PERSPECTIVE

Ethical Considerations in the Use of ChatGPT: An Exploration Through the Lens of Five Moral Dimensions

AHMAD GHANDOUR [1], **BRENDON J. WOODFORD** [2], **AND HESHAM ABUSAIMEH** [3], **(Senior Member, IEEE)**

[1] Department of Business, Faculty of Business, Middle East University, Amman 11831, Jordan
[2] School of Computing, University of Otago, Dunedin 9054, New Zealand
[3] Faculty of Information Technology, Middle East University, Amman 11831, Jordan

Corresponding author: Ahmad Ghandour (aghandour@meu.edu.jo)

ABSTRACT This article seeks to illuminate the ethical challenges and concerns presented by the utilization of the large language model, ChatGPT. Five critical ethical dimensions as proposed by Laudon and Laudon – Information rights and obligations, Property rights and obligations, Accountability and control, System quality, and Quality of life – will serve as the analytical framework to explore the pertinent issues. Although our investigation revealed that AI technologies like ChatGPT have tremendous potential for societal advancement they also present complex ethical challenges. The implications of our research have impact not only for developers of large language models but also developers of AI technologies in general, policy makers, end-users of these AI applications, and society as whole. Based on our findings we propose key recommendations to address the current concerns with respect to the ethical issues surrounding large language models. By assessing these ethical dimensions within the context of ChatGPT, this paper underscores the importance of developing comprehensive ethical guidelines and policies in the era of increasingly sophisticated AI applications.

INDEX TERMS Machine ethics, machine learning, social implications of technology.

I. INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has moved from the realm of science fiction to an everyday reality, transforming various sectors such as healthcare, finance, education, and communications. Among the diverse spectrum of AI technologies, language models, specifically OpenAI's GPT series, have stirred considerable interest and debate. These models can generate human-like text, making them powerful tools for a multitude of applications. The most recent version, ChatGPT, has found a broad user base and varied use cases, from drafting emails to crafting creative content. However, as these technologies become more ubiquitous and sophisticated, they give rise to a multitude of ethical and legal challenges.

This paper seeks to address the following overarching research question: What are the ethical considerations in

The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and approving it for publication was Derek Abbott [ID].

the deployment and use of ChatGPT, and how might they be effectively managed? To this end, we will apply the ethical dimensions framework established by [1], comprised of Information rights and obligations, Property rights and obligations, Accountability and control, System quality, and Quality of life. Our exploration of the ethical considerations surrounding ChatGPT employs the analytical framework proposed by [1] This framework serves as a valuable guide, helping us discern, evaluate, and address ethical issues without adhering to a rigid checklist mentality. We leveraged an extensive exploration of relevant literature to inform our analysis of the ethical dimensions associated with ChatGPT, focusing particularly on the five dimensions of the framework.

The selection of Laudon and Laudon's framework is intentional, leveraging its efficacy in revealing nuanced ethical challenges posed by ChatGPT. However, it's essential to recognize the existence of multiple ethical analysis

frameworks like UNESCO, OECD, the European Union AI Act, and IBM framework, providing alternative perspectives on the ethical dimensions surrounding AI technologies. Our study provides a specific lens through which to scrutinize ChatGPT's ethical dimensions. This focused application enriches the broader discourse on ethical considerations in the AI realm, contributing uniquely to the ongoing dialogue in the field of AI ethics.

The rationale for this study stems from the rapid expansion of AI technologies into our everyday lives and the resultant ethical concerns that this proliferation entails. In particular, the areas of data privacy, copyright, bias, and accountability stand as significant ethical hurdles in AI applications. Addressing these issues is not only critical from a legal and ethical perspective but also crucial in maintaining public trust in these emerging technologies.

By using the ethical dimensions of [1], we aim to provide a comprehensive understanding of these issues, thereby offering insights to AI practitioners, policy-makers, and the general public about the potential ethical pitfalls and the means to navigate them. This research also highlights the need for a proactive approach in setting ethical guidelines and developing policies to govern AI applications like ChatGPT.

The paper is organized as follows. Sections II to VII investigate each of the ethical dimensions of [1] in turn, outlining the ethical issues concerning ChatGPT within each domain. The discussion in Section VIII summarizes the key findings from our analysis and provides recommendations for ethical considerations when deploying and using ChatGPT including pointers to future work on specific areas where further research is required, setting a roadmap for subsequent studies on this important topic. Finally, in Section IX is the overall conclusion of our work.

II. ETHICAL ISSUES RAISED BY CHATGPT

ChatGPT, an advanced language model developed by OpenAI, has remarkable capabilities in generating human-like text, a functionality that has made it invaluable across numerous sectors and applications. The core technology behind ChatGPT is that of a transformer [2]. Transformers employ a two-phase process: unsupervised pre-training and supervised fine-tuning. During pre-training, the model learns from a vast unlabelled dataset gathered from diverse internet sources, acquiring grammar, facts, and reasoning abilities. In the subsequent supervised fine-tuning phase, the model is refined on a narrower labelled dataset, following specific guidelines to align its behavior with ethical considerations [2].

Because AI models like ChatGPT are based on building large-scale transformers, tasks that ChatGPT can complete have exploited not only how transformers understand and generate language to answer questions but also how the emergent properties of these AI models can be used to generate rich creative output. For example, being able to interact with users on composing music or assist in technical or creative writing tasks [3]. Despite its benefits, the use of ChatGPT raises legal and ethical issues related to copyright, privacy, misuse, bias, and transparency.

To understand these concerns, it is crucial first to define what we mean by 'ethics'. Ethics refers to the code that guides a person's actions and behaviors, distinguishing between what is thought and believed as right or wrong. In some societies and organizations, ethics is an inherent tenet, while in others, it is instilled through continuous education and observation until it becomes an integral part of the system [1].

Given the rapid evolution of technology like ChatGPT, an inconsistency often exists between the established law and the actual application of technology. This gap creates a potential impediment to technical development and may lead to the emergence of negative practices that could harm both consumers and producers. It also underscores the importance of adapting legal frameworks to better accommodate the realities of technological advancements.

While AI technologies like ChatGPT present an array of benefits that make their use not only exciting but also rewarding, they are not without their downsides. The potential misuse and abuse of such technologies can create moral and ethical dilemmas in various contexts, including workplaces. These dilemmas often stem from the struggle to understand the moral risks associated with new technology and the challenge of establishing corporate ethics policies that address these complex issues.

As the use of ChatGPT increases, so too does the urgency of discussing the ethical implications of this emerging technology, both domestically and internationally. These AI systems have far-reaching potential implications and may decrease human capacity to understand, predict, and control their outcomes. This misalignment often stems from an underestimation of the true level of automation these systems possess.

If society is to rely on technologies like ChatGPT to transition into a new era of work, safety, and efficiency, it is paramount to ensure that these systems operate as intended, without being susceptible to misuse for malicious purposes. Despite the impressive speed and processing capacity of ChatGPT, it is not infallible – it may harbor biases derived from the data on which it was trained, and it does not inherently uphold fairness and neutrality. However, if leveraged correctly, or if employed by those seeking social progress, ChatGPT can act as a catalyst for positive change.

The advent of ChatGPT and similar AI systems has led to an increase in pressure to modify the legal landscape, tightening regulation, and enhancing standards for technology use. As the technological environment becomes more complex and sophisticated, the call for legal adaptation grows louder.

In the subsequent sections, we will delve into the ethical dimensions as proposed by Laudon and Laudon [1] since it is very relevant to the analysing the recent issues and concerns raised with ChatGPT. For example, the lack of ChatGPT to have functionality for preserving an individual's personal data [4] as it is one the core tenets in the General Data

Protection Regulation (GDPR) [5] which directly relates to the dimension of Information rights and obligations.

Furthermore, the data used to train ChatGPT from which it generates its responses is in many forms including images, poetry, legal documents, natural conversations, blogs, and emails [3]. Because these data are very likely to contain copyrighted information the responses generated by ChatGPT may over-reference the work of others which might result in infringement disputes [3]. Compounding this problem is that the response provided by ChatGPT is generated automatically making it challenging to verify the origin of the training data [3].

The concern that ChatGPT generated content is infringing the intellectual property rights of artists [6] has a direct connection with the dimension of Property rights and obligations. The dimension of Accountability and Control will be used to examine ways in which the behaviour of ChatGPT could be regulated [7]. How ChatGPT makes its decisions raises concerns of transparency and explainability which is investigated through the dimension of System Quality. Finally, understanding what impact the output of ChatGPT has on an individual's well-being [8] is just one element investigated through the Quality of Life dimension.

The framework proposed by Laudon and Laudon [1] can be used as the basis which corporations can use to develop a corporate ethics policy statement. This policy can guide individuals and encourage appropriate decision-making, thereby addressing the multifaceted ethical issues raised by technologies like ChatGPT. It is important to note that Laudon and Laudon's framework is one among several possible approaches, serving as a guide to identifying the ethical issues encountered rather than a checklist.

In the subsequent sections, we will delve into the ethical dimensions as proposed by Laudon and Laudon [1], which corporations can use as a framework to develop a corporate ethics policy statement. This policy can guide individuals and encourage appropriate decision-making, thereby addressing the multifaceted ethical issues raised by technologies like ChatGPT.

III. INFORMATION RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS

One of the key ethical challenges and concerns presented by ChatGPT and similar models includes potential infringements on privacy rights. These systems might infer sensitive information about individuals based on the data they process [9]. Furthermore, data processed by these systems could be misappropriated or accessed by unauthorized entities, presenting formidable security risks [10]. Another pertinent issue is transparency; the complexity of AI models often makes it challenging for individuals to fathom how their data is being used [11].

At its heart, information rights bestow individuals with control over their data. They should have the liberty to know the specifics of data collected about them, its intended use, the entities with access to it, and its purpose [5].

Additionally, they should be able to rectify inaccuracies in their data, object to or restrict its processing, and even request its erasure in certain circumstances.

On the flip side, organizations have an array of obligations. They are mandated to maintain transparency about their data practices, implement robust security measures to safeguard data, use data solely for legitimate purposes, and respect individuals' rights concerning their data [5].

During typical transactions, data exchange occurs mutually between an individual and an organization, both parties having an interest. For instance, an organization requires customer data to facilitate a transaction, while the individual willingly shares this data to enable the transaction. However, the onus lies on organizations to safeguard this data and ensure its appropriate use [12].

With the deployment and usage of AI technologies like ChatGPT, the rights and obligations concerning data gain significant importance due to the enormous volume of data processed and the potential complexity of its usage. As AI models like ChatGPT are trained on extensive datasets, which may encompass personal data, upholding individuals' information rights becomes indispensable [9]. For instance, companies frequently use AI technologies, like chatbots powered by models similar to ChatGPT, to handle customer service interactions. These chatbots, while efficient, collect and process a significant amount of personal data shared by the customers. It is therefore crucial for these companies to respect information rights and obligations, using this collected data solely to improve service and not for unauthorized or unethical purposes.

With the progressive reliance on AI, organizations increasingly depend on systems like ChatGPT for data collection and protection. A noteworthy concern is the customers' oblivion regarding the extent of data collection. Furthermore, analysis of customers' online behavior by AI systems could potentially reveal comprehensive information about them, including details they might never consciously share online [13]. Consequently, privacy externalities are exacerbated, pushing privacy concerns to unprecedented levels [14].

A significant issue related to information rights and obligations is data security. Systems like ChatGPT could inadvertently create loopholes exploitable by malicious actors, leading to unauthorized access, hacking, and manipulation of individual data, potentially causing significant harm [15]. Another example is social media platforms that employ AI technologies to analyze user behavior and generate personalized content. While these personalized experiences can enhance user engagement, they also bring to the fore issues of data privacy and transparency. The platforms, therefore, have an obligation to protect user data from unauthorized access and misuse, and to be transparent about how they collect and use this data. This poses a considerable ethical dilemma in the AI era: the balance between the requirement of vast amounts of data to power databases against consumers' demand for robust data protection.

As informational privacy grows more complex with the rise of AI technologies like ChatGPT, the need for data protection remains steadfast. These factors emphasize the urgent need for revamping privacy rules. Policymakers need to craft new national privacy legislation addressing potential privacy breaches and establishing stringent rules for data collection and use [5].

Given these potential ethical pitfalls, compliance with international laws and regulations concerning data protection becomes paramount. Regulations like the GDPR in the European Union, the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) in the United States, the Personal Data Protection Act (PDPA) in Singapore, the Data Protection Act 2018 in the United Kingdom, and the Protection of Personal Information Act (POPIA) in South Africa take center stage [16]. Complying with these regulations not only helps organizations dodge legal penalties but also cultivates trust with users, customers, and stakeholders, which is essential for the successful deployment and use of AI technologies [17].

In conclusion, the ethical management of information rights and obligations in the deployment and use of ChatGPT necessitates ensuring privacy, security, and transparency of data practices, adhering to relevant laws and regulations, and fostering and maintaining trust with all [9]. In a digital era where AI is rapidly gaining prominence, attention to these aspects is not just critical from a legal and ethical perspective but also paramount for maintaining public trust in these emerging technologies [17].

IV. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS

The ethical dimension of property rights and obligations bears particular significance in the context of deploying and utilizing AI technologies like ChatGPT. When discussing property rights and obligations, we are referring to the Intellectual Property (IP) rights that protect creations of the mind, such as patents, copyrights, industrial designs, and trade secrets. In the realm of AI, the traditional understanding of these rights undergoes considerable evolution, thereby necessitating a reassessment of the corresponding [18].

In the case of ChatGPT, the AI's ability to generate novel, human-like text presents a unique challenge. It blurs the lines between human creativity and AI generation, raising intricate questions about ownership and control. For instance, if ChatGPT writes an original poem or a piece of prose, who owns the copyright to that piece of literature? Does it belong to the developers of ChatGPT, the person who used ChatGPT to generate the text, or is it a creation without a legal owner? These questions lack definitive legal answers and thereby underline a pressing need for legal and ethical scrutiny [19].

Furthermore, the usage of ChatGPT could potentially lead to the infringement of existing copyrights. Consider a scenario where ChatGPT is fed copyrighted material as input and then generates output that closely resembles the original work. Would this be considered a violation of copyright, even if unintentional? The lack of clear regulation surrounding AI

and copyright infringement complicates this issue, leaving it open to interpretation and dispute [19].

Additionally, it is worth noting that the model underlying ChatGPT is trained on a diverse range of internet text. However, OpenAI has not publicly disclosed the specifics of the individual datasets used, making it unclear whether copyrighted material was involved in its training. While this approach to data collection and use is not unique to ChatGPT, it raises questions about the usage of copyrighted material in AI training datasets and the potential violation of the copyright holder's IP rights [20]. Indeed, this concern raises another point that if something is legal does not mean that it is ethical.

Another IP-related concern in the use of AI technologies like ChatGPT lies in the realm of patents. If ChatGPT is utilized to generate novel ideas or even complete designs, determining the patent rights could be challenging. In the eyes of existing law, AI systems cannot be recognized as inventors, and hence, any invention generated by an AI would not be patentable under current regulations. Nevertheless, as AI technologies continue to evolve and their capabilities expand, this aspect of patent law may require reconsideration [19].

While AI cannot currently own IP rights, the proprietary nature of AI technologies like ChatGPT brings forth the relevance of trade secrets. Trade secrets could include the specific design of the software or unique algorithms used in creating ChatGPT. As these aspects are vital for maintaining a competitive edge, their protection becomes crucial. However, protecting algorithms as trade secrets could conflict with the growing demand for transparency in AI, thereby posing an ethical dilemma [21].

International laws and regulations play a significant role in guiding the management of IP rights in AI. Key legal frameworks such as the Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS), the Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works, and the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT), among others, set the foundation for IP rights. However, their application to AI technologies like ChatGPT is still a subject of ongoing debate and research [22], [23].

In conclusion, the dimension of property rights and obligations in the context of ChatGPT poses several complex ethical and legal challenges. These encompass issues related to copyrights, patents, trade secrets, and the broader understanding of ownership and control in AI-generated content. Managing these outputs effectively requires careful consideration and balanced judgment, ensuring that the rights of all stakeholders are respected and upheld. This is a task that involves not just AI developers and users, but also legal professionals, policymakers, and society at large.

Crucially, the rapid evolution of AI technologies demands that our legal and ethical frameworks adapt in kind. Current regulations might not adequately address the unique challenges posed by AI in respect to intellectual property, hence the necessity for ongoing research, dialogue, and reform. In managing these challenges, we must strike a

balance between protecting intellectual property, fostering innovation, ensuring fair use, and promoting transparency in AI applications.

From a practical perspective, organizations deploying AI technologies like ChatGPT can undertake several measures to manage these challenges effectively. These include conducting thorough IP audits, implementing strong IP management strategies, ensuring transparency in data use, and promoting ethical practices in AI usage.

Ultimately, successfully navigating the ethical dimension of property rights and obligations in the deployment and use of AI technologies like ChatGPT holds significant implications. Not only can it foster an environment that encourages innovation and creativity, but it can also enhance trust and confidence among users and the public, thereby contributing to the broader acceptance and responsible utilization of AI.

V. ChatGPT: NAVIGATING THE TERRAIN OF ACCOUNTABILITY AND CONTROL

Accountability and control, within the scope of AI systems such as ChatGPT, pertain to the assignment of responsibility for actions and decisions made by the AI, as well as the appropriate regulation of its behaviour. As AI's societal role expands, these issues have assumed a central place in AI ethics discourse [24].

ChatGPT, OpenAI's groundbreaking chatbot recognized for its ability to generate human-like dialogues [25], embodies this debate's crux. Despite its potential to transform human-machine interaction, questions of accountability and liability continue to elicit criticism. Critics assert that ChatGPT, not yet fully equipped to navigate the nuances of human conversation, could instigate ethical and legal quandaries through [9].

Defenders, however, argue that ChatGPT's essential nature isn't markedly different from other AI technologies, thus warranting similar treatment [26]. They uphold that while AI systems must be answerable for their outputs, users also bear considerable responsibility for leveraging the system appropriately.

As AI's application scope widens, the debate around accountability and liability in AI-based decisions intensifies. ChatGPT has been proactive in this discourse, fostering a platform enabling responsible creation of AI-powered conversations [26].

Since the introduction of generative AI models such as ChatGPT, it has necessitated more research into transparency and explainability of these models. Hence, the requirement for suitable tools to be developed to comprehend AI decision-making processes for generative AI models [27]. These tools would assist with the promotion of ethical and responsible decision-making by the likes of ChatGPT. Additionally, it actively contributes to the global dialogue around AI accountability and liability, hosting events, publishing research, and collaborating with industry experts to form best practices [9].

The evolution of AI technologies underscores the urgency to establish laws and regulations ensuring their responsible and ethical use [9], potentially via forming a code of conduct for AI developers and a legal framework for AI accountability and liability.

However, ChatGPT and other AI technologies are viewed as double-edged swords. While they offer efficiency and cost reductions, identifying responsibility when errors occur can lead to legal complexities [28]. Automation, despite its benefits, may foster a lack of accountability and liability. For example, identifying responsibility becomes challenging when an AI-based customer service representative errs.

When AI technologies influence decision-making with ethical implications, such as hiring or firing decisions, the question of accountability escalates, potentially sparking legal and ethical debates [28].

ChatGPT's emergence highlights the necessity for comprehensive consideration of AI accountability and liability. As AI development progresses, it's critical to implement regulations and laws for ethical and responsible AI use, ensuring AI contributes positively to society [9].

The discussion around AI accountability and liability is crucial and inevitable as AI systems continue to advance. Despite their current developmental stage, AI systems demonstrate decision-making and action-taking capabilities with serious repercussions [28]. Therefore, the risks and benefits of holding AI systems accountable for their actions should be meticulously evaluated [26].

Legally, AI liability's ambiguous nature can spawn complex situations [29]. For instance, when ChatGPT generates inappropriate or harmful content, identifying the responsible party—the user for their inputs, OpenAI for developing the technology, or the application provider using ChatGPT—proves challenging [9]. This ambiguity can undermine public trust, especially when errors or misuse cause harm without clear redress mechanisms [30].

The control aspect also poses difficulties. ChatGPT, a product of machine learning, operates based on patterns absorbed from its training data [25]. Without inherent understanding of right or wrong, or the ability to deliberate on its outputs, it could generate harmful or inappropriate content without careful oversight and control measures. This raises significant ethical concerns, particularly when ChatGPT interacts with vulnerable populations such as children or the elderly [9].

A real-world example of the ethical challenges in accountability and control can be found in the use of ChatGPT in journalism, as mentioned in the works of [31]. The prospect of AI-generated news content brings benefits in terms of efficiency, but errors made by the AI can lead to misinformation, leading to a conflict of interest between speed and accuracy. Who bears responsibility for these errors—the journalist who utilized the AI, the media organization, or the technology provider—remains an open question.

In conclusion, the accountability and control dimension of ChatGPT's ethical considerations is multi-faceted,

encompassing legal and ethical concerns and is essential in maintaining public trust [30]. Effective management of this dimension requires clear regulations and best practices around AI liability, thorough understanding of the technology's capabilities and limitations, and careful control of its deployment. An open dialogue among AI developers, users, and regulators, backed by ongoing research, is crucial for this purpose [9].

VI. SYSTEM QUALITY: ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS AND MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES FOR CHATGPT

The System Quality dimension focuses on the standards of data and system performance that we should demand to protect individual rights and societal safety in the context of AI applications. The quality of system output in large language models like ChatGPT is a crucial aspect that brings up several ethical concerns.

A. SYSTEM MALFUNCTION

System malfunction, including software bugs or ''hallucinations'' where the model generates outputs not grounded in its training data or factual reality, can lead to the propagation of misinformation or misunderstanding. This is not just a technical challenge, but an ethical one, as it can adversely impact users, particularly when the generated misinformation is sensitive or critical. For example, ChatGPT might generate medical, legal, or financial advice that is inaccurate or inappropriate, causing potential harm to the user.

To manage system malfunction issues, rigorous testing, quality assurance, and performance monitoring should be embedded in the system development life cycle. Companies like OpenAI could benefit from the lessons learned from sectors like aerospace and healthcare, where rigorous processes are in place to ensure system quality and manage any arising faults [32].

B. TRANSPARENCY AND EXPLAINABILITY

Large language models like ChatGPT are often criticized for their ''black box'' nature. The decision-making processes behind the AI's output can be complex and not easily understandable by users or even some developers. This lack of transparency can lead to distrust and accountability issues, particularly when the AI's decisions have a significant impact [33].

To enhance the transparency and explainability of AI models, we can leverage techniques from the field of eXplainable AI (XAI). Companies should also focus on developing and communicating clear guidelines about how the system works, its limitations, and potential uses. This can include, for instance, providing users with an explanation of how a given output was generated or the types of data the model was trained on [34].

C. BIAS AND DISCRIMINATION

AI models can inadvertently perpetuate and amplify societal biases present in their training data, leading to unjust or discriminatory outcomes [35]. This can cause serious harm and erode public trust in these technologies. ChatGPT, due to its extensive training on vast swathes of internet text, may reflect biases present in those data.

To mitigate this, it is crucial to implement strategies for bias detection and mitigation in both the data and the AI models themselves. Techniques such as fairness-aware machine learning, data de-biasing, and diverse data representation can be applied [36]. Also, regular auditing of the system for any unfair bias and discriminatory practices should be a standard practice.

In conclusion, ensuring the high quality of AI systems like ChatGPT is not just a matter of technical performance. It also involves addressing ethical challenges related to system malfunction, lack of transparency, and bias. These issues should be addressed proactively through rigorous testing and monitoring, enhanced transparency and explainability, and ongoing efforts to identify and mitigate system biases.

VII. QUALITY OF LIFE

The Quality of Life dimension in AI applications delves into preserving human values, institutions, and cultural practices that could potentially be impacted by the deployment of large language models like ChatGPT. Quality of life is an encompassing term for the various aspects that contribute to an individual's overall well-being and satisfaction with life. It can include factors such as physical health, psychological well-being, personal beliefs, social relationships, and their interaction with their environment [37]. This exploration is significant because of the potential implications that AI systems can pose for society, particularly regarding the way we comprehend, predict, and control our environment. The rapid evolution of AI has led to a level of automation that is often underestimated. Despite the superhuman speed and processing capacity of these systems, ethical issues persist, underscoring the necessity for continuous scrutiny to ensure fairness and neutrality [28].

A. ETHICAL CONSIDERATION AND MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

From an ethical viewpoint, the core challenge lies in balancing the benefits of AI like ChatGPT with potential risks [38]. While its application can be transformative in various domains, including journalism and customer service, it is essential that deployment of such technology respects human dignity and rights [26]. Ethical considerations must guide how the technology is used and the contexts in which it is deployed. Addressing this core challenge would ensure we have ethical obligations not only to the present generations but also to future ones.

One significant aspect of the quality of life impacted by AI, particularly in the form of conversational AI like ChatGPT, is **accessibility**. While AI has the potential to democratize information and services, there remains a disparity in access to these technologies. For example, those in remote or poor areas may lack the necessary infrastructure, such as reliable

internet access, to use AI tools effectively. To mitigate this, strategies such as investing in infrastructure, reducing the cost of access, and improving digital literacy need to be prioritized. Policies should be enacted that ensure these tools are equally accessible to everyone, thus avoiding an amplification of existing societal inequalities [39], [40].

Another ethical consideration is the potential **dependency** on AI systems. Over-reliance on systems like ChatGPT could lead to a decline in human decision-making skills or increase social isolation. For example, an individual might lean heavily on AI for daily tasks or companionship, leading to less human interaction or a decrease in self-reliance. This increased dependence on technology, at the expense of human engagement, underscores a significant ethical issue that requires thorough consideration [41]. Management of this ethical pitfall could involve a balanced approach to AI use, promoting digital literacy and awareness about the risks of over-dependence. Educational programs could emphasize the value of human decision-making, critical thinking, and real-world social interactions alongside AI use.

Furthermore, the impact on **mental health** cannot be understated. There is a potential risk that over-reliance on AI for companionship could lead to social isolation and adversely impact mental health. For instance, a person living alone might use ChatGPT as their primary source of conversation, missing out on the human connections vital for mental well-being. To manage this, mental health professionals should be incorporated into AI development and implementation processes. Also, social programs that encourage real-world interaction should be promoted alongside AI use [42], [43].

The issue of **autonomy** surfaces when considering the extent to which decisions are made by AI versus humans. AI systems making decisions on behalf of humans could potentially lead to a decrease in personal freedom and autonomy. For instance, if an individual uses an AI like ChatGPT to manage their communications or other personal tasks, they may gradually lose control over these personal aspects of their life. To manage this, developers and policymakers should work together to establish boundaries for AI decision-making capabilities. User control should always be maintained, particularly for decisions that significantly impact their lives [44].

Job displacement, another crucial consideration, stems from AI's capability to automate tasks, potentially rendering certain jobs obsolete. For instance, customer service roles could be taken over by AI like ChatGPT, causing job loss and economic difficulties for those affected. This automation can lead to increased unemployment and wealth inequality, as wealth generated by AI-centric enterprises might be concentrated among a smaller group of people. Also, the human-machine interactions and relationships can be limited, which may impact societal behavior [45]. However, mitigation strategies exist. Companies can retrain and upskill employees, emphasizing the augmentation of human capabilities by AI rather than their complete replacement.

Proactive measures could also be undertaken by governments and organizations to provide training and support for workers in industries affected by AI automation, helping them transition to new roles and maintain their quality of life. This is echoed in a recent report published in New Zealand, which investigates the effects of AI technology implementation on work hours and productivity. The study suggests that a well-planned introduction of AI technologies can enhance work and well-being without compromising productivity [46].

Moreover, AI technologies have been associated with potential misuse. Instances of manipulated media content such as deepfakes and threats to cybersecurity illustrate the misuse of AI, leading to substantial challenges. Therefore, ensuring system security and managing the potential for malicious use is crucial to prevent the financial and reputational burdens that could result from data breaches [47].

Nevertheless, the opportunities that AI technologies such as ChatGPT present in fields like medicine, science, and well-being are immense. These advancements offer new ways to improve quality of life and well-being, providing a counterbalance to the ethical considerations and potential pitfalls of deploying AI technologies. Maintaining public trust in AI technologies, however, requires a careful balance of maximizing the benefits of AI while minimizing potential harm. Always placing the well-being and quality of life of individuals at the heart of AI development and implementation is crucial [48]. This involves ongoing efforts from policymakers, developers, and society as a whole [49].

VIII. DISCUSSION
A. RELEVANCE OF FINDINGS
Our exploration of ChatGPT using five moral dimensions of Laudon and Laudon [1] has revealed several pertinent ethical considerations. It has underlined the importance of thoroughly examining and addressing these issues to ensure the responsible and beneficial use of AI technologies.

In the dimension of Information Rights and Obligations, we found that ChatGPT has the potential to infringe upon user privacy and consent, particularly given its capacity to generate responses based on large amounts of training data. The resulting discussions emphasize the importance of transparent data practices and consent mechanisms in AI applications. This also raises questions about data ownership, data security, and user's rights to their information.

Property Rights and Obligations bring to focus the issue of intellectual property. As ChatGPT generates content based on diverse sources, determining authorship and accountability for the outputs becomes a complex ethical challenge. It brings forward questions regarding the fairness of AI technologies creating derivative works and the necessary safeguards to protect original creators' rights.

Accountability and Control highlighted the challenge of attributing responsibility when AI systems like ChatGPT generate harmful or inappropriate content. The decentralized

nature of AI systems complicates traditional accountability structures, calling for innovative approaches to responsibility allocation in AI contexts.

The System Quality dimension underscored the potential risks associated with system malfunction, lack of transparency, and bias in AI technologies like ChatGPT. These findings highlight the need for rigorous system testing, explainability of AI decision-making processes, and proactive bias mitigation strategies.

Finally, our examination of the Quality of Life dimension drew attention to how AI applications could impact accessibility, human autonomy, job displacement, and mental health. It underscores the need for a balanced approach to AI use that mitigates potential harm and prioritizes human well-being.

These findings emphasize the complex web of ethical considerations associated with ChatGPT and similar AI technologies. They underline the necessity of proactive ethical engagement in the development, deployment, and use of such systems. Our examination suggests that these ethical dimensions are not isolated but interact and intersect in complex ways, shaping the broader social, political, and cultural implications of AI technologies.

B. COMPARISONS WITH PRIOR WORK

Our investigation into the ethical considerations of ChatGPT usage through the five moral dimensions of Laudon and Laudon [1] complements and extends prior work in the field. Several existing studies have examined AI ethics, but ours is among the few to apply this ethical framework to a large language model like ChatGPT.

Our findings echo those of [26], who noted the challenges of AI accountability, and [50], who discussed the implications for information and property rights. In line with these authors, we too emphasize the need for transparent data practices, user consent mechanisms, and safeguards for intellectual property.

Our discussion of the system quality, particularly regarding system malfunction, lack of transparency, and AI biases, aligns with the findings of [33] and [36]. These authors highlighted the need for rigorous testing, the explainability of AI, and strategies for bias mitigation, issues we also emphasize in our work.

In the Quality of Life dimension, our concerns about over-dependency on AI and social isolation echo the arguments put forth by [28] and [41]. Similarly, our discussion about potential job displacement due to AI automation aligns with the work of [45]. These authors also stressed the importance of a balanced approach to AI use that prioritizes human well-being and societal equality.

Our work builds on these previous studies by providing a comprehensive and focused analysis of ChatGPT. We believe that our work contributes to the ongoing scholarly conversation about AI ethics, providing valuable insights for both developers and policymakers. Our use of the framework of [1] allowed us to examine the ethical implications of AI from multiple angles, thereby offering a more nuanced understanding of this complex issue.

Moreover, our focus on a specific AI model, ChatGPT, allowed us to ground our discussion in a concrete example, providing a clear context for our ethical considerations. This specificity is beneficial, as it allows for a more in-depth exploration of the issues at hand and provides guidance that can be applied directly to this and similar AI systems.

In conclusion, our work affirms many of the findings of prior studies while offering new insights and perspectives in the context of ChatGPT. It underscores the complexity and interconnectedness of AI ethics, necessitating ongoing engagement from all stakeholders involved in AI development and deployment.

C. IMPLICATIONS

The implications of our research are multifaceted and far-reaching, impacting a diverse range of stakeholders from AI developers, policymakers, to end-users and society at large.

1) FOR AI DEVELOPERS AND ORGANIZATIONS LIKE OpenAI

Our work underscores the need for rigorous system testing, monitoring, and ongoing efforts to mitigate system biases. This includes investing in explainable AI technologies and adopting transparency in data practices. Developers should also consider the potential over-dependency issues and design AI models that encourage balanced use and complement, rather than replace, human interactions. Our study can serve as a guideline for ethical considerations during the design and implementation of AI models.

2) FOR POLICYMAKERS

The findings of this research highlight the need for robust regulatory frameworks that address the ethical challenges identified. Policymakers have a role to play in ensuring the accessibility and fairness of AI technologies and mitigating the potential for job displacement due to AI automation. They can enforce policies that require transparency from AI organizations and set boundaries for AI decision-making capabilities to safeguard user autonomy. Additionally, our work implies that a focus on digital literacy in the society could help mitigate potential over-dependency issues.

3) FOR END-USERS

Our research points to the importance of understanding the capabilities and limitations of AI systems like ChatGPT. Users should be informed of potential issues such as system malfunctions, misinformation, or the potential for bias in AI outputs. Knowing these can help users make informed decisions about how they interact with these technologies.

4) FOR SOCIETY

The implications of AI on quality of life can be profound. While AI technologies can bring about substantial improvements in various domains such as healthcare, education, and well-being, they can also pose significant challenges. Our research underscores the need for a balanced approach to AI

deployment that puts the well-being and quality of life of individuals at the center.

In conclusion, the ethical dimensions of AI are complex and multifaceted, requiring a coordinated effort from developers, policymakers, and users alike. By applying the framework of [1], we hope to provide a structured approach to understand and navigate these complexities. Our study serves as a call to action for all stakeholders involved in the development, deployment, and use of AI systems like ChatGPT, emphasizing the need for a comprehensive and proactive approach to managing the ethical implications of AI.

D. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on our findings and the identified ethical implications of using large language models like ChatGPT, we propose the following recommendations:

1) FOR AI DEVELOPERS AND ORGANIZATIONS LIKE OpenAI

- **Improve System Quality:** Developers should focus on reducing system malfunctions by integrating rigorous testing and performance monitoring in the development process. For instance, drawing inspiration from sectors with established processes like aerospace and healthcare, methodologies such as Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) and fault tree analysis can be adapted. These approaches involve systematically identifying potential failure modes, assessing their effects, and implementing preventive measures. Similarly, in healthcare, where the consequences of system failures can be severe, testing protocols such as black-box testing and scenario-based testing are commonly utilized. For ChatGPT and similar language models, this may involve scenario-based testing to evaluate responses in various contexts, stress testing to assess performance under heavy workloads, and adversarial testing to identify vulnerabilities to malicious inputs.
- **Enable Transparency:** Developers should prioritize the transparency and explainability of AI systems, leveraging techniques from the field of explainable AI (XAI). Clear and comprehensible guidelines should be communicated to users about how the system works, its limitations, and potential uses.
- **Mitigate Bias:** Implement strategies for bias detection and mitigation in the data and the AI models. Regular audits should be conducted for any unfair bias and discriminatory practices.

2) FOR POLICYMAKERS

- **Promote Accessibility:** Policymakers should enforce regulations that ensure AI tools like ChatGPT are equally accessible to everyone, avoiding the amplification of societal inequalities.
- **Regulate AI Decision-Making:** Boundaries for AI decision-making capabilities should be set to ensure user control and autonomy. This includes decisions that significantly impact user lives.

- **Address Job Displacement:** Policies should be developed to address potential job displacement due to AI automation. This may include programs to retrain and up-skill employees in sectors that are vulnerable to AI automation.

3) FOR END-USERS

- **Balanced Use:** Users should be aware of the risks of over-dependence on AI systems and should balance their use of AI tools with other forms of interaction and decision-making.
- **Stay Informed:** Users should make efforts to understand the capabilities and limitations of AI systems and make informed decisions about how they interact with these technologies.

4) FOR SOCIETY

- **Promote Digital Literacy:** Society should focus on promoting digital literacy, which will help users understand and navigate AI systems effectively and avoid over-dependence.
- **Prioritize Mental Health:** Mental health professionals should be involved in AI implementation processes to manage potential risks like social isolation.

By addressing these areas, we believe that it will be possible to harness the benefits of AI technologies like ChatGPT while minimizing their potential negative impacts on individuals and society.

E. LIMITATIONS

While this study provides crucial insights into the ethical considerations associated with ChatGPT and potential management strategies, it has several limitations.

1) DYNAMIC FIELD OF AI

The field of AI is fast-evolving, with new models and applications continually emerging. Therefore, some of the considerations and strategies discussed in this study might need to be updated or adapted to accommodate these advancements.

2) RELIANCE OF PUBLISHED RESEARCH AND REPORTS

This research largely depends on existing literature, research papers, and public reports for data. While this approach provides a broad overview of the current state of AI ethics, it may not capture all the nuances or emerging trends in the field.

3) FOCUS ON ChatGPT

The study is heavily centered around ChatGPT, and while many of the findings can be generalized to other large language models, the specific ethical considerations and strategies may not apply to all AI systems or technologies

4) POTENTIAL BIAS

Given the nature of the research, there may be inherent biases in our analysis, particularly in interpreting the Laudon and

Laudon's five moral dimensions and their applicability to ChatGPT.

5) LACK OF EMPIRICAL DATA
This study does not include empirical data or direct observations from users interacting with ChatGPT, which could offer more in-depth insights into user experiences and potential ethical issues.

6) GEOGRAPHICAL AND CULTURAL CONSIDERATIONS
This research does not specifically account for regional or cultural variations in ethical considerations. Ethical norms and their interpretations can vary significantly across different cultures and regions, which might influence the perception and handling of ethical issues associated with AI.

7) POLICY AND LEGAL LIMITATIONS
This study discusses potential policy recommendations, but the actual implementation of such policies may face various legal, bureaucratic, or practical hurdles.

8) ETHICAL ACCEPTABILITY
While our study delves into the intricate nature of ethical analysis in emerging fields like AI, it does not explicitly identify specific ethical schools. This decision was made intentionally, acknowledging the potential teaching opportunity provided by explicit identification while considering the complexity of ethical analysis in the context of new science and AI development. The omission of specific ethical schools might be perceived as a limitation, as it simplifies the ethical analysis to enhance accessibility for a broader audience. However, it is justified in the context of avoiding unnecessary complexity, ensuring clarity, and making the ethical dimensions more approachable for diverse readers. This recognition of the complexity inherent in ethical analysis stands as one of the strengths of our study, particularly in the realm of AI, which is characterized by intricate ethical considerations in its development and application.

F. FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS
Section VIII-E highlighted areas where further research could be beneficial. Considering the rapid advancements in AI technology and the dynamic nature of ethical considerations, the field remains ripe for future exploration. Additional studies could explore user experiences with ChatGPT, examine other AI models, or consider geographical and cultural variations in AI ethics. In that regard, here are several potential future research directions.

1) EMPIRICAL STUDIES
Empirical research can provide valuable insights into user experiences with AI applications like ChatGPT. Future studies could conduct surveys, interviews, or observational studies to collect user feedback and gauge the real-world impacts of AI on different aspects of life.

2) CROSS-CULTURAL STUDIES
Given the global nature of AI technology, studies that examine ethical considerations across different cultures could be of great value. It would be enlightening to investigate how different cultures perceive and handle AI ethics issues.

3) FOCUS ON OTHER AI TECHNOLOGIES
While this study focused on ChatGPT, there are numerous other AI technologies and applications that warrant investigation. For instance, future research could be conducted into ethical considerations related to the ongoing development and use of autonomous vehicles, AI in healthcare, or AI in education all of which are relevant areas worth exploring by the research community.

4) LONGITUDINAL STUDIES
As AI technologies continue to evolve, longitudinal studies that track changes in ethical considerations over time could provide crucial insights. Such studies could help understand how the ethical landscape of AI changes as the technology advances.

5) POLICY AND LEGAL RESEARCH
As the deployment of AI systems become more widespread, understanding the legal and policy implications becomes increasingly important. Future research could focus on the development of international policies and regulations governing AI use, addressing ethical considerations, and protecting individual rights.

6) BIAS MITIGATION ISSUES
Since biases in AI systems are a significant concern, future research could aim at developing and refining bias mitigation techniques. These could include innovative ways of auditing AI systems for bias and novel methodologies for creating more fair and diverse training datasets.

7) AI LITERACY
As AI becomes a more integral part of daily life, research on how to improve AI literacy among the general public could be beneficial. This could help users better understand the capabilities and limitations of AI systems, leading to more informed and safe interactions.

8) AI AND EMPLOYMENT
Further research could explore the effects of AI on various job sectors, helping society prepare for potential job displacement due to AI automation and suggesting strategies for job transition and up-skilling.

By exploring these research directions, we can better understand the complexities of AI ethics and develop more effective strategies for managing ethical considerations.

IX. CONCLUSION
This study has provided an in-depth exploration of the ethical implications arising from the use of the large language model, ChatGPT. By applying the ethical dimensions proposed by Laudon and Laudon [1], we have been able to address a wide

range of critical ethical issues, from information and property rights to system quality and its impact on quality of life.

We found that while AI technologies like ChatGPT hold tremendous potential for societal advancement, they also present complex challenges that must be navigated with care. Issues related to data privacy, intellectual property rights, accountability, bias, and quality of life considerations underscore the necessity of comprehensive ethical frameworks in AI deployment.

Through our discussion, we outlined a set of recommendations aimed at mitigating these ethical concerns. These encompass rigorous data protection practices, transparency initiatives, robust auditing systems, strategies for bias detection and mitigation, digital literacy programs, and policies aimed at preserving human autonomy and preventing job displacement.

Nevertheless, it is essential to acknowledge the limitations of this study. The rapid pace of technological advancement means that new ethical issues may emerge, requiring ongoing vigilance and adaptability. This calls for future research to continually reassess and update the ethical guidelines and policies applicable to AI systems.

In conclusion, the ethical dimensions proposed by [1] provide a valuable framework for examining the ethical implications of AI technologies like ChatGPT. It is our hope that this research contributes to the ongoing dialogue about responsible AI use and encourages further exploration into the development of comprehensive and adaptable ethical guidelines in this evolving field.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

REFERENCES

[1] K. Laudon and J. Laudon, *Management Information Systems: Managing the Digital Firm*. London, U.K.: Pearson, Sep. 2020.

[2] A. Vaswani et al., "Attention is all you need," in *Proc. Conf. NIPS*, Long Beach, CA, USA, Dec. 2017, pp. 6000–6010.

[3] T. Wu, S. He, J. Liu, S. Sun, K. Liu, Q.-L. Han, and Y. Tang, "A brief overview of ChatGPT: The history, status quo and potential future development," *IEEE/CAA J. Autom. Sinica*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 1122–1136, May 2023.

[4] X. Wu, R. Duan, and J. Ni, "Unveiling security, privacy, and ethical concerns of ChatGPT," *J. Inf. Intell.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 102–115, Mar. 2024.

[5] *Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council*, document EU 2016/679, Eur. Parliament Council Eur. Union, 2016.

[6] A. Strowel, "ChatGPT and generative AI tools: Theft of intellectual labor?" *IIC—Int. Rev. Intellectual Property Competition Law*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 491–494, Apr. 2023.

[7] R. Sarel, "Restraining ChatGPT," *75 UC Law J. (Formerly Hastings Law J.)*, vol. 155, Feb. 2023. [Online]. Available: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4354486, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.4354486.

[8] M. B. Garcia, "Can ChatGPT substitute human companionship for coping with loss and trauma?" *J. Loss Trauma*, vol. 28, no. 8, pp. 784–786, Nov. 2023.

[9] J. J. Bryson, "The past decade and future of AI's impact on society," in *Towards a New Enlightenment? A Transcendent Decade*, vol. 11. New York, NY, USA: Turner, 2019, pp. 127–159.

[10] J. Kaplan, "Artificial intelligence and the law," in *Cyber Security, Artificial Intelligence, Data Protection & the Law*. Springer, 2021, pp. 39–69.

[11] A. Holzinger, C. Biemann, C. S. Pattichis, and D. B. Kell, "What do we need to build explainable AI systems for the medical domain?" 2017, *arXiv:1712.09923*.

[12] D. J. Solove, "Understanding privacy," Harvard Univ. Press, GWU Legal Studies, Res. Paper 420, May 2008. [Online]. Available: https://ssrn.com/abstract=1127888

[13] M. MacCarthy. (2019). *How To Address New Privacy Issues Raised By Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning*. [Online]. Available: https://www.brookings.edu/articles/how-to-address-new-privacy-issues-raised-by-artificial-intelligence-and-machine-learning/

[14] W. Hartzog, "Privacy's blueprint: The battle to control the design of new technologies," *Cambridge Law J.*, vol. 79, no. 2, pp. 377–380, Jul. 2018.

[15] S. Mansfield-Devine, "Weaponising ChatGPT," in *Network Security*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier, Apr. 2023.

[16] C. Kuner, L. A. Bygrave, C. Docksey, L. Drechsler, and L. Tosoni, "The EU general data protection regulation: A commentary/update of selected articles," May 2021. [Online]. Available: https://ssrn.com/abstract=3839645, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3839645.

[17] M. Kejriwal, "AI ethics and policy," in *Artificial Intelligence for Industries of the Future: Beyond Facebook, Amazon, Microsoft and Google*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2023, pp. 101–118.

[18] B. Neville-Rolfe. (2016). *The Challenge of Protecting Intellectual Property*. [Online]. Available: https://www.wipo.int/wipo_magazine/en/2016/si/article_0004.html

[19] R. Abbott, "I think, therefore i invent: Creative computers and the future of patent law," *SSRN Electron. J.*, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 1079–1126, 2016.

[20] D. Jodha and P. Bera, "Copyright issues in the era of AI—A critical analysis," *Res Militaris*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 1737–1748, Apr. 2023.

[21] P. Hacker, A. Engel, and M. Mauer, "Regulating ChatGPT and other large generative AI models," in *Proc. ACM Conf. Fairness, Accountability, Transparency*. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, Jun. 2023, pp. 1112–1123.

[22] WIPO. (2020). *Conversation on Intellectual Property (IP) and Artificial Intelligence (AI): Second Session*. [Online]. Available: https://www.wipo.int/meetings/en/details.jsp?meeting_id=55309

[23] S. Flynn. (2020). *Conversation on Intellectual Property (IP) and Artificial Intelligence (AI)*. WIPO. [Online]. Available: https://digitalcommons.wcl.american.edu/fac_works_papers/43

[24] L. Floridi, J. Cowls, M. Beltrametti, R. Chatila, P. Chazerand, V. Dignum, C. Luetge, R. Madelin, U. Pagallo, F. Rossi, B. Schafer, P. Valcke, and E. Vayena, "AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society: Opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations," *Minds Mach.*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 689–707, Dec. 2018.

[25] A. Radford, J. Wu, R. Child, D. Luan, D. Amodei, and I. Sutskever. (2019). *Language Models Are Unsupervised Multitask Learners*. [Online]. Available: https://cdn.openai.com/better-language-models/language_models_are_unsupervised_multitask_learners.pdf

[26] N. Bostrom and E. Yudkowsky, "The ethics of artificial intelligence," in *The Cambridge Handbook of Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 1. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2014, pp. 316–334.

[27] F. Doshi-Velez and B. Kim, "Towards a rigorous science of interpretable machine learning," 2017, *arXiv:1702.08608*.

[28] K. Crawford and R. Calo, "There is a blind spot in AI research," in *Nature*, vol. 538. Berlin, Germany: Nature Publishing Group, Oct. 2016, pp. 311–313.

[29] H. Zech, "Liability for AI: Public policy considerations," *ERA Forum*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 147–158, Apr. 2021.

[30] C. J. N. Cath, "Artificial intelligence and the 'Good Society': The U.S., EU, and U.K. approach," *SSRN Electron. J.*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 505–528, 2018.

[31] S. Biswas, "Role of chatGPT in journalism: According to chatGPT," *SSRN Electron. J.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 39–41, Jul. 2023.

[32] B. M. Hales and P. J. Pronovost, "The checklist—A tool for error management and performance improvement," *J. Crit. Care*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 231–235, Sep. 2006.

[33] D. Castelvecchi, "Can we open the black box of AI?" *Nature*, vol. 538, no. 7623, pp. 20–23, Oct. 2016.

[34] A. Barredo Arrieta, N. Díaz-Rodríguez, J. Del Ser, A. Bennetot, S. Tabik, A. Barbado, S. Garcia, S. Gil-Lopez, D. Molina, R. Benjamins, R. Chatila, and F. Herrera, "Explainable artificial intelligence (XAI): Concepts, taxonomies, opportunities and challenges toward responsible AI," *Inf. Fusion*, vol. 58, pp. 82–115, Jun. 2020.

[35] A. Yapo and J. Weiss, "Ethical implications of bias in machine learning," in *Proc. 51st Hawaii Int. Conf. Syst. Sci.*, 2018, pp. 5365–5372.

[36] Z. Wang, X. Dong, H. Xue, Z. Zhang, W. Chiu, T. Wei, and K. Ren, "Fairness-aware adversarial perturbation towards bias mitigation for deployed deep models," in *Proc. IEEE/CVF Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit. (CVPR)*, Jun. 2022, pp. 10369–10378.

[37] P. Theofilou, "Quality of life: Definition and measurement," *Europe's J. Psychol.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 150–162, 2013.

[38] B. D. Mittelstadt, P. Allo, M. Taddeo, S. Wachter, and L. Floridi, "The ethics of algorithms: Mapping the debate," *Big Data Soc.*, vol. 3, no. 2, Dec. 2016, Art. no. 205395171667967.

[39] E. Ferrara. (2023). *Should ChatGPT Be Biased? Challenges and Risks of Bias in Large Language Models*. [Online]. Available: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4627814

[40] E. A. M. van Dis, J. Bollen, W. Zuidema, R. van Rooij, and C. L. Bockting, "ChatGPT: Five priorities for research," *Nature*, vol. 614, no. 7947, pp. 224–226, Feb. 2023.

[41] A. Piñeiro-Martín, C. García-Mateo, L. Docío-Fernández, and M. D. C. López-Pérez, "Ethical challenges in the development of virtual assistants powered by large language models," *Electronics*, vol. 12, no. 14, p. 3170, Jul. 2023.

[42] A. Alessa and H. Al-Khalifa, "Towards designing a ChatGPT conversational companion for elderly people," in *Proc. 16th Int. Conf. Pervasive Technol. Rel. Assistive Environments*. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, Aug. 2023, pp. 667–674.

[43] D. Rad and G. Rad, "Exploring the psychological implications of ChatGPT: A qualitative study," *J. PLUS Educ.*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 43–55, May 2023.

[44] O. Singh, "Artificial intelligence in the era of ChatGPT–opportunities and challenges in mental health care," *Indian J. Psychiatry*, vol. 65, no. 3, p. 297, 2023.

[45] J. Bossmann. (2016). *Top 9 Ethical Issues in Artificial Intelligence*. [Online]. Available: https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2016/10/top-10-ethical-issues-in-artificial-intelligence

[46] C. Gavaghan, A. Knott, and J. Maclaurin, *The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Jobs and Work in New Zealand*. Dunedin, New Zealand: University of Otago, 2021.

[47] M.-H. Maras and A. Alexandrou, "Determining authenticity of video evidence in the age of artificial intelligence and in the wake of deepfake videos," *Int. J. Evidence Proof*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 255–262, Jul. 2019.

[48] D. Schiff, A. Ayesh, L. Musikanski, and J. C. Havens, "IEEE 7010: A new standard for assessing the well-being implications of artificial intelligence," in *IEEE Int. Conf. Syst. Man. Cybern.*, 2020, pp. 2746–2753.

[49] M. Ryan, E. Christodoulou, J. Antoniou, and K. Iordanou, "An AI ethics 'David and Goliath': Value conflicts between large tech companies and their employees," *AI Soc.*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 557–572, Mar. 2022.

[50] J. M. Balkin, "Information fiduciaries and the first amendment," *UC Davis Law Rev.*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 1183–1234, 2016.

AHMAD GHANDOUR was born in Jordan. He received the bachelor's degree in electronic engineering from U.K., the master's degree from Jordan, and the Ph.D. degree in information science from the University of Otago, New Zealand. He embarked on a remarkable academic journey that spanned three different countries, such as Jordan, the United Arab Emirates, and New Zealand.

He is currently a Professor of E-business with Middle East University, Jordan. His scholarly work focuses on elucidating the business value of websites, exploring the nuances of digital transformation in enterprises, and investigating the ethical considerations and practical use cases of AI technology. Before venturing into his illustrious academic career, he garnered diverse professional experiences, including service in the military and roles in the private sector. These experiences have provided him with a multifaceted perspective on the world. He is an active contributor to his field, conducting extensive research and publishing in esteemed academic journals. He is also a sought-after presenter at international conferences within the domains of his research. With a profound passion for the pursuit of knowledge, his research interests include various aspects of the digital landscape.

Prof. Ghandour contributes to the academic community by serving on editorial boards and as a reviewer for renowned international journals.

BRENDON J. WOODFORD was born in Mosgiel, New Zealand, in 1969. He received the B.Sc., PG.Dip.Sci., M.Sc., and Ph.D. degrees in computer science from the University of Otago, New Zealand, in 1993, 1995, 1997, and 2008, respectively.

Since 2016, he has been a Senior Lecturer with the School of Computing, University of Otago. He teaches and researches in the domains of data science, machine learning, and digital health. More recently, he has conducted research into the impact of artificial intelligence technologies on society. His primary research interest includes creating adaptive machine learning systems that generate new knowledge from data that they process and allow for improved decision-making in difficult real-world domains. This work has resulted in 55 publications to date appearing in both highly-ranked journals and international conferences.

Dr. Woodford is a member of the Royal Society of New Zealand, Information Technology Professionals New Zealand, and the IEEE Computer Society.

HESHAM ABUSAIMEH (Senior Member, IEEE) received the B.Sc. degree in computer science from Applied Science University, the M.Sc. degree in computer science from New York Institute of Technology, and the Ph.D. degree in computer science from Loughborough University, U.K., in 2009. His Ph.D. thesis entitled "Energy-aware Routing Protocols in Wireless Sensor Network."

He is currently a Professor of computer science with Middle East University, Jordan, where he is also the Dean of the Faculty of Business. Since 2012, he has been the Dean of IT, Graduate Studies and Scientific Research, and International Programmes at different universities. He has more than 50 international publications, such as books, journals, and conferences. His research interests include cyber security, cloud computing, mobile cloud computing, cyber defense, cryptography, computer security, wireless sensor networks, routing protocols, and energy-aware routing protocols in sensor networks.

○ ○ ○