

Received March 8, 2019, accepted March 20, 2019, date of publication March 27, 2019, date of current version April 12, 2019.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2907687

# Mapping Time-Varying Accessibility and Territorial Cohesion With Time-Distorted Maps

JOSÉ BALSA-BARREIRO<sup>1,2</sup>, LUKAS AMBUÜHL<sup>2</sup>,  
MÓNICA MENÉNDEZ<sup>3</sup>, AND ALEX PENTLAND<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>MIT Media Lab, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

<sup>2</sup>Institute for Transport Planning and Systems (IVT), ETH Zürich, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup>Division of Engineering, New York University Abu Dhabi, Abu Dhabi 129188, United Arab Emirates

Corresponding author: José Balsa-Barreiro (jobalbar@media.mit.edu; jose.balsa@ivt.baug.ethz.ch)

This work was supported in part by the Postdoctoral Fellowship from the Government of Galicia and by the ETH under Grant ETH-27 16-1.

**ABSTRACT** Human societies have radically changed from the second half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. Urban areas are increasingly concentrating more people and economic activities. Connections between market economies in different regions have increased exponentially the flow of people and goods at a global level. These movements are spatially organized through a hierarchical transportation network that connects different areas. The quality and coverage of such network vary greatly across regions. Territorial cohesion and accessibility within a region could be roughly evaluated through the existing level of connectivity between urban nodes. This can be easily done by estimating travel times between different points in the territory, which would show relevant differences based on both the territory itself and the existing infrastructure. Unfortunately, this information is not typically shown in traditional maps. In this paper, we propose a novel methodology for assessing the degree of territorial accessibility within and across urban networks, by using time-distorted maps. To this end, we consider multiple scenarios related to different public transport modes and times of the day. The study area corresponds to a Spanish region, where we set up a relatively extended network by considering its most relevant cities and towns. Final maps can clearly illustrate the deficiencies in transport infrastructure and/or connections from a spatial perspective. These maps can be excellent tools for supporting technicians, politicians, public managers, and other stakeholders in the decision-making process.

**INDEX TERMS** (Time varying) accessibility, geographic information systems, territorial cohesion, time distorted maps, transport geography, travel-time maps.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Global transport flows have rapidly increased in the last halfcentury, mostly due to the increased levels of urbanization and the democratization of private cars, among other factors. As a result, there is a real need for new strategies to address the challenges created by the exponential increase in mobility rates.

One of the main policy objectives of governmental authorities is the *sustainable development* of their regions. It not only refers to the balanced exploitation of resources but also to the well-adjusted progress across the different areas within a territory. In addition, this concept inherently includes principles such as *spatial convergence* and *cohesion*, both

from a social and an economic perspective. For this reason, the development of any region must consider the evolution of the lowest administrative units, in addition to enhancing the relationship between them. Unfortunately, the perverse effects generated by developing societies can break down cohesion and increase the segregation, especially in the context of an economic crisis [1]. To address this, governmental authorities are proposing policies and initiatives to avoid, or at least reduce, the current social and territorial inequalities.

The process for the establishment of the *European Union* (EU) has been, for example, one of the most ambitious programs in the last decades on territorial cohesion [2]. Since its beginning, countries with vastly differing levels of wealth were merged in a single European market. To do so, EU authorities implemented different policies, initiatives, and mechanisms such as the “European Territorial Strategy” [3],

The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and approving it for publication was Rashid Mehmood.

and the successive “European Territorial Agenda(s)” [4], [5]. All of them considered the *territorial dimension* as one of the most relevant factors for their social policies [6].

Given that transportation infrastructure is the backbone of any territory, its spatial layout, design, development, and management can contribute to the spatial distribution of human settlements and the economic activities between them. Therefore, transportation infrastructure should not be designed and configured based exclusively on supply and demand factors. In the case of the EU, for example, Ramos Pérez [7] argues that its development should be part of a social and institutional project for reinforcing the economic and social cohesion between the different regions. In fact, the *Trans-European Transport Network* (TEN-T) was originally designed as a mechanism for reducing territorial imbalances between different regions [8]–[10].

There is a widespread perception that transportation infrastructure generates wealth [11], [12]. Experts argue that transportation infrastructure can increase the levels of productivity and competitiveness by enabling mobility and reducing transport costs, i.e. more accessibility is normally linked to higher levels of economic development [13]. In the short term, building new infrastructure can generate new jobs, while in the medium to long term this infrastructure can increase the levels of accessibility, competitiveness, and employment within a region [14], while further increasing the demand.

In particular, there is some literature assessing the regional impact of transportation infrastructure by relating *accessibility* and *territorial cohesion* [15], [16]. The degree of accessibility is a relevant parameter for determining equality in the access opportunities to the labor market according to where people live and/or work. In general, the *accessibility* concept refers to the ability to reach desired goods, services, activities and destinations, which is the ultimate goal of most transportation systems [17]. However, the *actual accessibility* is relatively difficult to measure, as it depends on multiple factors related to time, money, discomfort and risk, among others [18]. Thus, many studies use *activity-based travel models* and *integrated transportation/land use models* to estimate accessibility parameters and link them to transportation infrastructure [19]. Nevertheless, some researchers argue that the term has been rarely translated into performance measures by which policies must be evaluated [20]. More recent studies have tried to develop some quantitative indicators for measuring existing levels of accessibility [21], [22] focusing on extensive areas, such as whole countries or even the whole EU [23]–[26].

Within the EU context specifically, some studies argue that the TEN-T has mainly favored the development in central regions, whereas it tends to perpetuate imbalances in peripheral regions [27], [13]. Fürst et al. [28] consider that investing on transportation infrastructure is not enough for overcoming the negative socio-economic dynamics that exist in some regions. Other studies argue that most of the EU transport policies are focused on spatial patterns that mainly connect

the territory from center to periphery, while the connectivity between areas located in the periphery remains extremely low. In addition, the design of the TEN-T is mostly based on connections between urban nodes with high capacity corridors, neglecting the role of minor networks, and generating significant empty spaces in between [7].

This article proposes a new methodology for estimating the degree of territorial cohesion by evaluating the physical accessibility to different areas within a region. To this end, we analyze the minimum travel time between a relatively dense and spatially well-distributed *network*, which includes the most representative urban nodes within a region.


We will focus on a concrete case study of the public transport system at three different times of day (i.e. *time-varying accessibility*). In addition, and in contrast to previous studies that focus on very large regions, the spatial scale of the analysis is much more compact, allowing us to include a higher level of detail. We then present the results using *time distorted maps*, in which distances between nodes represent the actual travel times between them. In addition, levels of distortion of these maps are quantified, showing the magnitude of the inefficiencies across areas and time of day. This article is structured as follows. Section II introduces the study area, while the methodology is explained in section III. Results are shown in section IV. Analysis and discussion of results are compiled in section V. Section VI summarizes the most relevant insights.

## II. STUDY AREA


The case study focuses on the region of Galicia, located in the northwest of Spain (Figure 1). This region covers an area of 29,574 km<sup>2</sup> and has 2,732,347 inhabitants [29]. Both, its surface and population represent about 5.8% of the total surface and population of Spain. Thus, its population density is similar to the national average, with around 92 inhabitants per km<sup>2</sup>.

This general data hides large internal disparities. For example, the spatial pattern of human settlements in Galicia is characterized by high levels of dispersion and fragmentation [30]. In fact, there are 27,312 human settlements in the region, more than half of the Spanish total number of settlements [31].

The population spatial distribution stems from a polycentric system of seven main cities: Ferrol, A Coruña, Santiago, Pontevedra, Vigo, Lugo, and Ourense. Five of these cities are located on the *Atlantic Corridor*, which concentrates most of the economic and socio-demographic activities (Figures 2.a and 3.b). A Coruña and Vigo are the most populated cities, each with about 250,000 inhabitants. The population of the other main cities is between 75,000 and 100,000 inhabitants. Since the last quarter of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, a significant number of settlements surrounding these main cities have increased their population substantially. The rest of the region is organized based on a group of *head towns*, which behave as small cities that concentrate most of the economic activities of their respective areas. From a quantitative perspective,



**FIGURE 1.** (a) Location of Galician region within Europe. (b; c) Its administrative structure comprises four provinces (A Coruña –CO–, Lugo –LU–, Ourense –OU– and Pontevedra –PO–) and 53 counties. (c) Mapping of these counties within the region and representation based on treemaps. These show the county areas by means of rectangular polygons corresponding to their size. Color legend is the same for both maps shown in (c).



**FIGURE 2.** (a) Population density in Galicia. (b) Most important road and railway infrastructure overlaid on the topography.

the spatial pattern of population distribution is characterized by the quasi-generalized absence of urban nodes with population levels in the interval between 25,000 and 75,000 inhabitants.

Low population densities normally require high investments *per capita* for the development of a proper transportation infrastructure (Figure 2.b). In addition, there exist

other constraints such as the hilly orography in the eastern-southeastern areas of the region, besides the fact that Galicia is in the periphery of Spain. Therefore, to reach an optimal level of regional development and to avoid social exclusion dynamics in some areas, extra efforts and investments must be made to ensure adequate levels of territorial accessibility across the whole region [32].

### III. METHODOLOGY

Our proposed methodology consist of five different steps geared towards the creation and design of a network, which is ultimately used to spatially deform the whole region based on travel times. The methodology is explained in detail below.

#### A. CREATION OF THE INITIAL NETWORK

A number of well distributed nodes across the whole region is required for setting up the initial network. To this end, we analyze the distribution of the most populated (and relevant) cities/towns by considering all the administrative and political sub-divisions within the region. Ultimately, we need to reach the best compromise between an optimal density and a well-spread distribution of nodes within this region. As [1] proposed, we select the *counties* as the best territorial unit for the study goals proposed here. In fact, and despite not having currently any significant political or administrative competences, this territorial entity is relevant because it is based on the aggregation of municipalities that show similarities both from a physical and socio-economic perspective.


The region of Galicia includes 53 counties that are distributed in four provinces (Figure 1.c). For each county, we select the most populated node. We then implement a network based on these nodes, taking into account the spatial distribution of population within the region.

Next, one of the nodes is arbitrarily assigned as the *reference* or *central node*. This node will act as a traffic source, while the other nodes will act as the destinations. In this study, the city of *Santiago de Compostela* is assigned the central node because of two main reasons: (a) its central location in relation to the other nodes, and (b) for being the political capital of this region.

The implemented network can connect each destination node to the source (central node) by means of direct links. This generates a theoretical network with 53 nodes and 52 links, shown in Figure 3.a. In practice, however, the actual traffic flows are hierarchically organized within the region depending on the relevance of the nodes, with the most populated nodes often acting as intermediate destinations or transit nodes towards to smaller ones.

#### B. ESTIMATION OF TRAVEL TIMES AND DISTORTED DISTANCES BETWEEN NODES

To complete an *Origin-Destination vector*, we have to estimate travel times from the origin (central node) to the different destinations (rest of nodes). To do so, we used some API tools for retrieving travel data from web-based applications [33], [34]. Additionally, we double-checked the travel



**FIGURE 3.** (a) Point distribution and theoretical network. (b) Circle size refers to the total population in each node [34].

times associated with different transportation alternatives for specific destinations with the official timetables published by the public transport companies. If more than one route per origin-destination pair was available, the one with the lowest time was selected.

Travel times were only estimated for public transport systems, including buses and/or railways at three different times of day<sup>1</sup>: (a) 08:00 am, (b) 04:00 pm, (c) 00:00 am. Depending on whether transfer and/or waiting times were considered, travel times showed significant differences. Thus, we decided to establish two scenarios: (a) the *actual*, and (b) the *effective*. In the *actual scenario* (henceforth AS) we refer to the total time that it is required for displacing between two nodes. In the *effective scenario* (henceforth ES) we only consider the time that the user is properly moving, while transfer times are excluded.


To estimate the travel speed between the central node and each destination node  $i$  ( $V_i$ ), we use the actual travel time and the Euclidean distance between both nodes. We then assume the same reference speed ( $V_{ref}$ ) for all the links, so most of the nodes get displaced from their current location. This displacement is realized along the vector ( $OD_i$ ) that links each node ( $D_i$ ) with the fixed, central one ( $O$ ). Depending on whether the estimated speed value is slower or faster than the reference speed, the destination node is shifted away from or towards to the central node, respectively. Thus, in the case  $V_i > V_{ref}$ , the node  $D_i$  tends to be closer to the central node  $O$ , whereas if  $V_i < V_{ref}$  the node  $D_i$  will move away, creating a distorted network. In this study, we assume an arbitrary reference speed for each scenario: 25 and 50 km/h for AS and ES, respectively.

**C. COMPUTATION OF DISTORTION RATIOS**

After that, we estimate a parameter based on the speed value and Euclidean distance for each node. This parameter, which is called *distortion rate* ( $R_i$ ), represents how much a link is distorted with respect to the distance traveled:

$$R_i = \Delta d_i / d_i \tag{1}$$

<sup>1</sup>Travel times were estimated for the same day: Tuesday, July 07<sup>th</sup> 2018 (working day).



**FIGURE 4.** Parameters for the estimation of distortion rate ( $R_i$ ) based on the Euclidean distance ( $d_i$ ) and the distortion of this distance ( $\pm \Delta d_i$ ). Final node location will be closer/farther to/from the central node depending on the relation between  $V_i$  and  $V_{ref}$ .

where  $\Delta d_i$  is the difference with regard to the original length of the link ( $d_i$ ). Note that  $R_i$  can be positive or negative depending on the speed value ( $V_i$ ). Thus,  $R_i$  is positive if  $V_i < V_{ref}$  and is negative when  $V_i > V_{ref}$ . Notice that in all cases  $R_i$  is a function on  $d_i$  (Figure 4).


**D. INTERPOLATION TO THE WHOLE REGION**

Values from the individual nodes can be interpolated to the whole region with two different procedures. The first one is based on a planimetric deformation of the polygon that represents the whole region. For this, we use the software *Darcy 2.2* in addition to GIS software tools. *Darcy* allows the distortion of (polygonal) geometries based on input points by using different transformation models [35]. Our model includes an adjustment prior to the interpolation, which uses the *Euclidean bidimensional regression* [36]. The main equation for this transformation model is given by

$$(X^T Y^T) = \alpha + \beta \cdot (AB) \tag{2}$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are the independent coordinates, while  $X$  and  $Y$  are the dependent ones. This model is based on a *least squares adjustment*, which uses input parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . These parameters describe variations on factors of translation and scale between the original system and the final solution. These adjustments can warp the territory by applying stretching and/or shrinkage modifications in some areas depending on the location of the input points. Results show distorted polygon geometries, which can be downloaded in a vectorial format.

The second procedure is based on the deformation of  $Z$ -values by applying an interpolation algorithm. This allows to estimate values for the whole region by adding node values and distances in between. For this, we apply a method based on the algorithm *Inverse Distance Weighting* (IDW) by using GIS tools. Input parameters for this algorithm are the values and size of cells within a raster model, in addition to the number of nodes that are used for computing those values. In our case, we assign a cell size of 100 meters. This cell size is sufficiently small for achieving high spatial resolution



**FIGURE 5.** Most efficient connections for traveling from the central node to the other ones at three different time periods: 08:00 am, 04:00 pm, and 00:00 am. The color of the links represents the most efficient transport modes for each route.

within our study area, yet large enough to keep the problem computationally efficient. The number of nodes used for the interpolation algorithm is four. This number allows us to consider the influence of the nearest neighboring nodes located in any direction. In addition, since the method used is based on the IDW algorithm, the influence of these nodes largely depends on the distance between them. Results are raster maps where a discrete value is attached to each cell.

**E. QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS**

Here we can evaluate how speed values relate to factors such as physical distance and population in each time period and/or scenario. In addition, the distortion effect is quantified by means of *treemaps*. These charts allow us to compare distribution patterns for different scales.

In short, the methodology proposed here is more exhaustive than the ones shown in Balsa-Barreiro et al. [37]–[39], incorporating among other things an extended network, which is better distributed across the region, allowing us to study the time-varying accessibility and perform an exhaustive quantitative analysis of the current accessibility in this region.

**IV. RESULTS**

After collecting all the data, for each link we select the best connections and transportation alternatives in terms of travel time. These connections are traced in maps, showing different route configurations for each time period. We can observe in Figure 5 a polycentric system where nodes are organized on different levels depending on the number of connections with other nodes. Links between nodes tend to be organized also hierarchically, originating from the most important urban nodes. Notice that these networks are different from the

theoretical one shown in Figure 3.a. The color of the links represents the most efficient transport modes for each route at each time period.

Times required for traveling between nodes are shown in Figure 6. The size of each node<sup>2</sup> represents the actual travel time (scenario AS) required to reach each node.


We now explore if there is any correlation between distance traveled and average speed. Figure 7 shows for different scenarios and time periods the level of correlation between Euclidean distances (X-axis) and travel times (Y-axis). The node size represents the total population while the node color refers to each province.<sup>3</sup> As we can observe, no correlation is apparent between distance traveled and average speed for any scenario, except for AS at 00:00 am. In this case, we observe that the most distant points tend to show higher average speeds.

Most populated cities, represented by the largest circles, are all located between 50 and 75 km apart from the central node. These cities tend to show the best connectivity across most scenarios and time periods, with it being more clearly visible for the ES scenario.


The gray colored area in the background shows the reference speed for each scenario, i.e. 25 km/h in AS and 50 km/h in ES. We can see how a large number of nodes have speeds lower than the reference values. In particular, we can see that all the connections from 0:00 h (AS), without exception, have lower speeds than the reference value. Also, we can observe that the speeds for most cities during the 04:00 pm and 00:00 am time periods are hardly higher than the threshold

<sup>2</sup>The size scale is the same for 08:00 am and 04:00 pm, but not for 00:00 am.

<sup>3</sup>The color for each province in this chart and in following ones is the same as that initially shown in Figure 1.b.



**FIGURE 6.** Time required for traveling from the central node to the other ones at different time periods. The circle size is related to the total travel time that is required (AS). The yellow color shows the effective travel time (ES), and red color shows the transfer time required between transport modes when appropriate.



**FIGURE 7.** Correlation between distances and speed values for each scenario at different time periods.

(considering the ES scenario). This could partly be related to the choice of threshold values.

Figure 8 shows the degree of correlation between speed values for each scenario and time period. Here, the X-axis represents speed values for ES, while the Y-axis represents speed values for AS. The units of both axes are normalized for comparability. Trend lines show the degree of correlation by provinces, which are plotted in different colors. If no transfers were necessary, each node would be located on the diagonal of this chart. However, lines below and over the diagonal are apparent. At 08:00 am, we see a cluster

with the main cities, showing relatively high-speed values in both scenarios. For the other two time periods (04:00 pm and 00:00 am), this pattern is not so clear. At 0:00 am, we observe that all the nodes are highly concentrated, showing poor ratios between effective and actual speed values due to the absence of transport connections during the night hours.

Travel times are estimated for the whole region by interpolating node values in a raster map. These maps are shown in Figure 9 for each scenario and time period. The central nodes are represented by a black dot. Given this methodology, we can define areas that are closer or further away from




FIGURE 8. Ratio between speed values in both scenarios (AT and ET) at different time periods.




FIGURE 9. (AS and ES) for traveling to the whole region at different time periods. Isochrones are labelled in minutes.

the central node. In the first scenario (AS), orange tones represent areas farther away, whereas blue tones indicate areas that are closer to the central node. In the second scenario (ES), brown tones represent areas farther away, whereas green tones represent the nearest ones. In addition, the most representative *isochrones*, which define areas with similar travel times, are drawn and superimposed onto all the maps.

The proposed methodology allows us to obtain a more exhaustive perspective on the accessibility within a region. For this, distortion values ( $R_i$ ) for each node are estimated for both scenarios. Note that these distortion values depend not only on the average speed (Figure 8) but also on the length of each link ( $d_i$ ). Figure 10 shows how the respective distortion rates ( $R_i$ ) for each node  $i$  in both scenarios are correlated. X-axis shows  $R_i$  for the ES scenario, whereas




FIGURE 10. Correlation between distortion rates( $R_i$ ) for both scenarios at different time periods.

Y-axis does the same for the AS scenario. The grey shaded area encompasses nodes with negative distortion values, i.e. nodes that are moving closer to the central node.

These charts show different patterns. At 08:00 am, most of the nodes (47 of 53) are located in the grey shaded area, although only half of them (25) are in the grey shaded area overlapping both scenarios. However, this number is significantly lower for the other time periods: 13 nodes at 04:00 pm and no node at 00:00 am. Note that most of the nodes at 04:00 pm (8 of 13) are located in the province of Ourense. As a matter of fact, the most populated nodes tend to show lower distortion rates for each scenario. In addition, a linear correlation between distortion rates for both scenarios is apparent. Notice, however, that there are outliers in all the time periods.

If the distortion values ( $R_i$ ) are interpolated to the whole region, we can estimate intermediate values for each cell. For this, we apply the same methodology that we use for the case of the travel times (Figure 9). The color legend shown in the next figures goes from green to red tones, referring to negative and positive values, respectively. This means that green tones correspond to a shrinkage effect within the region ( $R_i < 0$ ), whereas red tones correspond to a stretching effect of the surrounding areas ( $R_i > 0$ ). The value scale goes from -1 until  $\geq 2$  and it is distributed in seven intervals. Resulting maps for different scenarios and time periods are shown in Figure 11.

These maps show different patterns of distortion in the region and there exists a clear variability over time. Moreover, it is noticeable that red or green colors are quite dominant in some areas, showing the two extremes.

Distortion effects can be quantified by using discrete values. For this, the distortion value for each cell is extracted and classified according to the same seven intervals we defined before, between -1 and  $\geq 2$ . Each cell covers a regular area of 0.1 km<sup>2</sup> (raster format). The sum of the cell values within each county allows us to classify and quantify the current distortion effect in each county. The internal fragmentation

in Figures 12 and 13 split the counties within each province according to such classification. Both figures show the level of distortion for each scenario for the different time periods. On the left side, it is shown how fragmented each county<sup>4</sup> is, depending on the different intervals of distortion. On the right side, these fragmented pieces are colored based on the magnitude of the distortion. The color legend is the same as we used in previous maps (Figure 11).


Figure 12 represents the AS scenario. Treemaps on the right side show significant differences in color if we compare the two first time periods with the last one. At 08:00 am, we observe that the most intense red tones are located in the province of A Coruña, while at 04:00 pm these ones are present in all provinces, although less clearly in Pontevedra. At 00:00 am there is a clear predominance of red tones in the whole region, although they appear to be less intense in the province of Ourense.

Figure 13 depicts the same analysis for the ES scenario. Treemaps on the right side clearly show how most of the counties are colored in green at 08:00 am. More similarities between provinces are shown in the other time periods, not only with a range of colors but also with the internal fragmentation of the counties. In some cases, the fragmentation is higher, but this can be explained by the more significant differences in travel times between nearby nodes. In general, we can state that the provinces of Lugo and A Coruña show the worst connections across time periods, which is confirmed by the stronger presence of yellow tones at 08:00 am, and red tones at both 04:00 pm and 00:00 am.


Both figures (12 and 13) show different patterns, depending on both space and time, which demonstrates the complexity of the distortion process.

The final step is to map the distortion effect by means of the so-called *distance cartograms* or *time-distorted maps*. These

<sup>4</sup>The original tree map of counties (Figure 1.c) may be used as reference. In total, this region counts 53 counties, which are shown in different colors.



**FIGURE 11.** Distortion rate of the whole region with respect to the central node at different time periods. Results for both scenarios are represented. Contour lines represent distortion rates, whose time value (in minutes) is shown in labels.



**FIGURE 12.** Distorted rate at different time periods for AS: (left) Area of each county and province. (Left and right) County divisions show the internal fragmentation due to different distortion rates. Each color on the left represents one county. (Right) Level of distortion in each county and province.

maps apply an anamorphic effect to the traditional ones, which is related to travel times between nodes. The degree of distortion in each area of the map allows us to know the

current time-varying accessibility within this or any region, starting from the center node. Final time-distorted maps for both scenarios are shown in Figure 14.




FIGURE 13. Distorted rate at different time periods for ES: (left) Area of each county and province. (Left and right) County divisions show the internal fragmentation due to different distortion rates. Each color on the left represents one county. (Right) Level of distortion in each county and province.




FIGURE 14. Time-distorted maps at different time periods. In the ET scenario, black vectors indicate shrinkage effect, while red ones indicate stretching effect.

### V. ANALYSIS OF RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Differences between *time distorted maps* and *traditional maps* allow us to observe the existing levels of accessibility within any region. Resulting maps show how the inner areas of the province of Lugo and the southeastern Ourense, in addition to the northern/northwestern coast, have the

poorest levels of accessibility. These areas cover a much larger area in Figure 14 than in reality (see Figure 1b).

The northwestern coastal area is poorly accessible, despite its closeness to some of the most populated cities. This is because its public transport connections have very low frequencies. In the northern and eastern areas, most of

the nodes are not directly connected to each other, and the transfer times between different transport modes can vary substantially. The highest transfer times and worst connections are found in the east of the region and these can be explained by a sub-optimal schedule, limited supply of transport services, and time delays caused by limited *intermodality* options, driven by poor connectivity between different transport systems.

By contrast, areas with the highest levels of accessibility are represented by means of a shrinkage effect (or less stretching than other areas). These areas coincide with the two main dynamic axes that shape this region: (a) the internal one, so-called the *Atlantic Corridor*, and (b) the external one, known as the *Spanish radial* connection. This last one links the Galician region with Madrid, the political capital of Spain. Both axes have high-speed trains, although the connection with Madrid is not totally finished yet.

From the perspective of the whole region, most of the maps show how the governmental authorities have prioritized radial connections with Madrid. This can be observed in the stretched area represented by the *Santiago-Ourense axis*, especially when compared to other ones located in the western, central, and northern areas. In those areas, railway infrastructure does not yet exist or is obsolete, e.g. the narrow-gauge railway, so-called *FEVE*, which runs along the North Corridor.

It can also be observed that topography does not substantially predetermine the layout of the transportation infrastructure, but it restricts the current degree of accessibility. In fact, the resulting maps obtained for the ES scenario at different time periods clearly show how the eastern area, where the topography is hilly, is significantly distorted.

Time distorted maps show how the relationship between territorial accessibility and economic development within a region is not a trivial matter. Given any increase in infrastructure spending, we would expect that the differences between the spatial and temporal maps disappear over time, i.e. the shape of the *time distorted maps* should become similar to the traditional maps. However, this does not happen so often. In fact, many times a *downward spiral* can be observed in some areas, where the levels of competitiveness and territorial accessibility are continuously decreasing. This results in further investment cuts for road infrastructure and transport services. Thus, these areas tend to be less connected and accessible, which, in turn, leads to regressive demographic and economic dynamics. Indeed, investments in road infrastructure should not only follow a demand-based approach, but should also promote the development of the most depressed areas. The inner area of the province of Lugo and the so-called *A Costa da Morte*, in the western coast of A Coruña, are clear examples thereof. A Coruña suffers from a traditional isolation process, despite its proximity to the *Atlantic Corridor*. In fact, this area has lost around 16.6% of its inhabitants since 1960, while the total population in its province has increased by 10.7% [40,41]. As a result, its relative demographic weight

with regard to its province has dropped more than 7% from the year 1920 [42].

Note that the *time distorted maps* shown in Figure 14 remain recognizable [43], [44], despite some topological inconsistencies. Our results are based on a relatively dense network, which is well distributed across this region, despite the relevant differences in the population across nodes. In fact, 11 urban nodes with less than 2,500 inhabitants were considered and compared with nodes that were hundred times more populated. Undoubtedly, this can explain some existing differences between levels of service both from a quantitative and a qualitative perspective. Future studies should consider alternative setups for analyzing and comparing how distortion effects relate to population and economic activity.

Furthermore, we should notice that some computational procedures could influence the results, e.g. the used interpolation algorithm and/or the presence of some extreme values (and/or outliers). For example, the AS scenario at 04:00 pm (Figure 14) shows a huge distortion effect in southeastern Galicia. This is attributed to the 20.5 hours required for arriving at a node located in the southeast: *Viana do Bolo*. In addition, we should note that the results obviously depend on the choice of the *central node*. Future studies should consider the differences of the distortion effect as a function of the central node, in addition to the use of actual distances instead of Euclidean ones.

All in all, these final maps are excellent tools for assessing the current degree of accessibility within a region. This methodology offers additional value in comparison to the traditional maps because it represents the time-varying accessibility by considering some additional factors such as different transport modes and time periods.

The spatial analysis shown is adapted to an intraregional scale. However, our methodology is transferable to other mapping scales, such as urban areas, where the main issues are usually related to congestion, and management of traffic flows, among others [45], [46].

This methodology shows a huge potential for adopting policies and/or strategic decisions by professionals whose field of knowledge is related to territorial planning, such as urban planners, transport planners, architects, geographers, etc.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

This article proposes to use *time-distorted maps* for assessing the time-varying accessibility and the resulting territorial cohesiveness. Resulting maps allow us to visualize, in a clear way, the existing deficiencies in transport infrastructure and/or connecting services. In addition, results can be classified and quantified by using very intuitive charts.

Furthermore, the proposed method allows to simplify the analysis and interpretation of data and facilitates the interaction with multidisciplinary working teams. Thus, these maps can be used to aid decision makers in topics related to regional planning and transportation.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the *Instituto de Estudios do Território* (IET) for providing us most of the data used here.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. F. P. López and B. P. Mateos, "La Medición de la Cohesión Territorial a Escala Regional. Propuesta Metodológica y Aplicación a Andalucía," *Boletín Asociación Geógrafos Españoles*, vol. 68, pp. 31–55, May 2015.
- [2] *Green Paper on Territorial Cohesion. Turning Territorial Diversity into Strength*, Commission Eur. Communities, Brussels, Belgium, 2008.
- [3] *European Spatial Development Perspective: Towards Balanced and Sustainable Development of the Territory of the EU*, Commission Eur. Communities, Luxembourg City, Luxembourg, 1999.
- [4] *Territorial Agenda of the European Union. Towards a More Competitive and Sustainable Europe of Diverse Regions*, Informal Ministerial Meeting on Urban Development and Territorial Cohesion, TA-EU, Leipzig, Germany, May 2007.
- [5] *Territorial Agenda of the European Union 2020. Towards an Inclusive, Smart and Sustainable Europe of Diverse Regions*, Informal Ministerial Meeting of Ministers Responsible for Spatial Planning and Territorial Development, TA-EU, Gödöllő, Hungary, May 2011.
- [6] A. Faludi, "Making sense of the territorial agenda of the European union," *Eur. J. Spatial Develop.*, no. 25, 2007. [Online]. Available: <https://repository.tudelft.nl/islandora/object/uuid:41b33e80-0ed2-49d1-bc66-9a4c95eb4be1?collection=research>
- [7] D. R. Pérez, "La red transeuropea de transporte y el (des) equilibrio territorial de Europa. Enseñanzas de cara a la ampliación," *Polígonos. Revista de Geografía*, vol. 13, pp. 81–107, Apr. 2003.
- [8] T. Richardson, "The trans-European transport network: Environmental policy integration in the European union," *Eur. Urban Regional Stud.*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 333–346, 1997.
- [9] *Towards Trans-European Networks for a Community Action Programme*, Communication from the Commission to the Council and the European Parliament, Commission Eur. Communities, Luxembourg City, Luxembourg, 1990.
- [10] *Trans-European Transport Network. TEN-T Priority Axes and Projects 2005*, Commission Eur. Communities, Luxembourg City, Luxembourg, 2005.
- [11] A. S. Pentland, *Social Physics: How Social Networks Can Make Us Smarter*. New York, NY, USA: Penguin Books, 2014.
- [12] J.-M. Offner, "Les «effets structurants» du transport: Mythe politique, mystification scientifique," *L'Espace Géograph.*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 233–242, 1993.
- [13] R. Vickerman, K. Spiekermann, and M. Wegener, "Accessibility and economic development in Europe," *Regional Stud.*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 1999.
- [14] H. Sichelschmidt, "The EU programme 'trans-European networks'—A critical assessment," *Transp. Policy*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 169–181, 1999.
- [15] M. Stepniak and P. Rosik, "Accessibility improvement, territorial cohesion and spillovers: A multidimensional evaluation of two motorway sections in Poland," *J. Transp. Geogr.*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 154–163, 2013.
- [16] G. Hamez, "Territorial cohesion: How to operationalize and measure the concept?" *Planning Theory Pract.*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 400–402, 2005.
- [17] T. Litman, "Measuring transportation: Traffic, mobility, and accessibility," *ITE J.*, vol. 73, no. 10, pp. 28–32, 2003.
- [18] M. A. Niedzielski and E. E. Boschmann, "Travel time and distance as relative accessibility in the journey to work," *Ann. Assoc. Amer. Geogr.*, vol. 104, no. 6, pp. 1156–1182, 2014.
- [19] D. Ettema and H. Timmermans, "Space-time accessibility under conditions of uncertain travel times: Theory and numerical simulations," *Geograph. Anal.*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 217–240, 2007.
- [20] S. L. Handy and D. A. Niemeier, "Measuring accessibility: An exploration of issues and alternatives," *Environ. Planning A, Economy Space*, vol. 29, no. 7, pp. 1175–1194, 1997.
- [21] A. Páez, D. M. Scott, and C. Morency, "Measuring accessibility: Positive and normative implementations of various accessibility indicators," *J. Transp. Geogr.*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 141–153, 2012.
- [22] C. Curtis and J. Scheurer, "Planning for sustainable accessibility: Developing tools to aid discussion and decision-making," *Prog. Planning*, vol. 74, no. 2, pp. 53–106, 2010.
- [23] M. Kompil, H. Demirel, and P. Christidis, "Accessibility and territorial cohesion: Ex post analysis of cohesion fund infrastructure projects," in *Accessibility, Equity and Efficiency*. Cheltenham, U.K.: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016, ch. 11, pp. 210–242.
- [24] C. Jacobs-Crisioni, F. B. e Silva, C. Lavalle, C. Baranzelli, A. Barbosa, and C. P. Castillo, "Accessibility and territorial cohesion in a case of transport infrastructure improvements with changing population distributions," *Eur. Transp. Res. Rev.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1–16, 2016.
- [25] P. Christidis and J. Ibanez Rivas, "Accessibility analysis and transport planning," in *European Transport Policy: Methodology to Assess Impact on Accessibility (Cap. VI)*, K. Geurs, K. J. Krizek, A. Reggiani, Eds. Cheltenham, U.K.: Edward Elgar, 2012, pp. 280–294.
- [26] J. Rich et al., "Report on scenario, traffic forecast and analysis of traffic on the TEN-T, taking into consideration the external dimension of the union, transtools version 2; model and data improvement," DG TREN, Copenhagen, Denmark, 2009.
- [27] A. R. Pose and G. Petrakos, "Integración Económica y Desequilibrios Territoriales en la UE," *Eure*, vol. 89, pp. 63–80, 2004.
- [28] F. Fürst, C. Schürmann, K. Spiekermann, and M. Wegener, "The SASI (Socio-economic and spatial impacts of transport infrastructure investments and transport system improvements) model: Demonstration examples," Inst. Raumplanung, Techn. Univ. Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany, 2000.
- [29] (2015). *Instituto Nacional de Estadística (INE) Website*. Accessed: Jul. 30, 2018. [Online]. Available: <http://www.ine.es>
- [30] J. Balsa-Barreiro, "Evolución del Urbanismo y de la Disposición Territorial del Poblamiento en un Municipio Coruñés de Interior: Caso de Santa Comba (Galicia, España)," *Revista de Urbanismo*, vol. 24, pp. 76–118, 2011.
- [31] (2014). *Instituto Galego de Estatística (IGE) Website*. Accessed: Jul. 30, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://www.ige.gal>
- [32] A. Monzón, "El transporte en España (Cap. V)," in *Fundación Encuentro (Ed.) Informe España 2012. Una Interpretación de su Realidad Social, Madrid, Spain: CECS-Fundación Encuentro*, 2012, pp. 293–350.
- [33] (2018). *Google Maps Website*. Accessed: Jul. 30, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://www.google.ch/maps>
- [34] (2018). *Rome2rio Website*. Accessed: Jul. 30, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://www.rome2rio.com>
- [35] G. Vuidel and C. Cauvin, "Logiciel de comparaison spatiale, Darcy 2.0," CRNS, ERG S4, Paris, France, 2009.
- [36] W. R. Tobler, "Bidimensional regression: A complete program," *Geograph. Anal.*, vol. 3, no. 26, pp. 187–212, 1994.
- [37] J. Balsa-Barreiro, L. Ambühl, M. Menéndez, and R. Lois-González, "Assessment of time-varying accessibility and territorial cohesion using time distorted maps: A case study based of public transport systems in the region of Galicia, Spain," in *Proc. Transp. Res. Board 97th Annu. Meeting*, Washington, DC, USA, Jan. 2018.
- [38] J. Balsa-Barreiro, L. Ambühl, M. Menéndez, and R. Lois-González, "Representation of the degree of territorial cohesion by using travel-time maps from transport infrastructure networks," in *Proc. 17th Swiss Transp. Res. Conf.*, Cham, Switzerland, May 2017.
- [39] J. Balsa-Barreiro, L. Ambühl, M. Menéndez, and R. Lois-González, "Propuesta de representación del territorio empleando travel-time maps: Aplicación al caso suizo," in *Proc. Congreso de la AGE (Naturaleza, Territorio y Ciudad en un Mundo Global)*, Madrid, Spain, Oct. 2017.
- [40] J. Balsa-Barreiro and S. Landsperger, "Declive Demográfico y Cambio del Modelo de Poblamiento en un Sector de Costa del NW Español: a Costa da Morte (A Coruña, Galicia) y su Evolución desde 1960," *Boletim da Sociedade de Geografia de Lisboa*, vol. 130, nos. 1–12, pp. 105–128, 2012.
- [41] J. Balsa-Barreiro and S. Landsperger, "Pérdida de capital humano y desarrollo insostenible: Un círculo vicioso. El caso de A Costa da Morte (Galicia, España) desde mediados del siglo XX," *Cuaderno Interdisciplinar de Desarrollo Sostenible*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 55–84, 2013.
- [42] J. Balsa-Barreiro, "Insostenibilidad de modelos territoriales desde un punto de vista demográfico. El caso de Costa da Morte (Galicia, España)," *Papeles de Población*, vol. 19, no. 78, pp. 167–206, 2013.
- [43] K. W. Axhausen, C. Dolci, P. Fröhlich, M. Scherer, and A. Carosio, "Constructing time-scaled maps: Switzerland from 1950 to 2000," *Transp. Rev.*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 391–413, 2008.
- [44] N. Ahmed and H. J. Miller, "Time-space transformations of geographic space for exploring, analyzing and visualizing transportation systems," *J. Transp. Geogr.*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 2–17, 2007.
- [45] R. Mehmood, "Towards Understanding intercity traffic interdependencies," in *Proc. 14th World Congr. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, Beijing, China, Oct. 2007.
- [46] M. Büscher et al., "Intelligent mobility systems: Some socio-technical challenges and opportunities," in *Communications Infrastructure. Systems and Applications in Europe*. Berlin, Germany: Springer, 2009, pp. 140–152.



**JOSÉ BALSA-BARREIRO** received the B.A. degree in geography from the University of Santiago de Compostela, in 2001, the M.S. degree in geomatics engineering from the Polytechnic University of Valencia, Spain, in 2006, the B.S. degree in mechanical engineering from the Polytechnic University of Valencia, in 2013, the Ph.D. degree in civil engineering from University of A Coruna, Spain, and the International Ph.D. degree from the Politecnico di Torino, Italy, in 2015.

From 2015 to 2016, he was a European Experienced Researcher with the Institute for Photogrammetry, Stuttgart, Germany. From 2016 to 2017, he was a Postdoctoral Fellow with the Institute for Transport Planning and Systems, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zürich, Switzerland. Since 2016, he has been a Postdoctoral Fellow with the Human Dynamics Group, MIT Media Lab, Cambridge, USA. He has authored more than 20 peer-reviewed articles. His research interests include GIS mapping, transportation, urban planning, and computational social science.

Dr. Balsa-Barreiro's awards and honors include the Marie Curie Fellowship (European Commission) and the Manuel Colmeiro Award, in 2007 (Galician School of Public Administration). In addition, he has some honorable mentions such as the second prize of the IDEAS Star-UPV 2k13, (Polytechnic University of Valencia), the Padre Tosca (Valencian Cartographic Institute), in 2006, the Jordi Viñas i Foch (Catalonian Cartographic Institute), in 2006, and the ESRI Geosystems for final studies, in 2013.



**LUKAS AMBUÜHL** received the B.Sc. degree in civil engineering from the ETH Zürich (ETHZ), Zürich, in 2012, and the M.Sc. degree in management, technology and economics from the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree with the Research Group of Traffic Engineering, Institute for Transport Planning and Systems, ETHZ.



**MÓNICA MENÉNDEZ** received the dual B.S. degrees (*summa cum laude*) in civil and architectural engineering from the University of Miami, FL, USA, in 2002, and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in transportation from the University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, USA, in 2003 and 2006, respectively.

From 2010 to 2017, she was a Management Consultant with Bain & Company and the Director of the Research Group Traffic Engineering, ETH Zürich. Since 2018, she has been an Associate Professor of civil engineering with New York University Abu Dhabi, Abu Dhabi; and a Global Network Associate Professor of civil and urban engineering with the New York University Tandon School of Engineering. She has authored or coauthored more than 50 journal articles and more than 150 conference contributions in the area of transportation.

Her research interests include traffic flow theory, modeling, operations, and control, and sustainable transportation and logistics. He is an Active Reviewer for more than 20 journals, and a member of multiple editorial boards for top journals in Transportation.



**ALEX PENTLAND** received the Ph.D. degree in AI and psychology from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA, in 1982. He has been a Toshiba Professor of media arts and sciences with the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, since 1992. His most recent books are *Social Physics* (Penguin, 2015) and *Honest Signals*, (MIT Press, 2008). He is a member of the U.S. National Academy of Engineering.

...