

Received 20 February 2023, accepted 9 March 2023, date of publication 14 March 2023, date of current version 17 March 2023. *Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3257109*

WWW SURVEY

Vehicular Applications of Koopman Operator Theory—A Survey

WAQAS A. MANZOOR^{®[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7080-3998)}, (Member, IEEE), SAMIR RAWASHDEH^{®[2](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3473-6978)}, (Senior Member, IEEE), AND AL[I](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1089-3872)REZA MOHAMMADI^{®2}, (Member, IEEE)

¹Department of Systems Engineering and Validation, Ford Motor Company, Dearborn, MI 48124, USA ²Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Michigan-Dearborn, Dearborn, MI 48128, USA

Corresponding author: Alireza Mohammadi (amohmmad@umich.edu)

This work was supported in part by the Michigan Space Grant Consortium, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), under Award NNX15AJ20H.

ABSTRACT Koopman operator theory has proven to be a promising approach to nonlinear system identification and global linearization. For nearly a century, there had been no efficient means of calculating the Koopman operator for applied engineering purposes. The introduction of a recent computationally efficient method in the context of fluid dynamics, which is based on the system dynamics decomposition to a set of normal modes in descending order, has overcome this long-lasting computational obstacle. The purely data-driven nature of Koopman operators holds the promise of capturing unknown and complex dynamics for reduced-order model generation and system identification, through which the rich machinery of linear control techniques can be utilized. Given the ongoing development of this research area and the many existing open problems in the fields of smart mobility and vehicle engineering, a survey of techniques and open challenges of applying Koopman operator theory to this vibrant area is warranted. This review focuses on the various solutions of the Koopman operator which have emerged in recent years, particularly those focusing on mobility applications, ranging from characterization and component-level control operations to vehicle performance and fleet management. Moreover, this comprehensive review of over 100 research papers highlights the breadth of ways Koopman operator theory has been applied to various vehicular applications with a detailed categorization of the applied Koopman operator-based algorithm type. Furthermore, this review paper discusses theoretical aspects of Koopman operator theory that have been largely neglected by the smart mobility and vehicle engineering community and yet have large potential for contributing to solving open problems in these areas.

INDEX TERMS Intelligent robots, nonlinear systems, system identification, vehicles.

I. INTRODUCTION

Koopman operator theory is named after Bernard Koopman, who in the 1930s proved the premise that linear transformations of nonlinear dynamical systems exist when represented in Hilbert [function] space [\[1\]. H](#page-11-0)istorically, determining a Koopman-invariant subspace was accomplished by trial and error despite being unsuccessful for most dynamical systems [\[2\]. A](#page-11-1)ccordingly, Koopman operator theory remained a topic of pure mathematics for nearly nine decades until 2008, when analytical techniques based on singular value

The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and appr[o](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6095-2968)ving it for publication was Jie Gao

decomposition (SVD) emerged to approximate the Koopman operator from large amounts of data without relying on the pseudo-inversion of large non-square matrices.

As demonstrated in Figure [1,](#page-1-0) *the first vehicular applications* started to emerge only six years after the computational breakthrough due to the Dynamic Mode Decomposition (DMD) technique was achieved in 2008 [\[3\]. Am](#page-11-2)ong vehicular applications alone, it is evident from Figure [1](#page-1-0) that the number of studies incorporating Koopman operator-based methods has been increasing nearly exponentially over an eight-year time span since 2014. However, with a mere maximum of 30 such studies published so far in a given year, the likely trend is further exponential growth as more researchers

FIGURE 1. Publication timeline of the surveyed literature. The number of studies incorporating Koopman operator-based methods in smart mobility and vehicle engineering applications has been increasing nearly exponentially over an eight-year span thus far.

become aware of the associated algorithms, and as the applicability of such algorithms simultaneously evolves.

Features of the Current Survey Paper. The purely data-driven nature of Koopman operators holds the promise of capturing unknown and complex dynamics for reduced-order model generation and system identification, through which the rich machinery of linear control techniques can be utilized. The emergent nature of the smart mobility and vehicular-related applications, where the Koopman operator in each particular application needs to be approximated, implies that the development of various Koopman operator approximation algorithms is expected to grow along with the vehicular problems they aim to solve. Given the ongoing development of this research area and the many existing open problems in the fields of smart mobility and vehicle engineering, a survey of techniques and open challenges of applying Koopman operator theory to this vibrant area is warranted. To the best of our knowledge, this survey paper is the *first of its kind* reviewing the applications of Koopman operator theory within a focused research area, namely, smart mobility and vehicle engineering applications. A *notable feature* of our survey paper is reviewing and categorizing the results of over 100 research papers based on both application and algorithm type (see Table 1 and Section [IV\)](#page-5-0) that are concerned with the applications of Koopman operator theory to the field of smart mobility and vehicular engineering. Such a *comprehensive and detailed categorization* will be beneficial to the research practitioners working in the field. Furthermore, this review paper discusses theoretical aspects of Koopman operator theory that have been largely neglected by the smart mobility and vehicle engineering community and yet have large potential for contributing to solving open problems in these areas. Additionally, our survey paper seeks to *identify gaps* in the smart mobility and vehicle engineering research where new and existing Koopman operator-based methods have the potential to further develop and address unsolved problems potentially benefiting from the perspectives of nonlinear system identification, control, global linearization, and the predictive powers that Koopman operator theory has to offer (see, e.g., Remarks [4](#page-7-0)[–9\)](#page-10-0).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. After presenting the relevant taxonomy in Section II , we provide a brief overview the basic underpinnings of the Koopman operator theory in Section [III.](#page-3-0) The literature review with categorized vehicular applications is presented in Section [IV,](#page-5-0) where each subsection concludes with a list of open research questions for the application of Koopman operator-based methods in terms of vehicle types not encountered in the literature. Other relevant applications, which are not explicitly vehicular in nature, and theoretical/algorithmic variations are reviewed in Section [V.](#page-10-1)

Remark 1: A survey paper on variants of DMD authored by Chen et al. [\[95\] h](#page-13-0)ad been published in 2012. However, being conducted a decade ago, it was well before the emergence of Koopman operator vehicular applications in the literature. Nevertheless, a very interesting discussion on the optimal application of DMD can be found in that paper. Over the course of developing this paper, Schmid $[11]$, who is the pioneer of the original mode decomposition method, has also published his own survey on the variants of his method found in the literature. However, Schmid's survey is not focused on the specific topic of smart mobility and vehicle engineering applications.

II. GENERAL TAXONOMY AND VEHICLE TYPE CATEGORIZATION

For the purposes of this survey, we generally consider a *vehicle* to be any man-made instrument that can carry a payload, including occupants, equipment, sensors or any other items. In some contexts, the item may be its own presence. Most generally, vehicle has also been defined as any mechanized equipment. All these ideas have been captured in Merriam-Webster's formal definitions [\[96\]. I](#page-13-1)n this paper, the physical type of vehicle according to Merriam-Webster is considered. This physical type includes systems and processes associated with automotive, aerospace, marine, rail, robotic, and subterranean classes of vehicles, along with some interfacing or noteworthy classes such as biolocomotion, communication, and traffic management, amongst others.

The *motivation* to focus on vehicular applications stems from the fact that many processes and subsystems are not easily modeled to a sufficient level of fidelity and/or are subject to a significant level of noise/disturbances. Such conditions pose limitations on the performance, control and overall utilization of the processes and subsystems that transduce energy into motion. Vehicles of different types, such as aircraft and automobiles, may further share common subsystems (e.g., combustion chamber or pump) or types of maneuvers (e.g., braking or collision avoidance). Consequently, from a dynamics and controls standpoint, it is sufficient to maintain the scope of this study to include all major vehicle categories.

Of all the studies found in this survey, Figure [2](#page-4-0) (the pie chart on the left) illustrates their proportions in terms of the type of vehicles represented. These include aerospace, automotive, marine, mining, traffic, robotics and rail vehicles. Also included are some studies that are theoretical only in

[‡] Detailed descriptions are provided in the text.

terms of presenting a novel Koopman operator-based algorithm or those of general relevance (e.g., pertaining to a generic subsystem or component of multiple possible vehicles) without substantially demonstrating it on any vehicle, whether in simulation, in-vehicle, or on a hardware-in-theloop test bench.

Figure [2](#page-4-0) (the pie chart on the right) further breaks down the proportions of studies that pertain to specific types of functions, rather than vehicle platforms. These include traverse, maneuver, subsystem and guidance, as defined in what follows.

- **Traverse:** refers to the macro-scale function of a vehicle moving from one point to another (e.g., orbiting).
- **Maneuver:** refers to a specific mission, operation, reconfiguration or change in situation a vehicle may undertake within its journey (e.g., docking).
- **Subsystem:** refers to the subject of the study focusing on a component or set of components and their specific operation (e.g., a battery).
- **Guidance:** refers to the navigational aspect of vehicle path-finding and maintenance, correction or modification of trajectory (e.g., obstacle avoidance).

Finally, "Traffic Management" is concerned with the coordinated motion of multiple vehicles. Given the uniqueness of certain problems arising in traffic management, we have decided to segregate such studies into their own category.

Remark 2 (Machine Learning Community Taxonomy): With the mainstreaming of Koopman operator-based methods, there also seems to be a linguistic generalization in that the term ''Koopman'' or ''Koopman model'' is increasingly used to describe any finite state transition matrix approximated for an unmodeled or nonlinear system. This is especially true in the artificial intelligence and machine learning community (see, e.g., [\[92\]\).](#page-13-2) Such use may continue to uphold validity since Koopman operator theory remains one of the main formal justifications for utilizing linear state transition matrices for closely capturing the behavior of nonlinear dynamical systems by means of proper linearization.

Remark 3 (A Brief Note on CFD studies): The inclusion of computational fluid dynamics (CFD) studies has generally been avoided in this review. The only exceptions are the studies containing an explicit vehicular application or proposing a new type of Koopman operator-based system identification method/variant. This is because the DMD solution to the Koopman operator was itself first derived in the very context of CFD (see the seminal work by Schmid [\[97\]\) a](#page-13-3)nd has since had the most time to mature in the CFD literature. Many such CFD-centric studies involve a generic case study of flow past a cylinder or airfoil, which may have relevance to, e.g., lifting surfaces, screw propellers, or more generically, pumps and turbines. Thus, the inclusion of literature from the CFD domain poses a vast grey area, often with speculative applicability. For example, residual DMD (resDMD) was used in a CFD-focused study [\[98\] fo](#page-13-4)r supersonic plasma discharge but has significant relevancy to satellite propulsion systems. To narrow the scope of the search, all literature pertaining to the fluid dynamics realm has been excluded, other than those with explicit vehicular applications, or those which identify a novel algorithm (in which case the corresponding study was grouped into the 'Theory' category). This takes away a major source of ambiguity, given that much of the pure fluid dynamics literature is generalized (e.g., flow past a cylinder) such that it may or may not be relevant to vehicle motion.

III. A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF KOOPMAN OPERATOR THEORY

Koopman operator theory is founded on the premise that linear transformations of nonlinear dynamical systems exist when represented in Hilbert [function] space [\[1\]. Th](#page-11-0)is high dimensional space is framed upon a coordinate system consisting of [up to] an infinite number of orthonormal bases (i.e.,

a linear combination of functions rather than unit vectors), wherein the properties of spatial completeness are preserved. The composition operator (i.e., the ''Koopman'' operator) mapping ''observables'' between these two spaces could be resolved explicitly as a combination of spectral modes that are related to a dynamical system observed trajectories. Observables can be selected as the system's state and/or some functions thereof. If a set of observables could be found such that the resultant Koopman operator is finite, then those observables form the basis of a ''Koopman-invariant subspace''.

Consider a nonlinear system where the state **x** is propagated in time according to

$$
\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathcal{F}\mathbf{x}_k,\tag{1}
$$

where $\mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{x}(t_k)$ is the state at time *k* and *F* is a proper dynamical mapping. The premise of the theory is that there exists a Koopman operator, K , which has the property of linearly propagating the observables, $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$, of any system (including nonlinear and chaotic systems) through Hilbert space [\[1\]. In](#page-11-0) other words, the operator K acts according to

$$
\mathbf{y}_{k+1} = \mathcal{K}\mathbf{y}_k,\tag{2}
$$

where $y_k = y(t_k)$ is a vector of observables (the state and/or functions thereof) at time *k*, and can be decomposed to a set of observables, **g**, which may or may not be finite, such that (for brevity of exposition, let us work with the finite presentation)

$$
\mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_k) = [g_1(\mathbf{x}_k), g_2(\mathbf{x}_k), \dots, g_p(\mathbf{x}_k)]^\top. \tag{3}
$$

Additionally, under the property of function composition given by

$$
\mathcal{K}\left(\mathbf{g}\right) = \mathbf{g} \circ \mathcal{F},\tag{4}
$$

ensures that the state transition rule

$$
\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_k)) = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}_k),\tag{5}
$$

where $A := \mathcal{K} \circ \mathbf{g}$ governs the state propagation through time.

Historically, determining a Koopman-invariant subspace and computing the matrix *A* given by [\(5\)](#page-3-1) was accomplished by trial and error despite being unsuccessful for most dynamical systems [\[2\]. Al](#page-11-1)as, Koopman operator theory remained a topic of pure mathematics for nearly nine decades until 2008, when analytical SVD-based techniques emerged for approximation of the Koopman operator using large amounts of data without relying on pseudo-inversion of large non-square matrices. In what follows, we provide a brief exposition of the main DMD technique that has been the main driving force behind the proliferation of various applications of Koopman operator theory to a plethora of disciplines including geology, epidemiology, finance, and neurology, to name a few (see, e.g., [\[99\] an](#page-13-5)d the references therein). Additionally, Figure [3](#page-5-1) provides an intuitive overview of the explained DMD process for the generation of a linearized and reduced-order model of an example nonlinear dynamical system (i.e., a tethered satellite system subject to unknown disturbances [\[30\]\).](#page-12-0)

From a practical perspective, the matrix **A** in [\(5\)](#page-3-1) is the approximation of the Koopman operator acting upon the

FIGURE 2. Vehicle categories (left) and function categories (right) of the surveyed smart mobility and vehicular engineering literature. The area of each piece in the pie charts is proportional to the ratio of the number of the conducted studies within each particular area to the number of total studies.

function space. Since **A** is constant in a Koopman-invariant subspace, it may be applied to an entire collection of *m* measurements, propagating the data matrix \bf{X} to the time-shifted data matrix X' , in which the set of observables are arranged column-wise. Specifically, these data matrices are represented as

$$
\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{x}_0, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{m-1}],
$$

\n
$$
\mathbf{X}' = [\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_m].
$$
 (6)

A straightforward and yet computationally inefficient method for computing an approximation of the Koopman operator can be achieved by multiplying both sides of Equation 5 by the inverse of the data matrix, inv (X) . However, this matrix may be too large to invert or non-square. Rather, a more practical solution relies on solving the following optimization problem

$$
\mathbf{A} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{A}} \|\mathbf{X}' - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\|_{F},\tag{7}
$$

where ∥ · ∥*^F* denotes the Frobenius norm.

To solve the optimization given by [\(7\)](#page-4-1), regression yields the best-fit fixed Koopman operator, which propagates the selected observables, even if not precisely Koopmaninvariant, between any two corresponding columns of the original and time-shifted data matrices. Another way of finding an approximate solution to the minimization problem in Equation [\(7\)](#page-4-1) is to compute proper pseudo-inverses. For instance, SVD-based methods rely on computing the Moore-Penrose left pseudo-inverse. If the data matrix is coincidentally square and invertable, yet the observables are not perfectly Koopman-invariant, then attained solution will not act as a reliable Koopman operator between all sets of corresponding observables. Moreover, a *computational roadblock* exists in that observable data over any practical length of time or collected with a reasonably small sampling time quickly accumulates to a data matrix too large to invert using a desktop computer.

Methods such as DMD [\[97\] th](#page-13-3)erefore use SVD to obtain a factorization of the transition matrix that is organized by order of modes of decreasing magnitude (see, also, Figure [3\)](#page-5-1). This implies that the major components of the dynamics are captured in a manner that dynamical modes of higher ranks have higher noise-to-signal ratios. Thus, although the dynamics are decomposed into a linear combination of a large set of bases, a reasonable truncation can still be made, which results in a reasonable approximation for engineering purposes. One example of this process is illustrated in Figure [3,](#page-5-1) where DMD is used to obtain a linear, reduced-order model of a tethered subsatellite undergoing deployment [\[30\] w](#page-12-0)hile subjected to multiple environmental disturbances which are too complicated to accurately model, yet whose effects are captured in the observed data. The model truncation capability afforded by the DMD technique and its variants allows for tuning to achieve a tolerable signal-to-noise ratio.

In the DMD method [\[97\], t](#page-13-3)he dynamics are decomposed into a linear combination of a large set of bases. Nevertheless, a reasonable truncation, which is suitable for engineering purposes, can be achieved. Essentially, the system dynamics, which are represented by a finite set of nonlinear equations, is approximated with an *up to* infinite set of linear state equations. The order of the obtained linear system can be tuned using a proper reduced-order truncation method as described later. Therefore, in the DMD method, we are using the approximated linear system

$$
\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k. \tag{8}
$$

To obtain the operator **A** for a general nonlinear system using the SVD-based approach, the snapshots of measurable quantities are obtained from Equation [\(6\)](#page-4-2). For the data matrix **X**, the following SVD factorization holds

$$
\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}.\tag{9}
$$

In the decomposition given by (9) , **U** and **V** are unitary matrices and (·)^{*} is the complex conjugate transpose operator.

FIGURE 3. DMD process for linearized, reduced-order model generation of nonlinear Tethered Satellite System subject to unknown disturbances [\[30\].](#page-12-0)

Moreover, Σ is a square matrix of singular values arranged by order of decreasing magnitude, with those in the lower rows corresponding to negligible dynamic modes (i.e., lower signal-to-noise ratio). Thus, the three matrices of the righthand-side in [\(9\)](#page-4-3) can be truncated to rank *r*−1 which maintains the best fit to data. Indeed, *r* is the optimal hard threshold attained through proper techniques such as the Gavish & Donoho method (see pp. 31 in [\[100\]\)](#page-13-6), to comply with a required truncation size.

Furthermore, it is possible to obtain the eigendecomposition

$$
\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^* = \mathbf{U} \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{\Sigma}^2, 0)\mathbf{U}^*
$$

$$
\mathbf{X}^*\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}^2\mathbf{V}^*,
$$
 (10)

from [\(9\)](#page-4-3). In this eigendecomposition, **U** contains the eigenvectors of **XX**[∗] and its columns are ordered according to how much correlation they capture in the columns of **X**. A geometric interpretation of the SVD given by (10) is that it is a product of rotation matrices scaled by the singular values, which is necessary to project data, **X**, from the original coordinate system onto a frame wherein the bases of the column-space are defined by **U** and the bases of the row-space are defined by **V**.

Once the data matrix **X** from Equation [\(6\)](#page-4-2) has been decomposed, the full state transition matrix can be reconstructed according to

$$
\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{X}' \widetilde{\mathbf{V}} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^*
$$
(11)

where \tilde{U} is also interpreted as the modes of principal orthogonal decomposition and the relationship

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{K}} = \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^* \mathbf{K} \widetilde{\mathbf{U}} \tag{12}
$$

holds for the unitary matrix U. Finally, the truncated Koopman matrix can be computed according to

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{K}} = \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^* \mathbf{X}' \widetilde{\mathbf{V}} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1}, \tag{13}
$$

where $(\tilde{})$ denotes the truncated quantities. See the textbook [\[100\] f](#page-13-6)or further details on reverting the obtained truncated states back to the original state space.

Equation [3](#page-3-2) provides the most general form for choosing the observables. Alternatively, if a catalog of functions (of the states) were included therein, the algorithm would then be referred to as Extended DMD (EDMD). Similarly, in the Sparse Identification of Nonlinear Dynamics (SINDy) algorithm, the time-shifted data matrix (or time derivative of the state, in the continuous time case) is equated to a matrix of possible coefficients projected onto a candidate library of functions to reproduce a structurally linear equivalent system representation of the nonlinear dynamics.

The Hankel Alternative View of Koopman (HAVOK) is yet another adaptation of DMD which has a characteristically predictive quality, especially for chaotic systems. This approach relies on Takens embedding theorem, which states that the full dynamics of a chaotic attractor can be reconstructed from the time series of a single measurement diffeomorphic to the original dynamics. This forms a relationship between the Hankel matrix interpretation of all elements propagating through a constant linear transformation of the initial state, and a chaotic system quality of being sensitive to initial conditions. Others have found alternative methods of approximating the Koopman operator (e.g., by use of artificial neural networks), while some have adapted DMD in further creative ways (e.g., Multi-resolution DMD) suited for increased robustness in specific applications. The goal of this paper is to present the application of the Koopman operator (through DMD and its evolved and alternative forms) on applications in the domain of vehicle engineering and smart mobility. The reader is referred to the textbook [\[100\]](#page-13-6) for more information on Koopman operator theory, SVD, DMD, optimal truncation and other fundamental methods.

IV. LITERATURE REVIEW: VEHICULAR APPLICATIONS

In this section we present our review of the literature and categorize the results of over 100 research papers based on both application and algorithm type that are concerned with the applications of Koopman operator theory to the field of smart mobility and vehicular engineering. Table [1](#page-2-0) details the specific Koopman operator-based system identification method/algorithm used by the studies referenced hereafter. Given the vast number of algorithms and variants thereof, the reader is encouraged to refer to the respective studies to obtain their technical details. The following presents the surveyed literature organized by vehicle category. Additionally, Figure [4](#page-8-0) provides a timeline of utilization of Koopman operator theory in various vehicular applications. The timeline pre-sented in Figure [4](#page-8-0) also demonstrates in which year a given

Koopman operator-based algorithm has first emerged (i.e., the first emergence of the algorithms provided in Table [1\)](#page-2-0) in the reviewed literature.

A. AEROSPACE

1) DRONES/QUADROTORS

Many of the aerospace applications falling under this review are concerned with *unmanned aerial vehicles* (UAVs), usually of the quadcopter variety. Specific studies also focus on particular maneuvers, for example, Koopman Eigenfunction Dynamic Mode Decomposition (KEEDMD) has been used for general quadrotor model generation [\[62\] an](#page-12-1)d, more specifically, to learn the nonlinear ground-effect to improve the speed and quality with which a multi-rotor aircraft may land [\[61\].](#page-12-2)

Optimization of UAV flight has been explored using Dynamic Mode Decomposition (DMD) [\[25\] an](#page-11-4)d DMD with Control (DMDc) [\[58\] in](#page-12-3) optimal control, and by the adjoint Koopman operator [\[13\] fo](#page-11-5)r expected state propagation, with demonstrated advantages over stochastic control schemes. The adjoint Koopman operator in this literature refers to the left adjoint of the Frobenius-Perron operator.

Several methods including DMD, Extended DMD (EDMD), bilinear EDMD (biEDMD) and Koopman Canonical Transform have been compared against each other on a planar quadrotor flight testbed, where the superiority of the Koopman Canonical Transform has been demonstrated in handling affine dynamics for nonlinear model predictive control (NMPC).

Path planning using Robust Koopman Model Predictive Control (RK-MPC) has also been demonstrated in a quadrotor simulation [\[59\]. O](#page-12-4)ptimal control for quadcopter stabilization has been demonstrated with models identified using EDMD [\[35\]. F](#page-12-5)inally, an artificial neural network (ANN) based approach called Split Koopman Autoencoder has been used in the context of remote state monitoring of UAVs [\[88\],](#page-13-7) where the communication aspect of flight pertaining to radio frequency signal processing has been addressed.

2) MISSILES/HYPERSONIC REGIME

A few other studies pertaining to the aeronautical domain have also been found to utilize Koopman operator-based methods. This includes the application of *ballistic airdrop*, where the adjoint Koopman operator is used to determine the optimal air release point for ariel delivery to a specified ground target under parametric uncertainty [\[10\]. M](#page-11-6)odeling of *missile dynamics*from noisy data for model predictive control has also been undertaken using Sparse Identification of Nonlinear Dynamics (SINDy) and Stepwise Akaike Information Criteria (SAIC), where it has been shown to be superior in comparison with state-feedback control [\[89\].](#page-13-8)

Remaining the theme of *supersonic flight*, model generation for aerodynamic flutter has been performed using Higher Order DMD (HODMD) to extract frequencies and damping from tests with reduced manual interaction and more robust aeroelastic analysis [\[83\]. F](#page-13-9)urther, in this flow regime, *super-* *sonic combustion ramjet* (ScramJet) engines are susceptible to an ''unstart condition'', which is when the airflow in a duct violently breaks down. This phenomenon occurs when the pre-combustion shock train (PSCT) location translates upstream beyond the front of the inlet, causing flow separation within the engine and resultant shear layer oscillations. The detection and characterization of this condition relies on accurate modeling of flow characteristics which has been the objective of a study through the use of multi-resolution DMD (mrDMD), demonstrating the inadequacy of the regular DMD approach [\[75\].](#page-13-10)

For *autonomous aircraft* and for *rocket combustion* instability control, principle orthogonal decomposition-based DMD (POD-DMD) was used to simplify equations of motion with a reduced number of variables and selective sensitivity [\[9\]. PO](#page-11-7)D-DMD is also found to have been used in the computational fluid dynamics (CFD) analysis of flow around an *airfoil* in the sub/transonic regime, with the method increasing computational efficiency by three orders of magnitude while accuracy remained within 5% as compared to other methods [\[8\].](#page-11-8)

Transitioning between air and space flight, upper and trans-atmospheric dynamics have posed a challenge due to the many environmental factors involved as well as vehicle controllability in what is usually the *hypersonic flight regime*. For this situation, EDMD has been employed to identify a system model for optimal attitude control [\[38\]. S](#page-12-6)imilarly, the adjoint Koopman operator has also been used [\[101\]](#page-13-11) to identify equations of motion through a linearly-constrained quadratic program to model atmospheric reentry.

3) SPACE SYSTEMS

In terms of space system applications, the categories can again be divided into *dynamics-related* (including traversing and maneuvering) and *subsystem-related* (including propulsion). We have found the most application to be the minimumfuel *orbital rendezvous*. One approach employed Koopman Map Inversion to obtain a linearized model for optimal control [\[66\], w](#page-12-7)hile another approach demonstrated Neural Koopman Lyapunov Control for linearizing a generalized affine system [\[76\]. M](#page-13-12)inimization of the Frobenius norm was performed on a similarly affine *thrust-vectoring* application using the pseudo-inverse to directly solve for the Koopman operator [\[32\].](#page-12-8)

In the study [\[17\], a](#page-11-9) linear model for *zonal harmonics* around the moon was derived using Schur decomposition, rather than a singular value decomposition (SVD) approach to approximate solutions to perturbed ordinary differential equations. A related problem is *lunar station-keeping*, namely for Lyapunov and Halo orbits in the circular-restricted three-body problem (CR3BP). One study creatively obtained the Koopman operator approximation of the system matrix through direct computation using Legendre polynomials, which are already by their nature a complete and finite set of orthonormal basis in Hilbert space [\[15\]. S](#page-11-10)ee [\[102\]](#page-13-13) for more information on the CR3BP.

Low thrust trajectory optimization in *underactuated orbital flight* was addressed by a projection method onto vector fields defined by the input matrix $[6]$. The authors of $[30]$ demonstrated the extraction of system equations for a tethered subsatellite deployment maneuver subjected to unmodelled dynamics and disturbances using DMD and DMDc. The same objective was achieved by directly using Koopman-invariant observables [\[4\], bu](#page-11-12)t is not always possible or practical for most problems. Further, system equations of a *lander* modeled as an inverted pendulum with stabilization thrusters below its center of gravity were derived using EDMD [\[43\].](#page-12-9) EDMD was also used for a lunar lander in the dynamic allocation of control between a human driver and robot [\[52\], \[](#page-12-10)[53\],](#page-12-11) referred to as model-based shared control (MbSC).

On the subsystem side of space applications, DMD was used to find resonant frequencies, damping coefficient and mode shapes in a CFD simulation of a rocket engine's *cryogenic swirl injector* [\[27\], th](#page-12-12)e critical flow rate at which vibration occurs, or *''garden hose instability''* (commonly encountered in rocket engines), was investigated using Arnoldi iteration to attain Koopman modes [\[65\].](#page-12-13)

Remark 4 (Identified Gap in the Literature): Although the objective of the aforementioned aerospace-related studies has been limited to system identification, the ultimate goal of almost 37% of the studies within this vehicle category (which is almost 46% above all vehicle categories combined together) was to obtain equations of motion in a linear form for the purposes of control using model predictive control (MPC) or other state-space methods. As it can be seen from Fig. [2,](#page-4-0) Aerospace has been the largest vehicle class employing emerging Koopman operator-based methods as compared to any other vehicle class. Despite this, the variety of aerospace vehicle types was found to be quite limited, mostly being small multi-rotor type UAVs. For this vehicle class, we have not been able to find from the surveyed literature studies pertaining to helicopters and balloons.

B. AUTOMOTIVE

1) AUTOMOBILE ENGINES

In terms of vehicle subsystems for combustion instability in internal combustion engines (ICE), the Hankel Alternative View of Koopman (HAVOK) method was employed for the prediction of *pre-ignition and super-knock* from real-time peak-pressure data [\[80\], w](#page-13-14)hile the authors of [\[79\]](#page-13-15) employed a portion of the same method (although not by name) to describe the thermoacoustic oscillation characterizing the transition between chaotic states and limit cycles. Also for ICE engines, *turbine dynamics* were investigated (e.g., in superchargers) using EDMD to model and predict turbulent and steady-state behavior [\[40\].](#page-12-14)

2) EV APPLICATIONS

In terms of *electric vehicles* (EVs), a linear model for motor control was extracted using DMD to actuate a permanent magnet synchronous motor through switching insulated-gate

bipolar transistors (IGBTs) [\[23\]. I](#page-11-13)GBTs are a common means to convert direct current (DC) from a battery to the appropriate coils within a motor to control speed in modern EVs. Similarly, an artificial neural network approach has been used to linearize a *DC-DC converter model* for switching control [\[74\]. F](#page-13-16)urthermore, linear data-driven predictors afforded by Koopman operator formalism have been utilized to formulate the eco-driving problem for electric vehicles in a constrained quadratic program setting [\[103\],](#page-13-17) [\[104\].](#page-13-18) Additionally, data-driven design methods based on Koopman operator theory have been utilized to design X-in-the-loop environments for electrical vehicles [\[105\].](#page-13-19) Finally, there is a recent body of literature on Koopman operator-based state estimation/prediction and fault diagnosis for batteries that are widely used in electric vehicles [\[106\],](#page-13-20) [\[107\].](#page-13-21)

3) AUTOMOTIVE MODEL IDENTIFICATION AND CONTROL

Model identification for *nonlinear tire dynamics* using EDMD is investigated in both [\[36\] a](#page-12-15)nd [\[37\], w](#page-12-16)ith the former utilizing a *single-track model* (making it applicable to motorcycles), while the latter further applies MPC control. Similarly, [\[5\] dev](#page-11-14)elops an MPC controller with a single-track model obtained by learning Koopman-invariant observables directly from data to recover the vehicle from a nonlinear state (e.g., skidding), when present. MPC is also used to minimize bounce by means of adjusting propulsive force in [\[41\] w](#page-12-17)hile using EDMD for their model generation, thus becoming an alternative method for suspension control. Finally, handling and stability control (with linear time-varying MPC, or LTV-MPC) using *torque vectoring* is explored by [\[39\] u](#page-12-18)sing EDMD for model identification.

4) AUTONOMOUS VEHICLE MOTION CONTROL/ADAS SYSTEMS

The remaining studies in this vehicle category pertain to motion control in autonomous or *advanced driver-assist systems*(ADAS). In this group, artificial neural network methods were most prevalent. Control for vehicle motion planning was enabled using Deep Direct Koopman (DDK) or variants in $[67]$, $[68]$, and $[69]$, with the latter-most specifically applied to a case study dealing with optimal trajectory prediction in racing. Deep learning-based EDMD was employed in [\[68\], a](#page-12-20)lso for system identification in path tracking. For vehicle-to-vehicle related optimized management of traffic comprised of autonomous vehicles, data-driven MPC (DMPC) was employed for coordinated movement [\[73\]](#page-13-22) (e.g., through a controlled intersection) which they term as "autonomous vehicle platooning"; here their focus is also on the comparison between centralized versus distributed controllers. The final studies in this category all aim to also obtain a linear model for MPC design and have to do with lane-keeping employing Bilinear Koopman Real-ization [\[57\], K](#page-12-22)oopman Tracking MPC (KTMPC) [\[71\], a](#page-12-23)nd WOEDMD [\[56\] fo](#page-12-24)r Operator-AV shared control.

FIGURE 4. Timeline of utilization of Koopman operator theory in various vehicular applications. The timeline also demonstrates in which year a given Koopman operator-based algorithm has first emerged (i.e., the first emergence of the algorithms provided in Table [1\)](#page-2-0) in the reviewed literature.

Remark 5 (Identified Gap in the Literature): For this vehicle class, we have not been able to find from the surveyed literature studies pertaining to tracked (including tanks) and screw-propelled vehicles, vehicles otherwise specialized for travel over multiple terrains (e.g., snow, sand, grass, or semi-aquatic environments), as well as tractors, emerging e-mobility devices and other specialized vehicles. Relevant information for applications concerning rovers may be found in the robotics literature, presented in an upcoming section.

C. MARINE

1) AUTONOMOUS MARINE VEHICLES

This vehicle category included some items which could have been categorized instead in the section for robotics, however, where the application dealt specifically with guidance, navigation or propulsion in water, it was considered to be a marine vehicle. This includes a robotic fish, where an EDMD-like algorithm was employed using high-order derivatives of physics-based functions of the state to linearize affine dynamics [\[14\]. S](#page-11-15)imilarly, the adjoint Koopman operator was used to improve the efficiency of a *swimming robot* in a flow-like environment $[16]$, where it could learn the dynamics of its environment. Finally, a robot was shown to follow a simulated river while avoiding probable locations of unsafe areas (navigation with probabilistic safety constraints)

using Naturally Structured DMD (NSDMD) [\[54\], w](#page-12-25)hich is actually a modified EDMD algorithm.

2) OCEANIC APPLICATIONS

The next common theme relates to *oceanic applications*. In the context of an oil spill, oceanic flow was modeled using the adjoint Koopman operator to determine the optimal location for ships to release dispersant to control contaminants in a double-gyre fluid flow field [\[14\]. P](#page-11-15)rediction of wind and oceanic flow patterns was also included in a review that surveyed the use of DMD and its variants [\[11\], i](#page-11-3)ncluding EDMD, Exact DMD, Debiased DMD (also known as forward- and backward-DMD, multiresolution DMD, Hankel DMD (also known as HAVOK), higher-order DMD (which includes derivatives of observable functions) and the adjoint Koopman operator. However, there are not all applied to vehicular applications, yet is a valuable resource for one who seeks to find an appropriate Koopman operator-based method for a potential vehicular application. Finally, a dissertation by [\[54\] pr](#page-12-25)esents Time-delay DMD (TD-DMD), EDMD, Kernel DMD (KDMD) and Sparsity Promoting DMD (spDMD), and includes the application of model identification for the 3D turbulent air-wake of a ship.

A unique study has also been found relating to the *measurement of sea ice concentration*, which aims to detect

exponentially decaying spatial modes in the Arctic and Antarctic oceans [\[64\]. T](#page-12-26)his study is an example of one explicitly relating to satellite data processing, however, there may be many others using Koopman operator-based methods extending into the area of remote sensing and geographic information systems (GIS) which are not within the scope of this survey.

Remark 6 (Identified Gap in the Literature): For this vehicle class, we have not been able to find from the surveyed literature studies pertaining to hovercraft, submarines (including autonomous or remotely piloted underwater vehicles) and offshore platforms. Relevant information for applications concerning hydrofoils may be found in the CFD literature.

D. MINING

1) HYDRAULIC FRACTURING

For this category, the most common studies employing Koopman operator-based methods were found for the application of *hydraulic fracturing*, which included [\[108\],](#page-13-23) [\[109\],](#page-13-24) [\[110\],](#page-13-25) and [\[111\].](#page-13-26) However, these studies were deemed to fall outside the scope of this review due to their non-vehicular nature. This is due to the fact that they focused largely on the detection of shale deposits with fixed drilling infrastructure. On the other hand, from a subsystem perspective, it may be somewhat appropriate to include processes enabling natural resource extraction through pipelines. In that sense, Hankelbased DMD (HDMD) was used to model the *multiphase flow dynamics* of an oil-gas slug and forecast hold-up time profiles [\[77\]. A](#page-13-27)t the very least, this could have relevance to the operation of inspection/health-monitoring and cleaning vehicles that are typically used in pipelines.

2) AUTONOMOUS EXCAVATION

Amongst other studies within this category, an *autonomous excavation* application was found where Koopman operatorbased system identification was performed using Duel Faceted Linearization for the selection of Koopman invariant observable variables [\[55\], w](#page-12-27)hereafter an MPC control strategy was applied. Koopman Mode Decomposition (KMD) was used for identifying growing or decaying modes from traffic data and was shown to be superior in performance as compared to artificial intelligence methods [\[63\]. F](#page-12-28)inally, the aforementioned study on autonomous vehicle platooning [\[73\]](#page-13-22) can arguably also belong in this category.

Remark 7 (Identified Gap in the Literature): For this vehicle class, we have not been able to find from the surveyed literature studies pertaining to subterranean machines, such as those used for tunnel boring or directional drilling, landships, and elevators.

E. TRAFFIC

Traffic management was found to be an area of research where Koopman operator-based system identification techniques are being used. Given its distinctness from physical road vehicles, it has been assigned its own category. The majority of applications in this class of vehicle pertained to *traffic signal phase timing*. In the studies by [\[20\] an](#page-11-17)d [\[21\],](#page-11-18) DMD was utilized for early identification of unstable queue growth, with the latter further proposing an adaptive traffic control system. The same objective was sought by [\[42\], b](#page-12-29)ut instead using EDMD to predict pedestrian traffic and an MPC controller for vehicle signaling in response to it.

F. ROBOTICS

1) ROBOTIC ARMS

The operation of *robotic arms* was found to be the most common application in this category and has been treated as a "vehicle" for the purposes of this survey as such devices are usually employed to spatially transport a payload from one point to another. For this purpose, EDMD was used (which they refer to as Koopman-MPC) to actuate the arm under voltage disturbance [\[34\]. A](#page-12-30)n aforementioned study from the Aerospace category [\[58\] al](#page-12-3)so demonstrates DMDc and other approaches including ANN and Reinforcement Learning on a robotic arm.

2) HUMAN-ROBOT COLLABORATION

A modified form of EDMD using Autodidact Stiffness Learning was used for detection and adaptivity in applied torque for the human-machine interface of a manipulator (i.e., yoke controller) [\[90\]. S](#page-13-28)imilarly, end-effector motion of industrial robotic arms around humans requires environmental state prediction for safe path planning. This was done in one study where the Koopman operator was directly solved for by taking the pseudo-inverse involved in minimization of the Frobenius norm (a computationally expensive operation) [\[33\]. T](#page-12-31)he same objective of *safe path planning* was also achieved in $[12]$ with the use of the adjoint Koopman operator and in [\[94\] us](#page-13-29)ing the Stochastic Koopman Operator. The latter study also cited an interesting application of their method for automated air traffic management but was not selected for inclusion in the Aerospace category given the lack of demonstration (i.e., simulation, physical experiment or substantive formulation).

3) SOFT ROBOTICS

Other robotics-related applications found in the literature included a *pneumatically actuated soft manipulator* which used EDMD for pick & place operations for objects of unknown mass [\[47\].](#page-12-32) Underactuated control of the same type of robot was explored in [\[78\] u](#page-13-30)sing Hankel DMD (HDMD). An aforementioned study from the Aerospace category [\[35\] a](#page-12-5)lso involved control of a *robotic ball* (called ''Sphero SPRK'') rolling in level sand to follow a predetermined trajectory, EDMD was used here. Similarly, [\[62\]](#page-12-1) from the same category also included an example of a wheeled robot using a modified KEEDMD algorithm for mode unknown dynamics and improving computational efficiency.

4) WHEELED/LEGGED/SWIMMING ROBOTS

A unique study involving a wheeled robot utilized EDMD for increased computational efficiency and real-time implementation [\[48\]. U](#page-12-33)sing *jointed legs* to locomote is another means by which a robot may traverse; the authors of [\[19\]](#page-11-20) investigated such crawling and used DMD to expose the method's limitations. Marine robotic applications, as previously mentioned, include the robotic fish [\[31\] an](#page-12-34)d obstacleavoiding river-traversing robot using NSDMD [\[51\]. T](#page-12-35)he former used an MPC controller to make the fish swim in a line or circle, with the linearized model obtained directly from an adaptive error minimization approach using Taylor series error bounds.

Remark 8 (Identified Gap in the Literature): For this vehicle class, we have not been able to find from the surveyed literature studies pertaining to climbing or jumping vehicles, vehicles relying on peristaltic locomotion (see, e.g., [\[112\] f](#page-13-31)or such a robot prototype), attack or surveillance platforms, and robot swarms. Although, a unique biolocomotion study was indeed found to employ DMD to enable mapping between an upper limb and its contra-lateral lower limb while walking forward at constant speed [\[22\]. T](#page-11-21)his may arguably qualify as a mode of transportation (i.e., walking), and may very well apply to bipedal robots which are designed to walk like humans.

G. RAIL

Only one single study was found pertaining to this vehicle category, which was for an MPC application of a *high-speed train* whose linearized model was obtained via EDMD [\[49\].](#page-12-36)

Remark 9 (Identified Gap in the Literature): For this vehicle class, we have not been able to find from the surveyed literature studies pertaining to trams, cable cars and roller coasters. It is important to note that factors surrounding the operation of vehicles or their subsystems were not discounted in the literature search. For example, the HAVOK algorithm's predictive qualities may have potential in the areas of environmental forecasting (e.g., passenger load, wind and earthquake) and health monitoring (e.g., component mean time between failures), such that vehicles could be operated with appropriate constraints during times of expected adverse conditions.

V. LITERATURE REVIEW: VEHICLE-RELATED & OTHER RELEVANT STUDIES

In this section we provide an overview of other relevant applications, which are not explicitly vehicular in nature, and theoretical/algorithmic variations of the Koopman operator framework that might be beneficial for future applications in the area of smart mobility and vehicular engineering.

A. GENERAL STUDIES APPLICABLE TO VEHICLES

Studies focused on fluid flow are among the most common vehicle-related research topics where Koopman operator theory has played an integral role. Using DMD, the modeling of nonlinear oscillations due to vortex shedding was investigated

in [\[24\] an](#page-11-22)d is highly relevant for aeronautical applications. Also relevant to aeronautical engines that operate in the transonic regime is the use of DMD to model separated and turbulent flow within a convergent-divergent nozzle [\[82\],](#page-13-32) which manifests as the gas path of gas turbine engines. Most internal and external combustion engines also rely on liquid [fuel] injection, for which $[82]$ is highly relevant as it demonstrates the modeling, prediction and control of nonlinear flow associated with atomization dynamics, enables by SMS and deep convolutional Koopman network (CKN). Similarly, MPC control of nonlinear fluid flow was demonstrated in [\[84\]](#page-13-33) using a deep learning approach.

The second most common research area found applicable to this category were studies pertaining to motor control, which is especially relevant to UAVs and robots, but potentially also to other types of vehicles when examining them from a subsystem perspective. This was achieved in [\[93\] u](#page-13-34)sing Gaussian process-based Koopman operator in robust controller design, and in [\[46\] u](#page-12-37)sing EDMD for current control for the synchronous operation of motors. Finally, a power management study used Stochastic Adversarial Koopman Operator with Auxillary Neural Network for the quick learning of reduced order models that measure the state of charge of Lithium-ion batteries. Potentially applicable to some aircraft and specialized ground vehicles, one study used DMD in the diagnostics of natural gas rotating detonation engines [\[26\].](#page-12-38)

B. THEORETICAL ISSUES WITH POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS TO SMART MOBILITY AND VEHICULAR ENGINEERING

This section introduces some studies which are theoretically focused on the derivation of unique Koopman operator-based techniques but have not been utilized in the applicationfocused literature. They are included in this review due to their potential for any future applications the reader may be motivated towards. Firstly, [\[18\] u](#page-11-23)ses DMD and rescaled DMD (rDMD) for image processing. Also relating to images, [\[81\] u](#page-13-35)sed Deterministic and Convolutional Koopman Networks (DCKNet and CKNet, respectively) to predict a suitable trajectory from a provided topography to solve the standard Mountain Car Problem. This may have relevance to energy-limited adaptive cruise control applications in the automotive category. Linearized, reduced order models in [\[113\] a](#page-13-36)re identified using Physics-informed DMD (piDMD), while [\[7\] sim](#page-11-24)ilarly makes a case for physics preservation but utilizes a projection-based model reduction approach. Examples in the former include channel flow and flow past a cylinder, which may be relevant to Marine vehicles, but does not explicitly specify such. The inverted pendulum model is generated in [\[70\] u](#page-12-39)sing Deep Neural Network-based Koopman (Koopman DNN), with [\[72\] a](#page-13-37)lso employing an ANN approach, and [\[86\] c](#page-13-38)ombining ANN with accelerated learning using Deep Koopman Reinforcement Learning (DKRL). Finally, an ANN-based Exact DMD approach is also presented in [\[44\] in](#page-12-40) comparison with EDMD

and LIR-DMD for the development of multi-scale models from coarse data with long-range prediction.

EDMD and Stochastic Koopman Operator (SKO) are used in [\[50\], w](#page-12-41)hile [\[45\] p](#page-12-42)resents a modified EDMD. It has been noted that throughout this survey, EDMD was the most used method in a modified form. Sparse Identification of Nonlinear Dynamics with Control (SINDYc) has been developed in [\[50\], a](#page-12-41)nd can potentially be applied anywhere DMDc has been used (e.g., in [\[30\] fo](#page-12-0)r tethered subsatellite deployment), although the paper demonstrates its application on a predator-prey model and the Lorenz system. An example in [\[60\] ap](#page-12-43)plies Robust Tube-based MPC with Koopman (r-KMPC) on the Van der Pol Oscillator, which may have relevance to applications in wireless communication, among other areas. Finally, an interesting application of auto-tuning (i.e., model evaluation) using DMD was presented in [\[29\]](#page-12-44) in the context of a zero-sum game. This may have potential applications in the balancing of parameters and fuzzy criteria in the realm of AV aggressiveness and wargaming.

VI. CONCLUSION

Since its advent in 1931, Koopman operator theory [\[1\] ha](#page-11-0)s only recently been actively utilized for solving practical problems, thanks to the introduction of the DMD algorithm in 2008 [\[3\]. Si](#page-11-2)nce then, a multitude of DMD algorithm variations have risen to prominence and found utility across various fields. A notable feature of our survey paper was reviewing and categorizing the results of over 100 research papers based on both application and algorithm type in smart mobility and vehicle engineering (see Table [1](#page-2-0) and Section [IV\)](#page-5-0). Additionally, this survey paper identified potential research gaps in smart mobility and vehicular engineering applications (Remarks [4](#page-7-0)[–9\)](#page-10-0). Finally, this review paper discussed theoretical aspects of Koopman operator theory that have been largely neglected by the smart mobility and vehicle engineering community and yet have large potential for contributing to solving open problems in these areas (see Section [V-B\)](#page-10-2).

Future Research Directions. Given the emergence of cyber-threats against connected and autonomous vehicles as well as robotic systems (see, e.g., [\[114\],](#page-13-39) [\[115\]\)](#page-13-40), a future research direction might include utilizing Koopman operator-based algorithms for designing cyber-resilient vehicular and smart mobility applications (see, e.g., [\[116\]](#page-13-41) for a related line of research). Another potential research direction is using Koopman operator-based algorithms for predicting the motion of vulnerable road users (VRUs), e.g., pedestrians and cyclists (see, e.g., [\[117\],](#page-13-42) [\[118\]\)](#page-13-43). Finally, rehabilitation robotics and robotic exoskeletons can be the benefactors of the predictive capabilities of Koopman operator-based algorithms for detecting tripping events and/or system identification in various modes of locomotion (see, e.g., [\[119\],](#page-14-0) [\[120\]\)](#page-14-1).

REFERENCES

[\[1\] B](#page-0-0). O. Koopman, ''Hamiltonian systems and transformation in Hilbert space,'' *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 315–318, 1931.

- [\[2\] S](#page-0-1). L. Brunton, B. W. Brunton, J. L. Proctor, and J. N. Kutz, ''Koopman invariant subspaces and finite linear representations of nonlinear dynamical systems for control,'' *PLoS ONE*, vol. 11, no. 2, Feb. 2016, Art. no. e0150171.
- [\[3\] J](#page-0-2). L. Schmid and J. P. Sesterhenn, ''Dynamic mode decomposition of numerical and experimental data,'' in *Proc. Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc.*, in 61st APS meeting, vol. 61. San Antonio, TX, USA, 2008, p. 208.
- [\[4\] T](#page-0-3). Chen and J. Shan, "Koopman-operator-based attitude dynamics and control on SO(3),'' *J. Guid., Control, Dyn.*, vol. 43, no. 11, pp. 2112–2126, Nov. 2020.
- [\[5\] V](#page-0-3). Cibulka, T. Haniš, M. Korda, and M. Hromčík, ''Model predictive control of a vehicle using Koopman operator,'' *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 4228–4233, 2020.
- [\[6\] C](#page-0-3). Hofmann, S. Servadio, R. Linares, and F. Topputo, ''Advances in Koopman operator theory for optimal control problems in space flight,'' in *Proc. AAS/AIAA Astrodyn. Spec. Conf.*, 2022, pp. 1–13.
- [\[7\] E](#page-0-3). Qian, B. Kramer, B. Peherstorfer, and K. Willcox, ''Lift & learn: Physics-informed machine learning for large-scale nonlinear dynamical systems,'' *Phys. D, Nonlinear Phenomena*, vol. 406, May 2020, Art. no. 132401.
- [\[8\] S](#page-0-3). A. Renganathan, Y. Liu, and D. N. Mavris, "Koopman-based approach to nonintrusive projection-based reduced-order modeling with black-box high-fidelity models,'' *AIAA J.*, vol. 56, no. 10, pp. 4087–4111, Oct. 2018.
- [\[9\] S](#page-0-3). E. Otto, ''Advances in data-driven modeling and sensing for highdimensional nonlinear systems,'' Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. Mech. Aerosp. Eng., Princeton Univ., Princeton, NJ, USA, 2022.
- [\[10\]](#page-0-3) A. Leonard, J. Rogers, and A. Gerlach, "Koopman operator approach to airdrop mission planning under uncertainty,'' *J. Guid., Control, Dyn.*, vol. 42, no. 11, pp. 2382–2398, Nov. 2019.
- [\[11\]](#page-0-3) P. J. Schmid, ''Dynamic mode decomposition and its variants,'' *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 225–254, Jan. 2022.
- [\[12\]](#page-0-3) G. Gutow and J. D. Rogers, "Koopman operator method for chanceconstrained motion primitive planning,'' *IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 1572–1578, Apr. 2020.
- [\[13\]](#page-0-3) J. J. Meyers, A. M. Leonard, J. D. Rogers, and A. R. Gerlach, "Koopman operator approach to optimal control selection under uncertainty,'' in *Proc. Amer. Control Conf. (ACC)*, Jul. 2019, pp. 2964–2971.
- [\[14\]](#page-0-3) S. Sinha, U. Vaidya, and R. Rajaram, ''Operator theoretic framework for optimal placement of sensors and actuators for control of nonequilibrium dynamics,'' *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 440, no. 2, pp. 750–772, Aug. 2016.
- [\[15\]](#page-0-3) S. Servadio, D. Arnas, and R. Linares, "Dynamics near the three-body libration points via Koopman operator theory,'' *J. Guid., Control, Dyn.*, vol. 45, no. 10, pp. 1800–1814, Oct. 2022.
- [\[16\]](#page-0-3) T. Salam, V. Edwards, and M. Ani Hsieh, "Learning and leveraging features in flow-like environments to improve situational awareness,'' *IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 2071–2078, Apr. 2022.
- [\[17\]](#page-0-3) D. Arnas, "Solving perturbed dynamic systems using Schur decomposition,'' *J. Guid., Control, Dyn.*, vol. 45, no. 12, pp. 2211–2228, Dec. 2022.
- [\[18\]](#page-0-3) I. Cohen, T. Berkov, and G. Gilboa, "Total-variation mode decomposition,'' in *Proc. Int. Conf. Scale Space Variational Methods Comput. Vis.* Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2021, pp. 52–64.
- [\[19\]](#page-0-3) Z. Wu, S. L. Brunton, and S. Revzen, "Challenges in dynamic mode decomposition,'' *J. Roy. Soc. Interface*, vol. 18, no. 185, Dec. 2021, Art. no. 20210686.
- [\[20\]](#page-0-3) E. Ling, L. Zheng, L. J. Ratliff, and S. Coogan, "Koopman operator applications in signalized traffic systems,'' *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 3214–3225, Apr. 2022.
- [\[21\]](#page-0-3) E. Ling, L. Ratliff, and S. Coogan, ''Koopman operator approach for instability detection and mitigation in signalized traffic,'' in *Proc. 21st Int. Conf. Intell. Transp. Syst. (ITSC)*, Nov. 2018, pp. 1297–1302.
- [\[22\]](#page-0-3) A. M. Boudali, P. J. Sinclair, R. Smith, and I. R. Manchester, ''Human locomotion analysis: Identifying a dynamic mapping between upper and lower limb joints using the Koopman operator,'' in *Proc. 39th Annu. Int. Conf. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Soc. (EMBC)*, Jul. 2017, pp. 1889–1892.
- [\[23\]](#page-0-3) S. Hanke, S. Peitz, O. Wallscheid, S. Klus, J. Böcker, and M. Dellnitz, ''Koopman operator-based finite-control-set model predictive control for electrical drives,'' 2018, *arXiv:1804.00854*.
- [\[24\]](#page-0-3) S. Le Clainche, D. Rodríguez, V. Theofilis, and J. Soria, ''Flow around a hemisphere-cylinder at high angle of attack and low Reynolds number. Part II: POD and DMD applied to reduced domains,'' *Aerosp. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 44, pp. 88–100, Jul. 2015.
- [\[25\]](#page-0-3) S. Vasisht and M. Mesbahi, ''Data-guided aerial tracking,'' *J. Guid., Control, Dyn.*, vol. 43, no. 8, pp. 1540–1549, Aug. 2020.
- [\[26\]](#page-0-3) C. L. Journell, R. M. Gejji, I. V. Walters, A. I. Lemcherfi, C. D. Slabaugh, and J. B. Stout, ''High-speed diagnostics in a natural gas–air rotating detonation engine,'' *J. Propuls. Power*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 498–507, Jul. 2020.
- [\[27\]](#page-0-3) H. T. Luong, Y. Wang, H.-G. Sung, and C. H. Sohn, ''A comparative study of dynamic mode decomposition methods for mode identification in a cryogenic swirl injector,'' *J. Sound Vib.*, vol. 503, Jul. 2021, Art. no. 116108.
- [\[28\]](#page-0-3) R. Larusson, N. Andersson, L.-E. Eriksson, and J. Östlund, "Comparison of eigenmode extraction techniques for separated nozzle flows,'' in *Proc. 50th AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propuls. Conf.*, Jul. 2014, p. 4003.
- [\[29\]](#page-0-3) A. M. Avila, M. Fonoberova, J. P. Hespanha, I. Mezić, D. Clymer, J. Goldstein, M. A. Pravia, and D. Javorsek, ''Game balancing using Koopman-based learning,'' in *Proc. Amer. Control Conf. (ACC)*, May 2021, pp. 710–717.
- [\[30\]](#page-0-3) W. A. Manzoor, S. Rawashdeh, and A. Mohammadi, ''Koopman operatorbased data-driven identification of tethered subsatellite deployment dynamics,'' *ASCE J. Aerosp. Eng.*, 2023.
- [\[31\]](#page-0-3) G. Mamakoukas, M. L. Castano, X. Tan, and T. D. Murphey, "Derivativebased Koopman operators for real-time control of robotic systems,'' *IEEE Trans. Robot.*, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 2173–2192, Dec. 2021.
- [\[32\]](#page-0-3) V. Zinage and E. Bakolas, "Far-field minimum-fuel spacecraft rendezvous using Koopman operator and *l*2/*l*¹ optimization,'' in *Proc. Amer. Control Conf. (ACC)*, May 2021, pp. 2992–2997.
- [\[33\]](#page-0-3) A. Sinha and Y. Wang, "Koopman operator-based knowledge-guided reinforcement learning for safe human–robot interaction,'' *Frontiers Robot. AI*, vol. 9, Jun. 2022, Art. no. 779194, doi: [10.3389/frobt.2022.779194.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/frobt.2022.779194)
- [\[34\]](#page-0-3) X. Zhu, C. Ding, L. Jia, and Y. Feng, "Koopman operator based model predictive control for trajectory tracking of an omnidirectional mobile manipulator,'' *Meas. Control*, vol. 55, nos. 9–10, pp. 1067–1077, Nov. 2022.
- [\[35\]](#page-0-3) T. A. Berrueta, I. Abraham, and T. Murphey, *The Koopman Operator in Systems and Control: Concepts, Methodologies, and Applications*, vol. 484, A. Mauroy, I. Mezić, and Y. Susuki, Eds. Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2020.
- [\[36\]](#page-0-3) V. Cibulka, T. Haniš, and M. Hromčík, ''Data-driven identification of vehicle dynamics using Koopman operator,'' in *Proc. 22nd Int. Conf. Process Control*, Jun. 2019, pp. 167–172.
- [\[37\]](#page-0-3) M. Švec, Š. Ileš, and J. Matuško, "Model predictive control of vehicle dynamics based on the Koopman operator with extended dynamic mode decomposition,'' in *Proc. 22nd IEEE Int. Conf. Ind. Technol. (ICIT)*, vol. 1, Mar. 2021, pp. 68–73.
- [\[38\]](#page-0-3) P. Mi, Q. Wu, and Y. Wang, "Suboptimal control law for a near-space hypersonic vehicle based on Koopman operator and algebraic Riccati equation,'' *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng., G, J. Aerosp. Eng.*, vol. 239, no. 9, 2022, Art. no. 09544100211045594.
- [\[39\]](#page-0-3) M. Švec, Š. Ileš, and J. Matuško, "Predictive approach to torque vectoring based on the Koopman operator,'' in *Proc. Eur. Control Conf. (ECC)*, Jul. 2021, pp. 1341–1346.
- [\[40\]](#page-0-3) S. Zinage, S. Jadhav, Y. Zhou, I. Bilionis, and P. Meckl, ''Data driven modeling of turbocharger turbine using Koopman operator,'' *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 55, no. 37, pp. 175–180, 2022.
- [\[41\]](#page-0-3) J. Buzhardt and P. Tallapragada, ''A Koopman operator approach for the vertical stabilization of an off-road vehicle,'' *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 55, no. 37, pp. 675–680, 2022.
- [\[42\]](#page-0-3) D. Lehmberg, F. Dietrich, and G. Köster, "Modeling Melburnians-Using the Koopman operator to gain insight into crowd dynamics,'' *Transp. Res. C, Emerg. Technol.*, vol. 133, Dec. 2021, Art. no. 103437.
- [\[43\]](#page-0-3) S. Bhandari, "Landing a spaceship with Koopman operator theory and model predictive control,'' M.S. thesis, Dept. Inform., Tech. Univ. Munich, Munich, Germany, 2021.
- [\[44\]](#page-0-3) M. Li and L. Jiang, "Deep learning nonlinear multiscale dynamic problems using Koopman operator,'' *J. Comput. Phys.*, vol. 446, Dec. 2021, Art. no. 110660.
- [\[45\]](#page-0-3) M. O. Williams, M. S. Hemati, S. T. M. Dawson, I. G. Kevrekidis, and C. W. Rowley, ''Extending data-driven Koopman analysis to actuated systems,'' *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 49, no. 18, pp. 704–709, 2016.
- [\[46\]](#page-0-3) H. M. Calderón, I. Hammoud, T. Oehlschlägel, H. Werner, and R. Kennel, ''Data-driven model predictive current control for synchronous machines: A Koopman operator approach,'' in *Proc. Int. Symp. Power Electron., Electr. Drives, Autom. Motion (SPEEDAM)*, Jun. 2022, pp. 942–947.
- [\[47\]](#page-0-3) D. Bruder, X. Fu, R. B. Gillespie, C. D. Remy, and R. Vasudevan, ''Koopman-based control of a soft continuum manipulator under variable loading conditions,'' *IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett.*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 6852–6859, Oct. 2021.
- [\[48\]](#page-0-3) L. Shi and K. Karydis, "Enhancement for robustness of Koopman operatorbased data-driven mobile robotic systems,'' in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Robot. Autom. (ICRA)*, Jun. 2021, pp. 2503–2510.
- [\[49\]](#page-0-3) B. Chen, Z. Huang, R. Zhang, W. Liu, H. Li, J. Wang, Y. Fan, and J. Peng, ''Data-driven Koopman model predictive control for optimal operation of high-speed trains,'' *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 82233–82248, 2021.
- [\[50\]](#page-0-3) M. O. Williams, I. G. Kevrekidis, and C. W. Rowley, ''A data–driven approximation of the Koopman operator: Extending dynamic mode decomposition,'' *J. Nonlinear Sci.*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 1307–1346, 2015.
- [\[51\]](#page-0-3) J. Moyalan, Y. Chen, and U. Vaidya, ''Navigation with probabilistic safety constraints: A convex formulation,'' in *Proc. Amer. Control Conf. (ACC)*, Jun. 2022, pp. 826–830.
- [\[52\]](#page-0-3) A. Broad, I. Abraham, T. Murphey, and B. Argall, ''Data-driven Koopman operators for model-based shared control of human–machine systems,'' *Int. J. Robot. Res.*, vol. 39, no. 9, pp. 1178–1195, Aug. 2020.
- [\[53\]](#page-0-3) A. Broad, T. Murphey, and B. Argall, "Learning models for shared control of human-machine systems with unknown dynamics,'' in *Proc. 13th Robot., Sci. Syst.*, N. Amato, S. Srinivasa, N. Ayanian, and S. Kuindersma, Eds. Cambridge, MA, USA: Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Jul. 2017.
- [\[54\]](#page-0-3) S. Pan, "Robust and interpretable learning for operator-theoretic modeling of non-linear dynamics,'' Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. Aerosp. Eng., Univ. Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA, 2021.
- [\[55\]](#page-0-3) F. E. Sotiropoulos and H. H. Asada, ''Dynamic modeling of bucket-soil interactions using Koopman-DFL lifting linearization for model predictive contouring control of autonomous excavators,'' *IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 151–158, Jan. 2022.
- [\[56\]](#page-0-3) W. Guo, H. Cao, S. Zhao, M. Li, B. Yi, and X. Song, "A data-driven modelbased shared control strategy considering drivers' adaptive behavior in driver-automation interaction,'' *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng., D, J. Automobile Eng.*, vol. 2022, Jul. 2022, Art. no. 09544070221104888.
- [\[57\]](#page-0-3) S. Yu, C. Shen, and T. Ersal, ''Autonomous driving using linear model predictive control with a Koopman operator based bilinear vehicle model,'' *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 55, no. 24, pp. 254–259, 2022.
- [\[58\]](#page-0-3) W. Jin, Z. Wang, Z. Yang, and S. Mou, ''Pontryagin differentiable programming: An end-to-end learning and control framework,'' in *Proc. Adv. Neural Inf. Process. Syst.*, vol. 33, 2020, pp. 7979–7992.
- [\[59\]](#page-0-3) G. Mamakoukas, S. Di Cairano, and A. P. Vinod, ''Robust model predictive control with data-driven Koopman operators,'' in *Proc. Amer. Control Conf. (ACC)*, Jun. 2022, pp. 3885–3892.
- [\[60\]](#page-0-3) X. Zhang, W. Pan, R. Scattolini, S. Yu, and X. Xu, ''Robust tube-based model predictive control with Koopman operators,'' *Automatica*, vol. 137, Mar. 2022, Art. no. 110114.
- [\[61\]](#page-0-3) C. Folkestad, D. Pastor, and J. W. Burdick, "Episodic Koopman learning of nonlinear robot dynamics with application to fast multirotor landing,'' in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Robot. Autom. (ICRA)*, Aug. 2020, pp. 9216–9222.
- [\[62\]](#page-0-3) C. Folkestad, Y. Chen, A. D. Ames, and J. W. Burdick, ''Data-driven safetycritical control: Synthesizing control barrier functions with Koopman operators,'' *IEEE Control Syst. Lett.*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 2012–2017, Dec. 2021.
- [\[63\]](#page-0-3) A. M. Avila, "Applications of Koopman operator theory to highway traffic dynamics,'' M.S. thesis, Dept. Mech. Eng., Univ. California, Santa Barbara, CA, USA, 2017.
- [\[64\]](#page-0-3) J. Hogg, M. Fonoberova, and I. Mezić, "Exponentially decaying modes and long-term prediction of sea ice concentration using Koopman mode decomposition,'' *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 1–15, Oct. 2020.
- [\[65\]](#page-0-3) M. H. Canham, ''On flexible tubes conveying a moving fluid: Variational dynamics and spectral analysis,'' M.S. thesis, Dept. Math. Stat. Sci., Univ. Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada, 2017.
- [\[66\]](#page-0-3) S. Servadio, R. Armellin, and R. Linares, ''A Koopman-operator control optimization for relative motion in space,'' in *Proc. AIAA SCITECH Forum*, Jan. 2023, p. 873.
- [\[67\]](#page-0-3) Y. Xiao, ''DDK: A deep Koopman approach for dynamics modeling and trajectory tracking of autonomous vehicles,'' 2021, *arXiv:2110.14700*.
- [\[68\]](#page-0-3) Y. Xiao, X. Zhang, X. Xu, X. Liu, and J. Liu, ''Deep neural networks with Koopman operators for modeling and control of autonomous vehicles,'' *IEEE Trans. Intell. Vehicles*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 135–146, Jan. 2023.
- [\[69\]](#page-0-3) R. Wang, Y. Han, and U. Vaidya, ''Deep Koopman data-driven control framework for autonomous racing,'' in *Proc. Int. Conf. Robot. Autom. (ICRA) Workshop Opportunities Challenges Auton. Racing*, 2021, pp. 1–6.
- [\[70\]](#page-0-3) Y. Han, W. Hao, and U. Vaidya, ''Deep learning of Koopman representation for control,'' in *Proc. 59th IEEE Conf. Decis. Control (CDC)*, Dec. 2020, pp. 1890–1895.
- [\[71\]](#page-0-3) Y. Wang, Y. Yang, Y. Pu, and C. Manzie, ''Data-driven predictive tracking control based on Koopman operators,'' 2022, *arXiv:2208.12000*.
- [\[72\]](#page-0-3) C. Bakker, A. Bhattacharya, S. Chatterjee, C. J. Perkins, and M. R. Oster, ''Learning Koopman representations for hybrid systems,'' 2020, *arXiv:2006.12427*.
- [\[73\]](#page-0-3) J. Zhan, Z. Ma, and L. Zhang, ''Data-driven modeling and distributed predictive control of mixed vehicle platoons,'' *IEEE Trans. Intell. Vehicles*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 572–582, Jan. 2023.
- [\[74\]](#page-0-3) A. Maksakov and S. Palis, ''Koopman-based optimal control of boost DC–DC converter,'' in *Proc. IEEE Problems Automated Electrodrive. Theory Pract. (PAEP)*, Sep. 2020, pp. 1–4.
- [\[75\]](#page-0-3) J. Sullivan, "Application of data-driven modal decomposition techniques to the non-stationary case of scramjet unstart,'' M.S. thesis, Dept. Mech. Aerosp. Eng., Ohio State Univ., Columbus, OH, USA, 2021.
- [\[76\]](#page-0-3) V. Zinage and E. Bakolas, ''Neural Koopman Lyapunov control,'' *Neurocomputing*, vol. 527, pp. 174–183, Mar. 2023.
- [\[77\]](#page-0-3) N. Ali, B. Viggiano, M. Tutkun, and R. B. Cal, "Forecasting the evolution of chaotic dynamics of two-phase slug flow regime,'' *J. Petroleum Sci. Eng.*, vol. 205, Oct. 2021, Art. no. 108904.
- [\[78\]](#page-0-3) D. A. Haggerty, M. J. Banks, P. C. Curtis, I. Mezić, and E. W. Hawkes, ''Modeling, reduction, and control of a helically actuated inertial soft robotic arm via the Koopman operator,'' 2020, *arXiv:2011.07939*.
- [\[79\]](#page-0-3) Z. Ma, G. Wang, T. Cui, and Y. Zheng, ''Interpretation of intermittent combustion oscillations by a new linearization procedure,'' *J. Propuls. Power*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 190–199, Mar. 2022.
- [\[80\]](#page-0-3) W. A. Manzoor, S. Rawashdeh, and A. Mohammadi, ''Real-time prediction of pre-ignition and super-knock in internal combustion engines,'' *SAE Int. J. Engines*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 1–13, Jul. 2022, doi: [10.4271/03-16-03-0021.](http://dx.doi.org/10.4271/03-16-03-0021)
- [\[81\]](#page-0-3) Y. Xiao, X. Xu, and Q. Lin, ''CKNet: A convolutional neural network based on Koopman operator for modeling latent dynamics from pixels,'' 2021, *arXiv:2102.10205*.
- [\[82\]](#page-0-3) S. B. Leask, ''Dynamical feature extraction of atomization phenomena using deep Koopman analysis,'' Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. Mech. Aerosp. Eng., Univ. California, Irvine, CA, USA, 2021.
- [\[83\]](#page-0-3) S. Le Clainche, R. Moreno-Ramos, P. Taylor, and J. M. Vega, "New robust" method to study flight flutter testing,'' *J. Aircr.*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 336–343, Jan. 2019.
- [\[84\]](#page-0-3) K. Bieker, S. Peitz, S. L. Brunton, J. N. Kutz, and M. Dellnitz, ''Deep model predictive flow control with limited sensor data and online learning,'' *Theor. Comput. Fluid Dyn.*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 577–591, Aug. 2020.
- [\[85\]](#page-0-3) M. L. Castano, A. Hess, G. Mamakoukas, T. Gao, T. Murphey, and X. Tan, ''Control-oriented modeling of soft robotic swimmer with Koopman operators,'' in *Proc. IEEE/ASME Int. Conf. Adv. Intell. Mechatronics (AIM)*, Jul. 2020, pp. 1679–1685.
- [\[86\]](#page-0-3) L. Song, J. Wang, and J. Xu, "A data-efficient reinforcement learning method based on local Koopman operators,'' in *Proc. 20th IEEE Int. Conf. Mach. Learn. Appl. (ICMLA)*, Dec. 2021, pp. 515–520.
- [\[87\]](#page-0-3) C. Folkestad and J. W. Burdick, ''Koopman NMPC: Koopman-based learning and nonlinear model predictive control of control-affine systems,'' in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Robot. Autom. (ICRA)*, May 2021, pp. 7350–7356.
- [\[88\]](#page-0-3) A. M. Girgis, H. Seo, J. Park, M. Bennis, and J. Choi, ''Split learning meets Koopman theory for wireless remote monitoring and prediction,'' in *Proc. IEEE 32nd Annu. Int. Symp. Pers., Indoor Mobile Radio Commun. (PIMRC)*, Sep. 2021, pp. 1191–1196.
- [\[89\]](#page-0-3) H. Matpan, "Data driven model discovery and control of longitudinal missile dynamics,'' M.S. thesis, Dept. Mech. Eng., Middle East Tech. Univ., Ankara, Türkiye, 2021.
- [\[90\]](#page-0-3) T. Goyal, S. Hussain, E. Martinez-Marroquin, N. A. T. Brown, and P. K. Jamwal, ''Impedance control of a wrist rehabilitation robot based on autodidact stiffness learning,'' *IEEE Trans. Med. Robot. Bionics*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 796–806, Aug. 2022.
- [\[91\]](#page-0-3) S. L. Brunton, J. L. Proctor, and J. N. Kutz, ''Sparse identification of nonlinear dynamics with control (SINDYc),'' *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 49, no. 18, pp. 710–715, 2016.
- [\[92\]](#page-0-3) K. Balakrishnan and D. Upadhyay, ''Stochastic adversarial Koopman model for dynamical systems,'' 2021, *arXiv:2109.05095*.
- [\[93\]](#page-0-3) L. Yingzhao and C. Jones, ''On Gaussian process based Koopman operators,'' *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 53, pp. 52–58, Jan. 2020.
- [\[94\]](#page-0-3) M. L. Bujorianu, R. Wisniewski, and E. Boulougouris, ''Stochastic safety for random dynamical systems,'' in *Proc. Amer. Control Conf. (ACC)*, May 2021, pp. 1340–1345.
- [\[95\]](#page-1-2) K. K. Chen, J. H. Tu, and C. W. Rowley, "Variants of dynamic mode decomposition: Boundary condition, Koopman, and Fourier analyses,'' *J. Nonlinear Sci.*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 887–915, Mar. 2012.
- [\[96\]](#page-1-3) Merriam-Webster. *Vehicle*. Accessed: Feb. 20, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/vehicle
- [\[97\]](#page-3-3) P. Schmid, ''Dynamic mode decomposition of numerical and experimental data,'' *J. Fluid Mech.*, vol. 656, pp. 5–28, Aug. 2010.
- [\[98\]](#page-3-4) M. J. Colbrook, L. J. Ayton, and M. Szöke, ''Residual dynamic mode decomposition: Robust and verified Koopmanism,'' *J. Fluid Mech.*, vol. 955, p. A21, Jan. 2023.
- [\[99\]](#page-3-5) S. L. Brunton, B. W. Brunton, J. L. Proctor, E. Kaiser, and J. N. Kutz, ''Chaos as an intermittently forced linear system,'' *Nature Commun.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1–9, May 2017.
- [\[100\]](#page-5-3) S. L. Brunton and J. N. Kutz, *Data-Driven Science and Engineering: Machine Learning, Dynamical Systems, and Control*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2022.
- [\[101\]](#page-6-0) J. Meyers, J. Rogers, and A. Gerlach, "Koopman operator method for solution of generalized aggregate data inverse problems,'' *J. Comput. Phys.*, vol. 428, Mar. 2021, Art. no. 110082.
- [\[102\]](#page-6-1) W. Manzoor, ''A comparative study of formation control at the Earth-Moon L² libration point,'' M.S. thesis, Dept. Aerosp. Eng., Ryerson Univ., Toronto, ON, Canada, 2011.
- [\[103\]](#page-7-1) S. Gupta, D. Shen, D. Karbowski, and A. Rousseau, ''Koopman model predictive control for eco-driving of automated vehicles,'' in *Proc. Amer. Control Conf. (ACC)*, Jun. 2022, pp. 2443–2448.
- [\[104\]](#page-7-2) D. Shen, J. Han, D. Karbowski, and A. Rousseau, "Data-driven design of model predictive control for powertrain-aware eco-driving considering nonlinearities using Koopman analysis,'' *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 55, no. 24, pp. 117–122, 2022.
- [\[105\]](#page-7-3) M. E. Marco, J. A. de la Riva, C. B. Sopena, and J. C. S. Cortes, ''A data-driven methodology applied to X-in-the-loop environments for electric vehicle development,'' in *Proc. IEEE Vehicle Power Propuls. Conf. (VPPC)*, Oct. 2021, pp. 1–5.
- [\[106\]](#page-7-4) B. B. Kanbur, V. Kumtepeli, and F. Duan, "Thermal performance prediction of the battery surface via dynamic mode decomposition,'' *Energy*, vol. 201, Jun. 2020, Art. no. 117642.
- [\[107\]](#page-7-5) H. Moreno and A. Schaum, ''Low-order electrochemical state estimation for Li-ion batteries,'' *Algorithms*, vol. 16, no. 2, p. 73, Jan. 2023.
- [\[108\]](#page-9-0) A. Narasingam and J. S.-I. Kwon, "Application of Koopman operator" for model-based control of fracture propagation and proppant transport in hydraulic fracturing operation,'' *J. Process Control*, vol. 91, pp. 25–36, Jul. 2020.
- [\[109\]](#page-9-0) H. Klie and H. Florez, "Data-driven discovery of unconventional shale reservoir dynamics,'' in *Proc. SPE Reservoir Simulation Conf.*, Galveston, TX, USA, Apr. 2019, doi: [10.2118/193904-MS.](http://dx.doi.org/10.2118/193904-MS)
- [\[110\]](#page-9-0) A. Narasingam, ''Operator theoretic model predictive control of moving boundary dynamical systems: Application to hydraulic fracturing,'' Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. Chem. Eng., Texas A&M Univ., San Antonio, TX, USA, 2020.
- [\[111\]](#page-9-1) A. Bao, E. Gildin, A. Narasingam, and J. S. Kwon, "Data-driven model reduction for coupled flow and geomechanics based on DMD methods,'' *Fluids*, vol. 4, no. 3, p. 138, Jul. 2019.
- [\[112\]](#page-10-3) S. Scheraga, A. Mohammadi, T. Kim, and S. Baek, "Design of an underactuated peristaltic robot on soft terrain,'' in *Proc. IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. Intell. Robots Syst. (IROS)*, Oct. 2020, pp. 6419–6426.
- [\[113\]](#page-10-4) P. Baddoo, B. Herrmann, B. McKeon, N. Kutz, and S. Brunton, ''Learning and enforcing physical structure with physics-informed dynamic mode decomposition (piDMD),'' in *Proc. APS Division Fluid Dyn. Meeting Abstr.*, 2021, pp. 1–10.
- [\[114\]](#page-11-25) E. Nekouei, M. Pirani, H. Sandberg, and K. H. Johansson, "A randomized filtering strategy against inference attacks on active steering control systems,'' *IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Security*, vol. 17, pp. 16–27, 2022.
- [\[115\]](#page-11-25) A. Mohammadi, H. Malik, and M. Abbaszadeh, "Generation of wheel lockup attacks on nonlinear dynamics of vehicle traction,'' in *Proc. Amer. Control Conf. (ACC)*, Jun. 2022, pp. 1994–1999.
- [\[116\]](#page-11-26) M. Taheri, K. Khorasani, N. Meskin, and I. Shames, ''Data-driven Koopman operator based cyber-attacks for nonlinear control affine cyberphysical systems,'' in *Proc. IEEE 61st Conf. Decis. Control (CDC)*, Dec. 2022, pp. 6769–6775.
- [\[117\]](#page-11-27) E. A. I. Pool, J. F. P. Kooij, and D. M. Gavrila, "Context-based cyclist path prediction using recurrent neural networks,'' in *Proc. IEEE Intell. Vehicles Symp. (IV)*, Jun. 2019, pp. 824–830.
- [\[118\]](#page-11-27) C. Schöller, V. Aravantinos, F. Lay, and A. Knoll, ''What the constant velocity model can teach us about pedestrian motion prediction,'' *IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 1696–1703, Apr. 2020.
- [\[119\]](#page-11-28) S. Kumar, A. Mohammadi, D. Quintero, S. Rezazadeh, N. Gans, and R. D. Gregg, ''Extremum seeking control for model-free auto-tuning of powered prosthetic legs,'' *IEEE Trans. Control Syst. Technol.*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 2120–2135, Nov. 2020.
- [\[120\]](#page-11-28) F. Aprigliano, S. Micera, and V. Monaco, "Pre-impact detection algorithm to identify tripping events using wearable sensors,'' *Sensors*, vol. 19, no. 17, p. 3713, Aug. 2019.

SAMIR RAWASHDEH (Senior Member, IEEE) received the B.S. degree in electrical engineering from The University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan, in 2007, and the M.S.E.E. and Ph.D. degrees in electrical engineering from the University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA, in 2009 and 2013, respectively. In 2014, he joined the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Michigan–Dearborn, where he is currently an Associate Professor. His research interests include

robot perception, embedded systems, and smart health.

WAQAS A. MANZOOR (Member, IEEE) received the B.Eng. and M.A.Sc. degrees in aerospace engineering from Ryerson University, Toronto, Canada, in 2009 and 2011, respectively. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree in automotive systems and mobility with the University of Michigan–Dearborn. After his M.A.Sc. degree, he continued as a Research Associate with Ryerson University. Currently, he is a licensed professional engineer in Canada. He has also been

with Pratt & Whitney Canada, Mississauga, ON, Canada, and the Department of Research and Advanced Engineering, Ford Motor Company, Dearborn, MI, USA.

ALIREZA MOHAMMADI (Member, IEEE) received the bachelor's degree in electrical engineering from Sharif University of Technology, Iran, in 2009, the master's degree from the University of Alberta, Canada, in 2011, and the Ph.D. degree in electrical and computer engineering from the University of Toronto, Canada, in 2016. During his Ph.D. studies, he collaborated with the Norwegian Centre for Autonomous Marine Operations and Systems (a centre of excellence for

research in Norway) on the locomotion control of ground and swimming snake robots. He was with the Telerobotic and Biorobotic Systems Laboratory, University of Alberta. He joined the Locomotor Control Systems Laboratory, The University of Texas at Dallas, as a Postdoctoral Research Associate, in November 2016, where he was using neuromechanical principles in the context of feedback control theory to design wearable robot control systems. He is currently an Assistant Professor of electrical and computer engineering with the University of Michigan–Dearborn. His research interests include robotics, control systems, and cyber-physical systems.