

Received 28 September 2023, accepted 20 October 2023, date of publication 25 October 2023, date of current version 16 November 2023.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3327643

APPLIED RESEARCH

End-to-End Performance Evaluation of SLP Waveforms

JEVGENIJ KRIVOCHIZA ^{id}, (Member, IEEE),

JUAN CARLOS MERLANO DUNCAN ^{id}, (Senior Member, IEEE),

JORGE QUEROL ^{id}, (Member, IEEE), AND SYMEON CHATZINOTAS ^{id}, (Fellow, IEEE)

SNT Research Center, SIGCOM Research Group, University of Luxembourg, 1855 Luxembourg City, Luxembourg

Corresponding author: Jevgenij Krivochiza (jevgenij.krivochiza@uni.lu)

This work was supported in part by the Luxembourg National Research Fund (FNR) under Grant IPBG19/14016225/INSTRUCT.

ABSTRACT In this paper, we investigate the demodulation and decoding of the symbol-level precoded (SLP) signal using the conventional logarithmic likelihood ratio (LLR) and low-density parity check (LDPC) decoding algorithms operating in real-time on the software-defined radio (SDR) receiver. Its conventional forward error correction (FEC) is designed assuming symmetric additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN). While SLP generates asymmetric constellation point clouds it is unclear if it can achieve similar FEC gains in an end-to-end coded setup. The receiver is implemented based on the DVB-S2X standard. It estimates multi-beam interference-limited channel coefficients by using the orthogonal pilots embedded into the DVB-S2X frames to facilitate precoding at the transmitter. After the received frames are fully synchronized the payload symbols are decoded in two stages: soft decision using the LLR and hard decision using the LDPC decoders. We benchmark the bit error rate (BER) and frame error rate (FER) of the complete decoding chain while using the zero-forcing (ZF) and the selected SLP channel-interference canceling techniques. The conducted in-lab experiments show that the SLP can improve BER and FER performance in the conventional receiving and decoding design for symmetric constellations while achieving its original optimization goals.

INDEX TERMS Satellite communication, non-terrestrial networks, user terminals, precoding, interference cancellation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Terrestrial and satellite communications (SATCOMs) are an integral part of the future technologies and use cases proposed by the telecommunication industry [1]. The global broadband access demand is rising together with the demand for high-speed internet connectivity, facilitated by the global digitization of all private and state sectors. 5G non-terrestrial networks (NTN) [2] can provide connected services to remote areas and complement the terrestrial services [3].

In this scope, we focus on the multi-beam high-throughput satellites and the full frequency reuse (FFR) approach to achieve maximum throughput and spectrum efficiency. Both DVB-S2X [4] and 5G communications standards

allow FFR to be implemented. Recent works study the practical application of precoding in SATCOM to cancel the interference in FFR scenarios [5], [6], [7]. Precoding is implemented at the gateway in the digital domain [8]. In recent years, many extensive in-lab and field tests were published to increase technology readiness level (TRL) and demonstrate precoding benefits in SATCOMs [9], [10], [11]. In [12], [13], and [14] the authors presented an in-lab real-time satellite precoded transmission test-bed, where a satellite has 6 transmitting antennas and simultaneously serves 6 user terminals (UTs). The demonstrated test bed was developed for the in-lab environment and tested over the simulated multi-beam satellite channel. The authors in [15] and [16] showcased over-the-air validations using actual satellite links by using closed-loop precoding. The authors in [17] demonstrated their field trials of multi-satellite multi-user precoding. Linear precoding was experimentally verified

The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and approving it for publication was Nan Wu ^{id}.

over the actual satellite link in [18] using the standard DVB-S2X framing.

The conventional channel-based precoding techniques use the knowledge of channel state information (CSI) to generate the optimal precoder. The most common channel-based strategies are zero-forcing (ZF) and the minimal means square error (MMSE) precoding methods [19], [20], [21]. Advanced precoding techniques, such as symbol-level precoding (SLP), use the CSI and calculate the optimal precoder for each individual transmitted data symbol to achieve more power-efficient signaling and service availability [22]. Despite numerous publications on SLP techniques, the effect of per-symbol optimizations by SLP on end-to-end coding and decoding performance remains unclear. Conventional forward error correction (FEC) was designed assuming symmetric Additive White Gaussian Noise (AWGN). Contrariwise to this assumption, SLP generates asymmetric constellation point clouds. It is unclear if the theoretical FEC gains are maintained in an end-to-end coded setup.

In this paper, we investigate the demodulation and decoding of SLP symbols in the conventional LDPC and LLR-based receiver. The preliminary results and broader discussions on SLP decoding were presented in the Ph.D. thesis by the corresponding author [23]. Here, we focus on the performances of the coded BER and FER and the spectral efficiency of the receiver running in real-time operation mode. The implemented DVB-S2X compatible receiver is based on the software-defined radio (SDR) technology, equipped with the industry-standard FEC. The receiver estimates SINR from the pilots embedded into the DVB-S2X frame and decodes information bits from the data symbols using logarithmic likelihood ratio (LLR) estimations and the low-density parity check (LDPC) decoder. We compare the SINR, BER, FER, and spectral efficiency performance of SLP to a conventional ZF precoding technique to evaluate the receiver's performance. In this benchmark, the receiver was not modified to decode symbols enhanced by the SLP processing at the transmitter side. Thus, we investigate the impact of the SLP symbols on a conventional receiver chain. The benchmark resembles a realistic SLP processing deployment in a communication system, where only the transmitter is modified, while the user terminals remain unchanged.

II. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

A. SYSTEM MODEL

We consider a system model with the forward link of a multi-user multi-antenna wireless communication system. We assume the system to use the full frequency reuse scenario, in which all the antennas transmit in the same frequency and time domains. The multi-user interference is managed using precoding. We define the number of the transmitting antennas as N_t and the total number of single-antenna UTs as N_u in the coverage area. In the specified MU-MIMO channel model, the received signal at

the i -th UT is given by $y_i = \mathbf{h}_i^\dagger \mathbf{x} + u_i + n_i$, where \mathbf{h}_i^\dagger is a $1 \times N_t$ vector representing the complex channel coefficients between the i -th UT and the N_t antennas at the transmitter, \mathbf{x} is defined as the $N_t \times 1$ vector of the transmitted symbols at a certain symbol period, u_i is a constructive interference component of SLP precoding, and n_i is the independent complex circular symmetric (c.c.s.) independent identically distributed (i.i.d) zero mean AWGN measured at the i -th terminal's receive antenna.

Looking at the concatenated formulation of the received signal, which includes the whole set of receiver terminals, the linear signal model is

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{n}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{y} = [y_1, y_i, \dots, y_{N_u}] \in \mathbb{C}^{N_u \times 1}$, $\mathbf{n} = [n_1, n_i, \dots, n_{N_u}] \in \mathbb{C}^{N_u \times 1}$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times 1}$, $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_u \times 1}$, $\mathbf{u} = [u_1, u_i, \dots, u_{N_u}] \in \mathbb{C}^{N_u \times 1}$ and $\mathbf{H} = [\mathbf{h}_1^\dagger, \mathbf{h}_i^\dagger, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{N_u}^\dagger] \in \mathbb{C}^{N_u \times N_t}$. We define a precoding matrix $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times N_u}$ that maps the information symbols \mathbf{s} into precoded symbols \mathbf{x} . We consider the data symbols \mathbf{s} to be unit variance complex vectors $|s_i| = 1$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, N_u$. The vector \mathbf{u} represents the constructive interference in the received symbols of all the users. The precoding matrix \mathbf{W} and the vector \mathbf{u} are defined according to the selected precoding technique, which we describe in the following section.

B. PRECODING TECHNIQUES

1) CHANNEL INVERSION

We define the precoding matrix \mathbf{W}_{ZF} as the ZF precoder:

$$\mathbf{W}_{ZF} = \mathbf{H}^H (\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^H)^{-1} / f_{ZF}, \quad (2)$$

where $f_{ZF} = \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{N_t} \sum_{m=1}^{N_u} \mathbf{W}_{ZF_{n,m}}^2}$ is a rescaling factor to account for sum power constraints. In this case $u_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N_u$.

2) SYMBOL-LEVEL PRECODING

We define the SLP as in [22]. This SLP aims to minimize the total transmitted power while respecting SINR constraints at the terminals. The technique is not targeting to improve SINR at the receivers, but can introduce constructive interference to the received symbols. We chose the SLP technique for its low complexity, which is optimized to run in real-time transmission mode using field-programmable gate array (FPGA) acceleration. The SLP technique solves a convex optimization problem for the N_u terminals to jointly optimize the transmitted modulated signals on the N_t antennas. The following optimization problem is devoted:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{u}} \quad & \|\mathbf{W}_{ZF}\mathbf{B}(\tilde{\mathbf{s}} + \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \tilde{u}_i \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where \tilde{u}_i is the constructive interference for the symbol $\tilde{s}_i = 1$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, N_u$ and \mathbf{B} is the rotation matrix of

TABLE 1. LDPC decoder supported modes.

Mode	Frame Size	Code rate (C_R)
1	64800	1/2
2	64800	2/3
3	64800	3/4
4	64800	5/6

A common simplification of the LLR is to use only the closest constellation point with $b_j = 0$ in the numerator and the closest one with $b_j = 1$ in the denominator. The simplified LLR is:

$$LLR_j = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(|y_i[t] - c_1|^2 - |y_i[t] - c_0|^2 \right), \quad (13)$$

as shown in [13]. We use equation (13) in the following benchmarks.

F. LDPC DECODER

The FEC system can correct more errors if it has more redundancy and a better coding algorithm. The LDPC codes are very good channel codes that can achieve near-optimal error correction. They also allow efficient hardware architectures because they can be processed in parallel. The LDPC decoder in the receiver uses the LDPC Block Codes (LDPC-BC) or QC-LDPC Quasi-Cyclic LDPC Codes, as the DVB-S2 standard specifies [26], [28]. These LDPC codes have block-structured LDPC codes with circular block matrices. The whole parity check matrix can be divided into smaller block matrices, which are either zero matrices or right cyclic shifts of identity matrices. The parity check matrix can be represented by a base matrix with cyclic shifts. This feature has the advantage of providing high throughput and low complexity in implementation. The current LDPC decoder implementation uses a log-domain LDPC iterative decoding algorithm (Belief propagation) approximation called the layered offset min-sum algorithm [29].

The supported modes of the UT LDPC decoder are presented in Table 1.

III. EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION

A. IN-LAB VERIFICATION STAND

For the experimental validation, we built the in-lab verification stand. It consists of the multi-antenna transmitter, the channel emulator, and the UTs. The RF hardware is based on the National Instruments SDRs. Each SDR has 2 RF inputs and 2 RF outputs operating in the full duplex mode.

As shown in Fig. 2 the transmitter simultaneously transmits 6 precoded signals towards 6 UTs through a 6-by-6 multi-beam satellite channel emulator. The channel emulator acquires the gateway signals, applies Gaussian noise and multi-beam interference, and transmits the signals to the UTs. The UTs estimate the CSI based on the DVB-S2X pilots and report the estimated values to the gateway through a dedicated feedback channel over an Ethernet link. This setup allows us to test the receivers in real-time operation mode while




FIGURE 2. Precoding validation demo.




FIGURE 3. Channel selected beams configuration.

using actual RF-modulated signals. In this setup, we provide the multi-beam interference through the emulated channel matrix, which is fixed for all the tests. When we need to change the SNR, we increase or decrease the amplitude of the Gaussian noise generator. We did not consider any other satellite channel impairments in this paper, such as payload non-linearities, phase noise, Doppler spread and shift, or timing errors, as they would further affect the SNR experienced by the UTs.

B. EMULATED CHANNEL DESCRIPTION

We use the realistic channel matrix generated based on the provided satellite beam pattern as shown in Fig. 3. In Table 2 we show the channel matrix coefficients loaded into our channel emulator [13] during the experimental validations. We have 6 transmitting antennas for each of the beams and 6 UTs located in the coverage area of at least one of the beams. Each UT experiences interference from the adjacent beams, which can be seen in the channel estimations reported in Table 3. The UTs estimate CSI as in (9).

The CSI is different from the actual channel matrix applied in the channel emulator due to the hardware impairments, the fixed-point implementation precision, and the cable losses between the transmitter and the UTs.

TABLE 2. Applied channel matrix in the channel emulator.

0.2721 + 0.0000i	-0.0082 + 0.1513i	0.0052 - 0.0020i	-0.0154 - 0.0303i	-0.0075 + 0.0001i	-0.0067 + 0.0051i
-0.0172 + 0.0328i	0.3988 - 0.0000i	0.0190 + 0.0248i	0.0111 + 0.0037i	0.0515 - 0.0367i	0.0034 - 0.0182i
-0.0046 + 0.0062i	-0.0245 - 0.0505i	0.3698 + 0.0000i	0.00061 + 0.00085i	0.1573 + 0.0663i	0.0281 - 0.0134i
0.1548 + 0.0064i	-0.1847 + 0.0417i	-0.0121 + 0.0207i	0.2847 + 0.0000i	-0.0051 - 0.0320i	0.0184 + 0.0007i
0.0009 + 0.0075i	-0.0595 - 0.0181i	0.1466 - 0.0063i	0.0742 - 0.0377i	0.3857 - 0.0000i	0.1308 + 0.1346i
-0.00033 - 0.00015i	0.0006 + 0.0087i	0.2330 + 0.0942i	0.0003 + 0.0024i	-0.1504 + 0.1480i	0.2881 + 0.0000i

TABLE 3. Estimated channel matrix.

0.3884 + 0.0000i	-0.0004 - 0.0325i	-0.0045 + 0.0112i	-0.0991 - 0.2055i	-0.0111 - 0.0350i	0.0201 - 0.0157i
0.0944 - 0.0920i	0.3101 + 0.0000i	0.0165 - 0.0210i	-0.0631 + 0.1730i	0.0540 + 0.0380i	0.0051 + 0.0216i
-0.0111 - 0.0983i	0.0382 - 0.0468i	0.3826 + 0.0000i	0.0074 + 0.0773i	-0.0616 + 0.1089i	0.0638 - 0.2533i
-0.0470 + 0.0161i	-0.0164 + 0.0109i	-0.0369 + 0.0037i	0.4325 - 0.0000i	0.0600 - 0.0117i	0.0226 + 0.0543i
-0.0082 + 0.0223i	-0.0455 + 0.0045i	-0.1172 - 0.0148i	0.0079 - 0.0737i	0.2022 + 0.0000i	0.0483 + 0.1917i
0.0126 - 0.0213i	0.0325 - 0.0046i	0.0886 - 0.0069i	-0.0002 + 0.0179i	-0.1229 + 0.0879i	0.2641 + 0.0000i




FIGURE 4. Graphical visualisation of the estimated channel matrix.

We visualize the estimated channel matrix in Fig. 4. The visualization would look like a diagonal matrix without interference between the beams. In our case, we can see that most of the UTs experience a very strong interference. However, UT 1 has interference only from a single neighboring beam and the UT 4 has no interference at all. The UT 5 receives a very strong interference, which is as strong as its main signal carrier.

Finally, we run numerical benchmarks to estimate the performance of the precoding techniques with the generated channel matrix. In Fig. 5 we can see the expected received power per each UT for the ZF and the SLP techniques. The SLP technique provides the gains to the UTs 2, 3, 5, and 6. It is evident, that the UT 5 has more gains due to more constructive interference preserved in the signal. UTs 1 and 4 have no visible gains due to the lack of any constructive interference at their location.

C. RECEIVED SYMBOLS OF SLP

In Fig. 6 we can see the received QPSK symbols for each UT. The BF symbols modified by the SLP are visible in UTs 2, 3, 5, and 6. These results match the theoretically predicted gains derived from the channel matrix analysis.




FIGURE 5. RX power at the UTs using different precoding techniques.




FIGURE 6. Received data QPSK symbols at UTs modified by CF NNLS SLP.

D. SINR PERFORMANCE OF CF NNLS SLP

We focus on UT 5 as it is the one most affected by the SLP technique. We set the relative noise power in the channel emulator to 0 to measure the SINR ($SINR_0$) at the UT for the ZF technique. We then increase the relative noise power with a 0.2 dB step to measure the SINR estimations in the region of our interest. We calculate the average SINR value and the standard deviation of the average at each point by measuring the SINR from 1000 bundle frames. We also calculate the SINR value at the channel emulator as $SINR_0 +$ relative noise power.

In Fig. 7 we can see the estimated and the expected SINR curves. In the ZF case, the SINR estimation is close to the SINR, calculated using the relative noise power at the channel emulator. The values are within the range of the standard deviation from the actual SINR. In the SLP case, the estimation of the SINR in the high SINR region (> 8 dB) is lower than the channel emulator SINR and SINR estimated for the ZF case. Below the 8 dB threshold, the SINR estimated for the SLP case is consistently higher than the actual SINR at the channel emulator. In the interference-limited region, the SLP-modified pilots greatly increase P_r in (11) but since only some pilots are changed SLP only partially increases P_s in (10). As a result, SNORE overestimates the noise variance of the signal. In the noise-limited region, the SLP-modified pilots do not contribute




FIGURE 7. Experimental SINR curves for the ZF and the SLP techniques and the channel emulator estimated SINR vs. the relative noise power.




FIGURE 8. LDPC BER plots for ZF and CF NNLS SLP vs. the channel emulator SINR.

to the perceived P_r , but still P_s is increased due to signal correlation properties. This leads to over-optimistic SINR estimations.

E. CODED BIT ERROR RATE PERFORMANCE OF CF NNLS SLP

In Fig. 8 we show the LDPC BER score using the QPSK signal at the UT 5. Each measurement point is an average of 1 million LDPC frames, where each block is 64800 bits long. The BER curves are plotted against the SINR estimated at the channel emulator, which is based on the noise power rather than the estimated SINR for the SLP or the ZF cases to achieve a fair comparison between the two techniques.

We can see that the SLP BER saturates ($BER = 1$) at lower SINR values than in the ZF case. The result is reproduced for every C_R .

F. FRAME ERROR RATE PERFORMANCE OF CF NNLS SLP

In Fig. 9 we show the LDPC frame error rate using the QPSK signal at the UT 5. The total length of the LDPC frame with payload and parity check bits is 64800 bits. After the decoding process, the parity check bits are removed and the payload is transferred into the FER calculator. BCH (Bose-Chaudhuri-Hocquenghem) code is applied to correct the residual errors. Each measurement point is an average of 1 million frames. The FER curves are plotted against the channel emulator SINR as in the case of the BER




FIGURE 9. LDPC FER plots for ZF and CF NNLS SLP vs expected SINR.




FIGURE 10. Spectral efficiency plots for ZF and CF NNLS SLP vs expected SINR.

benchmarks. We can see that the SLP FER saturates ($FER = 1$) at lower SINR values than in the ZF case. The result is reproduced for every C_R .

G. SPECTRAL EFFICIENCY OF CF NNLS SLP

Spectral efficiency can be expressed as:

$$SE = \frac{R_b}{B_w} (1 - FER) \left(\frac{bits/s}{Hz} \right), \tag{14}$$

where the payload bit rate is:

$$R_b = \log_2(M) R_s C_R (bits/s), \tag{15}$$

and the signal bandwidth is:

$$B_w = \frac{1}{T_s} (\beta + 1) (Hz). \tag{16}$$

Given that the symbol period and the symbol rate are related as $T_s = \frac{1}{R_s}$ (s), $M = 4$ for QPSK modulation order, and the matched filter roll-off factor is $\beta = 0.2$, we substitute eq. (15) and (16) back to eq. (14) and get the final expression for the spectral efficiency:

$$SE = \frac{2C_R}{(0.2 + 1)} (1 - FER) \left(\frac{bits/s}{Hz} \right) \tag{17}$$

In Fig. 10 we can see the spectral efficiency of the ZF and the SLP techniques vs. the SINR. We can see that the SLP saturates at the maximum spectral efficiency at lower SINR values than the ZF. In the case of the C_R 1/2 the SLP achieves the maximum theoretical spectrum efficiency at 2.2 dB, for C_R 2/3 - 3.1 dB, C_R 3/4 - 4.5 dB, and C_R 5/6 - 6 dB. We can see that the SLP has a consistent advantage in the SE over the ZF. We can see that the SLP-modified symbols can be

correctly decoded by the receiver with no prior knowledge of the modifications in the symbols of the conventional QPSK constellation. Additionally, these modified symbols result in lower BER and FER scores and improved spectrum efficiency.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we experimentally investigated that the SLP asymmetric symbols can be successfully decoded by the conventional receiver using the unmodified LLR and LDPC algorithms. We showed that the design of the receiver does not need special adjustments to correctly decode symbols when the transmitter uses the SLP technique to optimize the signal. We used the conventional LLR and LDPC decoder to extract the information bits from the symbols. Moreover, the SLP showed improved received signal quality in terms of the SINR and Spectral efficiency compared to the linear precoding. However, we discovered that the SNORE algorithm tends to underestimate or overestimate the SINR values due to modified symbols by the SLP. The transmitter can disable the SLP while precoding the pilots to address this issue. Additionally, other types of modulated signals and SLP techniques can be verified using the presented setup in future works.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

For the purpose of open access and in fulfillment of the obligations arising from the grant agreement, the author has applied a creative commons attribution 4.0 international (CC BY 4.0) license to any author accepted manuscript version arising from this submission.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Kodheli, E. Lagunas, N. Maturo, S. K. Sharma, B. Shankar, J. F. M. Montoya, J. C. M. Duncan, D. Spano, S. Chatzinotas, S. Kisseleff, J. Querol, L. Lei, T. X. Vu, and G. Goussetis, "Satellite communications in the new space era: A survey and future challenges," *IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 70–109, 1st Quart., 2021.
- [2] Y. Ma and X. Li, "In-orbit test exploration for 5G NTN," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Workshop Electromagn., Appl. Student Innov. Competition (iWEM)*, vol. 1, Nov. 2021, pp. 1–3.
- [3] T. Heyn, A. Hofmann, S. Raghunandan, and L. Raschkowski, "Non-terrestrial networks in 6G," in *Shaping Future 6G Networks: Needs, Impacts, and Technologies*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 101–116, doi: 10.1002/9781119765554.ch8.
- [4] A. Morello and V. Mignone, "DVB-S2X: The new extensions to the second generation DVB satellite standard DVB-S2," *Int. J. Satell. Commun. Netw.*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 323–325, May 2016. [Online]. Available: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/sat.1167>
- [5] S. K. Sharma, S. Chatzinotas, and P.-D. Arapoglou, *Satellite Communications in the 5G Era* (Telecommunications). Edison, NJ, USA: The Institution of Engineering and Technology, 2018.
- [6] I. Ahmad, K. D. Nguyen, N. Letzepis, G. Lechner, and V. Joroughi, "Zero-forcing precoding with partial CSI in multibeam high throughput satellite systems," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 1410–1420, Feb. 2021.
- [7] K. D. Pham, "A control-theoretic approach to precoding for multi-cast multi-beam over satellite," in *Proc. IEEE Aerosp. Conf.*, Mar. 2020, pp. 1–11.
- [8] S. Chatzinotas, B. Ottersten, and R. D. Gaudenzi, *Cooperative and Cognitive Satellite Systems*, 1st ed. Orlando, FL, USA: Academic, 2015.
- [9] L. M. Marrero, J. C. Merlano-Duncan, J. Querol, S. Kumar, J. Krivochiza, S. K. Sharma, S. Chatzinotas, A. Camps, and B. Ottersten, "Architectures and synchronization techniques for distributed satellite systems: A survey," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 45375–45409, 2022.
- [10] G. Taricco and A. Ginesi, "Precoding for flexible high throughput satellites: Hot-spot scenario," *IEEE Trans. Broadcast.*, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 65–72, Mar. 2019.
- [11] S. Kisseleff, E. Lagunas, J. Krivochiza, J. Querol, N. Maturo, L. M. Marrero, J. Merlano-Duncan, and S. Chatzinotas, "Centralized gateway concept for precoded multi-beam GEO satellite networks," in *Proc. IEEE 94th Veh. Technol. Conf. (VTC-Fall)*, Sep. 2021, pp. 1–6.
- [12] J. Duncan, J. Krivochiza, S. Andrenacci, S. Chatzinotas, and B. Ottersten, "Hardware demonstration of precoded communications in multi-beam UHTS systems," in *Proc. 36th Int. Commun. Satell. Syst. Conf. (ICSSC)*, Oct. 2018, pp. 1–5.
- [13] J. Duncan, J. Querol, N. Maturo, J. Krivochiza, D. Spano, N. Saba, L. Marrero, S. Chatzinotas, and B. Ottersten, "Hardware precoding demonstration in multibeam UHTS communications under realistic payload characteristics," in *Proc. Adv. Commun. Satell. Syst., 37th Int. Commun. Satell. Syst. Conf. (ICSSC)*, Oct. 2019, pp. 1–17.
- [14] N. Maturo, J. C. M. Duncan, J. Krivochiza, J. Querol, D. Spano, S. Chatzinotas, and B. Ottersten, "Demonstrator of precoding technique for a multi-beams satellite system," in *Proc. 8th Int. Workshop Tracking, Telemetry Command Syst. Space Appl. (TTC)*, Darmstadt, Germany, Sep. 2019, pp. 1–8.
- [15] B. Hamet, T. Kolb, C. Rohde, F. Leschka, M. P. Bhave, and A. Liddle, "Over-the-air operation of mobile and multicast linear precoding for a multi-spot-beam mobile satellite service," in *Proc. 24th Ka Broadband Commun. Conf.*, Oct. 2018, pp. 1–14.
- [16] B. Hamet, C. Rohde, P. Bhave, and A. Liddell, "Over-the-air field trials of linear precoding for multi-spot-beam satellite systems," in *Proc. 22th Ka Broadband Commun. Conf.*, Oct. 2016, pp. 1–6.
- [17] K.-U. Storek, R. T. Schwarz, and A. Knopp, "Multi-satellite multi-user MIMO precoding: Testbed and field trial," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Commun. (ICC)*, Jun. 2020, pp. 1–7.
- [18] J. Krivochiza, J. C. M. Duncan, J. Querol, N. Maturo, L. M. Marrero, S. Andrenacci, J. Krause, and S. Chatzinotas, "End-to-end precoding validation over a live GEO satellite forward link," *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, pp. 41556–41564, 2023.
- [19] C. B. Peel, B. M. Hochwald, and A. L. Swindlehurst, "A vector-perturbation technique for near-capacity multiantenna multiuser communication—Part I: Channel inversion and regularization," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 195–202, Jan. 2005.
- [20] E. Björnson, M. Bengtsson, and B. E. Ottersten, "Optimal multiuser transmit beamforming: A difficult problem with a simple solution structure," *CoRR*, vol. abs/1404.0408, pp. 1–11, Apr. 2014.
- [21] M. Alodeh, D. Spano, A. Kalantari, C. G. Tsinos, D. Christopoulos, S. Chatzinotas, and B. Ottersten, "Symbol-level and multicast precoding for multiuser multiantenna downlink: A state-of-the-art, classification, and challenges," *IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 1733–1757, 3rd Quart., 2018.
- [22] J. Krivochiza, J. Merlano Duncan, S. Andrenacci, S. Chatzinotas, and B. Ottersten, "FPGA acceleration for computationally efficient symbol-level precoding in multi-user multi-antenna communication systems," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 15509–15520, 2019.
- [23] J. Krivochiza, "End-to-end signal processing algorithms for precoded satellite communications," Ph.D. dissertation, SNT, SIGCOM, Univ. Luxembourg, Luxembourg, Luxembourg, 2020.
- [24] R. Bro and S. D. Jong, "A fast non-negativity-constrained least squares algorithm," *J. Chemometrics*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 393–401, Sep. 1997.
- [25] J. Krivochiza, J. C. Merlano-Duncan, S. Andrenacci, S. Chatzinotas, and B. Ottersten, "Closed-form solution for computationally efficient symbol-level precoding," in *Proc. IEEE Global Commun. Conf. (GLOBECOM)*, Dec. 2018, pp. 1–6.
- [26] *Digital Video Broadcasting (DVB); Second Generation Framing Structure, Channel Coding and Modulation Systems for Broadcasting, Interactive Services, News Gathering and Other Broadband Satellite Applications; Part 2: DVB-S2 Extensions (DVB-S2X)*, Standard ETSI EN 302 307-2, 2015.
- [27] M. Alodeh, S. Chatzinotas, and B. Ottersten, "Symbol-level multiuser MISO precoding for multi-level adaptive modulation," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 16, no. 8, pp. 5511–5524, Aug. 2017.
- [28] *Digital Video Broadcasting (DVB); Implementation Guidelines for the Second Generation System for Broadcasting, Interactive Services, News Gathering and Other Broadband Satellite Applications; Part 1: DVB-S2*, Standard ETSI TS 102 376-1, 2015.

- [29] N. E. Maammar, S. Bri, and J. Foshi, "Layered offset min-sum decoding for low density parity check codes," in *Proc. Int. Symp. Adv. Electr. Commun. Technol. (ISAECT)*, Nov. 2018, pp. 1–5.



JEVGENIJ KRIVOCHIZA (Member, IEEE) received the B.Sc. and M.Sc. degrees in electronic engineering and in telecommunications physics and electronics from the Faculty of Physics, Vilnius University, in 2011 and 2013, respectively, and the Ph.D. degree in electrical engineering from the Interdisciplinary Centre for Security, Reliability, and Trust (SnT), University of Luxembourg, in 2020. Currently, he is a Research Associate with SNT, University of Luxembourg. His main research interests include coming from development for FPGA silicon, software-defined radios, digital signal processing, precoding, interference mitigation, DVB-S2X, DVB-S2, and LTE systems. He works on DSP algorithms for SDR platforms for advanced precoding and beamforming techniques in next-generation satellite communications.



JUAN CARLOS MERLANO DUNCAN (Senior Member, IEEE) received the Diploma degree in electrical engineering from Universidad del Norte, Barranquilla, Colombia, in 2004, and the M.Sc. and Ph.D. (Diploma) (cum laude) degrees from Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (UPC), Barcelona, Spain, in 2009 and 2012, respectively. His research interests include wireless communications, remote sensing, distributed systems, frequency distribution and carrier synchronization systems, software-defined radios, and embedded systems. At UPC, he was responsible for the design and implementation of a radar system known as SABRINA, which was the first ground-based bistatic radar receiver using spaceborne platforms, such as ERS-2, ENVISAT, and TerraSAR-X as opportunity transmitters (C and X bands). He was also in charge of the implementation of a ground-based array of transmitters, which was able to monitor land subsidence with sub-wavelength precision. These two implementations involved FPGA design, embedded programming, and analog RF/microwave design. In 2013, he joined Institute National de la Recherche Scientifique, Montreal, Canada, as a Research Assistant in the design and implementation of cognitive radio networks employing software development and FPGA programming. He joined the University of Luxembourg, in 2016, where he is currently a Research Scientist with the COMMLAB Laboratory working on SDR implementation of satellite and terrestrial communication systems.



JORGE QUEROL (Member, IEEE) was born in Forcall, Castelló, Spain, in 1987. He received the B.Sc. degree in telecommunication engineering, the M.Sc. degree in electronics engineering, the M.Sc. degree in photonics, and the Ph.D. degree (cum laude) in signal processing and communications from Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya—BarcelonaTech (UPC), Barcelona, Spain, in 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2018, respectively. His Ph.D. thesis was devoted to the development of novel antijamming and counter-interference systems for global navigation satellite systems (GNSS), GNSS-reflectometry, and microwave radiometry. One of his outstanding achievements was the development of a real-time standalone precorrelation mitigation system for GNSS, named FENIX, in a customized SDR platform. FENIX was patented, licensed, and commercialized by MITIC Solutions, a UPC spin-off company. Since 2018, he has been a Research Associate with the SIGCOM Research Group, Interdisciplinary Centre for Security, Reliability, and Trust (SnT), University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg. He is also involved in several ESA and Luxembourgish national research projects dealing with signal processing and satellite communications. His research interests include SDR, real-time signal processing, satellite communications, 5G nonterrestrial networks, satellite navigation, and remote sensing. He was a recipient of the Best Academic Record Award of the Year in Electronics Engineering with UPC, in 2012, the First Prize of the European Satellite Navigation Competition Barcelona Challenge from the European GNSS Agency, in 2015, the Best Innovative Project of the Market Assessment Program of EADA Business School, in 2016, the Award Isabel P. Trabal from Fundació Caixa d'Enginyers for its quality research during the Ph.D. degree, in 2017, and the Best Ph.D. Thesis Award in remote sensing in Spain from the IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Spanish Chapter, in 2019.



SYMEON CHATZINOTAS (Fellow, IEEE) received the M.Eng. degree in telecommunications from the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece, in 2003, and the M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees in electronic engineering from the University of Surrey, Surrey, U.K., in 2006 and 2009, respectively. He is currently a Full Professor/Chief Scientist I and the Co-Head of the SIGCOM Research Group, SnT, University of Luxembourg. In the past, he has been a Visiting Professor with the University of Parma, Italy, and he was involved in numerous research and development projects for the National Center for Scientific Research Demokritos, the Center of Research and Technology Hellas, and the Center of Communication Systems Research, University of Surrey. He was a co-recipient of the 2014 IEEE Distinguished Contributions to Satellite Communications Award, the CROWCOM 2015 Best Paper Award, and the 2018 EURASIP JWCN Best Paper Award. He has coauthored more than 400 technical papers in refereed international journals, conferences, and scientific books. He is currently on the editorial board of the IEEE OPEN JOURNAL OF VEHICULAR TECHNOLOGY and the *International Journal of Satellite Communications and Networking*.

• • •