

Received August 27, 2019, accepted September 13, 2019, date of publication September 17, 2019, date of current version October 3, 2019. *Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2941999*

# Simplified Four-Level Inverter-Based Dynamic Voltage Restorer With Single DC Power Source

JIAN YE<sup>1</sup>, (Me[mb](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9472-8785)er, IEEE), HOAY BENG GOOI<sup>©[2](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5983-2181)</sup>, ([Se](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9270-4002)nior Member, IEEE), XINAN ZHANG<sup>©3</sup>, (Member, IEEE), BENFEI WANG<sup>©4</sup>, (Member, IEEE), AND JOSEP POU<sup>2</sup>, (Fellow, IEEE)

<sup>1</sup> School of Mechanical Engineering and Automation, Harbin Institute of Technology, Shenzhen 150001, China

<sup>2</sup>School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 639798

<sup>3</sup>School of Engineering, The University of Western Australia, Perth, WA 6009, Australia <sup>4</sup>School of Intelligent Manufacturing and Engineering, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China

Corresponding author: Xinan Zhang (xinan.zhang@uwa.edu.au)

This work was supported in part by the Singapore MOE Tier1 under Grant MOE2017-T1-002-112, and in part by the University of Western Australia start-up-grant.

**ABSTRACT** This paper proposes a simplified four-level (S4L) inverter based dynamic voltage restorer (DVR). In the proposed configuration, the dual buck stage can output full, two thirds, and one third of dc link voltage. Including the zero voltage provided by the two-level inverter, the output of the proposed inverter can be four levels. With the same switching frequency, the S4L inverter based DVR achieves better performance than the existing two-level and three-level inverters based DVRs. Moreover, compared with the existing four-level inverter based DVRs, it requires less active switches/diodes and only requires a single DC power source. The experimental results are provided for the validation of the proposed system.

**INDEX TERMS** Dynamic voltage restorer (DVR), simplified four-level (S4L) inverter, voltage sag, total harmonic distortion (THD).

# **I. INTRODUCTION**

In modern power systems, more and more critical loads are integrated. The critical loads can be chip manufacturing factory, programmable logic controllers, computer numerical control equipment, etc. These types of loads are sensitive to the power quality (PQ) of the supply voltage [1], [2]. Voltage fluctuations may lead to shut down and even irreversible damage of the critical loads [1], [2]. Thus, it is essential to maintain a rated voltage for the safe operation of the system [3].

Among all the voltage conditioners, the dynamic voltage restorer (DVR) is one of the most comprehensive solutions for voltage PQ problems [4], [5]. Fig. 1 shows the basic topology of the single-phase DVR. A typical DVR consists of a two-level inverter, a series capacitor, an inductor, and a dc source. The DVR is able to maintain the load voltage at the rated sinusoidal waveform by injecting a voltage of a specific phase angle and magnitude into the distribution line [4], [5]. From the literatures, it is noted that a DVR can provide other benefits in addition to its basic function.



**FIGURE 1.** Topology of the two-level single-phase DVR.

For example, in the pre-sag operation [6]–[8], the DVR can restore the exact amplitude and phase of the load voltage when the sag and phase jump happens in the source voltage. The magnitude of the injected voltage of the pre-sag method is higher than that of the in-phase method. The DVR can inject the minimum amplitude of the compensation voltage by using the in-phase method [9]–[13]. In the self-supported mode [14]–[17], the DVR does not require external energy storage by utilizing the capacitor connected at the dc link of the VSI. However, the energy stored in the capacitor is limited. In the energy optimized strategy [18], [19], the energy handled by the DVR can be optimized. Using reduced active

The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and approving it for publication was Madhav Manjrekar.

	Topology	THD	Number of active switches	Number of diodes	Number of DC sources
Two-level	H-bridge [6]	High			
Three-level	<b>NPC [26]</b>	medium			
	<b>CHB</b> [28]	medium			
Four-level	<b>CHB</b> [29]	LOW			
	Proposed S4L	$-0W$			

**TABLE 1.** Comparison of performance and required components of the two-level, three-level and four-level inverters in DVRs.



**FIGURE 2.** Topology of the 3L-NPC inverter based single-phase DVR.

power injection, the energy optimized strategy maintains balanced load voltages under unbalanced large voltage sags. In [20], a two-degree freedom control strategy is proposed to reduce the number of measured variables. In this way, the conventional current loop can be avoided in the control scheme of the DVR. In [21], a dual-function DVR is utilized to operate under normal voltage compensation mode and short-circuit fault current-limiting mode. In [22], an openend winding transformer is used in a DVR to connect two conventional two-level inverters in series for harmonic reduction in the system. In [23], the elliptical restoration based compensation scheme is adopted in the DVR to provide a smooth changeover of the displacement angle during the transient status of the system. In [24]–[26], direct converters are applied in the DVRs, so that the DC link is no longer required in the DVRs. The elimination of the DC link reduces the cost and volume of DVRs. From the literature, it is noted that the conventional two-level inverter based DVR requires high switching frequency of the power converter to obtain a low total harmonic distortion (THD) of the load voltage. Unfortunately, the high switching frequency increases the switching losses and the controller computational burden.

To avoid the aforementioned problems, the use of multilevel inverters is a suitable approach, since multilevel inverters can provide low THD while their switches operate at a relatively low switching frequency. The commonly used multilevel inverters in DVR applications are the threelevel neutral point clamped (3L-NPC) inverter [27], and the H-bridge cascaded inverters [28], [29]. The topology of the 3L-NPC inverter based single-phase DVR is illustrated in Fig. 2 [27]. Compared with the conventional DVR, the 3L-NPC inverter based DVR requires eight active switches and additional four clamping diodes. Fig. 3 shows the topol-



**FIGURE 3.** Topology of the three-level CHB inverter based single-phase DVR.

ogy of the three-level cascaded H-bridge (CHB) inverter based single-phase DVR [28]. In this topology, the outputs of two H-bridge inverters are connected in series. Only eight active switches are required in the cascaded topology. Similarly, in the four-level CHB inverter based single-phase DVR [29], the outputs of three H-bridge inverters are connected in series, thus, twelve active switches are required. It has to be mentioned that in [29] the authors have demonstrated that if the injected voltage is in quadrature with the current, the sag compensation can be carried out with the aid of reactive power only. Thus, the DC link can be supported by the capacitors only. However, the amount of sag should be within a certain limit. If the amount of sag is larger than the limit, the sag correction with reactive power only is not possible. It means that the capacitor supported DVR has limited operating range. In this paper, the DVR with full operating range is investigated. Thus, the DVR will inject both active and reactive power into the system. The DC link of the inverter in the DVR has to be supported by DC power sources. Correspondingly, the two-level inverter and 3L-NPC inverter based DVRs need only one DC power source. In multilevel CHB inverter based DVR, each H-bridge should be fed by an individual DC power source. The required number of DC power sources in the different topologies is summarized in Table 1. Table 1 also shows the required number of active switches and diodes, as well as the THD performance. From Table 1, it is noted that the two-level inverter based DVR suffers from the high THD of the load voltage. The aforementioned two commonly



**FIGURE 4.** Topology of the S4L inverter based single-phase DVR.

used multilevel inverter based DVRs are not cost-effective solutions for voltage PQ problems compensation. The reason is that the 3L-NPC inverter needs additional diodes, while the multilevel CHB inverter needs multiple DC power sources.

In order to provide a cost-effective solution, a simplified four-level (S4L) inverter in the DVR application as shown in Fig. 4 is proposed. The proposed S4L inverter is based on a three-level simplified NPC inverter [30], [31], which comprises a dual buck converter and a conventional two-level inverter. This new S4L inverter does not require additional components compared with the three-level inverters. It is also noted the proposed S4L inverter based DVR only requires a single DC power source compared with the multilevel CHB inverter based DVR. Thus, the proposed S4L inverter based DVR is more cost-effective. Moreover, the proposed S4L inverter based DVR can provide four level voltages at the output of the inverter, which significantly helps to mitigate the harmonic problem in the system. Specifically, the upper arm capacitor shares two thirds of the DC link voltage. The lower arm capacitor shares one third of the DC link voltage. Thus, the dual buck converter can output full, two thirds, and one third of DC link voltages. Taking into account the zero voltage generated by the two-level inverter, the proposed inverter can output four voltage levels for the DVR and, therefore, it provides less harmonics in the load voltage compared with the conventional three-level inverter based DVRs.

In order to provide the four-level inverter based DVR with fast dynamic, a model predictive control (MPC) method is applied in this paper. The MPC is able to offer smooth transient performance and fast dynamics response [32]–[39].

The main contributions of this paper are summarized as follows:

- 1) The proposed S4L inverter based DVR does not require additional diodes and only requires a single DC power source compared with the commonly used multilevel inverter based DVRs. It is a more cost-effective solution for load voltage compensation.
- 2) Compared with the commonly used three-level inverter based DVR, the proposed S4L inverter based DVR can output four level voltages without adding additional

**TABLE 2.** Switching combinations and terminal voltage of dual buck converter.

	<b>Switching Combinations</b>		<b>Terminal Voltage</b>	
S5	86		S8	$v_{pn}$
				$+V_{dc}$
				$v_n = +2V_{dc}/3$
				$v_n = +V_{d} / 3$

components. Thus, the harmonics in the load voltage can be further mitigated.

The rest of this paper is arranged as follows. The detailed topology and discrete-time model of the four-level inverter based DVR are presented in Section II. Using the derived discrete-time model, the MPC based controller is designed in Section III. Section IV shows the experimental results of the laboratorial prototype. Section V concludes this paper.

# **II. TOPOLOGY OF FOUR-LEVEL INVERTER BASED DVR**

## A. SYSTEM CONFIGURATION

As presented in Fig. 4, the S4L inverter based single-phase DVR consists of a conventional two-level inverter (S1, S2, S3 and S4), a dual buck converter (S5, S6, S7 and S8), a DC power source  $(V_{dc})$ , a inductor  $(L_f)$ , a series capacitor  $(C_f)$ , and two DC-link capacitors (*Cdc*<sup>1</sup> and*Cdc*2). *Cdc*<sup>1</sup> and *Cdc*<sup>2</sup> will share 2/3 and 1/3 of *Vdc*, respectively. In Fig. 4, *i<sup>L</sup>* and *i<sup>f</sup>* are the load current, and inductor current, respectively.*v<sup>f</sup>* , *v<sup>S</sup>* and  $v<sub>L</sub>$  are the series compensation voltage, source voltage and load voltage, respectively.  $v_p$  and  $v_n$  represent the upper and lower arm voltages.

As mentioned previously, the dual buck converter is responsible for generating three voltage levels  $(+V_{dc},$  $+2V_{dc}/3$ ,  $+V_{dc}/3$ ) from the available dc source  $V_{dc}$ . These voltage levels are obtained from the switching combinations of S5, S6, S7 and S8 tabulated in Table 2. Considering the zero voltage generated by the two-level inverter, the output voltage *vinv* of the proposed inverter can take four levels  $(+V_{dc}, +2V_{dc}/3, +V_{dc}/3, 0)$ . Symmetrically, the negative part of  $v_{inv}$  can also provide four levels  $(-V_{dc}, -2V_{dc}/3,$ −*Vdc*/3, 0).



**FIGURE 5.** Equivalent circuit of the S4L inverter based single-phase DVR.

## B. DISCRETE-TIME MODEL

The equivalent circuit of the system is illustrated in Fig. 5. The output voltage of the full-bridge inverter is derived as

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
v_{inv} = uV_{dc} \tag{1}
$$

where *u* is the switching variable, which can take the values *u* ∈ {1, 2/3, 1/3, 0, -1/3, -2/3, -1}.

In Fig. 5, the following equations describe the dynamics of the S4L inverter based single-phase DVR.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
C_f \frac{dv_f}{dt} + i_f - i_L = 0 \tag{2}
$$

$$
v_{inv} + L_f \frac{di_f}{dt} - v_f = 0 \tag{3}
$$

$$
\nu_S - \nu_f - \nu_L = 0 \tag{4}
$$

Discretization of [\(2\)](#page-3-0), [\(3\)](#page-3-0) and [\(4\)](#page-3-0) is realized by utilizing the forward-Euler method as

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
v_f(k+1) = v_f(k) - \frac{T_S}{C_f} i_f(k) + \frac{T_S}{C_f} i_L(k)
$$
 (5)

$$
i_f(k+1) = i_f(k) + \frac{T_S}{L_f} v_f(k) - \frac{T_S}{L_f} v_{inv}(k)
$$
 (6)

$$
v_L(k+1) = v_S(k+1) - v_f(k+1)
$$
\n(7)

where  $T<sub>S</sub>$  is the sampling time. Due to a small value of  $T<sub>S</sub>$ , the values of the sensed signals  $i_L$  and  $v_S$  are treated as constant in two subsequent sampling instants. Consequently:

$$
i_L(k+1) = i_L(k) \tag{8}
$$

$$
v_S(k+1) = v_S(k) \tag{9}
$$

Equation [\(7\)](#page-3-1) can be rewritten as follows:

$$
v_L(k+1) = v_S(k) - v_f(k) + \frac{T_S}{C_f} i_f(k) - \frac{T_S}{C_f} i_L(k) \quad (10)
$$

The discrete-time state-space model of the four-level inverter based single-phase DVR is derived as

$$
x(k+1) = Ax(k) + Bu(k)
$$
\n<sup>(11)</sup>

$$
y(k) = Cx(k) \tag{12}
$$



**FIGURE 6.** Control diagram for the S4L inverter based single-phase DVR.

where

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{T_S}{C_f} & \frac{T_S}{C_f} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{T_S}{L_f} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & \frac{T_S}{C_f} & -\frac{T_S}{C_f} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix};
$$

$$
B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{T_S V_{dc}}{L_f} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T;
$$

 $x(k) = [v_f(k) i_f(k) i_L(k) v_L(k) v_S(k)]^T$  represents the state variable vector; and  $u(k)$  represents the switching variables, i.e., the control input of MPC.  $y(k) = v_L(k)$  is the system output. Then the system output can be regulated to track the reference signal. In this work, it is the load voltage reference.

## **III. MPC BASED CONTROLLER DESIGN**

The MPC method is utilized to control the S4L inverter based single-phase DVR, due to the superior performance in the control of power electronic devices [30]–[37].

## A. REFERENCE GENERATION FOR LOAD VOLTAGE

In Fig. 6, it can be observed that a phase-locked loop (PLL) is used to generate the synchronization angle ω*t* from *v<sup>S</sup>* . The reference of  $v_L$  is calculated by using the reference load voltage root-mean-square (RMS) value,  $v_{L,rms}^*$ , in the following. √

$$
v_L^* = \sqrt{2}v_{L,rms}^* \sin(\omega t) \tag{13}
$$

## B. MINIMIZATION COST FUNCTION OF MPC

In order to obtain the minimum error between the reference and predicted load voltages, a cost function is defined, in which  $N_p$  and  $N_c$  are prediction horizon and control horizon, respectively. The MPC will apply *N<sup>c</sup>* number of control

variables  $(u(k), u(k+1), \ldots, u(k+N_c-1))$  to predict  $N_p$  number of future output variables  $(y(k + 1), y(k + 2), \ldots, y(k +$  $(N_p)$ ). The cost function *J* is defined as

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
J = (Y - R)^{T} (Y - R)
$$
\n<sup>(14)</sup>

where  $Y = [v_L(k + 1) v_L(k + 2) \dots v_L(k + N_p)]^T$ ;  $R =$  $\begin{bmatrix} T \\ 11 \cdots 1 \end{bmatrix}$  $\overline{N_{p}}$  $v_L^*(k)$ ; and  $v_L^*(k)$  represents the reference of load

*N<sub>P</sub>*voltage. *Y* is computed as in [37]

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
Y = Fx(k) + \Phi U \tag{15}
$$

where  $U = [u(k)u(k + 1)...u(k + N_c - 1)]^T$ ;

$$
F = \begin{bmatrix} CA \\ CA^2 \\ CA^3 \\ \vdots \\ CA^{N_P} \end{bmatrix};
$$
  
\n
$$
\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} CB & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ CAB & CB & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ CA^2B & CAB & CB & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ CA^{N_P-1}B & CA^{N_P-2}B & CA^{N_P-3}B & \cdots & CA^{N_P-N_C}B \end{bmatrix}
$$

Based on the seven possible control actions (1, 2/3, 1/3, 0, −1/3, −2/3, −1) of *u* given in [\(1\)](#page-3-2), all possible control actions of *U* are  $(U_1, U_2, \cdots, U_N)$ , where  $N = 7^{N_C}$ . The future output  $(Y_1, Y_2, \cdots, Y_N)$  is computed utilizing [\(15\)](#page-4-0) and *U*. Then,  $(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_N)$  and *R* are substituted into [\(14\)](#page-4-1) to obtain the values of objective function  $(J_1, J_2, \cdots, J_N)$ . The minimal value *J*min can be found. Then, *J*min corresponds to the optimal control action *U*op at the minimum error. The present control action is the first control action of *U*op, i.e.,  $u_{op}(k)$ . The aforementioned procedure has been summarized in Fig. 6.

# C. DC VOLTAGE REGULATION

In order to ensure the voltage balancing between the two DC capacitors, the carrier based PWM method is a commonly utilized approach [40]–[42]. In this paper, the magnitude of  $v_p$  is twice of that of  $v_n$ . To maintain  $v_p$  and  $v_n$  at  $2V_{dc}/3$  and *Vdc*/3, respectively, a DC voltage regulation algorithm is used in the controller. The error between  $v_p$  and  $v_n$  is defined as

$$
\Delta = v_p - v_n \tag{16}
$$

A threshold  $\delta$  is defined so that,  $\Delta$  should be within the range of  $[V_{dc}/3 - \delta, V_{dc}/3 + \delta]$ . If  $\Delta > V_{dc}/3 + \delta$ , it means  $v_p$ is higher than its upper boundary and  $v_n$  is lower than its lower boundary. The voltages can be balanced by actively charging  $v_n$  or discharging  $v_p$ . If  $\Delta < V_{dc}/3 - \delta$ , it means  $v_p$  is lower than its lower boundary and  $v_n$  is higher than its

#### **TABLE 3.** DC voltage regulation algorithm.



higher boundary. The voltages can be balanced by charging  $v_p$  or discharging  $v_n$ . If  $\Delta \in [V_{dc}/3 - \delta, V_{dc}/3 + \delta]$ , the switching combinations just follow the calculated  $u_{op}(k)$ . When  $u_{\text{op}}(k) = 0$ , the output voltage of the four-level inverter is zero. The DC voltage regulation algorithm is summarized in Table 3.

Based on the DC voltage regulation algorithm, the corresponding switching combinations are listed in Table 4. (S1, S2), (S3, S4), (S5, S7) and (S6, S8) are complementary pairs.

# D. SUMMARY OF MPC ALGORITHM

The MPC algorithm utilized in this paper is summarized as follows:

- 1) Step 1: Compute the load voltage reference.
- 2) Step 2: Calculate the augmented model A, B, C. Compute the F and  $\Phi$  matrices.
- 3) Step 3: Predict all future output using the F and  $\Phi$ matrices, measured voltage and current signals, and the control actions.
- 4) Step 4: Calculate the values of the objective function and determine the optimal control action based on the load voltage reference and the predicted future output.
- 5) Step 5: Based on the DC link voltages and the inductor current, determine the switching actions using the DC voltage regulation algorithm in Table 3 and the switching combinations in Table 4.



#### **TABLE 4.** Switching combinations of S4L inverter based single-phase DVR.

#### **TABLE 5.** System parameters.





**FIGURE 7.** Configuration of nonlinear load.

## **IV. SIMULATION RESULTS**

In order to verify the proposed S4L inverter based DVR, the simulation study is conducted based on the MATLAB/SIMULINK platform. The system parameters are summarized in Table 5. The rated voltage magnitude in this system is 110 V. The DVR is operating under the nonlinear load condition. A *RLC* load is connected via a diode bridge rectifier to form the nonlinear load as illustrated in Fig. 7. The source is operated under a 60% deep sag condition.

The simulation results of the two-level inverter, threelevel inverter and S4L inverter based DVRs are illustrated in Figs. 8-10, respectively. THD values of the load voltage are analyzed in Fig. 11 and summarized in Table 6. It is noted the three types of DVRs can restore  $v_L$  to the rated



**FIGURE 8.** Simulation results of conventional two-level inverter based DVR under  $T_s = 50 \ \mu s$ : source voltage  $v_S$ , load voltage  $v_L$ , compensation voltage  $v_f$ , load current  $i_L$ , DC link voltage  $v_{DC}$  and inverter output voltage  $v_{inv}$ .

magnitude. However, compared with the two-level inverter and three-level inverter DVRs, the S4L inverter based DVR provides voltages  $v_L$  with less distortion. The THD of  $v_L$ in the S4L inverter based DVR is 4.15%, which are lower than those in the other two DVRs. It is obvious that with reduced number of components, the proposed S4L inverter based DVR can further reduce the THDs in the system. In Fig. 10, the reference points of  $v_p$  and  $v_n$  are 113 V and 57 V, respectively. It is noted that  $v_p$  and  $v_n$  are maintained within the predefined threshold. In Fig. 10, it can be observed that *vinv* has four voltage levels in the proposed DVR. The normalized values respected to *Vdc* are 1, 2/3, 1/3 and 0, respectively. The symmetrical negative parts are  $-1$ ,  $-2/3$ , −1/3 and 0.



**FIGURE 9.** Simulation results of three-level inverter based DVR under  $T_S = 50 \ \mu s$ : source voltage  $v_S$ , load voltage  $v_L$ , compensation voltage  $v_f$ , load current  $i_L$ , upper arm voltage  $\nu_p$ , terminal voltage  $\nu_{pn}$  and inverter output voltage  $v_{inv}$ .





## **V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

A laboratory prototype of the four-level inverter based DVR has been built to verify the system performance as shown in Fig. 12. The parameters of the system are the same with those in the simulation study as shown in Table 5. In the experimental study, the sampling time is reduced to 50  $\mu$ s. The AC voltage supply is programmed to provide a



**IEEE** Access

**FIGURE 10.** Simulation results of S4L inverter based DVR under  $T_s = 50 \ \mu s$ : source voltage  $v_s$ , load voltage  $v_L$ , compensation voltage  $v_f$ , load current  $i_L$ , upper arm voltage  $\nu_p$ , terminal voltage  $\nu_{\bm p \bm n}$  and inverter output voltage  $v_{inv}$ .

60% voltage sag with  $v_{S,rms}$  = 44 V. The DC link of the DVR is supported by a Chroma 62012P-600-8 programmable DC power supply. The experimental results are presented in Figs. 13-15. Experimental results from the conventional two-level and three-level inverter based DVRs are also presented for comparison.

Figs. 13-15 show the experimental results of the conventional two-level inverter, the three-level inverter and the proposed S4L inverter based DVRs under  $T_s = 50 \mu s$ , respectively. The THD values of the load voltage in the experiment are analyzed in Fig. 16 and summarized in Table 7. In Figs. 13-15, it can be observed that the aforementioned three DVRs can restore the load voltage to the rated magnitude under the deep sag condition. In Fig. 13(c), it is noted



**FIGURE 11.** THD values in simulation results: (a) two-level inverter based DVR, (b) three-level inverter based DVR and (c) S4L inverter based DVR.







**FIGURE 13.** Experimental results of conventional two-level inverter based DVR under  $T_s = 50 \mu s$  (a) Time (20 ms/div),  $v_S$  (100 V/div),  $v_L$  (100 V/div),  $v_t$ (100 V/div); (b) time (20 ms/div), *i<sub>L</sub>* (5 A/div), v<sub>DC</sub> (100 V/div), v<sub>inv</sub> (100 V/div); and (c) time (5 ms/div), *i<sub>L</sub>* (5 A/div), v<sub>DC</sub> (100 V/div), v<sub>inv</sub> (100 V/div).



**FIGURE 14.** Experimental results of three-level inverter based DVR under  $T_s = 50 \mu s$  (a) Time (20 ms/div), v<sub>S</sub> (100 V/div), v<sub>L</sub> (100 V/div), v<sub>f</sub> (100 V/div); (b) time (20 ms/div), *i<sub>L</sub>* (5 A/div), v<sub>p</sub> (100 V/div),  $v_n$  (100 V/div); (c) time (20 ms/div),  $v_{pn}$  (100 V/div),  $v_{inv}$  (100 V/div); and (d) time (5 ms/div), vpn (100 V/div), vinv (100 V/div).

the inverter output voltage is  $(1, 0, -1)$  for the two-level inverter. In Fig. 14(b), for the three-level inverter based DVR the reference points of  $v_p$  and  $v_n$  are 85 V, respectively.  $v_p$  and  $v_n$  are maintained at the reference values. In Fig. 14(d), the inverter output voltage is  $(1, 1/2, 0, -1/2, -1)$  for the three-level inverter. In Fig. 15(b), the reference points of  $v_p$  and  $v_n$  are 113 *V* and 57 *V*, respectively.  $v_p$  and  $v_n$  are maintained within the predefined threshold. In Fig. 15(d), the output voltage  $(1, 2/3, 1/3, 0, -1/3, -2/3, -1)$  can also be observed in the proposed S4L inverter. Compared with



**FIGURE 15.** Experimental results of S4L inverter based DVR under  $T_s = 50 \mu s$  (a) Time (20 ms/div), v<sub>S</sub> (100 V/div), v<sub>L</sub> (100 V/div), v<sub>f</sub> (100 V/div); (b) time (20 ms/div), i<sub>L</sub> (5 A/div)0, v<sub>p</sub> (100 V/div), v<sub>n</sub> (100 V/div); (c) time (20 ms/div),  $v_{pn}$  (100 V/div),  $v_{inv}$  (100 V/div); and (d) time (5 ms/div),  $v_{pn}$ (100 V/div), v<sub>inv</sub> (100 V/div).



**FIGURE 16.** THD values in experimental results: (a) two-level inverter based DVR, (b) three-level inverter based DVR and (c) S4L inverter based DVR.

**TABLE 7.** Voltage THD values from the experimental results.

DVR type	THD $(%)$
	νı
Two-level inverter	3.62
Three-level inverter	1.57
S4L inverter	1 23

the conventional DVRs, THD of  $v_L$  in the S4L inverter based DVR is the lowest, which is 1.23%.

It has to be mentioned that the proposed S4L inverter based DVR requires less components compared with the NPC and CHB three-level inverters based DVRs as compared in Table 1. From the simulation and experimental results, it is noted that the proposed S4L inverter based DVR can provide a better performance compared with the three-level inverter based DVR in terms of the THD value of the load voltage.

# **VI. DISCUSSION**

The conventional two-level inverter, three-level inverter and proposed S4L inverter based DVRs are compared in this

 $V$ OLUME 7, 2019  $137469$ 

paper. From the simulation and experimental results, it is noted that the load voltage contains more harmonics in the two-level inverter based DVR system. The reason is that the two-level inverter can only provide zero and full DC voltages. In the three-level inverter based DVR system, the load voltage harmonics are reduced, as the three-level inverter outputs zero, full and half DC voltages. Compared with the two-level inverter, the three-level inverter can better mimic a sinusoidal voltage waveform. Thus, in the proposed S4L inverter based DVR system, the S4L inverter outputs zero, full, two thirds and one third of DC voltages. This is why the load voltage harmonics in the S4L inverter based DVR system are the lowest.

## **VII. CONCLUSION**

This paper has proposed a S4L inverter based single-phase DVR that obtains better performance compared to those of the conventional two-level inverter and three-level inverter based DVRs. The discrete-time model of the DVR has been derived using the equivalent circuit of the system. By applying the

discrete-time model, a MPC based method has been used to control the DVR. Furthermore, a voltage regulation algorithm is utilized to keep the upper and lower arm DC voltages at the desired values. The S4L inverter based DVR can generate high quality voltages with reduced THD values. As the S4L inverter based DVR can reduce the number of components compared with the existing multilevel inverters based DVRs, it is a more cost-effective voltage compensation device.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] T. S. Hwang and S. Y. Park, "A seamless control strategy of a distributed generation inverter for the critical load safety under strict grid disturbances,'' *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 28, no. 10, pp. 4780–4790, Oct. 2013.
- [2] F. Nejabatkhah, Y. W. Li, and H. Tian, ''Power quality control of smart hybrid AC/DC microgrids: An overview,'' *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 52295–52318, 2019.
- [3] E. Hossain, M. R. Tür, S. Padmanaban, S. Ay, and I. Khan, ''Analysis and mitigation of power quality issues in distributed generation systems using custom power devices,'' *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 16816–16833, 2018.
- [4] M. Farhadi-Kangarlu, E. Babaei, and F. Blaabjerg, ''A comprehensive review of dynamic voltage restorers,'' *Int. J. Electr. Power Energy Syst.*, vol. 92, pp. 136–155, Nov. 2017.
- [5] Y. W. Li, D. M. Vilathgamuwa, F. Blaabjerg, and P. C. Loh, ''A robust control scheme for medium-voltage-level DVR implementation,'' *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 2249–2261, Aug. 2007.
- [6] C. Meyer, R. W. De Doncker, Y. W. Li, and F. Blaabjerg, ''Optimized control strategy for a medium-voltage DVR—Theoretical investigations and experimental results,'' *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 2746–2754, Nov. 2008.
- [7] F. B. Ajaei, S. Afsharnia, A. Kahrobaeian, and S. Farhangi, ''A fast and effective control scheme for the dynamic voltage restorer,'' *IEEE Trans. Power Del.*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 2398–2406, Oct. 2011.
- [8] T. Ghanbari, E. Farjah, and F. Naseri, ''Power quality improvement of radial feeders using an efficient method,'' *Electr. Power Syst. Res.*, vol. 163, pp. 140–153, Oct. 2018.
- [9] Z. Shuai, P. Yao, Z. J. Shen, C. Tu, F. Jiang, and Y. Cheng, ''Design considerations of a fault current limiting dynamic voltage restorer (FCL-DVR),'' *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 14–25, Jan. 2015.
- [10] P. Jayaprakash, B. Singh, D. P. Kothari, A. Chandra, and K. Al-Haddad, ''Control of reduced-rating dynamic voltage restorer with a battery energy storage system,'' *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 1295–1303, Mar. 2014.
- [11] J. Ye, B. Wang, U. Manandhar, A. Ukil, and H. B. Gooi, "Enumerated-MPC-based dynamic voltage restorer using LC filter with damping resistor,'' in *Proc. ACEPT*, 2017, pp. 1–5.
- [12] D. Somayajula and M. L. Crow, "An integrated dynamic voltage restorerultracapacitor design for improving power quality of the distribution grid,'' *IEEE Trans. Sustain. Energy*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 616–624, Apr. 2015.
- [13] Z. Zheng, X. Xiao, X. Chen, C. Huang, L. Zhao, and C. Li, "Performance evaluation of a MW-class SMES-BES DVR system for mitigation of voltage quality disturbances,'' *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 3090–3099, Jul./Aug. 2018.
- [14] C. N.-M. Ho, H. S. H. Chung, and K. T. K. Au, "Design and implementation of a fast dynamic control scheme for capacitor-supported dynamic voltage restorers,'' *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 237–251, Jan. 2008.
- [15] C. N. M. Ho and H. S. H. Chung, "Implementation and performance evaluation of a fast dynamic control scheme for capacitor-supported interline DVR,'' *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 25, no. 8, pp. 1975–1988, Aug. 2010.
- [16] P. Kanjiya, B. Singh, A. Chandra, and K. A. Haddad, ''SRF theory revisited to control self-supported dynamic voltage restorer for unbalanced and nonlinear loads,'' *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.*, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 2330–2340, Sep./Oct. 2013.
- [17] A. Kumar, N. S. Pal, and M. A. Ansari, ''Mitigation of voltage sag/swell and harmonics using self-supported DVR,'' in *Proc. Int. Conf. Power Electron., Intell. Control Energy Syst. (ICPEICES)*, 2016, pp. 1–5.
- [18] M. Pradhan and M. K. Mishra, "Dual P-Q theory based energy optimized dynamic voltage restorer for power quality improvement in distribution system,'' *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 66, no. 4, pp. 2946–2955, Apr. 2019.
- [19] M. Moradlou, M. Bigdeli, P. Siano, and M. Jamadi, "Minimization of interline dynamic voltage restorers rated apparent power in an industrial area consisting of two independent feeders considering daily load variations,'' *Electr. Power Syst. Res.*, vol. 149, pp. 65–75, Aug. 2017.
- [20] A. P. Torres, P. Roncero-Sánchez, and V. F. Batlle, ''A two degrees of freedom resonant control scheme for voltage-sag compensation in dynamic voltage restorers,'' *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 4852–4867, Jun. 2018.
- [21] F. Jiang, C. Tu, Q. Guo, Z. Shuai, X. He, and J. He, ''Dual-functional dynamic voltage restorer to limit fault current,'' *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 66, no. 7, pp. 5300–5309, Jul. 2019.
- [22] G. A. D. A. Carlos, E. C. D. Santos, C. B. Jacobina, and J. P. R. A. Mello, ''Dynamic voltage restorer based on three-phase inverters cascaded through an open-end winding transformer,'' *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 188–199, Jan. 2016.
- [23] P. Li, L. Xie, J. Han, S. Pang, and P. Li, "A new voltage compensation philosophy for dynamic voltage restorer to mitigate voltage sags using three-phase voltage ellipse parameters,'' *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 1154–1166, Feb. 2018.
- [24] E. Babaei, M. F. Kangarlu, and M. Sabahi, "Mitigation of voltage disturbances using dynamic voltage restorer based on direct converters,'' *IEEE Trans. Power Del.*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 2676–2683, Oct. 2010.
- [25] E. Babaei, M. F. Kangarlu, and M. Sabahi, "Compensation of voltage disturbances in distribution systems using single-phase dynamic voltage restorer,'' *Electr. Power Syst. Res.*, vol. 80, no. 12, pp. 1413–1420, Dec. 2010.
- [26] E. Babaei and M. F. Kangarlu, "Sensitive load voltage compensation against voltage sags/swells and harmonics in the grid voltage and limit downstream fault currents using DVR,'' *Electr. Power Syst. Res.*, vol. 83, no. 1, pp. 80–90, Feb. 2012.
- [27] J. D. Barros and J. F. Silva, "Multilevel optimal predictive dynamic voltage restorer,'' *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 57, no. 8, pp. 2747–2760, Aug. 2010.
- [28] A. M. Massoud, S. Ahmed, P. N. Enjeti, and B. W. Williams, ''Evaluation of a multilevel cascaded-type dynamic voltage restorer employing discontinuous space vector modulation,'' *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 57, no. 7, pp. 2398–2410, Jul. 2010.
- [29] H. K. Al-Hadidi, A. M. Gole, and D. A. Jacobson, "A novel configuration for a cascade inverter-based dynamic voltage restorer with reduced energy storage requirements,'' *IEEE Trans. Power Del.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 881–888, Apr. 2008.
- [30] A. Lange and B. Piepenbreier, "Space vector modulation for three-level simplified neutral point clamped (3L-SNPC) inverter," in *Proc. IEEE COMPE*, Jul. 2017, pp. 1–8.
- [31] A. Lange, J. Lautner, and B. Piepenbreier, "High efficiency three-level simplified neutral point clamped (3L-SNPC) inverter with GaN-Si hybrid structure," in *Proc. IECPEIMREEM*, 2018, pp. 1-7.
- [32] M. Siami, D. A. Khaburi, and J. Rodriguez, ''Simplified finite control set-model predictive control for matrix converter-fed PMSM drives,'' *IEEE Trans. Power. Electron.*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 2438–2446, Mar. 2018.
- [33] S. Vazquez, J. Rodriguez, M. Rivera, L. G. Franquelo, and M. Norambuena, ''Model predictive control for power converters and drives: Advances and trends,'' *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 64, no. 2, pp. 935–947, Feb. 2017.
- [34] A. Calle-Prado, S. Alepuz, J. Bordonau, P. Cortes, and J. Rodriguez, ''Predictive control of a back-to-back NPC converter-based wind power system,'' *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 63, no. 7, pp. 4615–4627, Jul. 2016.
- [35] P. Cortés, M. P. Kazmierkowski, R. M. Kennel, D. E. Quevedo, and J. Rodríguez, ''Predictive control in power electronics and drives,'' *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 55, no. 12, pp. 4312–4324, Dec. 2008.
- [36] J. Wang, F. Wang, G. Wang, S. Li, and L. Yu, "Generalized proportional integral observer-based robust finite control set predictive current control for induction motor systems with time-varying disturbances,'' *IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat.*, vol. 14, no. 9, pp. 4159–4168, Sep. 2018.
- [37] L. Wang, *Model Predictive Control System Design and Implementation Using MATLAB*, 1st ed. London, U.K.: Springer-Verlag, 2009.
- [38] H. T. Nguyen, J. Kim, and J.-W. Jung, "Improved model predictive control by robust prediction and stability-constrained finite states for three-phase inverters with an output LC filter,'' *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 12673–12685, 2019.
- [39] Y. Yang, H. Wen, and D. Li, ''A fast and fixed switching frequency model predictive control with delay compensation for three-phase inverters,'' *IEEE Access*, vol. 5, pp. 17904–17913, 2017.
- [40] K. Wang, Z. Zheng, and Y. Li, "A novel carrier-overlapped PWM method for four-level neutral-point clamped converters,'' *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 7–12, Jan. 2019.
- [41] O. Dordevic, M. Jones, and E. Levi, "A comparison of carrier-based and space vector PWM techniques for three-level five-phase voltage source inverters,'' *IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat.*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 609–619, May 2013.
- [42] J. Pou, J. Zaragoza, S. Ceballos, M. Saeedifard, and D. Boroyevich, ''A carrier-based PWM strategy with zero-sequence voltage injection for a three-level neutral-point-clamped converter,'' *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 642–651, Feb. 2012.



JIAN YE (S'15–M'18) received the B.Eng. degree in electrical engineering and automation from Wuhan University, Wuhan, China, in 2012, and the M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees in power engineering from the School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, in 2013 and 2018, respectively, where he was a Research Fellow with the Energy Research Institute, from 2018 to 2019. He is currently an Assistant Professor with the School of

Mechanical Engineering and Automation, Harbin Institute of Technology, Shenzhen, China. His research interests include power electronics for various applications, such as connecting renewable energy sources, energy devices to microgrids, and power quality compensation.



HOAY BENG GOOI (SM'95) received the B.S. degree from National Taiwan University, in 1978, the M.S. degree from the University of New Brunswick, in 1980, and the Ph.D. degree from The Ohio State University, in 1983, all in electrical engineering. From 1983 to 1985, he was an Assistant Professor with the Lafayette College, Easton. From 1985 to 1991, he was a Senior Engineer with Empros (now Siemens), Minneapolis, where he was responsible for the design and testing coordi-

nation of domestic and international energy management system projects. In 1991, he joined the School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, as a Senior Lecturer, where he has been an Associate Professor, since 1999. He was the Deputy Head of the Power Engineering Division, from 2008 to 2014. His current research interests include microgrid energy management systems, electricity markets, spinning reserve, energy efficiency, and renewable energy sources.



XINAN ZHANG (S'10–M'14) received the B.E. degree in electrical engineering and automation from Fudan University, China, in 2008, and the Ph.D. degree from Nanyang Technological University (NTU), Singapore, in 2014. He was a Postdoctoral Researcher with NTU and the University of New South Wales, from 2014 to 2017. He was a Lecturer with NTU, from June 2017 to September 2019. Since September 2019, he has been a Senior Lecturer with The University of

Western Australia. His research interests include electrical machine drives, control and modulation of power electronic converters, and management of hybrid energy storage systems.



**BENFEI WANG** (S'12–M'17) received the B.Sc. degree in electronic information science and technology from the University of Science and Technology of China, China, in 2011, and the Ph.D. degree from Nanyang Technological University (NTU), Singapore, in 2017. He was a Research Fellow with the Energy Research Institute, NTU, from 2017 to 2019. He joined Sun Yat-sen University, as an Associate Professor, in May 2019. His research interests include model predictive

control, multi-port dc–dc converter, hybrid energy storage systems, and microgrids.



JOSEP POU (S'97–M'03–SM'13–F'17) received the B.S., M.S., and Ph.D. degrees in electrical engineering from the Technical University of Catalonia (UPC), Barcelona, Spain, in 1989, 1996, and 2002, respectively. In 1990, he joined the Faculty of UPC, as an Assistant Professor, where he became an Associate Professor, in 1993. From February 2013 to August 2016, he was a Full Professor with the University of New South Wales (UNSW), Sydney, NSW, Australia. He is currently

a Full Professor with Nanyang Technological University (NTU), Singapore, where he is also the Program Director of Power Electronics with the Energy Research Institute, NTU (ERI@N), and the Co-Director of the Electrical Power Systems Integration Laboratory, NTU (EPSIL@N). His research interests include modulation and control of power converters, multilevel converters, renewable energy, energy storage, power quality, HVDC transmission systems, and more-electrical aircraft and vessels. He was a recipient of the 2018 IEEE Bimal Bose Award for Industrial Electronics Applications in Energy Systems. He is also the Co-Editor-in-Chief and an Associate Editor of the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON INDUSTRIAL ELECTRONICS and an Associate Editor of the IEEE JOURNAL OF EMERGING AND SELECTED TOPICS IN POWER ELECTRONICS.