

Received 15 May 2023, accepted 6 June 2023, date of publication 9 June 2023, date of current version 22 June 2023. *Digital Object Identifier* 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3285115

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Diagnosis of Alzheimer's Disease Using Convolutional Neural Network With Select Slices by Landmark on Hippocampus in MRI Images

YORI PUSPARANI^{1,2}, CHIH-YANG LIN^{®3}, (Senior Member, IEEE), YIH-KUEN JAN^{®4}, FU-YU LIN⁵, BEN-YI LIAU⁶, PETER ARDHIANTO⁷, ISACK FARADY⁸, (Member, IEEE), JOHN SAHAYA RANI ALEX^{®9}, (Senior Member, IEEE), JEETASHREE APARAJEETA^{®9}, WEN-HUNG CHAO², AND CHI-WEN LUNG^{®4,10}

¹Department of Visual Communication Design, Budi Luhur University, Jakarta 12260, Indonesia

²Department of Digital Media Design, Asia University, Taichung 413305, Taiwan

³Department of Mechanical Engineering, National Central University, Chung-Li, Taoyuan City 320317, Taiwan

⁴Rehabilitation Engineering Laboratory, Department of Kinesiology and Community Health, University of Illinois at Urbana–Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

⁵Department of Neurology, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung 404327, Taiwan
⁶Department of Automatic Control Engineering, Feng Chia University, Taichung 407102, Taiwan

⁷Department of Visual Communication Design, Soegijapranata Catholic University, Semarang 50234, Indonesia

⁸Department of Electrical Engineering, Yuan Ze University, Chung-Li, Taovuan City 320315, Taiwan

⁹School of Electronics Engineering, Vellore Institute of Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600127, India

¹⁰Department of Creative Product Design, Asia University, Taichung 413305, Taiwan

Corresponding author: Chi-Wen Lung (cwlung@asia.edu.tw)

This study was supported by a grant from the National Science and Technology Council, Taiwan (MOST 111-2221-E-468-002 and MOST 111-2923-E-155-004-MY3) supported this study. The funding agency did not have any involvement in data collection, data analysis, and data interpretation.

ABSTRACT Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a major public health priority. Hippocampus is one of the most affected areas of the brain and is easily accessible as a biomarker using MRI images in machine learning for diagnosing AD. In machine learning, using entire MRI image slices showed lower accuracy for AD classification. We present the select slices method by landmarks on the hippocampus region in MRI images. This study aims to see which views of MRI images have higher accuracy for AD classification. Then, to get the value of three views and categories, we used multiclass classification with the publicly available Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) dataset using Resnet50 and LeNet. The models were used in a total dataset of 4,500 MRI slices in three views and categories. Our study demonstrated that the selecting slices performed better than using entire slices in MRI images for AD classification. Our method improves the accuracy of machine learning, and the coronal view showed higher accuracy. This method played a significant role in improving the accuracy of machine learning performance. The results for the coronal view were similar to the medical experts usually used to diagnose AD. We also found that LeNet models became the potential model for AD classification.

INDEX TERMS Convolutional neural network, multiclass classification, axial view, coronal view, sagittal view.

I. INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a major public health priority [1]. Globally, around 44 million people have been diagnosed

The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and approving it for publication was Jerry Chun-Wei Lin¹⁰.

with AD globally, which may reach 131.5 million people by 2050 [2]. AD is a progressive, neurodegenerative disease that affects elderly people over 65 years old and impacts memory and cognitive function [3]. Although there is no known cure for AD, some medications and treatments can temporarily relieve symptoms or slow down AD progression [4]. Research

studies have demonstrated that early AD diagnosis can make a living with the disease easier [3].

In an early AD diagnosis, observing and exploring the deterioration process in the brain regions is important before the progression of the disease. Hippocampus is one of the most affected areas of the brain and is easily accessible as a biomarker of AD [5], [6]. For example, the degeneration of cholinergic circuits in the hippocampus and reduced volume changes in the hippocampus are related to memory loss [5].

In addition, a severe volume reduction of the hippocampus can be easily detected using Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) images and is widely used for diagnosing AD [7]. MRI has become an excellent and valuable tool with a highly effective imagery technique for diagnosing and analyzing structural changes in the brain [8]. Moreover, structural MRI image biomarkers are used in three categories: AD, Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI), and Normal Control (NC) [9] Three categories of AD are used to understand subtle changes in disease progression on an MRI image in the early stages of AD [10].

Recently, machine learning models have been developed to diagnose AD based on MRI images [11]. The advances in machine learning have the potential to classify complex patterns from MRI images, and the diagnosis can be finalized in a brief time [12]. For example, a study by Kazemi and Houghten used machine learning models to classify different categories of AD [13]. Other studies showed that cancer detection accuracy is comparable to manual detection. Therefore, machine learning can reduce the time and is expected to perform consistently in large amounts of data at any time. In contrast, manual diagnosis results may be affected the time to read the MRI images in diagnosing AD. Furthermore, with the advantages, machine learning has become the preferred method for medical image classification [14]. In terms of classification tasks in different AD stages, first, use binary classification between two categories to classify AD, such as AD vs. NC, MCI vs. AD, and NC vs. MCI [15]. In comparison, some studies used the multiclass classification to classify AD in three categories [16]. In addition, a study by Kazemi and Houghten used machine learning to classify AD in three categories (i.e., AD, MCI, and NC) [13]. The use of multiclass classification can be beneficial in distinguishing the results of three categories of AD because the binary classification consists of only two categories, whereas the multiclass classification consists of more than two categories. Thus, the multiclass classification can help improve the clinical decisions on whether someone will develop the disease through the results of each category to diagnose AD.

In order to improve performance for AD classification, several studies have proposed different methods to improve the accuracy performance in machine learning using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) images. For instance, the improvement of the MRI image quality to reduce the noises [17], the use of segmentation in a specific brain region [18], classification techniques with AdaBoost [19], and slice-based method [20]. In general, the entire slices of MRI images

are composed of 100 to 250 slices [21], [22]. However, Lopez et al. found that the results in classification improve the accuracy with several slices than using the entire slices [23]. In spite of accuracy improvement for AD classification, there is no detailed information on how they select several slices in MRI images within three views and three categories. For example, in a study by Kang et al., they selected 11 slices based on the highest classification accuracy in coronal view between 1 to 145 slices [24]. Thus, there is no information about selecting several slices regarding the early diagnosis of AD in the hippocampus using MRI images.

Furthermore, getting the information on the biomarker for early AD diagnosis (i.e., hippocampus) in MRI images to select several slices requires medical experts' knowledge and experiences [25], [26]. The medical experts' information can be used as the ground truth in three views and three categories for AD classification. Still, the three views of MRI images are commonly known as axial, coronal, and sagittal [27]. Using three views of MRI images may offer complementary features useful for AD classification. Even though MRI images can provide the landmark of the hippocampus region in three views, medical experts usually require one view, which will be beneficial for diagnosing AD. Thus, the selecting slices method in MRI images can be used for AD diagnosis and provide more computational simplicity than using entire slices in three views and three categories (i.e., AD, MCI, and NC).

Finally, the selecting slices method focuses on the landmarks in the hippocampus region in MRI images and is used for AD classification. In addition, working on the hippocampus region on MRI images can involve more advantages to improve the performances of AD classification. Therefore, we hypothesized that the selecting slices method of MRI images using landmarks in the hippocampus region might improve performance in classifying AD. In order to validate our proposed method, we compare the classification result with the use of entire slices in MRI images. With the proposed method, this study aims to see which views (i.e., axial, coronal, and sagittal) of MRI images are higher accuracy for AD classification in machine learning. Then, we used multiclass classification on MRI images to get the result in three categories (i.e., AD, MCI, and NC) for AD classification.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

A. DATASET ACQUISITION

Data used in this study were obtained from the publicly available Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database (https://adni.loni.usc.edu/) in the first phase (ADNI1). Following the previous studies, ADNI1 is one of the most commonly used databases to diagnose AD [28], [29]. We used the baseline ADNI1 dataset from a 1.5T Tesla scanner, pre-processed with Magnetization Prepared Rapid Gradient Echo (MP-RAGE) with a resolution of $256 \times 256 \times 170$ voxels. Further detailed information can be found on the ADNI website. The dataset includes 300 subjects in three categories, including 100 AD, 100 MCI, and 100 NC. It is similar to other studies that used more than 100 subjects to diagnose AD using machine learning [30], [31]. However, considering the small dataset can reduce the parameters and computation cost, which still performs better for AD diagnosis [32].

B. METHOD PROCEDURE

We conducted the four steps to classify AD in three views. The first step is collecting the data from the ADNI database; the second step is extracting the images; the third step is selecting slices; and the fourth step is using a machine learning algorithm and multiclass classification. The flowchart for classification AD is shown in Figure 1.



FIGURE 1. The flowchart illustrated the four steps for Alzheimer's disease (AD) classification.

In the first step, we retrieved the data from the ADNI database. The dataset (ADNI1) originally downloaded from the website was available in the Neuroimaging Informatics Technology Initiative (NifTI) format 3-dimensional (3D). In the second step, we extracted 3D NifTI (.nii extension) to the 2-dimensional (2D) images (.png extension) since training in 3D utilizing NifTI files requires a long time and is relatively costly [33]. The output from these extractions is divided into three categories (i.e., AD, MCI, and NC) for each view (i.e., axial, coronal, and sagittal). Then, we provided the selecting slices method from the entire slices of the MRI image. Then, selecting the slices method requires the medical experts to get the detailed information regarding landmarks in the hippocampus region to select 5 slices in MRI images. We used two models for classifying AD: Resnet50 and LeNet. The final step of this process was to get the multiclass classification result to see the value of three categories (AD, MCI, and NC).

Then, we compared the accuracy of selecting slices method with using entire slices to ensure that our proposed method showed better performance. According to the performance of our proposed method, we can determine which view was more suitable to classify AD. Likewise, the performance of the two models with the proposed method will determine which model performs the best for the AD classification task. In addition, we can provide the value of each category in three views for the performance in the multiclass classification. The implementation of the model has been done using Windows 10 using Python 3.7.6 on a machine with the following specifications: Core i7-11700 CPU, 32 GB RAM, and an NVIDIA GeForce RTX 3090 GPU with 24 GB of GDDR6X memory.

C. SELECTING SLICES

The selecting slices method is conducted with the medical experts from China Medical University Hospital, senior experience in the Neurology field. The process of selecting slices consists of four steps. In the first step, medical experts check the MRI images in three views, three categories, to identify the biomarker in the brain region that is affected by AD. In similar studies by Dickerson et al., medical experts check the brain region before making any decisions [34]. Medical experts consider the hippocampus region as was identified to become one of the brain regions affected by AD. Similarly, Rao et al. used the hippocampus region to diagnose AD [5]. In the **second step**, selecting slices method in MRI images using landmarks in the hippocampus region in three views. In the axial, a sign from the midbrain inferior ('mickey mouse sign') to the upper part ('apple sign'), from the coronal in the anterior brainstem part to the whole brainstem could be seen, and from the sagittal in the ventricles lateral. Then, we marked MRI slices in three views and categories. In the third step, the medical expert confirmed the dataset to ensure the landmark in the hippocampus region of the MRI image slice was correct. The process for selecting slices method of MRI images using the landmarks on the hippocampus region in three views and three categories is shown in Figure 2. In the fourth step, we recruited 300 subjects from the ADNI database. There were three categories (AD, MCI, and NC), each containing 100 subjects. The MRI images category was extracted into three views (axial, coronal, and sagittal). There are approximately 16,500 images in each view of MRI images. Therefore, each subject of MRI slices has 160 to 170 slices. Thus, the number of entire slices for our subjects is 149,805 MRI slices in three views and three categories.

Moreover, five slices were selected from 160 to 170 MRI slices for each view and category. Accordingly, there are 500 MRI slices for each view of 100 subjects. Finally, the dataset was divided 80:20, with 80% (3600 MRI slices) of the data used for training and 20% (900 MRI slices) used for validation. Therefore, the detailed information of our balanced dataset in each view and category for training and validation is summarized in **Table 1**. Then, we applied the dataset in machine learning to improve performance for AD classification. The process of selecting 5 slices from entire slices on the MRI images is shown in **Figure 3**.

D. CONVOLUTIONAL NEURAL NETWORK

Recent advances in machine learning, such as convolutional neural networks (CNN), have achieved better classification results for AD classification [35] Two models, Resnet50 and LeNet, were used for AD classification. These two models are widely used for classifying AD [36], [37].

1) ResNet50

ResNet was proposed by Chinese scientists from the former Microsoft Research Institute, and deep Resnet is a milestone event in the history of CNN images [38]. ResNet50 is one of

IEEEAccess

 TABLE 1. The balanced dataset in each view and category for training and validation.

	MDI	E- der	Selecting slices			
Categories	view	slices	Training (80%)	Validation (20%)		
AD (100 people)						
people)	Axial	~16,500*	400	100		
	Coronal	~16,500*	400	100		
	Sagittal	~16,500*	400	100		
MCI (100 people)						
	Axial	~16,500*	400	100		
	Coronal	~16,500*	400	100		
	Sagittal	~16,500*	400	100		
NC (100 people)	-					
	Axial	~16,500*	400	100		
	Coronal	~16,500*	400	100		
	Sagittal	~16,500*	400	100		
Summary		149.805	3600	900		

Note: * the number of the entire slices in the MRI image for each subject ranges from 160 to 170; Five slices from 160 to 170 MRI slices were selected for each view of each person; AD (Alzheimer's Disease), MCI (Mild Cognitive Impairment), and NC (Normal Controls).

the deep learning models proven to be very efficient in AD classification [39]. Resnet50 architecture contains 50 layers with four stages. Increasing convolutional layers with residual blocks stacked in each stage do not reduce the model's performance. As shown in Figure 4, the 48 convolutional layers are arranged into four residual stages along with 1 Max Pooling and 1 Average Pooling layer. The activation function is called ReLU after the convolutional layer, which passes the positive outputs, suppresses the negative outputs in the feature map, and turns them to zero. Two pooling layers, one of average type and the other of max type, are used to reduce the dimensionality of the inner feature map. The fully connected layer receives the feature map as a flat vector and passes it to the SoftMax activation function, resulting in three classes for classifying AD. Moreover, several studies have used pretrained models to classify AD [40].

Generally, the network structure between Resnet50 and Pretrained Resnet50 is the same. The difference is during the training process. The model learns the feature representation of the training data from scratch. In this case, the model does not build on the knowledge previously learned on a massive dataset. For the purpose of training Resnet50 without pretrained weights, all parameters or weights in the network are randomly initialized. The Resnet50 architecture is shown in **Figure 4**.

2) LeNet

The LeNet model was first designed in the study by LeCun et al. [41] LeNet is one of the oldest and simplest models. The LeNet is the most efficient model since it consumes a smaller amount of computation time and is effectively used in various image classifications [42]. Likewise, LeNet performs best for classification in medical images [43]. For instance, classify AD and achieve more than 92% accuracy [44]. However, the LeNet model is suitable for classification tasks. Thus, this study uses the LeNet model for AD classification, and the architecture is shown in **Figure 5**.



FIGURE 2. The process for selecting slices method of MRI images using the landmarks on the hippocampus region in three views and three categories; (A) the top is imaging signs by marking the hippocampus from the axial midbrain inferior part ('mickey mouse sign') to the upper part ('apple sign'), below is the hippocampus region landmark; (B) top is an imaging sign from the brainstem anterior part to the whole brainstem could be seen, below is the hippocampus region; (C) top is an imaging sign from sagittal view in the ventricles lateral, below is hippocampus region.

III. RESULT

All experiments were used in the same dataset. Two models are trained for multiclass classification (i.e., AD, MCI, and NC) in three views (i.e., axial, coronal, and sagittal) and two models (e.g., Resnet50 and LeNet). The result of our studies is listed in the section below.

A. EFFECT OF SELECT SLICES

The proposed selecting slices method accuracy is shown in Table 2. Then, the result was compared using entire slices on MRI images and the selecting slices method to evaluate the effectiveness and performance in three views and three categories. According to Table 2, the selecting slices method showed higher accuracy in the range of 0.84 to 0.98 among the two models than using the entire slices on MRI images. The result for entire slices showed lower accuracy in the range of 0.37 to 0.65 among the two models. The accuracy

(A) Axial view



(C) Sagittal view



FIGURE 3. The detailed workflow from the selecting slices method to select 5 slices from entire slices on the MRI images; (A) Selecting slices in axial view; (B) coronal view; (C) axial view.



FIGURE 4. The Resnet50 architecture; Note: Alzheimer's Disease (AD); Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI); Normal Control (NC).

comparison between the entire slices and the selecting slices method is shown in Table 2. The accuracy graph performance in AD classification is shown in Figure 6.

B. COMPARISON OF THREE VIEWS

In addition, comparisons between the three views were used to see which view shows the highest accuracy by selecting slices by landmark on the hippocampus region. As shown in Figure 6(B), the coronal view showed higher accuracy among the two models. The accuracy shown in the coronal view is (0.97), (0.95), and (0.98), respectively. While axial



FIGURE 5. The LeNet architecture; Note: Alzheimer's Disease (AD); Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI); Normal Control (NC).

TABLE 2. The accuracy comparison between the entire slices and the selecting slices method.

	Entire slices			Entire slices				Selecting slices		
Accuracy	Axial	Coronal	Sagittal	Axial	Coronal	Sagittal				
Pretrained	0.52	0.45	0.65	0.02	0.07	0.05				
Resnet50	0.52	0.45	0.65	0.98	0.97	0.95				
Resnet50	0.45	0.37	0.55	0.84	0.95	0.94				
LeNet	0.65	0.37	0.58	0.98	0.98	0.97				
Average accuracy	0.54	0.39	0.59	0.93	0.96	0.95				

view (0.98), (0.84), and (0.98); sagittal view (0.95), (0.94), and (0.97) in the Pretrained Resnet50, Resnet50, and LeNet, respectively. According to Table 1, the average accuracy in the coronal view is also higher; the accuracy is 0.96.

C. COMPARISON OF TWO MODELS

The two models were compared to see which model performed better in AD classification. According to Table 2, it showed LeNet has the best comprehensive performance of the three views. LeNet was the model that exhibited higher accuracy gains among the three views than the other two models. The accuracy of the LeNet models was 0.98 in the axial, 0.98 in the coronal, and 0.97 in the sagittal view.

D. PERFORMANCE OF MULTICLASS CLASSIFICATION

The performance result of multiclass classification was used to get the value in three categories (i.e., AD, MCI, and NC). According to **Table 3**, the result of a multiclass classification for AD, MCI, and NC in coronal and sagittal achieve better precision, recall, and F1 score in Pretrained Resnet 50 and LeNet models in a range of 0.90 to 1.00. In contrast, MCI in the axial view showed lower in a range of 0.81 to 0.88 for Resnet50.

IV. DISCUSSION

This research demonstrated that the selecting slices method could improve the performance in machine learning on AD classification using MRI. The finding may provide evidence supporting our hypothesis that the proposed selecting slices method is better for AD classification than the entire slices in MRI images. Furthermore, the selecting slices method



FIGURE 6. The accuracy graph performance in AD classification; (A) Entire slices; (B) Selecting slices method.

TABLE 3. The Precision, Recall, and F1 Score for multiclass classification.

		Model performance								
Model	Class	Precision		Recall			F1 score			
		axial	coronal	sagittal	axial	coronal	sagittal	axial	coronal	sagittal
Pretrained-Resnet50	AD	0.98	0.97	0.92	0.99	0.96	0.95	0.99	0.96	0.94
	MCI	0.98	0.97	0.95	0.97	0.96	0.93	0.97	0.96	0.94
	NC	0.99	0.97	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.98	0.99	0.98	0.98
Resnet50	AD	0.87	0.92	0.90	0.89	0.98	0.94	0.88	0.95	0.92
	MCI	0.81	0.97	0.98	0.82	0.92	0.90	0.82	0.94	0.94
	NC	0.82	0.97	0.95	0.80	0.96	0.99	0.81	0.96	0.97
LeNet	AD	1.00	0.99	0.97	1.00	0.97	0.94	1.00	0.98	0.95
	MCI	0.96	0.97	0.96	0.99	0.99	0.97	0.98	0.98	0.97
	NC	0.99	1.00	0.98	0.96	1.00	1.00	0.97	1.00	0.99

Note: AD, Alzheimer's Disease; MCI, Mild Cognitive Impairment; and NC, Normal Controls.

showed higher accuracy in the coronal view than in the axial and sagittal views. However, we found the LeNet model showed the best performance than Resnet50. Finally, from the multiclass classification result, we can see the value of each category in three views.

According to the results in **Table 2**, we found that the selecting slices method using landmarks on the hippocampus region showed higher accuracy than the use of entire slices in MRI images. Similar efforts in the previous study, select slices on a specific region in MRI images can increase the performance for AD classification [45], [46]. In addition, we did not use any other computational process on MRI images, and we still achieved higher accuracy with the selecting slices method. However, we may assume that the selecting slices method may be affected the AD classification performance in machine learning. Furthermore, our work for selecting slices method might be useful as a guideline to get the informative slices from entire slices on MRI images.

In order to verify the performance of our proposed method from the other works of literature, see Table 4. The study by Valliani and Soni used pretrained Resnet with augmentation in axial view and showed an accuracy of 0.56 [47]. While Angkoso et al. used CNN with BET, the accuracy in axial was 0.86, coronal was 0.85, and sagittal was 0.85, respectively [45]. In other studies, Altaf et al. used KNN, gray level cooccurrence matrix, and segmented the region, namely grey matter, white matter (WM), and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), showing (0.79) accuracies [48]. Shi et al. used Conv-LSTM and showed the accuracy in axial (0.59), coronal (0.57), and sagittal (0.52) [49]. Our selecting slices method only focused on landmarks in the hippocampus region without any computational process. However, the proposed method still showed higher accuracy than other studies among two models and three views.

In order to verify the performance of our proposed method from the other works of literature, see **Table 4**. The study by Valliani et al. used pretrained Resnet with augmentation in axial view and showed an accuracy of 0.56 [47]. While Angkoso et al. used CNN with BET, the accuracy in axial was 0.86, coronal was 0.85, and sagittal was 0.85, respectively [45]. In other studies, Altaf et al. used KNN, gray level cooccurrence matrix, and segmented the region, namely grey matter, white matter (WM), and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), showing (0.79) accuracies [48]. Shi et al. used Conv-LSTM and showed the accuracy in axial (0.59), coronal (0.57), and sagittal (0.52) [49]. Our selecting slices method only focused on landmarks in the hippocampus region without any computational process. However, the proposed method still showed higher accuracy than other studies among two models and three views.

According to **Figure 6(b)**, we found that the LeNet model in coronal view showed higher accuracy than the axial and sagittal. It can be evident that the coronal view may contain reliable information about the landmark in the hippocampus region. A similar finding in a study by Raju et al., the hippocampus region was the most discriminative in the coronal view, showing clearly [50]. Thus, the coronal view on MRI images may be more informative for early AD detection. However, our finding showed higher accuracy in the coronal view, the same as the medical expert usually uses to see the hippocampus volume changes in MRI images when diagnosing people with AD.

Table 2 also showed that LeNet models showed higher accuracy in three views. It can be observed that the LeNet can achieve the most convincing results, with an average of 0.98. Our results were similar to the other study by Hazarike et al. [51]. For this reason, the LeNet model might train all the layers on MRI images for the classification task. Additionally, LeNet is well-known for its simple yet effective architecture for classification problems and showed effective performance [52]. The LeNet model is beneficial for classification tasks using MRI images.

Moreover, using Pretrained Resnet50 showed an average performance of 0.97. At the same time, the Resnet50 was

TABLE 4. The comparison results with other studies.

Study	Model	Dataset	Accuracy			
-	(Method)		Axial	Coronal	Sagittal	
Valliani et al.[<u>47</u>]	Pretrained Resnet (Augmentation)	-	0.56	-	-	
Angkoso et al.[<u>45</u>]	CNN (BET)	ADNI	0.86	0.85	0.85	
Altaf et al.[<u>48</u>]	KNN (GLCM, Segmented region)	ADNI	0.79	-	-	
Shi et al.[<u>49</u>]	Conv-LSTM	MNIST	0.59	0.57	0.52	
Current Study	Pretrained Resnet50	ADNI	0.98	0.97	0.95	
	Resnet50		0.84	0.95	0.94	
	LeNet		0.98	0.98	0.97	

Note: CNN (Convolutional Neural Network); BET (Brain Extraction Tool); KNN (K Nearest Neighbor); GLCM (gray-level cooccurrence matrix); LSTM (Long Short Term Memory); MNIST (Modified National Institute of Standards and Technology database).

lower than using Pretrained Resnet50 and LeNet, with an average performance in three views of 0.93. For this reason, Resnet50, when using a pretrained weight, the models are already trained on a larger benchmark dataset like Imagenet [53]. In contrast, pretrained model, Resnet50 starts the weight initialization from learned weights from the imagenet. In a previous study, the models that used pretrained weights were good at detecting high-level features like edges and patterns and could improve model performance [54]. The Pretrained Resnet50 is more likely to understand certain basic feature representations which can be used in our dataset. This condition helps in quicker convergence when training with a relatively smaller dataset.

Furthermore, even though we earned the result for each category in the performance of multiclass classification (AD, MCI, and NC), we found that MCI in axial view with Resnet50 showed lower precision, recall, and F1 score in a range of 0.81 to 0.82. For this reason, MCI has a high probability of misdiagnosing AD, and the structural changes in MCI are relatively subtle [24], [55]. The studies may get evidence that MCI is more challenging for classification tasks than AD and NC in classification tasks.

However, we still have limitations for this study. First, for selecting slices, we manually selected one by one in three views from the entire slice in MRI images. We have 300 subjects with 149,805 MRI slices in three views and three categories. Then, in total, we manually selected 4,500 MRI slices. However, it might be beneficial to use deep learning to select the slices automatically. A similar effort in the previous study used deep learning to predict the label of medical images [56]. Future studies may label the MRI slices for a fully automatic system to detect select slices containing landmarks in the hippocampus region. Second, we did not use data augmentation to train our performance model. A similar effort in a study by Liu et al., using data augmentation, can increase classification accuracy [57]. In future studies, we could increase our accuracy and generate more training data using data augmentation.

V. CONCLUSION

This study supports our hypothesis that selecting slices can improve machine learning performance, and selecting slices in MRI images significantly improves the accuracy of AD classification. Furthermore, we found that the coronal view results have higher accuracy than the axial and sagittal views. Additionally, we found that the LeNet model showed higher performance on the AD classification. Then, the multiclass classification result can be used to see the value of each category for AD classification.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to express gratitude to Elvin Nur Furqon, Ardha Ardea Prisilla, Fahni Haris, and Gilang Titah Ramadhan for their assistance. This articles uses data from the publicly available Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database (adni.loni.usc.edu). Thus, the ADNI investigators contributed to the design, implementation, and data collection, but not to the analysis and writing of this article. A complete listing of ADNI investigators can be found at: http://adni.loni.usc.edu/wpcontent/uploads/how_to_apply/ADNI_Acknowledgement_ List.pdf

REFERENCES

- Alzheimer's Association, "2017 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures," *Alzheimer's Dementia*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 325–373, Apr. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2017.02.001.
- [2] G. Livingston, J. Huntley, A. Sommerlad, D. Ames, C. Ballard, and S. Banerjee, "Dementia prevention, intervention, and care: 2020 report of the *Lancet* commission," *Lancet*, vol. 396, no. 10248, pp. 413–446, 2020, doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30367-6.
- [3] G. B. Frisoni, N. C. Fox, C. R. Jack, P. Scheltens, and P. M. Thompson, "The clinical use of structural MRI in Alzheimer disease," *Nature Rev. Neurol.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 67–77, Feb. 2010, doi: 10.1038/nrneurol.2009.215.
- [4] J. Olloquequi, M. Ettcheto, A. Cano, E. Sanchez-López, M. Carrasco, T. Espinosa, C. Beas-Zarate, G. Gudiño-Cabrera, M. E. Ureña-Guerrero, E. Verdaguer, J. Folch, C. Auladell, and A. Camins, "Impact of new drugs for therapeutic intervention in Alzheimer's disease," *Frontiers Bioscience-Landmark*, vol. 27, no. 5, p. 146, 2022, doi: 10.31083/j.fbl2705146.
- [5] Y. L. Rao, B. Ganaraja, B. V. Murlimanju, T. Joy, A. Krishnamurthy, and A. Agrawal, "Hippocampus and its involvement in Alzheimer's disease: A review," *3 Biotech*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 1–10, Feb. 2022, doi: 10.1007/s13205-022-03123-4.
- [6] M. Laakso, "MRI of hippocampus in incipient Alzheimer's disease," Ph.D. dissertation, Ser. Rep. Dept. Neurol., Univ. Kuopio, Kuopio, Finland, 1996.
- [7] A. Moscoso, J. Silva-Rodríguez, J. M. Aldrey, J. Cortés, A. Fernández-Ferreiro, N. Gómez-Lado, Á. Ruibal, and P. Aguiar, "Prediction of Alzheimer's disease dementia with MRI beyond the shortterm: Implications for the design of predictive models," *NeuroImage*, *Clin.*, vol. 23, Jan. 2019, Art. no. 101837, doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2019.101837.
- [8] K. M. Poloni and R. J. Ferrari, "Automated detection, selection and classification of hippocampal landmark points for the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease," *Comput. Methods Programs Biomed.*, vol. 214, Feb. 2022, Art. no. 106581, doi: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2021.106581.
- [9] A. Demirhan, "Classification of structural MRI for detecting Alzheimer's disease," *Int. J. Intell. Syst. Appl. Eng.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 195–198, Dec. 2016.
- [10] H. Qiao, L. Chen, Z. Ye, and F. Zhu, "Early Alzheimer's disease diagnosis with the contrastive loss using paired structural MRIs," *Comput. Methods Programs Biomed.*, vol. 208, Sep. 2021, Art. no. 106282, doi: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2021.106282.
- [11] S. Savaş, "Detecting the stages of Alzheimer's disease with pre-trained deep learning architectures," *Arabian J. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 2201–2218, 2022.

- [12] Z. Zhang and E. Sejdić, "Radiological images and machine learning: Trends, perspectives, and prospects," *Comput. Biol. Med.*, vol. 108, pp. 354–370, May 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.compbiomed.2019.02.017.
- [13] Y. Kazemi and S. Houghten, "A deep learning pipeline to classify different stages of Alzheimer's disease from fMRI data," in *Proc. IEEE Conf. Comput. Intell. Bioinf. Comput. Biol. (CIBCB)*, May 2018, pp. 1–8, doi: 10.1109/CIBCB.2018.8404980.
- [14] S. Gao and D. Lima, "A review of the application of deep learning in the detection of Alzheimer's disease," *Int. J. Cognit. Comput. Eng.*, vol. 3, pp. 1–8, Jun. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.ijcce.2021.12.002.
- [15] E. Thibeau-Sutre, B. Couvy-Duchesne, D. Dormont, O. Colliot, and N. Burgos, "MRI field strength predicts Alzheimer's disease: A case example of bias in the ADNI data set," in *Proc. IEEE 19th Int. Symp. Biomed. Imag. (ISBI)*, Mar. 2022, pp. 1–4, doi: 10.1109/ISBI52829.2022. 9761504.
- [16] M. Raju, V. P. Gopi, and V. S. Anitha, "Multi-class classification of Alzheimer's disease using 3DCNN features and multilayer perceptron," in *Proc. 6th Int. Conf. Wireless Commun., Signal Process. Netw.* (WiSPNET), Mar. 2021, pp. 368–373, doi: 10.1109/WiSPNET51692.2021. 9419393.
- [17] V. Sathiyamoorthi, A. K. Ilavarasi, K. Murugeswari, S. T. Ahmed, B. A. Devi, and M. Kalipindi, "A deep convolutional neural network based computer aided diagnosis system for the prediction of Alzheimer's disease in MRI images," *Measurement*, vol. 171, Feb. 2021, Art. no. 108838, doi: 10.1016/j.measurement.2020.108838.
- [18] N. Yamanakkanavar, J. Y. Choi, and B. Lee, "MRI segmentation and classification of human brain using deep learning for diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: A survey," *Sensors*, vol. 20, no. 11, p. 3243, Jun. 2020, doi: 10.3390/s20113243.
- [19] M. Karthiga, S. Sountharrajan, S. Nandhini, and B. S. Kumar, "Machine learning based diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Image Process. Capsule Netw.* Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2020, pp. 607–619, doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-51859-2_55.
- [20] A. Farooq, S. Anwar, M. Awais, and S. Rehman, "A deep CNN based multi-class classification of Alzheimer's disease using MRI," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Imag. Syst. Techn. (IST)*, Oct. 2017, pp. 1–6, doi: 10.1109/IST.2017.8261460.
- [21] K. V. Kumari and S. S. Barpanda, "Investigating the volumetric biomarkers of Alzheimer disease: A state-of-the art review," in Advances in Distributed Computing and Machine Learning. Singapore: Springer, 2022, pp. 581–591.
- [22] S. Tomassini, A. Sbrollini, G. Covella, P. Sernani, N. Falcionelli, H. Müller, M. Morettini, L. Burattini, and A. F. Dragoni, "Brain-oncloud for automatic diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease from 3D structural magnetic resonance whole-brain scans," *Comput. Methods Programs Biomed.*, vol. 227, Dec. 2022, Art. no. 107191, doi: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2022. 107191.
- [23] M. López, J. Ramírez, J. M. Górriz, I. Álvarez, D. Salas-Gonzalez, F. Segovia, R. Chaves, P. Padilla, and M. Gómez-Río, "Principal component analysis-based techniques and supervised classification schemes for the early detection of Alzheimer's disease," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 74, no. 8, pp. 1260–1271, Mar. 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.neucom.2010.06.025.
- [24] W. Kang, L. Lin, B. Zhang, X. Shen, and S. Wu, "Multi-model and multi-slice ensemble learning architecture based on 2D convolutional neural networks for Alzheimer's disease diagnosis," *Comput. Biol. Med.*, vol. 136, Sep. 2021, Art. no. 104678, doi: 10.1016/j.compbiomed.2021. 104678.
- [25] M. S. Røvang, P. Selnes, B. J. MacIntosh, I. R. Groote, L. Paalhaugen, S. Carole, T. Fladby, and A. Bjørnerud, "Segmenting white matter hyperintensities on isotropic three-dimensional fluid attenuated inversion recovery magnetic resonance images: Assessing deep learning tools on Norwegian imaging database," 2022, arXiv:2207.08467.
- [26] M. A. Ebrahimighahnavieh, S. Luo, and R. Chiong, "Deep learning to detect Alzheimer's disease from neuroimaging: A systematic literature review," *Comput. Methods Programs Biomed.*, vol. 187, Apr. 2020, Art. no. 105242, doi: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2019. 105242.
- [27] M. T. Vlaardingerbroek and J. A. Boer, *Magnetic Resonance Imaging: Theory and Practice*. Berlin, Germany: Springer, 2013.
- [28] S. Katabathula, Q. Wang, and R. Xu, "Predict Alzheimer's disease using hippocampus MRI data: A lightweight 3D deep convolutional network model with visual and global shape representations," *Alzheimer's Res. Therapy*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 1–9, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1186/s13195-021-00837-0.

- [29] K. G. Achilleos, S. Leandrou, N. Prentzas, P. A. Kyriacou, A. C. Kakas, and C. S. Pattichis, "Extracting explainable assessments of Alzheimer's disease via machine learning on brain MRI imaging data," in *Proc. IEEE* 20th Int. Conf. Bioinformatics Bioeng. (BIBE), Oct. 2020, pp. 1036–1041, doi: 10.1109/BIBE50027.2020.00175.
- [30] M. Sethi, S. Rani, A. Singh, and J. L. V. Mazón, "A CAD system for Alzheimer's disease classification using neuroimaging MRI 2D slices," *Comput. Math. Methods Med.*, vol. 2022, pp. 1–11, Aug. 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/8680737.
- [31] C. V. Angkoso, H. P. A. Tjahyaningtijas, Y. Adrianto, A. D. Sensusiati, I. K. E. Purnama, and M. H. Purnomo, "Multi-features fusion in multi-plane MRI images for Alzheimer's disease classification," *Int. J. Intell. Eng. Syst.*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 182–197, 2022, doi: 10.22266/ijies2022.0831.17.
- [32] S. Murugan, C. Venkatesan, M. G. Sumithra, X. Gao, B. Elakkiya, M. Akila, and S. Manoharan, "DEMNET: A deep learning model for early diagnosis of Alzheimer diseases and dementia from MR images," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 90319–90329, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3090474.
- [33] X. Xing, G. Liang, H. Blanton, M. U. Rafique, C. Wang, A.-L. Lin, and N. Jacobs, "Dynamic image for 3D MRI image Alzheimer's disease classification," in *Proc. Eur. Conf. Comput. Vis.* Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2020, pp. 355–364, doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-66415-2_23.
- [34] B. C. Dickerson, T. R. Stoub, R. C. Shah, R. A. Sperling, R. J. Killiany, M. S. Albert, B. T. Hyman, D. Blacker, and L. deToledo-Morrell, "Alzheimer-signature MRI biomarker predicts AD dementia in cognitively normal adults," *Neurology*, vol. 76, no. 16, pp. 1395–1402, Apr. 2011, doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182166e96.
- [35] C. Bowles, R. Gunn, A. Hammers, and D. Rueckert, "Modelling the progression of Alzheimer's disease in MRI using generative adversarial networks," in *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 10574, 2018, pp. 397–407, doi: 10.1117/12.2293256.
- [36] S. R. Chandaran, G. Muthusamy, L. R. Sevalaiappan, and N. Senthilkumaran, "Deep learning-based transfer learning model in diagnosis of diseases with brain magnetic resonance imaging," *Acta Polytechnica Hungarica*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 127–147, 2022.
- [37] B. Y. Lim, K. W. Lai, K. Haiskin, K. A. S. H. Kulathilake, Z. C. Ong, Y. C. Hum, S. Dhanalakshmi, X. Wu, and X. Zuo, "Deep learning model for prediction of progressive mild cognitive impairment to Alzheimer's disease using structural MRI," *Frontiers Aging Neurosci.*, vol. 14, Jun. 2022, Art. no. 876202, doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2022.876202.
- [38] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun, "Deep residual learning for image recognition," in *Proc. IEEE Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit.*, Jun. 2016, pp. 770–778, doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2016.90.
- [39] B. A. Mohammed, E. M. Senan, T. H. Rassem, N. M. Makbol, A. A. Alanazi, Z. G. Al-Mekhlafi, T. S. Almurayziq, and F. A. Ghaleb, "Multi-method analysis of medical records and MRI images for early diagnosis of dementia and Alzheimer's disease based on deep learning and hybrid methods," *Electronics*, vol. 10, no. 22, p. 2860, Nov. 2021, doi: 10.3390/electronics10222860.
- [40] V. A, M. M. P, and C. Gururaj, "Optimal evaluation and detection of Alzheimer's," in *Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. Intell. Technol. (CONIT)*, Jun. 2022, pp. 1–4, doi: 10.1109/CONIT55038.2022.9848101.
- [41] Y. Lecun, L. Bottou, Y. Bengio, and P. Haffner, "Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 86, no. 11, pp. 2278–2324, Nov. 1998, doi: 10.1109/5.726791.
- [42] R. A. Hazarika, A. Abraham, D. Kandar, and A. K. Maji, "An improved LeNet-deep neural network model for Alzheimer's disease classification using brain magnetic resonance images," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 161194–161207, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021. 3131741.
- [43] A. M. Taqi, F. Al-Azzo, M. Mariofanna, and J. M. Al-Saadi, "Classification and discrimination of focal and non-focal EEG signals based on deep neural network," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Current Res. Comput. Sci. Inf. Technol. (ICCIT)*, Apr. 2017, pp. 86–92, doi: 10.1109/CRCSIT.2017. 7965539.
- [44] S. Sarraf, D. D. DeSouza, J. Anderson, and G. Tofighi, "DeepAD: Alzheimer's disease classification via deep convolutional neural networks using MRI and fMRI," *BioRxiv*, Jan. 2017, Art. no. 070441, doi: 10.1101/070441.
- [45] C. V. Angkoso, H. P. A. Tjahyaningtijas, M. Purnomo, and I. Purnama, "Multiplane convolutional neural network (Mp-CNN) for Alzheimer's disease classification," *Int. J. Intell. Eng. Syst.*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 329–340, 2022, doi: 10.22266/ijies2022.0228.30.

- [46] Y. Zhang, Q. Teng, Y. Liu, Y. Liu, and X. He, "Diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease based on regional attention with sMRI gray matter slices," *J. Neurosci. Methods*, vol. 365, Jan. 2022, Art. no. 109376, doi: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2021.109376.
- [47] A. Valliani and A. Soni, "Deep residual nets for improved Alzheimer's diagnosis," in *Proc. 8th ACM Int. Conf. Bioinf., Comput. Biol., Health Informat.*, Aug. 2017, p. 615, doi: 10.1145/3107411.3108224.
- [48] T. Altaf, S. M. Anwar, N. Gul, M. N. Majeed, and M. Majid, "Multiclass Alzheimer's disease classification using image and clinical features," *Biomed. Signal Process. Control*, vol. 43, pp. 64–74, May 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.bspc.2018.02.019.
- [49] X. Shi, Z. Chen, H. Wang, D.-Y. Yeung, W.-K. Wong, and W.-C. Woo, "Convolutional LSTM network: A machine learning approach for precipitation nowcasting," in *Proc. Adv. Neural Inf. Process. Syst.*, vol. 28, 2015, pp. 1–9.
- [50] M. Raju, V. P. Gopi, V. S. Anitha, and K. A. Wahid, "Multi-class diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease using cascaded three dimensional-convolutional neural network," *Phys. Eng. Sci. Med.*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 1219–1228, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1007/s13246-020-00924-w.
- [51] R. A. Hazarika, D. Kandar, and A. K. Maji, "An experimental analysis of different deep learning based models for Alzheimer's disease classification using brain magnetic resonance images," *J. King Saud Univ. Comput. Inf. Sci.*, vol. 34, no. 10, pp. 8576–8598, Nov. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.jksuci.2021.09.003.
- [52] R. A. Hazarika, D. Kandar, and A. K. Maji, "A novel deep neural network based approach for Alzheimer's disease classification using brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Innov. Bio-Inspired Comput. Appl.* Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2021, pp. 381–390, doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-96299-9_37.
- [53] J. Deng, W. Dong, R. Socher, L.-J. Li, K. Li, and L. Fei-Fei, "ImageNet: A large-scale hierarchical image database," in *Proc. IEEE Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit.*, Miami, FL, USA, Jun. 2009, pp. 248–255, doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2009.5206848.
- [54] M. Farooq and A. Hafeez, "COVID-ResNet: A deep learning framework for screening of COVID19 from radiographs," 2020, arXiv:2003.14395.
- [55] R. Jain, N. Jain, A. Aggarwal, and D. J. Hemanth, "Convolutional neural network based Alzheimer's disease classification from magnetic resonance brain images," *Cognit. Syst. Res.*, vol. 57, pp. 147–159, Oct. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.cogsys.2018.12.015.
- [56] P. M. Cheng, E. Montagnon, R. Yamashita, I. Pan, A. Cadrin-Chênevert, F. P. Romero, G. Chartrand, S. Kadoury, and A. Tang, "Deep learning: An update for radiologists," *RadioGraphics*, vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 1427–1445, Sep. 2021, doi: 10.1148/rg.2021200210.
- [57] Z. Liu, Z. Guo, Z. Ling, and Y. Li, "Detecting Alzheimer's disease from speech using neural networks with bottleneck features and data augmentation," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech Signal Process. (ICASSP)*, Jun. 2021, pp. 7323–7327, doi: 10.1109/ICASSP39728.2021.9413566.



CHIH-YANG LIN (Senior Member, IEEE) is currently with the Department of Mechanical Engineering, National Central University, Taoyuan, Taiwan. He has been recognized as an IET Fellow and has contributed more than 150 papers that have been featured in a wide range of international conferences and journals. His research interests include computer vision, machine learning, deep learning, image processing, big data analysis, and the design of surveillance systems.



YIH-KUEN JAN is currently the Director and an Associate Professor with the Rehabilitation Engineering Research Laboratory, University of Illinois at Urbana–Champaign (UIUC), USA. He is committed to developing rehabilitation technologies for the prevention and management of secondary conditions in people with impaired mobility. He is recognized for his research on soft tissue biomechanics and its role in the development of musculoskeletal injuries and pressure

injuries. He has extensively published his research work to improve the understanding of the effect of various pathophysiological conditions (spinal cord injury, aging, diabetes, and physical inactivity) on musculoskeletal injury.



FU-YU LIN received the B.Sc. degree in post-baccalaureate medicine from Kaohsiung Medical University, Taiwan, and a Residency in neurology from the National Taiwan University Hospital, Taiwan. She is currently a physician with the Neurology Department, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan. Her current research interests include electrophysiology and neuroimaging.



YORI PUSPARANI is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree in digital media design with Asia University. She is an Assistant Professor and a full-time Lecturer with the Faculty of Communication and Creative Design, Budi Luhur University, Jakarta, Indonesia. She has established a reputation as a quick learner, an effective communicator, a strong leader, and a valuable team player. Possessing outstanding flexibility, she has demonstrated exceptional responsibility, commit-

ment, and presentation skills while showcasing proficiency in computer applications, including Adobe Illustrator, Adobe Photoshop, and Adobe Indesign. Her research interests include artificial intelligence, deep learning, plantar pressure, Alzheimer's disease, and other medical imaging fields. She understands the challenges inherent in analyzing medical images and recognizes AI technology's potential to contribute significantly to scientific articles in this area.



BEN-YI LIAU received the B.S. degree in agriculture machinery engineering from National Chung Hsing University, Taichung, Taiwan, the M.S. degree in biomedical engineering from National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan, and the Ph.D. degree from the Graduate Institute of Electrical and Communications Engineering, Feng Chia University, Taichung, in 2008. He was an Associate Professor of biomedical engineering with Hungkuang University, Taichung. Since

February 2023, he has been an Associate Professor of automatic control engineering with Feng Chia University, Taichung. His current research interests include biomedical signal processing and biomechanics.



PETER ARDHIANTO received the B.A. degree from Sebelas Maret State University, the M.A. degree from the Indonesian Institute of the Arts, and the Ph.D. degree in digital media design from the College of Creative Design, Asia University, Taichung, Taiwan, in 2022. He is currently an Assistant Professor with the Department of Visual Communication Design and the Director of the International Undergraduate Program, Soegijapranata Catholic University, Indonesia.



JEETASHREE APARAJEETA received the B.S. degree in electronics and telecommunication engineering from the Biju Patnaik University of Technology and the M.S. degree in telecom system engineering and the Ph.D. degree in biomedical image processing from Siksha O Anusandhan University. She is currently an Assistant Professor with the School of Electronics Engineering, Vellore Institute of Technology. Her current research interest includes biomedical image analysis.



ISACK FARADY (Member, IEEE) received the M.Eng. degree in electrical engineering from the Bandung Institute of Technology, Indonesia, in 2012. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree with the CVIT Laboratory, Yuan Ze University, Taiwan. He is a full-time Researcher with the CVIT Laboratory, Yuan Ze University. His current research interests include image processing, pattern recognition, and computer vision applications with deep learning. He was awarded a fully-funded

scholarship with the Department of Electrical and Communication Engineering (Group B), Yuan Ze University.



WEN-HUNG CHAO received the M.S. degree in digital media (architecture) and design from The University of Adelaide, Australia, and the Ph.D. degree in digital media (architecture) and design from Asia University, Taiwan. He is currently an Associate Professor with Asia University. His research interests include AR augmented reality design application and research, digital game-based learning, and technology-enhanced learning motivation.



JOHN SAHAYA RANI ALEX (Senior Member, IEEE) received the B.S. and M.S. degrees in electronics engineering from Anna University, MIT Campus, India, and the Ph.D. degree in electronics engineering from the Vellore Institute of Technology, Chennai, India. She is currently a Professor and an Associate Dean of research with the Division of Healthcare Advancement, Innovation and Research, Vellore Institute of Technology. Her current research interests include the applications

of biosignal (speech/EEG), image (MRI/Fundus) processing, deep learning applications for healthcare, and embedded system design for healthcare.



CHI-WEN LUNG received the bachelor's degree in industrial design from Dayeh University, the master's degree in industrial design from Tatung University, and the Ph.D. degree in biomedical engineering from National Yang-Ming University, Taiwan. Currently, he is a Professor with the Department of Creative Product Design, and the Department of Bioinformatics and Medical Engineering, Asia University, Taiwan. His current research interests include soft tissue biomechan-

ics and its role in the development of musculoskeletal injuries and pressure injuries. In addition, he developed advanced rehabilitation research instruments.