

Received December 18, 2019, accepted January 28, 2020, date of publication February 3, 2020, date of current version February 11, 2020. Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2971249

# Improved Artificial Bee Colony Using Sine-Cosine Algorithm for Multi-Level Thresholding Image Segmentation

### AHMED A. EWEES<sup>®1</sup>, MOHAMED ABD ELAZIZ<sup>®2</sup>, MOHAMMED A. A. AL-QANESS<sup>®3</sup>, HASSAN A. KHALIL<sup>®2</sup>, (Member, IEEE), AND SUNGHWAN KIM<sup>®4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Computer, Damietta University, Damietta 34511, Egypt

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Zagazig University, Zagazig 44519, Egypt

<sup>3</sup>School of Computer Science, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China

<sup>4</sup>School of Electrical Engineering, University of Ulsan, Ulsan 44610, South Korea

Corresponding author: Sunghwan Kim (sungkim@ulsan.ac.kr)

This work (S2666095) was supported by project for Cooperative R&D between Industry, Academy, and Research Institute funded Korea Ministry of SMEs and Startups in 20.

**ABSTRACT** Multilevel-thresholding is an efficient method used in image segmentation. This paper presents a hybrid meta-heuristic approach for multi-level thresholding image segmentation by integrating both the artificial bee colony (ABC) algorithm and the sine-cosine algorithm (SCA). The proposed algorithm, called ABCSCA, is applied to segment images and it utilizes Otsu's function as the objective function. The proposed ABCSCA uses ABC to optimize the threshold and to reduce the search region. Thereafter, the SCA algorithm uses the output of ABC to determine the global optimal solution, which represents the thresholding values. To evaluate the performance of the proposed ABCSCA, a set of experimental series is performed using nineteen images. In the first experimental series, the proposed ABCSCA is assessed at the low threshold levels and compared with the ABC and SCA as traditional methods. Moreover, the second experimental series aims to evaluate the ABCSCA at high threshold levels and it is compared with six algorithms in addition to the SCA and ABC. Besides, the proposed algorithm and showed that it outperforms other algorithms in terms of performance measures, such as Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio (PSNR) and the Structural Similarity Index (SSIM).

**INDEX TERMS** Image segmentation, multi-level thresholding, artificial bee colony (ABC), sine-cosine algorithm (SCA).

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Smart systems using pattern recognition techniques have been applied in several fields in recent years, such as object identification, face recognition, and computer vision. The accuracy of these systems still needs improvement to become more reliable. In addition, the images taken can be corrupted by noise from devices or environments. Image processing techniques have an important role in the preprocessing stage to prepare acquired images to enable object recognition. The basic technique at this stage is called image segmentation. This technique splits an image into different parts according to contrast, color, and brightness [1], [2]. It was applied in

The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and approving it for publication was Zhan-Li Sun<sup>(1)</sup>.

various fields such as satellite image segmentation [3], optical character recognition [4], and medical diagnosis [5]. Many approaches have implemented image segmentation, such as region extraction [6], clustering algorithms, histogram thresholding [1], edge detection [7], and threshold segmentation [8]. Threshold segmentation is an efficient approach in the image segmentation tasks. Specifically, it can be applied to find the best threshold value [2]. It is divided into two types: bi-level and multi-level. The first type creates two collections of objects whereas, the second type clusters the pixels into many similar groups [5].

Multi-level thresholding segmentation (MTS) techniques search for optimal thresholds using several methods. The most popular method is by analyzing the histogram of the image [9]. Multi-level thresholding has some challenges such as determining the number of thresholds and having a long execution time [10], [11]. When the number of thresholds is small, classical approaches are suitable. However, if there are a large number of thresholds, a good practice is to use a swarm intelligence method to optimize this step. For example, Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) [12], Genetic Algorithm (GA) [13], Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) [14], Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) [15], and Firefly algorithm (FA) [16]. Although meta-heuristic methods are effective in image segmentation tasks, each method has its shortcomings. Therefore, one effective approach to overcome individual shortcomings is to hybridize these methods together to benefit from their strengths while avoiding their shortcomings. For instance, a hybrid model called FASSO was proposed by [17], it combined the FA and Social Spider Optimization (SSO) algorithm and evaluated them on MTS tasks, the FASSO provided a lower computational time and enhanced the searching phase of the SSO algorithm. Another method was developed by [18] called WOAPSO, it uses the whale optimization algorithm (WOA) and the PSO to separately update the population in parallel; whereas the population is divided into two parts and each part is updated using one of the algorithms then the union of both new solutions is evaluated according to the fitness function. The WOAPSO was tested on MTS; its results outperformed the compared algorithms in terms of the PSNR and the Structural Similarity Index (SSIM) measures.

Moreover, the ABC algorithm was effectively applied on several MTS tasks, it suffers from some drawbacks, such as taking more time to explore the population, requiring many numbers of iterations to reach the optimal solution, and can get stuck in local minima, therefore, in this paper the ABC is improved using the Sine-Cosine Algorithm (SCA) to minimize these drawbacks. The SCA algorithm has many advantages such as low computation requirement and few predefined parameters that provide stable results; it had been applied to solve several problems, for instance [19]–[24].

In general, the SCA and ABC have more attentions in the recent years. They were applied in several types of applications. For example both of them are successfully used for features selection tasks [25], [26], parameter estimation [27], [28], clustering [29], [30], and others. All these applications benefit from the two algorithms due to their advantages in exploring and exploiting the search space.

In this paper, a new segmentation technique based on the hybridization of ABC and SCA is presented. The strengths of these algorithms are utilized to enhance the performance of the MTS phase. The proposed method, called ABCSCA, starts by setting the initial value for a group of N individuals. Each of them represents the threshold values which split the image into its components. The second step computes the objective value of each individual and the best individual is determined which has the best fitness value. The third step updates the individuals using the operators of ABC and SCA in sequential form. In the first, the operators of ABC are used to update the individuals and determine the best solution based on the fitness value of the updated individuals.

Secondly, the operators of the SCA are used to update the individuals again which give them high ability to find the optimal solution. The previous two steps are repeated until the stop conditions are met and return the best solution which represents the solution for the multi-level thresholding problem.

The main contribution of this study as follows:

- 1) Proposed a gray-scale multilevel thresholding image segmentation approach based on hybrid concepts of MH techniques.
- 2) Combine the strength of the ABC and the SCA to form the proposed ABCSCA method for finding the optimal shareholding value. Evaluate the quality of solutions obtained by ABCSCA through a set of experiments conducted using a set of different images.
- Evaluate the performance of the ABCSCA with different objective functions namely Otsu's method and fuzzy entropy.
- Assess the performance of the ABCSCA against other MH methods.

This paper is arranged as follows. Section II presents the literature review. Section III introduces the preliminaries of the problem definition, the ABC, and SCA algorithms. Section IV describes the proposed method. Section V discusses the experimental results. Finally, the conclusion and future work are given in Section VII.

#### **II. LITERATURE REVIEW**

In the recent years, metaheuristics have been widely applied in various MTS applications. The PSO algorithm has been applied in the segmentation phase to select MTS [31], [32]. Whereas, the authors of [33] proposed GA for image segmentation and the algorithm achieved competitive results based on Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio (PSNR) measure. and the Structural Similarity Index (SSIM) measures. In [34], an MTS model based on PSO and BFO is presented. The proposed model had been implemented for both bi-level and multilevel segmentation, and showed good performance with eight images. The FA was proposed in [35] for MTS to improve the performance of the K-means algorithm. The results showed high performance and good segmentation result for the proposed method. On the other hand, the improved FA (IFA) is proposed for MTS by [36] and [37]. The authors of [37] used seven images to test the IFA. The results showed that the IFA effectively selected multi-level thresholds and divided the tested images into background and foreground. In [38], the authors proposed an MTS approach using FA. Otsu method was used as the objective function. Moreover, FA is also applied in several image segmentation applications, such as gray-scale images [39], and RGB color images [40]. The authors of [41] improved the ACO algorithm using Lévy flight pattern then the improved version was used for MTS. The results showed that the improved ACO obtained the optimal thresholds faster than the traditional Otsu's method. Satapathy et al. [42] proposed an

MTS method based on chaotic bat algorithm (CBA) and the Otsu method was used as the objective function. The proposed method showed better performance than several state-of-arts methods such as PSO, FA, and bacterial foraging optimization (BFO). Varsha et al. [43]presented a new MTS method based on chaotic cuckoo search (CCS) and Tsallis entropy. Moreover, Cuckoo Search algorithm has been successfully applied for both gary-scale images [44] and color images [44], [45] and it assesses its quality. The ABC was tested in MTS task by [46]; they decomposed images by discrete wavelet transform and applied noise reduction. The results outperformed the GA and the Artificial Fish Swarm algorithm in terms of accuracy and execution time. Rajinikanth and Satapathy [47] applied social group optimization (SGO), Fuzzy-Tsallis entropy and Ischemic Stroke Lesion (LSI) for magnetic resonance image (MRI) image segmentation. In [48], the SGO also applied with Kapur and Otsu for melanoma images and achieved promising results.Another MRI segmentation method was proposed by [49], by using teaching learning based optimization (TLBO). Also, Rajinikanth et al. [50] proposed active contour snake model with Otsu's multi-thresholding to detect cancerous regions in tested images.

Mousavirad and Ebrahimpour-Kom [51]proposed an MTS method by using human mental search algorithm. They used both Otsu and Kapur as fitness functions. The proposed method had been evaluated with twelve images. In [52], [53], the authors compared several metaheuristics for MTS using Kapur's entropy. Bhandari [54] proposed an MTS approach based on beta differential evolution (BDE) and both Kapur and Tsallis entropy are used as fitness functions. The BDE based approach had been compared with several metaheuristics and it showed better performance. Monisha et al. [55] used Shannon function and social group optimization (SGO) for RGB MTS. The proposed SGO method outperforms several MTS methods, including FA, BFO, PSO, and BA. Furthermore, Jia et al. [56] applied an improved grasshopper optimization algorithm (GOA) for MTS method for Satellite images. The self-adaptive differential evolution is merged with GOA to improve the search process. The proposed method showed good performance in different evaluation tests. Bao et al. [57] applied a hybrid method based on Harris hawks optimization and differential evolution method for MTS. Both Otsu's and Kapur's entropy were used as fitness function. The proposed method had been evaluated with color images and it showed promising performance. The ABC was also used for MTS by [58] and applied to segment iris images. The numerical results of ABC outperformed the compared methods. Moreover, ABC was improved and applied by [59]. It was evaluated using six benchmark images and three thresholds levels. The experiments result recorded that the improved ABC showed better-segmented images than the compared algorithms. In this context, the authors of [60] improved the searching phase of the ABC, then, it was evaluated using eight gray images and three thresholds levels (i.e. 2, 3, and 4). The results were compared with Otsu's method and the state-of-the-art algorithms, they showed good performance in terms of the fitness value and consuming time.

#### **III. PRELIMINARIES**

#### A. OTSU's THRESHOLDING

In this section, the mathematical definition of multi-level thresholding problem is introduced. Let *I* represents a gray image that contains K + 1 classes. Therefore, to split the image into subclass  $C_K$  (k = 1, ..., K + 1), it is necessary to determine *K* thresholds { $t_1, t_2, ..., t_K$ } as  $C_0 = \{I(i, j) \in I \mid 0 \le I(i, j) \le t_1 - 1\}$ ,  $C_1 = \{I(i, j) \in I \mid t_1 \le I(i, j) \le t_2 - 1\}$  and,  $C_K = \{I(i, j) \in I \mid t_K \le I(i, j) \le L - 1\}$ .

Here, I(i, j) and L represent the gray level of the pixel (i, j) and the number of distinct gray levels within I, respectively.

The aim of the multi-level thresholding method is to determine the best threshold values, which are located by maximizing Equation (1) known as Otsu's function [61]:

$$t_1^*, t_2^*, \dots, t_K^* = \max_{t_1, \dots, t_K} F(t_1, \dots, t_K)$$
 (1)

$$F = \sum_{i=0}^{K} A_i (\eta_i - \eta_1)^2, \ A_i = \sum_{j=t_i}^{t_{i+1}-1} P_j,$$
(2)

$$\eta_i = \sum_{j=t_i}^{t_{i+1}-1} i \frac{P_j}{A_j}, \text{ where } P_i = h(i)/N,$$
 (3)

where  $P_i$  and h(i) represent the probability and the frequency of the *i*th gray level, respectively, and,  $\eta_1$  represents the mean intensity of I (note that  $t_0 = 0$  and  $t_{K+1} = L$ ).

The Otsu's function is used in this paper as a fitness function. Otsu's method is generally widespread in the field of segmentation and thresholding. It is used to perform automatic histogram shape-based image thresholding. It works to determine the best threshold in images that contain two classes of pixels (e.g. background and objects) to separate these classes and minimize the intra-class variance thus, it can effectively divide the background from the objects besides, it has applied by many recent studies [62]–[64].

#### B. ARTIFICIAL BEE COLONY (ABC)

Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) is an optimization algorithm inspired by the behavior of real honey bees' colony [65]. It consists of three collections. The first one is employed bees. This collection searches for new food sources and the information about this phase is transferred to the second collection (onlooker bees). The onlooker bees use this information to choose a food source. The third collection (scout bees) searches randomly for a food source. The ABC algorithm generates a random population of *N* solutions that describes the employed bee  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , i = 1, 2, ..., N. The new solution  $v_i$  is generated based on  $x_i$  as follows [66]:

$$v_{ij} = x_{ij} + \phi_{ij}(x_{ij} - x_{kj}), \quad k = int(rand * N), \ j = 1, \dots, d$$
  
(4)

where  $x_k$  is a neighbor employed bee of  $x_i, \phi_{ij} \in [-1, 1]$  and it is created in a random manner.

The objective functions for  $Fx_i$  and  $Fv_i$  are computed for  $x_i$  and  $v_i$  respectively; then, if  $Fx_i \leq Fv_i$ , the solution  $x_i$  is removed from the memory of the first collection and  $v_i$  is added. The objective function  $Fx_i$  which is obtained from employed bees is transferred to the onlooker bees. Thereafter, the roulette wheel selection method is used to determine the  $x_i$  that has a higher probability of having the objective function  $(P_i)$ , which is calculated as:

$$P_{i} = \frac{fit_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} fit_{i}}, \quad fit_{i} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1 + Fx_{i}} & \text{if } Fx_{i} > 0\\ 1 + abs(Fx_{i}) & otherwise \end{cases}$$
(5)

Each one of the onlooker bees updates its solution via the same process used by the employed bees. The onlooker bee tests both the new and old solutions to decide whether the old solution is removed from the memory or not. If there is no difference in the solutions after a particular number of repetitions, these solutions are discarded; then the scout bee group explores a new solution to update  $x_i$  as:

$$x_{ij} = x_j^{min} + (x_j^{max} - x_j^{min}) \times \delta$$
(6)

where  $x_{ij}$  is an optimized parameter for the *i*th employed bee,  $x_j^{min}$  and  $x_j^{max}$  are the lower and upper bounds for  $x_{ij}$ respectively, and  $\delta$  is a random number. After a new solution  $x_{ij}$  is generated, it becomes an employed bee.

#### C. SINE-COSINE ALGORITHM (SCA)

The Sine-Cosine Algorithm (SCA) is a meta-heuristic algorithm. It utilizes the mathematical forms of sine and cosine for application to optimization issues [67]. SCA starts the optimization process by producing various random solutions, then initializes iterations to achieve the best solution. The best solution is defined as the target point. While continuing the iteration process, the sine and cosine ranges are adjusted based on their mathematical forms to better exploit the search space. The iterations are stopped if the stop condition is satisfied. The following equation determines the mathematical form of SCA:

$$A_{k}^{t+1} = \begin{cases} A_{k}^{t} + n_{1} \times \sin(n_{2}) \times |n_{3}P_{k}^{t} - A_{k}^{t}|, & n_{4} < 0.5\\ A_{k}^{t} + n_{1} \times \cos(n_{2}) \times |n_{3}P_{k}^{t} - A_{kk}^{t}|, & n_{4} \ge 0.5 \end{cases}$$
(7)

where  $A_k^{t+1}$  is the position of the current solution in the *k*th dimension, *t* determines the current iteration,  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$ , and  $n_3$  are random numbers,  $P_k$  is the position of the target point in the *k*th dimension,  $n_4$  is a random number in [0, 1].

The range of sine and cosine in Equation (7) by decreasing the value of  $n_1$  (i.e.  $n_1 = c - t \times c/T$ , where T is the length of iterations, and c is a constant).

#### **D. PERFORMANCE MEASURES**

Four popular measures are used in this paper to evaluate the performance of the proposed algorithm for image segmentation. The first two measures are the fitness function as in Equation (1) and CPU time. The third measure is the Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio (PSNR) [68] [69] as in Equation (8). The fourth measure is the Structural Similarity Index (SSIM) [70] as in Equation (10).

$$PSNR = 20log_{10}(\frac{255}{RMSE}) \tag{8}$$

where the root mean-squared error (*RMSE*) is represented as:

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{Q} (Org(i,j) - Seg(i,j))^2}{M \times Q}} \qquad (9)$$

where *Org* is the original image and the segmented image is *Seg* of size  $M \times Q$ .

$$SSIM(Org, Seg) = \frac{(2\mu_I \mu_{Seg} + c_1)(2\sigma_{Org,Seg} + c_2)}{(\mu_I^2 + \mu_{Seg}^2 + c_1)(\sigma_{Org}^2 + \sigma_{Seg}^2 + c_2)}$$
(10)

where  $\mu_{Org}$  and  $\mu_{Seg}$  are the images' mean intensity (*Org* and *Seg*);  $\sigma_{Org}$  and  $\sigma_{Seg}$  indicate the standard deviation of both images;  $\sigma_{Org,Seg}$  represents the covariance of the images *Org* and *Seg*;  $c_1 = 6.5025$  and  $c_2 = 58.52252$  are constants.

#### **IV. PROPOSED ABCSCA ALGORITHM**

In this section, we introduce a hybrid algorithm to segment images via determining the optimal multi-level thresholding values that maximize Otsu's objective function. The proposed algorithm is called ABCSCA and is based on the ABC and SCA algorithms. ABCSCA uses Otsu's function as an objective function.

The ABC is used to reduce the search region by determining the best solution; SCA then searches in that reduced region. Therefore, the ABCSCA algorithm starts by computing the histogram of an input image and then generates a random population of N solutions (representing the threshold values). Then, the ABC updates this population using its three groups of bees as discussed in Section III-B. Thereafter, the best solution is determined from the population based on maximizing Equation (1) (the best solutions from the ABC algorithm).

The SCA begins determining the optimal thresholding value by using the output from the ABC (best solution) and updating the solutions of the population via the strategy discussed in Section III-C. The global optimal solution (the output of the SCA algorithm) is determined, and all the previous steps are repeated until the stopping conditions are met. The final steps of the ABCSCA is illustrated in Figure 1.

#### **V. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS**

In this section, we performed a set of experimental series to evaluate the performance of the proposed ABCSCA algorithm.

#### A. EXPERIMENTAL SERIES 1: LOW THRESHOLD LEVELS

In this section, we will evaluate the performance of the proposed method at the low threshold levels (i.e., 2, 3, 4, and 5). In addition, we compared it with three other algorithms,



FIGURE 1. The workflow of the ABCSCA.

namely ABC [66], SCA [67], and FASSO [17]. These three have been applied previously and provided good performance in terms of SSIM and PSNR. In the comparison process, the size of the population (number of solutions) is set to 20, with the dimension equals to the threshold level. The maximum number of iterations is set to 100, which is used as stopping criteria, these parameters are selected experimentally and due to the recommendation in the previous works [2], [8], [63].

The experiments were performed based on four thresholding levels 2, 3, 4, and 5. The parameter of ABC is a modification rate of 0.8. For FASSO, the parameters are the same as in [17]. For SCA, the parameter *a* is set to 2 and the parameters of ABCSCA are the same as for ABC and SCA. Figure 2 shows the eight images (from the Art Explosion database) which were used to test algorithms; these images are, namely, image 270, image 271, image 272, image 300, image 301, image 302, image 404 and image 405.

The comparison results of the proposed ABCSCA algorithm with the other three algorithms are given in Tables 1-3 and Figures 3-6. The results represent the average of 30 runs of each algorithm at each threshold level. Whereas, Figures 7-8 illustrate a sample of the segmentation results.

Table 1 represents the average of the fitness function and the time(s) of computation for all algorithms at thresholding levels 2, 3, 4 and 5 for overall images. According to Figure 3 and Table 1, we can see that, the SCA algorithm takes the longest time, and that the ABC algorithm needs more time



FIGURE 2. The Original eight images that used in the experiments [71], [72].

than the FASSO and ABCSCA algorithms. The proposed ABCSCA algorithm also needs less time to deal with low threshold levels problem (at levels 2 and 3). However, FASSO is better than ABCSCA at threshold levels 4 and 5.

From Figure 4 and Table 1, in terms of the average of the fitness function, the proposed ABCSCA algorithm is the best for threshold levels 4 and 5, but at level 3, FASSO is better than ABCSCA. Additionally, at threshold level 2, the least accurate is the ABCSCA algorithm.

Table 2 and Figures 5-6 show the results of the algorithms according to the SSIM and PSNR measures. From this table and Figure 5, we can conclude that the proposed algorithm is better at the higher levels of thresholding and less accurate (based on SSIM measure) at low levels. However, at these low levels, the difference is small.

Moreover, in terms of the PSNR measure (as in Figure 6), the average of ABCSCA is better than all other algorithms among the threshold levels. The FASSO algorithm ranks second, followed by the ABC algorithm, and the least accurate algorithm is the SCA algorithm.

Drawing from all of these results, that can be concluded, the proposed ABCSCA algorithm overcomes the drawbacks of the SCA and ABC algorithms. Moreover, ABCSCA gives better values of SSIM and PSNR for image segmentation than the ABC and SCA algorithms. In addition, ABCSCA generally gives better segmentation results than FASSO based



FIGURE 3. The average of the CPU time(s) values overall images.



FIGURE 4. The average of the fitness function values overall images.



FIGURE 5. The average of the SSIM measures values overall images.

on the SSIM and PSNR measures. In terms of CPU time(s), the ABCSCA is significantly improved the slow processing times of the ABC and SCA algorithms in selecting the optimal threshold's values by 64% and 36%, respectively. The ABCSCA prevents the long searching time for optimal thresholds that taken by the two algorithms. As well as, the ABCSCA outperformed the FASSO at threshold level 2 whereas, the FASSO is faster than ABCSCA at the rest levels however, the CPU time of the ABCSCA is still acceptable.

In addition, the standard deviation of the results overall the threshold values are given in the last row of Tables 1-2, illustrate that the proposed ABCSCA is more stable than other algorithms in terms fitness value, and PSNR. Meanwhile, ABC in terms of SSIM and CPU time(s).

The non-parametric statistical test called Wilcoxon's rank sum (WRS) is used to further investigate the accuracy of the proposed ABCSCA algorithm for image segmentation. The WRS test compares the median of two groups (methods),





FIGURE 7. Results of image 272 at levels 2,3, 4, and 5 (from first row to fourth row, respectively).

which produces a statistical value (p - value) to decide whether to accept or reject the null hypothesis (H0). Where, the null hypothesis H0 assumes that there is no significant difference between the two groups (i.e., the median values for the two groups are the same). This hypothesis is accepted if the p - value is greater than 0.05, otherwise, the null hypothesis H0 is rejected and the alternative hypothesis (H1) is accepted.

The results of the WRS test according to four measures (PSNR, SSIM, Fitness function and CPU Time(s)) are given in Table 3. From this table, according to the PSNR and time measures, there is a significant difference between the proposed ABCSCA algorithm and the SCA and ABC algorithms (p-value <0.05). There is, however, no significant difference between ABCSCA and FASSO (p-value>0.05). Moreover, based on the fitness function and the SSIM, there is no significant difference between the proposed method and the other methods.

## TABLE 1. Average of the fitness function values and CPU times for all algorithm.

			Fitness I	Function			CPU Ti	me (s)	
Images	Κ	ABCSCA	SCA	ABC	FASSO	ABCSCA	SCA	ABC	FASSO
-	2	2790.06	2640.08	2680.93	2733.85	2.16	3.43	4.27	1.85
270	3	2852.89	2705.89	2735.68	2790.99	2.60	3.42	3.80	2.90
270	4	2940.19	2889.39	2862.53	2906.26	4.33	4.09	4.05	2.08
	5	2948.13	2903.31	2896.70	2937.93	8.17	4.55	3.93	3.20
	2	2258.63	2111.86	2102.10	2109.04	3.37	5.95	3.70	2.76
271	3	2418.47	2295.68	2209.98	2403.53	2.26	7.39	3.94	1.92
271	4	2463.17	2359.60	2345.64	2426.57	3.65	9.36	4.92	2.26
	5	2494.19	2415.93	2423.69	2442.92	5.29	10.81	4.34	3.27
	2	2983.00	3000.81	3022.61	2942.95	1.31	5.65	3.81	1.09
272	3	3185.96	3136.42	3147.86	3118.41	1.18	7.13	3.90	1.23
212	4	3207.28	3160.46	3165.77	3125.12	3.12	7.93	4.25	1.08
	5	3211.55	3206.08	3230.91	3215.54	3.60	12.76	5.78	3.52
	2	3123.40	3094.38	3077.27	3050.61	1.83	7.62	4.95	3.00
200	3	3198.46	3148.53	3121.73	3314.14	3.00	9.56	5.38	1.18
300	4	3358.22	3224.91	3239.79	3312.90	3.40	7.67	5.63	2.06
	5	3340.40	3285.22	3302.55	3324.36	3.59	9.67	4.74	2.43
	2	3545.38	3616.29	3600.62	3581.55	1.37	4.17	3.78	1.01
201	3	3648.67	3644.84	3630.44	3739.15	1.85	7.28	4.19	2.95
501	4	3744.04	3771.60	3759.08	3734.38	2.00	7.28	4.83	2.38
	5	3835.91	3732.08	3783.63	3817.44	2.62	8.28	4.77	2.06
	2	3419.85	3539.09	3488.76	3491.87	1.40	4.09	4.04	2.78
202	3	3756.70	3716.33	3791.53	3793.10	1.95	6.52	4.07	2.05
502	4	3886.02	3878.35	3784.99	3827.51	2.74	7.64	4.28	2.09
	5	3944.13	3934.45	3933.95	3961.71	3.28	7.21	4.61	2.30
	2	3368.66	3383.93	3368.84	3368.26	1.85	5.71	3.84	2.81
404	3	3388.63	3400.76	3373.84	3411.56	1.97	6.55	4.24	1.09
404	4	3427.58	3430.69	3426.89	3417.42	2.75	6.32	4.39	2.20
	5	3490.79	3453.70	3450.52	3456.67	2.86	8.20	4.22	2.25
	2	2975.23	2966.11	2978.92	2971.02	1.91	4.67	3.88	2.82
405	3	3078.53	3099.49	3040.83	3013.13	2.37	6.65	4.17	2.97
405	4	3092.17	3042.60	3051.94	3044.78	2.84	6.87	4.23	2.09
	5	3065.04	3060.21	3058.88	3059.54	2.92	8.06	4.62	2.40
STD.		430.8512	466.7548	469.2860	457.8820	1.3422	2.1336	0.5353	0.6968

TABLE 2. Average of SSIM and PSNR values for all algorithm.

			SSI	М			PSN	JR.	
Images	К	ABCSCA	SCA	ABC	FASSO	ABCSCA	SCA	ABC	FASSO
	2	0.722	0.555	0.580	0.695	17.11	12.97	15.00	16.33
270	3	0.760	0.656	0.693	0.712	17.76	15.69	16.28	16.92
270	4	0.781	0.730	0.747	0.756	19.32	18.07	17.61	18.32
	5	0.807	0.764	0.755	0.793	21.48	19.94	19.40	20.75
	2	0.743	0.681	0.683	0.713	15.56	15.46	15.82	15.46
271	3	0.800	0.706	0.725	0.786	18.95	17.99	17.72	17.18
271	4	0.827	0.752	0.781	0.813	19.90	18.62	17.11	19.40
	5	0.834	0.785	0.807	0.828	20.72	19.31	19.28	19.85
	2	0.635	0.642	0.699	0.614	15.10	14.17	15.58	13.50
272	3	0.803	0.716	0.722	0.790	19.40	17.11	16.70	19.07
212	4	0.838	0.761	0.770	0.802	20.07	18.08	19.87	20.04
	5	0.849	0.781	0.792	0.827	20.56	19.43	20.20	20.74
	2	0.445	0.458	0.468	0.402	16.51	16.54	16.30	16.40
200	3	0.595	0.521	0.480	0.562	18.21	17.77	17.87	18.88
500	4	0.647	0.592	0.594	0.619	19.77	18.70	19.61	19.34
	5	0.710	0.631	0.672	0.764	20.81	18.83	20.74	19.62
	2	0.419	0.548	0.531	0.552	18.89	15.52	17.89	17.87
201	3	0.438	0.559	0.547	0.506	18.66	17.76	17.98	19.72
501	4	0.591	0.571	0.591	0.595	21.96	19.90	20.11	18.84
	5	0.670	0.607	0.689	0.636	22.10	18.13	21.76	22.74
	2	0.449	0.442	0.432	0.417	15.01	15.58	15.20	15.30
202	3	0.654	0.581	0.653	0.630	18.08	15.84	18.21	17.97
502	4	0.752	0.722	0.678	0.630	19.45	19.67	18.25	19.27
	5	0.783	0.763	0.743	0.724	20.74	20.83	21.47	20.12
	2	0.876	0.873	0.860	0.843	18.89	13.38	14.83	11.27
404	3	0.892	0.891	0.846	0.890	16.64	17.53	13.68	14.20
404	4	0.913	0.905	0.885	0.891	17.21	18.59	13.07	13.88
	5	0.929	0.926	0.898	0.904	22.65	23.74	21.05	20.80
	2	0.897	0.865	0.883	0.886	15.74	15.43	15.96	17.35
405	3	0.929	0.880	0.926	0.921	17.81	13.89	18.85	16.66
403	4	0.934	0.906	0.910	0.923	18.87	14.53	15.16	19.03
	5	0.964	0.942	0.935	0.935	21.50	19.57	18.99	21.99
CTD.		0.1556	0.1425	0.1202	0.1460	2 0802	2 2006	2 2019	2 5002

#### B. EXPERIMENTAL SERIES 2: INFLUENCE OF HIGH THRESHOLDS

The main aim of this experiment is to evaluate the quality of the proposed ABCSCA to find suitable threshold values to segment a set of different eleven images (as in Figure 9) at high-level thresholds (i.e. 6, 12, 16, and 20). These images are used to check the ability of the proposed ABCSCA to work a large number of images. In addition, the performance of the proposed ABCSCA is compared with a set of recently published methods. These methods including, salp swarm algorithm (SSA), whale optimization algorithm (WOA), grey wolf optimization (GWO), and social spider optimization (SSO) as well as the methods used in the previous experiments. The values of parameters of each algorithm are set



FIGURE 8. Results of image 271 at levels 2,3, 4, and 5 (from first row to fourth row, respectively).

**TABLE 3.** Statistical results of Wilcoxon's rank sum test at significance level equals to 0.5 between ABCSCA and the other algorithms over all measures.

		SCA	ABC	FASSO
PSNR	p-value	0.0088	0.0291	0.2797
	h	1	1	0
SSIM	p-value	0.2167	0.3270	0.5236
	h	0	0	0
Fitness function	p-value	0.8038	0.7728	0.7935
	h	0	0	0
CPU Time	p-value	0.0000	0.0000	0.1361
	h	1	1	0

as on the original reference. Those methods are used since they established their performance as image segmentation methods in the literature.

The comparison results are given in Tables 5-4 and Figures 10-11. Table 5 and Figure 10 present the PSNR results of the ABCSCA and the compared methods. The ABCSCA outperformed all other methods and ranked first, it reached the best PSNR results in 41% of all images overall thresholds. The SCA came in the second rank with 14% of all images overall thresholds numbers 12 and 16. The FASSO obtained 11% of the best PSNR in this experiment. The WOA and SSA are ranked fourth and fifth, respectively, with 9% for each one, followed by the SSO. The ABC came in the seventh rank and it showed the best PSNR in the thresholds numbers 6 and 20. Whereas, WOAPSO and GWO are ranked last.

The results of SSIM are also considered as in Table 4 and Figure 11. From this table, it can be seen that the ABCSCA achieved the best SSIM results in 39% of all test images



**FIGURE 9.** The Original eleven images that used to assess proposed method at the high threshold levels.



FIGURE 10. Ratio of the achievement of all algorithms based on PSNR.

overall thresholds. Whereas, the SCA is ranked second with 11% in the experiment. The WOA and SSO achieved 9% for each one and ranked third and fourth, respectively. The rest of the algorithms are ranked in this order SSA, FASSO, then ABC, respectively with 7% for each one. Whereas, GWO and WOAPSO came in the last order.

In terms of the STD, it can observed that the proposed ABCSCA has the smallest STD value in terms of PSNR and SSIM followed by the SSA algorithm. 5-4.

		SSA	WOA	GWO	SSO	SCA	ABC	FASSO	WOAPSO	ABCSCA
	Iml	20.46	20.27	20.83	20.66	20.25	20.65	20.45	20.69	20.83
	Im2	18.28	18.51	18.38	18.76	18.37	18.31	18.48	18.17	19.93
	Im3	19.65	19.65	19.40	19.96	19.49	19.01	19.40	19.52	20.21
	Im4	21.16	21.08	21.07	21.17	21.15	20.89	21.31	21.10	21.47
	Im5	20.10	19.46	18.99	19.31	19.70	19.07	18.59	19.77	20.94
6	Imo	19.48	19.79	19.38	19.40	19.78	19.06	19.46	19.33	20.46
	Im/	19.95	19.54	19.59	19.57	19.14	19.48	19.26	19.39	19.30
	Ims	19.95	19.80	19.47	19.05	19.50	19.72	19.58	19.00	19.48
	Im9	10.98	11.73	17.71	17.24	17.90	17.09	17.03	17.78	19.13
	Imit	18.75	18.29	17.04	18.04	18.20	18.01	17.93	18.74	18.80
	Imil	16.10	24.52	24.92	24.54	24.20	24.05	24.40	24.54	18.07
	IIIII Imr2	24.55	24.55	24.65	24.34	24.50	24.95	24.49	24.34	24.94
	Im2	25.15	22.07	23.10	24.51	22.50	23.17	22.75	22.55	23.52
	Im3	24.70	24.43	24.27	24.51	24.50	24.04	24.70	24.37	24.55
	Im4	24.41	23.39	24.05	23.51	25.56	24.54	23.72	24.32	23.24
12	Imb	24.41	24.42	24.05	24.01	23.10	24.54	24.54	24.32	24.61
14	Im7	24.62	24.49	24.00	24.15	23.01	24.01	24.00	24.24	24.05
	Im8	23.75	24.06	23.68	24.30	24.23	24.45	23.08	24.21	24.34
	Im9	22 52	22.96	22.45	22 37	22 30	22.16	22.51	23.01	23 73
	Im10	22.75	23.16	21.99	22.04	23.04	21.70	22.81	22.65	22.93
	Im11	23.10	22.65	23.23	23.46	22.57	23.28	22.93	23.31	23.37
	Im1	26.36	26.52	26.28	26.71	25.40	25.72	25.18	25.79	26.56
	Im2	24.06	24.98	24 46	24 50	24.07	24.28	23.47	24.12	24.95
	Im3	26.43	26.84	26.54	26.54	25.81	25.67	25.40	26.72	26.86
	Im4	27.48	27.32	27.56	27.58	26.40	26.44	26.76	27.27	27.52
	Im5	25.97	26.00	25.77	25.40	26.16	25.28	25.40	26.47	26.34
14	Im6	26.33	26.64	26.49	26.34	25.66	25.48	25.58	26.51	26.65
	Im7	26.20	26.03	26.56	26.35	25.43	25.24	25.49	26.38	26.71
	Im8	26.25	26.24	25.42	26.70	24.80	25.77	24.59	25.98	26.00
	Im9	24.59	24.69	24.74	24.65	23.67	23.50	23.91	24.94	24.82
	Im10	24.18	22.78	24.03	23.97	22.57	23.61	23.20	22.71	24.22
	Imll	25.66	25.72	25.00	25.56	24.54	24.28	24.36	25.58	25.69
	Im1	26.36	26.52	26.28	26.71	26.12	26.33	26.67	25.79	26.66
	Im2	24.06	24.98	24.46	24.50	24.63	24.76	25.10	24.12	24.95
	Im3	26.43	26.84	26.54	26.54	26.16	26.37	26.21	26.72	26.86
	Im4	27.48	27.32	27.56	27.58	27.38	27.73	27.79	27.27	27.52
	Im5	25.97	26.00	25.77	25.40	25.80	26.22	25.66	26.47	26.34
16	Im6	26.33	26.64	26.49	26.34	26.77	26.56	26.45	26.51	26.65
	Im7	26.20	26.03	26.56	26.35	26.64	26.56	26.65	26.38	26.71
	Im8	26.25	26.24	25.42	26.70	25.47	25.77	26.15	25.98	25.00
	Im9	24.59	24.69	24.74	24.65	25.11	24.76	24.48	24.94	24.72
	Im10	24.18	22.78	24.03	23.97	22.94	23.10	23.55	22.71	23.92
	Im11	25.66	25.72	25.00	25.56	25.68	25.61	25.51	25.58	25.89
	Iml	27.71	27.57	27.76	27.40	28.15	27.61	27.77	27.75	28.33
	Im2	25.84	26.10	26.17	25.76	26.16	26.00	25.09	25.77	26.46
	Im3	27.78	28.48	27.68	27.93	28.45	27.85	28.24	27.73	27.96
	Im4	28.83	28.84	28.97	29.09	29.20	28.73	29.17	28.97	29.23
	Im5	27.54	26.78	28.26	27.80	27.00	26.69	26.97	27.39	28.07
20	Im6	27.98	27.90	27.68	28.12	28.42	28.01	27.80	28.18	28.06
	Im7	28.42	28.17	28.18	28.11	27.77	27.96	28.23	28.49	28.89
	Im8	26.17	26.56	25.89	26.16	26.07	25.83	27.12	26.01	26.81
	Im9	26.34	26.45	26.51	26.23	26.35	26.35	26.14	25.80	26.45
	Im10	24.48	25.90	23.64	24.95	25.57	24.95	25.03	24.45	25.26
	Im11	27.04	27.47	26.72	27.22	27.42	27.57	27.02	27.22	28.07
	TD.	2.9401	3.0196	3.0220	2.9999	2.9774	2.9768	2.9993	2.9878	2.8547

TABLE 4. Average of the PSNR values for all algorithm for 6, 12, 16, and

20 thresholds.





#### C. COMPARISON BETWEEN OTSU AND FUZZY ENTROPY

In this section, we evaluate the proposed method over some new images using fuzzy entropy along with otsu's methods. Figure 12 illustrate the test images and its name which taken from (https://www2.eecs.berkeley.edu/Research/Projects/CS/ vision/bsds/BSDS300/html/dataset/images.html). Table 6 shows the PSNR results at thresholds levels 6, 16, and 20. Regarding the results of Otsu's method, at level 6, the ABC-SCA outperforms other algorithms in 3 images whereas,

TABLE 5.	Average of the SSIM values for all algo	orithm for (	6, 12,	16, and
20 thresh	olds.			

		SSA	WOA	GWO	SSO	SCA	ABC	FASSO	WOAPSO	ABCSCA
	Iml	0.695	0.683	0.688	0.698	0.681	0.682	0.672	0.673	0.701
	Im2	0.669	0.686	0.673	0.685	0.664	0.676	0.673	0.657	0.695
	Im3	0.765	0.762	0.754	0.775	0.763	0.744	0.759	0.759	0.828
	Im4	0.635	0.633	0.640	0.628	0.638	0.627	0.638	0.630	0.666
	Im5	0.776	0.768	0.759	0.768	0.779	0.777	0.767	0.785	0.793
6	Im6	0.694	0.707	0.693	0.691	0.691	0.690	0.689	0.687	0.719
	Im7	0.694	0.677	0.684	0.679	0.661	0.676	0.669	0.671	0.768
	Im8	0.705	0.696	0.693	0.678	0.698	0.700	0.687	0.705	0.722
	Im9	0.615	0.649	0.644	0.622	0.657	0.643	0.633	0.643	0.775
	Im10	0.645	0.636	0.596	0.638	0.625	0.626	0.614	0.644	0.741
	Im11	0.630	0.615	0.634	0.653	0.609	0.628	0.611	0.608	0.766
	Im1	0.742	0.752	0.762	0.755	0.767	0.766	0.750	0.780	0.786
	Im2	0.802	0.800	0.803	0.780	0.789	0.806	0.800	0.785	0.819
	Im3	0.884	0.879	0.874	0.883	0.886	0.883	0.882	0.881	0.881
	Im4	0.783	0.777	0.775	0.775	0.769	0.754	0.777	0.765	0 779
	Im5	0.838	0.844	0.828	0.856	0.853	0.845	0.847	0.838	0.849
12	Im6	0.801	0.803	0.810	0.796	0.798	0.800	0.806	0.793	0.804
	Im7	0.822	0.810	0.811	0.823	0.806	0.820	0.813	0.814	0.815
	Im8	0.802	0.803	0.796	0.803	0.804	0.815	0.805	0.804	0.807
	Im0	0.816	0.829	0.812	0.814	0.811	0.805	0.821	0.829	0.829
	Im10	0.773	0.783	0.757	0.759	0.782	0.752	0.780	0.774	0.778
	Im11	0.779	0.765	0.789	0.794	0.771	0.795	0.781	0.788	0.796
	Im1	0.803	0.806	0.705	0.802	0.786	0.795	0.772	0.784	0.750
	Im2	0.805	0.800	0.795	0.802	0.780	0.785	0.812	0.764	0.801
	Im2	0.013	0.036	0.034	0.012	0.020	0.824	0.812	0.010	0.045
	Into	0.915	0.910	0.910	0.912	0.903	0.090	0.893	0.914	0.917
	11114	0.620	0.820	0.820	0.011	0.007	0.805	0.809	0.813	0.827
14	Into	0.805	0.870	0.800	0.850	0.800	0.805	0.855	0.873	0.871
14	Into Im7	0.859	0.656	0.859	0.655	0.842	0.821	0.821	0.657	0.845
	IIII/	0.850	0.850	0.801	0.857	0.045	0.652	0.839	0.636	0.803
	Into	0.845	0.844	0.829	0.857	0.625	0.041	0.810	0.855	0.842
	IIII9	0.808	0.809	0.800	0.809	0.845	0.844	0.854	0.875	0.809
	Imit	0.810	0.785	0.811	0.812	0.772	0.798	0.791	0.782	0.808
	Intra	0.848	0.850	0.827	0.840	0.823	0.812	0.809	0.845	0.839
	IIIII Im 2	0.805	0.800	0.795	0.802	0.797	0.760	0.800	0.764	0.804
	IIII2	0.824	0.030	0.654	0.654	0.652	0.000	0.843	0.014	0.845
	IIII5	0.915	0.910	0.910	0.912	0.909	0.909	0.907	0.914	0.917
	11114	0.828	0.820	0.828	0.811	0.810	0.824	0.855	0.815	0.827
16	Ims	0.803	0.870	0.800	0.850	0.809	0.862	0.862	0.873	0.871
10		0.859	0.858	0.859	0.855	0.840	0.854	0.850	0.857	0.845
		0.850	0.850	0.801	0.857	0.805	0.804	0.807	0.858	0.803
	Ims	0.843	0.844	0.829	0.857	0.831	0.835	0.843	0.835	0.846
	Im9	0.868	0.869	0.800	0.869	0.877	0.868	0.860	0.875	0.867
	Imio	0.810	0.785	0.811	0.812	0.789	0.784	0.797	0.782	0.818
	Imii	0.848	0.856	0.827	0.846	0.850	0.846	0.840	0.843	0.854
	lml	0.835	0.830	0.832	0.825	0.823	0.810	0.818	0.829	0.860
	Im2	0.857	0.863	0.864	0.852	0.863	0.859	0.844	0.857	0.875
	Im3	0.927	0.936	0.926	0.928	0.935	0.928	0.933	0.925	0.995
	Im4	0.858	0.868	0.861	0.856	0.866	0.855	0.860	0.858	0.866
	lm5	0.893	0.885	0.892	0.893	0.880	0.875	0.882	0.891	0.886
20	Im6	0.863	0.857	0.860	0.866	0.870	0.862	0.861	0.866	0.879
	Im7	0.893	0.891	0.889	0.890	0.883	0.887	0.892	0.897	0.898
	Im8	0.841	0.853	0.835	0.848	0.848	0.835	0.862	0.848	0.858
	Im9	0.898	0.901	0.902	0.896	0.899	0.900	0.895	0.887	0.898
	Im10	0.821	0.846	0.795	0.828	0.842	0.831	0.834	0.819	0.828
	Im11	0.873	0.878	0.863	0.873	0.880	0.882	0.870	0.875	0.879
S	TD.	0.0759	0.0770	0.0764	0.0760	0.0773	0.0757	0.0776	0.0780	0.06037

the GWO obtains the second rank. At level 16, the ABCSCA also outperforms the other algorithms in 3 images followed by WOA and GWO, respectively. At level 20, the WOA obtains the best PSNR results in 3 images whereas, the ABCSCA comes in the second rank with small differences.

Regarding the results of fuzzy entropy, at levels 6 and 20, the ABCSCA outperforms the other algorithms in 3 images followed by SSO. At level 16, the ABCSCA obtains the best PSNR results in 4 images and is ranked first followed by WOAPSO and FASSO, respectively. These results show that the ABCSCA can get the best threshold at level 16.

As the previous results show, the performance of the hybrid ABCSCA method is better than that of the SCA and ABC algorithms. The main reason for this superiority is that hybrid technology combines the properties of different methods. Therefore, the hybrid algorithm avoids getting stuck on a local point and increases the convergence rate. The performance of the proposed ABCSCA algorithm is also better than FASSO since the ABC algorithm can more efficiently explore the search space compared to FA. The exploitation ability of SCA is also better than social spider optimization (SSO), and the SCA has a small number of parameters compared to the SSO algorithm. All of this indicates that hybrid techniques have a high ability to find the optimal solution for image



FIGURE 12. The Original of images namely 119082, 101085, 76053, 42049, and 157055.

**TABLE 6.** The PSNR results of the proposed method using otsu and Fuzzy entropy methods.

K Im						O	tsu			
ĸ	1	SSA	WOA	GWO	SSO	SCA	ABC	FASSO	WOAPSO	ABCSCA
	119082	22.15	22.71	22.67	20.63	17.16	21.90	20.82	20.50	23.02
	101085	21.49	22.24	22.29	20.35	16.68	21.46	20.40	20.09	22.20
6	76053	20.27	20.85	21.25	20.15	9.59	20.60	18.36	19.83	22.51
	42049	22.80	24.02	24.41	20.68	5.40	23.35	21.71	21.62	25.45
	157055	20.19	20.44	20.77	19.08	8.10	20.60	19.64	19.30	20.58
	119082	27.99	29.72	29.28	26.70	17.92	28.03	26.30	26.50	29.12
	101085	28.20	29.55	29.36	26.04	17.77	28.06	26.84	26.89	29.61
16	76053	28.83	30.66	31.21	26.06	10.50	28.28	25.00	25.29	32.09
	42049	28.90	31.37	30.83	26.97	5.97	29.06	26.85	25.96	32.54
	157055	26.74	29.61	28.95	25.12	5.93	27.76	25.19	25.10	30.11
	119082	29.80	31.52	30.97	27.70	18.72	29.34	28.37	28.11	31.48
	101085	29.73	31.52	30.74	28.10	18.17	29.44	27.90	27.87	30.74
20	76053	30.26	32.60	32.49	26.42	8.43	29.89	27.05	27.58	33.09
	42049	30.80	31.05	27.80	26.26	6.34	30.74	27.36	25.96	33.05
	157055	28.87	31.27	29.98	26.73	6.18	29.21	26.24	27.08	31.09
	Avg.	26.47	27.94	27.53	24.47	11.52	26.51	24.54	24.51	28.45
ĸ	Im					Fuzzy	Entropy			
К	Im	SSA	WOA	GWO	SSO	Fuzzy SCA	Entropy ABC	FASSO	WOAPSO	ABCSCA
K	Im 119082	SSA 14.98	WOA 12.83	GWO 12.33	SSO 16.92	Fuzzy SCA 13.32	Entropy ABC 14.57	FASSO 17.81	WOAPSO 17.40	ABCSCA 17.16
K	Im 119082 101085	SSA 14.98 14.58	WOA 12.83 13.14	GWO 12.33 12.53	SSO 16.92 17.51	Fuzzy SCA 13.32 15.02	Entropy ABC 14.57 14.38	FASSO 17.81 15.61	WOAPSO 17.40 16.79	ABCSCA 17.16 18.14
К 6	Im 119082 101085 76053	SSA 14.98 14.58 13.51	WOA 12.83 13.14 11.53	GWO 12.33 12.53 10.48	SSO 16.92 17.51 16.56	Fuzzy SCA 13.32 15.02 13.52	Entropy ABC 14.57 14.38 13.44	FASSO 17.81 15.61 17.77	WOAPSO 17.40 16.79 16.63	ABCSCA 17.16 18.14 17.80
К 6	Im 119082 101085 76053 42049	SSA 14.98 14.58 13.51 15.94	WOA 12.83 13.14 11.53 14.99	GWO 12.33 12.53 10.48 12.91	SSO 16.92 17.51 16.56 17.31	Fuzzy SCA 13.32 15.02 13.52 12.93	Entropy ABC 14.57 14.38 13.44 15.45	FASSO 17.81 15.61 17.77 16.91	WOAPSO 17.40 16.79 16.63 15.80	ABCSCA 17.16 18.14 17.80 18.04
<u>к</u> 6	Im 119082 101085 76053 42049 157055	SSA 14.98 14.58 13.51 15.94 13.70	WOA 12.83 13.14 11.53 14.99 11.73	GWO 12.33 12.53 10.48 12.91 11.44	\$\$0 16.92 17.51 16.56 17.31 16.95	Fuzzy SCA 13.32 15.02 13.52 12.93 12.75	Entropy ABC 14.57 14.38 13.44 15.45 13.78	FASSO 17.81 15.61 17.77 16.91 15.30	WOAPSO 17.40 16.79 16.63 15.80 <b>16.95</b>	ABCSCA 17.16 18.14 17.80 18.04 16.00
<u>к</u> 6	Im 119082 101085 76053 42049 157055 119082	SSA 14.98 14.58 13.51 15.94 13.70 24.50	WOA 12.83 13.14 11.53 14.99 11.73 24.50	GWO 12.33 12.53 10.48 12.91 11.44 23.22	SSO 16.92 17.51 16.56 17.31 16.95 24.22	Fuzzy SCA 13.32 15.02 13.52 12.93 12.75 16.28	Entropy ABC 14.57 14.38 13.44 15.45 13.78 <b>25.53</b>	FASSO 17.81 15.61 17.77 16.91 15.30 24.15	WOAPSO 17.40 16.79 16.63 15.80 <b>16.95</b> 24.67	ABCSCA 17.16 18.14 17.80 18.04 16.00 24.99
6 	Im 119082 101085 76053 42049 157055 119082 101085	SSA 14.98 14.58 13.51 15.94 13.70 24.50 24.30	WOA 12.83 13.14 11.53 14.99 11.73 24.50 23.05	GWO 12.33 12.53 10.48 12.91 11.44 23.22 23.45	SSO 16.92 17.51 16.56 17.31 16.95 24.22 24.82	Fuzzy SCA 13.32 15.02 13.52 12.93 12.75 16.28 17.26	Entropy ABC 14.57 14.38 13.44 15.45 13.78 <b>25.53</b> 23.85	FASSO 17.81 15.61 17.77 16.91 15.30 24.15 24.40	WOAPSO 17.40 16.79 16.63 15.80 <b>16.95</b> 24.67 23.37	ABCSCA 17.16 18.14 17.80 18.04 16.00 24.99 25.59
6 16	Im 119082 101085 76053 42049 157055 119082 101085 76053	SSA 14.98 14.58 13.51 15.94 13.70 24.50 24.30 25.58	WOA 12.83 13.14 11.53 14.99 11.73 24.50 23.05 24.78	GWO 12.33 12.53 10.48 12.91 11.44 23.22 23.45 24.21	\$\$\$0 16.92 17.51 16.56 17.31 16.95 24.22 24.82 23.50	Fuzzy SCA 13.32 15.02 13.52 12.93 12.75 16.28 17.26 17.00	Entropy ABC 14.57 14.38 13.44 15.45 13.78 <b>25.53</b> 23.85 23.17	FASSO 17.81 15.61 17.77 16.91 15.30 24.15 24.40 24.95	WOAPSO 17.40 16.79 16.63 15.80 <b>16.95</b> 24.67 23.37 24.11	ABCSCA 17.16 18.14 17.80 18.04 16.00 24.99 25.59 26.37
6 16	Im 119082 101085 76053 42049 157055 119082 101085 76053 42049	SSA 14.98 14.58 13.51 15.94 13.70 24.50 24.30 25.58 26.33	WOA 12.83 13.14 11.53 14.99 11.73 24.50 23.05 24.78 24.54	GWO 12.33 12.53 10.48 12.91 11.44 23.22 23.45 24.21 23.48	\$\$\$0 16.92 17.51 16.56 17.31 16.95 24.22 24.82 23.50 24.30	Fuzzy SCA 13.32 15.02 13.52 12.93 12.75 16.28 17.26 17.00 15.63	Entropy ABC 14.57 14.38 13.44 15.45 13.78 <b>25.53</b> 23.85 23.17 25.62	FASSO 17.81 15.61 17.77 16.91 15.30 24.15 24.40 24.95 26.18	WOAPSO 17.40 16.79 16.63 15.80 <b>16.95</b> 24.67 23.37 24.11 26.88	ABCSCA 17.16 18.14 17.80 18.04 16.00 24.99 25.59 26.37 26.99
6 16	Im 119082 101085 76053 42049 157055 119082 101085 76053 42049 157055	SSA 14.98 14.58 13.51 15.94 13.70 24.50 24.30 25.58 26.33 23.33	WOA 12.83 13.14 11.53 14.99 11.73 24.50 23.05 24.78 24.54 23.88	GWO 12.33 12.53 10.48 12.91 11.44 23.22 23.45 24.21 23.48 22.32	SSO 16.92 17.51 16.56 17.31 16.95 24.22 24.82 23.50 24.30 24.30 24.36	Fuzzy SCA 13.32 15.02 13.52 12.93 12.75 16.28 17.26 17.00 15.63 15.60	Entropy ABC 14.57 14.38 13.44 15.45 13.78 25.53 23.85 23.17 25.62 23.30	FASSO 17.81 15.61 17.77 16.91 15.30 24.15 24.40 24.95 26.18 24.64	WOAPSO 17.40 16.79 16.63 15.80 <b>16.95</b> 24.67 23.37 24.11 26.88 24.75	ABCSCA 17.16 18.14 17.80 18.04 16.00 24.99 25.59 26.37 26.99 25.15
6 16	Im 119082 101085 76053 42049 157055 119082 101085 76053 42049 157055 119082	SSA 14.98 14.58 13.51 15.94 13.70 24.50 24.50 24.30 25.58 26.33 23.33 25.36	WOA 12.83 13.14 11.53 14.99 11.73 24.50 23.05 24.78 24.54 23.88 25.92	GWO 12.33 12.53 10.48 12.91 11.44 23.22 23.45 24.21 23.48 22.32 25.78	\$\$\$0 16.92 17.51 16.56 17.31 16.95 24.22 24.82 23.50 24.30 24.30 24.36 26.31	Fuzzy SCA 13.32 15.02 13.52 12.93 12.75 16.28 17.26 17.00 15.63 15.60 17.11	Entropy ABC 14.57 14.38 13.44 15.45 13.78 <b>25.53</b> 23.85 23.17 25.62 23.30 25.37	FASSO 17.81 15.61 17.77 16.91 15.30 24.15 24.40 24.95 26.18 24.64 24.64 26.34	WOAPSO 17.40 16.79 16.63 15.80 <b>16.95</b> 24.67 23.37 24.11 26.88 24.75 25.66	ABCSCA 17.16 18.14 17.80 18.04 16.00 24.99 25.59 26.37 26.99 25.15 25.90
6 16	Im 119082 101085 76053 42049 157055 119082 101085 76053 42049 157055 119082 101085 119082	SSA           14.98           14.58           13.51           15.94           13.70           24.50           24.50           24.33           25.58           26.33           25.36           26.13	WOA 12.83 13.14 11.53 14.99 11.73 24.50 23.05 24.78 24.54 23.88 24.54 23.88 25.92 25.95	GWO 12.33 12.53 10.48 12.91 11.44 23.22 23.45 24.21 23.48 22.32 25.78 25.83	SSO           16.92           17.51           16.56           17.31           16.95           24.22           24.82           23.50           24.30           24.36           26.31           26.55	Fuzzy SCA 13.32 15.02 13.52 12.93 12.75 16.28 17.20 15.63 15.60 17.11 17.27	Entropy ABC 14.57 14.38 13.44 15.45 13.78 23.85 23.17 25.62 23.30 25.37 25.54	FASSO 17.81 15.61 17.77 16.91 15.30 24.15 24.40 24.95 26.18 24.64 26.34 25.81	WOAPSO 17.40 16.79 16.63 15.80 <b>16.95</b> 24.67 23.37 24.11 26.88 24.75 25.66 25.44	ABCSCA 17.16 18.14 17.80 18.04 16.00 24.99 25.59 26.37 26.99 25.15 25.90 26.98
6 16 20	Im 119082 101085 76053 42049 157055 119082 101085 76053 42049 157055 119082 101085 76053	SSA           14.98           14.58           13.51           15.94           13.70           24.50           24.30           25.58           26.33           25.36           26.13           27.20	WOA 12.83 13.14 11.53 14.99 11.73 24.50 23.05 24.78 24.54 23.88 25.92 25.95 26.25	GWO 12.33 12.53 10.48 12.91 11.44 23.22 23.45 24.21 23.45 24.21 23.45 24.21 23.45 24.21 23.45 24.32 25.78 25.83 26.36	SSO           16.92           17.51           16.56           17.31           16.95           24.22           23.50           24.30           24.30           24.36           26.31           26.55           26.50	Fuzzy SCA 13.32 15.02 13.52 12.93 12.75 16.28 17.26 17.00 15.63 15.60 17.11 17.27 17.46	Entropy ABC 14.57 14.38 13.44 15.45 13.78 <b>25.53</b> 23.85 23.17 25.62 23.30 25.37 25.54 27.89	FASSO 17.81 15.61 17.77 16.91 15.30 24.15 24.40 24.95 26.18 24.64 26.34 25.62	WOAPSO 17.40 16.79 16.63 15.80 <b>16.95</b> 24.67 23.37 24.11 26.88 24.75 25.66 25.44 26.76	ABCSCA 17.16 18.14 17.80 18.04 16.00 24.99 25.59 26.37 26.99 25.15 25.90 26.98 28.38
6 16 20	Im 119082 101085 76053 42049 157055 119082 101085 76053 42049 157055 119082 101085 76053 42049	SSA           14.98           14.58           13.51           15.94           13.70           24.50           24.30           25.58           26.33           25.36           26.13           27.20           26.78	WOA 12.83 13.14 11.53 14.99 11.73 24.50 23.05 24.78 24.54 23.88 25.92 25.95 26.25 26.54	GWO 12.33 12.53 10.48 12.91 11.44 23.22 23.45 24.21 23.48 22.32 25.78 25.83 26.36 25.90	SSO           16.92           17.51           16.66           17.31           16.95           24.22           24.82           23.50           24.30           24.30           26.31           26.55           26.50           27.62	Fuzzy SCA 13.32 15.02 13.52 12.93 12.75 16.28 17.26 17.00 15.63 15.60 17.11 17.27 17.46 17.47	Entropy ABC 14.57 14.38 13.44 15.45 13.78 <b>25.53</b> 23.85 23.17 25.62 23.30 25.37 25.54 27.89 <b>28.01</b>	FASSO 17.81 15.61 17.77 16.91 15.30 24.40 24.95 26.18 24.64 24.95 26.18 24.64 25.81 25.62 26.75	WOAPSO 17.40 16.79 16.63 15.80 <b>16.95</b> 24.67 23.37 24.11 26.88 24.75 25.66 25.44 25.44 26.76 27.69	ABCSCA 17.16 18.14 17.80 18.04 16.00 24.99 25.59 26.37 26.99 25.15 25.90 26.98 26.98 28.38 27.71
6 16 20	Im 119082 101085 76053 42049 157055 119082 101085 76053 42049 157055 119082 101085 76053 42049 157055	SSA           14.98           14.58           13.51           15.94           13.70           24.50           24.30           25.58           26.33           23.33           25.36           26.13           27.20           26.78           26.11	WOA 12.83 13.14 11.53 14.99 11.73 24.50 23.05 24.78 24.54 23.88 25.92 25.95 26.25 26.54 25.55	GWO 12.33 12.53 10.48 12.91 11.44 23.22 23.45 24.21 23.45 24.21 23.48 22.32 25.78 25.83 26.36 25.90 25.26	SSO           16.92           17.51           16.56           17.31           16.95           24.22           23.50           24.30           24.36           26.31           26.55           26.50           27.62           26.21	Fuzzy SCA 13.32 15.02 13.52 12.93 12.75 16.28 17.26 17.00 15.63 15.60 17.11 17.27 17.46 17.47 15.41	Entropy ABC 14.57 14.38 13.44 15.45 13.78 25.53 23.17 25.62 23.30 25.37 25.54 27.89 28.01 25.17	FASSO 17.81 15.61 17.77 16.91 15.30 24.15 24.40 24.95 26.18 24.64 26.34 25.81 25.81 25.81 25.75 24.89	WOAPSO 17.40 16.79 16.63 15.80 16.95 24.67 24.11 26.88 24.75 24.11 26.88 24.75 25.66 25.44 26.76 25.44 26.76 26.48	ABCSCA 17.16 18.14 17.80 18.04 16.00 24.99 25.59 26.37 26.99 25.15 25.90 26.98 28.38 28.38 27.71 26.61

segmentation and this agrees with the expected of this type of algorithms and from other works in literature.

#### **VI. FUTURE SCOPE**

The proposed method for image segmentation can be used in the future to solve different problems and applications in terms of image processing such as analyzing the image structure, visualization, computer-aided diagnostics, computer vision, image classification, and object detection. In all applications, the quality of images is a very important issue in image segmentation. Low resolutions, lower contrast, or high noises can cause this problem. In general, when the image has low quality, there is a high probability to fall in local optima and produce wrong thresholds values. Therefore, the preprocessing of those images is a very important phase to improve the quality of that case as well as using a 2D histogram instead of 1D can be helpful at this phase. Therefore, the proposed method will be improved using the 2D histogram and evaluated over low-quality images.

#### **VII. CONCLUSION**

The multi-level thresholding approach is used to segment the image (cluster its elements) and is considered as a preprocessing step in many applications. In this paper, a new hybrid algorithm for multi-level segmentation was proposed. It draws from the advantages of both of the Artificial Bee Colony and Sine-Cosine algorithms. Moreover, it uses Otsu's method as a fitness function. The experimental results were presented to compare the proposed algorithm against the results of three methods at low threshold levels namely SCA, ABC, and FASSO over eight images as well as the results of eight methods (i.e. SSA, WOA, GWO, SSO, ABC, FASSO, and WOAPSO) at high threshold levels over eleven images besides, the results of the fuzzy entropy. According to the results, the ABCSCA algorithm achieved the best results in the low levels thresholds based on Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio (PSNR) and the Structural Similarity Index (SSIM) measures. It also outperformed the other eight methods by 41% and 39% in terms of PSNR and SSIM, respectively. In the future works, the ABCSCA algorithm can be applied to other complex image segmentation problems, such as color image segmentation. Since, this problem requires to determine the threshold at different dimensions (I.e., RGB). Also, this becomes more important for different applications, including medical, galaxy, and others.

#### REFERENCES

- H. Huang, F. Meng, S. Zhou, F. Jiang, and G. Manogaran, "Brain image segmentation based on FCM clustering algorithm and rough set," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 12386–12396, 2019.
- [2] M. A. El Aziz, A. A. Ewees, A. E. Hassanien, M. Mudhsh, and S. Xiong, "Multi-objective whale optimization algorithm for multilevel thresholding segmentation," in *Advances in Soft Computing and Machine Learning in Image Processing*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2018, pp. 23–39.
- [3] R. Ratnakumar and S. J. Nanda, "A low complexity hardware architecture of K-means algorithm for real-time satellite image segmentation," *Multimedia Tools Appl.*, vol. 78, no. 9, pp. 11949–11981, May 2019.
- [4] S. Srivastava, J. Priyadarshini, S. Gopal, S. Gupta, and H. S. Dayal, "Optical character recognition on bank cheques using 2D convolution neural network," in *Applications of Artificial Intelligence Techniques in Engineering*. Singapore: Springer, 2019, pp. 589–596.
- [5] U. Jamil, A. Sajid, M. Hussain, O. Aldabbas, A. Alam, and M. U. Shafiq, "Melanoma segmentation using bio-medical image analysis for smarter mobile healthcare," *J. Ambient Intell. Humanized Comput.*, vol. 10, no. 10, pp. 4099–4120, Oct. 2019.
- [6] P. Jyotiyana and S. Maheshwari, "Maximal stable extremal region extraction of MRI tumor images using successive Otsu algorithm," in *Information and Communication Technology for Competitive Strategies*. Springer, 2019, pp. 687–700.
- [7] C. Liu, R. Zhao, and M. Pang, "Lung segmentation based on random forest and multi-scale edge detection," *IET Image Process.*, vol. 13, no. 10, pp. 1745–1754, Aug. 2019.
- [8] M. A. Elaziz, D. Oliva, A. A. Ewees, and S. Xiong, "Multi-level thresholding-based grey scale image segmentation using multi-objective multi-verse optimizer," *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 125, pp. 112–129, Jul. 2019.

- [9] P. Anitha, S. Bindhiya, A. Abinaya, S. C. Satapathy, N. Dey, and V. Rajinikanth, "RGB image multi-thresholding based on Kapur's entropy—A study with heuristic algorithms," in *Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. Electr., Comput. Commun. Technol. (ICECCT)*, Feb. 2017, pp. 1–6.
- [10] S. Sarkar, N. Sen, A. Kundu, S. Das, and S. S. Chaudhuri, "A differential evolutionary multilevel segmentation of near infra-red images using Renyi's entropy," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Frontiers Intell. Comput., Theory Appl. (FICTA).* Berlin, Germany: Springer, 2013, pp. 699–706.
- [11] X.-S. Yang, "Cuckoo search and firefly algorithm: Overview and analysis," in *Cuckoo Search and Firefly Algorithm*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2014, pp. 1–26.
- [12] J. Kennedy and R. Eberhart, "Particle swarm optimization," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Neural Netw.*, Perth, WA, Australia, 1995, pp. 1942–1948.
- [13] D. Whitley, "A genetic algorithm tutorial," *Statist. Comput.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 65–85, Jun. 1994.
- [14] M. Dorigo and T. Stützle, "Ant colony optimization: Overview and recent advances," in *Handbook of Metaheuristics*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2019, pp. 311–351.
- [15] D. Karaboga and B. Basturk, "A powerful and efficient algorithm for numerical function optimization: Artificial bee colony (ABC) algorithm," *J. Global Optim.*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 459–471, Oct. 2007.
- [16] X.-S. Yang, "Firefly algorithm, Levy flights and global optimization," in *Research and Development in Intelligent Systems XXVI*. London, U.K.: Springer, 2010, pp. 209–218.
- [17] M. A. El Aziz, A. A. Ewees, and A. E. Hassanien, "Hybrid swarms optimization based image segmentation," in *Hybrid Soft Computing for Image Segmentation*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2016, pp. 1–21.
- [18] A. A. Ewees, M. Abd Elaziz, and D. Oliva, "Image segmentation via multilevel thresholding using hybrid optimization algorithms," *J. Electron. Imag.*, vol. 27, no. 06, Nov. 2018, Art. no. 063008.
- [19] M. A. A. Al-qaness, M. A. Elaziz, and A. A. Ewees, "Oil consumption forecasting using optimized adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system based on sine cosine algorithm," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 68394–68402, 2018.
- [20] N. Nayak, S. Mishra, D. Sharma, and B. Kumar Sahu, "Application of modified sine cosine algorithm to optimally design PID/fuzzy-PID controllers to deal with AGC issues in deregulated power system," *IET Gener, Transmiss. Distrib.*, vol. 13, no. 12, pp. 2474–2487, Jun. 2019.
- [21] S. Gupta and K. Deep, "A hybrid self-adaptive sine cosine algorithm with opposition based learning," *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 119, pp. 210–230, Apr. 2019.
- [22] S. Das, A. Bhattacharya, and A. K. Chakraborty, "Solution of shortterm hydrothermal scheduling using sine cosine algorithm," *Soft Comput.*, vol. 22, no. 19, pp. 6409–6427, Oct. 2018.
- [23] M. E. A. Elaziz, A. A. Ewees, D. Oliva, P. Duan, and S. Xiong, "A hybrid method of sine cosine algorithm and differential evolution for feature selection," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Neural Inf. Process.* Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2017, pp. 145–155.
- [24] H. Jouhari, D. Lei, M. A. A. Al-qaness, M. A. Elaziz, A. A. Ewees, and O. Farouk, "Sine-cosine algorithm to enhance simulated annealing for unrelated parallel machine scheduling with setup times," *Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 11, p. 1120, Nov. 2019.
- [25] M. Belazzoug, M. Touahria, F. Nouioua, and M. Brahimi, "An improved sine cosine algorithm to select features for text categorization," J. King Saud Univ.-Comput. Inf. Sci., to be published.
- [26] H. Djellali, A. Djebbar, N. G. Zine, and N. Azizi, "Hybrid artificial bees colony and particle swarm on feature selection," in *Proc. IFIP Int. Conf. Comput. Intell. Appl.* Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2018, pp. 93–105.
- [27] D. Oliva, A. A. Ewees, M. A. E. Aziz, A. E. Hassanien, and M. Peréz-Cisneros, "A chaotic improved artificial bee colony for parameter estimation of photovoltaic cells," *Energies*, vol. 10, no. 7, p. 865, Jun. 2017.
- [28] O. Aydin, H. Gozde, M. Dursun, and M. C. Taplamacioglu, "Comparative parameter estimation of single diode PV-cell model by using sine-cosine algorithm and whale optimization algorithm," in *Proc. 6th Int. Conf. Electr. Electron. Eng. (ICEEE)*, Apr. 2019, pp. 65–68.
- [29] M. A. Elaziz, N. Nabil, A. A. Ewees, and S. Lu, "Automatic data clustering based on hybrid atom search optimization and sine-cosine algorithm," in *Proc. IEEE Congr. Evol. Comput. (CEC)*, Jun. 2019, pp. 2315–2322.
- [30] J. Ji, Y. Chen, G. Feng, X. Zhao, and F. He, "Clustering mixed numeric and categorical data with artificial bee colony strategy," *J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 1521–1530, Mar. 2019.
- [31] S. Suresh and S. Lal, "Multilevel thresholding based on chaotic darwinian particle swarm optimization for segmentation of satellite images," *Appl. Soft Comput.*, vol. 55, pp. 503–522, Jun. 2017.

- [32] Y. Li, S. Wang, and J. Xiao, "Image segmentation based on dynamic particle swarm optimization for crystal growth," *Sensors*, vol. 18, no. 11, p. 3878, Nov. 2018.
- [33] S. Abdel-Khalek, A. Ben Ishak, O. A. Omer, and A.-S. Obada, "A twodimensional image segmentation method based on genetic algorithm and entropy," *Optik*, vol. 131, pp. 414–422, Feb. 2017.
- [34] V. Rajinikanth, N. S. M. Raja, and K. Latha, "Optimal multilevel image thresholding: An analysis with PSO and BFO algorithms," *Aust. J. Basic Appl. Sci.*, vol. 8, no. 9, pp. 443–454, 2014.
- [35] Y. Jie, Y. Yang, Y. Weiyu, and F. Jiuchao, "K-means multi-threshold image segmentation based on firefly algorithm," in *Proc. 3rd Int. Conf. Multimedia Technol. (ICMT)*. Beijing, China: Atlantis Press, 2013, pp. 134–142.
- [36] C. Zhou, L. Tian, H. Zhao, and K. Zhao, "A method of two-dimensional Otsu image threshold segmentation based on improved firefly algorithm," in *Proc. 2015 IEEE Int. Conf. Cyber Technol.in Autom., Control, Intell. Syst. (CYBER)*, Jun. 2015, pp. 1420–1424.
- [37] K. Chen, Y. Zhou, Z. Zhang, M. Dai, Y. Chao, and J. Shi, "Multilevel image segmentation based on an improved firefly algorithm," *Math. Problems Eng.*, vol. 2016, pp. 1–12, 2016.
- [38] N. Sri Madhava Raja, V. Rajinikanth, and K. Latha, "Otsu based optimal multilevel image thresholding using firefly algorithm," *Model. Simul. Eng.*, vol. 2014, pp. 1–17, 2014.
- [39] N. Dey, J. Chaki, L. Moraru, S. Fong, and X.-S. Yang, "Firefly algorithm and its variants in digital image processing: A comprehensive review," in *Applications of Firefly Algorithm and its Variants*. Singapore: Springer, 2020, pp. 1–28.
- [40] V. Rajinikanth and M. Couceiro, "RGB histogram based color image segmentation using firefly algorithm," *Procedia Comput. Sci.*, vol. 46, pp. 1449–1457, 2015.
- [41] J. Qin, X. Shen, F. Mei, and Z. Fang, "An Otsu multi-thresholds segmentation algorithm based on improved ACO," J. Supercomput., vol. 75, no. 2, pp. 955–967, Feb. 2019.
- [42] S. C. Satapathy, N. Sri Madhava Raja, V. Rajinikanth, A. S. Ashour, and N. Dey, "Multi-level image thresholding using Otsu and chaotic bat algorithm," *Neural Comput. Appl.*, vol. 29, no. 12, pp. 1285–1307, Jun. 2018.
- [43] S. Varsha, V. Rajinikanth, and G. A. Prabhu, "Gray scale image multithresholding with chaotic cuckoo search," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Syst., Comput., Autom. Netw. (ICSCA)*, Jul. 2018, pp. 1–5.
- [44] S. Samantaa, N. Dey, P. Das, S. Acharjee, and S. S. Chaudhuri, "Multilevel threshold based gray scale image segmentation using cuckoo search," 2013, arXiv:1307.0277. [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/abs/ 1307.0277
- [45] V. Rajinikanth, N. S. M. Raja, and S. C. Satapathy, "Robust color image multi-thresholding using between-class variance and cuckoo search algorithm," in *Information Systems Design and Intelligent Applications*. New Delhi, India: Springer, 2016, pp. 379–386.
- [46] M. Ma, J. Liang, M. Guo, Y. Fan, and Y. Yin, "SAR image segmentation based on artificial bee colony algorithm," *Appl. Soft Comput.*, vol. 11, no. 8, pp. 5205–5214, Dec. 2011.
- [47] V. Rajinikanth and S. C. Satapathy, "Segmentation of ischemic stroke lesion in brain MRI based on social group optimization and fuzzy-tsallis entropy," *Arabian J. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 43, no. 8, pp. 4365–4378, Aug. 2018.
- [48] N. Dey, V. Rajinikanth, A. Ashour, and J. M. Tavares, "Social group optimization supported segmentation and evaluation of skin melanoma images," *Symmetry*, vol. 10, no. 2, p. 51, Feb. 2018.
- [49] V. Rajinikanth, S. C. Satapathy, S. L. Fernandes, and S. Nachiappan, "Entropy based segmentation of tumor from brain MR images—A study with teaching learning based optimization," *Pattern Recognit. Lett.*, vol. 94, pp. 87–95, Jul. 2017.
- [50] V. Rajinikanth, N. S. Madhavaraja, S. C. Satapathy, and S. L. Fernandes, "Otsu's multi-thresholding and active contour snake model to segment dermoscopy images," *J. Med. Imag. Health Inform.*, vol. 7, no. 8, pp. 1837–1840, Dec. 2017.
- [51] S. J. Mousavirad and H. Ebrahimpour-Komleh, "Human mental searchbased multilevel thresholding for image segmentation," *Appl. Soft Comput.*, to be published.
- [52] S. J. Mousavirad and H. Ebrahimpour-Komleh, "Multilevel image thresholding using entropy of histogram and recently developed populationbased metaheuristic algorithms," *Evol. Intel.*, vol. 10, nos. 1–2, pp. 45–75, Jul. 2017.
- [53] S. J. Mousavirad, G. Schaefer, and H. Ebrahimpour-Komleh, "A benchmark of population-based metaheuristic algorithms for high-dimensional multi-level image thresholding," in *Proc. IEEE Congr. Evol. Comput. (CEC)*, Jun. 2019, pp. 2394–2401.

- [54] A. K. Bhandari, "A novel beta differential evolution algorithm-based fast multilevel thresholding for color image segmentation," *Neural Comput. Appl.*, vol. 6, pp. 1–31, Oct. 2018.
- [55] R. Monisha, R. Mrinalini, M. N. Britto, R. Ramakrishnan, and V. Rajinikanth, "Social group optimization and Shannon's function-based RGB image multi-level thresholding," in *Smart Intelligent Computing and Applications*. Singapore: Springer, 2019, pp. 123–132.
- [56] H. Jia, C. Lang, D. Oliva, W. Song, and X. Peng, "Hybrid grasshopper optimization algorithm and differential evolution for multilevel satellite image segmentation," *Remote Sens.*, vol. 11, no. 9, p. 1134, May 2019.
- [57] X. Bao, H. Jia, and C. Lang, "A novel hybrid harris hawks optimization for color image multilevel thresholding segmentation," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 76529–76546, 2019.
- [58] A. Bouaziz, A. Draa, and S. Chikhi, "Artificial bees for multilevel thresholding of iris images," *Swarm Evol. Comput.*, vol. 21, pp. 32–40, Apr. 2015.
- [59] H. Gao, Z. Fu, C.-M. Pun, H. Hu, and R. Lan, "A multi-level thresholding image segmentation based on an improved artificial bee colony algorithm," *Comput. Electr. Eng.*, vol. 70, pp. 931–938, Aug. 2018.
- [60] H. Gao, Y. Shi, C.-M. Pun, and S. Kwong, "An improved artificial bee colony algorithm with its application," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat.*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 1853–1865, Apr. 2019.
- [61] N. Otsu, "A threshold selection method from gray-level histograms," *IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 62–66, Jan. 1979.
- [62] L. Xu, H. Jia, C. Lang, X. Peng, and K. Sun, "A novel method for multilevel color image segmentation based on dragonfly algorithm and differential evolution," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 19502–19538, 2019.
- [63] M. A. E. Aziz, A. A. Ewees, and A. E. Hassanien, "Whale optimization algorithm and moth-flame optimization for multilevel thresholding image segmentation," *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 83, pp. 242–256, Oct. 2017.
- [64] H. Jia, X. Peng, W. Song, C. Lang, Z. Xing, and K. Sun, "Multiverse optimization algorithm based on Lévy flight improvement for multithreshold color image segmentation," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 32805–32844, 2019.
- [65] D. Karaboga, "An idea based on honey bee swarm for numerical optimization," Erciyes Univ., Kayseri, Turkey, Tech. Rep.-tr06, 2005.
- [66] D. Karaboga and C. Ozturk, "A novel clustering approach: Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) algorithm," *Appl. Soft Comput.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 652–657, Jan. 2011.
- [67] S. Mirjalili, "SCA: A Sine Cosine Algorithm for solving optimization problems," *Knowl.-Based Syst.*, vol. 96, pp. 120–133, Mar. 2016.
- [68] P.-Y. Yin, "Multilevel minimum cross entropy threshold selection based on particle swarm optimization," *Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 184, no. 2, pp. 503–513, Jan. 2007.
- [69] P. Roy, S. Dutta, N. Dey, G. Dey, S. Chakraborty, and R. Ray, "Adaptive thresholding: A comparative study," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Control, Instrum., Commun. Comput. Technol. (ICCICCT)*, Jul. 2014, pp. 1182–1186.
- [70] Z. Wang, A. C. Bovik, H. R. Sheikh, and E. P. Simoncelli, "Image quality assessment: From error visibility to structural similarity," *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 600–612, Apr. 2004.
- [71] M. A. E. Aziz, A. A. Ewees, and A. E. Hassanien, "Multi-objective whale optimization algorithm for content-based image retrieval," *Multimed. Tools Appl.*, vol. 77, no. 19, pp. 26135–26172, Oct. 2018.
- [72] J. Li and J. Wang, "Automatic linguistic indexing of pictures by a statistical modeling approach," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 25, no. 9, pp. 1075–1088, Sep. 2003.



**AHMED A. EWEES** received the Ph.D. degree from Damietta University, Egypt, in 2012. He is currently an Associate Professor of computer science with Damietta University. He co-supervises master's and Ph.D. students besides, leading and supervising various graduation projects. He has many scientific research articles published in international journals and conferences. His research interests include machine learning, artificial intelligence, text mining, natural language processing,

image processing, and metaheuristic optimization techniques.



**MOHAMED ABD ELAZIZ** was born in Zagazig, Egypt, in 1987. He received the B.S. and M.S. degrees in computer science and the Ph.D. degree in mathematics and computer science from Zagazig University, in 2008, 2011, and 2014, respectively. From 2008 to 2011, he was an Assistant lecturer with the Department of Computer Science. Since 2014, he has been a Lecturer with the Mathematical Department, Zagazig University. He is the author of more than 30 articles. His research

interests include machine learning, signal processing, and image processing.



**HASSAN A. KHALIL** (Member, IEEE) received the B.S. and M.Sc. degrees in computer science and the Ph.D. degree from Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt, including a two years scholarship at the EECS School, Queen Mary University of London, under the supervision of Prof. Mark D. Plumbley (Co-Supervision scheme), in 2012. He is currently an Assistant Professor of computer science with the College of Science, Zagazig University. His research interests include machine

learning, sound and image analysis, and the application of optimization techniques in networks. His current research work is focused on using computational intelligence techniques in sound detection and image analysis. He is a reviewer for a number of peer-reviewed journals.



**MOHAMMED A. A. AL-QANESS** received the B.S., M.S., and Ph.D. degrees from the Wuhan University of Technology, in 2010, 2014, and 2017, respectively, all in information and communication engineering. He is currently an Assistant Professor with the School of Computer Science, Wuhan University, Wuhan, China. His current research interests include wireless sensing, mobile computing, machine learning, and signal and image processing.



**SUNGHWAN KIM** received the B.S., M.S., and Ph.D. degrees from Seoul National University, South Korea, in 1999, 2001, and 2005, respectively. He was a Postdoctoral Visitor with the Georgia Institute of Technology (GeorgiaTech), from 2005 to 2007, and a Senior Engineer with Samsung Electronics, from 2007 to 2011. He is currently a Professor with the School of Electrical Engineering, University of Ulsan, South Korea. His main research interests are channel coding, modulation,

massive MIMO, visible light communication, and quantum information.