

# Analytical Formulas for Micro-Bending and Surface Scattering Loss Estimation in Tube Lattice Fibers

Federico Melli , Lorenzo Rosa , *Member, IEEE, Member, OSA*, and Luca Vincetti , *Senior Member, IEEE*

**Abstract**—Simple analytical formulas for micro-bending and surface scattering loss in Hollow-Core Tube Lattice Fibers are here proposed and numerically validated. They can also be applied to other Hollow-Core fibers with similar core-cladding interfaces such as Hybrid cladding Kagome-Tubular, Nested Tubes, and Kagome fibers. Scaling laws for both loss mechanisms are also given and discussed.

**Index Terms**—Hollow-core fibers, inhibited-coupling hollow-core fibers, optical fiber losses, optical fibers.

## I. INTRODUCTION

**H**OLLOW-CORE Fibers (HCFs) represent one of the most remarkable innovations in the field of specialty optical fibers [1]. More precisely, Hollow-Core Inhibited Coupling Fibers (HC-ICFs) exhibit much better performance than Photonic Band-Gap Fibers in terms of lower loss, wider bandwidth, lower dielectric interaction, better and more flexible management of the effectively single-mode condition. Thanks to that, several applications in the fields of sensing [2], [3], optical communications [4], fiber lasers [5], high power delivery [6], [7], nonlinear optics [1], and quantum applications [8] have been demonstrated in the last few years. One of the main issues of HC-ICFs is the propagation loss (PL), which has as its lower theoretical limit the confinement loss (CL) related to the intrinsically leaky nature of their guided modes. In the last few years, a major research effort has been expended in order to reduce as much as possible the PL, mainly by acting on the CL. The deployment in succession of the core negative curvature [9], the use of tubes as microstructured cladding [10], the addition of nested tubes [11], and hybrid cladding [12] have resulted in several record low-loss designs, allowing to get closer and

closer and ultimately break the limit given by silica Rayleigh scattering [4], [12], [13], [14]. However, experimental results show that there is a gap between the PL values and CL ones [15], [16], [17]. Even though a thorough theoretical analysis showing in detail the causes of this gap is not yet available, currently the additional loss is attributed to non-idealities of the real fibers and in particular to dielectric surface roughness and fiber micro-bending [4], [16], [17]. The presence of thermodynamic surface waves in the molten glass that get frozen in place upon hardening makes the dielectric-air interface rough, causing surface scattering loss (SSL) [18]. The small fiber cross-section deformations due to a non-perfect drawing process or lateral contacts of the fiber with surfaces once cabled cause further additional loss, named micro-bending loss (MBL) [19]. SSL is currently estimated by using an empirical formula [11], while MBL estimation borrows from the approaches developed in the 1980s for solid core fibers [20], [21]. SSL and MBL formulas are based on parameters which can be obtained from numerical modal solvers.

As an alternative tool to the numerical simulation, empirical analytical formulas have been proposed for the estimation of the minimum values of CL and SSL in each transmission window [22]. The CL formula has then been extended to consider also the high-loss regions caused by the coupling of the guided mode with cladding modes [23]. Analytical formulas represent an useful and effective additional tool complementary to numerical simulations, because they allow for preliminary quick design of the fibers.

In this article, we extend this approach in order to take into account the effects of cladding mode coupling on SSL and propose an analytical formula for MBL. We also propose an improvement on the analytical formula of the effective index of HOM  $LP_{11}$  with respect to Marcatili's ones [24]. The formulas have been tested and compared with the numerical approach by considering several Tube Lattice Fibers (TLFs) with different geometrical and physical parameters, showing good accuracy. Since the formulas depend on parameters mainly affected by the core-cladding boundary, we also show that they can be effectively applied to other HC-ICFs such as hypocycloidal Kagome Fibers (KFs) [25], Nested Tube Fibers (NTFs) [11], and Hybrid cladding Kagome-Tubular Fiber (HKTFs) [12]. These formulas complete the set of analytical formulas for the estimation of the propagation loss in TLFs, which can be fruitfully applied for preliminary TLF design or analysis in conjunction with subsequent more time-consuming numerical simulations.

Manuscript received 14 December 2022; revised 1 March 2023; accepted 26 March 2023. Date of publication 6 April 2023; date of current version 4 September 2023. The work of Federico Melli was supported by the European Commission through the context of H2020 Project CRYST<sup>3</sup>. This work was supported in part by the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia FAR DIP 2021 Project SOQUANTS, under Grant 554.2022 and in part by H2020-FETOPEN-2018-2020 Project CRYST<sup>3</sup>, under Grant 964531. (*Corresponding author: Federico Melli.*)

Federico Melli and Luca Vincetti are with the Department of Engineering “Enzo Ferrari”, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, I-41125 Modena, Italy (e-mail: federico.melli@unimore.it; luca.vincetti@unimore.it).

Lorenzo Rosa is with the Department of Engineering “Enzo Ferrari”, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, I-41125 Modena, Italy, and also with the Applied Plasmonics Lab, Centre for Micro-Photonics, Swinburne University of Technology, Hawthorn, VIC 3122, Australia (e-mail: lrosa@ieee.org).

Color versions of one or more figures in this article are available at <https://doi.org/10.1109/JLT.2023.3265167>.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/JLT.2023.3265167




Fig. 1. TLF cross-section with geometrical and physical parameters.  $R_{co}$  core radius,  $d_{ext}$  tube external diameter,  $t$  tube thickness,  $g$  tube-tube gap,  $n_d$  dielectric refractive index (gray region),  $n_g$  refractive index of the material filling the hollow core and tubes (white region).

## II. THE MODEL

SSL is caused by the roughness of the air-dielectric interface, primarily due to the frozen-in thermal surface capillary waves excited during the fiber drawing process [18]. The estimation of SSL is complex, because it would require a statistical treatment of the scattering process, which requires an accurate knowledge of the surface roughness spectral density and of the coupling mechanisms between guided and radiating modes. Since in practice it is hard to measure such kind of quantities, and a thoroughly theoretical analysis of the coupling mechanisms is not yet available, a simplified approach based on the following formula is currently largely used for SSL estimation [11]:

$$SSL(\lambda) = \eta \cdot EI(\lambda) \left( \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} \right)^3 \quad [dB/km] \quad (1)$$

where  $\eta$  and  $\lambda_0$  are two coefficients depending on roughness, and  $EI$  is the electric field at the dielectric interface parameter [18]:

$$EI = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_0}{\mu_0}} \oint_{\Gamma_d} |\vec{E}|^2 d\Gamma}{\iint_{S_\infty} p_z dS}, \quad (2)$$

being  $\Gamma_d$  the air-dielectric interface, and  $\vec{E}$  and  $p_z$  the electric field and the Poynting vector axial component of the mode, respectively. The  $EI$  parameter depends on the wavelength and on the geometrical and physical fiber parameters shown in Fig. 1: the core radius  $R_{co}$ , the tube external diameter  $d_{ext}$ , the tube thickness  $t$ , the tube-tube gap  $g$ , the dielectric refractive index  $n_d$  and the refractive index of the material filling the hollow core and the tubes  $n_g$ . It can be calculated once the mode field distribution is obtained by using a numerical mode solver. However, its minimum value  $EI_{\min}$  in each transmission band can be obtained with the following formula [22]:

$$EI_{\min} = 0.63 \left( \frac{\lambda}{R_{co}} \right)^2 \frac{1}{R_{co}}. \quad (3)$$

The estimation over a wider spectral range can be done by taking into account the coupling of the FM with the cladding modes. To make the analysis more general and the graphs clearer, here

we plot data as a function of normalized frequency:

$$F = \frac{2t}{\lambda} \sqrt{n_d^2 - n_g^2} \quad (4)$$

instead of the wavelength. In fact, integer values of the normalized frequency ( $F = m$ ) correspond to the red edges of the High-Loss Regions (HLRs) irrespective of fiber's parameters [23]. At those values of  $F$ , the guided mode is phase matched with leaky cladding modes having the slowest variation along the tube perimeters and thus the strongest coupling [1], [23], [26]. The condition can be re-written as  $\lambda = 2t/m \sqrt{n_d^2 - n_g^2}$  which is the resonant wavelength in the tube walls [11]. The approach is the same followed to obtain analytical formulas for  $CL$  [23], dispersion parameters, and effective area  $A_{eff}$  [27]. At frequencies approaching the high-loss regions, the coupling between the FM and the cladding modes (CLMs) increases the electric field magnitude at the boundaries, and in turn this increases the  $EI$  value.  $EI$  can be thus expressed as:

$$EI_a = A \cdot EI_{\min} \cdot \sum_{\nu} \left( L(F - F_{c_{1,\nu}}^{HE}) + L(F - F_{c_{1,\nu}}^{EH}) \right) \quad (5)$$

where  $A = 4.4 \cdot 10^3$ ,  $F_{c_{\mu,\nu}}^{HE}$ , and  $F_{c_{\mu,\nu}}^{EH}$  are respectively the cut-off frequencies of the  $HE_{\mu,\nu}$  and  $EH_{\mu,\nu}$  CLMs with azimuthal and radial indices  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , respectively.  $L(F)$  is a Lorentzian function:

$$L(F) = \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma^2 + F^2} \quad (6)$$

with  $\gamma = 3.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ . Only the coupling with CLMs having azimuthal index  $\mu = 1$  is here considered, because they give the strongest coupling with the FM [27].

MBL is caused by a random tilting of the fiber longitudinal axis due to the fabrication process and/or external mechanical stress. Such fiber perturbations cause additional coupling of the FM with leaky and radiating modes, with a consequent PL enhancement of the former. In a single-mode fiber with a FM having a Gaussian field profile, the upper limit of the MBL can be estimated as [21]:

$$MBL = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} n_{eff}^{FM} R_0 \right)^2 R_0^2 \Phi(\Delta\beta) \quad [dB/km] \quad (7)$$

with  $n_{eff}$  being the FM effective index,  $R_0$  the FM field radius,  $\Delta\beta$  the phase constant difference between FM and  $LP_{11}$  HOMs, and  $\Phi$  the power spectral density (PSD) of the stochastic process describing the micro-bending.

Recently, analytical formulas for the FM effective index  $n_{eff}$  and effective area  $A_{eff}$  have been proposed [27]. The effective index formula is based on Marcatili's one [24], but with an additional term  $\Delta n_{eff}$  and an equivalent radius  $R_{co_{eff}}$ :

$$n_{eff}^{FM} = n_g - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{u_{0,1} 2t \sqrt{n_d^2 - n_g^2}}{2\pi R_{co_{eff}} F \sqrt{n_g}} \right)^2 + \Delta n_{eff}(F), \quad (8)$$

being  $u_{0,1}$  the first zero of the Bessel function of order zero, and  $n_g$  the refractive index of the material filling the core and the tubes.  $\Delta n_{eff}$  takes into account the anti-crossing caused




Fig. 2.  $EI$  of the six TLFs described in Table I. Dark regions correspond to FM high-loss spectral regions. Solid green, red, and black curves show numerical and analytical result, and relative error, respectively. Dashed horizontal lines correspond to the values  $e_{EI} = 3/2$  (top) and  $e_{EI} = 2/3$  (bottom).

by coupling with cladding modes and  $R_{coeff}$  accounts for the hypocycloidal shape of the core-cladding interface. The effective area formula is based on the observation that the effective area is a function of the effective index [27]:

$$A_{eff} = \frac{0.48}{8\pi} \frac{(u_{0,1}\lambda)^2}{n_g(n_g - n_{eff}^{FM})}. \quad (9)$$

Finally, in the context of the Gaussian approximation of the FM field profile [28]:

$$R_0^2 = \frac{A_{eff}}{\pi}, \quad (10)$$

By substituting (9) in (10), the latter in (7), and multiplying it by a factor  $0.9025\sqrt{(N-0.68)/(N-1)}$  dependent on the number of tubes  $N$  surrounding the core, which has been introduced in order to take into account the hypocycloidal shape of the core-cladding interface, it results:

$$MBL_a = 5.415 \cdot 10^{-2} \sqrt{\frac{N-0.68}{N-1}} u_{0,1}^2 \frac{(n_{eff}^{FM})^2}{n_g(n_g - n_{eff}^{FM})} \Phi(\Delta\beta). \quad (11)$$

The last element required for having a complete analytical expression of MBL is the phase constant difference  $\Delta\beta$  between the FM  $LP_{01}$  and the HOM  $LP_{11}$ . Here we propose to extend (8) according to Marcatili's formula, that is by replacing  $u_{0,1}$  with the first root of the first-order Bessel function  $u_{1,1}$ :

$$n_{eff}^{HOM} = n_g - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{u_{1,1} 2t \sqrt{n_d^2 - n_g^2}}{2\pi R_{coeff} F \sqrt{n_g}} \right)^2 + \Delta n_{eff}(F) \quad (12)$$

TABLE I  
TLF PARAMETERS ALL GEOMETRICAL PARAMETERS ARE GIVEN IN MICROMETERS

Fiber	$t$	$d_{ext}$	$n_d$	$N$	$g$	$R_{co}$
#1	0.50	20.0	1.45	8	2.96	20.00
#2	0.50	14.0	1.45	8	2.96	15.16
#3	0.50	14.0	1.45	6	2.97	9.97
#4	0.50	14.0	1.45	5	2.96	7.43
#5	0.50	24.0	1.45	8	5.08	26.00
#6	0.50	14.0	2.45	8	2.96	15.16

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\beta &= \frac{2}{\pi\lambda} (n_{eff}^{FM} - n_{eff}^{HOM}) \\ &= \frac{t}{2\pi R_{coeff}^2} \sqrt{\frac{n_d^2 - n_g^2}{n_g^2} \frac{u_{0,1}^2 - u_{1,1}^2}{F}}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Equation (11), together with (8), and (13), and the mathematical expression of  $\Phi(\Delta\beta)$ , permits to analytically estimate the MBL.

### III. NUMERICAL VALIDATION

In order to validate the proposed formulas, several TLFs with different geometrical and physical parameters have been considered. Fibers' names and parameters are reported in Table I. Since the main approximation the approach is the Gaussian profile of the FM, fibers with different core size and shape have been considered. The core size and the hypocycloidal-like shape have been changed, both changing the tube size (fibers #1, #2, and #5) and thus their curvature, and the tube number




Fig. 3.  $MBL/\Phi$  of the six TLFs described in Table I. Dark regions correspond to FM high-loss spectral regions. Solid green, red, and black curves show numerical and analytical result, and relative error, respectively. Dashed horizontal lines correspond to the values  $e_{MBL} = 2.5\%$  (top) and  $e_{MBL} = -2.5\%$  (bottom).

(fibers #2, #3, and #4). Finally, by comparing fibers #2 and #6 we investigated the effect of the dielectric refractive index. Concerning  $SSL$ , Fig. 2 compares (2) calculated numerically through a modal solver, and the proposed (5). To estimate the accuracy, an error figure  $e_{EI}$  is also plotted. Due to the large range of variation of the  $EI$  parameter (at least three order of magnitude in the fibers and spectral ranges here considered), the error figure is defined as:

$$e_{EI} = \frac{EI_a}{EI}. \quad (14)$$

Black bars show the High-Loss Regions (HLRs) where PL is extremely high due to the coupling of the FM with cladding modes [26], [27]. We focus the accuracy estimation on the Transmission Bands (TBs) bounded by the HLRs. The first TB shows the worst accuracy, which is always bounded between  $3/2$  and  $2/3$ . By excluding the first TB, the error is bounded between  $\pm 20\%$ .

For a fair estimation of the accuracy of the MBL formula irrespective to the chosen PSD for describing the statistics, Fig. 3 compares  $MBL/\Phi$  obtained from (7) and (11). In this case, the parameters' range of variation is narrower, so the following error figure is here used to assess the accuracy:

$$e_{MBL} = \frac{MBL - MBL_a}{MBL}. \quad (15)$$

The accuracy is pretty good, being bounded between  $\pm 2\%$  in all TBs. As expected, the worst accuracy is obtained for fiber #4. The small core size combined with just five tubes makes the hypocycloidal shape of the FM field profile more pronounced,

and thus the Gaussian approximation coarser. The lower the number of the tubes and the normalized frequency, the worse the Gaussian approximation the proposed formula is based on. Even though not shown in the figure,  $e_{MBL}$  is lower than  $12.5\%$  in the first TB of fiber #4.

Finally, Fig. 4 compares (8) and (12) with the numerical results and also shows the corresponding error figures defined as

$$e_n = \frac{n_{eff_{num}} - n_{eff_{an}}}{1 - n_{eff_{num}}}. \quad (16)$$

This error figure takes into account that, by increasing the normalized frequency, the effective indices tend asymptotically to 1, so that analytical and numerical values must coincide over a higher and higher number of digits. Once again, the accuracy is pretty good and always bounded between  $\pm 2.5\%$ , except for fiber #4 where the analytical formulas tend to overestimate the effective indices, increasing the error which is however lower than  $10\%$ .

Finally, Fig. 5 shows a global view of the several loss components, numerically and analytically computed for fiber #1, by assuming  $\Phi(\Delta\beta) = 8.0/\Delta\beta^2$ . The agreement is pretty good, both for the single components ( $CL$ ,  $SSL$ ,  $MBL$ ) and for the total loss  $TL = CL + SSL + MBL$ .

#### IV. OTHER NEGATIVE CURVATURE HC-ICFs

The formula accuracy assessment has been finally extended to other very common HC-ICFs with hypocycloidal or negative-curvature core-cladding boundary: Hybrid cladding Kagome-Tubular Fiber (HKTF), nested tube fibers (NTFs), and Kagome




Fig. 4. Effective indices  $n_{eff}^{FM}$ ,  $n_{eff}^{HOM}$  of the six TLFs described in Table I. Dark regions correspond to FM high-loss spectral regions. For  $n_{eff}^{FM}$ , dashed green, red, and black curves show numerical and analytical result, and relative error, respectively. For  $n_{eff}^{HOM}$ , solid green, red, and black curves show numerical and analytical result, and relative error, respectively. Dashed horizontal lines correspond to the values  $e_n = 2.5\%$  (top) and  $e_n = -2.5\%$  (bottom).




Fig. 5. Single loss terms,  $CL$  (red),  $SSL$  (green),  $MBL$  (blue) and total loss  $TL$  (black), numerically (dashed lines) and analytically (solid lines) computed for the fiber #1 by setting  $\eta = 300 \cdot 10^{-6}$ ,  $\lambda_0 = 1700$  nm, and  $\Phi = 8.0/\Delta\beta^2$ .

fiber (KF). The results obtained for these fibers are shown in Fig. 6. The geometrical parameters are the following:  $R_{co} = 22.7 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $d_{ext} = 35.8 \mu\text{m}$  and  $t = 1.0 \mu\text{m}$  for HKTF, and  $R_{co} = 22.7 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $d_{ext} = 20 \mu\text{m}$ , and  $t = 1.0 \mu\text{m}$  for NTF. The dielectric refractive index is  $n_d = 1.45$  for all fibers considered in this section. Since the accuracy of the model mainly depends on size and shape of the core, results are coherent with the TLFs ones. Finally, the hypocycloidal core-cladding boundary of the KF can be modeled as an equivalent six-tubes TLF with tubes highlighted by the red dashed circles in Fig. 7 [27]. The radius of the six tubes has been chosen equal to the radius of the largest arcs of the core-cladding contour. The used geometrical parameters are the following:  $R_{co} = 22.7 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $d_{ext} = 19.5 \mu\text{m}$ ,

and  $t = 1.0 \mu\text{m}$ . Despite the approximation in the contour, the accuracy of the model is consistent with the previous results, showing that the formulas can be applied also to this kind of HC-ICFs. The results reported in this and the previous section show the effects of SSL and MBL are mainly set by the size and the shape of the core. The cladding structure outside the core-cladding interface (nested tubes, kagome lattice), which greatly affect the confinement loss, have negligible effects on SSL and MBL.

## V. SCALING LAWS

Another advantage of having analytical formulas is the possibility to obtain useful scaling laws. SSL and MBL exhibit different wavelength dependence and inverse core size dependence. As a consequence, for certain combinations of geometrical parameters and working wavelengths SSL dominates MBL, and viceversa for certain others.

About SSL, by substituting (3) in (1) it results:

$$SSL_{\min}(\lambda) = 0.63\eta\lambda_0^3 \frac{1}{R_{co}^3} \frac{1}{\lambda} \quad (17)$$

showing that the dependence of the minimum value  $SSL_{\min}$  in each transmission band is inversely proportional to the wavelength and to the cube of the core radius. A similar formula can be obtained for MBL. In this case the relationships also depend on the PSD of the stochastic process. Despite the fact that in literature direct measurements of MBL PSD are not yet available, often the following expression is used:

$$\Phi(\Delta\beta) = \frac{C_0}{\Delta\beta^p}. \quad (18)$$




Fig. 6. From left to right: Effective indices  $n_{eff}^{FM}$ ,  $n_{eff}^{HOM}$ , EI, and  $MBL/\Phi$  of KF (upper line), HKTF (central line) and NTF (bottom line). with parameters: Dark regions correspond to FM high-loss spectral regions. Solid green, red, and black curves show numerical and analytical result, and relative error, respectively.




Fig. 7. From left to right: cross-sections of KF (with equivalent tubes represented by the red dashed circles), HKTF and NTF, with parameters:  $R_{co} = 22.7 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $d_{ext} = 19.5 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $t = 1.0 \mu\text{m}$ , and  $n_d = 1.45$  (KF),  $R_{co} = 22.7 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $d_{ext} = 35.8 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $t = 1.0 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $n_d = 1.45$  (HKTF),  $R_{co} = 20 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $d_{ext} = 2d_{nest} = 20 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $t = 0.5 \mu\text{m}$ , and  $n_d = 1.45$  (NTF).

In [16], [19], (18) with  $p = 2$  has been assumed. By substituting (13), and (18) into (11), it results:

$$MBL_{\min} = K \frac{2^{3+2p} \pi^{2+p}}{(u_{1,1}^2 - u_{0,1}^2)^p} n_{eff}^{FM2} n_g^p C_0 \frac{R_{co,eff}^{2(p+1)}}{\lambda^{2+p}}, \quad (19)$$

with  $K = 5.415 \cdot 10^{-2} \sqrt{(N - 0.68)/(N - 1)}$ . Equation (19) gives the dependence of the MBL at the center of each TBs

on core radius and wavelength. By considering the case  $p = 2$ , the wavelength dependence is stronger than for the  $SSL$ , being in the present case inversely proportional to the fourth power of  $\lambda$ . The core radius dependence is the inverse of the  $SSL$  one.  $MBL$  increases with the core size, with a strong dependence equal to  $R_{co}^6$  in case of  $p = 2$ .

Finally, by neglecting the relatively weak dependencies of  $MBL_{\min}$  on  $N$  and  $n_{eff}^{FM}$ , it results:

$$\frac{MBL_{\min}}{SSL_{\min}} = 8.6 \cdot 10^{-2} \frac{2^{3+2p} \pi^{2+p}}{\lambda_0^3 (u_{1,1}^2 - u_{0,1}^2)^p} \frac{C_0 R_{co}^{2p+5}}{\eta \lambda^{p+1}}. \quad (20)$$

This equation shows how the ratio of the two loss sources strongly depends on the core size and, though more weakly, on the wavelength. Just as an example, Fig. 8 shows the pairs  $(R_{co}, \lambda)$  corresponding to  $MBL_{\min} = SSL_{\min}$  for different values of the ratio  $C_0/\eta$  in case of  $p = 2$ . In this case,  $MBL_{\min}/SSL_{\min}$  scales as  $R_{co}^9/\lambda^3$ .  $MBL$  dominates  $SSL$  for short wavelengths and large core radii. With  $C_0/\eta = 10^4$ , a core radius of  $24 \mu\text{m}$  is required to have  $MBL_{\min} = SSL_{\min}$  at  $\lambda = 400 \text{ nm}$ . The core radius can increase to  $32 \mu\text{m}$  at  $1064 \text{ nm}$  and to  $37 \mu\text{m}$  at  $1550 \text{ nm}$  when setting the same condition.




Fig. 8.  $(R_{co}, \lambda)$  pairs corresponding to  $MBL = SSL$  for different  $C_0/\eta$  ratios and  $p = 2$ . Above the curves,  $MBL$  is greater than  $SSL$ , conversely, below them  $SSL$  dominates on  $MBL$ .

## VI. CONCLUSION

In this article, simple analytical formulas for the estimation of surface scattering loss and micro-bending loss in Hollow-Core Tube Lattice Fibers have been proposed and numerically validated by considering several TLFs with different geometrical and physical parameters. The accuracy of the formulas is pretty good for all fibers here considered. The  $MBL$  formula is based on the Gaussian approximation of the FM field profile, consequently it is less accurate for TLFs with few tubes, where the actual FM profile differs the most from the Gaussian one. These formulas complete the set of analytical formulas allowing to estimate all the loss mechanisms defining the total loss in TLFs so far identified by the scientific community: confinement loss, surface scattering loss, and micro-bending loss. Together with the dispersion formulas both for FM  $LP_{01}$  and HOM  $LP_{11}$ , they form a useful set of equations for quick design or analysis of TLFs. All these formulas, with the exception of the  $CL$  one, can be also applied to other hollow-core inhibited-coupling fibers with a hypocycloidal (negative curvature) core-cladding interface, such as KFs, NTFs, and HKTFs, because they mainly depend on the shape and size of the hollow core. On the contrary,  $CL$  also strongly depends on the features of the microstructured cladding outside the core-cladding boundary. The  $CL$  analytical formulas currently available can only be applied to TLFs. Formulas for the estimation of  $CL$  in KFs, NTFs, and HKTFs are not yet available and will be object of future investigations.

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. Debord, F. Amrani, L. Vincetti, F. G erome, and F. Benabid, "Hollow-core fiber technology: The rising of "gas photonics," *Fibers*, vol. 7, no. 2, 2019, Art. no. 16.
- [2] F. Khozaymeh et al., "Hollow-core fiber-based biosensor: A platform for lab-in-fiber optical biosensors for DNA detection," *Sensors*, vol. 22, no. 14, 2022, Art. no. 5144.
- [3] M. Nikodem, G. Gom olka, M. Klimczak, D. Pysz, and R. Buczyński, "Demonstration of mid-infrared gas sensing using an anti-resonant hollow core fiber and a quantum cascade laser," *Opt. Exp.*, vol. 27, no. 25, pp. 36350–36357, Dec. 2019.
- [4] G. Jasion et al., "0.174 dB/km hollow core double nested antiresonant nodeless fiber (DNANF)," in *Proc. Opt. Fiber Commun. Conf. Exhib.*, 2022, pp. 1–3.

- [5] F. B. A. Aghbolagh et al., "Mid IR hollow core fiber gas laser emitting at 4.6  $\mu\text{m}$ ," *Opt. Lett.*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 383–386, Jan. 2019.
- [6] M. Michieletto, J. K. Lyngs , C. Jakobsen, J. Lægsgaard, O. Bang, and T. T. Alkeskjold, "Hollow-core fibers for high power pulse delivery," *Opt. Exp.*, vol. 24, no. 7, pp. 7103–7119, Apr. 2016.
- [7] J. H. Os rio et al., "Hollow-core photonic crystal fibers for power-over-fiber systems," *Opt. Fiber Technol.*, vol. 73, 2022, Art. no. 103041. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1068520022002243>
- [8] X. Chen et al., "High-fidelity, low-latency polarization quantum state transmissions over a hollow-core conjoined-tube fiber at around 800 nm," *Photon. Res.*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 460–470, Apr. 2021.
- [9] Y. Y. Wang, N. V. Wheeler, F. Couny, P. J. Roberts, and F. Benabid, "Low loss broadband transmission in hypocycloid-core kagome hollow-core photonic crystal fiber," *Opt. Lett.*, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 669–671, Mar. 2011.
- [10] A. D. Pryamikov, A. S. Biriukov, A. F. Kosolapov, V. G. Plotnichenko, S. L. Semjonov, and E. M. Dianov, "Demonstration of a waveguide regime for a silica hollow-core microstructured optical fiber with a negative curvature of the core boundary in the spectral region  $> 3.5 \mu\text{m}$ ," *Opt. Exp.*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 1441–1448, Jan. 2011.
- [11] F. Poletti, "Nested antiresonant nodeless hollow core fiber," *Opt. Exp.*, vol. 22, no. 20, pp. 23807–23828, Oct. 2014.
- [12] F. Amrani et al., "Low-loss single-mode hybrid-lattice hollow-core photonic-crystal fibre," *Light Sci. Appl.*, vol. 10, no. 1, Jan. 2021, Art. no. 7.
- [13] S.-f. Gao et al., "Conquering the Rayleigh scattering limit of silica glass fiber at visible wavelengths with a hollow-core fiber approach," *Laser Photon. Rev.*, vol. 14, no. 1, 2020, Art. no. 1900241.
- [14] G. T. Jasion et al., "Hollow core NANF with 0.28 dB/km attenuation in the C and L bands," in *Proc. Opt. Fiber Commun. Conf. Postdeadline Papers*, 2020, Paper Th4B.4.
- [15] B. Debord et al., "Ultralow transmission loss in inhibited-coupling guiding hollow fibers," *Optica*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 209–217, Feb. 2017.
- [16] W. Ding, Y.-Y. Wang, S.-F. Gao, M.-L. Wang, and P. Wang, "Recent progress in low-loss hollow-core anti-resonant fibers and their applications," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Quantum Electron.*, vol. 26, no. 4, Jul./Aug. 2020, Art. no. 4400312.
- [17] G. T. Jasion et al., "Recent breakthroughs in hollow core fiber technology," *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 11309, 2020, Art. no. 1130902.
- [18] P. J. Roberts et al., "Ultimate low loss of hollow-core photonic crystal fibres," *Opt. Exp.*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 236–244, Jan. 2005.
- [19] E. N. Fokoua, Y. Chen, D. J. Richardson, and F. Poletti, "Microbending effects in hollow-core photonic bandgap fibers," in *Proc. 42nd Eur. Conf. Opt. Commun.*, 2016, pp. 1–3.
- [20] H. Taylor, "Bending effects in optical fibers," *J. Lightw. Technol.*, vol. 2, no. 5, pp. 617–628, Oct. 1984.
- [21] K. Petermann and R. Kuhne, "Upper and lower limits for the microbending loss in arbitrary single-mode fibers," *J. Lightw. Technol.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 2–7, Jan. 1986.
- [22] L. Vincetti, "Empirical formulas for calculating loss in hollow core tube lattice fibers," *Opt. Exp.*, vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 10313–10325, May 2016.
- [23] L. Vincetti and L. Rosa, "A simple analytical model for confinement loss estimation in hollow-core tube lattice fibers," *Opt. Exp.*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 5230–5237, Feb. 2019.
- [24] E. A. J. Marcetill and R. A. Schmeltzer, "Hollow metallic and dielectric waveguides for long distance optical transmission and lasers," *Bell Syst. Tech. J.*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 1783–1809, 1964.
- [25] B. Debord et al., "Hypocycloid-shaped hollow-core photonic crystal fiber part i: Arc curvature effect on confinement loss," *Opt. Exp.*, vol. 21, no. 23, pp. 28597–28608, Nov. 2013.
- [26] L. Vincetti and V. Setti, "Waveguiding mechanism in tube lattice fibers," *Opt. Exp.*, vol. 18, no. 22, pp. 23133–23146, Oct. 2010.
- [27] L. Rosa, F. Melli, and L. Vincetti, "Analytical formulas for dispersion and effective area in hollow-core tube lattice fibers," *Fibers*, vol. 9, no. 10, 2021, Art. no. 58.
- [28] N. A. Mortensen, "Effective area of photonic crystal fibers," *Opt. Exp.*, vol. 10, no. 7, pp. 341–348, Apr. 2002.

**Federico Melli** received the M.Sc. degree in electronics engineering and the Ph.D. degree in information and communication technologies from the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy, in 2019 and March 2023, respectively. He is currently a Postdoctoral Researcher with the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy. His research interests include theoretical study and the design of hollow-core inhibited coupling fibers and their use for sensing in agri-food industrial applications.

**Lorenzo Rosa** (Member, IEEE) was born in Parma, Italy. He received the Laurea degree in electronics engineering from the University of Parma, Parma, Italy, and the Ph.D. degree in information technology from the University of Parma, in 2007. He was a Postdoctoral Fellow with Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan, till 2010, and with Swinburne University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, till 2014, working on fiber and integrated optics, genetic algorithms, plasmonics, nano-antennas, solar cells, photocatalysis, and Raman sensing. He was with the Atos Company in Madrid, Spain, working in the Electronic Identity and Privacy Lab till 2017, when he became an Assistant Professor with the University of Modena, Modena, Italy. His research interests include numerical methods for electromagnetics, photonic-crystal fibers, amplifiers and lasers, plasmonics, car antennas and radars.

**Luca Vincetti** (Senior Member, IEEE) received the Laurea degree in electronics engineering (*cum laude*) and the Ph.D. degree in information technologies from the University of Parma, Parma, Italy. In 2000 he was a Researcher with the National Inter-University Consortium for Telecommunications (Consorzio Nazionale Interuniversitario per le Telecomunicazioni - CNIT), Italy. In 2001 he moved to University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy, where is currently a Full Professor of electromagnetic fields. He has made several key contributions in the development and applications of numerical methods based on Finite Element Method (FEM) for the analysis and design of photonic components. He has pioneered the use of FEM modal solvers for the analysis of Photonic Crystal Fibers and the development of Hollow Core Tube Lattice Fibers (HC-TLFs) and Hollow Core Kagome Fibers (HC-KFs), first in terahertz and then in infrared, visible, and ultraviolet spectral range. His research is aimed to investigate the use of HC-TLFs and KFs for high power laser delivering, sensing, and quantum optics.

Open Access funding provided by 'Università degli Studi di Modena e Reggio Emilia' within the CRUI CARE Agreement