

Received November 19, 2019, accepted December 13, 2019, date of publication December 25, 2019, date of current version January 28, 2020.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2962280

Adaptive Backstepping-Based H_∞ Robust Controller for Photovoltaic Grid-Connected Inverter

TONGGUANG YANG¹, ZHENHUA CAI¹, AND QIAN XUN²

¹College of Mechanic and Electrical Engineering, Hunan City University, Yiyang 413000, China

²Department of Electrical Engineering, Chalmers University of Technology, 41279 Göteborg, Sweden

Corresponding authors: Tongguang Yang (yangtongguang1@163.com) and Qian Xun (qian.xun@chalmers.se)

This work was supported in part by the National Nature Science Foundation for China under Grant 61321003 and Grant 61290325, in part by the Zhejiang Natural Science Foundation under Grant LY19F030002, and in part by the Huzhou Public Welfare Application Research Project under Grant 2019GZ02.

ABSTRACT To improve the robustness and stability of the photovoltaic grid-connected inverter system, a nonlinear backstepping-based H_∞ controller is proposed. A generic dynamical model of grid-connected inverters is built with the consideration of uncertain parameters and external disturbances that cannot be accurately measured. According to this, the backstepping H_∞ controller is designed by combining techniques of adaptive backstepping control and L_2 -gain robust control. The Lyapunov function is used to design the backstepping controller, and the dissipative inequality is recursively designed. The storage functions of the DC capacitor voltage and grid current are constructed, respectively, and the nonlinear H_∞ controller and the parameter update law are obtained. Experimental results show that the proposed controller has the advantage of strong robustness to parameter variations and external disturbances. The proposed controller can also accurately track the references to meet the requirements of high-performance control of grid-connected inverters.

INDEX TERMS Robustness, grid-connected inverter, H_∞ controller, adaptive backstepping control, L_2 -gain robust control.

I. INTRODUCTION

The renewable energy sources, such as wind turbines, solar cells, and fuel cells, have been significantly increased attention over decades due to the technical and environmental benefits [1]. There are several different ways to reuse these renewable energy sources, and among them, solar photovoltaic (PV) system has been receiving a great deal of interest from the researchers due to the intrinsic advantages, such as no fuel cost, no pollution and widely distributed throughout the world. Grid-connected voltage source inverters (VSIs), as an interface to connect the PV system and the utility grid, play an essential role to transfer power to the grid [2]. During the power transmission process, the power quality would be affected by the high-frequency power electronics and nonlinear characteristics, and the current harmonics will be also deduced. Then, the harmonics and unbalanced

negative sequence components will result in the active power fluctuation and the output current distortion. Therefore, the robustness and stability of the grid should also be considered to improve system performance [3].

To improve the dynamic response and the system robustness, several control strategies have been proposed based on the mathematical model. Among them, researchers are mainly focused on the classical PI control [3]–[5], PR control [2], predictive control [6]–[8], sliding mode control [9], [35], deadbeat control [10], [11], repetitive control [12] and feedback linearization control [13]–[15] etc. The approximated linearized model is used in these methods without consideration of the nonlinear features; however, the control performance will be reduced due to parameters perturbation and some other disturbances. To avoid the accurate mathematical model of the grid-connected inverter, fuzzy logic control [16] and neural network control [17] are introduced, but their high computational cost would lead to poor real-time performance. Also, some hybrid

The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and approving it for publication was Canbing Li.

methods are proposed in [18], [19]. However, the identification and compensation strategies are needed, making the control system complicated, and the computational cost is also increased. Furthermore, the uncertainty upper bound of the system is difficult to implement in the filed application.

Robust control, with high stability and robustness, has been successfully applied in a variety of high-performance applications, such as inverter control [20]–[27], rectifier control [28], [29] and motor control [30]–[32] etc. In [20]–[32], the model inaccuracy, the perturbation, and parameters tolerance are considered. By selecting the proper weight functions, the H_∞ controller is designed to solve Riccati equation [23]–[25], [28], [30]. However, solving the Riccati equation is restricted by the system model, making it complicated to implement in real applications. Due to that the perturbation is distributed in the system, the LMI method [22], [26], [31] is usually conservative. The structured singular value (μ) based controller is proposed to improve the system performance, and the robust level and perturbations are expressed by the uncertainties in μ framework [21], [27], [29]–[30]. However, conventional solutions to solve μ synthesis problem, such as D–K iteration method, usually lead to a high order system, and the complexity of the system is also increased [36]. Even though the better control performance can be obtained by these methods, but the weight functions are selected through the repeated trial and experience. Due to the nonlinear grid-connected inverter, the approximated linear mathematical model cannot preserve the real quality of the nonlinear system [33].

However, within the academic literature, nonlinear interference suppression strategies for a grid-connected inverter are rare and inconclusive, and the additional identified parameters are introduced in these control strategies. This paper aims to address this lacuna by proposing a nonlinear adaptive backstepping controller for a grid-connected inverter with the combination of robust control and the backstepping control. The parameters variation and the external disturbances are also considered. To reduce the L_2 gain of the closed-loop, the nonlinear H_∞ controller is designed using the recursive dissipative inequality to construct the storage functions for each subsystem. Without designing the weight sensitivity function and solving the feedback transfer function, no additional part is required to identify the model uncertainties. To simplify the system control structure, the nonlinear backstepping robust controller is used, and the reliability and robustness to parameters perturbation and external disturbances are also improved.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In section II, a nonlinear model for the grid-connected inverter is built with the consideration of parameters variation and external disturbances. In section III, the nonlinear backstepping robust H_∞ controller is derived by applying backstepping control and H_∞ control. The experimental results are analyzed in section IV, and finally, the conclusions are drawn in section V.




FIGURE 1. The three-phase grid-connected PV system.

II. MATHEMATICAL MODEL OF PHOTOVOLTAIC SYSTEM

The basic configuration of a three-phase grid-connected solar PV system is shown in Figure.1. PV represents the photovoltaic array, C is the DC bus capacitor, and I denotes the grid-connected inverter, R and L constitute the filter circuit, which is used to reduce the ripple components due to the switching actions in PWM schemes, e_a , e_b , and e_c are three-phase grid voltage, respectively. The power switches work in the ideal state, and their rise time, fall time and dead time can be neglected.

In the synchronous rotating dq coordinate system, the mathematical model of the grid-connected inverter can be expressed as [1], [2]

$$\frac{di_d}{dt} = -\frac{R}{L}i_d + \omega i_q + \frac{u_d - e_d}{L} \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{di_q}{dt} = -\frac{R}{L}i_q - \omega i_d + \frac{u_q - e_q}{L} \quad (2)$$

where, i_d , i_q denote the d-component and q-component of the inverter current, u_d , u_q express the d-component and q-component of the inverter voltage, respectively. e_q , e_d are the dq-axis components of the grid voltage, respectively, and ω indicates the grid angular frequency. Then, the active power and reactive power of grid-connected inverter are represented as

$$P = 1.5 (u_d i_d + u_q i_q) \quad (3)$$

$$Q = 1.5 (u_q i_d - u_d i_q) \quad (4)$$

If the input power of the grid-connected inverter is P_{in} , the output power is P_{out} , and power conversion efficiency is η , according to the energy conservation law, one can get

$$\begin{aligned} P_{in} &= U_{dc} \left(i_0 - C \frac{dU_{dc}}{dt} \right) \\ &= \eta P_{out} \\ &= 1.5\eta (u_d i_d + u_q i_q) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Equation (5) can be written as

$$\frac{dU_{dc}}{dt} = \frac{1.5\eta (u_d i_d + u_q i_q)}{CU_{dc}} + \frac{i_0}{C} \quad (6)$$

From (1), (2) and (6), there are four state variables, and they can be defined as: $x_1 = i_d$, $x_2 = i_q$, $x_3 = U_{dc}$,

$U = [U_1 \ U_2]^T = [u_d - e_d \ u_q - e_q]^T$. The state-space equations of the grid-connected system can be obtained as follows

$$\dot{x}_1 = -\frac{R}{L}x_1 + \omega x_2 + \frac{U_1}{L} + \theta_1 + W_1 \quad (7)$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = -\frac{R}{L}x_2 - \omega x_1 + \frac{U_2}{L} + \theta_2 + W_2 \quad (8)$$

$$\dot{x}_3 = \frac{1.5\eta u_d x_1}{Cx_3} + \frac{1.5\eta u_q x_2}{Cx_3} + \frac{i_0}{C} + \theta_3 + W_3 \quad (9)$$

where $\theta_1 = (\omega \Delta L x_2 + \Delta u_d - \Delta L \dot{x}_1 - \Delta R x_1)/L$, $\theta_2 = (-\omega \Delta L x_1 + \Delta u_q - \Delta L \dot{x}_2 - \Delta R x_2)/L$, $\theta_3 = (1.5i_d \Delta u_d/x_3 + 1.5i_q \Delta u_d/x_3 \Delta L \dot{x}_1 - \Delta C \dot{x}_3)/L$, indicate the nonlinear parts and the uncertain parts of the external disturbances of the grid-connected inverter model. ΔL , ΔR , Δu_d and Δu_q denote the uncertainties due to the system parameters and grid voltage. W_1 , W_2 , W_3 are the external disturbances in the real application, which mainly includes voltage fluctuation and harmonics in power grid, they are the unknown functions in L_2 space.

III. THE DESIGN OF NONLINEAR BACKSTEPPING H_∞ CONTROLLER

A. DESCRIPTION OF H_∞ CONTROL PROBLEM

The control target of the proposed controller is to accurately track the grid current and the DC capacitor voltage. The controller track errors can be defined as

$$e_3 = x_3^* - x_3 \quad (10)$$

$$e_1 = x_1^* - x_1 \quad (11)$$

$$e_2 = x_2^* - x_2 \quad (12)$$

where x_3^* is the reference of the DC capacitor voltage, x_1^* , x_2^* are the reference of the dq-axis components of the inverter output current. Then the evaluation signal of the interference suppression can be defined as

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \\ Z_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 e_1 \\ P_2 e_2 \\ P_3 e_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (13)$$

where, quantities P_1 , P_2 and P_3 are non-negative weight coefficients.

According to the above definition, the design problem of H_∞ controller can be described as follows: by obtaining feedback control law of the controlled object described in (7)-(9) and (13), the closed-loop system should satisfy:

1) When the disturbances are zero, the system is asymptotically stable for any initial state, that is

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e_1(t) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e_2(t) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e_3(t) = 0$$

2) When the disturbances are not zero, the closed-loop system is immune to any disturbances. The subsequent dissipative inequality remains constant at any final time $T > 0$ by finding the state feedback variables U_1 , U_2 and the positive storage function $V(x)$. Then, the L_2 gain of the system is smaller than or equal to γ , where γ is disturbance attenuation

constant. This can be described as

$$V(x(t)) - V(x(0)) \leq \int_0^T (\gamma^2 \|W^2\| - \|Z(t)\|^2) dt \quad (14)$$

B. DERIVATION OF CONTROL LAW

For the system (7)-(9) and (13), the supply rate (γ) can be defined as

$$S(W, Z) = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma^2 \|W\|^2 - \|Z\|^2) \quad (15)$$

When the system is dissipative to the above supply rate, then there is a positive definite storage function V so that equation (16) is satisfied. Thereby, the dissipative is associated with the L_2 gain constraint, and if the system γ is dissipative, then the L_2 gain of the system is smaller than or equal to γ .

$$\dot{V} < S(W, Z) \quad (16)$$

The backstepping-based H_∞ controller is designed to force the system states to track desired reference command, and this is implemented by step-by-step procedures described as follows:

Step 1: For a subsystem, U_{dc} is assumed to be a virtual control function, and the storage function is structured as

$$V_1 = \frac{1}{2} e_3^2 \quad (17)$$

Equation (17) can be derived as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_1 &= e_3 \dot{e}_3 \\ &= e_3 \left(\dot{x}_3^* - \frac{1.5\eta u_d x_1}{Cx_3} - \frac{I_0}{C} - W_3 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Define the function as

$$H_1 = \dot{V}_1 + \frac{1}{2} (\|Z_3\|^2 - \gamma_3^2 \|W_3\|^2) \quad (19)$$

Thus, equation (19) can be denoted as

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= \dot{V}_1 + \frac{1}{2} (\|Z_3\|^2 - \gamma_3^2 \|W_3\|^2) \\ &= e_3 \left(\dot{x}_3^* - \frac{1.5\eta u_d x_1}{Cx_3} - \frac{I_0}{C} - \theta_3 - W_3 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (\|Z_3\|^2 - \gamma_3^2 \|W_3\|^2) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

According to Cauchy inequality, one can get

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= e_3 \left(\dot{x}_3^* - \frac{1.5\eta u_d x_1}{Cx_3} - \frac{I_0}{C} - \theta_3 - W_3 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{P_3^2}{2} \|e_3\|^2 - \frac{\gamma_3^2}{2} \|W_3\|^2 \\ &\leq e_3 \left(\dot{x}_3^* - \frac{1.5\eta u_d x_1}{Cx_3} - \frac{I_0}{C} - \theta_3 \right) - \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_3 \|W_3\| \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\gamma_3} \|e_3\|)^2 + \frac{1}{2\gamma_3^2} \|e_3\|^2 + \frac{P_3^2}{2} \|e_3\|^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\gamma_3 \|W_3\| - \frac{1}{\gamma_3} \|e_3\| \right)^2 - e_3 \left(-\dot{x}_3^* + \frac{1.5\eta u_d x_1}{Cx_3} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{I_0}{C} - \theta_3 - \frac{1}{2\gamma_3^2} e_3 - \frac{P_3^2}{2} e_3 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Take x_1 is one virtual control variable, then

$$x_1^* = \frac{Cx_3}{1.5\eta u_d} \left[\dot{x}_3^* - \frac{I_0}{C} + \hat{\theta}_3 + k_3 e_3 + \frac{e_3}{2\gamma_3^2} + \frac{p_3^2}{2} e_3 \right] \quad (22)$$

where, $\hat{\theta}_3$ is the estimated value of θ_3 , by substituting (22) into (21), one can get

$$H_1 \leq -k_3 e_3^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\gamma_3 \|W_3\| - \frac{1}{\gamma_3} \|e_3\| \right)^2 < 0 \quad (23)$$

Step 2: It is noticed that x_2^* should be zero according to the vector control theory in the grid-connected inverter. Based on (7) and (8), the errors of dq -axis current can be expressed as

$$\dot{e}_1 = \dot{x}_1^* + \frac{R}{L} x_1 - \omega x_2 + \frac{U_1}{L} - \hat{\theta}_1 - W_1 \quad (24)$$

$$\dot{e}_2 = \dot{x}_2^* + \frac{R}{L} x_2 + \omega x_1 + \frac{U_2}{L} - \hat{\theta}_2 - W_2 \quad (25)$$

where, $\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2$ stand for the estimated value of θ_1 and θ_2 , $\theta = [\theta_1 \ \theta_2 \ \theta_3]^T$, $\tilde{\theta}$ is the estimation error and $\tilde{\theta} = \theta - \hat{\theta}$. To make the whole system satisfy the dissipative inequality, the second storage function can be constructed

$$V_2 = V_1 + \frac{e_1^2}{2} + \frac{e_2^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2\rho} \tilde{\theta}^2 \quad (26)$$

where ρ is the adaptive gain factor, and it should satisfy the requirement of $\rho > 0$. Define the function H_2

$$H_2 = \dot{V}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\|Z\|^2 - \gamma_3^2 \|W\|^2 \right) \quad (27)$$

By substituting (24)-(26) into (27), one can get

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 &= \dot{V}_1 + e_1 \dot{e}_1 + e_2 \dot{e}_2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \tilde{\theta} \dot{\tilde{\theta}} + \frac{1}{2} (\|Z\|^2 - \gamma_3^2 \|W\|^2) \\ &= e_3 \dot{e}_3 + \frac{1}{2} (\|Z_3\|^2 - \gamma_3^2 \|W_3\|^2) + e_1 \dot{e}_1 + e_2 \dot{e}_2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \tilde{\theta} \dot{\tilde{\theta}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (\|Z_1\|^2 - \gamma_1^2 \|W_1\|^2) + \frac{1}{2} (\|Z_2\|^2 - \gamma_2^2 \|W_2\|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho} \tilde{\theta} \dot{\tilde{\theta}} - k_3 e_3^2 - e_3 \tilde{\theta}_3 - \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_3 \|W_3\| - \frac{1}{\gamma_3} \|e_3\|)^2 \\ &\quad + e_2 (\dot{x}_2^* + \frac{R}{L} x_2 + \omega x_1 + \frac{U_2}{L} - \hat{\theta}_2 - W_2) - e_2 \tilde{\theta}_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (\|Z_2\|^2 - \gamma_2^2 \|W_2\|^2) \\ &\quad + e_1 (\frac{1.5\eta u_d}{Cx_3} e_{dc} + \dot{x}_1^* + \frac{R}{L} - \omega x_2 + \frac{U_1}{L} - \hat{\theta}_1 - W_1) \\ &\quad - e_1 \tilde{\theta}_1 + \frac{1}{2} (\|Z_1\|^2 - \gamma_1^2 \|W_1\|^2) \\ &\leq -k_3 e_3^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_3 \|W_3\| - \frac{1}{\gamma_3} \|e_3\|)^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_1 \|W_1\| - \frac{1}{\gamma_1} \|e_1\|)^2 - \underbrace{E\tilde{\theta} + \frac{1}{\rho} \tilde{\theta} \dot{\tilde{\theta}}}_3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\times e_1 \left(\underbrace{\frac{1.5\eta u_d}{Cx_3} e_3 + \dot{x}_1^* + \frac{R}{L} - \hat{\theta}_1 - \omega x_2 + \frac{U_1}{L} - \frac{p_1^2 e_1}{2} - \frac{e_1}{2\gamma_1^2}}_1 \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_2 \|W_2\| - \frac{1}{\gamma_2} \|e_2\|)^2 \\ &- e_2 \left(\underbrace{\dot{x}_2^* + \frac{R}{L} x_2 + \omega x_1 + \frac{U_2}{L} - \hat{\theta}_2 - \frac{p_2^2 e_2}{2} - \frac{1}{2\gamma_2^2} e_2}_2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

According to (28), if the appropriate control variables and identification parameters are selected to satisfy (32), the function $H_2 < 0$ is ensured to make the system stable. In (28), the first, second, and third parts are 0, feedback control law U_1, U_2 , and the parameter update law can be designed as

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= -\frac{1.5\eta u_d}{Cx_3} L e_3 - L \dot{x}_1^* - R x_1 + \omega L x_2 \\ &\quad - k_1 e_1 + L \frac{p_1^2 e_1}{2} + L \frac{e_1}{2\gamma_1^2} + L \hat{\theta}_1 \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} U_2 &= -L \dot{x}_2^* - R x_2 - \omega L x_1 - k_2 e_2 \\ &\quad + L \frac{p_2^2 e_2}{2} + L \frac{1}{2\gamma_2^2} e_2 + L \hat{\theta}_2 \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

$$\dot{\hat{\theta}} = \rho E \quad (31)$$

By substituting (29)-(31) into (28), one can get

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 &\leq -k_3 e_3^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\gamma_3 \|W_3\| - \frac{1}{\gamma_3} \|e_3\| \right)^2 \\ &\quad - k_1 e_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\gamma_1 \|W_1\| - \frac{1}{\gamma_1} \|e_1\| \right)^2 \\ &\quad - k_1 e_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\gamma_2 \|W_2\| - \frac{1}{\gamma_2} \|e_2\| \right)^2 \\ &< -k_3 e_3^2 - k_1 e_1^2 - k_1 e_2^2 < 0 \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where, $k_1 > 0, k_2 > 0$ and $k_3 > 0$. We can see that the nonlinear backstepping H_∞ robust controller of the grid-connected inverter system is stable.

C. PROOF OF STABILITY

Should further it be defined $V(x) = 2 V_2(x)$, then it follows readily

$$\dot{V}(x) \leq \gamma^2 \|W\|^2 - \|z\|^2 \quad (33)$$

Since $V(x(0)) = 2V_2(x(0)) = 0$, when $x = 0$. In turn, after integrating both sides of equation (33), they satisfy the dissipative inequality (14). Then, the system described in (7)~(9) has the same gain of L_2 from the disturbance to the output.




FIGURE 2. Structure of nonlinear backstepping H_∞ robust controller.

When the disturbance $W = 0$, the closed-loop error under the feedback control law is asymptotically stable [37].

$$\begin{cases} \dot{e}_1 = \dot{x}_1^* + \frac{R}{L}x_1 - \omega x_2 + \frac{U_1}{L} - \hat{\theta}_1 - W_1 \\ \dot{e}_2 = \dot{x}_2^* + \frac{R}{L}x_2 + \omega x_1 + \frac{U_2}{L} - \hat{\theta}_2 - W_2 \\ \dot{e}_3 = \dot{x}_3^* - \frac{1.5\eta u_d x_1}{Cx_3} - \frac{I_0}{C} - W_3 \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

Deriving (26) and substituting (29)-(31) to (34), one can get

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_2 &= e_3 \dot{e}_3 + e_1 \dot{e}_1 + e_2 \dot{e}_2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \dot{\hat{\theta}} \\ &\leq -k_3 e_3^2 - k_1 e_1^2 - k_1 e_2^2 < 0 \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Since $V_2(e_1(0), e_2(0), e_3(0))$ is bounded, and Lyapunov function $V_2(e_1(t), e_2(t), e_3(t))$ is non-increasingly bounded, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t V_2(t) dt < \infty \quad (36)$$

Since $\dot{V}_2(t)$ is bounded, $V_2(t)$ eventually tends to 0, according to Barbalat's law, i.e. $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} e_1 = 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} e_2 = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} e_3 = 0$.

Through the above analysis, for the PV grid-connected inverter system described in (7)~(9), the nonlinear H_∞ backstepping controller can be designed. The feedback control law (29), equation (30) and parameter update law (31) are expressed to guarantee the control system to realize the global and uniform stability. The structure diagram of the nonlinear backstepping H_∞ controller is illustrated in Figure 2. The MPPT controller is used to extract maximum power from the PV module, and the output of the MPPT controller is connected to backstepping-based H_∞ controller. The equation (21) and (28) are the H functions of the H_∞ controller,




FIGURE 3. Photograph of the experimental inverter used for testing the proposed control strategy.

TABLE 1. Experimental parameters.

Parameters	Value
Rated capacity P /kW	20
Inductance on AC side L /mH	20
Resistance on AC side R / Ω	0.1
Voltage on DC side U_{dc} /V	450
Capacitor on DC side C / μ F	2800
Grid voltage frequency f /Hz	50
Switching frequency f_{sw} /kHz	10
Sampling frequency f_s /kHz	10

the proper control variables are selected to guarantee H functions negative, then the system is stable. According to the control law (29) and (30), new variables are obtained to control the voltage source converter (VSC) through SPWM method.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

To verify the performance of the proposed controller, a test-bench of a 150kW grid-connected PV inverter is constructed shown as Figure 3, and it includes the following equipment: programmable solar array simulation (SAS), dc power supply, PWM voltage source inverter, voltage regulation transformer, AC power source, 32 fixed-point DSP TMS320F2812, and a power analyzer HIOKI 3390.

The performance of the designed controller is evaluated under five cases, and they include standard, load variation, impedance variation, presence of harmonics, and grid voltage dip. The clock frequency of the DSP is 150MHz, and other experimental parameters are shown in Table 1.

A. CASE I: CONTROLLER PERFORMANCE UNDER STANDARD ATMOSPHERIC CONDITION

In this case, the grid voltage is the standard sinusoidal wave with the constant reference current, inverter parameters and the grid impedance, and the inverter operates at the full load condition.




FIGURE 4. Voltage and current waveforms under H_∞ controller.




FIGURE 6. Experimental results under H_∞ controller when load change.




FIGURE 5. FFT analysis of the grid current in steady-state.

Fig. 4 represents the grid voltage, grid current and dq-component of the phase current under the nonlinear backstepping H_∞ control. The grid current of the grid-connected inverter can follow the grid voltage with no phase shift, and the grid current is also a standard sinusoidal wave. The grid-connected inverter can operate with high power factor. Figure. 5 shows the spectrum characteristic of the grid current. We can see that the proposed method has better performance, and it shows that the grid current is a sinusoidal wave, with very low harmonic content with the THD of 1.49%.

B. CASE II: CONTROLLER PERFORMANCE UNDER LOAD VARIATION

In this case, the grid voltage is the standard sinusoidal wave, with the constant inverter parameters and the grid impedance. The load of grid-connected inverter suddenly increases at 0.4s.

Figure. 6 and Figure. 7 compare the waveforms of grid voltage and grid current under nonlinear backstepping robust H_∞ controller and PI controller, respectively. Under nonlinear backstepping robust H_∞ control, the grid current (d-component i_d) is smooth with no overshoot and fast response when the load changes, whereas, d-component i_d controlled by PI controller shown as Figure. 6 has a large overshoot and slow dynamic response when the load changes. The nonlinear backstepping robust H_∞ controller shows better dynamic performance than that of the PI controller.

C. CASE III: CONTROLLER PERFORMANCE UNDER GRID IMPEDANCE VARIATION

In this case, the grid voltage is the standard sinusoidal wave, with the constant inverter parameters and constant load. The




FIGURE 7. Experimental results under PI controller when load change.

equivalent grid impedance changes from 0.8mH to 1.2mH at 0.4s.

Figure. 8 and Figure. 9 compare the waveforms of the grid voltage and grid current under the nonlinear backstepping H_∞ controller and the PI controller, respectively. From the experimental results, it can be observed that the system based on the nonlinear backstepping H_∞ control shows excellent robustness to the impedance variation. When the grid impedance changes, the proposed controller still works very well, and the grid current and voltage almost keep the sinusoidal waveforms. However, the conventional PI controller cannot stabilize the system and the waveforms of phase current exhibit oscillations. We can conclude that the proposed controller has good robustness to the grid impedance variation, while the performance of PI controller is poor when the grid impedance changes.

D. CASE IV: CONTROLLER PERFORMANCE WHEN HARMONICS INTRODUCED

The grid voltage is nonstandard voltage with 3rd and 5th harmonics involved, and the grid-connected inverter parameters and the grid impedance are constant.

The power grid simulation device is used to simulate 3rd and 5th harmonics of the power grid voltage. The grid voltage




FIGURE 8. Experimental results under H_∞ controller when grid impedance change.




FIGURE 9. Experimental results under PI controller when grid impedance change.




FIGURE 10. Experimental results under H_∞ controller when harmonic emerge in grid voltage.

and grid current under the nonlinear backstepping H_∞ controller and PI controller are compared in Figure. 10 and Figure. 11. The grid current under the nonlinear backstepping H_∞ robust controller is very close to a standard sinusoidal wave when the harmonic exists in the grid voltage, and the grid current remains good quality. However, the current




FIGURE 11. Experimental results under PI controller when harmonic emerge in grid voltage.




FIGURE 12. Experimental results under PI controller when A phase grid voltage dip of 20%.

waveform quality under PI controller is deteriorated dramatically, and 3rd and 5th harmonics are also deduced in the grid current due to the voltage harmonics.

E. CASE V: CONTROLLER PERFORMANCE UNDER THE GRID VOLTAGE DIP

The grid voltage is the standard sinusoidal wave, the parameters of the inverter are fixed, and the grid voltage drops by 20% at 0.4s.

There are three control targets, including obtaining sinusoidal and symmetrical current, removing reactive power ripple and active power ripple, when one phase voltage drops in the three-phase grid inverter [35]. Here, the standard sinusoidal wave and symmetrical grid current are selected as the control target. Figure. 12 and Figure. 13 show the performance of the nonlinear backstepping H_∞ controller and PI controller, respectively.

In Figure. 12, the grid current and grid voltage are no longer standard sinusoidal waves due to that the negative sequence component in the grid current is deduced when A-phase voltage drops. The large 2nd harmonic exists in the active and reactive current, and the waveform quality of the grid




FIGURE 13. Experimental results under H_∞ controller when grid voltage dip of 20%.




FIGURE 14. Experiment performance comparisons under steady-state.

voltage and current is poor. In contrast, the grid current and grid voltage wave under nonlinear backstepping H_∞ control shown as Figure. 13 is significantly improved, and the 2nd current in d-component and q-component are dramatically suppressed.

F. PERFORMANCE COMPARISON OF CONTROLLERS

To highlight advantages of the proposed algorithm, the total harmonic distortion (THD) of the grid current is selected as the index to compare the performance of nonlinear backstepping H_∞ controller, sliding mode control (SC) [9], deadbeat control [10] and the traditional PI control under the steady-state. Figure. 14 shows the THD content of the grid current under different controllers. The nonlinear backstepping H_∞ controller shows the lowest THD content at the different grid current, and other controllers, such as traditional PI controller, sliding mode controller, and deadbeat controller, have higher THD content with poor robustness.

To verify the dynamic performance of the nonlinear backstepping H_∞ controller, we simulate parameters perturbation and power grid disturbance by modifying the grid-connected inverter filter inductance and injecting 3rd harmonic in grid current. We compare the proposed controller and other three controllers; the specific performance comparison is shown in Figure.15 and Figure.16. The results show that the THD in




FIGURE 15. Experiment performance comparisons when grid impedance variation.




FIGURE 16. Experiment performance comparisons when grid current harmonic occurs.




FIGURE 17. The waveforms of U_{dc} under different controllers.

grid current is the lowest one under nonlinear backstepping H_∞ controller in the presence of parameters perturbation and grid harmonics, and the control performance is more superior than other three controllers.

To further evaluate the performance of the proposed method, the DC-bus voltage response of the backstepping-based H_∞ controller, PI controller, sliding mode controller, and deadbeat controller are compared in the presence of the harmonics, shown in Figure 17.

The DC-bus voltage controlled by backstepping-based H_∞ controller has superior performance compared with other controllers. It is obvious that the DC-bus voltage changes a lot when harmonics are introduced in other controllers, and the voltage ripples of the capacitor in other controllers are bigger than backstepping-based H_∞ controller.

V. CONCLUSION

A novel H_∞ control strategy for the grid-connected inverter is proposed in this paper. The H_∞ controller is derived from the nonlinear mathematical model of the grid-connected inverter with the consideration of parameters perturbation, external disturbances and other uncertainties, which is designed by combining the backstepping controller and the robust H_∞ controller.

The experimental results show that the proposed control strategy is robust to the time-varying parameters and external disturbances, and the control accuracy and power quality are also guaranteed. Compared to the traditional PI controller, sliding mode controller and deadbeat controller, the nonlinear backstepping H_∞ controller shows the best stability and robustness under various operation conditions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Q. Xun and Y. Liu, "Evaluation of fluctuating voltage topology with fuel cells and supercapacitors for automotive applications," *Int. J. Energy Res.*, vol. 43, no. 9, pp. 4807–4819, Jul. 2019.
- [2] H. T. Nguyen, E.-K. Kim, I.-P. Kim, H. H. Choi, and J.-W. Jung, "Model predictive control with modulated optimal vector for a three-phase inverter with an LC filter," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 2690–2703, Mar. 2018.
- [3] S. Y. Gadelovits, Q.-C. Zhong, V. Kadiramanathan, and A. Kuperman, "Uncertainty and disturbance estimator-based controller equipped with a time-delayed filter to improve the voltage quality of inverters," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 459–469, Jan. 2019.
- [4] H. T. Nguyen, J. Kim, and J.-W. Jung, "Improved model predictive control by robust prediction and stability-constrained finite states for three-phase inverters with an output LC filter," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 12673–12685, Feb. 2019.
- [5] Z. D. Arani, S. A. Taher, A. Ghasemi, and M. Shahidehpour, "Application of multi-resonator notch frequency control for tracking the frequency in low inertia microgrids under distorted grid conditions," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 337–349, Jan. 2019.
- [6] J. Hu, J. Zhu, and D. G. Dorrell, "Model predictive control of grid-connected inverters for PV systems with flexible power regulation and switching frequency reduction," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 587–594, Jan. 2015.
- [7] M. A. Herran, J. R. Fischer, S. A. Gonzalez, M. G. Judewicz, and D. O. Carrica, "Adaptive dead-time compensation for grid-connected PWM inverters of single-stage PV systems," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 2816–2825, Jun. 2013.
- [8] T. Wang, H. Kamath, and S. Willard, "Control and optimization of grid-tied photovoltaic storage systems using model predictive control," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 1010–1017, Mar. 2014.
- [9] R.-J. Wai, C.-Y. Lin, Y.-C. Huang, and Y.-R. Chang, "Design of high-performance stand-alone and grid-connected inverter for distributed generation applications," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 1542–1555, Apr. 2013.
- [10] B. Guo, M. Su, Y. Sun, H. Wang, H. Dan, Z. Tang, and B. Cheng, "A robust second-order sliding mode control for single-phase photovoltaic grid-connected voltage source inverter," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 53202–53212, 2019.
- [11] R. Guzman, L. G. De Vicuna, M. Castilla, J. Miret, and J. De La Hoz, "Variable structure control for three-phase LCL-filtered inverters using a reduced converter model," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 5–15, Jan. 2018.
- [12] T. K. S. Freddy, J.-H. Lee, H.-C. Moon, K.-B. Lee, and N. A. Rahim, "Modulation technique for single-phase transformerless photovoltaic inverters with reactive power capability," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 64, no. 9, pp. 6989–6999, Sep. 2017.
- [13] M. A. Mahmud, M. J. Hossain, H. R. Pota, and N. K. Roy, "Robust nonlinear controller design for three-phase grid-connected photovoltaic systems understructure uncertainties," *IEEE Trans. Power Del.*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 1121–1130, Mar. 2014.
- [14] X. Bao, F. Zhuo, Y. Tian, and P. Tan, "Simplified feedback linearization control of three-phase photovoltaic inverter with an LCL filter," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 2739–2752, Jun. 2013.
- [15] M. A. Mahmud, H. R. Pota, and M. J. Hossain, "Dynamic stability of three-phase grid-connected photovoltaic system using zero dynamic design approach," *IEEE J. Photovolt.*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 564–571, Oct. 2012.
- [16] H. M. Hasanien and M. Matar, "A fuzzy logic controller for autonomous operation of a voltage source converter-based distributed generation system," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 158–165, Jan. 2015.
- [17] X. Fu, S. Li, M. Fairbank, D. C. Wunsch, and E. Alonso, "Training recurrent neural networks with the Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm for optimal control of a grid-connected converter," *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst.*, vol. 26, no. 9, pp. 1900–1912, Sep. 2015.
- [18] P. K. Ray, S. R. Das, and A. Mohanty, "Fuzzy-controller-designed-PV-based custom power device for power quality enhancement," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 405–414, Mar. 2019.
- [19] J. Fei, Y. Chu, and S. Hou, "A backstepping neural global sliding mode control using fuzzy approximator for three-phase active power filter," *IEEE Access*, vol. 5, pp. 16021–16032, 2017.
- [20] Q.-C. Zhong and T. Hornik, "Cascaded current-voltage control to improve the power quality for a grid-connected inverter with a local load," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 1344–1355, Apr. 2013.
- [21] P. Li and Z. H. Yin, "Robust control on flexible grid-connection of photovoltaic generation system in microgrid based on μ -synthesis method," *Proc. CSEE*, vol. 34, no. 28, pp. 4847–4854, 2014.
- [22] J.-W. Jung, N. T.-T. Vu, D. Q. Dang, T. D. Do, Y.-S. Choi, and H. H. Choi, "A three-phase inverter for a standalone distributed generation system: Adaptive voltage control design and stability analysis," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 46–56, Mar. 2014.
- [23] S. Yang, Q. Lei, F. Z. Peng, and Z. Qian, "A robust control scheme for grid-connected voltage-source inverters," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 202–212, Jan. 2011.
- [24] T. Hornik and Q.-C. Zhong, "A current-control strategy for voltage-source inverters in microgrids based on H^∞ and repetitive control," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 943–952, Mar. 2011.
- [25] Y. Wei Li, D. M. Vilathgamuwa, F. Blaabjerg, and P. C. Loh, "A robust control scheme for medium-voltage-level DVR implementation," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 2249–2261, Aug. 2007.
- [26] J. Chen, F. Yang, and Q.-L. Han, "Model-free predictive H_∞ control for grid-connected solar power generation systems," *IEEE Trans. Control Syst. Technol.*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 2039–2047, Sep. 2014.
- [27] A. Kahrobaeian and Y. A.-R.-I. Mohamed, "Robust single-loop direct current control of LCL-filtered converter-based DG units in grid-connected and autonomous microgrid modes," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 29, no. 10, pp. 5605–5619, Oct. 2014.
- [28] G. Weiss, Q. C. Zhong, T. C. Green, and J. Liang, " H_∞ repetitive control of DC-AC converters in microgrids," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 219–230, Jan. Jan. 2004.
- [29] J. Liu and K. W. E. Cheng, " μ -based robust controller design of LCLC resonant inverter for high-frequency power distribution system," *IET Power Electron.*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 652–662, Apr. 2013.
- [30] Z. Chen, B. Yao, and Q. Wang, " μ -synthesis based adaptive robust control of linear-motor-driven stages with high-frequency flexible modes," *IFAC Proc. Volumes*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 207–213, 2013.
- [31] Z. Chen, B. Yao, and Q. Wang, "Adaptive robust precision motion control of linear motors with integrated compensation of nonlinearities and bearing flexible modes," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat.*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 965–973, May 2013.
- [32] R.-J. Wai and H.-H. Chang, "Backstepping wavelet neural network control for indirect field-oriented induction motor drive," *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw.*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 367–382, Mar. 2004.
- [33] S. W. Mei, T. L. Shen, and K. Z. Liu, *Modern Robust Control Theory and Applications*, 2nd ed. Beijing, China: Tsinghua University press, 2003.
- [34] F. F. M. El-Sousy, "Hybrid H^∞ -based wavelet-neural-network tracking control for permanent-magnet synchronous motor servo drives," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 57, no. 9, pp. 3157–3166, Sep. 2010.

- [35] L. Shang, D. Sun, and J. Hu, "Sliding-mode-based direct power control of grid-connected voltage-sourced inverters under unbalanced network conditions," *IET Power Electron.*, vol. 4, no. 5, p. 570, 2011.
- [36] A. Lari, A. Khosravi, and F. Rajabi, "Controller design based on μ analysis and PSO algorithm," *ISA Trans.*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 517–523, Mar. 2014.
- [37] M. F. Firuzi, A. Roosta, and M. Gitizadeh, "Stability analysis and decentralized control of inverter-based AC microgrid," *Protection Control Mod. Power Syst.*, vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 1–24, 2019.



TONGGUANG YANG received the Ph.D. degree from Central South University, China, in 2013. He is currently a Professor with the School of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Hunan City University. His current research interests include grid-connected inverters control for new energy and fault diagnosis for induction motor.



ZHENHUA CAI received the masters' degree from Hunan University, China, in 2013. He is currently with the School of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Hunan City University. His current research fields include power system informatization, planning, and new energy.



QIAN XUN received the B.Eng. degree from Hohai University, China, in 2012, and the M.Sc. degree from the Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, China, in 2015. She is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree with the Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden. She worked as a Lecturer at Huzhou University, China, for two years. Her research interests include drivetrain design for fuel cell electric vehicle and grid-connected inverters control.

• • •