

Received March 19, 2018, accepted April 25, 2018, date of publication April 30, 2018, date of current version June 5, 2018. *Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2831910*

On the Maximum ABC Index of Graphs With Prescribed Size and Without Pendent Vertices

ZEH[U](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9620-7692)I SHAO¹, PU WU¹, XIUJUN ZHANG², DARKO DIMITROV³, AND JIA-BAO LIU^{®4}

¹ Institute of Computing Science and Technology, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou 510006, China

²School of Information Science and Engineering, Chengdu University, Chengdu 610106, China

³Hochschule für Technik und Wirtschaft Berlin, 10318 Berlin, Germany ⁴ School of Mathematics and Physics, Anhui Jianzhu University, Hefei 230601, China

Corresponding author: Jia-Bao Liu (liujiabaoad@163.com)

The work of Z. Shao was supported in part by the National Key Research and Development Program under Grant 2017YFB0802300, in part by the Applied Basic Research (Key Project) of Sichuan Province under Grant 2017JY0095. The work of J.-B. Liu was supported in part by the National Science Foundation of China under Grant 11601006, in part by the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation under Grant 2017M621579, and in part by the Postdoctoral Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province under Grant 1701081B.

ABSTRACT The atom-bond connectivity (ABC) index is one of the most actively studied degree-based graph invariants, which are found in a vast variety of chemical applications. For a simple graph *G*, it is defined as $ABC(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} ((d(u) + d(v) - 2) / (d(u)d(v)))^{1/2}$, where $d(v)$ denotes the degree of a vertex *v* of *G*. Recently in [17] graphs with *n* vertices, 2*n* − 4 and 2*n* − 3 edges, and maximum *ABC* index were characterized. Here, we consider the next, more complex case, and characterize the graphs with *n* vertices, 2*n* − 2 edges, and maximum *ABC* index.

INDEX TERMS Atom–bond connectivity index, ABC index, extremal graph.

I. INTRODUCTION

Here only graphs without multiple edges or loops will be considered. For a graph *G*, the set of vertices of *G* is denoted by *V*(*G*), and the set of edges of *G* by *E*(*G*). For a subset *S* of *V*(*G*), we denote by *G*[*S*] the subgraph induced by *S*. A graph *G* is called an (*n*, *m*)-graph if *G* has *n* vertices and *m* edges. A complete graph of order *n* is denoted by *Kn*. The degree of a vertex *v* in *G* is denoted by $d_G(v)$ (or simply $d(G)$). An edge *uv* is an (s, t) -edge if $d(u) = s$ and $d(v) = t$. An edge *uv* is an (s^+, t^+) -edge if $d(u) \geq s$ and $d(v) \geq t$. A vertex *u* is said to be a neighbor of *v* if *u* is adjacent to *v* in *G*. We denote by $N(v)$ the set of neighbors of a vertex v . A vertex *v* is a *leaf* if $d(v) = 1$. Two distinct edges are adjacent if they have a common end-vertex. We denote by $L(v)$ and $\delta(G)$ the set of leaf neighbors of *v* and the minimum degree of *G*, respectively. We denote by *Ks*,*^t* the complete bipartite graph with two part sizes *s* and *t*.

For a graph *G*, a positive integer *s*, and $a, b \in V(G)$, we define a graph $T(G, a, b, s)$ by $V(T(G, a, b, s)) =$ *V*(*G*) ∪ { q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_s }, and $E(T(G, a, b, s)) = E(G)$ ∪ ${q_1a, q_2a, \ldots, q_s a} \cup {q_1b, q_2b, \ldots, q_s b}$. That is to say, *T* (*G*, *a*, *b*,*s*) is obtained from *G* by adding *s* vertices of degree two adjacent to both *a* and *b*. For an example, see Figure 5 in [17].

The atom-bond connectivity (ABC) index of a graph *G* is defined as

$$
ABC(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \sqrt{\frac{d(u) + d(v) - 2}{d(u)d(v)}}.
$$

Estrada *et al.* [10] proposed this vertex-degree-based graph topological index. They showed that the ABC index can be a valuable predictive tool in the study of the heat formation in alkanes. Ten years later, Estrada elaborated in [11] an innovative quantum-theory-like explanation of this topological index. Incontestably, this topic has triggered tremendous interest in both mathematical and chemical research communities, leading to a number of results that incorporate the structural properties and the computational aspects of the graphs with extremal properties $[1]$, $[3]$ – $[9]$, $[12]$, $[16]$, [18], [19], [21]. On the other hand, the physico-chemical applicability of the ABC index has also been confirmed and extended in several other studies [2], [13]–[15], [20].

It has been proven that deleting/adding an edge in a graph strictly decreases/increases its ABC index [1], [4]. Consequently, among all connected graphs, a tree/the complete graph has minimal/maximal ABC index.

It has been shown that among the trees of a given order, the star is the one with a maximal ABC index [12].

FIGURE 1. (a) The graph X_1^n ; (b) the graph X_2^n .

Notwithstanding, a thoroughgoing characterization of trees with minimal ABC index, also referred to as minimal-ABC trees, still remains an open problem.

Another very difficult extremal problem is to determine which graphs with no pendent vertices have a maximal ABC index. In [17] two special instances of the above problem were considered: characterizing the maximal-ABC graphs with $2n - 4$ and $2n - 3$ edges, where *n* is the number of the vertices. The first problem is significantly easier, and by the second one it can be seen how the complexity of the problem increases even by adding only one edge more as in the first case. Here, we go a step further, as we take into consideration the case when the graph has $2n - 2$ edges, the case, which is more difficult to analyze than the above two cases.

II. MAIN RESULTS

We then define graph X_1^n with $n+4$ vertices and $2n+6$ edges $(n \ge 1)$ as follows: $V(X_1^n) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n\}$ and $E(X_1^n) = \{u_1v_i, u_2v_i : 1 \le i \le n\}$ ∪ {*u*1*u*2, *u*1*u*3, *u*1*u*4, *u*2*u*3, *u*2*u*4, *u*3*u*4}. Similarly, we define graph X_2^n with $n + 5$ vertices and $2n + 8$ edges $(n \geq 1)$ 2) as follows: $V(X_2^n) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n\}$ and $E(X_2^n) = \{u_1v_i, u_2v_i : 1 \le i \le n\}$ ∪ {*u*1*u*3, *u*1*u*4, *u*1*u*5, *u*2*u*3, *u*2*u*4, *u*2*u*5, *u*3*u*4, *u*4*u*5}. The graphs X_1^n and X_2^n are shown in Figure [1.](#page-1-0)

The following lemma is easy to verify:

Lemma 1: If $n \geq 5$, we have

Lemma 1: If
$$
n \ge 3
$$
, we have
\ni) $ABC(X_1^{n-4}) = \frac{\sqrt{2n-4}}{n-1} + 4\sqrt{\frac{n}{3(n-1)}} + (n-4)\sqrt{2} + \frac{2}{3};$
\nii) $ABC(X_2^{n-5}) = 4\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{3(n-2)}} + 2\sqrt{\frac{n}{4(n-2)}} + (n-5)\sqrt{2} +$

$$
2\sqrt{\frac{5}{12}};
$$

iii) If $n \leq 34$, then $ABC(X_1^{n-4}) > ABC(X_2^{n-5})$, else $ABC(X_1^{n-4}) < ABC(X_2^{n-5}).$

Now we will define a family of graphs G as follows. For any graph $G, G \in \mathcal{G}$ if and only if G satisfies the conditions:

- C1) *G* is a graph with minimum degree at least two on $n \geq$ 10 vertices and $m = 2n - 2$ edges.
- C2) $G \not\cong X_1^{n-4}$ and $G \not\cong X_2^{n-5}$.
- C3) *G* is a graph with the maximum *ABC* index, i.e.,

$$
ABC(G) = \max\{ABC(H)|\delta(H) \ge 2, |V(H)| = n\}.
$$

C4) *G* satisfies the conditions C1, C2 and C3 with the minimum number of vertices, i.e., $|V(G)|$ is as small as possible.

For a graph $G = (V, E)$, we use the following notations to prove the theorems.

- $N'(e)$: the set of edges adjacent to the edge *e*,
- E_v : the set of edges incident to the vertex *v*,

$$
V_i = \{v | d(v) = i\} \text{ for } i \ge 2,
$$

$$
V_{3^{+}} = \{v|d(v) \ge 3\}, k = |V_{3^{+}}| \text{ and } V_{3^{+}} =
$$

\n
$$
\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\},
$$

\n
$$
n_2 = |V_2|,
$$

\n
$$
V'_3 = \{v|d_{G[V_{3^{+}}]}(v) = 3\},
$$

\n
$$
E_{s,t} = \{uv|d(u) = s, d(v) = t\},
$$

\n
$$
E_{s,t^{+}} = \{uv|d(u) = s, d(v) \ge t\},
$$

\n
$$
E_{s^{+},t^{+}} = \{uv|d(u) \ge s, d(v) \ge t\},
$$

\n
$$
\ell_1 = |E_{2,2}|, \ell_2 = |E_{2,3^{+}}|, \ell_3 = |E_{3^{+},3^{+}}|,
$$

\n
$$
E'_{3,3} = \{uv|d_{G[V_{3^{+}}]}(u) = 3, d_{G[V_{3^{+}}]}(v) = 3\}.
$$

\nThat is the set of U is a $d_{G[V_{3^{+}}]}(v) = 3$.

That is to say, $\overrightarrow{V_3}$ is the set of vertices with degree three in $G[V_{3+}]$ and $E'_{3,3}$ the set of edges whose end vertices have degree three in $G[V_{3+}]$.

Lemma 2: For any (n, m) -graph *G* with $n \geq 10$ and $m =$ 2*n* − 2 and $\delta(G) \geq 2$, we have

a)
$$
\ell_3 = 2k - 2 + \ell_1;
$$

b)
$$
\ell_3 \ge 6
$$
 and $k \ge 4$.

Proof: a) Via the summation of the degrees of all the vertices, we have

$$
\sum_{v \in V_2} d(v) + \sum_{v \in V_{3^+}} d(v) = 2n_2 + \sum_{i=1}^k d(u_i) = 2m = 4n - 4, 5
$$
\n(1)

$$
n = n_2 + k,\tag{2}
$$

and

$$
2n - 2 = \ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3. \tag{3}
$$

By Eq. (1) - (3) , we have

$$
-2k + \sum_{i=1}^{k} d(u_i) = 2n - 4.
$$
 (4)

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{k} d(u_i) = \ell_2 + 2\ell_3$, we have

$$
\ell_3 = 2k - 2 + \ell_1. \tag{5}
$$

b) If $k = 0$, then G is the union of cycles, contradicting with $m = 2n - 2$. Hence we have $k \geq 1$.

Now, we claim that $\ell_3 \geq 3$. Otherwise, suppose on the contrary that $\ell_3 \leq 2$, then we have $\ell_3 = 2k - 2 + \ell_1 \leq 2$. Hence we have $\ell_1 \leq 4 - 2k \leq 2$.

Case 1 ($\ell_3 = 0$): In this case, we have $k = 1$ and $\ell_1 = 0$. This means that there is exactly one vertex with degree at least three and the other vertices with degree two. It is clear that such a graph does not exist.

Case 2 ($\ell_3 = 1$ *):* In this case, we have $k = 1$. This means that there is exactly one vertex with degree at least three. Therefore, there is no edge $e \in E_{3^+,3^+}$, a contradiction.

Case 3 ($\ell_3 = 2$ *):* In this case, we have $k \le 2$. This means that there are at most two vertices with degree at least three. Therefore, there is at most one edge $e \in E_{3^+3^+}$, which is a contradiction.

From above, we have $\ell_3 \geq 3$. Hence, $k \geq 3$. Now we will show that $k \neq 3$. Otherwise, there are at most three edges *e*₁, *e*₂, *e*₃ ∈ *E*_{3+,3+}, i.e., ℓ_3 ≤ 3. By Eq. [\(5\)](#page-1-3), we have ℓ_3 = $2k - 2 + \ell_1 \geq 2k - 2 \geq 4$, a contradiction.

Now from above, we have $k \geq 4$, and thus $\ell_3 = 2k - 2 +$ $\ell_1 \geq 2k - 2 \geq 6$. This assertion completes the proof. \Box

Lemma 3: If *G* is an (n, m) -graph with $n \ge 10, m = 2n-2$, $\delta(G) \geq 2$ and *G* has the maximum ABC index, then $|E_{2,2}| =$ Ω .

Proof: We first prove the following claim:

Claim 1: there is an edge $e \in E_{3^+3^+}$ such that $e \notin E_v$ for any $v \in V_{3+}$.

Proof: Otherwise, $\ell_3 \leq k - 1$. By Eq. [\(5\)](#page-1-3), we have $\ell_3 = 2k - 2 + \ell_1$. So we have $2k - 2 + \ell_1 \leq k - 1$ and thus $k - 1 + \ell_1 \leq 0$. By Lemma [2,](#page-1-4) we have $k \geq 4$, a contradiction with $k - 1 + \ell_1 \le 0$. □

Now, suppose on the contrary that $|E_{2,2}| \geq 1$, this way there is an edge $w_1v_1 \in E_{2,2}$ $w_1v_1 \in E_{2,2}$ $w_1v_1 \in E_{2,2}$. By Lemma 2, we have $\ell_3 \geq 6$, and thus, there is an edge $w_2v_2 \in E_{3^+3^+}$ such that w_1v_1 and w_2v_2 are disjoint. Since $d(w_1) = 2$, without loss of generality we may assume that $w_1w_2 \notin E(G)$. We consider the following cases.

Case 1 ($v_1v_2 \notin E(G)$): We now consider a graph *G*^{\prime} such that $G' = G - w_1v_1 - w_2v_2 + w_1w_2 + v_1v_2$. It can be seen that $ABC(G') > ABC(G)$, a contradiction.

*Case 2 (v₁v*₂ $\in E(G)$ *and w₁v*₂ $\notin E(G)$ *):* Since $d(v_1) = 2$, we have $v_1w_2 \notin E(G)$. We now consider a graph *G'* such that $G' = G - w_1v_1 - w_2v_2 + w_1v_2 + w_2v_1$. It can be seen that $ABC(G') > ABC(G)$, a contradiction.

*Case 3 (v₁v*₂ $\in E(G)$ *and w₁v*₂ $\in E(G)$ *):* By Claim [1,](#page-2-0) we have that there is an edge $w_3v_3 \in E_{3+3+}$ such that v_2 is not incident to w_3v_3 . Since $w_1v_1 \in E_{2,2}$, we can conclude that w_1v_1 and w_3v_3 are disjoint. Now, applying the proof of Case 1 for w_3v_3 and w_2v_2 we obtain a contradiction.

Lemma 4: If $G \in \mathcal{G}$, then $|E_{2,3}| = 0$.

Proof: Suppose on the contrary that there is an edge $w_1v_1 \in E_{2,3}$, we have w_1 has another neighbor $v_3 \in V_{3+}$ by Lemma [3.](#page-2-1)

Case 1: there is a vertex $v_2 \in N(v_1)$ with $v_2 \in V_{3+}$. Let $G' = G - \{w_1\}$, then we have $ABC(e|G) \leq ABC(e|G')$ for any $e \in E(G')$, and $x = d(v_2)$. Then we have

$$
ABC(w_1v_1|G) = ABC(w_1v_3|G) = ABC(v_1v_2|G') = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2},
$$

and

$$
ABC(v_1v_2|G) = \sqrt{\frac{x+1}{3x}}
$$

.

Therefore, we have

$$
ABC(G) \le ABC(G') + ABC(w_1v_1|G)
$$

+ $ABC(w_1v_3|G) + ABC(v_1v_2|G)$
- $ABC(v_1v_2|G')$

$$
\le ABC(G') + \sqrt{2} - \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{x+1}{3x}}\right)
$$

$$
\leq ABC(G') + \sqrt{2} - \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} - \frac{2}{3}\right).
$$

Subcase 1.1 (ABC(G') \leq *ABC(Xⁿ⁻⁵)*)*:* In this case, we have if $n \geq 10$, then

$$
ABC(G) \le ABC(X_1^{n-5}) + \sqrt{2} - \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} - \frac{2}{3}\right)
$$

= $ABC(X_1^{n-4})$

$$
-4\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{3(n-1)}} - \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{3(n-2)}}\right)
$$

$$
-\left(\frac{\sqrt{2n-4}}{n-1} - \frac{\sqrt{2n-6}}{n-2}\right) - \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} - \frac{2}{3}\right)
$$

$$
< ABC(X_1^{n-4}),
$$

a contradiction.

 $Subcase 1.2 (ABC(G') \le ABC(X_2^{n-6}))$: In this case, we have if $n \geq 10$, then

$$
ABC(G) \le ABC(X_2^{n-6}) + \sqrt{2} - \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} - \frac{2}{3}\right)
$$

= $ABC(X_2^{n-5})$

$$
-4\left(\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{3(n-2)}} - \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{3(n-3)}}\right)
$$

$$
-2\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{4(n-2)}} - \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{4(n-3)}}\right)
$$

$$
-\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} - \frac{2}{3}\right)
$$

$$
< ABC(X_2^{n-5}),
$$

a contradiction.

Case 2 (d(w) = 2 for Each Vertex $w \in N(v_1)$): Let $N(v_1)$ = ${w_1, w_2, w_3}$ ${w_1, w_2, w_3}$ ${w_1, w_2, w_3}$. Since $m = 2n - 2$, by Lemma 2 we can deduce that there is another edge $x_1x_2 \in E_{3+3+}$. With the result of Case 1, we can conclude that there is no neighbor of x_1 with degree two. If one assumes that $G' = G - x_1x_2 - v_1w_3 +$ $v_1x_2 + w_3x_1$, it can be verified that $ABC(G) \le ABC(G')$. As v_1 has a neighbor w_1 with $d(w_1) = 2$ and another neighbor x_2 with degree at least three. Applying further Case 1, we again obtain a contradiction. This assertion completes the proof. \Box

Lemma 5: If $G \in \mathcal{G}$, then $|E_{2,4}| = 0$.

Proof: Suppose on the contrary that there is an edge $w_1v_1 \in E_{2,4}$, we have w_1 has another neighbor $v_3 \in V_{4+}$ by Lemmas [3](#page-2-1) and [4.](#page-2-2)

Case 1: there are two vertices $v_2, v_4 \in N(v_1)$ with $\{v_2, v_4\} \subseteq V_{3^+}.$

Let $G' = G - \{w_1\}$, then we have $ABC(e|G) \le ABC(e|G')$ for any $e \in E(G')$, $x_1 = d(v_2)$ and $x_2 = d(v_4)$ Then we have

$$
ABC(w_1v_1|G) = ABC(w_1v_3|G) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2},
$$

$$
ABC(v_1v_2|G') = \sqrt{\frac{x_1+1}{3x_1}},
$$

$$
ABC(v_1v_4|G') = \sqrt{\frac{x_2+1}{3x_2}},
$$

$$
ABC(v_1v_2|G) = \sqrt{\frac{x_1+2}{4x_1}},
$$

and

$$
ABC(v_1v_4|G) = \sqrt{\frac{x_2+2}{4x_2}}.
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
ABC(G) \le ABC(G') + ABC(w_1v_1|G) + ABC(w_1v_3|G) + ABC(v_1v_2|G) + ABC(v_1v_4|G) - ABC(v_1v_2|G') - ABC(v_1v_4|G') = ABC(G') + \sqrt{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{x_i + 2}{4x_i}} - \sqrt{\frac{x_i + 1}{3x_i}} \right) = ABC(G') + \sqrt{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{x_i + 2}{4x_i}} - \sqrt{\frac{x_i + 1}{3x_i}} \right) \Big|_{x_i=3} = ABC(G') + \sqrt{2} - 2 \left(\frac{2}{3} - \sqrt{\frac{5}{12}} \right).
$$

Subcase 1.1 (ABC(G') \leq *ABC(X''*ⁿ⁻⁵)): In this case, we have if $n \geq 10$, then

$$
ABC(G) \le ABC(X_1^{n-5}) + \sqrt{2} - 2\left(\frac{2}{3} - \sqrt{\frac{5}{12}}\right)
$$

= $ABC(X_1^{n-4})$

$$
-4\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{3(n-1)}} - \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{3(n-2)}}\right)
$$

$$
-\left(\frac{\sqrt{2n-4}}{n-1} - \frac{\sqrt{2n-6}}{n-2}\right)
$$

$$
-2\left(\frac{2}{3} - \sqrt{\frac{5}{12}}\right)
$$

$$
< ABC(X_1^{n-4}),
$$

a contradiction.

Subcase 1.2 (ABC(G') \leq *ABC(X₂ⁿ⁻⁶)):* In this case, we have if $n \geq 10$, then

$$
ABC(G) \le ABC(X_2^{n-6}) + \sqrt{2} - 2\left(\frac{2}{3} - \sqrt{\frac{5}{12}}\right)
$$

= $ABC(X_2^{n-5})$

$$
-4\left(\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{3(n-2)}} - \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{3(n-3)}}\right)
$$

$$
-2\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{4(n-2)}} - \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{4(n-3)}}\right)
$$

$$
-2\left(\frac{2}{3} - \sqrt{\frac{5}{12}}\right)
$$

$$
\le ABC(X_2^{n-5}),
$$

a contradiction.

Case 2: there is exactly one vertex $v_2 \in N(v_1)$ with $v_2 \in V_{3^+}.$

Let $G' = G - \{w_1\}$, then we have $ABC(e|G) \le ABC(e|G')$ for any $e \in E(G')$, $x = d(v_2)$ and so $x \ge 3$. Then consider the following cases.

Subase 2.1 ($x \ge 4$):

$$
ABC(w_1v_1|G) = ABC(w_1v_3|G) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2},
$$

ABC(v_1v_2|G') $\ge \sqrt{\frac{x+1}{3x}},$

and

$$
ABC(v_1v_2|G) = \sqrt{\frac{x+2}{4x}}.
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
ABC(G) \le ABC(G') + ABC(w_1v_1|G)
$$

+ $ABC(w_1v_3|G) + ABC(v_1v_2|G)$
- $ABC(v_1v_2|G')$
 $\le ABC(G') + \sqrt{2}$
+ $\left(\sqrt{\frac{x+2}{4x}} - \sqrt{\frac{x+1}{3x}}\right)$
 $\le ABC(G') + \sqrt{2}$
+ $\left(\sqrt{\frac{x+2}{4x}} - \sqrt{\frac{x+1}{3x}}\right)|_{x=4}$
 $\le ABC(G') + \sqrt{2} - \left(\sqrt{\frac{5}{12}} - \sqrt{\frac{6}{16}}\right)$

Subcase 2.1.1 (ABC(G') \leq *ABC(Xⁿ⁻⁵))*: In this case, we have if $n \geq 10$, then √

$$
ABC(G) \le ABC(X_1^{n-5}) + \sqrt{2}
$$

\n
$$
-\left(\sqrt{\frac{5}{12}} - \sqrt{\frac{6}{16}}\right)
$$

\n
$$
= ABC(X_1^{n-4})
$$

\n
$$
-4\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{3(n-1)}} - \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{3(n-2)}}\right)
$$

\n
$$
-\left(\frac{\sqrt{2n-4}}{n-1} - \frac{\sqrt{2n-6}}{n-2}\right)
$$

\n
$$
-\left(\sqrt{\frac{5}{12}} - \sqrt{\frac{6}{16}}\right)
$$

\n
$$
< ABC(X_1^{n-4}),
$$

a contradiction.

VOLUME 6, 2018 27607

.

 $Subcase 2.1.2: ABC(G') \le ABC(X_2^{n-6}).$ In this case, we have if $n \geq 10$, then

$$
ABC(G) \le ABC(X_2^{n-6}) + \sqrt{2} - \left(\sqrt{\frac{5}{12}} - \sqrt{\frac{6}{16}}\right)
$$

= $ABC(X_2^{n-5})$

$$
-4\left(\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{3(n-2)}} - \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{3(n-3)}}\right)
$$

$$
-2\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{4(n-2)}} - \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{4(n-3)}}\right)
$$

$$
-\left(\sqrt{\frac{5}{12}} - \sqrt{\frac{6}{16}}\right)
$$

$$
\le ABC(X_2^{n-5}),
$$

a contradiction.

Subcase 2.2 (x = 3): Since $d(v_4)$, $d(v_5) \geq 3$, together with Lemma 4, we have $v_4v_1 \notin E(G)$. Now, let $N(v_2)$ = ${v_1, v_4, v_5}$ and $G' = G - {w_1} - {v_2v_4 + v_1v_4}$. Since for $x \ge 3$,

$$
2 \times \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{x+3}{5x}} \ge \sqrt{\frac{x+1}{3x}} + \sqrt{\frac{5}{12}} + \frac{2}{3},
$$

we have $ABC(G') \ge ABC(G)$.

Now we can obtain a contradiction by similar argument to the proof of Case 1.

Case 3 (d(w) = 2 for Each Vertex w $\in N(v_1)$ *):* Let $N(v_1) = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$ $N(v_1) = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$ $N(v_1) = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$. Since $m = 2n - 2$, by Lemma 2 we can deduce that there is another edge $x_1x_2 \in E_{3^+,3^+}$. It is sufficient to consider the following cases.

Subcase 3.1 ($x_1x_2 \in E_{3,3}$): By Lemma [4,](#page-2-2) we have $d(u) \ge 3$ for any $u \in N(x_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$). Let s_1 and s_2 be two of the neighbors of x_1 , $y_1 = d(s_1)$, and $y_2 = d(s_2)$, we have $y_1 \ge$ 3, $y_2 \ge 3$. Let $G' = G - x_1x_2 + v_1x_2$, now we have

$$
ABC(G) \le ABC(G') - \sqrt{\frac{6}{15}} + \frac{2}{3} - \sqrt{2}
$$

+ $\sqrt{\frac{y_1 + 1}{3y_1}} + \sqrt{\frac{y_2 + 1}{3y_2}}$
 $\le ABC(G') - \sqrt{\frac{6}{15}} + \frac{2}{3} - \sqrt{2} + \frac{4}{3}$
 $< ABC(G'),$

a contradiction.

Subcase 3.2 ($x_1x_2 \in E_{3^+},4^+$): Assume $G' = G - x_1x_2$ $v_1w_4 + v_1x_1 + w_4x_2$ and it can be verified that $ABC(G) \leq$ *ABC*(*G*[']). But *v*₁ has a neighbor *w*₁ with $d(w_1) = 2$ and another neighbor x_1 with degree at least three. Applying now Case 1, we obtain a contradiction. This assertion completes the proof. \Box

By similar argument to the proof of Lemma [5,](#page-2-3) we can obtain Lemma [6.](#page-4-0)

Lemma 6: Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and G be an (n, m) -graph with $m =$ $2n - 2$, $\delta(G) \geq 2$ and *G* has the maximum ABC index.

i) If $k = 6$ and $|V_3| \ge 1$ or $k \le 5$, then $|E_{2,5}| = 0$;

ii) If $n \geq 13$, $k = 5$ and $N(v)$ contains at least two vertices with degree at least three for each $v \in V_6$, then $|E_{2,6}| = 0$.

Lemma 7: If $G \in \mathcal{G}$, then *G* contains no induced P_3 = *w*₁*w*₂*w*₃ such that *d*(*w*₁) = *d*(*w*₂) = 3 and *d*(*w*₃) ≥ 3.

Proof: Suppose on the contrary that *G* contains an induced $P_3 = w_1w_2w_3$ such that $d(w_1) = d(w_2) = 3$ and $d(w_3) \geq 3$. Let $G' = G - \{w_2\} + w_1w_3$, then G' is an (*n* − 1, 2*n* − 4)-graph.

Case 1 (ABC $(G') \le ABC(X_I^{n-5})$): Since $n \ge 10$, we have

$$
ABC(G) \le ABC(G') + \frac{4}{3}
$$

\n
$$
\le ABC(X_1^{n-5}) + \frac{4}{3}
$$

\n
$$
\le ABC(X_1^{n-4}) - \sqrt{2}
$$

\n
$$
-4\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{3(n-1)}} - \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{3(n-2)}}\right)
$$

\n
$$
-\left(\frac{\sqrt{2n-4}}{n-1} - \frac{\sqrt{2n-6}}{n-2}\right) + \frac{4}{3}
$$

\n
$$
< ABC(X_1^{n-4}),
$$

a contradiction.

Case 2 (ABC(G') \leq *ABC*(X_2^{n-6})): Since $n \geq 10$, we have

$$
ABC(G) \le ABC(X_2^{n-6}) + \frac{4}{3}
$$

= $ABC(X_2^{n-5}) - \sqrt{2}$
 $-4\left(\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{3(n-2)}} - \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{3(n-3)}}\right)$
 $-2\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{4(n-2)}} - \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{4(n-3)}}\right) + \frac{4}{3}$
 $\le ABC(X_2^{n-5}),$

a contradiction. This assertion completes the proof. \Box

By similar argument to the proof of Lemma [7,](#page-4-1) we have the following result and omit the proof.

Lemma 8: If $G \in \mathcal{G}$, then *G* contains no induced P_3 = *w*₁*w*₂*w*₃ such that $d(w_1) = 4$, $d(w_2) = 3$ and $d(w_3) = 4$.

Lemma 9: Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and $k \geq 6$. If $w_1w_2w_3$ is a triangle in *G*[*V*₃], then there is no vertex $s \in V_{3+} \setminus \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ such that $|N(s) \cap \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}| > 2$.

Proof: Otherwise, we may assume that there is a vertex *s* ∈ *V*₃+ \ {*w*₁*, w*₂*, w*₃} such that $|N(s) \cap \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}| \ge 2$ and $sw_1, sw_3 \in E(G)$.

Now we claim that there is an edge $t_1t_2 \in E_{3+3+} \setminus$ $(E_s \cup \{w_1w_2, w_1w_3, w_2w_3\})$. Otherwise, $|E_{3+3+}| \leq |E_s \cup$ $\{w_1w_2, w_1w_3, w_2w_3\} \leq 3 + k - 1 = k + 2$ $\{w_1w_2, w_1w_3, w_2w_3\} \leq 3 + k - 1 = k + 2$ $\{w_1w_2, w_1w_3, w_2w_3\} \leq 3 + k - 1 = k + 2$. By Lemma 2, we have $\ell_3 = 2k - 2 = |E_{3^+,3^+}| \leq k + 2$. Hence $k \leq 4$, a contradiction.

Now it is sufficient to consider the following two cases.

Case 1 (w₁t₁ $\notin E(G)$ *and w₁t₂* $\notin E(G)$ *):* In this case, *t*₁, *t*₂ ∉ {*s*, *w*₁, *w*₂, *w*₃}, and we can deduce that *w*₃*t*₁ ∉ *E*(*G*) and $w_3t_2 \notin E(G)$. Let $G' = G - w_1w_3 - t_1t_2 + w_1t_1 + w_3t_2$,

 $f(x, y) = \sqrt{\frac{x+y-2}{w}}$ $\frac{y-y-2}{xy}$ and we have

$$
ABC(G) \le ABC(G') + ABC(w_1w_3|G) + ABC(t_1t_2|G) -ABC(w_1t_1|G') - ABC(w_3t_2|G') \le ABC(G') + f(3, 3) + f(d(t_1), d(t_2)) -f(3, d(t_1)) - f(3, d(t_2)) < ABC(G'),
$$

a contradiction.

Case $2(w_1t_1 \notin E(G)$ *and* $w_1t_2 \in E(G)$ *:* Since $d(w_1) = 3$, we have $t_2 \in N(w_1) = \{s, w_2, w_3\}$. In this case, we have that t_2 cannot be any vertex in $\{s, w_3\}$. Hence, t_2 is exactly the vertex w_2 . Now we claim that there is an edge $q_1q_2 \in$ *E*_{3+,3+} \setminus (*E_s* ∪ *E_{w₁*} ∪ *E_{w₂*} ∪ *E_{w3}*). Otherwise, $|E_{3^+,3^+}| = |E_{s} \cup E_{s}|$ $\{w_1w_2, w_1w_3, w_2w_3, w_2t_1\}$ $\{w_1w_2, w_1w_3, w_2w_3, w_2t_1\}$ $\{w_1w_2, w_1w_3, w_2w_3, w_2t_1\}$ $\leq 4+k-1 = k+3$. By Lemma 2, we have $\ell_3 = 2k - 2 = |E_{3^+,3^+}| \leq k + 3$. Hence $k \leq$ 5, a contradiction. Now, since $d(w_1) = d(w_3) = 3$, we can deduce that $N(w_i) \cap \{q_1, q_2\} = \emptyset$ for any $i \in \{1, 3\}$. Let $G' = G - w_1w_3 - q_1q_2 + w_1q_1 + w_3q_2, f(x, y) = \sqrt{\frac{x+y-2}{xy}}$ *xy* and we have

$$
ABC(G) \le ABC(G') + ABC(w_1w_3|G) + ABC(q_1q_2|G) - ABC(w_1q_1|G') - ABC(w_3q_2|G') \le ABC(G') + f(3, 3) + f(d(q_1), d(q_2)) -f(3, d(q_1)) - f(3, d(q_2)) < ABC(G'),
$$

a contradiction. This assertion completes the proof. \Box

Lemma 10: Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and $k \geq 6$. Then $G[V_3]$ contains no triangle.

Proof: Suppose on the contrary that *G*[*V*3] contains a triangle $w_1w_2w_3$. Then, there is an edge $t_1t_2 \in E_{3^+},3^+ \setminus (E_{w_1} \cup$ *E*_{*w*2} ∪ *E*_{*w*3}</sub> and we have $|N(w_i) \cap \{t_1, t_2\}| \le 1$ for any *i* ∈ {1, 2, 3}. Now it is sufficient to consider the following cases.

Case 1 ($w_1t_2 \notin E(G)$ *and* $w_3t_1 \notin E(G)$): Let $G' = G$ $w_1w_3 - t_1t_2 + w_1t_2 + w_3t_1$, and $ABC(G) \le ABC(G')$. Since *t*₁*t*₂ ∈ $E_{3^+,3^+} \setminus (E_{w_1} \cup E_{w_2} \cup E_{w_3})$, we have $w_1 \neq t_2$ and $w_3 \neq t_1$. As *G*^{$\left[\frac{V_3}{V_3}\right]$ has an induced $P_3 = w_1w_2w_3$, we can} obtain a contradiction by Lemma [7.](#page-4-1)

Case 2 (w₁t₂ $\notin E(G)$ *and w₃t₁* $\in E(G)$ *):* In this case, we have $w_1t_1 \notin E(G)$ and $w_3t_2 \notin E(G)$ by Lemma [9.](#page-4-2) Let $G' = G - w_1 w_3 - t_1 t_2 + w_1 t_1 + w_3 t_2$, and we have $ABC(G) \le$ $ABC(G')$. As $G'[V_3]$ has an induced $P_3 = w_1w_2w_3$, we can obtain a contradiction by Lemma [7.](#page-4-1) This assertion completes the proof. \Box

Lemma 11: Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and $k \geq 6$. If $w_1w_2w_3$ is a triangle such that $d(w_1) = d(w_2) = 3$, $d(w_3) = 4$ and another edge $t_1 t_2 \in E_{3+3+} \setminus \{w_1 w_2, w_1 w_3, w_2 w_3\}$, then it is impossible that $w_1t_2 \notin E(G)$ and $w_3t_1 \notin E(G)$ with $w_1 \neq t_2$ and $w_3 \neq t_1$.

Proof: Suppose on the contrary that *G* contains a triangle $P_3 = w_1w_2w_3$ such that $d(w_1) = d(w_2) = 3$ and $d(w_3) = 3$ 4. Then there is an edge $t_1t_2 \in E_{3^+3^+} \setminus \{w_1w_2, w_1w_3, w_2w_3\}.$ If $d(t_2) \geq 4$, then let $G' = G - w_1 w_3 - t_1 t_2 + w_1 t_2 + w_3 t_1$,

and we have $ABC(G) \le ABC(G')$. Then we can process G' applying Lemma [7,](#page-4-1) and obtain a contradiction.

If $d(t_2) = 3$, then let $G' = G - \{w_2\} - t_1t_2 + w_1t_2 + w_3t_1$. Then *G'* is an $(n - 1, 2n - 2)$ -graph. we have $ABC(G) \le$ $ABC(G') + \frac{4}{3} - \frac{2}{3} - \sqrt{\frac{d(t_1)+2}{4d(t_1)}} - \sqrt{\frac{d(t_1)+1}{3d(t_1)}} + \sqrt{\frac{5}{12}}$. By similar argument to the proof of Lemma [7,](#page-4-1) we can obtain a contradiction. This assertion completes the proof.

By similar argument to the proof of Lemma [9,](#page-4-2) we have obtained the following lemma and omit the proof.

Lemma 12: Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and $k > 6$. If $w_1w_2w_3$ is a triangle such that $d(w_1) = d(w_2) = 3$ and $d(w_3) = 4$, then there is no vertex *s* ∈ *V*₃₊ \{*w*₁, *w*₂, *w*₃} such that $|N(s) \cap \{w_1, w_3\}|$ ≥ 2.

Lemma 13: Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and $k \ge 6$. Then there is no triangle $w_1w_2w_3$ such that $d(w_1) = d(w_2) = 3$ and $d(w_3) = 4$.

Proof: Suppose on the contrary that there is a triangle $w_1w_2w_3$ such that $d(w_1) = d(w_2) = 3$ and $d(w_3) = 4$. Consequently, there is an edge $t_1t_2 \in E_{3^+}, 3^+ \setminus (E_{w_1} \cup E_{w_2} \cup E_{w_1})$ *E*_{*w*3}). Then, we can conclude that $|N(w_i) \cap \{t_1, t_2\}| \leq 1$ for any $i \in \{1, 3\}$. Otherwise, we can obtain a contradiction. Now it is sufficient to consider the following cases.

Case 1 ($w_1t_2 \notin E(G)$ *and* $w_3t_1 \notin E(G)$): Let $G' = G$ $w_1w_3 - t_1t_2 + w_1t_2 + w_3t_1$, and we have $ABC(G) \le ABC(G')$. Since $t_1 t_2$ ∈ $E_{3^+,3^+} \setminus (E_{w_1} \cup E_{w_2} \cup E_{w_3})$, we have $w_1 \neq$ t_2 and $w_3 \neq t_1$. As *G*^{\prime} has an induced $P_3 = w_1w_2w_3$ with $d(w_1) = d(w_2) = 2$ and $d(w_3) = 4$, we obtain a contradiction by Lemma [11.](#page-5-0)

Case 2 (w₁t₂ $\notin E(G)$ *<i>and w₃t₁* $\in E(G)$ *):* In this case, by Lemma [12,](#page-5-1) we have $w_1t_1 \notin E(G)$. Then we have $w_3t_2 \in$ *E*(*G*). Otherwise, $w_1t_1 \notin E(G)$, $w_3t_2 \notin E(G)$, $w_1 \notin t_1$ and $w_3 \notin t_2$, contradicting with Lemma [11.](#page-5-0)

Now we claim that there is an edge $q_1q_2 \in E_{3+3+} \setminus (E_{w_1} \cup$ *E*_{*w*2} ∪ *E*_{*w*3} ∪ {*t*₁*t*₂}). Then, $|N(w_1) \cap \{q_1, q_2\}| \leq 1$ since $d(w_1) = 3$. We may assume w.l.o.g. that $w_1 q_2 \notin E(G)$, then by Lemma [11](#page-5-0) we have $w_3q_1 \in E(G)$. Hence $w_3q_2 \notin E(G)$. Otherwise, we have $q_1q_2 = t_1t_2$, a contradiction. Now by Lemma [11](#page-5-0) with q_1q_2 playing the role of t_1t_2 , we obtain a contradiction. This assertion completes the proof. \Box

Lemma 14: Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and $k \ge 6$. Then $k \le 9$. *Proof:* Let

$$
M_1 = \bigcup_{e \in E_{3,3}} N'(e),
$$

\n
$$
M_2 = E_{3^+,3^+} \setminus (E_{3,3} \cup M_1)
$$

then we have

$$
\sum_{e \in E_{3,3}} ABC(e) = \frac{2|E_{3,3}|}{3},
$$

$$
\sum_{e \in M_1} ABC(e) \le 4\sqrt{\frac{6}{15}}|E_{3,3}|,
$$

$$
\sum_{e \in M_2} ABC(e) \le (2k - 2 - 5|E_{3,3}|)\sqrt{\frac{5}{12}}
$$

,

FIGURE 2. The graphs used in the proof of Lemma [15.](#page-6-0)

$$
\sum_{e \in E_{2,3^+}} ABC(e) = (n-k)\sqrt{2},
$$

and

$$
ABC(G) = \sum_{e \in E_{3,3}} ABC(e) + \sum_{e \in M_1} ABC(e)
$$

+
$$
\sum_{e \in M_2} ABC(e) + \sum_{e \in E_{2,3+}} ABC(e)
$$

$$
\leq \frac{2|E_{3,3}|}{3} + 4\sqrt{\frac{6}{15}}|E_{3,3}|
$$

+
$$
(2k - 2 - 5|E_{3,3}|)\sqrt{\frac{5}{12}} + (n - k)\sqrt{2}
$$

$$
\leq (2k - 2)\sqrt{\frac{5}{12}} + (n - k)\sqrt{2}.
$$

By Lemma [1,](#page-1-5) we have $ABC(X_2^{n-5}) = 4\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{3(n-2)}} + 2\sqrt{\frac{n}{4(n-2)}} +$ $(n-5)\sqrt{2}+2\sqrt{\frac{5}{12}}$. It can be verified that if $k \ge 10$, $ABC(G)$ < $ABC(X_2^{n-5})$, a contradiction.

In order to prove Lemma [15,](#page-6-0) we give the following definitions.

For a graph *G*, $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, we define a function $d': V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$ with

$$
d'(v) = \begin{cases} a, & d(v) \in S, \\ d(v), & d(v) \notin S, \end{cases}
$$

and

$$
ABC'_{S \to a}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \sqrt{\frac{d'(u) + d'(v) - 2}{d'(u)d'(v)}}.
$$

Lemma 15: Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and $k \geq 6$. Then $k \neq 9$.

Proof: Suppose on the contrary that $k =$ $|V_{3+}|$ = 9, then since $|E_{2,3}|$ = 0 and $|E_{2,4}|$ = 0 we have $\sum_{e \in E_{3^+}, 3^+} ABC(e) \leq ABC'_{\{1,2\} \to 5}(G[V_{3^+}]).$ By an exhaustive search in the set of $(9, 16)$ -graphs, we obtain that $ABC'_{\{1,2\} \to 5}(G[V_3+]) \leq ABC'_{\{1,2\} \to 5}(H) \approx$ 10.2398775511417, where *H* is one of the graphs depicted in Figure [2.](#page-6-1)

Since $n \geq 10$, we have

$$
ABC(G) - ABC(X_2^{n-5})
$$

\n
$$
\leq \sum_{e \in E_{3^+,3^+}} ABC(e) + \sum_{e \in E_{2,3^+}} ABC(e) - ABC(X_2^{n-5})
$$

$$
\leq ABC'_{\{1,2\} \to 5}(G[V_3+]) + (2n - 2 - 16)\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

\n
$$
-4\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{3(n-2)}} - 2\sqrt{\frac{n}{4(n-2)}}
$$

\n
$$
-(n-5)\sqrt{2} - 2\sqrt{\frac{5}{12}}
$$

\n
$$
< 10.24 + (2n - 2 - 16)\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

\n
$$
-4\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{3(n-2)}} - 2\sqrt{\frac{n}{4(n-2)}}
$$

\n
$$
-(n-5)\sqrt{2} - 2\sqrt{\frac{5}{12}}
$$

\n
$$
< 0,
$$

which is a contradiction to the initial assumption. *Lemma 16:* Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and $k \geq 6$. Then $k \neq 8$.

Proof: Let

$$
M_1 = \bigcup_{e \in E_{3,3}} N'(e),
$$

\n
$$
M_2 = E_{3^+,3^+} \setminus (E_{3,3} \cup M_1).
$$

Suppose on the contrary that $k = |V_{3+}| = 8$, we have *Claim 2:* $|V_3| > 4$.

Proof: Suppose on the contrary that $|V_3| \leq 3$, we consider the following two cases.

Case 1: there is an edge $w_1v_1 \in E_{3,3}$.

In this case, by Lemmas 7,10 and 13,we have

$$
\sum_{e \in N'(w_1v_1)} ABC(e) \le 4\sqrt{\frac{3+5-2}{3\times 5}}.
$$

Since $|V_3| \leq 3$, we have $|E_{3,4^+}| \leq 3$. Since $|E_{3^+,3^+}| = 2 \times$ $8-2 = 14$, we have $|E_{3,4+} \setminus N'(w_1v_1)| + |E_{4^+,4^+}| = 9$. Since $d(w_1) = d(v_1) = 3$, together with Lemmas 7,10 and 13, we have

$$
\sum_{e \in J} ABC(e) + \sum_{e \in E_{4^+,4^+}} ABC(e) \le 3\sqrt{\frac{5}{12}} + 6\sqrt{\frac{6}{16}},
$$

where $J = E_{3,4^+} \setminus N'(w_1v_1)$, and

$$
\sum_{e \in E_{2,3^+}} ABC(e) \le (n-8)\sqrt{2},
$$

and thus

$$
ABC(G) \le ABC(w_1v_1) + \sum_{e \in N'(w_1v_1)} ABC(e)
$$

+
$$
\sum_{e \in E_{3,4} \setminus N'(w_1v_1)} ABC(e)
$$

+
$$
\sum_{e \in E_{4^+,4^+}} ABC(e) + \sum_{e \in E_{2,3^+}} ABC(e)
$$

$$
\le \frac{2}{3} + 4\sqrt{\frac{6}{15}} + 3\sqrt{\frac{5}{12}} + 6\sqrt{\frac{6}{16}} + (n-8)\sqrt{2}.
$$

27610 VOLUME 6, 2018

Since $n \geq 10$, we have

$$
ABC(G) - ABC(X_2^{n-5}) < 0,
$$

a contradiction.

Case 2 ($|E_{3,3}| = 0$): We first prove the following claim:

$$
|V_3| + |V_4| \le 6. \tag{6}
$$

Otherwise, since $k = 8$ and $|E_{2,2}| = |E_{2,3}| = |E_{2,4}| = 0$, there is at most one vertex $u \in V_{4+}$. Since any vertex with degree two is only adjacent to *u*, we conclude that such a graph does not exist, which is a contradiction.

Then we claim that $|E_{3,4}| \leq 6$. Otherwise, we assume that $|E_{3,4}| \ge 7$, and consequently, there are at least three vertices with degree three. Since $|V_3| \leq 3$, we have $|V_3| = 3$. From $|E_{3,4}| \ge 7$ and $|V_3| + |V_4| \le 6$, we have $|V_4| \le 3$. Further, we also have a vertex $v \in V_3$ where each vertex in $N(v)$ has degree four whereby $|V_4| = 3$. By Lemma [8,](#page-4-3) we conclude that the vertices in $N(v)$ are pairwise adjacent. Now it can be checked that $|E_{3,4}| \leq 6$, contradicting with the assumption $|E_{3,4}| \geq 7$.

Then we have
$$
|E_{3,5^+}| + |E_{3,4}| \le 9
$$
, and so
\n
$$
ABC(G) \le \sum_{e \in E_{3,4^+}} ABC(e) + \sum_{e \in E_{4^+,4^+}} ABC(e)
$$
\n
$$
+ \sum_{e \in E_{2,3^+}} ABC(e)
$$
\n
$$
\le |E_{3,4}| \sqrt{\frac{5}{12}} + |E_{3,5^+}| \sqrt{\frac{6}{15}}
$$
\n
$$
+ (14 - |E_{3,5^+}| - |E_{3,4}|) \sqrt{\frac{6}{16}}
$$
\n
$$
+ (n - 8) \sqrt{2}
$$
\n
$$
\le 6 \sqrt{\frac{5}{12}} + 3 \sqrt{\frac{6}{15}} + 5 \sqrt{\frac{6}{16}} + (n - 8) \sqrt{2}.
$$

Since $n \geq 10$, we have

$$
ABC(G) - ABC(X_2^{n-5}) < 0,
$$

a contradiction.

Claim 3: $|V_3| \neq 4$.

Proof: Suppose on the contrary that $|V_3| = 4$, we then have $|E_{3,3}| \leq 2$.

If $|E_{3,3}| = 2$, then $G[V_3]$ is a matching of four vertices by Lemma [8.](#page-4-3) By Lemma [7](#page-4-1) and Lemma [13,](#page-5-2) we have $e' \notin E_{3,4}$ for each $e' \in N'(e)$ for any $e \in E_{3,3}$. Hence $|E_{3,4}| = 0$, and thus

$$
ABC(G) \le \sum_{e \in E_{3,3}} ABC(e) + \sum_{e \in M_1} ABC(e)
$$

+
$$
\sum_{e \in E_{4^+,4^+}} ABC(e) + \sum_{e \in E_{2,3^+}} ABC(e)
$$

$$
\le \frac{4}{3} + 8\sqrt{\frac{6}{15}} + 4\sqrt{\frac{6}{16}} + (n-8)\sqrt{2}.
$$

Since $n \geq 10$, we have

$$
ABC(G) - ABC(X_2^{n-5}) < 0,
$$

a contradiction.

If $|E_{3,3}| = 1$, we can deduce that $|V_3| + |V_4| \le 6$ by similar argument to the proof of Case 2 (see Eq. [\(6\)](#page-7-0)). Since $|V_3| = 4$, we have $|V_4| \leq 2$. Hence $|E_{3,4}| \leq 4$ and $|E_{4,4}| \leq 1$. Then we have

$$
\sum_{e \in (E_{3,4^+} \setminus M_1)} ABC(e) \le 4\sqrt{\frac{5}{12}} + 2\sqrt{\frac{6}{15}},
$$

$$
\sum_{e \in E_{4^+,4^+}} ABC(e) \le \sqrt{\frac{6}{16}} + 2\sqrt{\frac{7}{20}},
$$

and thus

$$
ABC(G) \leq \sum_{e \in E_{3,3}} ABC(e)
$$

+ $\sum_{e \in M_1} ABC(e) + \sum_{e \in (E_{3,4} + \setminus M_1)} ABC(e)$
+ $\sum_{e \in E_{4+,4^+}} ABC(e) + \sum_{e \in E_{2,3^+}} ABC(e)$
 $\leq \frac{2}{3} + 4\sqrt{\frac{6}{15}} + 4\sqrt{\frac{5}{12}} + 2\sqrt{\frac{6}{15}} + \sqrt{\frac{6}{16}}$
+ $2\sqrt{\frac{7}{20}} + (n-8)\sqrt{2}$.

Since $n > 10$, we have

$$
ABC(G) - ABC(X_2^{n-5}) < 0,
$$

a contradiction.

If $|E_{3,3}| = 0$, we can deduce that $|V_3| + |V_4| \leq 6$ by similar argument to the proof of Case 2 (see Eq. [\(6\)](#page-7-0)) and thus $|V_4| \leq 2$.

Now we claim that $|V_4| = 2$. (Otherwise, we have $|V_4| \leq 1$). Now we have

$$
ABC(G) \le \sum_{e \in E_{3,4^+}} ABC(e) + \sum_{e \in E_{4^+,4^+}} ABC(e)
$$

+
$$
\sum_{e \in E_{2,3^+}} ABC(e)
$$

$$
\le 4\sqrt{\frac{5}{12}} + 8\sqrt{\frac{6}{15}} + 2\sqrt{\frac{7}{20}} + (n-8)\sqrt{2}.
$$

Since $n \geq 10$, we have $ABC(G) - ABC(X_2^{n-5}) < 0$, a contradiction.

Now we have both $G[V_{3+}]$ and G contains at least four vertices t_1 , t_2 , t_3 , t_4 with degree three and two vertices s_1 , s_2 with degree four. Further, $G[V_{3+}]$ contains another two vertices w_1 , w_2 and each vertex with degree two is adjacent to w_1 and w_2 . By computer search, we obtain that if $|V'_3| = 4$ there is a total of four graphs for *G* and eight possibilities with different w_1 and w_2 , which are presented in Figure 3; and if $|V'_3| = 5$ there is a total of seven graphs for *G* and seven possibilities with different w_1 and w_2 , which are presented in Figure 4.

We can verify that $ABC(G)$ < $ABC(X_2^{n-5})$ for each graph $G = T(G_8^i, w_1, w_2, n - 8)$ (*i* ∈ {1, 2, · · · , 15}), a contradiction.

FIGURE 3. The graphs used in the proof of Claim [2](#page-6-2) if $|E_{3,3}| = 0$ and $|V'_3| = 4.$

FIGURE 4. The graphs used in the proof of Claim [2](#page-6-2) if $|E_{3,3}| = 0$ and $|V'_{3}| = 5.$

Claim 4: $|V_3| \neq 5$.

Proof: Suppose on the contrary that $|V_3| = 5$, similar to the proof of Claim [2](#page-6-2) we have $|E_{3,3}| \leq 2$.

If $|E_{3,3}| = 0$, then $G[V_3]$ is an independent set. If $G[V_{3+}]$ has exactly five vertices with degree three, then $G[V_{3+}]$ has 8 vertices, 14 edges, 5 vertices with degree three and contains no edge in *E*3,3. However, such a graph does not exist. We can also deduce that it is impossible for $G[V_{3+}]$ to have exactly *p* vertices with degree three for each $p \in \{6, 7, 8\}$. Hence, we obtain a contradiction.

If $|E_{3,3}| = 1$, we consider the following two cases.

Case 1 ($|V'_3| = 5$): In this case, we have $|E'_{3,3}| = 1$. By computer search, we find only one (8,14)-graph (depicted as G_8^{16} in Figure 5) satisfying the condition $|V'_3| = 5$ and $|E'_{3,3}| = 1$. For the unique edge $u_3u_4 \in E'_{3,3}$, it can be seen that $N(u_3) \neq N(u_4)$. On the other hand, we have $xz \in E(G)$ for any $P_3 = xyz$ with $d(x) = d(y) = 3$ and $d(z) \ge 3$ By Lemma [7.](#page-4-1) By applying $\{x, y\} = \{u_3, u_4\}$, we have $N(u_3) =$ $N(u_4)$, a contradiction.

Case 2 ($|V'_3| = 6$): In this case, we have $|E'_{3,3}| \leq 4$. By computer search, we find four (8,14)-graphs satisfying the condition $|V'_3| = 6$ and $|E'_{3,3}| \leq 4$. By observing these graphs, we find each of them has $|E'_{3,3}| = 4$. It follows that there is a vertex $x \in V'_3$ adjacent to other three vertices in V'_3 with degree three. In the set of above four graphs, there is only one graph satisfying this condition which is depicted

FIGURE 5. The graphs used in the proof of Claim [2](#page-6-2) if $|E_{3,3}| = 1$.

as G_8^{17} in Figure 5. By observing the graph G_8^{17} , it can be seen that $V'_3 = \{u_1, u_3, u_4, u_6, u_7, u_8\}$ and $V'_5 = \{u_2, u_5\}.$ Since $|V_3| = 5$ and $|V_{3+}| = 8$, we have $|V_{4+}| = 3$. Now we may assume w.l.o.g. that $|V_4^+|$ $|t_4^+|$ = {*t*₁, *t*₂, *t*₃}, *d*(*t*₁) = *x*, $d(t_2) = y$, and $d(t_3) = z$ with $x \le y \le z$. Note that $V_{4+} \supseteq \{u_2, u_5\}, |E_{2,3}| = 0, |E_{2,4}| = 0 \text{ and } V'_5 = \{u_2, u_5\},\$ we have $5 \le x \le y \le z$ and $x + y + z = 2n - 3$. That is to say, *G* is a graph obtained by adding *n* − 8 vertices with degree two to G_8^{17} such that each vertex with degree two is adjacent to vertices in $\{t_1, t_2, t_3\}$.

Let $c = 2n - 3$,

$$
f(x, y) = \frac{2}{3} + 5\sqrt{\frac{x+1}{3x}} + 5\sqrt{\frac{y+1}{3y}}
$$

+
$$
3\sqrt{\frac{2n-3-x-y+1}{3(2n-3-x-y)}} + (n-8)\sqrt{2},
$$

then we have

$$
\frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial x} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2(c - x - y)^2 \sqrt{\frac{c - x - y + 1}{c - x - y}}} - \frac{5}{2\sqrt{3}x^2 \sqrt{\frac{x + 1}{x}}}
$$

< $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left(\frac{1}{z^2 \sqrt{\frac{z + 1}{z}}} - \frac{1}{x^2 \sqrt{\frac{x + 1}{x}}} \right)$
 $\leq 0.$

Similarly, we have $\frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial y} < 0$. Since $n \ge 10$, we have

$$
ABC(G) \le f(x, y) \le f(5, 5)
$$

\n
$$
\le \frac{2}{3} + 10\sqrt{\frac{6}{15}} + 3\sqrt{\frac{2n - 12}{3(2n - 13)}} + (n - 8)\sqrt{2}
$$

\n
$$
< ABC(X_2^{n-5}),
$$

which is a contradiction to the initial assumption.

If $|E_{3,3}| = 2$, then $G[V_3]$ is a matching of four vertices by Lemmas [7](#page-4-1) and [10.](#page-5-3) We may assume without loss of generality that $V_{3^+} = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_8\}$ such that $E_{3,3} = \{u_1u_2, u_3u_4\}$, *d*(*u*₅) = 3 and {*d*(*u*₆), *d*(*u*₇), *d*(*u*₈)} = {*x*, *y*, *z*} with *x* ≤ *y* ≤ *z*. Then we have $x + y + z = 2n - 3$.

Let $c = 2n - 3$ and

$$
f(x, y) = \frac{4}{3} + 5\sqrt{\frac{x+1}{x}} + 5\sqrt{\frac{y+1}{y}} + \sqrt{\frac{c-x-y+1}{3(c-x-y)}}
$$

$$
+ \sqrt{\frac{x+y-2}{xy}} + (n-8)\sqrt{2}.
$$

Similarly, we have $\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial x} < 0$ and $\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial y} < 0$. Since $|V_3| =$ 5, we have $4 \le x \le y \le z$. Therefore, we have if $n \ge 10$,

$$
ABC(G) \le f(x, y) \le f(4, 4)
$$

\n
$$
\le \frac{4}{3} + 10\sqrt{\frac{5}{12}} + \sqrt{\frac{2n - 10}{6n - 33}}
$$

\n
$$
+ \sqrt{\frac{6}{16}} + (n - 8)\sqrt{2}
$$

\n
$$
< ABC(X_2^{n-5}),
$$

a contradiction.

Claim 5: $|V_3| \neq 6$.

Proof: Suppose on the contrary that $|V_3| = 6$. Then we may assume without loss of generality that $G[V_{3+}] =$ {*w*₁, *w*₂, · · · , *w*₆, *t*₁, *t*₂} where *d*(*w*_{*i*}) = 3 for each *i* ∈ $\{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$ such that $N(v) = \{t_1, t_2\}$ each vertex v with degree two. If $|V'_3| = 6$, according to Lemma [7,](#page-4-1) $G[V_3]$ must be a matching. It can be verified that such a graph does not exist, a contradiction. If $|V'_3| = 7$, then $|E'_{3,3}| = 7$ since $|E(G[V_{3+}])| = 14$, we have $d_{G[V_{3+}]}(u_8) = 7$. Since $|E_{3,3}| \le 2$, we have $|E'_{3,3}| \le 5$, a contradiction. *Claim 6:* $|V_3| \neq 7$.

Proof: Suppose on the contrary that $|V_3| = 7$, then the vertex with degree two can not connect to any other vertices since $|E_{2,3}| = |E_{2,4}| = 0$, a contradiction. From the results of Claims [2–](#page-6-2)[6,](#page-9-0) the claim of Lemma [16](#page-6-3) follows. \Box

Lemma 17: Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and G be an (n, m) -graph, $k \geq 6$, $m = 2n - 2$, $\delta(G) \geq 2$ and *G* has the maximum ABC index. Then $k \neq 7$.

Proof: Suppose on the contrary that $k = 7$, the proof is similar to that of Lemma [16.](#page-6-3) So we omit the detailed proof but give outline of the proof.

First, we need to claim that

$$
|V_3| + |V_4| \le 5,\tag{7}
$$

then discuss the cases by different values of $|V_3|$.

If $|V_3| = 0$, we have $ABC(G) \le 12\sqrt{\frac{6}{16}} + (n-7)\sqrt{2}$, and obtain that $ABC(G) < ABC(X_2^{n-5})$, which is a contradiction.

If $|V_3| = 1$, then $|V_4| \leq 4$ by Eq. [\(7\)](#page-9-1). Then we can obtain that $|E_{3,4}| \leq 3$ and $|E_{4,4}| \leq {4 \choose 2}$ $_{2}^{4}$) = 6. Now we have $ABC(G) \leq 3\sqrt{\frac{5}{12}} + 6\sqrt{\frac{6}{16}} + 3\sqrt{\frac{7}{20}} + (n-7)\sqrt{2}$, and obtain that $ABC(G) < ABC(X_2^{n-5})$, which is a contradiction.

If $|V_3| = 2$, then $|V_4| \le 3$ by Eq. [\(7\)](#page-9-1). If $|E_{3,3}| = 1$, we have $|E_{3,4}| = 0$ and $|E_{4,4}| \leq 3$. Now we have $ABC(G) \leq \frac{2}{3}$ + $4\sqrt{\frac{6}{15}}+3\sqrt{\frac{6}{16}}+4\sqrt{\frac{7}{20}}+(n-7)\sqrt{2}$, and obtain that *ABC*(*G*) < $ABC(X_2^{n-5})$, which is a contradiction. If $|E_{3,3}| = 0$, we have $|V_4| \geq 3$ and thus $|V_4| = 3$. In this case, we can obtain a contradiction by similar argument to the proof of Claim [3.](#page-7-1)

If $|V_3| = 3$, then $|V_4| \le 1$ by Eq. [\(7\)](#page-9-1). We can process the cases $|V_3| \in \{3, 4\}$ by using similar approach to Claim [4.](#page-7-2)

If $|V_3| = 5$, the *G* is a graph such that each vertex with degree 2 is adjacent to two common vertices. We can process

FIGURE 6. The graphs used in the proof of Lemma [19.](#page-9-2)

this case by using the approach used in the proof of Claim [3](#page-7-1) in the case $|E_{3,3}| = 0$ and $|V'_3| = 4$.

In order to prove Lemma [18,](#page-9-3) we can start with $|V_3| + |V_4|$ < 4 and discuss the cases $|V_3|$. The proof is also similar and we also omit it.

Lemma 18: Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and G be an (n, m) -graph, $m =$ $2n - 2$, $\delta(G) > 2$ and *G* has the maximum ABC index. Then $k \neq 6$.

Lemma 19: Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and G be an (n, m) -graph, $n \geq 13$, $m = 2n - 2$, $\delta(G) \geq 2$ and *G* has the maximum ABC index. If $k = 5$, then $G \cong X_2^{n-5}$.

Proof: We first assume on the contrary that $G \ncong X_2^{n-5}$. Since $m = 2n - 2$, we have $G[V_{3+}]$ is isomorphic to one of the graphs $(G_5^1 \text{ and } G_5^2)$ depicted in Figure 6. It is clear that G_5^1 or G_5^2 has no pendent vertices and $N_{G[V_{3+}]}(v)$ contains at least two vertices with degree at least two for each $v \in V'_3$. By Lemma [6](#page-4-0) (ii), we have $|E_{2,6}| = 0$, and thus *G* contains no vertex with degree 6.

Since $|E_2| = 0$ by Lemma [6,](#page-4-0) we have G contains no vertex with degree 5, and

Claim 7: $|V_3 \cup V_4|$ ≥ 2.

Proof: Otherwise, we have $|V_3| = 0$ or $|V_4| = 0$. If $|V_4| = 0$, we have

$$
ABC(G) \leq \sum_{e \in E_{3,6^+}} ABC(e) + \sum_{e \in E_{6^+,6^+}} ABC(e)
$$

+
$$
\sum_{e \in E_{2,3^+}} ABC(e)
$$

$$
\leq 3\sqrt{\frac{7}{18}} + 5\sqrt{\frac{10}{36}} + (n - 5)\sqrt{2}
$$

$$
< ABC(X_2^{n-5}),
$$

a contradiction.

If $|V_3| = 0$, we have

$$
ABC(G) \leq \sum_{e \in E_{4,6^{+}}} ABC(e) + \sum_{e \in E_{6^{+},6^{+}}} ABC(e)
$$

+
$$
\sum_{e \in E_{2,3^{+}}} ABC(e)
$$

$$
\leq 4\sqrt{\frac{7}{18}} + 4\sqrt{\frac{10}{36}} + (n-5)\sqrt{2}
$$

$$
< ABC(X_2^{n-5}),
$$

a contradiction.

FIGURE 7. The graph $G^*(x, y, z)$.

Now we have

Claim 8: One of the following three cases holds.

- 1) $|V_3| = 2$ and $|E_{3,3}| = 0$;
- 2) $|V_3| = |V_4| = 1$ and $|E_{3,4}| = 0$;
- 3) $|V_3 \cup V_4|$ ≥ 3.

Proof: If $|V_3 \cup V_4| \leq 2$, then $|V_3 \cup V_4| = 2$ by Claim [7.](#page-9-4) If $|V_3| = 2$ and $|V_4| = 0$, then we have $|E_{3,3}| = 0$. Otherwise, there is at least an edge in *E*3,³ and we have

$$
ABC(G) \leq \sum_{e \in E_{3,3}} ABC(e) + \sum_{e \in E_{3,7}+} ABC(e)
$$

+
$$
\sum_{e \in E_{7^+,7^+}} ABC(e) + \sum_{e \in E_{2,3^+}} ABC(e)
$$

$$
\leq \frac{2}{3} + 4\sqrt{\frac{8}{21}} + 3\sqrt{\frac{12}{49}} + (n - 5)\sqrt{2}
$$

$$
< ABC(X_2^{n-5}),
$$

a contradiction.

If $|V_3| = 1$ and $|V_4| = 1$, then we have $|E_{3,4}| = 0$ by similar argument to the proof of the case $|V_3| = 2$ and $|V_4| = 0.$

Similarly, we have that it is impossible if $|V_3| = 0$ and $|V_4| = 2.$

Case 1 ($|V_3| = 2$, $|V_4| = 0$ and $|E_{3,3}| = 0$): Now, we define some graphs as follows. Let G_5^1 be the left side graph depicted in Figure 5. For nonnegative integer $f(x, y, z)$, we define a graph $G^*(x, y, z)$ by $V(G^*(x, y, z)) =$ *V*(*G*¹₅) ∪ {*s*₁, *s*₂, . . . , *s*_{*x*}} ∪ {*t*₁, *t*₂, . . . , *t*_{*y*}} ∪ {*r*₁, *r*₂, . . . , *r*_{*z*}}, and $E(G^*(x, y, z)) = E(G_5^1) \cup \{s_1u_4, s_2u_4, \ldots, s_xu_4\} \cup$ ${s_1u_5, s_2u_5, \ldots, s_xu_5} \cup {t_1u_5, t_2u_5, \ldots, t_yu_5} \cup {t_1u_2,$ *t*₂*u*₂, . . . , *t*_{*y*}*u*₂} ∪ {*r*₁*u*₄, *r*₂*u*₄, . . . , *r*_{*z*}*u*₄} ∪ {*r*₁*u*₂, *r*₂*u*₂, . . . , $r_z u_2$. That is to say, $G^*(x, y, z)$ is obtained from G_5^1 by adding *x* vertices of degree two adjacent to both *u*⁴ and *u*5, adding *y* vertices of degree two adjacent to both u_5 and u_2 and adding *z* vertices of degree two adjacent to both *u*⁴ and *u*² (see Figure 7).

Let $G_1 = G^*(x_1, y_1, z_1)$ and $G_2 = G^*(x_2, y_2, z_2)$ with $x_1 +$ $y_1 + z_1 = x_2 + y_2 + z_2 = n - 5$. By symmetry, we assume $x_2 > y_2$. Then we have

Claim 9: If $z_1 = z_2$ and $x_1 = y_1$, then $ABC(G_1) \ge$ $ABC(G_2)$.

Proof: Let $f(x, y) = 2\sqrt{\frac{4+x}{3(x+3)}} + 2\sqrt{\frac{4+y}{3(y+3)}} + \sqrt{\frac{1+x+t}{(3+x)t}} +$ $\sqrt{1+y+t}$ $\frac{1+y+t}{(3+y)t}$. For any constant $c' \geq x$, we have that $f(x, c'-x)$ is monotonically decreasing with *x* on $\left[\frac{c'}{2}, +\infty\right)$.

Let $E' = \{u_4u_5, u_1u_4, u_3u_4, u_2u_5, u_1u_2, u_2u_3\}, t =$ $d(u_5|G_1)$ and $c' = n-5-z_1$. Note that $d(u_5|G_2) = d(u_5|G_1)$, we have

$$
ABC(G_1) - ABC(G_2)
$$

= $\sum_{e \in E'} (ABC(e|G_1) - ABC(e|G_2))$
= $2\sqrt{\frac{4 + x_1}{3(x_1 + 3)}} + 2\sqrt{\frac{4 + y_1}{3(y_1 + 3)}} + \sqrt{\frac{1 + x_1 + t}{(3 + x_1)t}}$
+ $\sqrt{\frac{1 + y_1 + t}{(3 + y_1)t}} - 2\sqrt{\frac{4 + x_2}{3(x_2 + 3)}} - 2\sqrt{\frac{4 + y_2}{3(y_2 + 3)}}$
= $\sqrt{\frac{1 + x_2 + t}{(3 + x_2)t}} - \sqrt{\frac{1 + y_2 + t}{(3 + y_2)t}}$
= $f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_2)$
= $f(x_1, c' - x_1) - f(x_2, c - x_2)$.

Since $z_1 = z_2$ and $x_1 = y_1$, we have $x_2 \geq x_1$ and so $ABC(G_1) - ABC(G_2) \geq 0.$ By Claim [9,](#page-10-0) we have

$$
ABC(G^*(x, y, z)))
$$

\n
$$
\leq f\left(\frac{n-5-z}{2}, \frac{n-5-z}{2}\right)
$$

\n
$$
+2\sqrt{\frac{n-z}{3(n-1-z)}} + (n-5)\sqrt{2}
$$

It can be verified that $z = n - 5$ if and only if the function $f\left(\frac{n-5-z}{2}, \frac{n-5-z}{2}\right) + 2\sqrt{\frac{n-z}{3(n-1-z)}} + (n-5)\sqrt{2}$ reaches the maximum value. Therefore, if $ABC(G^*(x, y, z))$ reaches the maximum value, we have $G \cong X_2^{n-5}$, contradicting with the assumption of *G*.

Case 2 ($|V_3| = |V_4| = 1$ *and* $|E_{3,4}| = 0$): By observing two graphs G_5^1 and G_5^2 in Figure 6, it can be easily inferred that the case is impossible.

Case 3 ($|V_3 \cup V_4| \geq 3$): In this case, *G* is a graph obtained by adding $n - 5$ vertices with degree two to two vertices in G_5^1 or G_5^2 . We can obtain the desired result by using similar argument to the proof of Claim [3.](#page-7-1)

Lemma 20: Let *G* be an (n, m) -graph, $n \ge 10, m = 2n - 2$, $\delta(G) \geq 2$ and *G* has the maximum ABC index. If $k = 4$, then $G \cong \overline{X}_1^{n-4}.$

Proof: We first assume on the contrary that $G \ncong X_1^{n-4}$. Since $|E_{2,4}| = |E_{2,5}| = 0$, we have $|V_4| = |V_5| =$ 0 and *G* contains no vertex with degree 4 or 5. Then we have

Claim 10: $|V_3| \geq 1$.

Proof: Otherwise, we have

$$
ABC(G) \le \sum_{e \in E_{6^+, 6^+}} ABC(e) + \sum_{e \in E_{2,3^+}} ABC(e)
$$

$$
\le 6\sqrt{\frac{10}{36}} + (n - 4)\sqrt{2}
$$

$$
< ABC(X_1^{n-4}),
$$

a contradiction.

Since *m* = 2*n* − 2, we have $G[V_{3+}] \cong K_4$. By Claim [10,](#page-10-1) $G \cong H(x, y, z)$ for some *x*, *y*, *z* with $x + y + z = n - 4$. Let $x + y + z = n - 4$ and $H(x, y, z)$ is obtained from $G[V_{3+}]$ by adding *x* vertices of degree two adjacent to both *u*² and u_3 , adding *y* vertices of degree two adjacent to both u_3 and u_4 and adding *z* vertices of degree two adjacent to both *u*⁴ and *u*₂. Then we have *H*(*x*, *y*, *z*) ≅ X_1^{n-4} . Now, we have

$$
ABC(H(x, y, z))
$$

= $\sqrt{\frac{4+x}{3(3+x)}} + \sqrt{\frac{4+y}{3(3+y)}}$
+ $\sqrt{\frac{4+z}{3(3+z)}} + \sqrt{\frac{4+x+y}{(3+x)(3+y)}}$
+ $\sqrt{\frac{4+x+z}{(3+x)(3+z)}} + \sqrt{\frac{4+z+y}{(3+z)(3+y)}}$.

By similar argument to the proof of Lemma [19,](#page-9-2) we have $\overline{ABC(H(x, y, z))} \le \overline{ABC(H(0, 0, x + y + z))} = \overline{ABC(X_1^{n-4})}.$ Moreover, $ABC(H(x, y, z)) < ABC(X_1^{n-4})$ if $x + y > 0$. This assertion completes the proof.

Theorem 1: Let *G* be an (n, m) -graph with $n \ge 5$ and $m =$ 2*n* − 2. If $\delta(G) \geq 2$, then we have

$$
ABC(G) \le \max\{ABC(X_1^{n-4}), ABC(X_2^{n-5})\}.
$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if either i) $G \cong X_1^{n-4}$ and $n \leq 34$, or (ii) $G \cong X_2^{n-5}$ and $n \geq 35$.

Proof: If $n \in \{5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$, we can verify that $G \cong$ X_1^{n-4} by computer search. By Lemmas 4[-20,](#page-10-2) we have $\mathcal{G} = \emptyset$. Therefore, if *G* is a graph with $n \ge 10$ vertices and $m = 2n-2$ edges, minimum degree at least two and the maximum ABC index, then $G \cong X_1^{n-4}$ or $G \cong X_2^{n-5}$. Then by Lemma [1,](#page-1-5) it follows that Theorem [1](#page-11-0) holds.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Chen and X. Guo, ''Extreme atom-bond connectivity index of graphs,''*MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.*, vol. 65, no. 3, pp. 713–722, 2011.
- [2] J. Chen, J. Liu, and Q. Li, "The atom-bond connectivity index of catacondensed polyomino graphs,'' *Discrete Dyn. Nature Soc.*, vol. 2013, Feb. 2013, Art. no. 598517.
- [3] J. Chen, J. Liu, and X. Guo, "Some upper bounds for the atombond connectivity index of graphs,'' *Appl. Math. Lett.*, vol. 25, no. 7, pp. 1077–1081, 2012.
- [4] K. C. Das, I. Gutman, and B. Furtula, "On atom-bond connectivity index," *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 511, pp. 452–454, Aug. 2011.
- [5] D. Dimitrov, "On structural properties of trees with minimal atom-bond connectivity index IV: Solving a conjecture about the pendent paths of length three,'' *Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 313, pp. 418–430, Nov. 2017.
- [6] D. Dimitrov, Z. Du, and C. M. da Fonseca, ''On structural properties of trees with minimal atom-bond connectivity index III: Trees with pendant paths of length three,'' *Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 282, pp. 276–290, 2016.
- [7] D. Dimitrov, B. Ikica, and R. Škrekovski, ''Remarks on maximum atombond connectivity index with given graph parameters,'' *Discrete Appl. Math.*, vol. 222, pp. 222–226, May 2017.
- [8] D. Dimitrov and N. C. Milosavljević, "Efficient computation of trees with minimal atom-bond connectivity Index revisited,'' *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.*, vol. 79, pp. 431–450, Jun. 2018.
- [9] Z. Du, ''On the atom-bond connectivity index and radius of connected graphs,'' *J. Inequalities Appl.*, vol. 2015, no. 1, p. 188, Dec. 2015.
- [10] E. Estrada, L. Torres, L. Rodríguez, and I. Gutman, ''An atom-bond connectivity index: Modelling the enthalpy of formation of alkanes,'' *Indian J. Chem.*, vol. 37A, pp. 849–855, Oct. 1998.
- [11] E. Estrada, ''Atom–bond connectivity and the energetic of branched alkanes,'' *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 463, pp. 422–425, Oct. 2008.
- [12] B. Furtula, A. Graovac, and D. C. Vukičević, ''Atom–bond connectivity index of trees,'' *Discrete Appl. Math.*, vol. 157, no. 13, pp. 2828–2835, 2009.
- [13] A. Graovac and M. Ghorbani, "A new version of atom-bond connectivity index,'' *Acta Chim. Slov.*, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 609–612, 2010.
- [14] I. Gutman, J. Tošović, S. Radenković, and S. Marković, ''On atombond connectivity index and its chemical applicability,'' *Indian J. Chem.*, vol. 51A, pp. 690–694, May 2012.
- [15] X. Ke, "Atom-bond connectivity index of benzenoid systems and fluoranthene congeners,'' *Polycyclic Aromatic Compounds*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 27–35, 2012.
- [16] W. Lin, J. Chen, Q. Chen, T. Gao, X. Lin, and B. Cai, "Fast computer search for trees with minimal ABC index based on tree degree sequences,'' *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.*, vol. 72, pp. 699–708, Jan. 2014.
- [17] Z. Shao, P. Wu, Y. Gao, I. Gutman, and X. Zhang, ''On the maximum *ABC* index of graphs without pendent vertices,'' *Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 315, pp. 298–312, Dec. 2017.
- [18] R. Xing, B. Zhou, and F. Dong, ''On atom–bond connectivity index of connected graphs,'' *Discrete Appl. Math.*, vol. 159, no. 15, pp. 1617–1630, 2011.
- [19] R. Xing, B. Zhou, and Z. Du, "Further results on atom-bond connectivity index of trees,'' *Discrete Appl. Math.*, vol. 158, no. 14, pp. 1536–1545, 2011.
- [20] J. Yang, F. Xia, and H. Cheng, ''The atom-bond connectivity index of benzenoid systems and phenylenes,'' *Int. Math. Forum*, vol. 6, no. 41, pp. 2001–2005, 2011.
- [21] L. Zhong and Q. Cui, "On a relation between the atom-bond connectivity and the first geometric–arithmetic indices,'' *Discrete Appl. Math.*, vol. 185, pp. 249–253, Apr. 2015.

binatorial optimization.

ZEHUI SHAO received the B.S. and M.S. degrees in engineering from the Chengdu University of Technology, in 2002 and 2005, respectively, and the D.Sc. degree from the Huazhong University of Science and Technology in 2009. He was a Teacher of computer science with Chengdu University from 2009 to 2016. He is currently a Professor with Guangzhou University. His research interests include combinatorics and combinatorial optimization.

PU WU received the B.S. degree in computer science from Chengdu University, in 2018. His research interests include graph theory and com-

XIUJUN ZHANG received the M.S. degree in engineering from Zhejiang University, in 2003. He is currently an Associate Professor of computer science with Chengdu University. His research interests include graph theory, combinatorial optimization, and algorithm design.

JIA-BAO LIU received the B.S. degree from Wanxi University, Anhui, China, in 2005, and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees from Anhui University, Anhui, China, in 2009 and 2016, respectively, all in mathematics and applied mathematics. From 2013 to 2014, he was a Visiting Researcher with the School of Mathematics, Southeast University, China. In 2017, he was a Post-Doctoral Fellow with the School of Mathematics, Southeast University, China. He is currently an Associate

Professor with the School of Mathematics and Physics, Anhui Jianzhu University, Hefei, China. His current research interests include graph theory and its applications, fractional calculus theory, neural networks, and complex dynamical networks. He is a Reviewer of *Mathematical Reviews* and *Zentralblatt-Math*.

 \sim \sim \sim

DARKO DIMITROV received the Ph.D. degree in computational geometry from the Free University of Berlin, Germany. He was a member of the Theoretical Computer Science Group, Department of Mathamatics and Computer Science, Free University of Berlin. He has been a Researcher and a Lecturer with the Hochschule für Technik und Wirtschaft Berlin, also with Rheinisches Studienkolleg Berlin, also with the faculty of information studies in Novo Mesto, and also with Uni-

versity American College Skopje. His main areas of interest include graph theory and computational geometry, together with their applications in chemistry, computer graphics, and computer vision.