Reduction of Temperature Drift in Refractive-Index-Sensing Optical Frequency Comb by Active-Dummy Compensation of Dual-Comb Configuration

Shogo Miyamura[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1414-9610) Masayuki Higaki, Shuji Taue , Yoshiaki Nakajima , Yu Tokizane, Eiji Hase , Takeo Minamikawa[®][,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3528-7163) and Takeshi Yasui[®]

*Abstract***—Refractive-index (RI) sensing plays a pivotal role in various domains, encompassing applications like glucose sensing, biosensing, and gas detection. Despite the advantages of optical fiber sensors, such as their compact size, flexibility, and immunity to electromagnetic interference, they are often plagued by temperature-induced drift, which adversely impacts the accuracy of RI measurements. This study introduces an innovative approach to alleviate temperature-induced drift in RI-sensing optical frequency combs (OFCs) by employing active-dummy compensation. The central idea revolves around the utilization of a dual-comb setup, comprising an active-sensing OFC that monitors both sample RI and environmental temperature, and a dummy-sensing OFC that exclusively tracks environmental temperature. The disparity** between these sensor signals, denoted as Δf_{rep} , effectively nulli**fies the effects of temperature variations, yielding a temperatureindependent sensor signal for precise RI measurements. This investigation delves into the relationship between active-dummy temperature compensation and Δ***frep***. It becomes evident that diminishing Δ***frep* **values enhance temperature compensation, thereby diminishing fluctuations in Δ***frep* **caused by environmental temperature shifts. This compensation technique establishes a direct link between Δ***frep* **and sample RI, paving the way for absolute RI measurements based on Δ***frep***. The findings of this research**

Manuscript received 24 January 2024; revised 8 May 2024; accepted 26 May 2024. Date of publication 28 May 2024; date of current version 16 September 2024. This work was supported in part by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research under Grant 22H00303, in part by the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED) under Grant 20he0822006j00, in part by the Promotion of Regional Industries and Universities by the Cabinet Office, and in part by the Plan for Industry Promotion and Young People's Job Creation by the Creation and Application of Next-Generation Photonics by Tokushima Prefecture. *(Shogo Miyamura and Masayuki Higaki contributed equally to this work.) (Corresponding author: Takeshi Yasui.)*

Shogo Miyamura, Masayuki Higaki, Yu Tokizane, Eiji Hase, and Takeshi Yasui are with the Tokushima University, Tokushima 770-8506, Japan (e-mail: [c502142001@tokushima-u.ac.jp;](mailto:c502142001@tokushima-u.ac.jp) [c612332008@tokushima-u.ac.jp;](mailto:c612332008@tokushima-u.ac.jp) [tokiza](mailto:tokizane@tokushima-u.ac.jp) [ne@tokushima-u.ac.jp;](mailto:tokizane@tokushima-u.ac.jp) [hase@tokushima-u.ac.jp;](mailto:hase@tokushima-u.ac.jp) [yasui.takeshi@tokushima-u.](mailto:yasui.takeshi@tokushima-u.ac.jp) [ac.jp\)](mailto:yasui.takeshi@tokushima-u.ac.jp).

Shuji Taue is with the Kochi University of Technology, Kami 782-8502, Japan (e-mail: [taue.shuji@kochi-tech.ac.jp\)](mailto:taue.shuji@kochi-tech.ac.jp).

Yoshiaki Nakajima is with Toho University, Chiba 274-8510, Japan (e-mail: [yoshiaki.nakajima@sci.toho-u.ac.jp\)](mailto:yoshiaki.nakajima@sci.toho-u.ac.jp).

Takeo Minamikawa is with Osaka University, Osaka 560-8531, Japan (e-mail: [minamikawa.takeo.es@osaka-u.ac.jp\)](mailto:minamikawa.takeo.es@osaka-u.ac.jp).

Color versions of one or more figures in this article are available at [https://doi.org/10.1109/JLT.2024.3406581.](https://doi.org/10.1109/JLT.2024.3406581)

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/JLT.2024.3406581

are a valuable contribution to the advancement of accurate and temperature-compensated RI sensing methodologies using dualcomb setup. The insights gained regarding Δ*frep* **dependency and the strategies proposed for enhancing measurement precision and stability hold significant promise for applications in fields of product quality control and biosensing.**

*Index Terms***—Dual comb, fiber sensor, optical frequency comb, refractive index, temperature compensation.**

I. INTRODUCTION

PTICAL fiber sensor [\[1\],](#page-6-0) [\[2\]](#page-6-0) has been often used for refractive-index (RI) sensing and RI-sensing-based applications, including ethanol sensing [\[3\],](#page-6-0) glucose sensing [\[4\],](#page-6-0) DNA-interaction sensing [\[5\],](#page-6-0) biomolecule detection [\[6\],](#page-6-0) and antibody-antigen reaction [\[7\].](#page-6-0) RI fiber sensor benefits from inherent advantages of fiber sensor such as lightweight, small size, flexibility, cost effectiveness, immunity to electromagnetic interference, and environmental ruggedness. Various types of RI fiber sensors have been developed: tapered fiber [\[8\],](#page-6-0) coreoffset fiber [\[9\],](#page-6-0) fiber Bragg grating (FBG) [\[10\],](#page-6-0) surface plasmon resonance (SPR) [\[11\],](#page-6-0) and multimode interference (MMI) [\[12\].](#page-6-0) Most of RI fiber sensors measures RI-dependent shift of optical spectrum peak or dip. However, the small shift to the relatively broad bandwidth in a spectral peak or dip as well as a limited spectrometer resolution of instruments often spoils the precision of those RI fiber sensors.

One potential approach to avoid the drawback of optical spectrum measurement in RI sensing is conversion of optical spectral sensor signal to photonic radio-frequency (RF) spectral sensor signal such as optical beat signal; namely, photonic RF conversion. The photonic RF conversion enables us to measure a sample RI as RF frequency signal, benefiting from high precision, wide dynamic range, convenience, and low cost in wellestablished electrical frequency measurements. One promising approach for photonic RF conversion is use of optical frequency comb (OFC) [13], [14] because OFC has a coherent link between optical frequency of OFC line (ν*m*; typically, 194 THz in fiber OFC) and radio frequency of OFC line spacing (*frep*; typically,

© 2024 The Authors. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 License. For more information, see https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

100 MHz in fiber OFC) given by

$$
\nu_m = f_{ceo} + mf_{rep} \tag{1}
$$

where *fceo* is a carrier-envelope-offset frequency and *m* is a line number. Equation (1) indicates that an optical frequency signal of THz order can be uniquely converted to an electrical frequency signal of MHz order. Recently, fiber-OFC-based photonic RF conversion was adopted for fiber sensing of sample RI [\[15\],](#page-6-0) [\[16\],](#page-6-0) [\[17\].](#page-6-0) A fiber OFC including an intracavity MMI fiber sensor has been used for a photonic RF conversion of a sample RI into *frep* via a combination of RI-dependent tunable bandpass filtering by the intracavity MMI fiber sensor and the wavelength dispersion by the OFC cavity fiber. Such RI-sensing OFC enables *frep*-reading RI sensing; due to the ultranarrow linewidth in the mode-locking oscillation, the *frep* signal with the spectral linewidth below 1 Hz is precisely measured by a RF frequency counter synchronized with a rubidium frequency standard. Furthermore, the intracavity fiber sensor enables multiple interactions between the sample and the light, enhancing the sensitivity. However, the temperature drift of *frep* caused by the temperature fluctuation of an optical cavity length (*nL*) spoils the reproducibility of *frep*-reading RI sensing because *frep* in the RI-sensing OFC is free-running operation in contrast to actively stabilized operation of usual OFCs. Because of lack of one-to-one correspondence between *frep* and sample RI, *frep* change (δ*frep*) measurement is performed to obtain RI change. However, such relative measurement of *frep* is not realistic in practical applications of RI sensing. If cavitytemperature-dependent slow drift of *frep* is suppressed, the absolute measurement of the sample RI will be achieved based on the one-to-one correspondence between *frep* and sample RI.

Recently, the active-dummy temperature-drift compensation with a dual-comb configuration was adopted for RI-sensing OFC and its application for biosensing [\[18\].](#page-6-0) A pair of RI-sensing OFCs was used for an active-sensing OFC and a dummy-sensing OFC. Since the active-sensing OFC provides the sensor signal (*frep1*) reflecting both the sample RI and the cavity temperature whereas the dummy-sensing OFC gives the sensor signal (*frep2*) reflecting only the cavity temperature, difference of sensor signals between them (Δ*frep*) cancels the influence of temperature. In other words, it gives the temperature-independent sensor signal of sample RI. This dual-comb RI sensing enables the small change in the sensor signal caused by sample RI to be extracted from the large, variable background signal caused by temperature disturbance. Furthermore, it was effectively applied for rapid biosensing of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) by help of antigen–antibody interactions [\[18\].](#page-6-0) However, an investigation regarding the optimal Δf_{ren} in the context of the active-dummy temperature compensation and the one-to-one correspondence between sensor signal of Δ*frep* and sample RI has not yet been conducted.

In this article, we investigate the Δ*frep* dependence of the active-dummy temperature compensation, and then the Δ*frep* dependence of the one-to-one correspondence between sensor

Fig. 1. (a) Principle of operation for RI sensing OFC. (b) Schematic drawing of MMI fiber sensor.

signals and sample RI by using as an ethanol water solution with different RIs as a sample.

II. PRINCIPLE OF OPERATION

Fig. $1(a)$ shows the principle of operation for RI-sensing OFC. Since its detailed principle is given elsewhere [\[15\],\[16\],\[17\],](#page-6-0) we briefly described it. The first key element is an intracavity MMI fiber sensor [\[12\],](#page-6-0) functioning as an RI-dependent tunable optical bandpass filter inside the cavity. Fig. $1(b)$ shows a schematic diagram of the MMI fiber sensor. The MMI fiber sensor is composed of a clad-less multimode fiber (MMF; Thorlabs Inc., Newton, NJ, USA, FG125LA, core diameter $= 125 \mu m$, fiber length = 58.94 mm) with a pair of single-mode fibers (SMFs) at both ends (Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA, SMF28e+, core diameter = 8.2 μ m, cladding diameter = 125 μ m, fiber length $= 150$ mm). Only the exposed core of the clad-less MMF functions as a sensing part. The OFC light passing through the input SMF is diffracted at the entrance face of the clad-less MMF and then undergoes repeated total internal reflection at the boundary between the clad-less MMF core surface and the sample solution. As a result of constructive interference at the exit face of the clad-less MMF, only the light satisfying the MMI wavelength λ_{MMI} can exit through the clad-less MMF and then be transmitted through the output SMF. λ_{MMI} is given by

$$
\lambda_{MMI} = \frac{n_{MMF} m_{MMI}}{L_{MMF}} \left[D \left(n_{sam} \right) \right]^2 \tag{2}
$$

where L_{MMF} and n_{MMF} are the geometrical length and RI of the clad-less MMF, *mMMI* is the order of the MMI, *nsam* is the RI near the clad-less MMF core surface (namely, sample RI), and $D(n_{sam})$ is the effective core diameter of the clad-less MMF. Since *D(nsam)* is influenced by the Goos-Hänchen shift

Fig. 2. Principle of operation for active-dummy temperature-drift compensation with dual-comb configuration in RI-sensing OFC. (a) Schematic drawing of dual-comb RI sensing setup. (b) Behavior of *frep1* in active-sensing OFC and *frep2* in dummy-sensing OFC. (c) Behavior of Δ*frep* in dual-comb RI sensing.

on the core surface of the clad-less MMF, λ_{MMI} is a function of the sample RI near the sensor surface. The intracavity MMI fiber sensor in this study functions as an RI-dependent optical bandpass filter tunable around λ_{MMI} (= 1556.6 nm) with constructive interference at $L_{MMF} = 58.94$ mm and $m_{MMI} = 4$. This λ*MMI* was selected to match a spectral peak of the fiber OFC, suppressing the power loss. This intracavity MMI fiber sensor leads to RI-dependent optical spectrum shift of RI-sensing OFC (center wavelength $= \lambda_{MMI}$). The second key element is wavelength dispersion of refractive index in the OFC cavity fiber, converting RI-dependent λ_{MMI} shift into RI-dependent shift of the optical cavity length *nL*. A relation between *nL* and *frep* is given by

$$
f_{rep} = \frac{c}{nL} \tag{3}
$$

where *c* is a velocity of light in vacuum, *n* and *L* are respectively a group refractive index and a geometrical length of fiber OFC cavity. Since *frep* is a function of *nL*, RI-dependent *nL* shift is equivalent to RI-dependent *frep* shift. Finally, one can read a sample RI as RI-dependent *frep*.

We next describe how to compensate the cavity-temperaturedependent drift of *frep* in RI-sensing OFC. We here set a pair of RI-sensing OFCs with a sample and a reference material under equivalent environmental temperature disturbance as shown in Fig. $2(a)$. When the sample RI increases stepwise while the cavity temperature changes monotonously, the sensor signal *frep1* of the active-sensing OFC changes depending on both sample RI and cavity temperature. On the other hand, when the cavity temperature change monotonously without the change of reference material RI in the dummy-sensing OFC, the sensor signal *frep2* of the dummy-sensing OFC reflects only the cavity temperature. Therefore, a difference between f_{rep1} and f_{rep2} (= $\Delta f_{rep} = f_{rep1} - f_{rep2}$ reflects the sample RI signal without the influence of cavity temperature change [Fig. $2(c)$].

Fig. 3. Schematic drawing of experimental setup. LD, pumping laser diode; FC, 50:50 fiber coupler; PMF, polarization-maintaining single-mode fiber; EDF, erbium-doped polarization-maintaining single-mode fiber; SAM, fiber-coupled saturable absorbed mirror; WDM, wavelength-division-multiplexing coupler; MMI, intracavity multimode-interference fiber sensor; FPR, 90:10 fiber-partial reflector.

III. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

Fig. 3 shows the schematic drawing of the dual-comb RI sensing. They are based on a pair of linear fiber OFC cavities mode-locked by saturable absorption. Each linear cavity includes a polarization-maintaining single-mode fiber (PMF, Corning Panda PM1550, Corning, dispersion at 1550 $nm = 17 \text{ ps} \cdot \text{km}^{-1} \cdot \text{nm}^{-1}$, an erbium-doped polarizationmaintaining single-mode fiber (EDF, PM-ESF-7/125, Coherent, dispersion at 1550 nm = 16 ps• km^{-1} •nm⁻¹) [\[19\],](#page-6-0) a fiber-coupled saturable absorbed mirror (SAM-1550-55-2ps-1.3b-0, BATOP, high reflection band $= 1480-1640$ nm, absorbance $= 55\%$, modulation depth $= 34\%$, relaxation time constant \sim 2 ps, size = 1.3-mm width, 1.3-mm height, 0.4-mm thickness), a wavelength-division-multiplexing coupler (WDM, AFR, PMWDM-1-9801550-2-B-Q-6), a pumping laser diode $(LD, BL976-PAG700, Thorlabs, wavelength = 976 nm, power)$ $= 700$ mW), a 90:10 fiber-partial reflector (FPR, PMOFM-55-2-B-Q-F-90), and an intracavity MMI fiber sensor (MMI). The intracavity MMI fiber sensor was composed of a clad-less MMF (FG125LA, Thorlabs, core diameter = 125μ m, fiber length = 58.94 mm) with a pair of PMFs at both ends (core diameter $= 8.5 \mu m$, clad diameter $= 125 \mu m$). Here, we set λ_{MMI} to 1556.6 nm. The fiber cavity was enclosed in a plastic box, and its temperature was controlled to 21.5 °C by a combination of a Peltier heater (TEC1-12708, Kaito Denshi, power = 76 W), a thermistor (NXFT15WF104FA2B050, Murata), and a temperature controller (TED200, Thorlabs, PID control) (not shown in Fig. 3). We set *frep2* of the dummy-sensing OFC to be 31451121 Hz. Then, we adjusted *frep1* of the active-sensing OFC around 31 MHz to change Δ*frep* within a range of a few kHz to 1000 kHz by adjusting its cavity fiber length of the active-sensing OFC. We adopted mechanically sharing of linear fiber cavities to implement equivalent environmental temperature disturbance to the active-sensing and the dummy-sensing OFCs [\[20\],](#page-6-0) [\[21\],](#page-6-0) where all fiber components and intracavity MMI fiber sensors are placed at the same position of the cavity box. As a result, temperature drift and/or mechanical vibrations acting as disturbances on the cavity affect both cavities almost equivalently. The output light from them was detected by a pair of photodetectors (PD), and *frep1* and *frep2* were measured by an RF frequency counter (53220A, Keysight Technologies, frequency resolution

Fig. 4. Basic performance of active-sensing and dummy-sensing OFCs. (a) Comparison of optical spectra between active-sensing OFC with different Δf_{rep} values and dummy-sensing OFC (RBW = 0.1 nm). RF spectra of (b) f_{rep1} and (c) f_{rep2} when $\Delta f_{rep} = 3$ kHz (RBW = 10 Hz).

 $= 12$ digit • s⁻¹) synchronized to a rubidium frequency standard (FS725, Stanford Research Systems, accuracy = 5×10^{-11} and instability = 2×10^{-11} at 1s). Additionally, its optical spectrum was measured by an optical spectrum analyzer (AQ6315A, Yokogawa Electric Corp., wavelength accuracy $= 0.02$ nm, wavelength resolution $= 0.02$ nm).

IV. RESULTS

A. Basic Performance

We first evaluated basic performance of active-sensing OFC and dummy-sensing OFC when a pure water was used as a sample for them. We prepared active-sensing OFCs with different Δf_{ren} values (= 3 kHz, 46 kHz, 111 kHz, and 1000 kHz). Fig. 4(a) compares optical spectra of active-sensing OFC among different Δf_{rep} values (resolution bandwidth = 0.1 nm). Each individual optical spectrum closely matches around the wavelength of 1559 nm. For comparison, the optical spectrum of the dummy-sensing OFC was also measured as indicated by a black plot in Fig. 4(a). The overlap of optical spectra between the active-sensing OFC and the dummy-sensing OFC was not significant. The difference in overlap between them is due to the uncertainty in the clad-less MMF length (*LMMF*) of the MMI fiber sensor and hence λ_{MMI} , which is caused by the fiber processing accuracy. Fig. $4(b)$ and (c) show RF spectra of active-sensing OFC with *frep1* and dummy-sensing OFC with f_{rep2} when Δf_{rep} was set to be 3 kHz (resolution bandwidth $= 10$ Hz). Similar RF spectra were observed for the active-sensing OFC with different Δ*frep* values (not shown). The narrow linewidth characteristics enable high precision of RI sensing, but they also make the system more susceptible to environmental temperature drift effects.

We next evaluated the frequency instability of f_{rep1} and f_{rep2} . Fig. $5(a)$ shows a comparison of the frequency fluctuation between f_{rep1} and f_{rep2} with respect to gate time when Δf_{rep} was set to be 3 kHz. Since both active-sensing OFC and dummysensing OFC have similar cavity configuration and environment, they show similar frequency instability of f_{ren1} and f_{ren2} . A similar trend was observed for different Δ*frep* values (not

Fig. 5. Frequency characteristics of active-sensing and dummy-sensing OFCs. (a) Frequency fluctuation of *frep1* and *frep2* with respect to gate time. (b) Temporal variation of *frep1* in long term.

shown), confirming that the frequency fluctuations of *frep1* and *frep2* are not dependent on Δ*frep*. We also investigated the long-term frequency variations of *frep1* in the active-sensing OFC. Fig. 5(b) shows the temporal variations of *frep1* within a range of 10 hours. We here defined the frequency deviation of f_{rep1} from its initial value of measurement start as δf_{rep1} . Due to changes in the optical cavity length caused by variations in ambient temperature, fluctuations of *frep1* within the 100 Hz range can be observed. The unidirectional increase in *frep1* reflects gradual changes in the cavity environment temperature, likely due to ambient temperature variations. In contrast, the periodic repetitive changes correspond to room temperature fluctuations caused by air conditioning because the periodic fluctuations are synchronized with the simultaneous temperature measurements taken by the thermometer (not shown).

B. Dependence of Active-Dummy Temperature Compensation on Δf_{rep}

As shown in Fig. 5, the active-sensing OFC and the dummysensing OFC exhibited similar behaviors in frequency characteristics, which enables compensation for the temperature drift effect by taking the difference between them. Of particular interest here is how this compensation effect depends on Δ*frep* and whether an optimal Δf_{rep} exists. We consider the alignment of optical cavity lengths, given by an inverse of*frep*, in the activesensing and dummy-sensing OFCs to be an important parameter in the active-dummy compensation using mechanically-sharing dual-comb configuration. Therefore, an investigation was conducted regarding the dependence of active-dummy temperature compensation on Δf_{rep} (= 3 kHz, 46 kHz, 111 kHz, and 1000 kHz); these four Δ*frep* values correspond to alignments of 99.99%, 99.87%, 99.52%, and 96.82%, respectively, aiming to evaluate the extent of influence from alignments with different orders of magnitude. Here, considering the results indicating changes of approximately 100 Hz in *frep1* due to room temperature variations as shown in Fig. 5(b), we measured Δ*frep* when *frep1* was changed by approximately 100 Hz by rapid air conditioning. We used a pure water as a sample with a constant RI for them, again. We measured the temporal variations of*frep1*, *frep2*, and Δ*frep* when pure water was used as a sample for both OFCs. Fig. [6](#page-4-0) shows the temporal shifts in f_{rep1}, f_{rep2} , and Δf_{rep} , namely, δf_{rep1} , δf_{rep2} , and $\delta \Delta f_{rep}$, respectively, when Δf_{rep} was

Fig. 6. Temporal shifts in f_{rep1}, f_{rep2} , and Δf_{rep} ($\delta f_{rep1}, \delta f_{rep2}$, and $\delta \Delta f_{rep}$) when Δ*frep* was set to be (a) 3 kHz, (b) 46 kHz, (c) 111 kHz, and (d) 1000 kHz. (e) Comparison of frequency fluctuation among different Δ*frep* values with respect to gate time.

set to be (a) 3 kHz, (b) 46 kHz, (c) 111 kHz, and (d) 1000 kHz. Comparing with δ*frep1* and δ*frep2*, it is evident that the width of the frequency fluctuations in Δ*frep* has been significantly reduced, indicating the effective operation of the active-dummy temperature compensation. Furthermore, the width of these fluctuations decreases as Δ*frep* is reduced to lower frequencies. A small Δ*frep* implies that the difference in optical cavity length between the active-sensing OFC and the dummy-sensing OFC is small, indicating that the thermal changes in optical cavity length have a similar impact on both. This is believed to be the origin of this Δ*frep* dependence. Therefore, a smaller Δ*frep* is preferred, but there is a limit imposed by the machining precision of the cavity fiber length. For example, the uncertainty of 1 mm in optical cavity length leads to that of 10 kHz in *frep1*. The actual machining precision of the fiber cutter is around 1 mm, making it difficult to adjust Δ*frep* below 1 kHz.

We also investigated the dependence of its frequency instability on Δ*frep.* Fig. 6(e) compares the frequency fluctuation among different Δ*frep* values with respect to gate time. In contrast to Fig. $6(a)$, (b) , (c) , and (d) , no clear Δf_{rep} dependence was observed. This frequency instability reflects short-term rather than long-term behavior, and it was found that Δ*frep* dependence does not appear on such short time scales. From the viewpoint of RI sensing, the frequency instability in long-term is important.

C. Dependence of Temperature-Compensated RI Sensing Performance on Δfrep

Finally, we investigated the dependence of active-dummy temperature-compensated RI sensing performance on Δ*frep* ($=$ 3 kHz, 40 kHz, 150 kHz, and 1000 kHz). We used ethanol solutions consisting of water $(RI = 1.3180$ refractive index unit

Fig. 7. Average and standard deviation of *frep1* measured with different RI samples: (a) $\Delta f_{rep} = 3$ kHz, (b) $\Delta f_{rep} = 40$ kHz, (c) $\Delta f_{rep} = 150$ kHz, and (d) $\Delta f_{rep} = 1000$ kHz.

or RIU at 1550 nm) and ethanol ($RI = 1.347$ RIU at 1550 nm) at different ratios, corresponding to different RIs, as target samples in the active-sensing OFC. We prepared five samples with different RIs ($= 0$ EtOH%, 2.5 EtOH%, 5 EtOH%, 7.5 EtOH%, 10 EtOH%, corresponding to 1.3180 RIU, 1.3188 RIU, 1.3196 RIU, 1.3205 RIU, and 1.3213 RIU). Additionally, pure water (a 0 vol% ethanol solution, corresponding to 1.3180 RIU) was used as a reference material with a constant RI in the dummy-sensing OFC. We repeated the RI sensing of ethanol solutions with different concentrations five times based on the measurement of *frep1*. Fig. 7 shows the average and standard deviation of *frep1* measured with different RI samples when Δ*frep* was set to be (a) 3 kHz, (b) 40 kHz, (c) 150 kHz, and (d) 1000 kHz. While a relatively large standard deviation is observed, the standard deviation varies significantly with Δ*frep*. The reason for this behavior, which should ideally show similar fluctuations in f_{rep1} regardless of the value of Δf_{rep} , is believed to be due to the behavior of temperature drift, as shown in Fig. $5(b)$. Depending on the timing of the measurement start, *frep1* is influenced differently by temperature drift. This results in the difficulty to establish the one-to-one correspondence between *frep1* and sample RI.

We next repeated the RI sensing of ethanol solutions with different concentrations five times based on the measurement of Δ*frep*. Fig. [8](#page-5-0) shows the average and standard deviation of Δf_{rep} measured with different RI samples when Δf_{rep} was set to be (a) 3 kHz, (b) 40 kHz, (c) 150 kHz, and (d) 1000 kHz. For instance, when Δ*frep* is 3 kHz, 46 kHz, and 111 kHz, the standard deviation of Δ*frep* (typically, a few Hz) is significantly smaller than that of*frep1* [typically, several tens Hz; see Fig. 7(a), (b), and (c)]. This indicates that the active-dummy temperature compensation is functioning, and a one-to-one correspondence between Δ*frep* and sample RI is established independently of environmental temperature changes. This suggests the potential for RI sensing based on the absolute measurement of Δ*frep*. However, when Δ*frep* is 1000 kHz, the standard deviation of *frep1* is equivalent to that of Δ*frep*. In this case, active-dummy temperature compensation is not functioning. This aligns significantly with the results in Fig. $6(d)$. Thus, we confirmed the

Fig. 8. Average and standard deviation of Δ*frep* measured with different RI samples: (a) $\Delta f_{rep} = 3$ kHz, (b) $\Delta f_{rep} = 40$ kHz, (c) $\Delta f_{rep} = 150$ kHz, and (d) $\Delta f_{rep} = 1000$ kHz.

Fig. 9. Relationship between sample RI and sensor signal. (a) Use of *frep1* (≈ 31.4 MHz) as a measurement signal. (b) Use of Δ*frep* (≈ 3.7 kHz) as a measurement signal.

dependence of the active-dummy temperature-compensated RI sensing performance on Δ*frep*. Since the detailed setting of Δ*frep* is challenging to achieve below 10 kHz due to the precision of the cavity fiber length machining, it is preferable to choose an optimal value for Δ*frep* that is 150 kHz or lower, providing some flexibility in the selection process.

V. DISCUSSION

In the active-dummy temperature-compensated RI sensing using a mechanically sharing dual-cavity dual-comb configuration, we have successfully suppressed temperature drift. The merit of this active-dummy temperature compensation is expected to be highlighted in improving measurement reproducibility of RI sensing. Unlike conventional RI-sensing OFCs based on *frep* measurements, which suffer from poor measurement reproducibility due to temperature drift, the suppression of temperature drift by active-dummy temperature control allows for the establishment of a good one-to-one correspondence between sample RI and Δ*frep*. To succinctly illustrate this point with data, we conducted measurements on five sets of water/ethanol solution samples with varying RIU. Fig. 9(a) shows the relationship between sample RI and sensor signal when the f_{rep1} (\approx 31.4 MHz) was used as the measurement signal. It can be observed that their resulting linear slopes deviate significantly with each measurement due to temperature drift, which fluctuates constantly as a background offset. This deviation compromises the one-to-one correspondence between sample RI and sensor

signal, leading to reduced measurement reproducibility. On the other hand, Fig. 9(b) depicts the relationship between sample RI and sensor signal when the Δf_{rep} (\approx 3.7 kHz) was used as the measurement signal. It is evident that the resulting linear slopes obtained from five repeated measurements are wellaligned, indicating effective suppression of temperature drift by active-dummy temperature compensation. In this way, the active-dummy temperature compensation allows for absolute RI measurements based on the one-to-one correspondence between Δ*frep* and sample RI.

However, as shown in Fig. [6,](#page-4-0) there is still some residual fluctuation in Δ*frep* that could be further reduced, and here we discuss several challenges for achieving that.

Lower Δ*frep values:* As shown in Fig. [6,](#page-4-0) reducing Δ*frep* values improves temperature compensation. However, fine adjustments of Δ*frep* are limited to a few kHz due to the precision of cavity fiber length machining. Achieving even lower Δ*frep* values may be challenging as long as two independent fiber cavities are used. Inserting an optical path length adjustment mechanism using free-space optics into the cavity enables further fine adjustments; however, it may compromise the active-dummy temperature compensation effect.

Spectral overlap of active-sensing and dummy-sensing OFCs: To ensure that the temperature drift of f_{rep1} and f_{rep2} due to thermal changes in the optical cavity length appears similarly in both OFCs, it is essential to have well-overlapped spectra. In the present setup, as shown in Fig. $4(a)$, the spectra of both OFCs did not match closely. This discrepancy could be attributed to slight differences in the characteristics, namely λ_{MMI} , of the intracavity MMI fiber sensors, likely due to variations in fiber length machining precision. As shown in (2) , λ_{MMI} is given by the inverse of *LMMF*. Typically, the fiber length error from our fiber processing equipment is around 1 mm. If *LMMF* is 58 mm, this introduces an uncertainty of approximately 1.8%. This uncertainty is directly reflected in λ_{MMI} , potentially resulting in an error within 28 nm at λ_{MMI} of 1556.6 nm. The discrepancy in the optical spectra between the dummy-RI-sensing OFC and the active-RI-sensing OFC in Fig. [4\(a\)](#page-3-0) accurately reflects this situation. Achieving further spectral overlap may also be challenging as long as two independent intracavity MMI fiber sensors are used.

Precise alignment of cavity fiber configuration: Another consideration is how accurately the cavity fiber and components can be placed to ensure that both OFCs experience equivalent temperature disturbances. However, there are limits to this alignment, as adjustments need to be made within the confined space of the cavity box.

As such, these three challenges may be challenging with the current experimental setup configuration.

To potentially overcome these challenges, one approach could be the use of a single-cavity dual-OFC configuration. Recently, single-cavity dual-comb fiber lasers have gained attention as light sources for dual-comb spectroscopy [\[22\],](#page-6-0) [\[23\],](#page-6-0) [\[24\].](#page-6-0) These fiber lasers achieve dual-comb mode-locked oscillation in a single cavity, resulting in reduced Δf_{rep} fluctuations to below 0.1 Hz without the need for active laser control. This approach has a potential to overcome three challenges above (lower Δ*frep* values, spectral overlap of active-sensing and dummy-sensing OFCs, and precise alignment of fiber configuration). The key point is that by multiplexing mode-locked oscillation, the same fiber OFC cavity is shared between the two OFCs, making disturbances such as temperature drift and mechanical vibrations completely common to each other. As a result, it becomes possible to maximize the effectiveness of active-dummy compensation. Integrating an MMI fiber sensor into these lasers, including the possibility of sharing even an intracavity MMI fiber sensor, is technically challenging. However, this combination has the potential to significantly improve the performance of dual-comb RI sensing while simplifying the experimental setup. Please note that further exploration and experimentation may be required to determine the feasibility and effectiveness of these approaches.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this article, we explored the use of dual OFC configuration for RI sensing and investigated the dependence of active-dummy temperature compensation and its relationship with RI sensing on Δ*frep*. The active-dummy temperature compensation technique, involving taking the difference between sensor signals from the active-sensing and dummy-sensing OFCs, effectively reduced the influence of temperature drift of sensor signal on RI sensing, allowing for more accurate measurements of sample RI. Regarding the dependence of the effectiveness of temperature compensation on Δ*frep*, smaller Δ*frep* values led to improved temperature compensation, resulting in reduced fluctuations in Δ*frep* due to environmental temperature changes. In the temperature-compensated RI sensing, we demonstrated that active-dummy temperature compensation, particularly at lower Δ*frep* values, enabled a one-to-one correspondence between Δ*frep* and sample RI, suggesting the potential for absolute RI measurements based on Δ*frep*. We also discussed a potential avenue to overcome three challenges for further enhanced performance in dual-comb RI sensing.

This research contributes to the development of precise and temperature-compensated RI sensing techniques using dualcomb OFCs. It provides insights into the dependence of temperature compensation on Δ*frep* and suggests strategies for further improving the accuracy and stability of RI measurements in various applications, including quality monitoring of industrial products and biosensing.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors acknowledge Prof. Kaoru Minoshima at The University of Electro-Communications for her help in the mechanical sharing dual-comb configuration.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Lee, "Review of the present status of optical fiber sensors," *Opt. Fiber Technol.*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 57–79, Apr. 2003, doi: [10.1016/S1068-5200\(02\)00527-8.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1068-5200(02)00527-8)
- [2] S. Yin, P. B. Ruffin, and F. T. S. Yu, *Fiber Optic Sensors*. Boca Raton, FL, USA: CRC Press, 2017.
- [3] S. K. Srivastava, R. Verma, and B. D. Gupta, "Surface plasmon resonance based fiber optic sensor for the detection of low water content in ethanol," *Sensors Actuators B: Chem.*, vol. 153, no. 1, pp. 194–198, Mar. 2011, doi: [10.1016/j.snb.2010.10.038.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2010.10.038)
- [4] U. Tiwari et al., "Immobilization of enzyme on long period grating fibers for sensitive glucose detection," *Biosensors Bioelectron.*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 190–195, Mar. 2012, doi: [10.1016/j.bios.2011.12.051.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2011.12.051)
- [5] J. Pollet et al., "Fiber optic SPR biosensing of DNA hybridization and DNA–protein interactions," *Biosensors Bioelectron.*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 864–869, Dec. 2009, doi: [10.1016/j.bios.2009.08.045.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2009.08.045)
- [6] Y. Tian, W. Wang, N. Wu, X. Zou, and X. Wang, "Tapered optical fiber sensor for label-free detection of biomolecules," *Sensors*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 3780–3790, Mar. 2011, doi: [10.3390/s110403780.](https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/s110403780)
- [7] M. P. DeLisa et al., "Evanescent wave long-period fiber Bragg grating as an immobilized antibody biosensor," *Anal. Chem.*, vol. 72, no. 13, pp. 2895–2900, Jun. 2000, doi: [10.1021/ac9912395.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ac9912395)
- [8] Z. Tian, S. S.-H. Yam, and H. P. Loock, "Refractive index sensor based on an abrupt taper Michelson interferometer in a singlemode fiber," *Opt. Lett.*, vol. 33, no. 10, pp. 1105–1107, May 2008, doi: [10.1364/OL.33.001105.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OL.33.001105)
- [9] G. Yin, S. Lou, and H. Zou, "Refractive index sensor with asymmetrical fiber Mach–Zehnder interferometer based on concatenating singlemode abrupt taper and core-offset section," *Opt. Laser Technol.*, vol. 45, pp. 294–300, Feb. 2013, doi: [10.1016/j.optlastec.2012.06.032.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.optlastec.2012.06.032)
- [10] L. Coelho, D. Viegas, J. L. Santos, and J. de Almeida, "Enhanced refractive index sensing characteristics of optical fibre long period grating coated with titanium dioxide thin films," *Sensors Actuators B Chem.*, vol. 202, pp. 929–934, Oct. 2014, doi: [10.1016/j.snb.2014.06.035.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2014.06.035)
- [11] R. Slavik, J. Homola, J. Čtyroký, and E. Brynda, "Novel spectral fiber optic sensor based on surface plasmon resonance," *Sensors Actuators B Chem.*, vol. 74, no. 1–3, pp. 106–111, Apr. 2001, doi: [10.1016/S0925-4005\(00\)00718-8.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4005(00)00718-8)
- [12] H. Fukano, T. Aiga, and S. Taue, "High-sensitivity fiber-optic refractive index sensor based on multimode interference using small-core singlemode fiber for biosensing," *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 53, no. 4S, Mar. 2014, Art. no. 04EL08, doi: [10.7567/JJAP.53.04EL08.](https://dx.doi.org/10.7567/JJAP.53.04EL08)
- [13] T. Udem, J. Reichert, R. Holzwarth, and T. W. Hänsch, "Accurate measurement of large optical frequency differences with a modelocked laser," *Opt. Lett.*, vol. 24, no. 13, pp. 881–883, Jul. 1999, doi: [10.1364/OL.24.000881.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OL.24.000881)
- [14] T. Udem, R. Holzwarth, and T. W. Hänsch, "Optical frequency metrology," *Nature*, vol. 416, no. 6877, pp. 233–237,Mar. 2002, doi: [10.1038/416233a.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/416233a)
- [15] R. Oe et al., "Refractive-index-sensing optical comb based on photonic radio-frequency conversion with intracavity multi-mode interference fiber sensor," *Opt. Exp.*, vol. 26, no. 15, pp. 19694–19706, Jul. 2018, doi: [10.1364/OE.26.019694.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OE.26.019694)
- [16] R. Oe et al., "Improvement of dynamic range and repeatability in a refractive-index-sensing optical comb by combining saturable-absorbermirror mode-locking with an intracavity multimode interference fiber sensor," *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 58, no. 6, May 2019, Art. no. 060912, doi: [10.7567/1347-4065/ab219a.](https://dx.doi.org/10.7567/1347-4065/ab219a)
- [17] R. Oe et al., "Refractive index sensing with temperature compensation by a multimode-interference fiber-based optical frequency comb sensing cavity," *Opt. Exp.*, vol. 27, no. 15, pp. 21463–21476, Jul. 2019, doi: [10.1364/OE.27.021463.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OE.27.021463)
- [18] S. Miyamura et al., "Rapid, high-sensitivity detection of biomolecules using dual-comb biosensing," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 13, no. 1, Sep. 2023, Art. no. 14541, doi: [10.1038/s41598-023-41436-3.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-41436-3)
- [19] M. Brotons-Gisbert et al., "Comprehensive theoretical and experimental study of short- and long-term stability in a passively mode-locked solitonic fiber laser," *J. Lightw. Technol.*, vol. 33, no. 19, pp. 4039–4049, Oct. 2015, doi: [10.1109/JLT.2015.2455153.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/JLT.2015.2455153)
- [20] Y. Nakajima, Y. Kusumi, and K. Minoshima, "Mechanical sharing dual-comb fiber laser based on an all-polarization-maintaining cavity configuration," *Opt. Lett.*, vol. 46, no. 21, pp. 5401–5404, Nov. 2016, doi: [10.1364/OL.440818.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OL.440818)
- [21] T. Yumoto, W. Kokuyama, S. Matsubara, T. Yasui, and Y. Nakajima, "Allpolarization-maintaining dual-comb fiber laser with mechanically shared cavity configuration and micro-optic component," *Opt. Continuum*, vol. 2, no. 8, pp. 1867–1874, Aug. 2023, doi: [10.1364/OPTCON.491419.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OPTCON.491419)
- [22] X. Zhao et al., "Picometer-resolution dual-comb spectroscopy with a free-running fiber laser," *Opt. Exp.*, vol. 24, no. 19, pp. 21833–21845, Sep. 2016, doi: [10.1364/OE.24.021833.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OE.24.021833)
- [23] X. Zhao, T. Li, Y. Liu, Q. Li, and Z. Zheng, "Polarization-multiplexed, dual-comb all-fiber mode-locked laser," *Photon. Res.*, vol. 6, no. 9, pp. 853–857, Sep. 2018, doi: [10.1364/PRJ.6.000853.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1364/PRJ.6.000853)
- [24] Y. Nakajima, Y. Hata, and K. Minoshima, "High-coherence ultrabroadband bidirectional dual-comb fiber laser," *Opt. Exp.*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 5931–5944, Mar. 2019, doi: [10.1364/OE.27.005931.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OE.27.005931)

Shogo Miyamura biography not available at the time of publication.

Masayuki Higaki biography not available at the time of publication.

Shuji Taue received the Ph.D. degree in engineering from Tokushima University, Tokushima, Japan, in 2005. He was a Postdoctoral Research Associate with Hiroshima University, Higashihiroshima, Japan, and Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan. he was an Assistant Professor with Okayama University, Okayama, Japan, in 2011. He joined the School, Kochi University of Technology, Kami, Japan, in 2018. He is currently an Associate Professor and also engaged in research of optical fiber sensors and optically pumped magnetometers.

Yoshiaki Nakajima was born in Fukui, Japan, in 1982. He received the B.S., M.S., and Ph.D. degrees in engineering from Fukui University, Fukui, Japan, in 2005, 2007, and 2010, respectively. From 2010 to 2011, he was a Postdoctoral Research Fellow with the National Metrology Institute of Japan, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, Japan. From 2011 to 2013, he was a Research Scientist with Mitutoyo, Japan. From 2014 to 2020, he was an Assistant Professor with the University of Electro-Communications, Tokyo, Japan. He is currently an Associate Professor with the Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Toho University, Chiba, Japan. His research interests include optical frequency comb and its applications. He was the recipient of the Laser Society of Japan Incentive Award in 2020. He is a Member of OPTICA, the Japan Society of Applied Physics, The Laser Society of Japan, the Optical Society of Japan, and Laser Radar Society of Japan.

Yu Tokizane biography not available at the time of publication.

Eiji Hase was born in Tokushima, Japan, in 1989. He received the B.S., M.S., and Ph.D. degrees in engineering from Tokushima University, Tokushima, Japan, in 2012, 2014, and 2017, respectively. From 2014 to 2017, he was a Research Associate with the JST, ERATO, MINOSHIMA Intelligent Optical Synthesizer Project. From 2017 to 2019, he was a Research Scientist with Research and Utilization Division, Japan Synchrotron Radiation Research Institute, Sayo, Japan. Since 2019, he has been a Designated Assistant Professor with the Department of Post-LED Photonics Research, Institute of Post-LED Photonics, Tokushima University. His research interests include biomedical imaging and biomechanical analysis using femtosecond laser and synchrotron X-ray. Dr. Hase was the recipient of The Optical Society of Japan Best Presentation Award in 2016, The Japanese Society for Medical and Biological Engineering Symposium Award in 2016, The International Society for Optical Engineering (SPIE) BiOS2016 in Photonics West 2016 Student Poster Awards in 2016, and SPIE Structured light 2018 Best Paper Award. He is a Member of SPIE, the Japan Society of Applied Physics, and the Laser Society of Japan.

Takeo Minamikawa was born in Ibaraki, Japan, in 1983. He received the B.S., M.S., and Ph.D. degrees in engineering from Osaka University, Osaka, Japan, in 2006, 2008, and 2010, respectively. From 2010 to 2013, he was a Research Fellowship for Young Scientists with the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. From 2013 to 2015, he was an Assistant Professor with the Department of Pathology and Cell Regulation, Graduate School of Medical Science, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine. From 2015 to 2019, he was an Associate Professor with the Department of Mechanical Science, Division of Science and Technology, Graduate School of Technology, Industrial and Social Sciences, Tokushima University, Tokushima, Japan. From 2020 to 2023, he was an Associate Professor with the Institute of Post-LED Photonics, Tokushima University. He is currently a Professor with the Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Suita, Japan. He is the author of two book chapters, more than 40 articles, and more than 16 inventions. He holds six patents. His research interests include Raman microspectroscopy and optical-frequency-comb. Prof. Minamikawa was the recipient of more than 16 awards and honors, include Optics Paper Awards of Optical Society of Japan in 2018, JSME Young Engineers Award in 2019, The Japanese Society of Pathology Poster Presentation Award in 2015, and Funai Foundation for Information Technology Research Award in 2011. He is a Member of SPIE, the Japan Society of Applied Physics, the Optical Society of Japan, the Laser Society of Japan, the Japan Society of Mechanical Engineers, and the Japan Society for Precision Engineering.

Takeshi Yasui received the Ph.D. degree in engineering from the University of Tokushima, Tokushima, Japan, in 1997, and the second Ph.D. degree in medical science from Nara Medical University, Yagi, Japan, in 2013. From 1997 to 1999, he was a Postdoctoral Research Fellow with the National Research Laboratory of Metrology, Japan. He was with the Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University from 1999 to 2010, and was briefly with the University of Bordeaux I in 2007 and 2012, and with the University of Littoral Côted'Opale in 2010 as an Invited Professor. He is currently a Chief Research Officer (CRO) and Professor with the Institute of Post-LED Photonics, Tokushima University, and has been the Vice Director of Research Organization in the same university since 2016, and an Invited Professor with the Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Japan. His research interests include THz instrumentation and metrology, second-harmonic-generation microscopy, and optical frequency comb. Dr. Yasui is a Member of the Optical Society, The International Society for Optical Engineering, the Japan Society of Applied Physics, the Optical Society of Japan, the Laser Society of Japan, the Japanese Society for Medical and Biological Engineering, and the Japan Society of Mechanical Engineers. He was the recipient of the Award for the Most Promising Young Scientist from the Optical Society of Japan in 1998, Sakamoto Award from the Japan Society of Medical Electronics and Biological Engineering in 2006, Optics Paper Award from the Japan Society of Applied Physics, and Funai Award from the Japan Society of Mechanical Engineers in 2009, and Original Paper Award from the Laser Society of Japan in 2013.