RESEARCH ARTICLE

Initialization of SINS/GNSS Error Covariance Matrix Based on Error States Correlation

JUN TANG[1,2], **HONGWEI BIAN**[1], **HENG MA**[1], **AND RONGYING WANG**[1]
[1]Department of Navigation Engineering, Naval University of Engineering, Wuhan 430033, China
[2]Department of Navigation, Dalian Naval Academy, Dalian 116018, China

Corresponding author: Hongwei Bian (bhwdegree@163.com)

ABSTRACT The traditional Strapdown Inertial Navigation System (SINS)/Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) integrated system uses standard Kalman Filter (KF) to estimate the error states, which weakens the correlation between the different error components to directly initialed the Error Covariance Matrix (ECM) into diagonalization. This initialed method is also widely applied in State Transformation Extended Kalman Filter (STEKF) and Invariant Extended Kalman Filter (IEKF), which results in state estimation failing to achieve the optimal performance. To solve this problem, this paper first analyses the transformed relationship from traditional linear error state to the nonlinear error state redefined in STEKF and IEKF, and the strong correlation is found between the redefined error state components, namely the ECM in STEKF or IEKF no longer appears as a diagonal matrix. Then, aiming at the nonlinear error states, the transformed models of ECM in STEKF and IEKF are derived respectively, which establishes the theoretical basis for ECM initialization based on the error states correlation. Finally, the accuracy, feasibility and general applicability of the proposed method are verified by a boat-mounted field trial.

INDEX TERMS Correlation, error covariance matrix, IEKF, SINS/GNSS, STEKF.

I. INTRODUCTION
The SINS/GNSS integrated system can give full play to the advantages of SINS and GNSS to make up for the disadvantages of each other, which has been widely used in remote sensing measurement and navigation of various platforms [1], [2]. The traditional error state model of SINS/GNSS is established with linear approximation, and KF is usually adopted for states estimation, which could meet the application requirements under general conditions [3], [4]. However, in some special and complex situations, such as initial information loss or unreliability, prior information interruption or interference, the reliability of traditional SINS/GNSS will decline significantly [5], [6], [7], [8]. In order to solve this problem, STEKF and IEKF have been widely concerned by scholars in recent years. STEKF takes into account the deviation between the calculated navigation frame and the factual navigation frame caused by attitude error (i.e. misalignment

The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and approving it for publication was Halil Ersin Soken.

angle), and redefines the nonlinear velocity error state [9]. Compared with the traditional filtering algorithms, STEKF has better estimation performance, especially in the case of large misalignment angle [10], [11]. IEKF defines a nonlinear error state model based on Lie group, which has the characteristic of autonomous estimation. This means that the error state propagation obeys the linear differential equation, which is independent of the nonlinear change of navigation state [12], [13]. Currently IEKF has been used in a variety of integrated navigation systems [7], [8], [14], [16]. The essence of the above two filtering algorithms used in SINS/GNSS can be understood as redefining the nonlinear error state which satisfies the linear differential equation to solve the linearization error problem in traditional SINS/GNSS based on KF [17], [18]. However, the initialization of ECM corresponding to the transformed error state has not attracted enough attention.

ECM is defined as the mathematical expectation of the deviation between the state estimation and the true value. The diagonal element of ECM is the variance of each state component estimation, and its square root represents the

uncertainty of the state estimation. The non-diagonal element is the covariance reflecting the correlation between the estimation errors of different state components [19]. Proper ECM initialization method can directly improve the effect of system states estimation. The error states of SINS/GNSS based on KF is linear, which can be considered that there is no correlation or weak correlation among the initial estimated errors, so the ECM can usually be initialized into a diagonal matrix. At present, there are two main ECM initialization methods for STEKF and IEKF. One is directly to calculate the initial variance of each state component according to the traditional error states, and then to set ECM as a diagonal matrix. The other is first to convert traditional error states to the new error states defined by STEKF or IEKF, and then to calculate the initial variance of each new state component, finally to set ECM as a diagonal matrix [9], [20]. However, the former is only applicable to the case of small initial misalignment angle and low velocity. Although the latter has better applicability, the convergence speed and accuracy of error state still have further optimization space. In fact, both STEKF and IEKF redefined the nonlinear error states, and there was a strong correlation between the error state components. It was obviously unreasonable to roughly initialize ECM into a diagonal matrix. According to the above analysis, the ECM initialization method is proposed based on the correlation of nonlinear error states. The main innovative contributions of this paper are summarized as follows.

a. The theoretical basis of traditional ECM initialization was analyzed based on the models derivation.

b. The conversion model of ECM for SINS/GNSS based on STEKF is deduced and verified by experiment.

c. The conversion model of ECM for SINS/GNSS based on IEKF is deduced and verified by experiment.

d. The ECM initialization method proposed in this paper is not only applicable to SINS/GNSS, but also has excellent performance in SINS/DVL (Doppler Velocity Log) [21], [22], SINS/CNS (Celestial Navigation System) [23], [24] and other integrated system.

e. The ECM initialization based on error states correlation is not only suitable for STEKF and IEKF, but also can be used in other optimal estimation algorithms with transformed states.

This paper is organized as follows. Sections II presented the derivation process of the ECM for SINS/GNSS based on KF. The conversion models of ECM for SINS/GNSS based on STEKF and IEKF were respectively deduced in section III and section IV. A boat-mounted field trial was conducted to verify the proposed method in section V. Section VI summarizes the whole paper and puts forward the application prospect.

II. ECM OF SINS/GNSS BASED ON KF

The attitude, velocity and position (AVP) error states of SINS/GNSS based on KF could be defined as $X_n = [(\phi^n)^T (\delta v^n)^T (\delta p^n)^T]^T$, which is represented in East-North-Up (ENU) local navigation frame. The estimation of X_n is

described as $\hat{X}_n$. So the estimate error can be defined as $\delta X_n = X_n - \hat{X}_n$. At the beginning of filtering process, the initial estimation $\hat{X}_n(0)$ is usually set as a zero vector. Therefore, the ECM can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_n &= \mathrm{E}(\delta X_n (\delta X_n)^T) = \mathrm{E}(X_n X_n^T) \\
&= \begin{bmatrix}
\mathrm{E}[(X_n^1)^2] & \mathrm{E}[X_n^1 X_n^2] & \cdots & \mathrm{E}[X_n^1 X_n^9] \\
\mathrm{E}[X_n^2 X_n^1] & \mathrm{E}[(X_n^2)^2] & \cdots & \mathrm{E}[X_n^2 X_n^9] \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\mathrm{E}[X_n^9 X_n^1] & \mathrm{E}[X_n^9 X_n^2] & \cdots & \mathrm{E}[(X_n^9)^2]
\end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned} \tag{1}
$$

where $\mathrm{E}(\cdot)$ is mathematical expectation operator. $X_n^i (i = 1, 2 \cdots, 9)$ is the component of X_n. The diagonal element of P_n is the variance of AVP error state component, and its square root represents the uncertainty of the error state estimation. The non-diagonal element is the covariance reflecting the correlation between the estimation errors of different state components. When ECM is initialized, it can be roughly considered that the initial estimates of different error state components are not correlated, that is, the covariance is satisfied

$$
\mathrm{E}[X_n^i X_n^j] = 0 (i \neq j) \tag{2}
$$

Therefore, (1) can be converted to

$$
P_n = diag(\mathrm{E}[(X_n^1)^2] \mathrm{E}[(X_n^2)^2] \cdots \mathrm{E}[(X_n^9)^2]) \tag{3}
$$

where $diag(\cdot)$ is the diagonal matrix operator. Let

$$
P_n = \begin{bmatrix}
P_{\phi^n} & 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} \\
0_{3\times3} & P_{\delta v^n} & 0_{3\times3} \\
0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & P_{\delta p^n}
\end{bmatrix} \tag{4}
$$

where P_{ϕ^n}, $P_{\delta v^n}$ and $P_{\delta p^n}$ are the ECMs corresponding to ϕ^n, δv^n and δp^n respectively, and all three are diagonal matrices.

III. ECM OF SINS/GNSS BASED ON STEKF

The AVP error states of SINS/GNSS based on STEKF could be defined as $X_s = [(\phi^n)^T (\delta v_\phi^n)^T (\delta p^n)^T]^T$, where δv_ϕ^n is the transformed nonlinear velocity error, which can be described as [9]

$$
\delta v_\phi^n = \delta v^n - (\tilde{v}^n \times)\phi^n \tag{5}
$$

where $\tilde{v}^n$ is the velocity calculated by SINS, $(\cdot \times)$ represents the skew symmetric matrix operator. It can be seen from (5) that there is an extra term $-(\tilde{v}^n \times)\phi^n$ closely related to the misalignment angle in the new velocity error state, which results in strong correlation between the transformed error states. Therefore, the new ECM should no longer be roughly initialized to a diagonal matrix.

The new error state X_s can be transformed from the traditional error state X_n as follows.

$$
X_s = A_{sn} X_n = \begin{bmatrix}
I_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} \\
-(\tilde{v}^n \times) & I_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} \\
0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & I_{3\times3}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\phi^n \\
\delta v^n \\
\delta p^n
\end{bmatrix} \tag{6}
$$

The matrix A_{sn} represents the transformed relationship between error states X_s and X_n, so it can be called the state

transformation matrix. The system ECM after state transformation can be expressed as

$$P_s = \mathrm{E}(X_s X_s^T) = A_{sn}\mathrm{E}(X_n X_n^T)A_{sn}^T = A_{sn}P_n A_{sn}^T \quad (7)$$

It can be seen from (7) that the matrix A_{sn} also represents the transformed relationship between ECM P_s and P_n. Substituting (4) and (6) into (7) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&P_s \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} I_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} \\ -(\tilde{v}^n\times) & I_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} \\ 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & I_{3\times3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_{\phi^n} & 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} \\ 0_{3\times3} & P_{\delta v^n} & 0_{3\times3} \\ 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & P_{\delta p^n} \end{bmatrix} \\
&\cdots \begin{bmatrix} I_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} \\ -(\tilde{v}^n\times) & I_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} \\ 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & I_{3\times3} \end{bmatrix}^T \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} P_{\phi^n} & (\tilde{v}^n\times)^T P_{\phi^n} & 0_{3\times3} \\ P_{\phi^n}(\tilde{v}^n\times) & P_{\delta v^n}+(\tilde{v}^n\times)P_{\phi^n}(\tilde{v}^n\times)^T & 0_{3\times3} \\ 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & P_{\delta p^n} \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)
\end{aligned}
$$

As shown in (8), the ECM is obviously no longer a diagonal matrix and the elements on the diagonal have also changed, which verifies the analysis above.

IV. ECM OF SINS/GNSS BASED ON IEKF

IEKF can be divided into Right Invariant Kalman Filter (RIEKF) and Left Invariant Kalman Filter (LIEKF) due to different definition of error states [12]. In this section, the two kinds of ECM conversion models will be derived respectively.

A. ECM OF SINS/GNSS BASED ON RIEKF

The traditional error state can be described as $X_e = [(\phi^e)^T \ (\delta v^e)^T (\delta r^e)^T]^T$, where ϕ^e is the attitude error (misalignment angle) of body frame with respect to Earth-Centered Earth-Fixed frame (ECEF). δv^e is the velocity error of body frame with respect to Earth Centered Inertial frame (ECI) represented in ECEF. δr^e is the Cartesian position error of body frame with respect to ECI represented in ECEF. The error state of RIEKF can be described as $X_i^r = [(\phi^e)^T \ (J\rho_v^r)^T(J\rho_r^r)^T]^T$, $J\rho_v^r$ and $J\rho_r^r$ are velocity error state and position error state redefined in Lie group, which can be described as [16]

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\rho_v^r &= (\tilde{v}^e\times)\phi^e - \delta v^e \\
J\rho_r^r &= (\tilde{r}^e\times)\phi^e - \delta r^e
\end{aligned} \quad (9)
$$

where $\tilde{v}^e$ and $\tilde{r}^e$ are the estimated velocity and position respectively. It can be seen from (9) that there are extra terms $(\tilde{v}^e\times)\phi^e$ and $(\tilde{r}^e\times)\phi^e$, both related to the misalignment angle, which results in strong correlation between the transformed error states. Therefore, the new ECM of RIEKF should also not be roughly initialized to a diagonal matrix.

The RIEKF error state X_i^r can be transformed from the traditional error state X_e as follows.

$$
X_i^r = A_{ir}X_e = \begin{bmatrix} I_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} \\ (\tilde{v}^e\times) & -I_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} \\ (\tilde{r}^e\times) & 0_{3\times3} & -I_{3\times3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi^e \\ \delta v^e \\ \delta r^e \end{bmatrix} \quad (10)
$$

The matrix A_{ir} also represents the transformed relationship between error states X_i^r and X_e. The system ECM after state transformation can be expressed as

$$P_i^r = \mathrm{E}[X_i^r(X_i^r)^T] = A_{ir}\mathrm{E}(X_e X_e^T)A_{ir}^T = A_{ir}P_e A_{ir}^T \quad (11)$$

where P_e is the ECM corresponding to the traditional error state X_e, and its derivation process is the same as that of P_n. Let

$$P_e = \mathrm{E}(X_e X_e^T) = \begin{bmatrix} P_{\phi^e} & 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} \\ 0_{3\times3} & P_{\delta v^e} & 0_{3\times3} \\ 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & P_{\delta r^e} \end{bmatrix} \quad (12)$$

where P_{ϕ^e}, $P_{\delta v^e}$ and $P_{\delta r^e}$ are the ECMs corresponding to ϕ^e, δv^e and δr^e respectively, and all three are diagonal matrices.

It can be seen from (11) that the matrix A_{ir} also represents the transformed relationship between ECM P_i^r and P_e. Substituting (10) and (12) into (11) as (13), shown at the bottom of the next page.

As shown in (13), the ECM is obviously also not a diagonal matrix and the elements on the diagonal have changed, which verifies the analysis above.

B. ECM OF SINS/GNSS BASED ON LIEKF

The error state of LIEKF can be described as $X_i^l = [(\phi^b)^T \ (J\rho_v^l)^T(J\rho_r^l)^T]^T$, $J\rho_v^l$ and $J\rho_r^l$ are velocity error state and position error state redefined in Lie group, which can be described as [16]

$$\phi^b = \tilde{C}_e^b\phi^e \quad (14)$$

$$J\rho_v^l = -\tilde{C}_e^b\delta v^e \quad (15)$$

$$J\rho_r^l = -\tilde{C}_e^b\delta r^e \quad (16)$$

The LIEKF error state X_i^l can be transformed from the traditional error state X_e as follows.

$$
X_i^l = A_{il}X_e = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{C}_e^b & 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} \\ 0_{3\times3} & -\tilde{C}_e^b & 0_{3\times3} \\ 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & -\tilde{C}_e^b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi^e \\ \delta v^e \\ \delta r^e \end{bmatrix} \quad (17)
$$

The matrix A_{il} represents the transformed relationship between error states X_i^l and X_e. The system ECM after state transformation can be expressed as

$$P_i^l = \mathrm{E}[X_i^l(X_i^l)^T] = A_{il}\mathrm{E}(X_e X_e^T)A_{il}^T = A_{il}P_e A_{il}^T \quad (18)$$

It can be seen from (18) that the matrix A_{il} also represents the transformed relationship between ECM P_i^l and P_e. Substituting (12) and (17) into (18) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&P_i^l = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{C}_e^b & 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} \\ 0_{3\times3} & -\tilde{C}_e^b & 0_{3\times3} \\ 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & -\tilde{C}_e^b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_{\phi^e} & 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} \\ 0_{3\times3} & P_{\delta v^e} & 0_{3\times3} \\ 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & P_{\delta r^e} \end{bmatrix} \\
&\cdots \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{C}_e^b & 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} \\ 0_{3\times3} & -\tilde{C}_e^b & 0_{3\times3} \\ 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & -\tilde{C}_e^b \end{bmatrix}^T
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
= \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{C}_e^b P_{\phi^e} (\tilde{C}_e^b)^T & 0_{3\times 3} & 0_{3\times 3} \\ 0_{3\times 3} & \tilde{C}_e^b P_{\delta v^e} (\tilde{C}_e^b)^T & 0_{3\times 3} \\ 0_{3\times 3} & 0_{3\times 3} & \tilde{C}_e^b P_{\delta r^e} (\tilde{C}_e^b)^T \end{bmatrix} \quad (19)
$$

As shown in (19), $\tilde{C}_e^b P_{\phi^e} (\tilde{C}_e^b)^T$, $\tilde{C}_e^b P_{\delta v^e} (\tilde{C}_e^b)^T$ and $\tilde{C}_e^b P_{\delta r^e} (\tilde{C}_e^b)^T$ are usually no longer diagonal matrices, although P_{ϕ^e}, $P_{\delta v^e}$ and $P_{\delta r^e}$ are all diagonal matrices. In fact, the attitude, velocity and position error stats of LIEKF are still not correlated, but after the transformation as shown in (14)-(16), the three-dimensional components of each error state may be correlated. Therefore, it is not accurate to initialize the ECM with a diagonal matrix.

V. EXPERIMENT RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A set of shipborne SINS/GPS measurements was adopt to verify the effectiveness of the ECM initialization method proposed in this paper. The experimental platform and equipment are shown in Fig. 1.

The experimental equipment related to this paper includes one SINS and two GPS systems. One GPS worked in real-time kinematic (RTK) mode with SINS to constitute an integrated navigation system to provide high-precision navigation reference information, the other worked in precise point positioning (PPP) mode to provide position measurements. The main parameters of experimental sensors are shown in Table 1.

TABLE 1. Main parameters of experimental sensors.

Sensors	Index	Parameter
SINS	Gyro drift	$0.003°/h$
	Gyro random noise	$0.0005°/\sqrt{h}$
	Accelerometer bias	$[40, 30, 90]$ ug
	Accelerometer noise	$[10, 10, 20]$ ug$/\sqrt{Hz}$
	Data update rate	200Hz
GPS	Measurement accuracy	0.1m
	Data update rate	1Hz

The total duration of the experiment was 12600 s, the experimental ship was in a static state in the first 1000 s, mainly for the initial alignment and calibration

FIGURE 1. Equipment of boat-mounted field experiment.

TABLE 2. RMSEs of yaw error and hori-error based on STEKF for P_{ibc} and P_{ibd}.

Methods	Yaw Error (°)	Hori-Error (m)
P_{ibc}	0.012	0.31
P_{ibd}	0.384	0.56

of experimental equipment. After that, a series of smooth maneuvers and variable velocity maneuvers were carried out to meet a variety of experimental requirements. In this paper, the field test for SINS/GPS integrated navigation lasts 1000 s. The movement trajectory of experimental ship was shown in Fig. 2.

Remark 1. SINS/GNSS integrated navigation models based on STEKF and IEKF have been introduced in detail in [9] and [16], which are not discussed in this paper.

Remark 2. Yaw error and horizontal-position error (Hori-Error) are the key technical indexes of SINS/GPS integrated system, which can reflect the performance of different algorithms directly. Therefore, these two parameters are selected to verify the effect of ECM initialization based on error states correlation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_i^r &= \begin{bmatrix} I_{3\times 3} & 0_{3\times 3} & 0_{3\times 3} \\ (\tilde{v}^e \times) & -I_{3\times 3} & 0_{3\times 3} \\ (\tilde{r}^e \times) & 0_{3\times 3} & -I_{3\times 3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_{\phi^e} & 0_{3\times 3} & 0_{3\times 3} \\ 0_{3\times 3} & P_{\delta v^e} & 0_{3\times 3} \\ 0_{3\times 3} & 0_{3\times 3} & P_{\delta r^e} \end{bmatrix} \\
&\cdots \begin{bmatrix} I_{3\times 3} & 0_{3\times 3} & 0_{3\times 3} \\ (\tilde{v}^e \times) & -I_{3\times 3} & 0_{3\times 3} \\ (\tilde{r}^e \times) & 0_{3\times 3} & -I_{3\times 3} \end{bmatrix}^T \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} P_{\phi^e} & P_{\phi^e}(\tilde{v}^e \times)^T & P_{\phi^e}(\tilde{r}^e \times)^T \\ (\tilde{v}^e \times)P_{\phi^e} & P_{\delta v^e} + (\tilde{v}^e \times)P_{\phi^e}(\tilde{v}^e \times)^T & (\tilde{v}^e \times)P_{\phi^e}(\tilde{r}^e \times)^T \\ (\tilde{r}^e \times)P_{\phi^e} & (\tilde{r}^e \times)P_{\phi}(\tilde{v}^e \times)^T & P_{\delta r^e} + (\tilde{r}^e \times)P_{\phi^e}(\tilde{r}^e \times)^T \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned} \quad (13)
$$

**FIGURE 2.** Trajectory of experimental ship.

**FIGURE 5.** Yaw errors based on RIEKF for P_{ibc} and P_{ibd}.

**FIGURE 3.** Yaw errors based on STEKF for P_{ibc} and P_{ibd}.

FIGURE 6. Hori-Errors based on RIEKF for P_{ibc} and P_{ibd}.


**FIGURE 4.** Hori-Errors based on STEKF for P_{ibc} and P_{ibd}.

FIGURE 7. Yaw errors based on LIEKF for P_{ibc} and P_{ibd}.

Remark 3. Since STEKF and IEKF have more significant advantages in the case of large misalignment angle, the variances of initial misalignment angle, velocity error and position error are respectively set as $[5°, 5°, 50°]^2$, $[0.1 \text{ m/s}, 0.1\text{m/s}, 0.1\text{m/s}]^2$, $[1\text{m}, 1 \text{ m}, 1 \text{ m}]^2$, which are all represented in ENU navigation frame.

A. SINS/GNSS EXPERIMENT BASED ON STEKF

As shown in Fig. 3, in the case of ECM P initialized based on error state correlation (hereinafter referred to as P_{ibc}, which is described as "P initialized by correlation" in figures),

the yaw error of STEKF has rapidly converged to within 1° before 120 s, which is significantly better than that of ECMP initialized based on diagonal matrix (hereinafter referred to as P_{ibd}, which is described as "P initialized by diagonalization" in figures). As can be seen from Fig. 4, after STEKF is started, the hori-error of P_{ibc} immediately converges to less than 0.5 m, while that of P_{ibd} gradually converges to less than 1m after 200 s, and there are relatively large oscillations.

In order to visually compare the influence of the two methods on the accuracy of error state estimation, the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) was calculated since the error curves

FIGURE 8. Hori-Errors based on LIEKF for P_{ibc} and P_{ibd}.

TABLE 3. RMSEs of yaw error and hori-error based on RIEKF for P_{ibc} and P_{ibd}.

Methods	Yaw Error (°)	Hori-Error (m)
P_{ibc}	0.272	0.33
P_{ibd}	0.692	2.90

TABLE 4. RMSEs of yaw error and hori-error based on LIEKF for P_{ibc} and P_{ibd}.

Methods	Yaw Error (°)	Hori-Error (m)
P_{ibc}	0.005	0.35
P_{ibd}	0.013	0.36

were basically stable at 200 s (followings are the same). It can be seen from Table 2 that the convergence accuracy of yaw error and hori-error based on P_{ibc} also obviously performed better than that based on P_{ibd}.

B. SINS/GNSS EXPERIMENT BASED ON RIEKF

As shown in Fig. 5, the yaw error of P_{ibc} based on RIEKF has rapidly converged to within 2° around 120 s, which is significantly faster than the convergence speed of P_{ibd}. As can be seen from Fig. 6, after RIEKF is started, the hori-error of P_{ibc} immediately converges to within 2 m, while that of P_{ibd} only converges to around 5 m after 200 s, and there are relatively large oscillations.

It can be seen from Table 2 that the convergence accuracy of yaw error and hori-error based on P_{ibc} also obviously performed better than that based on P_{ibd}.

C. SINS/GNSS EXPERIMENT BASED ON LIEKF

As shown in Fig. 7, the yaw error of P_{ibc} based on LIEKF has rapidly converged to within 1° around 90 s, which is significantly faster than the convergence speed of P_{ibd}. As can be seen from Fig. 8, after RIEKF is started, the hori-error of P_{ibc}

immediately converges to within 0.6 m, while that of P_{ibd} only converges to within 0.6 m after 100 s.

As shown in Table 4, the convergence accuracy of yaw error based on P_{ibc} is about 0.008° better than that based on P_{ibd}, the convergence accuracy of hori-error based on P_{ibc} is about 0.01 m better than that based on P_{ibd}. It can be found that the performance advantage of P_{ibc} over P_{ibd} in LIEKF is less significant than that in STEKF and RIEKF. According to the analysis in chapter 4.2, this is because the attitude, velocity and position error of LIEKF are not correlated with each other, and the correlation only exists between the three-dimensional components of each error state, however, P_{ibc} still showed better performance.

VI. CONCLUSION

The ECM initialization method based on the correlation of nonlinear error states is proposed in this paper. The theoretical basis for the ECM initialization of STEKF and IEKF is first established through the derivation and analysis of the transformed models for ECM. Then the shipborne SINS/GNSS experiment is carried out, and the results show that the proposed method has better performance in SINS/GNSS states estimation than traditional method. In addition, the ECM initialization based on error states correlation can also be applied to SINS/DVL, SINS/CNS and other integrated systems, as well as other optimal estimation algorithms involving transformed states.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Sun, Z. Chen, and F. Wang, "A novel ML-aided methodology for SINS/GPS integrated navigation systems during GPS outages," *Remote Sens.*, vol. 14, no. 23, p. 5932, Nov. 2022.

[2] M. Liao, J. Liu, Z. Meng, and Z. You, "A SINS/SAR/GPS fusion positioning system based on sensor credibility evaluations," *Remote Sens.*, vol. 13, no. 21, p. 4463, Nov. 2021.

[3] J. Wendel, A. Maier, J. Metzger, and G. Trommer, "Comparison of extended and sigma-point Kalman filters for tightly coupled GPS/INS integration," in *Proc. AIAA Guid., Navigat., Control Conf. Exhib.*, San Francisco, CA, USA, Aug. 2005, p. 6055.

[4] N. El-Sheimy, S. Nassar, E.-H. Shin, and X. Niu, "Analysis of various Kalman filter algorithms using different inertial navigation systems integrated with the global positioning system," *Can. Aeronaut. Space J.*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 59–67, Jun. 2006.

[5] J. Gross, "A comparison of extended Kalman filter, sigma-point Kalman filter, and particle filter in GPS/INS sensor fusion," in *Proc. AIAA Guidance, Navigat., Control Conf.*, Toronto, ONT, Canada, Aug. 2010, p. 8332.

[6] X. Zhang, F. Zhu, X. Tao, and R. Duan, "New optimal smoothing scheme for improving relative and absolute accuracy of tightly coupled GNSS/SINS integration," *GPS Solutions*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 861–872, Jul. 2017.

[7] L. Chang, Q. Bian, Y. Zuo, and Q. Zhou, "SINS/GNSS-integrated navigation based on group affine SINS mechanization in local-level frame," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, early access, Mar. 23, 2023, doi: 10.1109/TMECH.2023.3252044.

[8] S. Du, Y. Huang, B. Lin, J. Qian, and Y. Zhang, "A lie group manifold-based nonlinear estimation algorithm and its application to low-accuracy SINS/GNSS integrated navigation," *IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas.*, vol. 71, pp. 1–27, 2022, doi: 10.1109/TIM.2022.3159950.

[9] M. Wang, W. Wu, P. Zhou, and X. He, "State transformation extended Kalman filter for GPS/SINS tightly coupled integration," *GPS Solutions*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 1–12, Aug. 2018.

[10] M. Wang, J. Cui, Y. Huang, W. Wu, and X. Du, "Schmidt ST-EKF for autonomous land vehicle SINS/ODO/LDV integrated navigation," *IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas.*, vol. 70, pp. 1–9, 2021.

[11] M. Wang, W. Wu, X. He, Y. Li, and X. Pan, "Consistent ST-EKF for long distance land vehicle navigation based on SINS/OD integration," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 68, no. 11, pp. 10525–10534, Nov. 2019.

[12] A. Barrau and S. Bonnabel, "The invariant extended Kalman filter as a stable observer," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 62, no. 4, pp. 1797–1812, Apr. 2017.

[13] A. Barrau and S. Bonnabel, "Intrinsic filtering on lie groups with applications to attitude estimation," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 436–449, Feb. 2015.

[14] R. Hartley, M. Ghaffari, R. M. Eustice, and J. W. Grizzle, "Contact-aided invariant extended Kalman filtering for robot state estimation," *Int. J. Robot. Res.*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 402–430, Jan. 2020.

[15] E. Robert and T. Perrot, "Invariant filtering versus other robust filtering methods applied to integrated navigation," in *Proc. 24th Saint Petersburg Int. Conf. Integr. Navigat. Syst. (ICINS)*, May 2017, pp. 1–7.

[16] Y. Luo, C. Guo, S. You, J. Hu, and J. Liu, "$SE_2(3)$ based extended Kalman filtering and smoothing framework for inertial-integrated navigation," 2021, *arXiv:2102.12897*.

[17] A. Barrau and S. Bonnabel, "Invariant Kalman filtering," *Annu. Rev. Control, Robot., Auton. Syst.*, vol. 1, pp. 237–257, May 2018.

[18] A. Barrau, "Non-linear state error based extended Kalman filters with applications to navigation," Ph.D. dissertation, Inst. des Sci. et Technol., Paris Inst. Technol., Paris, France, 2015.

[19] P. D. Groves, "Chapter 3—Kalman filtering," in *The Principles of GNSS, Inertial, and Multisensor Integrated Navigation Systems*. Norwood, MA, USA: Artech House, 2008.

[20] J. Cui, M. Wang, W. Wu, and X. He, "Lie group based nonlinear state errors for MEMS-IMU/GNSS/magnetometer integrated navigation," *J. Navigat.*, vol. 74, no. 4, pp. 887–900, Jul. 2021.

[21] H. Tang, J. Xu, L. Chang, W. Shi, and H. He, "Invariant error-based integrated solution for SINS/DVL in earth frame: Extension and comparison," *IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas.*, vol. 72, pp. 1–17, 2023, doi: 10.1109/TIM.2022.3225043.

[22] L. Luo, Y. Huang, Z. Zhang, and Y. Zhang, "A new Kalman filter-based in-motion initial alignment method for DVL-aided low-cost SINS," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 331–343, Jan. 2021.

[23] J. Tang, H. Bian, H. Ma, and R. Wang, "One-step initial alignment algorithm for SINS in the ECI frame based on the inertial attitude measurement of the CNS," *Sensors*, vol. 22, no. 14, p. 5123, Jul. 2022.

[24] Y. Lu, "Study of CNS/INS integrated navigation system based on speed damping technology," *Opt. Optoelectronic Technol.*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 62–67, 2012.

HONGWEI BIAN received the M.S. degree in navigation, guidance, and control from the Naval University of Engineering, Wuhan, China, in 1997, and the Ph.D. degree in instrument science and engineering from Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China, in 2005. He is currently a Professor with the Naval University of Engineering. His current research interests include position navigation and timing, inertial navigation, integrated navigation theory, and information fusion.

HENG MA received the M.S. degree in navigation, guidance, and control, and the Ph.D. degree in electrical system and automation from the Naval University of Engineering, Wuhan, China, in 2003 and 2008, respectively. He is currently a Lecturer with the Naval University of Engineering. His research interest includes inertial navigation technology.

JUN TANG was born in Dalian, China, in February 1983. He received the B.Sc. degree in ship navigation and the M.Sc. degree in traffic information engineering and control from the Dalian Naval Academy, Dalian, in 2006 and 2011, respectively. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree in navigation, guidance, and control with the Naval University of Engineering, Wuhan, China. His research interests include inertial navigation, celestial navigation, and integrated navigation.

RONGYING WANG received the Ph.D. degree in navigation, guidance, and control from the Naval University of Engineering, Wuhan, China, in 2011. He is currently a Lecturer with the Naval University of Engineering. His research interests include inertial navigation and integrated navigation.

• • •