

Received 1 May 2024, accepted 17 May 2024, date of publication 23 May 2024, date of current version 4 June 2024.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3404628

SURVEY

A Survey on Waveform Design for Radar-Communication Convergence

ASHOKA CHAKRAVARTHI MAHIPATHI[®]¹, (Member, IEEE), BETHI PARDHASARADHI², (Member, IEEE), PURUSHOTTAMA LINGADEVARU^{®3}, (Member, IEEE), PATHIPATI SRIHARI^{®1}, (Senior Member, IEEE), JOHN D'SOUZA^{®1}, (Member, IEEE), AND LINGA REDDY CENKERAMADDI^{®2}, (Senior Member, IEEE)

¹ECE Department, National Institute of Technology Karnataka, Surathkal, Mangaluru 575025, India
 ²Department of Information and Communication Technology, University of Agder, 4879 Kristiansand, Norway
 ³ECE Department, Siddaganga Institute of Technology, Tumkur, Karnataka 572103, India

Corresponding author: Linga Reddy Cenkeramaddi (linga.cenkeramaddi@uia.no)

This work was supported in part by Indo–Norwegian Collaboration in Autonomous Cyber-Physical Systems (INCAPS) of the INTPART—International Partnerships for Excellent Education, Research and Innovation Program from the Research Council of Norway under Project 287918; and in part by the Low-Altitude UAV Communication and Tracking (LUCAT) of the Information and Communication Technology Plus (IKTPLUSS) Program from the Research Council of Norway under Project 280835.

ABSTRACT To provide service to an abundant number of communication users and to avoid the spectrum scarcity problem, many researchers are fascinated to work towards the convergence of radar sensing and communication systems. In addition, future intelligent systems like autonomous vehicles, Vehicleto-everything (V2X), Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAV), and all smart systems are going to implement both radar and communication systems on the same platform, which motivates the researchers to focus on the development of Joint Radar-Communication Systems (JRCS). Cooperative Radar-Communication System (CRCS) and Dual Functional Radar Communication (DFRC) systems provide an opportunity for communication users to utilize radar resources without disturbing radar operation. Waveform design is essential in the development of new models and designs related to joint radar-sensing and communication systems. A cooperative radar communication system uses separate waveforms for radar and communication systems. The DFRC system uses the same waveform for radar and communication operations. So to model both joint radar communication systems one should have a clear idea regarding waveform design and its approaches. Therefore, this review paper focused on different waveform design approaches for modeling CRCS and DFRC systems. In addition, the prime objective of this review paper is to give a detailed view of the existing cooperative and dual-function waveform design approaches and provide a kick-start for new learners to work on this area.

INDEX TERMS Convergence, communication-centric waveform design, cooperative radar-communication system (CRCS), dual-functional radar-communication (DFRC) system, learning-based waveform design, radar-centric waveform design, spectrum sharing.

I. INTRODUCTION

Sensing and communication are the two significant functionalities of radio technology, which are self-designed and rely

The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and approving it for publication was Mehmet Alper Uslu.

on various functions and bands of frequencies. Here, sensing is responsible for target detection and tracking, whereas communication is considered for transferring information among users. It is a well-known fact that most of the Radio Frequency (RF) spectrum has been allocated to various application-oriented services. In addition to that, with the

© 2024 The Authors. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 License. For more information, see https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/ advent of wireless applications and their abundant usage, there is a profound need for a higher data rate (higher bandwidth) in the area of wireless communication. Hence there is an RF spectrum congestion problem due to the limited radio spectrum.

To overcome the problem of RF spectrum scarcity, mobile network providers are exploring the opportunities to reuse RF spectrum, which is traditionally allocated to other applications. Among those, the radar frequency band is the most unused and broadest RF band well suited for wireless applications [1]. This shared spectrum access not only solves the spectrum congestion problem but also enhances the spectrum utilization efficiency. Firstly, the necessity of RF spectrum sharing between radar sensors and wireless communication systems was discussed in [2]. Further, the RF convergence of the radar sensor and communication systems was presented in [3]. According to [4], the RF spectrum-sharing approaches are classified into spectral coexistence and spectral cooperation. Mutual interference between communication and radar systems is the major problem in the coexisted radar and communication systems [5]. To overcome this problem, the RF spectral cooperation scheme was proposed in [6]. Later, a spectral codesign scheme i.e., a Dual Function Radar-Communication (DFRC) System was developed to overcome the RF spectrum scarcity problem [7].

On the other hand, the integration of mobile communication signals (3G, 4G, 5G) into radar systems represents a significant advancement in radar-communication convergence. This innovative approach holds great promise for spectrum sharing, enabling efficient utilization of the limited electromagnetic spectrum. One of the key advantages of this technology is its intuitive nature. By adopting the existing mobile communication infrastructure, radar systems can utilize the signals already present in the environment [8]. This not only reduces the need for additional hardware but also facilitates seamless integration with existing communication networks. Furthermore, using mobile communication signals for radar offers improved spectral efficiency. With the proliferation of mobile devices and the increasing demand for wireless communication, spectrum scarcity has become a significant challenge. By sharing spectrum with radar systems, mobile communication networks can operate more efficiently, leading to better service quality for users. Additionally, this technology enables radar systems to benefit from advances in mobile communication technology. The transition from 3G to 4G and now to 5G has brought about significant improvements in terms of data rates, latency, and reliability. Further, adopting 5G signals for the radars gives good range and velocity resolution which helps in tracking closely spaced and slow-moving targets [9].

Overall, employing mobile cellular signals as external radiation sources for radar is a very promising technology with great potential for spectrum sharing. Its intuitive nature, increased spectrum efficiency, and capacity to utilize developments in mobile communication technology make



FIGURE 1. Statistics on the number of papers published in the domain of waveform design for Radar-Communication Convergence.

it an important development path for radar-communication convergence.

The joint communication and radar sensing integrate communication and radar functionalities, sharing hardware and signal processing for improved spectrum utilization efficiency, size reduction, and improved performance. Advanced signal processing techniques are crucial for efficient integration, covering transmission signal design and receiver processing in communication-centric, radarcentric, and joint design systems [10]. Selecting the probing waveform holds significance as it directly impacts slant range resolution, Doppler tolerance, clutter, and electronic countermeasures [11].

Therefore, this survey paper focuses on the role of waveform design for RF convergence of radar and communication systems, which lay a foundation for the development of various joint radar-communication system configurations. In this context, optimum waveform design emerges as a critical factor in achieving efficient coexistence between radar and communication systems [19]. In addition, a computationally efficient algorithm is employed to generate complex digital transmit and receive ultra-wideband radar and communication waveforms, achieving excellent suppression of arbitrary frequency bands and minimizing range sidelobes [20]. This survey comprehensively explores the spectrum management strategies and waveform design techniques that allow these two disparate systems to operate harmoniously. The paper critically reviews existing research and outlines the key considerations for designing waveforms that reduce interference, enhance radar and communication system performance, and promote the optimal utilization of limited frequency resources. Around the globe, there is steady research going on the waveform design for radar-communication convergence. In addition, a bar chart is depicted in Figure 1, to give an idea about the number of papers that have been published in the past 13 years on the proposed survey.

This comprehensive review delves into the intricacies of waveform design and its impact on radar-communication coexistence in diverse application domains, such as maritime, automotive, and aerospace. By summarizing the various approaches and strategies employed in waveform design, the paper equips researchers, engineers, and policymakers

TABLE 1. Recent survey papers on joint radar-communication system.

Ref. No	Main objective of the survey paper	Year
[12]	A review is conducted on various approaches involved in the coexistence of radar sensing and communication systems.	2019
[13]	Provides a waveform design perspective of mm-wave joint radar-communication systems.	2019
[7]	This survey provides various signaling schemes involved in the development of dual functional radar-communication systems.	2019
[14]	A review on state-of-the-art of joint radar communication systems.	2020
[4]	Overview of the research progress in the area of joint radar-communication systems, with special emphasis on application	2020
	scenarios and technical approaches.	
[10]	A comprehensive survey of the state-of-the-art on joint radar and communication systems from the signal processing perspective.	2021
[15]	A survey on systems and technologies developed based on the communication and radar convergence.	2022
[16]	A review on waveform design approaches for integrated radar sensing and communication.	2022
[17]	This survey explores both present and upcoming Internet of Things (IoT) applications of joint sensing and communication systems.	2022
[18]	A comprehensive review of the multi-dimensional waveform concepts, along with generalized models are proposed for joint	2023
	communication and radar systems.	
This survey	A review of waveform design for the convergence of radar and communication systems in the RF environment.	2023



FIGURE 2. Pie-chart representation number of papers published with various techniques in the domain of waveform design for Radar-Communication Convergence.

with a comprehensive understanding of the challenges and opportunities in this ever-evolving field. The pie chart shown in Figure 2 conveys the number of papers that have been published related to various waveform design approaches involved in the development of joint radar-communication systems. In addition, it sheds light on emerging trends and innovative solutions, fostering collaboration and innovation to ensure the seamless coexistence of radar and communication systems in an increasingly crowded and interconnected wireless world.

In this survey paper, a comprehensive review has been conducted on the various waveform design approaches involved in the convergence of sensing and communication systems. In a nutshell, the following key aspects are addressed in this paper:

- Various radar-sensor centric waveform design approaches for the radar-communication convergence.
- Different communication-centric waveform design approaches for the radar-communication convergence.
- Learning-based waveform design approaches for the radar-communication convergence.

Further, Recently published survey papers are listed in Table 1, to create awareness about the trends, challenges, and opportunities in the research area of waveform design for joint radar-communication systems. As per our knowledge, the survey on constraint-based waveform design approaches, and learning-based waveform design approaches for both cooperative and DFRC systems are hardly noticed in any of the previous review papers listed in Table 1. Therefore, this survey paper focuses on various constraint and learningbased waveform design approaches for the convergence of radar and communication systems. The major contributions of this survey paper are summarized as follows:

- A deep knowledge of constraint-based optimal radar and communication waveform design approaches is provided. In addition, an awareness is created of the objective functions of the various optimization frameworks.
- For every optimization framework, the system performance metrics are identified and they are listed in the table.
- To improve the convergence of optimization problems, several learning-based strategies have been incorporated in this review paper.

To illustrate further, this study gives a comprehensive idea about how the Joint Radar-Communication System (JRCS) evolved to create a huge impact on communication and sensor society. Subsequently, a deep insight into the various optimum waveform design approaches for the development of the joint radar-communication system is incorporated. In addition, several learning-based waveform design approaches for the JRCS are presented in this survey, which was hardly reported in any of the previous survey papers.

A. INNOVATION AND REALITY

The creative aspect of this study is to involve cognitive radio principles to make the radar waveform or communication waveform adaptive to environmental conditions. This adaptive waveform design not only improves the spectrum utilization efficiency but also enhances the overall joint radarcommunication system performance. Innovative modulation techniques can be deployed to design a unique waveform that is suitable for both radar and communication applications. This phenomenon can be implemented in electronic warfare functionalities to provide enhanced operational flexibility and resource utilization. Leveraging learning-based optimum waveform design is an emerging area of research. Learningbased approaches can analyze complex system dynamics



FIGURE 3. The organization of the survey paper.

and user requirements to autonomously generate waveforms that maximize performance metrics such as detection probability, communication throughput, and interference mitigation. These innovations in waveform design for radarcommunication convergence contribute to the development of more efficient, adaptive, and resilient integrated systems capable of meeting the evolving demands of modern defense, surveillance, and communication applications.

In the context of radar-communication convergence, the reality of waveform design includes the practical applications, problems, and improvements that researchers and engineers face when building integrated systems. It is difficult to balance competing goals when designing waveforms that maximize radar and communication performance at the same time. To obtain desired system capabilities, engineers have to balance elements including power consumption, signal-to-noise ratio, radar range resolution, and communication data rate. Waveform design involves overcoming implementation obstacles and hardware constraints. While designing waveforms for practical applications, engineers have to take into account the capabilities and limitations of transceiver hardware, signal processing methods, and digital signal processing platforms. The reality of waveform design includes rigorous testing, validation, and verification processes to assess system performance, compliance with specifications, and adherence to operational requirements. Engineers conduct extensive simulations, field trials, and empirical testing to evaluate waveform designs and refine system implementations. Real-world radar-communication convergence systems must meet stringent cost, size, weight, and power constraints to be practical for deployment in diverse operational environments. Waveform design efforts must prioritize efficiency, scalability, and affordability to address these constraints while delivering desired performance.

The complete structure of the survey paper is clearly shown in Figure 3. The remainder of the review paper is organized as follows. Section II discusses the various



FIGURE 4. Current RF spectrum environment.

applications of coexisted radar and communication systems. Waveform design approaches for a CRCS are demonstrated in Section III. In addition, Section IV presents the waveform design approaches for a DFRC system. Section V illustrates the opportunities and future research directions of CRCS and DFRC systems. Eventually, the review paper is concluded in Section VI.

II. JOINT RADAR AND COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS AND APPLICATIONS

A. COOPERATIVE RADAR-COMMUNICATION SYSTEM MODEL

A general RF spectral congestion scenario is demonstrated in Figure 4, where both radar and communication systems are carrying out their operations in the presence of external interference. Further, both communication and radar systems are operating in the same frequency band or adjacent frequency bands. However, there is mutual interference between both radar and communication systems, when they operate in the same spectral band.

In the future, upcoming RF systems will be badly in need of spectral resources. Hence one possible solution is the convergence of RF wireless systems. According to [5], an upcoming RF spectral environment is depicted in Figure 5, where all the users are adaptive to the spectral environment. Further, both radar and communication systems are cooperative with each other. As the users are dynamic in the above scenario, they are capable enough to avoid mutual interference. Furthermore, radar and communications users can change their frequency band and work to attain the required radar estimation rate [6] and communication data rate.

To avoid this spectrum congestion problem, researchers are looking to investigate shared spectrum access [1]. Radar bands are the finest nominee to be shared with different communication systems due to a broad chunk of the spectrum being accessible at radar frequencies [1]. Moreover, the sensing mechanism is going to play a pivotal role in upcoming wireless technologies like 6G, Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS) [21], smart homes [22], and different location-oriented applications [23]. These aforementioned applications rely on efficient sensing and communication capabilities. Due to this motive, researchers are intended to focus on Radar-Communication Spectrum Sharing (RCSS) [4].



FIGURE 5. Upcoming dynamic RF spectrum environment.

Radar and Communication systems both are independent systems and they have been developed separately. However, there are some similarities in both systems, especially in the receiver section [24]. In the past few years, we have seen the proliferation of vibrant academic and industrial interest toward the convergence of sensing and communication functions. In addition to that, government organizations like the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) [25] started funding to ensure a better quality of military radar and military communications. As a consequence, a wide range of work has been carried out based on different design strategies, a variety of scenarios, and cooperation between the radar and communication systems. According to the literature survey, RCSS approaches have been categorized into coexistence, cooperation, and co-design.

In the coexistence category, both radar and communication transmitters are active, and both access the radar spectrum [12]. Further, both radar and communications transmitters treat one another as interferers. However, the major setback is to combat mutual interference to accomplish a reasonable performance for both radar and communication systems [26]. Initially, researchers preferred opportunistic spectrum sharing to achieve spectral coexistence [27]. In this approach, communication users are permitted to transmit when the band of frequencies is not engaged by radar. However, it is possible only when both systems are not operating at the same time. To overcome this, a nullspace projection (NSP) scheme was proposed in [28], where a radar beam pattern is required to aim waves onto the null space of the interference channel connecting the radar transmitter and communication transmitter. Because of NSP, the mutual interference between two sub-systems can be minimized. In [29] and [30] the NSP scheme was considered to combat mutual interference between MIMO radar and communication systems. Nevertheless, it results in radar system performance loss. However, it is always difficult to maintain optimal beamform for the estimation of target detection and target tracking. Later a novel approach is introduced in [31] for relaxing the null steering precoder to enforce allowable interference on the



FIGURE 6. Basic cooperative radar-communication system model.

information system. The general cooperative scenario block diagram is depicted in Figure 6. In the cooperation category, Channel State Information (CSI) is exchanged between radar and communication systems and assists each other to avoid mutual interference [26]. Further, a fusion center was considered in [32] to exchange the information between both the sub-systems to enhance the performance of the cooperative joint radar-communication system.

According to [32], pilot signals can be utilized to estimate the channels and share CSI with the subsystem. Apart from that, many methods have been proposed in [33] and [34] to sense the environment without transmitting a pilot signal or without coordination between subsystems. To share the spectral resources efficiently and accomplish RF convergence, a meticulous understanding of the principal performance limits of cooperative spectrum sharing is desired [3]. The general codesign scenario is depicted in Figure 7. In this scenario, both radar and communication systems are jointly designed and improve their performance due to their mutual assistance. Further, both systems are designed on a single hardware platform to optimize their performance. In the codesign category, only one active transmitter/receiver is involved in performing both sensing and communication operations [12]. Due to this reason codesign category systems are named Dual Functional Radar Communication (DFRC) systems. In DFRC systems coexistence is functional. Moreover, the DFRC system utilizes a joint waveform for both sensing and communication operations in the same bandwidth [4]. DFRC system has the advantages of less power consumption, compact size, low cost, improved performance, and more security due to enhanced information sharing [13]. The major objective of the DFRC system is to exploit resources of radar infrastructure for communication operations. DFRC systems play a pivotal role in the cognitive transportation system that needs to exchange information in a dynamic environment [35]. DFRC strategies are going to play a phenomenal role in the design of autonomous vehicles [21]. The Radio Frequency (RF) spectrum sharing between communication and radar systems has been inspired by the necessity for the coexistence of radar and communication systems. This section demonstrates the various applications related to the convergence of sensing and communication functions.



FIGURE 7. Basic codesign radar-communication system model.

B. APPLICATIONS OF COOPERATIVE RADAR-COMMUNICATION SYSTEM 1) AUTONOMOUS SYSTEMS

The mm-wave band (30GHz-300GHz) is more suitable for autonomous applications, where both sensing and communication operations are involved. Primarily mm-band is traditionally allocated to automotive radars for vehicle collision prevention and it is also used by high-level image resolution radars [36]. However, this band is also feasible for wireless communication users to perform short-range communication [37] and Long Term Evolution (LTE) [36] technology. Further, this band is proposed for Vehicleto-Vehicle (V2V) communication for the development of autonomous cars [38]. The research community already started focusing on the possible impacts due to in-band interference [39]. As both sensing and communication applications are interconnected, researchers are strongly motivated to develop joint radar-communication systems for autonomous systems [35]. In addition to it, the mitigation algorithms for in-band interference are also highly likely to work for the joint radar-communication systems [40], [41].

2) AIR-TRAFFIC-CONTROL (ATC) SYSTEMS

In these systems, radar sensing and communication play a pivotal role in air traffic management [5]. Especially in commercial flights, where radar is utilized for object detection and tracking, and communication system is used for pilot and ATC system coordination. Initially, L-band (1GHz-2GHz) and S-band (2GHz-4GHz) are utilized for ATC radar systems. Recently, these bands have also been allotted to LTE and 5G new radio wireless technologies [42]. These 5G and 6G bands are claimed to be a strong candidate to serve as an illuminator of opportunity in passive bistatic configuration [43], [44]. In addition, the challenges associated with tracking of radar targets in in-band wireless communication interference for RadComm spectrum sharing are also presented in [45]. Thus there is a coexistence between radar and communication systems.

3) MILITARY RADAR AND COMMUNICATION (MRC) SYSTEMS

In general, the S-band (2GHz-4GHz) and C-band (4GHz-8GHz) are used for military applications like

Low-Probability-Intercept (LPI) radar [46], Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAV) utilized for various covert operations such as track and rescue [47], reconnaissance [48], and Electronic Countermeasures (ECM), [49]. However, all the applications require both sensing and communication functionalities. Moreover, Shared Spectrum Access for Radar and Communications (SSPARC) was keen to allocate a part of the C-band for wireless communication users [50]. With the rapid growth in the usage of wireless applications, concerns are increasing for military radar band sharing [1]. The feasible coexisting military radar and communication applications are namely, LPI communication, passive radar, UAV communication and sensing, and dual-function RF systems.

4) HEALTHCARE AND MONITORING SYSTEMS

These systems utilize the Industrial Scientific and Medical (ISM) band and Wireless Medical Telemetry Service (WMTS) frequency band (1.35GHz-1.45GHz) for healthcare and monitoring purposes. To monitor the healthiness of a patient, bio-sensors are biologically embedded into the human body. bio-sensors measure the data from the human body and it is transmitted to an external signal-processing device for further action [4]. Despite of ISM band, nowadays, the 77GHz frequency is also able to perform health care operations like monitoring the blood pressure and heart beat [51]. Further, cloud-based approaches were developed to convey the bio-sensing data to external devices [52]. Thus there is a possibility to combine both sensing and communication functions. An experimental study was conducted in [53], where a tactile bio-sensor element communicates via skin layers to the external device for further signal processing. However, still, there is a lot of scope for research in this area.

5) IMAGING AND COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS

The upper millimeter(mm) wave frequency band (52.6GHz-114.25GHz) [54] has been allotted for fineresolution image sensing and also supports large throughputbased wireless communications [5]. synthetic aperture radar (SAR) based imaging emerged as one of the prominent solutions in mmwave frequency for on-road automotive vehicle driving and parking [55]. For example, Google has introduced a project Soli, which performs accurate human gesture detection by utilizing 60GHz millimeter wave radar [56], [57]. The full potential of using the MIMO configuration in the SAR imaging demonstrated the enhanced imaging capabilities [58]. Further, this mm-wave radar can be interfaced with 5G smartphones and tablets for further device-device communication [59]. Thus Google has given the motivation to look for a smart radio that can perform both sensing and communication operations on a unique hardware platform.

6) LIGHT-BASED SENSING AND COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS With the proliferated development of wireless technologies, the RF spectrum is scarce. This has made researchers think about light-based systems to avoid spectrum congestion issues [60]. A new technology Li-Fi (Light Fidelity) was developed in [61], which is analogous to Wi-Fi systems. The Li-fi technology was developed to fulfill user requirements like high throughput and fast data transmission [62]. Optics is also a very fast-growing technology used for remote sensing applications [63]. Subsequently, lidar is used for surveillance of wetlands [64] and optics-based remote sensing is used for sea level monitoring [65]. Here also there is a feasibility for the coexistence of Lidar and Li-Fi systems.

7) RFID SYSTEMS

A Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) system contains a reader, an antenna array of a reader, and tags. Firstly, the reader sends a sensing signal toward the tag, then the tag modulates the signal and reverts it to the reader. Then the reflected signal consists of a special signature created according to the change in the tags antenna load [66]. Communication is the principal operation of the RFID technology, as the tag reflects some valid information concerning health and identity [67]. RFID technology has also been deployed for radar target detection [68] and target localization [66]. Thus RFID system is a kind of cooperative radar-communication system as the RFID sensing is accomplished by setting up a cooperative communication link between the tag and reader.

According to the literature review presented in Section I and various applications of coexisted radar-communication systems, it is inevitable to have shared radar spectrum access with the communication system. Further, the study conducted on the coexisted radar-communication system elucidates that Co-operative Radar-Communication System (CRCS) and Dual-Functional Radar-Communication (DFRC) Systems are more suitable for simultaneous sensing and communication operations. In the Cooperation category, both subsystems use separate waveforms for Radar and Communication signal transmission [69]. Whereas the DFRC system uses a joint waveform for both sensing and communication applications, which improves spectrum utilization efficiency [7]. As we have noticed the importance of waveform design in Cooperative Radar-Communication and DFRC systems, in this review paper we are going to give a detailed survey on preliminary approaches to the design of optimum waveforms, which are appropriate for concurrent sensing and communication operations. In addition, the survey is conducted by considering the latest research articles related to the waveform design for radar-communication convergence.

III. WAVEFORM DESIGN APPROACHES FOR A CRCS

The transmitter waveform design plays a pivotal role in the development of the Cooperative Radar-Communication System (CRCS). Hence, an illustrated review of various waveform design approaches is conducted to finalize the most suitable waveform for a CRCS.



FIGURE 8. Basic cooperative radar-communication system model [26].

A. SYSTEM MODEL

Firstly to create awareness about a CRCS environment an instructional model is depicted in Figure 8. However, this model can be extended in the development of a CRCS model for various complicated scenarios. Here the Joint Radar-Communication (JRC) receiver acts as a radar transmitter/receiver and communication receiver. Here JRC receiver can perform target detection and decoding of communication signals at the same time. Moreover, only one radar target has been considered and its area of crosssection is well approximated. Here, both communication and radar are single input single output (SISO) systems. Both subsystems are allowed to access the same timespace-spectrum. In the CRCS model, a known transmitted radar waveform is used for Channel sensing purposes and it is conveyed to the communication transmitter. Thus there is cooperation between radar and communication systems. The Successive Interference Cancellation (SIC) receiver model was the major breakthrough in cooperative radarcommunication systems to avoid mutual interference [6].

In a cooperative radar-communication system, a SIC receiver model has been deployed to make communication performance solely depend on the radar spectrum. In this model, it is assumed that the radar target time delay is known to the experimenter based on preliminary observations in the presence of process noise. With the target information, the target echo is predicted and it is subtracted from the JRC received signal. As we know, there is always some deviation between the predicted and actual location of the target. This deviation is mentioned as residual contribution $n_{resi}(t)$ to the JRC received signal. By decreasing the rate of communication, the SIC receiver can extract communication messages from the radar-suppressed JRC signal. The JRC receiver utilizes the extracted communication message to reconstruct and suppress the communication waveform from

Ref. No	Objective function	Constraints	System performance	Year
[28]	Minimizing the CRLB	NSP	Target direction estimation	2012
[70]	Matching of desired radar beam pattern	Constant envelope, NSP	Transmitted beam pattern and Mean	2014
			Square Error (MSE)	
[19]	Maximizing the SINR	EC, MAIEC, SC	Radar target detection and tracking	2014
[71]	Minimizing the interference due to MIMO	NSP	Radar transmit beam pattern	2015
	radar users at the cellular basestation			
[72]	Maximizing the SINR	MAIEC, RWEC, SC	Energy Spectral Density (ESD) of radar	2015
			waveform	
[73]	Maximizing SIR	IPC at the communication receiver	Power Spectral Density (PSD) of radar	2016
			and communication signals	
[74]	Maximizing SINR	EC, MAIEC, SC	SINR and ESD of radar waveform	2016
[30]	Minimum difference between the precoded	Interference power constraint	MSE of radar waveform and BER of	2016
	and original radar signal.		communication waveform	
[75]	Maximizing information rate	Polynomial spectral mask	Radar estimation rate and communica-	2016
			tion rate.	
[76]	Maximizing SINR	EC, MAIEC, SC	ESD and auto-correlation characteris-	2017
			tics	
[77]	Maximizing SINR	CMC, ECC, CRC	SINR of both radar and communication	2018
			systems	
[69]	Minimizing CRLB	RTPC, MAIEC, SPRC	Target estimation error variance	2018
[26]	Minimizing CRLB	TPEVC, SLC	Radar estimation rate and communica-	2019
			tion rate	
[78]	Minimizing CRLB	TPEVC, PRC	Radar estimation rate and communica-	2023
			tion rate	

TABLE 2. Summary of radar sensor-centric waveform design approaches in a CRCS.

the received signal. Now the radar signal is rescued from communication signal interference. This type of interference mitigation is named SIC. For a JRC system, the received signal z(t) is given as

$$z(t) = b_{com} \sqrt{P_{com}} r(t) + n(t) + \sqrt{P_{rad}} a_{rad} x(t-\tau) \quad (1)$$

Here ' b_{com} ' represents the communication channel gain, P_{com} indicates the transmitted communication power, 'r(t)' represents the received communication signal, 'n(t)' represents the receiver thermal noise, ' P_{rad} ' denotes the radar transmitted power, ' a_{rad} ' represents the radar channel gain, and ' $x(t - \tau)$ ' denotes the echo of the radar target.

The received signal at the communication receiver, after predicted radar echo suppression is obtained as,

$$\tilde{z}(t) = b_{com} \sqrt{P_{com}} r(t) + n(t) + \sqrt{P_{rad}} a_{rad} [x(t-\tau) - x(t-\tau_{pre})]$$
(2)

Here ' $x(t - \tau_{pre})$ ' indicates predicted radar echo and ' τ_{pre} ' indicates predicted target delay.

B. WAVEFORM DESIGN APPROACHES

In the Cooperative Radar-Communication System (CRCS), the radar and communication systems use separate waveforms for their tasks [5]. According to [69] and [26] whenever different waveforms are utilized for both sensing and communication purposes, distinct constraint-based optimization methods have been proposed. These methods have been categorized into the Sensor-centric method and communication-centric method.

1) SENSOR-CENTRIC METHOD

In this approach, radar waveform is optimized to improve the performance of a CRCS. Firstly, an adaptive radar

waveform was projected onto the null space between the radar and communication systems to avoid mutual interference in a CRCS [28]. Subsequently, a Null Space Projected (NSP) constrained optimal Multiple-Input-Multiple-Output (MIMO) radar waveform was designed in [70] to avoid mutual interference in a CRCS. Later, a spectrally constrained optimal radar waveform was designed in [19] to reduce the interference to neighboring communication users in a CRCS. Further, the radar waveform was optimized based on maximizing the SINR subject to the Energy Constraint (EC), Maximum Allowable Interference Energy Constraint (MAIEC), and Similarity Constraint (SC). Whereas in [71], a radar waveform was designed using an antenna array by considering the NSP and imposing the constraint on interference power received at the MIMO cellular base stations to further mitigate the mutual interference in a CRCS. Further, an optimal radar waveform was designed based on maximizing the Signal-to-Interference-Noise-Ratio subject to MAIEC, Radar Waveform Energy Constraint (RWEC), and SC to further enhance the performance of a CRCS [72]. Furthermore, an Interference Protection Criteria (IPC) at the communication receiver was defined in [73] to improve military radar performance in a CRCS without any interference to communication users. Later, a radar waveform is optimized based on EC, MAIEC, and SC to specifically improve the performance of a radar system in a CRCS [74]. On the other side, a Small Singular Value Space Projection Method (SSVSPM) was proposed in [30], where a radar precoder was designed to avoid interference with neighboring communication users in a CRCS.

In [75], a Linear Frequency Modulated (LFM) radar waveform is developed based on a polynomial spectral mask to jointly maximize both the radar estimation rate and communication data rate in a cooperative scenario. In [76],

Ref.No	Waveform design approach	System performance	Year		
[35]	OFDM multi-carrier	Radar image detection and its range profile	2011		
[79]	OFDM+PSUN	Bit Error Rate (BER) of a communication system	2015		
[80]	LSA and LCMV beam forming	Radar target detection performance	2016		
[81]	NSGA-II multi-objective evolutionary algorithm	Total probability of target detection errors and accomplishing a link between BS and UE	2016		
[82]	Advanced Minimum Coupling Loss (A-MCL)	Advanced Minimum Coupling Loss (A-MCL) SINR for radar system and average downlink data rate for communication system			
[83]	Adaptive Integrated OFDM	Data information rate for a communication system and mutual information for radar system	2017		
[84]	LPI based OFDM	LPI-performance in terms of total radiated power and data information rate for communication system	2018		
[85]	OFDM+frequency spread Gaussian pulse signal	Averaged Root CRB (RCRB) for radar system and mutual information for communication system	2019		
[86]	OFDM-based passive radar signal	Target velocity estimation for radar and BER for communica- tion system	2020		
[86]	Code division OFDM	BER for a communication system and RMSE for radar range and velocity estimation	2021		
[87]	3GPP 38.211 OFDM Signal	Zero Doppler cut self-ambiguity and cross-ambiguity func- tions are used to analyze radar sensor performance	2022		
[88]	Continuous Phase Modulation (CPM) codes	SAR image analysis using radar and mismatched filters	2023		

TABLE 3. Summary o	f communicatio	on-centric wavef	form desigr	1 approaches	in a CR	CS.
--------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------	--------------	---------	-----

a Radar Environmental Map (REM) was utilized to restrict the radar waveform spectrum such that the radar band is allowed for cooperative transmission. In [77], an optimum MIMO radar waveform was designed based on maximizing the Signal-to-Interference-Noise-Ratio (SINR) at the radar receiver subjected to Constant Modulus Constraint (CMC), Similarity Constraint (SC), Energy Constraint on the Communication waveform (ECC), and Communication Rate Constraint (CRC), in a CRCS. Whereas in [69], the radar waveform was optimized to estimate the parameters of a radar target in the CRCS environment. Further, the optimization was carried out by considering CRLB as an objective function subject to the Radar Transmitted Power Constraint (RTPC), MAIEC, and Sub-carrier Power Ratio Constraint (SPRC). Later, a unique estimation error variance approach was proposed in [26], to optimize the Non-Linear Frequency Modulated (NLFM) radar waveform spectrum for improving the performance of both radar and communication systems in a CRCS. In this approach, Cramer-Rao-Lower-Bound is considered as the objective function and it is minimized subject to the Threshold Point Error Variance Constraint (TPEVC) and Spectral Leakage Constraint (SLC). In all the previous contributions, the formulated optimization problem is non-convex, which obtains only a locally optimum solution. Recently, in our previous work [78], a Spatial Branch and Bound (SBnB) Framework was considered to achieve a globally optimized solution for an NLFM radar waveform. Further, a Threshold Point Error Variance Constraint (TPEVC) and Power Ratio Constraint (PRC) to minimize the CRLB in a CRCS scenario. Furthermore, this approach has achieved improved performance in both subsystems under the CRCS environment. A quick summary of all the radar sensor-centric approaches is listed in Table 2.

2) COMMUNICATION-CENTRIC METHOD

In this approach, the communication waveform is optimized or adapted to improve the performance of a Cooperative Radar-Communication System (CRCS). Primarily, an adaptive Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM) based multi-carrier waveform was used in [35], to perform both sensing and information transmission concurrently. Further, the robustness of the OFDM waveform with reference to spectrum allocation ensures effective radar target detection [79]. Whereas in [89], a Precoded SUbcarrier Nulling (PSUN) scheme was proposed for the OFDM-based wireless communication system to cooperate with the pulsed radar in the cooperative scenario. PSUN is a novel scheme to strongly mitigate the mutual interference between pulsed radar and wireless communication systems. In [80], a novel Licensed Shared Access (LSA) was introduced to share the radar band with the communication system. Further, with the help of a Linearly Constrained Minimum Variance (LCMV) beamforming solution, the target detection performance was analyzed along with the downlink communication data transmission. In continuation to the previous work, a multiobjective optimization method was employed to ensure desired performance in the CRCS environment [81]. Later, a spatial-temporal technique along with adaptive power control in the communication base station was proposed in [82] for spectrum sharing in a CRCS scenario. An optimal OFDM waveform was designed by considering mutual information as the objective function subject to the total power constraint to improve the performance of a CRCS [83]. In [84], a Low Probability Intercept (LPI) based optimal OFDM waveform design scheme was developed for simultaneous LPI operation in radar and data operation in a communication system. Here, the transmitted power of each OFDM subcarrier is minimized subject to mutual information and information rate. In [85], a cooperative MIMO radar and MIMO communication system were examined. Further, both radar and communication systems improved their performance gain by mutual cooperation. A passive radar system was considered to estimate the target trajectory by using the OFDM waveform design presented in [86]. Whereas in [87],

a machine-type new code-division(CD)-OFDM scheme was developed to achieve reliable radar target detection and communication data rate in a cooperative scenario. Here a Successive Interference Cancellation (SIC) receiver model is deployed to mitigate the mutual interference between both subsystems. Recently, Continuous Phase-Modulated (CPM) codes have been used to transmit communication information and create high-resolution synthetic aperture radar images in the CRCS environment [88]. The summary of all the communication-centric waveform design approaches for a CRCS is listed in Table 3.

3) LEARNING-BASED APPROACHES

The Radio Frequency (RF) spectrum state is quickly varying both spatially and temporally due to the coexistence of radar and communication systems. Learning-based waveform design approaches with the information from cooperative systems are one of the possible solutions to improve spectrum utilization efficiency and the performance of a CRCS [96]. Moreover, these learning-based approaches also improve the convergence time of a transmitted waveform [93]. By this learning activity, sophisticated knowledge-aided system development is possible [99]. Hence, in this section, we briefly explain the various learning methods involved in designing an optimal waveform to improve the performance of a Cooperative Radar-Communication System (CRCS).

The first major application related to cooperative radar and communication was cooperative adaptive cruise control [90]. Interestingly, the speed-controlling mechanism relies on machine learning algorithms. After a while, a new Reinforcement Learning (RL) method was developed based on the Markov Decision Process (MDP) to solve the optimization problem to mitigate the interference in a radarcommunication coexisted scenario [91]. In addition to previous work, a Deep Reinforcement Learning(DRL) method was proposed in [94], to improve the radar target detection performance in a non-cooperative RADar-COMMunication (RADCOMM) coexisted scenario. Here the radar sensor learns to adapt the center frequency and bandwidth of the Linear Frequency Modulated (LFM) waveform. A constrained base online learning method was developed to design an optimal LFM waveform to achieve optimal target detection performance in a coexisted RADCOMM environment [95]. Whereas in [92], the effectiveness of the RL approach in simplifying cooperative radar-communication scenarios was investigated. Further, the performance of the CRCS system was analyzed in terms of radar-estimation-rate, and communication data rate. In [96], a Model-Based Online Learning (MBOL) method was proposed to provide an organized way to prepare effective learning algorithms for resource allocation in a Joint Radar-Communication System (JRCS). Further, a new Online Convex Optimization (OCO) framework was developed to optimize the transmitted waveforms by deploying convex optimization with the MBOL method [100] in a coexisted RADCOMM environment.



FIGURE 9. Basic DFRC system model.

Here the MBOL method was developed based on [101] and [102]. In [97], a multi-agent extension-based Proximal Policy Optimization (PPO) algorithm was proposed to improve the learning from raw observations and schedule radar and communication operations in a JRCS. Recently, a combination of Distributed Kalman Filter (DKF) and Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL) techniques improves the performance of the anti-eavesdropping capacity of a communication system and combat jamming interference in an autonomous vehicle [98]. The summary of all the learningbased waveform design approaches for a CRCS system is presented in Table 4. In addition, the critical evaluation of the CRCS waveform design approaches is summarized in Table 5

IV. WAVEFORM DESIGN APPROACHES FOR A DFRC SYSTEM

The major challenge in a Dual-Function Radar-Communication (DFRC) System is to design a waveform that performs both radar and communication system operations. However, to create a sense of the DFRC working, a brief discussion is presented in the subsequent section.

A. SYSTEM MODEL

A DFRC system is set up with a common platform, which performs the primary radar function and communication function concurrently. We consider a DFRC scenario as shown in Figure 9, where the DFRC platform transmits a radar probing waveform towards the target and communication symbols to one or more communication users. The crux of downlink transmission is to enclose communication information into radar pulses. Here we assume that the radar Pulse Repetition Interval (PRI) is equal to the symbol duration of the communication signal. To demonstrate, the baseband signal is exploited in the form of SIMO and MIMO radar configurations.

1) SIMO RADAR

In this configuration, radar is equipped with a uniform linear array(ULA) having 'M' antennas transmitted with a power of

Ref.No	Waveform design approach	System performance	Year
[90]	Reinforcement learning	Adaptive cruise control	2011
[91]	Markov decision process+reinforcement	Optimized radar resolution and SINR	2018
	learning		
[92]	Reinforcement learning	Radar estimation rate and communication data rate are analyzed	2018
[93]	LSTM recurrent neural networks	Reduces the convergence time for the optimization framework and desired radar	2019
		and communication characteristics are achieved	
[94]	Deep reinforcement learning based LFM	Improved radar target detection performance along with satisfactory communi-	2020
		cation data rate	
[95]	Constrained Online learning framework	Favorable target detection performance in the presence of distortion	2021
[96]	Model-Based Online Learning (MBOL)	Efficient resource sharing between radar and communication systems	2022
	framework		
[97]	Deep multi-agent reinforcement learning	Addressed the problem of time sharing between radar and communication	2022
		systems	
[98]	Deep reinforcement learning	Enhances anti-eavesdropping communication capacity and RMSE for radar	2023
		system	

TABLE 4. Summary of learning-based waveform design approaches for a CRCS.

TABLE 5. Critical summary of waveform design approaches for a CRCS.

Type of waveform design ap-	Advantages	Disadvantages	Application conditions
proach			
Radar sensor-centric	Efficient utilization of radar	Trade-off in radar and communication	Dynamic resource allocation, seamless
	spectrum	system performance	integration of radar sensing and commu-
			nication functionalities
Communication-centric	Achieving higher communica-	Sub-optimal radar sensing performance	High communication data rate, Limited
	tion performance		radar requirements
Learning-based	Adaptability and flexibility of	Complexity associated with training	System requirements, computational re-
	the waveform	machine learning models and the re-	sources, compliance related to commu-
		quirement for large amounts of data	nication protocols and spectrum utiliza-
			tion

 P_t . Then for a SIMO radar, the transmitted signal vector of order M X 1 having pulse duration τ can be defined as,

$$\mathbb{S}_{\text{SIMO}}(t;\tau) = \sqrt{P_t} W_1^* \Phi_1(t) \tag{3}$$

here 't' represents the fast time, ' W_1 ' represents normalized u beam forming weight vector and $\Phi_1(t)$ represents orthonormal radar waveform. In this configuration beam forming weight vector ' W_1 ' and $\Phi_1(t)$ satisfies the typical radar transmitted beam pattern and Doppler range resolution.

2) MIMO RADAR

Consider a set of 'M' orthogonal waveforms, projected independently and satisfying the condition $\int_{T_{\Phi}} \Phi_m(t) \Phi_{m'}^*(t) dt = \delta(m - m')$. The MIMO radar transmitted signal vector with pulse duration ' τ can be expressed as,

$$S_{\text{MIMO}}(t;\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{P}{M}} \sum_{m=1}^{M} W_m^* \Phi_m(t) = \sqrt{\frac{P}{M}} W \Phi(t), \quad (4)$$

here W_m represents the M X 1 transmitted beam forming weighted vector connected with m^{th} orthogonal waveforms, $\Phi(t) \triangleq [\Phi_1(t), \dots, \Phi_M(t)]^T$ represents set of 'M' orthogonal radar waveforms. Then M X M transmit beamforming weighted matrix is $W \triangleq [w_1^*, \dots, w_M^*]$ is considered to be normalized i.e tr{ $W^H W$ } = M. For MIMO radar, the transmitted beamforming matrix 'W' and a vector of orthogonal waveforms $\Phi(t)$ needs to be optimized. The radar parameters remain the same within the coherent processing interval (CPI). So, in both SIMO and MIMO configurations, communication information is transmitted either in the form of a modulating radar waveform or beam pattern.

B. WAVEFORM DESIGN

Based on the research that has been conducted on waveform design for a DFRCS, the waveform design methods are classified into sensor-centric and communication-centric methods.

1) SENSOR-CENTRIC

In this approach, the primary job is to perform radar sensing operations and perform communication data transmission by embedding communication symbols into radar pulses [103]. The first DFRC scheme was introduced by [104] where communication bits are transmitted as radar pulses based on pulse interval modulation. After a while, an Ultra Wide Band (UWB) dual functional radar and communication system was designed using a common antenna aperture [105]. Further, an LFM waveform was used for encoding data, where binary bits 0 and 1 are represented by up and down chirp waveforms. Later, an intra-pulse radarembedded communication scheme was presented in [106] and [107] which performs Low-Probability-Intercept (LPI) based communications. However, the major setback in previous methods is, that the communication symbol rate is equivalent to the chirp rate only, which is very much less than the normal communication system symbol rate. subsequently, an optimal intra-pulse modulated radar waveform was designed based on the Signal-to-Interference-Noise-Ratio (SINR) as the objective function subject to similarity constraint and energy constraint for dual function purposes [108]. Here also communication information is embedded into radar pulses. Subsequently, efficient communication data

embedding methods were developed for DFRC configurations with multi-sensor (Tx/Rx) configurations [109], [110]. Further, a new scheme was developed for a DFRC system to embed the communication information into radar pulses by utilizing the side-lobe control and waveform diversity [103]. Furthermore, a detailed survey on various signaling schemes for communication information embedded radar pulses was demonstrated in [111]. Later, a new algorithm was developed to design waveforms for a MIMO array to concurrently carry out radar and communication operations in a DFRC system [112]. However, these aforementioned approaches are confined to a very low communication data rate for safeguarding the radar operation [113].

Whereas in [114], a MIMO radar transmit beam pattern was utilized to simultaneously perform both target detection and communication data transmission in a DFRC configuration. After a while, a review of data embedding by considering various beam pattern modulation schemes namely Amplitude Modulation (AM), Phase Modulation (PM), and Index Modulation (IM) was presented in [7]. In another communication, an optimal radar beam pattern was designed for a DFRC system to perform radar target detection and communication data transmission [115]. An outage-based dual-functional radar beamforming design was proposed in [116], to accomplish high communication data rates and tracking of passive targets. A quick summary of all the radar sensor-centric waveform design approaches for a DFRC system is presented in Table 6.

2) COMMUNICATION-CENTRIC

This approach mainly relies on beamforming of the transmitted signal using multi-antenna base stations to perform radar operations is fascinating and most widely preferred [113]. Hybrid beamforming design is widely preferred for wireless communication systems to reduce power consumption, especially in a DFRC system [117]. Further, a hybrid precoding design scheme was developed for a given RF codebook in [118]. Furthermore, a hybrid beamforming design was utilized for an OFDM-based single-user MIMO system [119]. The aforementioned research articles were utilized to develop an optimum hybrid waveform design approach in [121] for the OFDM-DFRC system to improve the estimation accuracy of radar and sustain similar communication performance even in the presence of multipath fading. An Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM) waveform based on Golay block coding was designed for both sensing and communication purposes [120]. In [122], a joint beamforming design was proposed for an OFDM-based DFRC system to improve the radar target detection performance in the presence of clutter. An optimal robust beamforming design was proposed for a DFRC system to improve both sub-systems' performance by constraining the radar-radiated energy in the region of interest [123]. Recently, a Constant Modulus (CM) radar waveform was designed for a DFRC system to improve the target acquisition performance in the presence of clutter [124]. The summary of all the communication-centric waveform design approaches for a DFRC system is presented in Table 7.

3) LEARNING-BASED WAVEFORM DESIGN APPROACHES

The Machine Learning (ML) waveform design approaches play a significant role in making the DFRC system more adaptable to the spectral environment. Further, deep learning methods are preferred to perform various tasks such as target classification, optimal waveform selection, and finding optimal RF resources [125] in the DFRC environment. Thus, in this section, we briefly demonstrate various research works that have been carried out related to machine learning and deep learning approaches toward the development of an efficient DFRC system.

A Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL) algorithm was developed to allow the Autonomous Vehicle (AV) to sense and quickly get information regarding the optimal policy of the RF environment [126]. The advantage of deep learning in the communication system is to avoid interference from radar systems was investigated in a DFRC scenario [127]. Here the LFM and FMCW radar waveforms are considered to be the interference sources to the communication system. Whereas in [128], the Double Deep Q-learning system (DDQS) and Q-learning algorithms were considered to optimize the time allocation for radar sensors and communication systems in the DFRC environment. In [129], a Reinforcement Learning (RL) based optimum waveform was designed using beam pattern modulation to improve the target detection performance corresponding to weak targets in the presence of strong clutter in the DFRC environment. Here, the downlink communication data transmission was carried out along with the target detection. A quick summary of learningbased waveform design approaches is presented in Table 8. In addition, the critical evaluation of DFRC waveform design approaches is summarized in Table 9.

V. FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

In this paper, we have reviewed various waveform design approaches for the development of a Cooperative Radar-Communication System (CRCS) and Dual Functional Radar-Communication System (DFRCS). Based on the critical literature survey, we have noticed a few areas where researchers need to throw a lot of light to further enhance the performance of coexisted radar-communication systems.

A. MACHINE LEARNING-BASED WAVEFORM DESIGN

Most of the constraint-based multi-objective optimization problems suffer from computational complexity or high convergence time [78]. To improve the convergence time, there are some recurrent neural network-based waveform design approaches have been proposed in [93] and [128]. However, there is a lot of scope to develop advanced machine learning algorithms to reduce the computational complexity in a CRCS. In addition, there is a lot of room for improvement, especially in the area of receiver signal classification. More

TABLE 6. Summary of radar sensor-centric waveform design approaches for a DFR	C system.
---	-----------

Ref.No	Waveform design approach	System performance	Year
[105]	LFM with up-chirp and down-chirp	Radar range resolution and BER for communication system	2007
[106]	Intra pulse modulation	LPI performance for radar and symbol error rate for the com-	2010
		munication system	
[107]	Intra pulse modulation	probability of intercept for radar and BER for the communica-	2011
		tion system	
[108]	Intra-pulse modulation is subject to constraints	LPI for radar and symbol error rate for the communication	2015
		system	
[109]	Sidelobe control + waveform diversity	BER for the communication system and power distribution for	2015
		radar	
[103]	Sidelobe control with beamforming + waveform diversity	Probability of target resolution for radar and BER for the	2016
		communication system	
[111]	radar-embedded communication signals	The signal-to-noise ratio for radar and BER for the communi-	2016
		cation system	
[112]	Far-field radiated emission design	PAPR for radar and BER for the communication system	2017
[114]	MIMO+transmit beamforming	Symbol error rate for the communication system and angular	2018
		ambiguity mitigation for radar	
[115]	Beam pattern design subject to minimizing multi-user interfer-	Probability of detection for radar and achievable sum rate for	2018
	ence	communication system	
[7]	Beam pattern modulation	Overall gain analysis for radar and BER for the communication	2019
		system	
[116]	Outage-based beamforming design	Passive target detection performance in radar and the achiev-	2023
		able data rate for the communication system	

TABLE 7. Summary of communication-centric waveform design approaches for a DFRC system.

Ref.No	Waveform design approach	System performance	Year
[117]	Hybrid precoder design	Average achievable rate of a MIMO communication system	2014
[118]	Frequency selective hybrid precoder design	Spectral efficiency of a mmWave system	2016
[119]	Hybrid beamforming OFDM	Average spectral efficiency and average weighted sum rate of	2017
		an mmWave system	
[120]	OFDM-based Golay block coding	Wideband ambiguity function and range profile are analyzed	2019
		for radar Bit Error Rate (BER) is analyzed for the communica-	
		tion system	
[121]	Hybrid beamforming OFDM	Spatial spectrum matching error for radar and average spectral	
		efficiency for the communication system	
[122]	Beamforming along with OFDM	maximizing radar SINR and satisfying communication SINR	2022
[123]	Robust beamforming design	RMSE estimation for radar and achievable SINR for the com-	2023
		munication system	
[124]	Constant modulus waveform design	Target detection probability for radar and symbol error rate for	2023
		the communication system	

TABLE 8. Summary of learning-based waveform design approaches for a DFRC system.

Ref. No	Waveform design approach	System performance	Year
[125]	Deep learning-based hybrid beamformer design	Accuracy and spectral efficiency of a mm massive MIMO system is	2019
		analyzed	
[126]	Deep reinforcement learning algorithm	Maximize the communication throughput and minimize the missed	2020
		detection probability in autonomous vehicles	
[127]	Deep learning in communication systems and LFM	Radar target detection performance and Communication system symbol	2022
	and FMCW radar waveforms are considered	error rate performance are analyzed	
[128]	Double Deep Q-learning Network (DDQN)	Optimize the time allocation for both radar and communication systems	2022
[129]	Reinforcement learning + beam pattern modulation	Improved down-link communication system performance and enhanced	2023
		the radar target detection performance for the weak targets	

specifically, it is always a tedious task for the joint receiver to separate the target echo and wireless communication signal from the users in the existence of noise, interference, and clutter. To overcome this problem, it is feasible to apply some learning approaches like Independent Component Analysis (ICA) in a joint radar-communication system. One such scenario can be found in [130], where, they had considered compressive sensing methods for performing symbol reception and target parameter estimation at the joint receiver. Hence, it is recommended to work on advanced machine learning approaches for signal classification and modernize the receiver design for a joint radar-communication system. Figure 10 illustrates the significance of learning approaches in the joint radar-communication system. The points to be noticed are listed below:

- A rapid waveform can be designed to eradicate the computational complexity present in the optimal waveform design process.
- Here, the AI algorithm stack quickly finds the optimized solution for any radar waveform objective function with respect to waveform constraints.
- The machine learning algorithms also play a pivotal role in signal classification at the receiver, when the received signals are embedded with noise,



Noise, clutter, and interference signals

FIGURE 10. Scenario depicting the role of machine learning algorithms in designing the rapid waveform and performing the signal classification at the receiver.

interference, and clutter in a joint radar-communication system.

The implementation of machine-learning algorithms to design a waveform for a joint radar-communication system relies on the following factors:

- Availability of sufficient and relevant training data is pivotal for developing machine learning models for waveform design.
- Effective feature engineering is crucial for extracting vital information from radar and communication signals. Features may include signal characteristics, channel properties, target attributes, and environmental conditions [13].
- Selecting the right machine-learning algorithm is very critical. Various techniques such as supervised learning, unsupervised learning, reinforcement learning, and deep learning may be applicable depending on the specific objectives and characteristics of the joint radar-communication system [125].
- Training and validation of the data. In addition, the machine learning-based waveform design algorithm should be adaptable to operating conditions, channel characteristics, and system requirements.
- Performance evaluation of the machine learning-based waveform design algorithm should consider various metrics relevant to both radar and communication functionalities. These metrics may include detection and estimation accuracy, communication reliability, spectral efficiency, and overall system throughput [130].



FIGURE 11. A simple block diagram to represent the bounds on the radar and communication system performance.

B. PERFORMANCE LIMITS OF DUAL-FUNCTIONAL WAVEFORMS

To understand about efficient sharing of RF spectral resources and the RF convergence of radar and communication systems, it is necessary to have an idea regarding the performance limits of a cooperative spectrum sharing [3]. These performance bounds are also helpful in target estimation performance in a CRCS spectrum sharing environment [131]. However, the performance limits of both radar and communication systems rely on performance inner-bounds and dual-functional (sensing and communication) waveforms [132]. It is known that the communication system channel capacity is well estimated in terms of mutual information between channel input and channel output. However, from the information theory perspective, radar sensing performance limits are not clearly defined like communication systems [133], [134]. Hence, a thorough investigation needs to be carried out on the performance limits of a dual-functional waveform (especially radar sensor-centric). Further, the study can be extended to derive tightly bounded objective function, sensor performance metric, connectivity between communication system data rate and radar estimation rate, and bandwidth considerations in hybrid beamforming waveform design. From Figure 11, the following aspects can be noticed for further development of a joint radar-communication system.

- The performance of a radar system completely relies on the tightly bounded objective functions subjected to suitable constraints.
- The communication system performance depends on the channel capacity and it is accurately estimated in terms of mutual information.
- To improve the radar and communication system performance, an optimum channel bandwidth should be considered to eradicate the problems of noise, interference, and clutter.
- Waveform design plays a crucial role in establishing the interconnection between the radar and communication systems for mutual transfer of information.

TABLE 9.	Critical	summary of	of waveform	design	approaches	for a	DFRC system	n.
----------	----------	------------	-------------	--------	------------	-------	-------------	----

Type of waveform design ap-	Advantages	Disadvantages	Application conditions
proach			
Radar sensor-centric	Enhanced radar performance	Potential trade-off in communication	Limited communication requirements,
		system performance	major priority is given to radar sensitiv-
			ity and its accuracy
Communication-centric	Achieving higher communica-	Sub-optimal radar sensing performance	Achieving high speed and reliable com-
	tion performance		munication performance, radar is used
			only for environmental monitoring
Learning-based	Adaptability and flexibility in	Complexity associated with training	Real-time adaptation, Integration capa-
	designing the waveform	machine learning models and the re-	bility
		quirement for large amounts of data	



C. SECURITY CONCERNS IN SPECTRUM SHARING

The radar and communication spectrum-sharing approaches have raised privacy issues. In the process of radar spectrum sharing, military radar might disclose some vital security information to neighboring communication users. To avoid this, it is recommended to consider adaptive beampattern modulation schemes to provide physical layer security in a joint radar-communication system. However, some valuable information regarding security issues of joint radarcommunication systems can be found in [135] and [136]. Figure 12, represents the security concerns of a joint radar-communication system. Here there is a transfer of information between radar and communication systems. However, the neighboring communication user can hack the secured information related to the radar system. According to [137], there is always a possibility that an adversary may launch an interference attack by using the precoder. Generally, the precoder contains some secured information about the radar, and communication users can hack it. However, by constraining the transmitted power of the adversaries this problem can be resolved. A thorough investigation is recommended to combat security concerns raised in a joint radar-communication system.

To maintain the transmission secrecy an artificial noise can be employed at the transmitter, provided the signalto-noise ratio is guaranteed at the legitimate user [138]. Whereas, the machine learning models used in the joint radarcommunication system should be designed with security and privacy considerations in mind. This includes protecting sensitive data, preventing adversarial attacks, and ensuring



FIGURE 13. Example depicting the radar communication convergence in the on-road automotive vehicular scene.

robustness against malicious exploitation [138]. In this way, one can develop a joint radar-communication system without any security issues.

D. DFRC FOR AUTONOMOUS SYSTEMS

The working of an autonomous system mainly depends on automotive radar and wireless communication systems. Converging these two systems and modeled as a DFRC system provides many advantages like chip power, size, throughput, security, and enhanced sensing capability [139]. Due to these advantages, the DFRC system is a good choice for autonomous systems. In Figure 13, we can see that all the vehicles are equipped with DFRC, which can simultaneously detect the other vehicles, humans, traffic lights, and poles within the vicinity. Meanwhile, traditional architectures use Bluetooth, wifi, and other communication modules to facilitate communication with traffic signals, other transmitters, and other vehicles. By deploying the DFRC, the existing communications modules are replaced.

• **Power, throughput, and size:** With the DFRC technology, it is easy to replace multiple modules (sensing and communication). Hence, the size of the overall module

is less compared to multiple modules. Moreover, once the sensing is performed, the information is transmitted from the sensing module to the communication module, providing a delay. With this DFRC technology, there is no delay, and hence, the system's throughput increases. Further, due to compact size and more throughput, the overall dynamic Power of the system decreases.

- Enhanced Sensing Capabilities: In a scenario of occlusion, the ego may not be able to sense the scene behind the other vehicles or obstacles. In this case, the other vehicle can sense and transmit the same information to the ego. In addition to it, the multipath signals can also enrich the sensing capabilities.
- **Challenges:** The DFRC system models may not be suitable for all the driver assistance system functions [139]. Self-driving vehicles depend on various sensors, especially radar sensors, which exhibit unique robustness to heavy rain, snow, and poor light conditions. Due to the number of radars used in self-driving cars, radar interference is a serious issue. However, some novel approaches have been proposed to overcome radar interference [140]. In addition, various sensors like cameras and lidars are also used in autonomous vehicles. Combining these technologies and connecting them to a communication system is a challenging task.

Finally, a thorough investigation is required to practically introduce these DFRC strategies in autonomous vehicular environments and assess their performance in real-road environments. Hence, there is much scope for the researchers to concentrate more on various DFRC scenarios and their practical implementation possibilities for developing autonomous systems.

The DFRC reality to AV is possible, and can incrementally reach the matured stage owing to the existing prototypes

- It is already demonstrated that jointly implementing radar and communications decreases the number of antennas [141], and results in bottleneck requirements of overall system size, weight, and power consumption.
- The joint designs mitigate the mutual interference among neighboring cars, facilitate coordination and improve pedestrian detection [111].
- The embedding of digital messages in the radar probing signals supports low data rates [142], making it more suitable to serve as an additional channel to the standard communications functionalities of autonomous vehicles. This incorporation magnitudes the performance of the AV in both radar and communication aspects.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this review paper, we provided a complete survey about the research progress in the area of Cooperative Radar Communication (CRC) and Dual Function Radar Communication (DFRC) systems. Initially, application scenarios of the joint radar communication systems are explained. Subsequently, the system models of both cooperative and dual-functional radar-communication systems are discussed. These system models illustrated the importance of waveform design for transferring information between radar and communication systems. Subsequently, radar sensorcentric, communication-centric, and machine-learning-based waveform design approaches are discussed for both the Cooperative and dual-functional radar-communication systems. Further, the waveform constraints are notified for every radar waveform design approach and given a clear view of how one should perform the constraint-based waveform optimization. Furthermore, it is understood that over-constraining the waveform resulted in performance loss in the radar and communication systems. The performance metrics are identified and analyzed for every waveform design approach in the CRC and DFRC systems. Later, brand new learning-based waveform design approaches are discussed and analyzed for the joint radar-communication systems. At the end of each section, a quick summary is presented for easy understanding to the upcoming researchers. Eventually, this review article provides information regarding the concerns present in the existing waveform design approaches. Further, this article provides various challenges and research directions to the upcoming researchers in the area of waveform design. The research in this area is very trendy and rapidly growing due to the spectrum congestion problems faced by abundant communication users. In the future, we could see immense opportunities for academicians and industrialists to design the much needy joint radar-communication systems.

REFERENCES

- H. Griffiths, L. Cohen, S. Watts, E. Mokole, C. Baker, M. Wicks, and S. Blunt, "Radar spectrum engineering and management: Technical and regulatory issues," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 103, no. 1, pp. 85–102, Jan. 2015.
- [2] P. C. of Advisors on Sci. Technol. (2012). Realizing the Full Potential of Government-held Spectrum To Spur Economic Growth. [Online]. Available: https://obamawhitehouse.archives. gov/sites/default/files/microsites/ostp/pcast/spectrumreport
- [3] A. R. Chiriyath, B. Paul, and D. W. Bliss, "Radar-communications convergence: Coexistence, cooperation, and co-design," *IEEE Trans. Cognit. Commun. Netw.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1–12, Mar. 2017.
- [4] F. Liu, C. Masouros, A. P. Petropulu, H. Griffiths, and L. Hanzo, "Joint radar and communication design: Applications, state-of-the-art, and the road ahead," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 68, no. 6, pp. 3834–3862, Jun. 2020.
- [5] B. Paul, A. R. Chiriyath, and D. W. Bliss, "Survey of RF communications and sensing convergence research," *IEEE Access*, vol. 5, pp. 252–270, 2017.
- [6] D. W. Bliss, "Cooperative radar and communications signaling: The estimation and information theory odd couple," in *Proc. IEEE Radar Conf.*, May 2014, pp. 50–55.
- [7] A. Hassanien, M. G. Amin, E. Aboutanios, and B. Himed, "Dual-function radar communication systems: A solution to the spectrum congestion problem," *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 115–126, Sep. 2019.
- [8] P. Howland, "Editorial: Passive radar systems," *IEE Proc. Radar, Sonar Navigat.*, vol. 152, no. 3, p. 105, 2005.
- [9] H. Kuschel, D. Cristallini, and K. E. Olsen, "Tutorial: Passive radar tutorial," *IEEE Aerosp. Electron. Syst. Mag.*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 2–19, Feb. 2019.
- [10] J. A. Zhang, F. Liu, C. Masouros, R. W. Heath, Z. Feng, L. Zheng, and A. Petropulu, "An overview of signal processing techniques for joint communication and radar sensing," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Signal Process.*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 1295–1315, Nov. 2021.

- [11] W. Rowe, P. Stoica, and J. Li, "Spectrally constrained waveform design," *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 157–162, May 2014.
- [12] L. Zheng, M. Lops, Y. C. Eldar, and X. Wang, "Radar and communication coexistence: An overview: A review of recent methods," *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 85–99, Sep. 2019.
- [13] K. V. Mishra, M. R. Bhavani Shankar, V. Koivunen, B. Ottersten, and S. A. Vorobyov, "Toward millimeter-wave joint radar communications: A signal processing perspective," *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 100–114, Sep. 2019.
- [14] Z. Feng, Z. Fang, Z. Wei, X. Chen, Z. Quan, and D. Ji, "Joint radar and communication: A survey," *China Commun.*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 1–27, Jan. 2020.
- [15] J. A. Zhang, Md. L. Rahman, K. Wu, X. Huang, Y. J. Guo, S. Chen, and J. Yuan, "Enabling joint communication and radar sensing in mobile networks—A survey," *IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 306–345, 1st Quart., 2022.
- [16] J. Xiong, H. Yin, J. Zhu, and Y. Tang, "An overview of waveform design for integrated sensing and communication," in *Proc. IEEE/CIC Int. Conf. Commun. China*, Aug. 2022, pp. 991–996.
- [17] M. Nemati, Y. H. Kim, and J. Choi, "Toward joint radar, communication, computation, localization, and sensing in IoT," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 11772–11788, 2022.
- [18] J. Wang, X.-D. Liang, L.-Y. Chen, and Y.-L. Li, "Waveform designs for joint wireless communication and radar sensing: Pitfalls and opportunities," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 10, no. 17, pp. 15252–15265, Sep. 2023.
- [19] A. Aubry, A. De Maio, M. Piezzo, and A. Farina, "Radar waveform design in a spectrally crowded environment via nonconvex quadratic optimization," *IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 1138–1152, Apr. 2014.
- [20] M. J. Lindenfeld, "Sparse frequency transmit-and-receive waveform design," *IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 851–861, Jul. 2004.
- [21] D. Ma, N. Shlezinger, T. Huang, Y. Liu, and Y. C. Eldar, "Joint radarcommunication strategies for autonomous vehicles: Combining two key automotive technologies," *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 85–97, Jul. 2020.
- [22] Q. Huang, H. Chen, and Q. Zhang, "Joint design of sensing and communication systems for smart homes," *IEEE Netw.*, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 191–197, Nov. 2020.
- [23] A. Zhang, Md. L. Rahman, X. Huang, Y. J. Guo, S. Chen, and R. W. Heath, "Perceptive mobile networks: Cellular networks with radio vision via joint communication and radar sensing," *IEEE Veh. Technol. Mag.*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 20–30, Jun. 2021.
- [24] W. Zhou, R. Zhang, G. Chen, and W. Wu, "Integrated sensing and communication waveform design: A survey," *IEEE Open J. Commun. Soc.*, vol. 3, pp. 1930–1949, 2022.
- [25] DARPA. (2016). Shared Spectrum Access for Radar and Communications. [Online]. Available: https://www.darpa.mil/program/sharedspectrum-access-for-radar-and-communications
- [26] A. R. Chiriyath, S. Ragi, H. D. Mittelmann, and D. W. Bliss, "Novel radar waveform optimization for a cooperative radar-communications system," *IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 1160–1173, Jun. 2019.
- [27] R. Saruthirathanaworakun, J. M. Peha, and L. M. Correia, "Opportunistic sharing between rotating radar and cellular," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 30, no. 10, pp. 1900–1910, Nov. 2012.
- [28] S. Sodagari, A. Khawar, T. C. Clancy, and R. McGwier, "A projection based approach for radar and telecommunication systems coexistence," in *Proc. IEEE Global Commun. Conf.*, Dec. 2012, pp. 5010–5014.
- [29] A. Khawar, A. Abdelhadi, and C. Clancy, "Target detection performance of spectrum sharing MIMO radars," *IEEE Sensors J.*, vol. 15, no. 9, pp. 4928–4940, Sep. 2015.
- [30] J. A. Mahal, A. Khawar, A. Abdelhadi, and T. C. Clancy, "Spectral coexistence of MIMO radar and MIMO cellular system," *IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 655–668, Apr. 2017.
- [31] A. Babaei, W. H. Tranter, and T. Bose, "A nullspace-based precoder with subspace expansion for radar/communications coexistence," in *Proc. IEEE Global Commun. Conf.*, Dec. 2013, pp. 3487–3492.
- [32] B. Li and A. P. Petropulu, "Joint transmit designs for coexistence of MIMO wireless communications and sparse sensing radars in clutter," *IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, vol. 53, no. 6, pp. 2846–2864, Dec. 2017.

- [33] M. Mishali and Y. C. Eldar, "From theory to practice: Sub-nyquist sampling of sparse wideband analog signals," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Signal Process.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 375–391, Apr. 2010.
- [34] D. Cohen, S. Tsiper, and Y. C. Eldar, "Analog-to-digital cognitive radio: Sampling, detection, and hardware," *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 137–166, Jan. 2018.
- [35] C. Sturm and W. Wiesbeck, "Waveform design and signal processing aspects for fusion of wireless communications and radar sensing," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 99, no. 7, pp. 1236–1259, Jul. 2011.
- [36] J. Choi, V. Va, N. Gonzalez-Prelcic, R. Daniels, C. R. Bhat, and R. W. Heath, "Millimeter-wave vehicular communication to support massive automotive sensing," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 54, no. 12, pp. 160–167, Dec. 2016.
- [37] J. B. Kenney, "Dedicated short-range communications (DSRC) standards in the United States," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 99, no. 7, pp. 1162–1182, Jul. 2011.
- [38] S. Tsugawa and S. Kato, "Energy ITS: Another application of vehicular communications," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 48, no. 11, pp. 120–126, Nov. 2010.
- [39] D. K. Kumuda, P. Srihari, D. Sheshagiri, M. K. Raju, and B. Pardhasaradhi, "A mutual interference mitigation algorithm for dense on-road automotive radars scenario," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Electron., Comput. Commun. Technol. (CONECCT)*, Jul. 2023, pp. 1–6.
- [40] D. K. Kumuda, P. Srihari, D. Sheshagiri, P. R. Kumar, and B. Pardhasaradhi, "Clipping and Hampel filtering algorithm to mitigate mutual interference for automotive radars," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Electron., Comput. Commun. Technol. (CONECCT)*, Jul. 2023, pp. 1–5.
- [41] D. K. Kumuda, G. S. Vandana, B. Pardhasaradhi, B. S. Raghavendra, P. Srihari, and L. R. Cenkeramaddi, "Multitarget detection and tracking by mitigating spot jammer attack in 77-GHz mm-wave radars: An experimental evaluation," *IEEE Sensors J.*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 5345–5361, Mar. 2023.
- [42] P. Lingadevaru, B. Pardhasaradhi, P. Srihari, and G. Sharma, "Analysis of 5G new radio waveform as an illuminator of opportunity for passive bistatic radar," in *Proc. Nat. Conf. Commun. (NCC)*, Jul. 2021, pp. 1–6.
- [43] F. Hessar and S. Roy, "Spectrum sharing between a surveillance radar and secondary Wi-Fi networks," *IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 1434–1448, Jun. 2016.
- [44] P. Lingadevaru, B. Pardhasaradhi, and P. Srihari, "Feasibility of adopting 6G frequencies for transmitter of opportunity by passive radar," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Smart Electron. Syst.*, Dec. 2022, pp. 326–330.
- [45] G. Srinath, B. Pardhasaradhi, P. Kumar H., and P. Srihari, "Tracking of radar targets with in-band wireless communication interference in Rad-Comm spectrum sharing," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 31955–31969, 2022.
- [46] A. C. Mahipathi, B. Pardhasaradhi, S. Pathipati, J. D'Souza, and P. Jena, "LPI-based NLFM radar waveform design for a cooperative radarcommunication system," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Electron., Comput. Commun. Technol. (CONECCT)*, Jul. 2023, pp. 1–5.
- [47] B. Pardhasaradhi and L. R. Cenkeramaddi, "GPS spoofing detection and mitigation for drones using distributed radar tracking and fusion," *IEEE Sensors J.*, vol. 22, no. 11, pp. 11122–11134, Jun. 2022.
- [48] R. Schneiderman, "Unmanned drones are flying high in the military/aerospace sector [special reports]," *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 8–11, Jan. 2012.
- [49] Z. R. Bogdanowicz, "Flying swarm of drones over circulant digraph," *IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, vol. 53, no. 6, pp. 2662–2670, Dec. 2017.
- [50] J. B. Evans. (2016). Shared Spectrum Access for Radar and Communications (SSPARC). [Online]. Available: http://www.darpa. mil/program/shared-spectrum-access-for-radar-and-communications
- [51] B. N. Dayananda, G. S. Vandana, P. Srihari, and B. Pardhasaradhi, "Real time vital sign monitoring system using AWR1642 radar module with remote access," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Smart Electron. Syst. (iSES)*, Dec. 2022, pp. 191–195.
- [52] G. Fortino and M. Pathan, "Integration of cloud computing and body sensor networks," *Future Gener. Comput. Syst.*, vol. 35, pp. 57–61, Jun. 2014.
- [53] M. Hakozaki and H. Shinoda, "Digital tactile sensing elements communicating through conductive skin layers," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Robot. Autom.*, Aug. 2002, pp. 3813–3817.
- [54] V. Raghavan, T. Luo, O. H. Koymen, and J. Li, "Antenna selection for upper millimeter wave and THz bands," in *Proc. 54th Asilomar Conf. Signals, Syst., Comput.*, Nov. 2020, pp. 450–454.

- [55] S. N. TS, G. S. Vandana, B. Pardhasaradhi, and P. Srihari, "SAR imaging with automotive radar: Range migration algorithm, experiment, and future directions in automotive vehicle," in *Proc. IEEE 7th Int. Conf. Recent Adv. Innov. Eng. (ICRAIE)*, vol. 7, Dec. 2022, pp. 382–387.
- [56] C. Gu, Z. Peng, and C. Li, "High-precision motion detection using lowcomplexity Doppler radar with digital post-distortion technique," *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Techn.*, vol. 64, no. 3, pp. 961–971, Mar. 2016.
- [57] Y. Ren, J. Lu, A. Beletchi, Y. Huang, I. Karmanov, D. Fontijne, C. Patel, and H. Xu, "Hand gesture recognition using 802.11ad mmWave sensor in the mobile device," in *Proc. IEEE Wireless Commun. Netw. Conf. Workshops (WCNCW)*, Mar. 2021, pp. 1–6.
- [58] S. N. TS, G. S. Vandana, B. Pardhasaradhi, and P. Srihari, "An experimental evaluation of MIMO-SAR imaging with FMCW radar," in *Proc. IEEE 2nd Mysore Sub Sect. Int. Conf.*, Oct. 2022, pp. 1–6.
- [59] T. S. Rappaport, J. N. Murdock, and F. Gutierrez, "State of the art in 60-GHz integrated circuits and systems for wireless communications," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 99, no. 8, pp. 1390–1436, Aug. 2011.
- [60] K.-D. Langer and J. Grubor, "Recent developments in optical wireless communications using infrared and visible light," in *Proc. 9th Int. Conf. Transparent Opt. Netw.*, Jul. 2007, pp. 146–151.
- [61] A. Bellè, M. Falcitelli, M. Petracca, and P. Pagano, "Development of IEEE802.15.7 based ITS services using low cost embedded systems," in *Proc. 13th Int. Conf. ITS Telecommun. (ITST)*, Nov. 2013, pp. 419–425.
- [62] H. Elgala, R. Mesleh, and H. Haas, "Indoor optical wireless communication: Potential and state-of-the-art," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 49, no. 9, pp. 56–62, Sep. 2011.
- [63] A. Wehr and U. Lohr, "Airborne laser scanning—An introduction and overview," *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.*, vol. 54, nos. 2–3, pp. 68–82, Jul. 1999.
- [64] M. Lang, G. McCarty, B. Wilen, and J. Awl, "Light detection and ranging: New information for improved wetland mapping and monitoring," *Nat. Wetlands Newslett.*, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 10–13, 2010.
- [65] J. C. Brock and S. J. Purkis, "The emerging role of LiDAR remote sensing in coastal research and resource management," *J. Coastal Res.*, vol. 10053, pp. 1–5, Nov. 2009.
- [66] N. Decarli, F. Guidi, and D. Dardari, "A novel joint RFID and radar sensor network for passive localization: Design and performance bounds," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Signal Process.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 80–95, Feb. 2014.
- [67] I. Farris, A. Iera, L. Militano, and S. C. Spinella, "Performance evaluation of RFID tag-based virtual," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Commun. (ICC)*, Jun. 2014, pp. 2897–2902.
- [68] C.-C. Yen, A. E. Gutierrez, D. Veeramani, and D. van der Weide, "Radar cross-section analysis of backscattering RFID tags," *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 6, pp. 279–281, 2007.
- [69] M. Bica and V. Koivunen, "Radar waveform optimization for target parameter estimation in cooperative radar-communications systems," *IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, vol. 55, no. 5, pp. 2314–2326, Oct. 2019.
- [70] A. Khawar, A. Abdel-Hadi, and T. C. Clancy, "MIMO radar waveform design for coexistence with cellular systems," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Dyn. Spectr. Access Netw. (DYSPAN)*, Apr. 2014, pp. 20–26.
- [71] A. Khawar, A. Abdelhadi, and T. C. Clancy, "Coexistence analysis between radar and cellular system in LoS channel," *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 15, pp. 972–975, 2016.
- [72] A. Aubry, A. De Maio, Y. Huang, M. Piezzo, and A. Farina, "A new radar waveform design algorithm with improved feasibility for spectral coexistence," *IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 1029–1038, Apr. 2015.
- [73] M. A. Govoni, "Enhancing spectrum coexistence using radar waveform diversity," in *Proc. IEEE Radar Conf.*, May 2016, pp. 1–5.
- [74] A. Aubry, V. Carotenuto, A. De Maio, A. Farina, and L. Pallotta, "Optimization theory-based radar waveform design for spectrally dense environments," *IEEE Aerosp. Electron. Syst. Mag.*, vol. 31, no. 12, pp. 14–25, Dec. 2016.
- [75] B. Paul, A. R. Chiriyath, and D. W. Bliss, "Joint communications and radar performance bounds under continuous waveform optimization: The waveform awakens," in *Proc. IEEE Radar Conf.*, May 2016, pp. 1–6.
- [76] Y. Huang, M. Piezzo, V. Carotenuto, and A. De Maio, "Radar waveform design under similarity, bandwidth priority, and spectral coexistence constraints," in *Proc. IEEE Radar Conf.*, May 2017, pp. 1142–1147.
- [77] J. Qian, M. Lops, L. Zheng, X. Wang, and Z. He, "Joint system design for coexistence of MIMO radar and MIMO communication," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 66, no. 13, pp. 3504–3519, Jul. 2018.

- [78] A. C. Mahipathi, S. Gunnery, P. Srihari, J. D'Souza, and P. Jena, "Constrained radar waveform optimization for a cooperative radarcommunication system," *Phys. Commun.*, vol. 57, Apr. 2023, Art. no. 101984.
- [79] Y. Cui, X. Jing, and J. Mu, "Integrated sensing and communications via 5G NR waveform: Performance analysis," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech Signal Process.*, May 2022, pp. 8747–8751.
- [80] E. H. G. Yousif, M. C. Filippou, F. Khan, T. Ratnarajah, and M. Sellathurai, "A new LSA-based approach for spectral coexistence of MIMO radar and wireless communications systems," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Commun. (ICC)*, May 2016, pp. 1–6.
- [81] E. Yousif, F. Khan, T. Ratnarajah, and M. Sellathurai, "On the spectral coexistence of colocated MIMO radars and wireless communications systems," in *Proc. IEEE 17th Int. Workshop Signal Process. Adv. Wireless Commun. (SPAWC)*, Jul. 2016, pp. 1–5.
- [82] S.-S. Raymond, A. Abubakari, and H.-S. Jo, "Coexistence of powercontrolled cellular networks with rotating radar," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 34, no. 10, pp. 2605–2616, Oct. 2016.
- [83] Y. Liu, G. Liao, J. Xu, Z. Yang, and Y. Zhang, "Adaptive OFDM integrated radar and communications waveform design based on information theory," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 21, no. 10, pp. 2174–2177, Oct. 2017.
- [84] C. Shi, F. Wang, S. Salous, and J. Zhou, "Low probability of intercept-based optimal OFDM waveform design strategy for an integrated radar and communications system," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 57689–57699, 2018.
- [85] Q. He, Z. Wang, J. Hu, and R. S. Blum, "Performance gains from cooperative MIMO radar and MIMO communication systems," *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 194–198, Jan. 2019.
- [86] Y. Li, X. Wang, and Z. Ding, "Multi-target position and velocity estimation using OFDM communication signals," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 68, no. 2, pp. 1160–1174, Feb. 2020.
- [87] X. Chen, Z. Feng, Z. Wei, P. Zhang, and X. Yuan, "Code-division OFDM joint communication and sensing system for 6G machine-type communication," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 8, no. 15, pp. 12093–12105, Aug. 2021.
- [88] M.-E. Chatzitheodoridi, A. Taylor, O. Rabaste, and H. Oriot, "A coop erative SAR communication system using continuous phase modulation codes and mismatched filters," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 61, pp. 1–14, 2023, Art. no. 5201314.
- [89] S. Kim, J. J. Park, and K. Bian, "PSUN: An OFDM scheme for coexistence with pulsed radar," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Comput., Netw. Commun. (ICNC)*, Feb. 2015, pp. 984–988.
- [90] C. Desjardins and B. Chaib-draa, "Cooperative adaptive cruise control: A reinforcement learning approach," *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 1248–1260, Dec. 2011.
- [91] E. Selvi, R. M. Buehrer, A. Martone, and K. Sherbondy, "On the use of Markov decision processes in cognitive radar: An application to target tracking," in *Proc. IEEE Radar Conf. (RadarConf18)*, Apr. 2018, pp. 0537–0542.
- [92] O. Ma, A. R. Chiriyath, A. Herschfelt, and D. W. Bliss, "Cooperative radar and communications coexistence using reinforcement learning," in *Proc. 52nd Asilomar Conf. Signals, Syst., Comput.*, Oct. 2018, pp. 947–951.
- [93] P. John-Baptiste, G. E. Smith, A. M. Jones, and T. Bihl, "Rapid waveform design through machine learning," in *Proc. IEEE 8th Int. Workshop Comput. Adv. Multi-Sensor Adapt. Process. (CAMSAP)*, Dec. 2019, pp. 659–663.
- [94] C. E. Thornton, M. A. Kozy, R. M. Buehrer, A. F. Martone, and K. D. Sherbondy, "Deep reinforcement learning control for radar detection and tracking in congested spectral environments," *IEEE Trans. Cognit. Commun. Netw.*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 1335–1349, Dec. 2020.
- [95] C. E. Thornton, R. Michael Buehrer, and A. F. Martone, "Constrained online learning to mitigate distortion effects in pulse-agile cognitive radar," in *Proc. IEEE Radar Conf.*, May 2021, pp. 1–6.
- [96] P. Pulkkinen and V. Koivunen, "Model-based online learning for resource sharing in joint radar-communication systems," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech Signal Process. (ICASSP)*, May 2022, pp. 4103–4107.
- [97] J. Lee, D. Niyato, Y. L. Guan, and D. I. Kim, "Learning to schedule joint radar-communication with deep multi-agent reinforcement learning," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 71, no. 1, pp. 406–422, Jan. 2022.
- [98] Y. Yao, J. Zhao, Z. Li, X. Cheng, and L. Wu, "Jamming and eavesdropping defense scheme based on deep reinforcement learning in autonomous vehicle networks," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Secur.*, vol. 18, pp. 1211–1224, Jan. 2023.

- [99] P. Lingadevaru, P. Srihari, B. Pardhasaradhi, and G. Srinath, "A conceptual framework for knowledge aided passive radar system," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Electron., Comput. Commun. Technol.*, Jul. 2021, pp. 1–6.
- [100] E. Hazan, "Introduction to online convex optimization," Found. Trends Optim., vol. 2, nos. 3–4, pp. 157–325, 2016.
- [101] T. M. Moerland, J. Broekens, A. Plaat, and C. M. Jonker, "Model-based reinforcement learning: A survey," *Found. Trends Mach. Learn.*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 1–118, 2023.
- [102] L. Hewing, K. P. Wabersich, M. Menner, and M. N. Zeilinger, "Learningbased model predictive control: Toward safe learning in control," *Annu. Rev. Control, Robot., Auto. Syst.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 269–296, May 2020.
- [103] A. Hassanien, M. G. Amin, Y. D. Zhang, and F. Ahmad, "Dual-function radar-communications: Information embedding using sidelobe control and waveform diversity," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 64, no. 8, pp. 2168–2181, Apr. 2016.
- [104] R. M. Mealey, "A method for calculating error probabilities in a radar communication system," *IEEE Trans. Space Electron. Telemetry*, vol. SET-9, no. 2, pp. 37–42, Oct. 1963.
- [105] G. N. Saddik, R. S. Singh, and E. R. Brown, "Ultra-wideband multifunctional communications/radar system," *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Techn.*, vol. 55, no. 7, pp. 1431–1437, Sep. 2007.
- [106] S. D. Blunt, P. Yatham, and J. Stiles, "Intrapulse radar-embedded communications," *IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 1185–1200, Jul. 2010.
- [107] S. D. Blunt, J. G. Metcalf, C. R. Biggs, and E. Perrins, "Performance characteristics and metrics for intra-pulse radar-embedded communication," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 29, no. 10, pp. 2057–2066, Dec. 2011.
- [108] D. Ciuonzo, A. De Maio, G. Foglia, and M. Piezzo, "Intrapulse radar-embedded communications via multiobjective optimization," *IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 2960–2974, Oct. 2015.
- [109] A. Hassanien, M. G. Amin, Y. D. Zhang, and F. Ahmad, "A dual function radar-communications system using sidelobe control and waveform diversity," in *Proc. IEEE Radar Conf.*, May 2015, pp. 1260–1263.
- [110] A. Hassanien, M. G. Amin, Y. D. Zhang, and F. Ahmad, "Dual-function radar-communications using phase-rotational invariance," in *Proc. 23rd Eur. Signal Process. Conf. (EUSIPCO)*, Aug. 2015, pp. 1346–1350.
- [111] A. Hassanien, M. G. Amin, Y. D. Zhang, and F. Ahmad, "Signaling strategies for dual-function radar communications: An overview," *IEEE Aerosp. Electron. Syst. Mag.*, vol. 31, no. 10, pp. 36–45, Oct. 2016.
- [112] P. M. McCormick, S. D. Blunt, and J. G. Metcalf, "Simultaneous radar and communications emissions from a common aperture, part I: Theory," in *Proc. IEEE Radar Conf.*, May 2017, pp. 1685–1690.
- [113] R. Liu, M. Li, Q. Liu, and A. L. Swindlehurst, "Dual-functional radarcommunication waveform design: A symbol-level precoding approach," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Signal Process.*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 1316–1331, Nov. 2021.
- [114] A. Hassanien, E. Aboutanios, M. G. Amin, and G. A. Fabrizio, "A dual-function MIMO radar-communication system via waveform permutation," *Digit. Signal Process.*, vol. 83, pp. 118–128, Dec. 2018.
- [115] F. Liu, L. Zhou, C. Masouros, A. Li, W. Luo, and A. Petropulu, "Toward dual-functional radar-communication systems: Optimal waveform design," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 66, no. 16, pp. 4264–4279, Aug. 2018.
- [116] A. Bazzi and M. Chafii, "On outage-based beamforming design for dual-functional radar-communication 6G systems," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 22, no. 8, pp. 5598–5612, 2023.
- [117] E. Zhang and C. Huang, "On achieving optimal rate of digital precoder by RF-baseband codesign for MIMO systems," in *Proc. IEEE 80th Veh. Technol. Conf.*, Sep. 2014, pp. 1–5.
- [118] A. Alkhateeb and R. W. Heath, "Frequency selective hybrid precoding for limited feedback millimeter wave systems," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 64, no. 5, pp. 1801–1818, May 2016.
- [119] F. Sohrabi and W. Yu, "Hybrid analog and digital beamforming for mmWave OFDM large-scale antenna arrays," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 35, no. 7, pp. 1432–1443, Jul. 2017.
- [120] W. Li, Z. Xiang, and P. Ren, "Waveform design for dual-function radarcommunication system with Golay block coding," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 184053–184062, 2019.
- [121] Z. Cheng, Z. He, and B. Liao, "Hybrid beamforming design for OFDM dual-function radar-communication system," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Signal Process.*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 1455–1467, Nov. 2021.
- [122] Z. Xiao, R. Liu, M. Li, Y. Liu, and Q. Liu, "Joint beamforming design in DFRC systems for wideband sensing and OFDM communications," in *Proc. IEEE Global Commun. Conf.*, Dec. 2022, pp. 1631–1636.

- [123] B. Liao, X. Xiong, and Z. Quan, "Robust beamforming design for dual-function radar-communication system," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 72, no. 6, pp. 7508–7516, 2023.
- [124] W. Wu, B. Tang, and X. Wang, "Constant-modulus waveform design for dual-function radar-communication systems in the presence of clutter," *IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, vol. 59, no. 4, pp. 4005–4017, 2023.
- [125] A. M. Elbir and K. V. Mishra, "Deep learning design for joint antenna selection and hybrid beamforming in massive MIMO," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Antennas Propag. USNC-URSI Radio Sci. Meeting*, Jul. 2019, pp. 1585–1586.
- [126] N. Q. Hieu, D. T. Hoang, N. C. Luong, and D. Niyato, "IRDRC: An intelligent real-time dual-functional radar-communication system for automotive vehicles," *IEEE Wireless Commun. Lett.*, vol. 9, no. 12, pp. 2140–2143, Dec. 2020.
- [127] C. Liu, Y. Chen, and S.-H. Yang, "Deep learning based detection for communications systems with radar interference," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 71, no. 6, pp. 6245–6254, Jul. 2022.
- [128] Y. Fan, J. Huang, X. Wang, and Z. Fei, "Resource allocation for V2X assisted automotive radar system based on reinforcement learning," in *Proc. 14th Int. Conf. Wireless Commun. Signal Process. (WCSP)*, Nov. 2022, pp. 672–676.
- [129] W. Zhai, X. Wang, X. Cao, M. S. Greco, and F. Gini, "Reinforcement learning based dual-functional massive MIMO systems for multi-target detection and communications," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 71, pp. 741–755, 2023.
- [130] L. Zheng, M. Lops, and X. Wang, "Adaptive interference removal for uncoordinated radar/communication coexistence," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Signal Process.*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 45–60, Feb. 2018.
- [131] S. Gunnery, P. Bethi, P. H. Kumar, and S. Pathipati, "Target estimation performance improvement in cooperative radar and communication system spectrum sharing," in *Proc. 12th Int. Conf. Comput. Commun. Netw. Technol. (ICCCNT)*, Jul. 2021, pp. 1–6.
- [132] A. R. Chiriyath, B. Paul, G. M. Jacyna, and D. W. Bliss, "Inner bounds on performance of radar and communications co-existence," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 64, no. 2, pp. 464–474, Jan. 2016.
- [133] A. Liu, Z. Huang, M. Li, Y. Wan, W. Li, T. X. Han, C. Liu, R. Du, D. K. P. Tan, J. Lu, Y. Shen, F. Colone, and K. Chetty, "A survey on fundamental limits of integrated sensing and communication," *IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 994–1034, 2nd Quart., 2022.
- [134] G. Srinath, B. Pardhasaradhi, A. C. Mahipathi, P. K. H. P. Srihari, and L. R. Cenkeramaddi, "Performance analysis of spectrum sharing radar in multipath environment," *IEEE Open J. Commun. Soc.*, vol. 4, pp. 922–935, 2023.
- [135] A. Deligiannis, A. Daniyan, S. Lambotharan, and J. A. Chambers, "Secrecy rate optimizations for MIMO communication radar," *IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, vol. 54, no. 5, pp. 2481–2492, Oct. 2018.
- [136] A. Dimas, M. A. Clark, B. Li, K. Psounis, and A. P. Petropulu, "On radar privacy in shared spectrum scenarios," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech Signal Process.*, May 2019, pp. 7790–7794.
- [137] B. Li and A. Petropulu, "Spectrum sharing between matrix completion based MIMO radars and a MIMO communication system," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech Signal Process. (ICASSP)*, Apr. 2015, pp. 2444–2448.
- [138] N. Su, F. Liu, and C. Masouros, "Secure radar-communication systems with malicious targets: Integrating radar, communications and jamming functionalities," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 83–95, Jan. 2021.
- [139] D. Ma, N. Shlezinger, T. Huang, Y. Liu, and Y. C. Eldar, "Automotive dual-function radar communications systems: An overview," in *Proc. IEEE 11th Sensor Array Multichannel Signal Process. Workshop (SAM)*, Jun. 2020, pp. 1–5.
- [140] C. Aydogdu, M. F. Keskin, G. K. Carvajal, O. Eriksson, H. Hellsten, H. Herbertsson, E. Nilsson, M. Rydstrom, K. Vanas, and H. Wymeersch, "Radar interference mitigation for automated driving: Exploring proactive strategies," *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 72–84, Jul. 2020.
- [141] G. C. Tavik, C. L. Hilterbrick, J. B. Evins, J. J. Alter, J. G. Crnkovich, J. W. de Graaf, W. Habicht, G. P. Hrin, S. A. Lessin, D. C. Wu, and S. M. Hagewood, "The advanced multifunction RF concept," *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Techn.*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 1009–1020, Dec. 2005.
- [142] T. Huang, N. Shlezinger, X. Xu, Y. Liu, and Y. C. Eldar, "MAJoRCom: A dual-function radar communication system using index modulation," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 68, pp. 3423–3438, 2020.



ASHOKA CHAKRAVARTHI MAHIPATHI (Member, IEEE) received the B.Tech. degree in electronics and communication engineering from Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University at Kakinada (JNTU-K), Andhra Pradesh, India, in 2009, the M.Tech. degree in telecommunications from the National Institute of Technology Durgapur (NIT-D), West Bengal, India, in 2012, and the Ph.D. degree from the National Institute of Technology Karnataka (NIT-K), Surathkal,

Mangalore, India, in 2024. Later, he was an Assistant Professor with the Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, KL University, Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh, India, until June 2018. His research interests include cognitive radio, RF communications and sensing, optimum radar waveform design, and target tracking.



PATHIPATI SRIHARI (Senior Member, IEEE) received the B.Tech. degree in electronics and communication engineering from Sri Venkateswara University, the master's degree in communications engineering and signal processing from the University of Plymouth, England, U.K., and the Ph.D. degree in radar signal processing from Andhra University, in 2012. He is currently an Assistant Professor with the National Institute of Technology Karnataka, Surathkal,

India. He was a Visiting Assistant Professor with McMaster University, Canada, in 2014. He received 2010 IEEE Asia Pacific Outstanding Branch Counselor Award. He is a Senior Member of ACM. He is a fellow of IETE and a member of IEICE, Japan. He received Young Scientist Award from the Department of Science and Technology (DST), New Delhi, to carryout sponsored research project titled development of efficient target tracking algorithms in the presence of ECM. His research interests include radar target tracking, radar waveform design, and efficient DSP algorithms for radar applications.



JOHN D'SOUZA (Member, IEEE) received the Ph.D. degree in electronics and electrical communication engineering from Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, India, in 1998. He joined the National Institute of Technology Karnataka, Surathkal, India, as a Faculty Member, where he is currently a Professor. His research interests include error control coding and modulation.



LINGA REDDY CENKERAMADDI (Senior Member, IEEE) received the master's degree in electrical engineering from Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IIT Delhi), New Delhi, India, in 2004, and the Ph.D. degree in electrical engineering from Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Trondheim, Norway, in 2011.

He was with Texas Instruments on mixed-signal circuit design before joining the Ph.D. Program

with NTNU. After finishing the Ph.D. degree, he worked on radiation imaging for an atmosphere-space interaction monitor (ASIM mission to the International Space Station) with the University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway, from 2010 to 2012. He is currently the Leader of the Autonomous and Cyber-Physical Systems (ACPS) Research Group and a Professor with the University of Agder, Grimstad, Norway. He has coauthored over 200 research publications that have been published in prestigious international journals and standard conferences in the research areas of the Internet of Things (IoT), cyber-physical systems, autonomous systems, robotics and automation involving advanced sensor systems, computer vision, thermal imaging, LiDAR imaging, radar imaging, wireless sensor networks, smart electronic systems, advanced machine learning techniques, and connected autonomous systems, including drones/unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), unmanned ground vehicles (UGVs), unmanned underwater systems (UUSs), 5G- (and beyond) enabled autonomous vehicles, and sociotechnical systems like urban transportation systems, smart agriculture, and smart cities. He is also quite active in medical imaging. Several of his master's students won the best master thesis award in information and communication technology (ICT). He is a member of ACM and a member of the editorial boards of various international journals and the technical program committees of several IEEE conferences. He serves as a reviewer for several reputed international conferences and IEEE journals. He is the Principal Investigator and a Co-Principal Investigator of many research grants from Norwegian Research Council.



BETHI PARDHASARADHI (Member, IEEE) received the B.Tech. degree in electronics and communication engineering from Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University at Kakinada (JNTU-K), Andhra Pradesh, India, in 2014, the M.Tech. degree in VLSI design from the ABV-Indian Institute of Information Technology and Management (ABV-IIITM), Madhya Pradesh, India, in 2016, and the Ph.D. degree in electronics and communication engineering from the National armataka (NIT-K) India in 2021

Institute of Technology Karnataka (NIT-K), India, in 2021.

He was a Visiting Ph.D. Scholar for 16 months with the Estimation Tracking and Fusion (ETF) Laboratory, McMaster University, Canada, under the supervision of Prof. T. Kirubarajan, from 2018 to 2019. In addition, he was also a Visiting Researcher as part of Indo-Norwegian Collaboration to Autonomous and Cyber-Physical Systems (ACPS) Research Group, Department of Information and Communication Technology (ICT), University of Agder, Grimstad, Norway, under the supervision of Prof. Linga Reddy Cenkeramaddi, from 2021 to 2022. After the Ph.D. degree, he worked for two years as a Technical Specialist with the ADAS Department in Continental Autonomous Mobility India Pvt Ltd., India. Currently, he is a Postdoctoral Fellow with the ICT Department, University of Agder (UiA), Norway. His research interests include intentional interference with autonomous sensors, target tracking, and information fusion. He was a recipient of the Sir C. V. Raman Award from the Institution of Engineering and Technology (IET) for Outstanding Academics and Research. Received Best Ph.D. Thesis 2022 in Graduate Thesis Evaluation in 7 minutes (GraTE-7) from IEEE ComSoc Graduate Congress. In addition, he received the IEEE ITS Research Excellence Award, in 2023, from the IEEE Information Theory Society (ITS) Bangalore Chapter. Moreover, he received the Protsahan Award from IEEE ComSoc and the best papers recognition from IEEE conferences.



PURUSHOTTAMA LINGADEVARU (Member, IEEE) received the B.E. degree in electronics

and communication engineering and the M.Tech. degree in electronics from Visvesvaraya Technological University, Belagavi (VTU), Karnataka, India, in 2005 and 2007, respectively, and the Ph.D. degree from the National Institute of Technology Karnataka (NIT-K), Surathkal, India, in April 2023. He has been an Assistant Professor with the Department of Electronics and Communi-

cation Engineering, Siddaganga Institute of Technology, Tumkur, Karnataka, India, since 2013. His research interests include passive radars and target-tracking algorithms.