

Date of publication xxxx 00, 0000, date of current version xxxx 00, 0000.

*Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/ACCESS.2022.Doi Number*

# **Nonlinear controller synthesis for HIV dynamics based on SOS**

**YI DING1,2 , YONG ZHANG<sup>1</sup> , WEI LIU<sup>1</sup> , JINRONG LI<sup>1</sup> AND ZHONGLIANG MENG3,4**

<sup>1</sup>School of Automation and Electrical Engineering, Zhejiang University of Science and Technology, Hangzhou, 310023, China

<sup>2</sup>School of Computer Science, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an, 710119, China

<sup>3</sup>College of Engineering, Qufu Normal University, Rizhao, 276826, China

<sup>4</sup>Institute of Marine Science and Technology, Shandong University,Qingdao, 266237, China

Corresponding author: Yi Ding (dingyi@zust.edu.cn).

This work was supported in part by the Public Welfare Technology Application Research Project of Zhejiang Province (LGF22F030005).

**ABSTRACT** Nowadays antiretroviral therapy is widely used to cut down the viral burden and cut off a few infection sources in clinical medicine for Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) infected patients, and a lot of evidence have shown that a massive coverage with antiretroviral therapy has already acquired a series of contributions and successes to save life and popularize preventive knowledge. Based on the HIV dynamic model, this paper designs a feedback controller to adjust the concentration values of CD4+T cell, CD8+T cell and viral load in HIV dynamic model to a healthy condition asymptotically. The HIV dynamic model is expressed in the form of a polynomial system and then the control law, as the appropriate drug dosage usually applied in the medical therapy, is designed to suppress the reproduction of the HIV virus and improve the production rate of healthy cell in vivo, simultaneously. According to the polynomial Lyapunov theory and sum of squares (SOS) technique, the conditions for the controller synthesis are proposed. The simulation experimental results can be testified the availability of the proposed method.

**INDEX TERMS** Human Immunodeficiency Virus, antiretroviral therapy, polynomial Lyapunov theory, sum of squares.

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

In the world today, especially in many sub-Saharan African nations and a number of impoverished nations in Asia and South America, epidemic diseases are still acting as a dark role to seriously influence and restrict indigenous social stability and public hygiene development. Moreover, in the long run, this is not only a regional problem but also is a very serious cosmopolitan problem that has been released by some international organizations, academic reports, public media or social media all over the world. Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) is one of the fulminating infectious diseases that threatens the global human health so that it may be causing a series of unpredictable social and public health events. Nowadays Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) infection issue has been more or less obstructing global society's stability and progress to a certain extent. This is the main reason why the early-stage epidemic prevention and therapeutic measures vet. are very meaningful [1-3]. Generally speaking, the infection mechanism of HIV is that virus invades CD4+T cells in the human immune system, namely, HIV attacks and infects healthy CD4+T cells to let the healthy CD4+T cells to be

infected cells. Because of the abovementioned factors, the population of CD4+ T cells decreases that will result in healthy situations to slowly slip into the human immunodeficiency situation, it means that the patient is tardily losing the protection of the defense shield in the body. Modern world still exists a few difficulties in the field of HIV therapy due to the clinical vaccines without high efficiency to protect humankind. Currently, clinical medicine employs highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART), which usually includes several different antiretroviral drugs to be synthetically used, to treat patients. Reverse transcriptase inhibitors (RTIs) and protease inhibitors (PIs) are the typical choices for some time henceforth [4-7]. Although it has an incredible success to treat HIV infection via clinical therapeutic study, but all known drugs or techniques cannot eliminate all viruses in the human body to touch an aim to cure infected patients

Mathematic dynamic modeling methods based on clinical experimental data hold many important significances in clinical therapy and medical study. Mathematic modeling method for HIV dynamics study can easily reveal the **IEEE** Access<sup>®</sup>

variations and phenomena of an integrated infection process in the human body. Thereby, a suitable HIV dynamic model can help us to indirectly understand the details of the virus infection mechanism, evolution, natural death and clearance. Thereby, on the basis of the previous presentation, mathematic modeling method can help scientists or researchers further reveal several new features of the HIV infection, or supply a new way to evaluate the worth of some medical study programs.

In the last decades, a lot of time and energy had been devoted to studying the dynamics of HIV infection model or other infectious diseases. A compartmental model was established for HIV infection among men who have sex with men, which is used to evaluate the efficiency of antiretroviral therapy (ART) in cutting off the spread of HIV infection [8]. On account of many developed or developing therapeutic methods or drugs for HIV/AIDS, some mathematic models had been formed for the previous studies such as via exploring three types of CD4+ cells: uninfected cells, infected cells in the incubation period and infected cell production to obtain a differential equation model [9]. Some papers focus on the optimal scheduling [10] for drug dosage to drive body condition of the patient to a steady healthy state. Nowadays, there exist many papers with different control designs to improve their contributions in the biomedical study field. Some control methods for the issues of drug dosage scheduling have been used to treat HIV infection. While most of these studies desired the completed states for the proposed control, even more, some of them cannot capture the performances and changes of the entire dynamic process. In addition, some papers used linearization or T-S fuzzy approach to build the original HIV model. It is noted that the nonlinear HIV system directly represented by the polynomial nonlinear system is more precise with respect to linearization approach [11], or T-S fuzzy system [12]. Because using the method of [11], the linearized system is only similar to the original nonlinear HIV system that means it cannot represent the original model completely. Moreover, if we use T-S fuzzy system to represent the HIV model, the computational burden will increase due to a number of fuzzy rules as well. Here, a feedback control approach based on SOS has been proposed to handle the drug scheduling of a polynomial HIV model system. On the other hand, the recent research prefers to resolve certain questions about the polynomial nonlinear system directly rather than use linearized approximate model. Therefore, design and analysis via SOS technique have become the new tendency. Previously linear matrix inequality (LMI) has gotten lots of attention. A core characteristic of the LMI-based approaches owns simple and effective features as compared with other control approaches. However, the LMI-based approaches still look into certain design problems which cannot be represented perfectly in accordance with LMI [13] or cannot solve solutions conveniently via LMI. Thus, SOS-based approaches are considered as a novel road to solve some problems such as

it can efficiently and directly describe nonlinear polynomial systems or design nonlinear polynomial controllers in control theory. It means that the SOS-based approaches can well express the models without via linearizing or other approaches to obtain proximate models for solving approximate results as compared with the original models. Certainly, it is well-known that the developed SOS-based approaches [13-17] supplied much more extensive or relaxed results than the other existing control approaches. However, there exist few academic papers on SOS-based feedback control design for directly studying a polynomial HIV model system. For this purpose, we present this approach in this paper to explain how it can work to enforce the states of the patient recovering to the healthy states.

The article structure is shown as follows: In section 2, some preliminary knowledge about the HIV model is presented. In section 3, the control problem to be dealt with is described. Section 3 is the main result and its proof. In section 4, a numerical experiment and its simulation are shown in this section. In the last section, the conclusion is given.

#### **II. PRELIMINARY AND PROBLEM DESCRIPTION**

The model of HIV dynamics to be considered is as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\dot{\overline{x}}_1 &= p_1 \left( x_{10} - \overline{x}_1 \right) - p_2 \overline{x}_1 \overline{x}_3 \\
\dot{\overline{x}}_2 &= p_3 \left( x_{20} - \overline{x}_2 \right) + p_4 \overline{x}_2 \overline{x}_3 \\
\dot{\overline{x}}_3 &= \overline{x}_3 \left( p_5 \overline{x}_1 - p_6 \overline{x}_2 \right)\n\end{aligned} \tag{1}
$$

where  $\bar{x} = [\bar{x}_1 \quad \bar{x}_2 \quad \bar{x}_3]^T$  is the state vector which contains  $\overline{x}_1$  (CD4+T cells),  $\overline{x}_2$  (CD8+T cells) and  $\overline{x}_3$  (viral load, i.e., it corresponds to  $10<sup>7</sup>$  times measurement for the viral load in *copies*/*ml* ). Moreover,  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ , ..., and  $p_6$  are some positive constants whose description and values are listed in Table 1.

**TABLE 1** DESCRIPTION OF PARAMETERS AND STATE VARIABLES

DESCRII TION OF FARAMETERS AND STATE VARIADEES		
Variable and	Description	Value
parameter		
$\overline{x}_1$	$CD4+T$ cells population	N/A
$\overline{x}_{2}$	$CD8+T$ cells population	N/A
$\overline{x}_3$	HIV viral load	N/A
$p_{1}$	$CD4+T$ cells death rate	0.25
p <sub>2</sub>	$CD4+T$ cells infection rate	50
p <sub>3</sub>	CD8+T cells death rate	0.25
$p_{4}$	$CD8+T$ cells growth rate in response to viral load growth rate	10
$p_{\rm s}$	Viral load growth rate	0.01
$p_{6}$	Viral load clearance rate	0.006
$x_{10}$	CD4+T cell's unperturbed equilibrium value	1000
$x_{20}$	CD8+T cell's unperturbed equilibrium value	550

It is known that the system (1) has two equilibrium points, one is

$$
\overline{x}_1^* \triangleq x_{10} = 1000
$$
,  $\overline{x}_2^* \triangleq x_{20} = 550$ , and  $\overline{x}_3^* = 0$  (2)  $\dot{x}_3 = x_3 \boxed{p_3}$ 

and the other is

$$
\overline{x}_{1}^{*} = \frac{p_{1}p_{4}p_{5}x_{10} + p_{2}p_{3}p_{6}x_{20}}{p_{1}p_{4}p_{5} + p_{2}p_{3}p_{6}} = 442
$$
\n
$$
\overline{x}_{2}^{*} = \frac{p_{1}p_{4}p_{5}x_{10} + p_{2}p_{3}p_{6}x_{20}}{p_{1}p_{4}p_{6} + p_{2}p_{3}p_{6}} = 736
$$
\n
$$
\overline{x}_{3}^{*} = \frac{p_{1}p_{3}(p_{5}x_{10} - p_{6}x_{20})}{p_{1}p_{4}p_{5}x_{10} + p_{2}p_{3}p_{6}x_{20}} = 0.006.
$$
\n(3)  $\overline{x}_{3}(0) = 0.0001$  (cc  
shown in Fig. 1. It is  
any drug tree  
[442 736 0.006]<sup>7</sup>  
[442 736 0.006]

Let the right-hand side of  $(1)$  be denoted by  $f$ , where

$$
f = \begin{bmatrix} p_1(x_{10} - \overline{x}_1) - p_2 \overline{x}_1 \overline{x}_3 \\ p_3(x_{20} - \overline{x}_2) + p_4 \overline{x}_2 \overline{x}_3 \\ \overline{x}_3(p_5 \overline{x}_1 - p_6 \overline{x}_2) \end{bmatrix}.
$$
 (4) is known to  
symptom 1  
symptom 1  
treatment

In order to analyse the local stability of the equilibrium points, let us consider the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix  $F = \partial f / \partial \overline{x}$ , where

$$
F = \begin{bmatrix} -p_1 - p_2 \overline{x}_3 & 0 & -p_2 \overline{x}_1 \\ 0 & -p_3 + p_4 \overline{x}_3 & p_4 \overline{x}_2 \\ p_5 \overline{x}_3 & -p_6 \overline{x}_3 & p_5 \overline{x}_1 - p_6 \overline{x}_2 \end{bmatrix}.
$$
 (5)

With the aid of parameter values in Table 1, at the<br>
uilibrium point (2),  $(\partial f / \partial \overline{x})\Big|_{\overline{x}^* = (2)}$  has the eigenvalues (-<br>
25, -0.25, 6.7). It means that (2) is an unstable<br>
uilibrium point At the possible interesting equilibrium point (2),  $(\partial f / \partial \overline{x})|_{\overline{x}^*=(2)}$  has the eigenvalues ( $\overline{x}^*_{\overline{x}^*=(2)}$  = 0.25, -0.25, 6.7). It means that (2) is an unstable equilibrium point. At the equilibrium point  $(3)$ ,  $\degree$  soo  $(\partial f/\partial \overline{x})\big|_{\overline{x}^* = (3)}$  has the eigenvalues  $(-0.2498 + 1.2616i, 0.2498-1.2616i$ ,  $-0.2531$ ) which is a stable equilibrium<br>Eigung 1 The state responses of the system point. It is noted that (2) is corresponding to a healthy individual and (3) is for an infected individual [18].

The point (2) represents the equilibrium of the healthy condition which is the desired state of the system to be approached. Now we have changed the state variables as the form (6)

$$
x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{x}_1 - x_{10} \\ \overline{x}_2 - x_{20} \\ \overline{x}_3 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (6)

so that the new model will be presented as (7)

librium points,

\n
$$
\dot{x}_1 = -p_1 x_1 - p_2 (x_1 + x_{10}) x_3
$$
\n
$$
\dot{x}_2 = -p_3 x_2 + p_4 (x_2 + x_{20}) x_3
$$
\n
$$
\dot{x}_3 = x_3 \left[ p_5 (x_1 + x_{10}) - p_6 (x_2 + x_{20}) \right]
$$
\n(7)

where  $x_1 + x_{10} = \overline{x}_1 > 0$ ,  $x_2 + x_{20} = \overline{x}_2 > 0$  and  $x_3 = \overline{x}_3 \ge 0$ .<br>The state trajectories of HIV model simulation without

 $\frac{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_6 p_2 p_3}{p_1 p_4 p_6 + p_2 p_3 p_6} = 736$  (3)  $\frac{p_3(p_1 p_4 p_6 + p_2 p_3 p_6 p_2)}{\text{shown in Fig. 1. It is seen that if an infected person has not.}}$  $p_1 p_4 p_5 x_{10} + p_2 p_3 p_6 x_{20}$   $\bar{x}_2$   $\bar{x}_3$  (0) = 0.0001 (corresponding to 1000 *copies ml*) are  $+p_1p_3p_6x_{20}$  any control for the system (7) with initial conditions  $\frac{1}{x_1(0)}$  and  $\frac{1}{x_2(0)}$  and  $\frac{1}{x_1(0)}$  and  $\frac{1}{x_2(0)}$  and  $\frac{1}{x_1(0)}$  and  $\frac{1}{x_2(0)}$  and  $\frac{1}{x_2(0)}$  and  $\frac{1}{x_1(0)}$  and  $\frac{1}{x_2(0)}$  and  $\frac{1}{x_2(0)}$  and  $\frac{1}{x_2(0)}$  and  $\frac{1}{x_2(0)}$  and  $\frac{1$  $=\frac{P[P_3]P_5P_{10}P_6P_{20}]}{P_1P_1P_2P_3P_4} = 0.006.$  [442 736 0.006]<sup>T</sup> is the final state of the infected patient.  $f = \left[ \frac{p_3(x_{20} - \overline{x}_2)}{p_3(x_{20} - \overline{x}_2) + p_4 \overline{x}_2 \overline{x}_3} \right]$ . (4) is known that a patient with HIV can live with no apparent  $p_1(x_{10} - \overline{x}_1) - p_2 \overline{x}_1 \overline{x}_3$  | person's health will be deteriorated if no drug treatment. It and any drug treatment, the equilibrium point On the other hand, if a person's state is staying at the unstable equilibrium point (2) continuously, the person keeps being healthy. However, if any state is disturbed to be away from the equilibrium point (2) a little, then the symptom for a long time, even if there is not any drug treatment. But, unfortunately, this condition is just an asymptotic stability with the HIV virus, hence the patient is still going to death finally. Therefore, we need to apply a drug treatment (or say, design a control law) to make the infected patient's states approach the healthy equilibrium point (2) and keep there forever.



**Figure 1. The state responses of the system without control** *u .*

In general, the drug therapy *u* is added in the third equation of (7), then the system becomes

$$
\dot{x}_1 = -p_1 x_1 - p_2 (x_1 + x_{10}) x_3 \n\dot{x}_2 = -p_3 x_2 + p_4 (x_2 + x_{20}) x_3 \n\dot{x}_3 = x_3 [p_5 (x_1 + x_{10}) - p_6 (x_2 + x_{20})] - u.
$$
\n(8)

Based on the above exposition and analysis, the main concern in this study is how to design a controller *u* (so called drug dosage) for the system (8) such that the infected condition can be adjusted to the healthy condition asymptotically. Or it is said that the control will make the state vector *x* in (8) approach zero asymptotically.

**IEEE** Access<sup>®</sup>

## **III. MAIN RESULT**

Let (8) be rewritten as

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \\ \dot{x}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -p_1 & 0 & -p_2x_1 - p_2x_1 \\ 0 & -p_3 & p_4x_2 + p_4x_2 \\ p_5x_3 & -p_6x_3 & p_5x_1 - p_6x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} u
$$
, (9)   
control (12), the states will approach zero asymptomatically  
if there exist a polynomial matrix  $M(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 3}$  and a  
symmetric polynomial matrix  $P(\tilde{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ , such that

then, (9) can be represented in a polynomial nonlinear s y s t e m (())

$$
\dot{x} = A(x)x + B(x)u \qquad (10) \qquad \neg v' \left[ P(\tilde{x})A' \right]
$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 1}$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 1}$ ,  $A(x)$  and  $B(x)$  are polynomial matrices as follows

$$
A(x) = \begin{bmatrix} -p_1 & 0 & -p_2x_1 - p_2x_1 \\ 0 & -p_3 & p_4x_2 + p_4x_2 \\ p_5x_3 & -p_6x_3 & p_5x_{10} - p_6x_{20} \end{bmatrix}, \quad B(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}. (11) \quad \text{and} \quad K(x) = M
$$

Now the main task is to design the feedback controller *u*

$$
u = K(x)x \tag{12}
$$

where  $K(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}^3}$  is a polynomial gain matrix such that independent of the closed loop system with (8) and (12) will be asymptotically stable. Or it is said that we proceed to design a suitable drug therapy treatment as (12) such that the healthy equilibrium point is achieved asymptotically.

Before starting the design work, some definitions and lemmas need to be stated first since they will be used in the proof of the main theorem.

*Definition 1* [19, 20]**:** A multivariable polynomial  $\rho(x) = f(x_1,...,x_n)$ , where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , is an SOS if there  $\therefore$   $f(x) = f(x_1,...,x_n)$ exist polynomials  $f_1(x),..., f_m(x)$ , such that

$$
\rho(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i^2(x).
$$
\n(13) 
$$
\dot{V}(x) = \dot{x}^T P^{-1}(\tilde{x}) x + x^T P^{-1}(\tilde{x}) \dot{x} + x^T \dot{P}^{-1}(\tilde{x}) x
$$

*Lemma 1* [12, 19, 20]: Let  $\rho(x)$  be a polynomial in  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  of degree 2*d*. In addition, let  $Z(x)$  be a column vector whose entries are all monomials in *x* with a degree no greater than  $d$ . Then  $\rho(x)$  is a sum of squares (SOS) if and only if there exists a positive semidefinite matrix *Q* such that

$$
\rho(x) = Z^T(x)QZ(x). \tag{14}
$$

For any polynomial matrix  $\Lambda(x)$ , let  $\Lambda_k(x)$  be defined as the *k-th* row of  $\Lambda(x)$ . Now the following theorem is given to provide the conditions for the feedback control (13) design.

 $\begin{vmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ -p_3 \end{vmatrix}$   $\begin{vmatrix} p_1x_2 + p_4x_2 \\ p_5x_3 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} q_2 \\ q_3 \end{vmatrix}$  or if there exist a polynomial matrix  $M(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}^3}$  and a  $\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} -p_1 & 0 & -p_2x_1 - p_2x_{10} \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}$  control (12), the states will approach zero asymptomatically *Theorem 1:* For the HIV dynamic system (8) with the

$$
v^{T}\left(P(\tilde{x})-\varepsilon_{1}(x)I\right)v \text{ is an SOS,}
$$
 (15)

$$
-v^{T}\left[P(\tilde{x})A^{T}(x)+M^{T}(x)B^{T}(x)+A(x)P(\tilde{x})+B(x)M(x)\right]
$$

$$
-\sum_{k\in\Gamma}\frac{\partial P(\tilde{x})}{\partial x_{k}}A_{k}(x)x+\varepsilon_{2}(x)I\bigg]v
$$
is an SOS, (16)

and

$$
K(x) = M(x)P^{-1}(\tilde{x})
$$
 (17)

*u K x x* (12) <sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup> 0, *k B<sup>k</sup> x x* and the polynomials <sup>1</sup> *x* 0 where  $\tilde{x}$  is a vector composed of elements  $x_k$ ,  $k \in \Gamma$ , and  $\varepsilon_2(x) > 0$  for  $x \neq 0$ .  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 1}$  is a vector that is independent of *x* .

> *Proof:* Consider a candidate of polynomial Lyapunov function for the closed-loop system (8) and (12) as

$$
V(x) = xT P-1(\tilde{x})x , \qquad (18)
$$

where  $P^{-1}(\tilde{x})$  is a polynomial matrix. Substituting (17) and  $(12)$  into  $(10)$ , we have

$$
\dot{x} = A(x)x + B(x)M(x)P^{-1}(\tilde{x})x.
$$
 (19)

The derivative of  $V(x)$  is

$$
\dot{V}(x) = \dot{x}^T P^{-1}(\tilde{x}) x + x^T P^{-1}(\tilde{x}) \dot{x} + x^T \dot{P}^{-1}(\tilde{x}) x.
$$
 (20)

Meanwhile,  $\dot{P}^{-1}(\tilde{x})$  can be rewritten as follows

$$
\dot{P}^{-1}\left(\tilde{x}\right) = \frac{dP^{-1}\left(\tilde{x}\right)}{dt} = \frac{\partial P^{-1}\left(\tilde{x}\right)}{\partial x}\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial P^{-1}\left(\tilde{x}\right)}{\partial x_k}\dot{x}_k\,. \tag{21}
$$

Substituting (19) into (21) yields

$$
\dot{P}^{-1}(\tilde{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial P^{-1}(\tilde{x})}{\partial x_k} [A_k(x)x
$$
\n
$$
+ (B(x)M(x)P^{-1}(\tilde{x}))_k x ]
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial P^{-1}(\tilde{x})}{\partial x_k} [A_k(x)x]
$$
\n
$$
+ \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial P^{-1}(\tilde{x})}{\partial x_k} [A_k(x)x]
$$
\n
$$
(22)
$$
\n
$$
+ x^T \left( \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial P^{-1}(\tilde{x})}{\partial x_k} [A_k(x)x] \right)
$$
\n
$$
= x^T \left[ (A(x) + B(x)M(x)P^{-1}(\tilde{x}))_k x, + P^{-1}(\tilde{x}) (A(x) + B(x)M(x)P^{-1}(\tilde{x}))_k x \right]
$$
\n
$$
+ P^{-1}(\tilde{x}) (A(x) + B(x)M(x)P^{-1}(\tilde{x}))_k x
$$

where  $\dot{x}_k = A_k(x)x + (B(x)M(x)P^{-1}(\tilde{x}))_k x$  that is  $+ \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x-k)^k}{\tilde{c}^k} \right] A_k(x)x$ obtained from (19). Based on Definition 2, in the system (9),  $k \in \Gamma = \{1, 2\}$ , it means that  $\tilde{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ . If  $k \notin \Gamma$ , then  $\begin{cases} x \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma \end{cases}$   $\begin{cases} x \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma \end{cases}$   $\begin{cases} x \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma \end{cases}$  $k = 3$ . Therefore, it is easy to see that  $\partial P^{-1}(\tilde{x})/\partial x_3 = 0$ .  $+P^{-1}(\tilde{x})A(x)+P^{-1}(\tilde{x})B(x)M(x)$ Furthermore, since  $B_k(x) = 0, k = 1, 2$ , then  $(B(x)M(x)P^{-1}(\tilde{x}))$ <sub>k</sub> is a zero vector for  $k=1,2$ .  $\left(\begin{array}{cc} k\in\mathbb{R} & 0 \ k\in\mathbb{R} & 0 \end{array} \right)$ Therefore, it is obvious that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial P^{-1}(\tilde{x})}{\partial x_k} \Big( B(x) M(x) P^{-1}(\tilde{x}) \Big)_k x = 0
$$
 asymptotically stable if  $V$  the following equation hold  
and 
$$
\int_{-}^{\infty} A^T(x) P^{-1}(\tilde{x}) + P^{-1}(\tilde{x}) + P^{-1}(\tilde{x})
$$

$$
P^{-1}(\tilde{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial P^{-1}(\tilde{x})}{\partial x_k} [A_k(x)x]
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \frac{\partial P^{-1}(\tilde{x})}{\partial x_k} [A_k(x)x]
$$
  
\n(24) 
$$
+ \left( \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \frac{\partial P^{-1}(\tilde{x})}{\partial x_k} [A_k(x)x] \right) ] > 0.
$$
  
\nProve the multiplication (28) with  $P(\tilde{x})$  the

Hence, from (23) and (24), we have

$$
\dot{P}^{-1}\left(\tilde{x}\right) = \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \frac{\partial P^{-1}\left(\tilde{x}\right)}{\partial x_k} \Big[ A_k\left(x\right)x \Big]
$$
\n
$$
(25) \qquad \qquad + A\left(x\right)P\left(\tilde{x}\right) + B\left(x\right)M\left(x\right)
$$
\n
$$
\left(\begin{array}{c} \Delta x & \Delta x \\ \Delta x & \Delta x \end{array}\right) \Big] \qquad \qquad (25)
$$

and (20) becomes

$$
\dot{V}(x) = \dot{x}^T P^{-1}(\tilde{x}) x + x^T P^{-1}(\tilde{x}) \dot{x}
$$
\nBecause\n
$$
P(\tilde{x}) + x^T \left( \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \frac{\partial P^{-1}(\tilde{x})}{\partial x_k} \Big[ A_k(x) x \Big] \right) x.
$$
\nBecause\n
$$
P(\tilde{x}) P^{-1}(\tilde{x}) = I
$$
\n
$$
P(\tilde{x}) P^{-1}(\tilde{x}) = I
$$

Substituting (19) into (26) obtains

$$
\dot{V}(x) = (A(x)x + B(x)M(x)P^{-1}(\tilde{x})x)^{T}P^{-1}(\tilde{x})x
$$
\n
$$
+x^{T}P^{-1}(\tilde{x})(A(x)x + B(x)M(x)P^{-1}(\tilde{x})x)
$$
\n
$$
+x^{T}(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial P^{-1}(\tilde{x})}{\partial x_{k}} [A_{k}(x)x]x]x
$$
\n
$$
= x^{T}[(A(x)+B(x)M(x)P^{-1}(\tilde{x}))^{T}P^{-1}(\tilde{x}) + P^{-1}(\tilde{x})(A(x)+B(x)M(x)P^{-1}(\tilde{x})) \qquad (27)
$$
\n
$$
+x^{T}(\tilde{x})(A(x)+B(x)M(x)P^{-1}(\tilde{x})) \qquad (27)
$$
\n
$$
+x^{T}(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial P^{-1}(\tilde{x})}{\partial x_{k}} [A_{k}(x)x]x]x^{T}(\tilde{x}) \qquad (27)
$$
\n
$$
+x^{T}[\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial P^{-1}(\tilde{x})}{\partial x_{k}} [A_{k}(x)x]x^{T}(\tilde{x})B^{T}(x)P^{-1}(\tilde{x})
$$
\n
$$
+x^{T}[\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial P^{-1}(\tilde{x})}{\partial x_{k}} [A_{k}(x)x]x^{T}(\tilde{x})B^{T}(x)P^{-1}(\tilde{x})
$$
\nthen\n
$$
+x^{T}[\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial P^{-1}(\tilde{x})}{\partial x_{k}} [A_{k}(x)x]x^{T}(\tilde{x})x^{T}(\tilde{x})
$$

It is known that the system (9) will be asymptotically stable if  $\dot{V}(x)$  is negative. From (27), if let the following equation hold, then  $\dot{V}(x)$  will be negative.

$$
-\left[A^{T}(x)P^{-1}(\tilde{x})+P^{-1}(\tilde{x})M^{T}(x)B^{T}(x)P^{-1}(\tilde{x})+P^{-1}(\tilde{x})A(x)+P^{-1}(\tilde{x})B(x)M(x)P^{-1}(\tilde{x})+P^{-1}(\tilde{x})A(x)+P^{-1}(\tilde{x})B(x)M(x)P^{-1}(\tilde{x})
$$
\n
$$
+\left(\sum_{k\in\Gamma}\frac{\partial P^{-1}(\tilde{x})}{\partial x_{k}}\left[A_{k}(x)x\right]\right)\right]>0.
$$
\n(24)

Pre and post multiplying (28) with  $P(\tilde{x})$  obtains

$$
-[P(\tilde{x})A^{T}(x)+M^{T}(x)B^{T}(x)]+A(x)P(\tilde{x})+B(x)M(x) \qquad (29)+P(\tilde{x})\left(\sum_{k\in\Gamma}\frac{\partial P^{-1}(\tilde{x})}{\partial x_{k}}[A_{k}(x)x]\right)P(\tilde{x})\bigg]>0.
$$

 $P(\tilde{x})P^{-1}(\tilde{x}) = I$  with respect to  $x_k$ , it yields  $\left(\frac{\partial P^{-1}(\tilde{x})}{\partial P^{-1}(\tilde{x})} \right)$  (26) matrix,  $P(\tilde{x})P^{-1}(\tilde{x}) = I$ . Here, differentiating both sides of Because  $P(\tilde{x})$ is an invertible polynomial

$$
P^{-1}(\tilde{x})\frac{\partial P(\tilde{x})}{\partial x_k}P^{-1}(\tilde{x})=-\frac{\partial P^{-1}(\tilde{x})}{\partial x_k}.
$$
 (30)

Then

$$
\frac{\partial P(\tilde{x})}{\partial x_k} = -P(\tilde{x}) \frac{\partial P^{-1}(\tilde{x})}{\partial x_k} P(\tilde{x}).
$$
\n(31)

VOLUME XX, 2017 7

**IEEE** Access<sup>®</sup>

From (31), (29) can be rewritten as

$$
-\left[P(\tilde{x})A^{T}(x)+M^{T}(x)B^{T}(x) +B(x)M(x)\right] +B(x)M(x) +C^{T}(x)U(x)+U^{T}(x)G(x)+\varepsilon_{2}(x)U(y)
$$
\n
$$
-\sum_{k\in\Gamma}\frac{\partial P(\tilde{x})}{\partial x_{k}}\left[A_{k}(x)x\right]\right] > 0.
$$
\n(32) 
$$
+G^{T}(x)U(x)+U^{T}(x)G(x)+\varepsilon_{2}(x)U(y)
$$
\nis an SOS.\n\nRemark 1: Note that the polynomial matrix inequality (35)

It is known that if the condition (15) holds with  $\epsilon_1(x) > 0$  for  $x \neq 0$ , because  $v^T (P(\tilde{x}) - \epsilon_1(x)I)v$  is an HIV model (8). Only ac SOS, it is clear that  $P(\tilde{x}) - \varepsilon_1(x)I \ge 0$  that results in  $P(\tilde{x}) > 0$ . This  $P^{-1}(\tilde{x})$  is the invertible matrix of  $P(\tilde{x})$  and  $M(x)$ , thereby, we have and  $P(\tilde{x}) > 0$  so that  $P^{-1}(\tilde{x}) > 0$ . Therefore,  $V(x) > 0$  we up the original distribution can be achieved when  $P^{-1}(\tilde{x}) > 0$ . From (32), it is obvious may be much that the condition (32) is equivalent to the condition (16) of Theorem 1. It means that if the condition (16) holds with  $\varepsilon_2(x) > 0$  for  $x \neq 0$ , it leads to  $\dot{V}(x) < 0$ . Therefore, the free veriphles  $U(x)$ system (8) is asymptotically stable at the zero equilibrium. The proof is certainly completed.

Theorem 1 gives an SOS-based solution for the controller design. Generally, equation (17) gives an appropriate controller gain  $K(x)$  if  $P(\tilde{x})$  and  $M(x)$  can be found. Subsequently, we attempt to solve the conditions of Theorem 1 for the HIV model (8) and its parameters in Table 1 using the Sum of Squares Optimization Toolbox (SOSTOOLS) in Matlab. Unfortunately, the feasible solutions for  $P(\tilde{x})$  and  $M(x)$  to satisfy those conditions cannot be found. In order to resolve the infeasible solutions problem, the conditions in Theorem 1 must be relaxed. Let us define

$$
\Phi(x) = P(\tilde{x})A^T(x) + M^T(x)B^T(x) + A(x)P(\tilde{x})
$$
  
\n
$$
+B(x)M(x) - \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \frac{\partial P(\tilde{x})}{\partial x_k} [A_k(x)x]
$$
  
\n
$$
\Phi(x) = P(\tilde{x})A^T(x) + A^T(x)B^T(x) + A(x)P(\tilde{x})
$$
  
\n
$$
\Phi(x) = \frac{\text{Step 3: Find } K(x) \text{ from (17) and then the controller (12) is synthesized.}\n
$$
\text{Synthesized.}
$$
  
\n
$$
\text{Synthesized.}
$$
  
\n
$$
\text{Synthesized.}
$$
$$

and if  $-\Phi(x) > 0$ , that means

$$
x^T \Phi(x) x < 0 \tag{34}
$$

According to the Finsler's Theorem ([21] and [22]), (34) holds with a polynomial matrix  $U(x)$  satisfying  $U(x)x = 0$  for all  $x \neq 0$  is equivalent to

$$
\Phi(x) + G^{T}(x)U(x) + U^{T}(x)G(x) < 0 \qquad (35)
$$

for a certain matrix  $G(x)$ . From (16) and (35), it yields

$$
-v^{T}\left(P(\tilde{x})A^{T}(x)+M^{T}(x)B^{T}(x)+A(x)P(\tilde{x})\right)
$$

$$
+B(x)M(x)-\sum_{k\in\Gamma}\frac{\partial P(\tilde{x})}{\partial x_{k}}\Big[A_{k}(x)x\Big]
$$
(36)
$$
+G^{T}(x)U(x)+U^{T}(x)G(x)+\varepsilon_{2}(x)I\Big)v
$$

holds, (16) can be relaxed to be the condition (36) which will help us much easier to design the controller (12) for the HIV model (8). Only according to the conditions (15) and (16) are infeasible to solve directly the solutions of  $P(\tilde{x})$ and  $M(x)$ , thereby, we have to hold a new condition (36) to upgrade the original theoretical basis of this paper to supply certain auxiliary calculation assistances. Since it may be much easier to find the polynomial matrices  $P(\tilde{x})$ and  $M(x)$  if we have chosen the appropriate polynomial matrices  $U(x)$  and  $G(x)$ . In other words, because there are free variables  $U(x)$  and  $G(x)$  to be chosen such that we have more chance to find the feasible solution for  $P(\tilde{x})$ and  $M(x)$ . The details are shown in the next design procedure.

Now, it is ready to summarize the following procedure to design the controller (12).

**Step 1:** Firstly, on the basis of the Finsler's Theorem [21] to select the matrix  $U(x)$  such that  $U(x)x = 0$  and choose a certain polynomial matrix  $G(x)$ .

*Step* 2: Solve the condition (36) to find the matrices  $P(\tilde{x})$ and  $M(x)$ . If  $P(\tilde{x})$  and  $M(x)$  are infeasible, return to Step 1 to find another  $U(x)$  and  $G(x)$ , and try again.

 $f(x) = P(\tilde{x})A^T(x) + M^T(x)B^T(x) + A(x)P(\tilde{x})$  Step 3: Find  $K(x)$  from (17) and then the controller (12) is synthesized.

 $x^T \Phi(x) x < 0$ . (34) final target is to construct a controller for drug dosage in the To simulate the dynamics of CD4+T cells, CD8+T cells and viral load of the system with the model (8). The physical descriptions of the parameters are shown in detail in Table 1. Note that  $0 < \overline{x}_1 < x_{10}$ ,  $\overline{x}_2 > x_{20}$  and  $\overline{x}_3 \ge 0$ . The antiretroviral therapy. Suppose we choose

$$
U(x) = 10^{-1} \left[ 2x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 -x_1^2 x_2 x_3^2 -x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3 \right],
$$
 (37)

$$
G(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1x_2^2x_3^2 & -x_1^2x_2x_3^2 & -x_1^2x_2^2x_3 \end{bmatrix}.
$$
 (38)

Then, solve (36) by using the Toolbox (SOSTOOLS), we have

VOLUME XX, 2017 7

$$
M(x) = [m_{11} \quad m_{12} \quad m_{13}], \ P(\tilde{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} & p_{13} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} & p_{23} \\ p_{31} & p_{32} & p_{33} \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (39)   
 1, we start to give designed controller  
condition for the t

where

$$
m_{11} = -7.531e^{-2}x_1^2 + 5.163e^{-2}x_1x_2 + 0.1862x_1x_3
$$
 load concern  
+1.797e<sup>-2</sup>x<sub>1</sub> - 0.6939x<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup> - 0.1853x<sub>2</sub>x<sub>3</sub> the patient  
+2.053e<sup>-2</sup>x<sub>2</sub> - 6.608e<sup>-5</sup>x<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup> + 1.695e<sup>-2</sup>x<sub>3</sub> - 1.087,

$$
m_{12} = 8.313e^{-3}x_1^2 - 5.709e^{-3}x_1x_2 - 2.062e^{-2}x_1x_3
$$
 controller, the  
-1.167e<sup>-2</sup>x<sub>1</sub> + 7.634e<sup>-2</sup>x<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup> + 2.092e<sup>-2</sup>x<sub>2</sub>x\_3  
+ 0.1639x<sub>2</sub> + 1.276e<sup>-6</sup>x<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup> + 1.8e<sup>-2</sup>x<sub>3</sub> + 0.1196,

$$
m_{13} = 0.8655x_1^2 + 2.671e^{-3}x_1x_2 + 0.2602x_1x_3
$$
  
\n
$$
+ 4.136e^{-3}x_1 + 0.6324x_2^2 - 0.1002x_2x_3
$$
  
\n
$$
+ 1.454e^{-4}x_2 + 0.5219x_3^2 + 2.938e^{-3}x_3 + 0.4791.
$$
  
\nand  $x_2$  needed  
\nproposed  
\ncomprehensi  
\neven with dt

$$
p_{11} = 3.83e^{-5}x_1^2 + 6.379e^{-4}x_1x_2 - 6.333e^{-3}x_1
$$
 state and fin  
+2.952e<sup>-3</sup>x<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup> - 5.758e<sup>-2</sup>x<sub>2</sub> + 0.8558, mean the pa

$$
p_{22} = 1.382e^{-6}x_1^2 + 1.476e^{-5}x_1x_2 - 1.041e^{-3}x_1
$$
  
means that  
2.569e<sup>-5</sup>x<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup> - 9.467e<sup>-3</sup>x<sub>2</sub> + 2.131,

$$
p_{33} = 5.819e^{-6}x_1^2 - 2.898e^{-7}x_1x_2 + 1.669e^{-7}x_1
$$
  
Frankly sp  
+2.173e<sup>-5</sup>x<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup> + 1.362e<sup>-7</sup>x<sub>2</sub> + 3.0e<sup>-5</sup>,  
universal

$$
p_{12} = p_{21} = -4.115e^{-6}x_1^2 - 6.957e^{-5}x_1x_2 + 5.646e^{-4}x_1
$$
 well controlled and approaches to health state asymptotically.  
-3.212e<sup>-4</sup>x<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup> + 5.133e<sup>-3</sup>x<sub>2</sub> + 0.181,

$$
p_{13} = p_{31} = 2.074e^{-6}x_1^2 + 1.142e^{-6}x_1x_2 + 2.863e^{-7}x_1
$$
  
+ 2.614e^{-5}x\_2^2 + 4.141e^{-7}x\_2 + 1.784e^{-5},

$$
p_{23} = p_{32} = -2.288e^{-7}x_1^2 - 1.22e^{-7}x_1x_2 + 2.147e^{-7}x_1
$$
  
-2.878e^{-6}x\_2^2 - 4.195e^{-6}x\_2 - 2.31e^{-6}.

From (39), we can obtain the controller parameter<sup>3000</sup>  $K(x) = M(x)P^{-1}(\tilde{x})$  based on step 3.

Meanwhile, for real medical practice, the blood sample is extracted from the patient to measure antibody and virus on a weekly. Choose a suitable sampling time for the calculation and simulation.We shall set an initial condition as the long-term infected situation for the HIV model (8). Let the sampling time  $T<sub>s</sub> = 0.02$  be set in this simulation to stand for a week. From Fig. 1, it is seen that an infected

 $\begin{bmatrix} 21 & P_{22} & P_{23} \end{bmatrix}$  (32) designed controller is activated. In other words, the initial  $P(\tilde{x}) = \begin{vmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \\ p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \end{vmatrix}$  (39) 1, we start to give the drug to the patient that means the  $\begin{bmatrix} p_{31} & p_{32} & p_{33} \end{bmatrix}$  condition for the therapy initialization is set in the states of  $p_{11}$   $p_{12}$   $p_{13}$  person has not any drug therapy. Suppose at  $t = 10$  in Fig.  $t = 10$  in Fig. 1 where  $x_{initial} = [-524 \quad 176 \quad 0.005]^T$ . *T*

 $\mathbf{v}_{11} = -7.531e^{-2}x_1^2 + 5.163e^{-2}x_1x_2 + 0.1862x_1x_3$  load concentration in plasma of the patient. Especially, if  $1.797e^{-2}x_1 - 0.6939x_2^2 - 0.1853x_2x_3$  the patient has accepted enough appropriate medical  $+2.053e^{-2}x_2-6.608e^{-5}x_3^2+1.695e^{-2}x_3-1.087$ , undetectable level in a certain point-in-time of the entire  $e^{-3}x_1^2 - 5.709e^{-3}x_1x_2 - 2.062e^{-2}x_1x_3$  controller, the simulation results are shown in the following In the practical therapeutic procedure, the adjustments of drug dosage are always dependent on the changes of viral treatment, the count of viral load will drop to the clinical therapeutic process.With the aids of our designed figures.

 $+1.8e^{-2}x_3 +0.1196$ , and finally reaches to zero asymptotically,  $x_2$  also  $4.136e^{-3}x_1 + 0.6324x_2^2 - 0.1002x_2x_3$  proposed control (drug therapy) is applied. It is  $e^{-4}x_2 + 0.5219x_3^2 + 2.938e^{-3}x_3 + 0.4791$ . comprehensible because  $x_3$  is always retained in the body,  $p_{11} = 3.83e^{-5}x_1^2 + 6.379e^{-4}x_1x_2 - 6.333e^{-3}x_1$  state and finally remains in very little level, but it does not  $+7.569e^{-5}x_2^2 - 9.467e^{-3}x_2 + 2.131$ , continuously along with the remaining life so that viral load Figure 2 shows that when the therapy starts  $x_1$  ascends converges to zero finally. However, it is seen that both  $x_1$ and  $x<sub>2</sub>$  need a long time-span to converge to zero when the even with drug therapy in the rest of the life of the patient. In Fig. 3, the viral load  $x<sub>3</sub>$  descends rapidly to near zero mean the patient is cured to be healthy since  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are still not in healthy states. Additionally, the count of viral load  $x<sub>3</sub>$  is actually only reduced to an undetectable level, it means that the patient needs to accept drug therapy  $x<sub>3</sub>$  will not recover to any detectable level.

> Frankly speaking, in Fig. 4, it shows that the control *u* works efficiently in the entire therapy period. According to a universal awareness, if a patient accepts correct treatment after being infected for a period, the state of illness can be







**Figure 3. The state trajectory of** <sup>3</sup> *<sup>x</sup> .*



**Figure 4. The state trajectory of** *u*

#### **V. CONCLUSION**

In this article, we use the proposed method to stabilize a model system of HIV infection. By exploiting the model properties, the original model system (1) is transformed into a new model system (7) via a simple mathematic transformation that will make us study it easily in the next stage. A high effective control law is designed based on the Lyapunov design and SOS technique, this is the most important section in this case because this proposed control design can help the state of the model system return to the desired values. By the control design to regulate and suppress HIV, the results also show the stability of the system.

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] C. G. Sutcliffe *et al.*, "The evolving pediatric HIV epidemic in rural southern Zambia: the beneficial impact of advances in prevention and treatmentat <sup>a</sup> districthospital from <sup>2007</sup> to 2019," *Pediatr.Infect. Dis. J.,* vol. 42, no. 6, pp. 489-495, Feb. 2023.
- [2] R. Ramaswami, K. Lurain, and R. Yarchoan, "Oncologic Treatment of HIV-Associated Kaposi Sarcoma 40 Years on," *J. Clin. Oncol.,* vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 294-306, Jan. 2022.
- [3] J. Lou, Y. Lou, J. Wu, "Threshold virus dynamics with impulsive antiretroviral drug effects," *J. Math. Biol.,* vol. 65, no.4, pp. 623–652, Oct. 2012.
- [4] A. L. Wirtz, A. Restar, "Gender-affirming HIV care as a tool to end the HIV epidemic," *Lancet HIV* , vol. 10, no. 6, pp. e353-e355, Apr. 2023.
- [5] S. Chibaya, M. Kgosimore, "Mathematical analysis of drug resistance in vertical transmission of HIV/AIDS," *Open J. Epidemio*l., vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 139–148, Aug. 2013
- [6] Y. L. Sang, C. Pannecouque, E. D. Clercq, S. Wang, and F. E. Chen,"Fragment Hopping-based design of novel Biphenyl-DAPY derivatives as potent non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors featuring significantly improved anti-resistance efficacy," *J. Med.Chem*., vol. 66, no. 7, pp. 4755-4767, Mar. 2023.
- [7] Z. Lu, *et al.*, "A mathematical model for HIV prevention and control among men who have sex with men in China," *Epidemiol. Infect*., vol. 148, pp. E224, Nov. 2020.
- [8] B. Buonomo, C. Vargas-De-Leon, "Global stability for an HIV-1 infection model including an eclipse stage of infected cells," *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 385, no. 2, pp. 709–720, Jan. 2012.
- [9] H. Chang, A. Astolfi, "Activation of immune response in disease dynamics via controlled drug scheduling," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 248-255, Jan. 2009.
- [10] I. Craig, X. Xia, "Can HIV/AIDS be controlled? Applying control engineering concepts outside traditional fields," *In IEEE Control Systems.*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 80-83, Feb. 2005.
- [11] W. H. Ho, "Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy model of nonlinear HIV dynamics: Chebyshev-series approach integrated with genetic algorithm," *Int. J. Innov. Comput.*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 1439-1451, Feb. 2012.
- [12] K.Tanaka, H. Yoshida, H. Ohtake, and H. O. Wang, "A sum-of squares approach to modeling and control of nonlinear dynamical systems with polynomial fuzzy systems," *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 911-922, Apr. 2009.
- [13] K. Tanaka, H. Ohtake, H. O. Wang, "Guaranteed cost control of polynomial fuzzy systems via a sum of squares approach," *IEEE Trans. Syst., Man Cybern. B,Cybern.*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 561–567, Dec. 2009.
- [14] K. Tanaka, H. Ohtake, T. Seo, M. Tanaka, and H. O. Wang, "Polynomial fuzzy observer designs: A sum of squares approach," *IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern. B, Cybern.*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 1330–1342, Apr. 2012.
- [15] K. Tanaka, M. Tanaka, Y. J. Chen, and H. O. Wang, "A New Sumof-Squares Design Framework for Robust Control of Polynomial Fuzzy Systems With Uncertainties," *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 94-110, Apr. 2016.
- [16] M. Narimani, H .K. Lam, "SOS-based stability analysis of polynomial fuzzy-model-based control systems via polynomial membership functions," *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 862–871, May 2010.
- [17] H.K. Lam, "Polynomial fuzzy-model-based control systems: stability analysis via piecewise-linear membership functions," *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 588–593, Feb. 2011.
- [18] J. M. Lemos, M. S. Barao, "A control Lyapunov function approach to adaptive control of HIV-1 infection," Arch. Contol. Sci., vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 273–284, Nov. 2012.
- [19] P. A. Parrilo, "Structured semidefinite programs and semialgebraic geometly methods in robustness and optimization," Ph.D. dissertation, California Institute of Technology, Los Angeles, U.S., 2000.
- [20] S. Prajna, A. Papachristodoulou, F. Wu, "Nonlinear control synthesis by sum of squares optimization: a Lyapunov-based approach," In Proceedings of the 5th Asian Control Conference, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, 20-23 July 2004, pp. 157-165.
- [21] S. Boyd, L. E. Ghaoui, E. Feron, and V. Balakrishnan, "Linear matrix inequalities in system and control theory," *In Studies Appl. Math.*, SIAM: Philadelphia, U.S., 1994.
- [22] A. Trofino, "Bi-quadratic stability for nonlinear systems," IFAC Proceedings Volumes, vol. 33, pp. 409-414, 2000.



**YI DING** received the Ph.D. degree in Electrical Engineering from National Central University, Taiwan, China, in 2019. He is currently a lecture at the School of Automation and Electrical Engineering, Zhejiang University of Science and Technology, Hangzhou, China. His research interests include systems biology, computer vision, image recognition and nonlinear system.



**ZHONGLIANG MENG** received the Ph.D. degree in mechanical engineering from Shandong University, Jinan, China, in 2021. He is currently a Lecturer in college of engineering , Qufu Normal University, Rizhao, China. His research interests include the design, modeling and control of mechatronic systems, as well as Marine renewable energy generation technologies.



**YONG ZHANG** He received his B.E. degree in Automation Program from College of Information and Control Engineering, Shenyang Jianzhu University in 2005 and his M.E. degree in Pattern recognition and Intelligence Systems from College of Information Science and Engineering Northeastern University in 2009. His doctorate degree received in the Dept. of Electrical and Electronic Eng., Graduate School of

Advance Technongy and Science, The University of Tokushima. He is a lecture of the Zhejiang University of Science and Technology. His research is moving control for robot.



**WEI LIU** received the B.S. degree and PhD. degree in controlling science and engineering from Department of Control Science and Engineering, Zhejiang University in 2013 and 2019 respectively. She currently works as a lecturer in the School of Automation and Electrical Engineering, Zhejiang University of Science and Technology. Her research interests include non-destructive detection, artificial intelligent and big data analysis.



**JINRONG LI** received the PhD. degree in controlling science and engineering from Department of Control Science and Engineering, Zhejiang University in 2013. She currently works as an associate professor in the School of Automation and Electrical Engineering, Zhejiang University of Science and Technology. Her research interests include machine learning, robot and intelligent system and signal analysis.