phase velocity  $\Delta c_{ph} = 30$  m/s. The changes of the shear modulus  $G_{12}$  caused a maximum change of phase velocity  $\Delta c_{ph} = 83$ m/s.A rectangular distribution is used for all calculations of standard uncertainties related to plate materials characteristics.

A complete uncertainty budget is determined for each filter. The results of the combined and expanded uncertainties calculations are presented in Fig. 8. The  $\Delta l_l$ ,  $\Delta l_d$ ,  $\Delta l_{cphmax}$ ,  $\Delta l_{E1} \Delta l_{G12}$  corrections, but not their uncertainties, are estimated to be zero. It is assumed that the accuracy of the phase velocity measurement will not be depreciated by the uncertainties of  $E_2$ ,  $v_{12}$ ,  $v_{23}$ ,  $\rho$ .

The phase velocity measurement results are presented in Table 4. The expanded measurement uncertainty is

Filter bandwidth,	Phase velocity $c_{\rm ph}$ for $A_{\rm o}$ mode,	Mean relative error	Expanded relative
kHz	m/s	(systematic), $\%$	uncertainty, %
25	1173	1.8	2.2
50	1177	2.2	2.8
	1180	2.5	

**TABLE 4.** Calculated relative systematic errors and expanded uncertainties of the phase velocity for different filters.

calculated using the coverage factor  $p = 2$  for normal distribution and coverage probability  $P = 0.95$ . When using narrower filter bandwidth 25 kHz, the reconstructed frequency range is wider (Table 2) and the typical error obtained is of 1.8% mean (Table 4). While using the wider filter bandwidth 75 kHz, the frequency range of the reconstructed dispersion curve is narrower (Table 2) and the typical error is larger and it of 2.5 % mean (Table 4).

The results obtained with Monte Carlo simulation corresponds to the results calculated in the usual way. In all cases, there are positive systematic errors. Uncertainty analysis of these measurement results has shown that the main components of the combined standard uncertainty are the reconstruction of phase velocities dispersion curves and the uncorrected error for frequency range. The combined uncertainty of the phase velocity determination is also sensitive to the uncertainties of the scanner step and of the shear modulus which depends on the material properties of the object. The uncertainty of the shear modulus becomes significant when the uncertainty of the uncorrected error for frequency range decreases. The influence of the other uncertainty components to the measurement result will enhance accordingly.

The repeatability of the method or repeatability of the variation of the measured points of dispersion curves is a part of the combined standard uncertainty and is equal in the best case approximately to 14%. At the same time the reliability of the method in the whole segment of the phase velocity dispersion curve the maximum deviation from the average phase velocity error is included in the total standard uncertainty. The influence of uncertainties of the dispersion curve reconstruction and the uncorrected error for frequency range on the measurement result are evident and dominant. The two components represent 85% of the total uncertainty. The influence of other uncertainty components on the measurement result shall increase when these two uncertainties decrease. Ignoring these small components for uncertainty calculation can damage the quality of decision-making. It is important to take into account the changes in uncertainty, if the measuring equipment or object material is changed.

#### **IV. CONCLUSION**

The reliability characteristics of the proposed hybrid technique for the phase velocity estimation of Lamb wave  $A_0$ mode were calculated and presented in this study. The experimental signals propagating in an anisotropic-nonhomogeneous Glass Fibre Reinforced Plastic (GFRP) plate were used. To obtain the reliability characteristics of the

method and to compare the obtained results, three different filter bandwidths 25 kHz, 50 kHz, 75 kHz were used in the investigation. Therefore, the obtained reconstructed dispersion curve segments have covered the different frequency range sizes with different mean systematic error. When using the narrower filter bandwidth 25 kHz, the phase velocity dispersion curve was reconstructed essentially in wider frequency range which covers 88%. In the meantime when using 75 kHz only 50% coverage of the whole incident signal frequency bandwidth was achieved. The evaluated characteristics have shown that the narrower filters bandwidth gives smaller measurement errors. Using 25 kHz filter bandwidth mean systematic error was equal to 1.8%, while in 75 kHz the error was 2.5%. The narrow-band filters provided more reliable and accurate results and also a reconstruction of dispersion curve segment in wider band. Therefore, it is recommended to use narrow-band filter for the Lamb waves A<sup>0</sup> mode phase velocity dispersion curve reconstruction.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] L. Zeng, J. Lin, J. Bao, R. P. Joseph, and L. Huang, "Spatial resolution improvement for Lamb wave-based damage detection using frequency dependency compensation,'' *J. Sound Vib.*, vol. 394, pp. 130–145, Apr. 2017, doi: [10.1016/j.jsv.2017.01.031.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2017.01.031)
- [2] F. Gao, L. Zeng, J. Lin, and Y. Shao, "Damage assessment in composite laminates via broadband Lamb wave,'' *Ultrasonics*, vol. 86, pp. 49–58, May 2018, doi: [10.1016/j.ultras.2018.01.005.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ultras.2018.01.005)
- [3] N. Mori, S. Biwa, and T. Kusaka, ''Damage localization method for plates based on the time reversal of the mode-converted Lamb waves,'' *Ultrasonics*, vol. 91, pp. 19–29, Jan. 2019, doi: [10.1016/j.ultras.2018.07.007.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ultras.2018.07.007)
- [4] N. P. Yelve, M. Mitra, and P. M. Mujumdar, ''Detection of delamination in composite laminates using Lamb wave based nonlinear method,'' *Composite Struct.*, vol. 159, pp. 257–266, Jan. 2017, doi: [10.1016/j.compstruct.2016.09.073.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2016.09.073)
- [5] L. Huang, L. Zeng, J. Lin, and Z. Luo, ''An improved time reversal method for diagnostics of composite plates using Lamb waves,'' *Composite Struct.*, vol. 190, pp. 10–19, Apr. 2018, doi: [10.1016/j.compstruct.2018.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2018.01.096) [01.096.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2018.01.096)
- [6] Z. Tian, L. Yu, and C. Leckey, ''Delamination detection and quantification on laminated composite structures with Lamb waves and wavenumber analysis,'' *J. Intell. Mater. Syst. Struct.*, vol. 26, no. 13, pp. 1723–1738, 2015, doi: [10.1177%2F1045389X14557506.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1177%2F1045389X14557506)
- [7] K. A. Tiwari and R. Raišutis, ''Identification and characterization of defects in glass fiber reinforced plastic by refining the guided Lamb waves,'' *Materials*, vol. 11, no. 7, p. 1173, Jul. 2018, doi: [10.3390/](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ma11071173) [ma11071173.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ma11071173)
- [8] N. Toyama, J. Noda, and T. Okabe, ''Quantitative damage detection in cross-ply laminates using Lamb wave method,'' *Compos Sci. Technol.*, vol. 63, no. 10, pp. 1473–1479, 2003, doi: [10.1016/S0266-3538\(03\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0266-3538(03)00163-5) [00163-5.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0266-3538(03)00163-5)
- [9] K. Diamanti, C. Soutis, and J. M. Hodgkinson, ''Lamb waves for the non-destructive inspection of monolithic and sandwich composite beams,'' *Compos. A, Appl. Sci. Manuf.*, vol. 36, pp. 189–195, 2005, doi: [10.1016/j.compositesa.2004.06.013.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2004.06.013)
- [10] V. Giurgiutiu and M. F. Haider, ''Propagating, evanescent, and complex wavenumber guided waves in high-performance composites,'' *Materials*, vol. 12, no. 2, p. 269, Jan. 2019, doi: [10.3390/ma12020269.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ma12020269)
- [11] R. Raišutis, R. Kažys, L. Mažeika, E. Žukauskas, V. Samaitis, L. Draudvilienė, and A. Vladišauskas, "An adjustment-free NDT technique for defect detection in multilayered composite constructions using ultrasonic guided waves,'' *Int. J. Struct. Stability Dyn.*, vol. 14, no. 08, Nov. 2014, Art. no. 1440025, doi: [10.1142/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0219455414400252) [S0219455414400252.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0219455414400252)
- [12] Z. Su, L. Ye, and Y. Lu, "Guided Lamb waves for identification of damage in composite structures: A review,'' *J. Sound Vib.*, vol. 295, nos. 3–5, pp. 753–780, Aug. 2006, doi: [10.1016/j.jsv.2006.01.020.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2006.01.020)
- [13] C. Garnier, M.-L. Pastor, F. Eyma, and B. Lorrain, "The detection of aeronautical defects *in situ* on composite structures using non destructive testing,'' *Composite Struct.*, vol. 93, no. 5, pp. 1328–1336, Apr. 2011, doi: [10.1016/j.compstruct.2010.10.017.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2010.10.017)
- [14] B. Yang, F.-Z. Xuan, S. Chen, S. Zhou, Y. Gao, and B. Xiao, ''Damage localization and identification in WGF/epoxy composite laminates by using Lamb waves: Experiment and simulation,'' *Composite Struct.*, vol. 165, pp. 138–147, Apr. 2017, doi: [10.1016/j.compstruct.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2017.01.015) [2017.01.015.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2017.01.015)
- [15] S. Shoja, V. Berbyuk, and A. Boström, ''Delamination detection in composite laminates using low frequency guided waves: Numerical simulations,'' *Composite Struct.*, vol. 203, pp. 826–834, Nov. 2018, doi: [10.1016/j.compstruct.2018.07.025.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2018.07.025)
- [16] P. D. Wilcox, M. J. S. Lowe, and P. Cawley, "The effect of dispersion on long-range inspection using ultrasonic guided waves,'' *NDT & E Int.*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2001, doi: [10.1016/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0963-8695(00)00024-4) [S0963-8695\(00\)00024-4.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0963-8695(00)00024-4)
- [17] P. D. Wilcox, M. J. S. Lowe, and P. Cawley, ''Mode and transducer selection for long range Lamb wave inspection,'' *J. Int. Mater. Syst. Struct.*, vol. 12, pp. 553–565, 2001, doi: [10.1177%2F10453890122145348.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1177%2F10453890122145348)
- [18] M. Voß, D. Ilse, W. Hillger, T. Vallée, M. Eppmann, J. de Wit, and F. von Dungern, ''Numerical simulation of the propagation of Lamb waves and their interaction with defects in C-FRP laminates for non-destructive testing,'' *Adv. Composite Mater.*, pp. 1–19, Nov. 2019, doi: [10.1080/09243046.2019.1692273.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09243046.2019.1692273)
- [19] F. Li, G. Meng, L. Ye, Y. Lu, and K. Kageyama, "Dispersion analysis of Lamb waves and damage detection for aluminum structures using ridge in the time-scale domain,'' *Meas. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 20, no. 9, Jul. 2009, Art. no. 095704, doi: [10.1088/0957-0233/20/9/095704.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0957-0233/20/9/095704)
- [20] B. Feng, A. L. Ribeiro, and H. G. Ramos, "Interaction of Lamb waves with the edges of a delamination in CFRP composites and a reference-free localization method for delamination,'' *Measurement*, vol. 122, pp. 424–431, Jul. 2018, doi: [10.1016/j.measurement.2017.10.016.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2017.10.016)
- [21] J. L. Rose, ''Back to basics—Dispersion curves in guided wave testing,'' *Mater. Eva.*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 20–22, 2003.
- [22] J. Zou, J. Liu, and G. Tang, ''Transverse spurious mode compensation for AlN Lamb wave resonators,'' *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 67059–67067, 2019, doi: [10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2908340.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2908340)
- [23] A. Apostoloudia, E. Douka, L. J. Hadjileontiadis, I. T. Rekanos, and A. Trochidis, ''Time–frequency analysis of transient dispersive waves: A comparative study,'' *Appl. Acoust.*, vol. 68, no. 3, pp. 296–309, Mar. 2007, doi: [10.1016/j.apacoust.2006.02.002.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apacoust.2006.02.002)
- [24] P. D. Wilcox, "A rapid signal processing technique to remove the effect of dispersion from guided wave signals,'' *IEEE Trans. Ultrason., Ferroelectr., Freq. Control*, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 419–427, Apr. 2003, doi: [10.1109/tuffc.2003.1197965.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/tuffc.2003.1197965)
- [25] B. Hernandez Crespo, C. Courtney, and B. Engineer, "Calculation of guided wave dispersion characteristics using a three-transducer measurement system,'' *Appl. Sci.*, vol. 8, no. 8, p. 1253, Jul. 2018, doi: [10.3390/app8081253.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/app8081253)
- [26] K. Thornicroft, C. Mares, and P. Mudge, "Time-frequency analysis of long range ultrasonic signals,'' *J. Phys., Conf. Ser.*, vol. 382, Aug. 2012, Art. no. 012060, doi: [10.1088/1742-6596/382/1/012060.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/382/1/012060)
- [27] D. N. Alleyne and P. Cawley, ''The interaction of Lamb waves with defects,'' *IEEE Trans. Ultrason., Ferroelectr., Freq. Control*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 381–397, May 1992, doi: [10.1109/58.143172.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/58.143172)
- [28] L. Draudviliene, H. Ait Aider, O. Tumsys, and L. Mazeika, "The Lamb waves phase velocity dispersion evaluation using an hybrid measurement technique,'' *Composite Struct.*, vol. 184, pp. 1156–1164, Jan. 2018, doi: [10.1016/j.compstruct.2017.10.060.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2017.10.060)
- [29] J. Bai, *Advanced Fibre-Reinforced Polymer (FRP) Composites for Structural Applications*. Cambridge, U.K.: Woodhead, 2013, doi: [10.1533/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1533/9780857098641) [9780857098641.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1533/9780857098641)
- [30] J. M. Stickel and M. Nagarajan, "Glass fiber-reinforced composites: From formulation to application,'' *Int. J. Appl. Glass Sci.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 122–136, May 2012, doi: [10.1111/j.2041-1294.2012.00090.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-1294.2012.00090.x)
- [31] M. Maria, ''Advanced composite materials of the future in aerospace industry,'' *INCAS Bull.*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 139–150, Sep. 2013, doi: [10.13111/](http://dx.doi.org/10.13111/2066-8201.2013.5.3.14) [2066-8201.2013.5.3.14.](http://dx.doi.org/10.13111/2066-8201.2013.5.3.14)
- [32] T. Hayashi, S. Won-Joon, and J. L. Rose, ''Guided wave dispersion curves for a bar with an arbitrary cross-section, a rod and rail example,'' *Ultrasonics*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 175–183, 2003, doi: [10.1016/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0041-624X(03)00097-0) [S0041-624X\(03\)00097-0.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0041-624X(03)00097-0)
- [33] P. Pollock, L. Yu, M. A. Sutton, S. Guo, P. Majumdar, and M. Gresil, ''Full-field measurements for determining orthotropic elastic parameters of woven glass-epoxy composites using off-axis tensile specimens,'' *Exp. Techn.*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 61–71, 2014, doi: [10.1111/j.1747-1567.2012.00824.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1567.2012.00824.x)
- [34] S. Ryan, M. Wicklein, A. Mouritz, W. Riedel, F. Schäfer, and K. Thoma, ''Theoretical prediction of dynamic composite material properties for hypervelocity impact simulations," *Int. J. Impact Eng.*, vol. 36, no. 7, pp. 899–912, Jul. 2009, doi: [10.1016/j.ijimpeng.2008.12.012.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijimpeng.2008.12.012)
- [35] L. Draudvilienė, R. Raišutis, E. Žukauskas, and A. Jankauskas, "Validation of dispersion curve reconstruction techniques for the  $A_0$  and  $S_0$  modes of Lamb waves,'' *Int. J. Struct. Stability Dyn.*, vol. 14, no. 07, Jul. 2014, Art. no. 1450024, doi: [10.1142/S0219455414500242.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0219455414500242)
- [36] L. Draudviliene and L. Mazeika, "Investigation of the spectrum decomposition technique for group velocity measurement of Lamb waves propagating in CFRP composite plate,'' *Electron. Electr. Eng.*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 57–60, Feb. 2013, doi: [10.5755/j01.eee.19.2.3470.](http://dx.doi.org/10.5755/j01.eee.19.2.3470)
- [37] L. Draudviliene, A. Meskuotiene, L. Mazeika, and R. Raišutis, ''Assessment of quantitative and qualitative characteristics of ultrasonic guided wave phase velocity measurement technique,'' *J. Nondestruct. Eval.*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 1–13, Feb. 2017, doi: [10.1007/s10921-017-0404-x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10921-017-0404-x)
- [38] L. Draudviliene, A. Meskuotiene, R. Raišutis, and H. Ait-Aider, ''The capability assessment of the spectrum decomposition technique for measurements of the group velocity of Lamb waves,'' *J. Nondestruct. Eval.*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 1–13, Apr. 2018, doi: [10.1007/s10921-018-0484-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10921-018-0484-2) [2.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10921-018-0484-2)
- [39] P. He, ''Simulation of ultrasound pulse propagation in lossy media obeying a frequency power law,'' *IEEE Trans. Ultrason., Ferroelectr., Freq. Control*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 114–125, Jan. 1998, doi: [10.1109/58.646916.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/58.646916)
- [40] *Evaluation of Measurement Data in Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement*, JCGM 100:2008, BIPM, 2008, pp. 1–134.



LINA DRAUDVILIENE received the M.Eng. degree in telecommunication engineering and the Ph.D. degree in measurements engineering from the Kaunas University of Technology, Lithuania, in 2008 and 2012, respectively. In 2008, she worked as an Engineer with the Ultrasound Research Institute, Kaunas University of Technology, and then as a Junior Researcher, where she has been a Researcher, since 2014. She is the coauthor over 20 scientific articles. Her research

interests include ultrasonic guided waves measurements, non-destructive testing, structural health monitoring, material characterization, and signal processing.



ASTA MESKUOTIENE received the M.Eng. degree in mechanic engineering and the Ph.D. degree in measurements engineering from the Kaunas University of Technology, Lithuania, in 1995 and 2002, respectively. In 2002, she worked as an Engineer with the Institute of Metrology, Kaunas University of Technology, and then as a Researcher, where she has been a Senior Researcher, since 2008. She is a Technical Expert of the National Accreditation Bureau, a member of

the Technical Committee No. 34 ''Metrology'' of the Lithuanian Standards Board and the Metrology Expert Council of the National Metrology Institute. Her research interests include mass, pressure, density, length, volume measurements, and signal processing, development of the traceability system of above mentioned measurement quantities, development of verification and calibration methods and expertise, and quality infrastructure systems.



OLGIRDAS TUMŠYS received the Ing. degree in electronics from the Kaunas Polytechnic Institute, in 1986, and the Ph.D. degree in metrology and measurements from the Kaunas University of Technology, in 1995. Since 1986, he has been working as a Research Scientist with the Ultrasound Institute. He is the author or coauthor of over 50 scientific articles. His research interests include ultrasonic measurements, non-destructive testing, and signal processing.



VYKINTAS SAMAITIS received the M.Eng. degree in measurements engineering and the Ph.D. degree from the Kaunas University of Technology, Lithuania, in 2012 and 2016, respectively. In 2010, he worked as an Engineer at the Ultrasound Research Institute, Kaunas University of Technology, and then successfully became a Junior Researcher. He has hands-on experience on a wide variety of projects, including but not limited to, ultrasonic inspection of adhesive joints, sprinkler

pipes, and composites. Since 2016, he has been a Researcher with the Non-Destructive Testing Research Group, Ultrasound Research Institute. His current interests include long-range guided wave inspection, structural health monitoring, material characterization, numerical modeling, and signal processing.

 $\sim$   $\sim$   $\sim$ 



LIUDAS MAZEIKA received the Engineer degree in mathematics from the Kaunas Polytechnic Institute, Lithuania, in 1986, and the Ph.D. degree in measurement of mechanical quantities from the Kaunas University of Technology, in 1986. In 1980, he started to work at the Ultrasound Laboratory, Kaunas University of Technology. Since 2009, he has been a Professor with the Department of Telecommunications. He is currently a Principal Researcher and the Director of the Ultra-

sound Research Institute, Kaunas University of Technology. He is the author or coauthor of over 100 scientific articles. His fields of interest include ultrasonic measurements, non-destructive testing and modeling, and signal processing.