Received October 20, 2019, accepted November 14, 2019, date of publication November 26, 2019, date of current version December 17, 2019.

*Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2955932*

# Bayesian Hierarchical Model-Based Information Fusion for Degradation Analysis Considering Non-Competing Relationship

 $\,$  JUNYU GU[O](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9462-9501) $^{\textcircled{\textsf{D1}}}$ , HUALIN ZHEN[G](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6374-4213) $^{\textcircled{\textsf{D1}}}$ , B[I](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2424-5657)NGLIN LI $^{\textcircled{\textsf{D1}}}$ , AND G[U](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0977-9802)O-ZHONG FU $^{\textcircled{\textsf{D2}}}$ 

<sup>2</sup>Science and Technology on Reactor System Design Technology Laboratory, Nuclear Power Institute of China, Chengdu 610213, China Corresponding author: Junyu Guo (junyguo@163.com)

**ABSTRACT** Degradation analysis methods are increasingly used in reliability assessment of long-life products. The small sample size problem is worthy of attention in the degradation analysis. Bayesian hierarchical method is employed for fusing multi-source degradation information to handle small sample problems. The competing relationship multiple degradation models have been widely researched and applied. However, there is the non-competing multiple degradation situation exist in mechanical products. In this paper, a Bayesian hierarchical model-based information fusion degradation method with gamma process model is introduced for describing the non-competing relationship multiple degradation process. Therefore, the Bayesian method and the MCMC method are employed for parameters estimation. A numerical example of spool valve of hydraulic system in exoskeleton robot is provided to demonstrate the proposed Bayesian hierarchical model-based information fusion degradation method.

**INDEX TERMS** Bayesian hierarchical model, uncertainty, exoskeleton robot, reliability, degradation analysis.

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

Nowadays, the complex systems such as industrial, transportation and military are generally required long-life and high-reliability [1]–[4]. Methods such as degradation analysis have been developed to enhance the reliability analysis of these systems [5]–[7]. Due to the advantages in the reliability assessment of long-life, high-reliability products, degradation analysis methods have been widely used in academic research and industrial application [8].

In general, the complex products with long life and high reliability may have multiple performance degradation processes. In the competing relationship multiple degradation models, the products confront a failure when anyone of the performance indicator reaches a predefined threshold [9]–[12]. The multiple degradation processes based on the competing relationship have been widely studied [13]–[15]. Peng *et al.* [16] developed the inverse Gaussian process to characterize the bivariate degradation process considering incomplete observations. Pan and Balakrishnan [17] employed the Gamma process to describe

The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and approvin[g](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1708-4197) it for publication was Zhonglai Wang

the competing relationship multiple performance characteristics, and Bayesian method is used for parameters estimation. Barker and Newby [18] used a multivariate Wiener process to characterize the degradation process for developing maintenance strategy. Peng *et al.* [19] used Bayesian method to analyze multivariate degradation systems under dynamic conditions. Sari *et al.* [20] developed the generalized linear degradation path models for describing the bivariate degradation model. Pan and Sun [21] proposed a multivariate accelerated degradation test design based on Gamma process for the small sample size problem. Wang *et al.* [22] applied the copula function and stochastic process to construct a residual life prediction model for multivariate degradation products.

However, there is the non-competing multiple degradation situation exist in mechanical products, the competing relationship multiple degradation models may not be suitable for this situation [23]–[26]. To address the problem, a modified multiple degradation processes model is proposed. A classic example, i.e., spool valve of hydraulic system in exoskeleton robot, is investigated in this paper to demonstrate the proposed method. The wear rate of spools and sleeves are two non-competing performance indicators of spool valve [27].

Earlier spool valve reliability models did not consider the small sample size problem in reliability analysis, but in some cases, small sample size problem is inevitable. A modified model that considers the small sample problem is introduced in this paper. In order to obtain more accurate analysis result under limited degradation observations, it is imperative to take full advantage of the degradation information from Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEMs) and user plants. Degradation information from different sources have the mathematically common, so they can be fused during the reliability analysis [28]–[31].

A hierarchical Bayesian method of gamma process model is introduced into this paper for modeling and analysis degradation process. The hierarchical Bayesian method could fuse degradation information from different sources [32]–[34]. The estimation of model parameters is performed by Bayesian method and Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method.

The remainder of this paper are organized as follows. Section 2 introduces gamma process model for the non-competing relationship multiple degradation model. In Section 3, a Bayesian hierarchical model-based information fusion method is presented. Section 4 presents the degradation analysis of spool valve of hydraulic system in exoskeleton robot to illustrate the proposed method. Section 5 summarizes the whole work and prospects of the future work.

#### **II. DEGRADATION PROCESS**

#### A. GAMMA PROCESS

Degradation process of produce is usually an evolutionary process. The advantages of stochastic process make it suitable for characterizing the degradation process [35]. The independent increment of gamma process is non-negative, it is consistent with the performance evolvement of the mechanical products. In general, gamma process is used for describing the performance degradation of mechanical products [36]–[38].

Let a stochastic process  $\{Y(t), t > 0\}$  obeys gamma process with the following properties

- $(1)$   $Y(0) = 0$
- (2) Independent increments  $\Delta Y(t) = Y(t + \Delta t) Y(t)$ obey Gamma distribution  $ΔY(t) ∼ Ga(Δη(t), λ)$ with  $\Delta \eta(t) = \eta(t + \Delta t) - \eta(t)$ .

where  $\eta(\cdot)$  is the shape parameter and a right-continuous nondecreasing process in [0, ∞),  $\eta$  (0) = 0.  $\lambda$  is scale parameter and  $\lambda > 0$ .

The probability density function (PDF) of gamma process is shown as

$$
f(\Delta Y(t) | \eta(t), \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{\eta(t)} \Delta Y(t)^{\eta(t)-1} e^{-\lambda \Delta Y(t)}}{\Gamma(\eta(t))}
$$
  
 
$$
\times I_{(0,\infty)}(\Delta Y(t)) \quad (1)
$$

where

$$
I_{(0,\infty)}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in (0,\infty) \\ 0 & x \notin (0,\infty) \end{cases}
$$
 (2)

and  $\Gamma(\eta) = \int_0^\infty x^{\eta-1} e^{-x} dx$  is the gamma function.

VOLUME 7, 2019 175223

The pre-specified threshold is defined as *C*, and the failure time *T* of the produce is defined as the time at which the degradation indicator first reaches the pre-specified threshold.

$$
T = \inf\{t \mid Y(t) \ge C\} \tag{3}
$$

The reliability of produce can be expressed as

$$
R(t | \eta(t), \lambda) = P(T > t) = P\{X(t) < C | \eta(t), \lambda\}
$$
\n
$$
= \int_0^C f(x | \eta(t), \lambda) dx
$$
\n
$$
= \int_0^C \frac{\lambda^{\eta(t)} x^{\eta(t) - 1} e^{-\lambda x}}{\Gamma(\eta(t))} dx \tag{4}
$$

The PDF of the failure time can be given as

$$
f(t|C) = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\Gamma(\eta t, C/\lambda)}{\Gamma(\eta t)}
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{\eta}{\Gamma(\eta t)} \int_0^{C/\lambda} \left[ \ln(x) - \frac{\Gamma'(\eta t)}{\Gamma(\eta t)} \right] x^{\eta t - 1} e^{-x} dx
$$
 (5)

It can be seen that the PDF is very complicated and quite difficult to handle in industrial applications. The Birnbaum-Saunders (B-S) distribution is employed for approximate fitting. After unifying the parameters, the CDF and PDF of B-S distribution can be given as

$$
F_{BS} = \Phi \left[ \sqrt{\lambda C} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\eta t}{\lambda C}} - \sqrt{\frac{\lambda C}{\eta t}} \right) \right]
$$
 (6)

$$
f_{BS} (t | \eta, \lambda) = \frac{\eta \sqrt{\lambda C}}{2\sqrt{2\pi \lambda C}} \left[ \left( \frac{\eta t}{\lambda C} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \frac{\eta t}{\lambda C} \right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right] \cdot \exp \left[ -2\lambda C \left( \frac{\eta t}{\lambda C} - 2 + \frac{\lambda C}{\eta t} \right) \right] \tag{7}
$$

## B. THE NON-COMPETING RELATIONSHIP MULTIPLE DEGRADATION MODEL

Suppose that the *m* performance indicators of the produce are mutually-independent,  $D_p(t)$  is the degradation data of the *L*th degradation processes at the time *t*. All degradation processes lead to one failure mode, the reliability can be defined as

$$
R(t) = \Pr \{ D_1(t) + D_2(t) + \ldots + D_p(t) < C \} \tag{8}
$$

If  $D_p(t)$  follows a gamma process with shape parameter  $\eta_p(t)$  and scale parameter  $\lambda$  with  $p = 1, 2, \dots, L$ , when the shape parameter function  $\eta_p(t) = \eta_p t$ , then the degradation increment  $ΔD<sub>p</sub>$  follows the gamma distribution  $ΔD<sub>p</sub>$  ∼  $Ga\left(\eta_p \Delta t, \lambda\right)$ .

Based on the additivity of the gamma distribution, the noncompeting relationship can be obtained as:

$$
\sum_{p=1}^{L} \Delta D_p(t) \sim Ga\left(\sum_{p=1}^{L} \eta_p \Delta t, \lambda\right)
$$
 (9)

According to the properties of gamma process,  $(D_1(t))$  $+D_2(t) + ... + D_p(t)$  follows Gamma process with shape parameter function  $\eta(t) = \sum_{r=1}^{L}$  $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \eta_p t$ . The reliability function can be defined as:

$$
R(t) = \frac{\int_0^{C\lambda} \sum_{x^{p=1}}^{\sum \eta_p t - 1} e^{-\lambda} dx}{\Gamma\left(\sum_{p=1}^L \eta_p t\right)}
$$
(10)

#### **III. PARAMETER ESTIMATION**

#### A. DEGRADATION PROCESS ANALYSIS METHOD

In this paper, we analyze the non-competing relationship multiple degradation model of two degradation indicators. The degradation observations of  $D_1(t)$  and  $D_2(t)$  are monitored for *N* units. Let  $D_1$   $\left(t_{ij}\right)$  and  $D_2$   $\left(t_{ij}\right)$  denote the *j*th observation for unit *i* at time  $t_{ij}$  with  $j = 1, \ldots, M$  and  $i = 1, \ldots, N$ . Let  $\Delta d_{ij} = D_1(t_{ij}) - D_1(t_{i,j-1})$  and  $\Delta d'_{ij} = D_2(t_{ij}) - D_2(t_{i,j-1})$ be the degradation increment. The  $\Delta d_{ij}^p$  follow the gamma distribution  $\Delta d_{ij}^p \sim Ga\left(\eta_p \Delta t_{ij}, \lambda\right)$ .

The performance degradation data of the non-competing relationship multiple degradation model includes the OEMs data  $D_p^O$  and user plants data  $D_p^U$ . Denote  $D_p^O$  and  $D_p^U$  as  $D_p$ with  $v = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \lambda)$ , the likelihood function is

$$
L(D_1, D_2, v | \eta_1, \eta_2, \lambda)
$$
  
= 
$$
\prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=2}^M g(\Delta d_{ij} | \eta_1, \lambda) g(\Delta d'_{ij} | \eta_2, \lambda)
$$
  
= 
$$
\prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=2}^M \frac{\lambda^{\eta_1 \Delta t_{ij}}}{\Gamma(\eta_1 \Delta t_{ij})} \Delta d^{\eta_1 \Delta t_{ij} - 1} \exp(-\lambda \Delta d_{ij})
$$
  

$$
\cdot \frac{\lambda^{\eta_2 \Delta t_{ij}}}{\Gamma(\eta_2 \Delta t_{ij})} \Delta d'^{\eta_2 \Delta t_{ij} - 1}_{ij} \exp(-\lambda \Delta d'_{ij})
$$
(11)

 $\Delta d_{ij} = D_1(t_{ij}) - D_1(t_{i,j-1}), \Delta d'_{ij} = D_2(t_{ij}) - D_2(t_{i,j-1}).$ 

Suppose that the prior information is quantified and obtained as prior distribution for degradation model parameters as  $\pi(\theta) = \pi(\eta_1, \eta_2, \lambda)$ . The posterior distribution could be obtained via the Beysian method to integrate prior information and degradation information as:

$$
p(\eta_1, \eta_2, \lambda, \nu | D_1, D_2) \propto \pi(\theta) L(D_1, D_2, \nu | \theta)
$$
  
=  $\pi(\eta_1, \eta_2, \lambda) L(D_1, D_2, \nu | \eta_1, \eta_2, \lambda)$   
=  $\pi(\eta_1, \eta_2, \lambda) \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=2}^M \frac{\lambda^{\eta_1 \Delta t_{ij}}}{\Gamma(\eta_1 \Delta t_{ij})} \Delta d_{ij}^{\eta_1 \Delta t_{ij}-1}$   
 $\cdot \exp(-\lambda \Delta d_{ij}) \frac{\lambda^{\eta_2 \Delta t_{ij}}}{\Gamma(\eta_2 \Delta t_{ij})} \Delta d_{ij}^{\eta_2 \Delta t_{ij}-1} \exp(-\lambda \Delta d'_{ij})$  (12)

#### B. BAYESIAN INFORMATION FUSION METHOD

Based on the framework of the Bayesian hierarchical modelbased information fusion method, the prior information and

multi-source degradation data are integrated to obtain the joint posterior distribution. The realization process is

$$
p_{I}(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \lambda, \nu \left| D_{1}^{O}, D_{2}^{O}) \right)
$$
\n
$$
= \pi(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \lambda) \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j=2}^{M} \frac{\lambda^{\eta_{1} \Delta t_{ij}}}{\Gamma(\eta_{1} \Delta t_{ij})} \Delta d_{ij}^{O\eta_{1} \Delta t_{ij} - 1} \exp(-\lambda \Delta d_{ij}^{O})
$$
\n
$$
\cdot \frac{\lambda^{\eta_{2} \Delta t_{ij}}}{\Gamma(\eta_{2} \Delta t_{ij})} \Delta d_{ij}^{O\eta_{2} \Delta t_{ij} - 1} \exp(-\lambda \Delta d_{ij}^{O}) \qquad (13)
$$
\n
$$
p_{II}(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \lambda, \nu \left| D_{1}^{U}, D_{2}^{U}, D_{1}^{O}, D_{2}^{O}) \right]
$$
\n
$$
= p_{I}(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \lambda, \nu \left| D_{1}^{O}, D_{2}^{O}) \right]
$$
\n
$$
\cdot \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j=2}^{M} \frac{\lambda^{\eta_{1} \Delta t_{ij}}}{\Gamma(\eta_{1} \Delta t_{ij})} \Delta d_{ij}^{U\eta_{1} \Delta t_{ij} - 1} \exp(-\lambda \Delta d_{ij}^{U})
$$
\n
$$
\cdot \frac{\lambda^{\eta_{2} \Delta t_{ij}}}{\Gamma(\eta_{2} \Delta t_{ij})} \Delta d_{ij}^{U\eta_{2} \Delta t_{ij} - 1} \exp(-\lambda \Delta d_{ij}^{U}) \qquad (14)
$$

where  $D_1^O$  and  $D_2^O$  are the OEMs degradation data,  $\pi(\eta_1, \eta_2, \lambda)$  is prior distribution quantified and obtained by prior information,  $p_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \lambda, \nu \mid D_1^O, D_2^O)$  is the posterior distribution integrated by prior information and the information contained in the OEMs degradation observations.  $D_1^U$  and  $D_2^U$  are the user plants degradation observations,  $p_H(\eta_1, \eta_2, \bar{\lambda}, v \, | D_1^U, D_2^U, D_1^O, D_2^O)$  is the integrated of prior information and multi-source degradation information.

The MCMC method is used to estimate the model parameters. In most applications with Bayesian methods, it is difficult to obtain the posterior distribution. In this paper, we assume that prior distributions of model parameters are non-informative, and the OpenBUGS is used to perform the Gibbs sampling for the parameters estimation.

#### **IV. ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE**

The reliability of exoskeleton robot hydraulic systems is constructed by composing subsystems. The reliability of the spool valves directly affects the reliability of the hydraulic system. The main failure mode of the spool valves is the wear degradation of spools and sleeves. When the total wear degradation of spool and sleeve reach predetermined threshold, the spool valves is considered as failure.

The simulated data are generated for demonstrating the applicability of the proposed non-competing relationship degradation model and Bayesian parameter estimation. The wear degradation observations of OEMs are presented in Figure 1, and the wear observations of user plants are shown in Figure 2.

The wear degradation increment of sleeves is  $\Delta d_{ij}$  =  $D_{SL}(t_{ij}) - D_{SL}(t_{i,j-1})$  which is modeled as  $Ga(\eta_{SL}\Delta t_{ij}, \lambda)$ and the wear degradation increment of spools is  $\Delta d'_{ij}$  =  $D_{SP}(t_{ij}) - D_{SP}(t_{i,j-1})$  that is modeled as  $Ga\left(\eta_{SP}\Delta t_{ij}, \lambda\right)$ .

Owing to the limitation on the available prior information, the non-informative prior distribution for parameters of the degradation process model of OEMs, quantized from the



**FIGURE 1.** The wear degradation observations of OEMs.



**FIGURE 2.** The wear degradation observations of user plants.

subjective information is shown as

 $\eta_{SL} \sim U(0, 10), \eta_{SP} \sim U(0, 10), \lambda \sim U(0, 10)$ 

Uniform distributions for model parameter are used for characterization the non-informative priors with relevant large intervals.

The MCMC method is employed for simulating posterior samples of parameters, The OpengBUGS is adopted to facilitate the implementation of MCMC for the Bayesian hierarchical model-based information fusion degradation analysis of the wear degradation data. The posterior pdf of OEMs degradation model parameters are shown in Figure 3. These posterior distributions transform into prior distribution of model parameters of user plants.

The results for parameter estimation of OEMs degradation are presented in Table 1.



**FIGURE 3.** The posterior pdf of model parameters:  $\eta_{SL}^{(OEMs)}$ ,  $\eta_{SP}^{(OEMs)}$ ,  $\lambda^{(\textit{OEMS})}$  .

**TABLE 1.** Statistical summarization of parameters estimation.

	Mean	Standard deviation	Confidence interval	
			2.5%	97.5%
$\eta_{\scriptscriptstyle SI}^{\scriptscriptstyle (OEMs)}$	0.4871	0.08084	0.3434	0.6609
$\eta_{\scriptscriptstyle SP}^{\scriptscriptstyle (OEMs)}$	0.8468	0.1402	0.5968	1.142
2(OEMS)	1.017	0.1697	0.7153	1.377



**FIGURE 4.** The posterior pdf of model parameters:  $\eta_{SL}$ ,  $\eta_{SP}$ ,  $\lambda$ .

The PDFs of posterior distributions of Bayesian hierarchical model-based information fusion degradation analysis model are presented in Figure 4.

Convergence refers to whether the algorithm has reached its target distribution. If the algorithm converges, the generated posterior sample comes from the correct target distribution. Therefore, judging the convergence of the algorithm is an important prerequisite for obtaining the required posterior samples. The trace plots for model parameters are monitored for checking the convergence in this paper. The trace plots of model parameters for the noncompeting relationship degradation process are shown in Figure 5.

There is no pattern or irregularity being observed, so we could assume that the Bayesian hierarchical modelbased information fusion degradation analysis are converged. The results for parameter estimation given in Table 2.

The pre-specified threshold is  $C = 160$ , the reliability of the spool valves can be obtained by parameters estimation as presented in Figure 6.



**FIGURE 5.** The trace plots of degradation model parameters.

**TABLE 2.** Statistical summarization of parameters estimation.

	Mean	Standard deviation	Confidence interval	
			$5\%$	95%
$\eta_{\scriptscriptstyle SL}$	0.5307	0.0475	0.4407	0.627
$\eta_{\scriptscriptstyle SP}$	0.9661	0.08663	0.8037	1 143
λ	1.059	0.09568	0.8798	1.253



**FIGURE 6.** Reliability of the spool valves.

#### **V. CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper, a Bayesian hierarchical model-based information fusion method considering non-competing relationship is presented. This method is used to characterize the noncompeting multiple degradation process under small sample size problems. The characteristics of these degradation

process are characterized by gamma process. For fusion the multi-sources degradation information, a Bayesian hierarchical model-based information fusion method is introduced into the framework of degradation analysis. Therefore, the parameters estimation results are obtained via Bayesian method and the MCMC method. The model parameters trace plots are employed for checking the convergence of degradation models. A numerical example of spool valve of hydraulic system in exoskeleton robot is provided to demonstrate the proposed multiple degradation model.

It should be noted that there are some open questions for future work. Due to individual differences are widely present in produces, the random effect could be introduced to the noncompeting relationship multiple degradation process. The different working environment of the products in the OEMs and the user plant could be considered into the modeling of the degradation process.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] Z. Wang, Z. Wang, S. Yu, and K. Zhang, ''Time-dependent mechanism reliability analysis based on envelope function and vine-copula function,'' *Mechanism Mach. Theory*, vol. 134, pp. 667–684, Apr. 2019.
- [2] N.-C. Xiao, M. J. Zuo, and C. Zhou, ''A new adaptive sequential sampling method to construct surrogate models for efficient reliability analysis,'' *Rel. Eng. Syst. Saf.*, vol. 169, pp. 330–338, Jan. 2018.
- [3] J. Lawless and M. Crowder, ''Covariates and random effects in a Gamma process model with application to degradation and failure,'' *Lifetime Data Anal.*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 213–227, Sep. 2004.
- [4] S. Yu, Z. Wang, and D. Meng, ''Time-variant reliability assessment for multiple failure modes and temporal parameters,'' *Struct. Multidisciplinary Optim.*, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 1705–1717, Oct. 2018.
- [5] Z.-S. Ye and N. Chen, ''The inverse Gaussian process as a degradation model,'' *Technometrics*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 302–311, Jul. 2014.
- [6] X.-Y. Li, H.-Z. Huang, and Y.-F. Li, ''Reliability analysis of phased mission system with non-exponential and partially repairable components,'' *Rel. Eng. Syst. Saf.*, vol. 175, pp. 119–127, Jul. 2018.
- [7] N. Chen and K. L. Tsui, ''Condition monitoring and remaining useful life prediction using degradation signals: Revisited,'' *IIE Trans.*, vol. 45, no. 9, pp. 939–952, Sep. 2013.
- [8] W. Peng, S.-P. Zhu, and L. Shen, ''The transformed inverse Gaussian process as an age- and state-dependent degradation model,'' *Appl. Math. Model.*, vol. 75, pp. 837–852, Nov. 2019.
- [9] W. Nelson, ''Analysis of performance-degradation data from accelerated tests,'' *IEEE Trans. Rel.*, vol. R-30, no. 2, pp. 149–155, Jun. 1981.
- [10] Z. Wang, X. Cheng, and J. Liu, "Time-dependent concurrent reliabilitybased design optimization integrating experiment-based model validation,'' *Struct. Multidisciplinary Optim.*, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 1523–1531, Apr. 2018.
- [11] H. Wu, P. Wu, F. Li, H. Shi, and K. Xu, "Fatigue analysis of the gearbox housing in high-speed trains under wheel polygonization using a multibody dynamics algorithm,'' *Eng. Failure Anal.*, vol. 100, pp. 351–364, Jun. 2019.
- [12] Z. Chen, Z. Huang, S. Jing, Z. Tao, and Q. Zhao, "The fatigue behavior and fatigue reliability analysis of vibroseis baseplates based on fracture mechanics,'' in *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng., O, J. Risk Rel.*, vol. 231, no. 6, pp. 732–749, Dec. 2017.
- [13] S. Yu, Z. Wang, and K. Zhang, ''Sequential time-dependent reliability analysis for the lower extremity exoskeleton under uncertainty,'' *Rel. Eng. Syst. Saf.*, vol. 170, pp. 45–52, Feb. 2018.
- [14] W. Peng, Y.-F. Li, Y.-J. Yang, J. Mi, and H.-Z. Huang, ''Bayesian degradation analysis with inverse Gaussian process models under time-varying degradation rates,'' *IEEE Trans. Rel.*, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 84–96, Mar. 2017.
- [15] N.-C. Xiao, M. J. Zuo, and W. Guo, "Efficient reliability analysis based on adaptive sequential sampling design and cross-validation,'' *Appl. Math. Model.*, vol. 58, pp. 404–420, Jun. 2018.
- [16] W. Peng, Y.-F. Li, Y.-J. Yang, S.-P. Zhu, and H.-Z. Huang, ''Bivariate analysis of incomplete degradation observations based on inverse Gaussian processes and copulas,'' *IEEE Trans. Rel.*, vol. 65, no. 2, pp. 624–639, Jun. 2016.

# **IEEE** Access

- [17] Z. Pan and N. Balakrishnan, "Reliability modeling of degradation of products with multiple performance characteristics based on gamma processes,'' *Rel. Eng. Syst. Saf.*, vol. 96, no. 8, pp. 949–957, Aug. 2011.
- [18] C. T. Barker and M. J. Newby, "Optimal non-periodic inspection for a multivariate degradation model,'' *Rel. Eng. Syst. Safety*, vol. 94, no. 1, pp. 33–43, Jan. 2009.
- [19] W. Peng, Y.-F. Li, J. Mi, L. Yu, and H.-Z. Huang, ''Reliability of complex systems under dynamic conditions: A Bayesian multivariate degradation perspective,'' *Rel. Eng. Syst. Saf.*, vol. 153, pp. 75–87, Sep. 2016.
- [20] J. K. Sari, M. J. Newby, A. C. Brombacher, and L. C. Tang, ''Bivariate constant stress degradation model: LED lighting system reliability estimation with two-stage modelling,'' *Qual. Rel. Eng. Int.*, vol. 25, no. 8, pp. 1067–1084, 2009.
- [21] Z. Pan and Q. Sun, "Optimal design for step-stress accelerated degradation test with multiple performance characteristics based on gamma processes,'' *Commun. Stat.- Simul. Comput.*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 298–314, 2014.
- [22] X. Wang, B. Guo, and J. Cheng, ''Residual life estimation based on bivariate Wiener degradation process with time-scale transformations,'' *J. Stat. Comput. Simul.*, vol. 84, no. 3, pp. 545–563, 2014.
- [23] Y.-J. Yang, W. Peng, S.-P. Zhu, and H.-Z. Huang, ''A Bayesian approach for sealing failure analysis considering the non-competing relationship of multiple degradation processes,'' *Eksploatacja Niezawodnosc*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 10–15, 2016.
- [24] F. Duan and G. Wang, ''Optimal design for constant-stress accelerated degradation test based on gamma process,'' *Commun. Statist.-Theory Methods*, vol. 48, no. 9, pp. 2229–2253, 2019.
- [25] Z. Chen, Z. Huang, D. Li, J. Shi, and Z. Tao, "Research on a rollover protective technique for a vibroseis truck based on reliability analysis,'' *Int. J. Heavy Vehicle Syst.*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 95–117, 2019.
- [26] X.-Y. Li, H.-Z. Huang, Y.-F. Li, and E. Zio, ''Reliability assessment of multi-state phased mission system with non-repairable multi-state components,'' *Appl. Math. Model.*, vol. 61, pp. 181–199, Sep. 2018.
- [27] Z. Wang, S. Yu, L. Y. Chen, and Y. Li, "Robust design for the lower extremity exoskeleton under a stochastic terrain by mimicking wolf pack behaviors,'' *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 30714–30725, 2018.
- [28] J. Guo, H.-Z. Huang, W. Peng, and J. Zhou, ''Bayesian information fusion for degradation analysis of deteriorating products with individual heterogeneity,'' *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng., O, J. Risk Rel.*, vol. 233, no. 4, pp. 615–622, Aug. 2019.
- [29] W. Peng, Y.-F. Li, Y.-J. Yang, J. Mi, and H.-Z. Huang, ''Leveraging degradation testing and condition monitoring for field reliability analysis with time-varying operating missions,'' *IEEE Trans. Rel.*, vol. 64, no. 4, pp. 1367–1382, Dec. 2015.
- [30] S. Yu and Z. Wang, ''A novel time-variant reliability analysis method based on failure processes decomposition for dynamic uncertain structure,'' *ASME J. Mech. Des.*, vol. 140, no. 5, May 2018, Art. no. 051401.
- [31] H. Wu, P. Wu, K. Xu, J. Li, and F. Li, "Research on vibration characteristics and stress analysis of gearbox housing in high-speed trains,'' *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 102508–102518, 2019.
- [32] W. Peng, H.-Z. Huang, M. Xie, Y. Yang, and Y. Liu, ''A Bayesian approach for system reliability analysis with multilevel pass-fail, lifetime and degradation data sets,'' *IEEE Trans. Rel.*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 689–699, Sep. 2013.
- [33] Z.-S. Ye, M. Xie, L.-C. Tang, and N. Chen, "Semiparametric estimation of gamma processes for deteriorating products,'' *Technometrics*, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 504–513, 2014.
- [34] M. Zhang, K. Wang, D. Wei, and M. J. Zuo, "Amplitudes of characteristic frequencies for fault diagnosis of planetary gearbox,'' *J. Sound Vib.*, vol. 432, pp. 119–132, Oct. 2018.
- [35] W. Peng, Y.-F. Li, Y.-J. Yang, H.-Z. Huang, and M. J. Zuo, ''Inverse Gaussian process models for degradation analysis: A Bayesian perspective,'' *Rel. Eng. Syst. Saf.*, vol. 130, no. 1, pp. 175–189, Oct. 2014.
- [36] J. Guo, Y.-F. Li, B. Zheng, and H.-Z. Huang, ''Bayesian degradation assessment of CNC machine tools considering unit non-homogeneity,'' *J. Mech. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 2479–2485, Jun. 2018.
- [37] M. Guida and F. Penta, ''A gamma process model for the analysis of fatigue crack growth data,'' *Eng. Fract. Mech.*, vol. 142, pp. 21–49, Jul. 2015.
- [38] C.-C. Tsai, S.-T. Tseng, and N. Balakrishnan, "Optimal design for degradation tests based on gamma processes with random effects,'' *IEEE Trans. Rel.*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 604–613, Jun. 2012.



JUNYU GUO was born in 1990. He received the Ph.D. degree in mechanical engineering from the University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, in 2019. He currently holds a postdoctoral position at Southwest Petroleum University. His research interests include reliability assessment, degradation analysis, and Bayesian design of reliability tests.



HUALIN ZHENG received the Ph.D. degree in mechanical engineering from Chongqing University. He is currently a Professor with the School of Mechatronic Engineering, Southwest Petroleum University. He has published 70 journal articles and two books in the fields of product design and development, advanced manufacturing technology, and non-Traditional machining.



BINGLIN LI was born in 1982. He received the B.S. degree in process equipment and control engineering from the Changchun University of Science and Technology, Changchun, China, in 2007, and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in mechanical designing and theory from the Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China, in 2012.

He is currently an Associate Research Fellow with Southwest Petroleum University. His research interests include metal cutting, devel-

opment of special manufacturing tools and systems, designing of optomechatronics, and the intelligent equipment of oil and gas drilling and production.



GUO-ZHONG FU was born in 1987. He received the B.S. degree in mechanical design, manufacturing, and automation from the Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China, in 2011, and the Ph.D. degree in mechanical engineering from the University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, China, in 2018.

He is currently an Engineer with the Nuclear Power Institute of China. His research interests

include the design of control rod drive mechanism of the nuclear reactor, reliability design, evolutionary computation, and multiobjective optimization.