GNSS Signal Jamming as Observed From Radio Occultation

Dong L. Wu

Abstract—The jamming is found to increase significantly in recent years, and its impact is evident in Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) radio occultation (RO) measurements, such as those from COSMIC-2. This article presents an algorithm that applies the RO radiometry to detect and monitor long-term variations of GNSS jamming power from a deeply occulted height $(H_{SL} = -140 \text{ km})$. At these heights, the RO signal amplitude is at its noise level because the GNSS transmitter is far behind the Earth shadow. Thus, any enhanced RO amplitudes from these heights are considered as a jamming signal. The algorithm was successfully applied to two conflict zones: Mediterranean Sea and Middle East and Central Africa, where the Global Position System (GPS) jamming was frequently used by state-sponsored electronic warfare. The time series of normalized RO amplitude in these regions show a steady increase of the GPS jamming power since 2017, but a sharp decrease since the start of Russo-Ukrainian War.

Index Terms—Global navigation satellite system (GNSS), jamming, radio occultation (RO), time series.

I. INTRODUCTION

J AMMING on Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) signals poses a great threat to the safety service in civilian air traffic [1], [2], [3], and regional police and medical emergency operations. It is relatively easy to jam low-power GNSS signals (-160 dBW) and confuse and cause problems for the receivers. Because of the Global Position System (GPS) market expanding from \$76B in 2021 to \$300B expected for 2029 [4] and the increased military use of GNSS-navigated weapon systems [5], [6], [7], the jamming on its receivers continues to be a major issue in GNSS applications. State-sponsored jamming from electronic warfare, especially to GPS signals [8], [9], has become common in conflict zones. The International Telecommunication Union has issued a warning on potential impacts from the harmful interference and urges the international community to take necessary steps to mitigate the problem [10].

Different from broad L-band radio frequency interference (RFI) seen from space [11], [12], the jamming on GNSS receivers is an intentional RFI, often concentrated in a narrow band targeted to a specific transmission frequency such as GPS [13]. These jamming or RFI signals must be flagged and removed in order to produce useful science data in GNSS-reflectometry

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/JSTARS.2024.3385738

(GNSS-R) observations [14], [15], [16], [17]. Although GNSS-RO is largely based on phase measurements, the RO tracking can be adversely impacted by weak GNSS signals or SNR. Because of atmospheric bending and diffraction effects, the RO SNR decreases with limb tangent height and eventually diminishes in a completely occulted situation. To increase the number of RO observations with deep penetration to the lower atmosphere, the RO antennas require a special design with a higher gain than the POD (precise orbit determination) antennas. In the presence of flex power operation from GNSS or jamming from the ground, the number and quality of RO tracking can be significantly impacted.

Detecting jamming from SmallSat/CubeSat has been obtained from the GNSS-POD and GNSS-R measurements. Using the L1 CA signals collected from the POD antennas on LEO satellites, Roberts et al. [16] developed an algorithm that can detect and geo-register RFI using variations in a time series of the SNR measurement, and distinguishable from ionospheric effects. The algorithm is particularly good at fast varying RFI or jamming and produces multivear global maps of hotspots of these sources. For slowly varying high-power sources, the algorithm might have some challenges to distinguish them from other natural variabilities. Furthermore, studying the GNSS-R delay Doppler map measurement noise, Chew et al. [17] obtained GNSS RFI maps from 2017 to 2022 and found the approximate locations of regional hotspots in the subtropical latitudes over land. The technique can be used to track and evaluate jamming sources at a relatively high revisit rate from an 8-SmallSat constellation.

The objective of this study is to derive a long-term GNSS jamming record, using the SNR measurements from RO antennas onboard recent LEO SmallSat/CubeSat constellations (see Table I). We leverage the RO radiometry algorithm developed for remote sensing of atmospheric water vapor in planetary boundary layer [18], to obtain the enhanced RO L1 SNR from very low tangent heights. Multisatellite records of GNSS jamming are obtained to trace the SNR variability back to 2006 when the COSMIC-1 GNSS-RO constellation was established.

II. GNSS RO OBSERVATIONS

As a limb sounding technique, GNSS-RO can penetrate clouds to profile temperature and water vapor in the lower atmosphere . Using the so-called open-loop (OL) operation, the GNSS-RO signal can be tracked far behind the Earth's shadow, sometimes producing a significant SNR at a straight-line height ($H_{\rm SL}$) between -100 km and -300 km below the surface . Different from the closed-loop (CL) operation, in which a phase-locked loop (PLL) circuit is used to track the signal frequency based on previous measurements, in OL operation, the receiver relies on a modeled reference signal

© 2024 The Authors. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 License. For more information, see https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Manuscript received 17 September 2023; revised 28 January 2024; accepted 1 April 2024. Date of publication 11 April 2024; date of current version 25 April 2024. This work was supported by NASA through Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) Research, Living with a Star (LWS), and Commercial SmallSat Data Acquisition (CSDA) programs.

The author is with the Climate and Radiation Lab (Code613), NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MA 20771 USA (e-mail: dong.l.wu@nasa.gov).

TABLE I SUMMARY OF GNSS-RO OBSERVATIONS

LEO	Mission	Init,Final	Lat	Top RO	Tracked
Satellites	lifetime	Alt (km)	Coverage	Ht (km)	GNSS ⁽³⁾
CHAMP	2001-2008	450 330	90°S/N	140	G
COSMIC-1 ¹	2006-2020	525 810	90°S/N	130	G
MetOp-A	2006-2021	820	90°S/N	90	G
MetOp-B	2012-	820	90°S/N	90	G
MetOp-C	2018-	820	90°S/N	90	G
KOMPSAT-5	2015-	560	90°S/N	135	G
TSX	2009-	520	90°S/N	135	G
TDX	2016-	520	90°S/N	135	G
GRACE	2007-2017	475 300	90°S/N	140	G
COSMIC-2	2019-	715 545	44°S/N	90—130	G, R
PAZ	2018-	520	90°S/N	135	G
S6	2020-	1336	90°S/N	90	G,R
Spire ²	2018-	varying	90°S/N	170-400	G,R,E,J
GeoOptics	2018-2022	530	90°S/N	130	G, R,E
PlanetIQ	2023-	525 645	90°S/N	130	G,R,E,C

¹The COSMICI-3 spacecraft never reached the intended orbital altitude and was operated at 725km for the rest of its mission.

²The Spire constellation acquires RO profiles from GPS, GLONASS, Galileo, and QZSS (briefly before 2021) since 2022.

³G, R, E, C, and J are abbreviations respectively for GPS, GLONASS, Galileo, BDS and QZSS.



Fig. 1. Schematic of GNSS-RO observations from different $H_{\rm SL}$. At a very low $H_{\rm SL}$, the RO SNR has little signal from GNSS transmitters, and any enhanced SNR or noise is likely from jamming sources at the surface.

frequency from orbit dynamics, receiver clock drift, and estimated atmospheric bending effects, to track the anticipated RO signal regardless of SNR fluctuations. The CL operation works well in the situations where SNR is strong, but a long period of low SNRs would prevent the PLL from updating the reference signal and result in a loss of tracking the GNSS signal.

The introduction of OL operation helps to increase the number of RO soundings because an intermittent loss of tracking often terminates the CL tracking at a low $H_{\rm SL}$. In addition, the OL observations allow a study of jamming signals from the measurements at these low $H_{\rm SL}$. As shown in Fig. 1, RO profiles are generated from setting/rising limb observations from the radio signal sent by GNSS transmitters. There is little bending at the top of the atmosphere but the dense air in the lower atmosphere can refract the radio wave propagation and cause a bending. As a result, the occulted signal at the receiver on a low-Earth orbit (LEO) is from the transmitter behind Earth's shadow. The bending angle information is used to infer the atmospheric refractivity and derive the temperature/humidity profile. Nowadays, there



Fig. 2. (a) Typical normalized L1 SNR profile (namely, $S_{RO} = SNR/SNR_0$). (b) Typical RO antenna pattern showing the profiles of high SNR and low SNR measurements. S_{RO} are used to handle SNR variability with the normalization.

are a growing number of SmallSat/CubeSat constellations that apply the OL tracking for GNSS-RO observations (see Table I).

A typical RO SNR($H_{\rm SL}$) profile is shown in Fig. 2(a), which is normalized by its free-space value (SNR0) or the mean at $H_{\rm SL} > 50$ km. The normalization of SNR ($S_{\rm RO} = SNR/SNR_0$) is necessary for the RO radiometry, as the RO amplitude can vary largely from profile to profile. Depending on where the occultation occurs relatively to the angle with respect to the antenna pattern, SNR₀ can differ by a factor of 2–4, even when the GNSS transmitter is the same. The normalized SNR can be used to compare the signal powers from different RO receivers and GNSS transmitters and jamming variations from different periods of time. More details on the S_{RO} algorithm can be found in [18].

III. JAMMING ON GNSS RO SIGNALS

To identify the jamming power on GNSS signals, we use the $S_{\rm RO}$ values at $H_{\rm SL} < -140$ km. At this deeply occulted height, the $S_{\rm RO}$ measurement is close to the receiver noise, because the GNSS transmitter power is mostly blocked by Earth. The contribution from deep refraction by atmospheric water vapor might have some remnants, which diminishes sharply with $H_{\rm SL}$ and is scattered mostly over oceans [18]. Therefore, at low $H_{\rm SL}$, jamming signals can be detected when they are strong enough above the measurement noise.



Fig. 3. Global maps of annual mean L1 S_{RO} averaged from $H_{SL} < -140$ km for 2019–2022 using the GPS-tracking RO data acquired by COSMIC-1, MetOp-A/B/C, TSX, TDX, KOMPSAT-5, and PAZ.



Fig. 4. Jamming power distribution. (a) GPS and (b) GLONASS jamming power as observed by COSMIC-2 at $H_{\rm SL}$ = -140 km in January 2022, showing hot spots over the MSME and CA. The two regions are highlighted by the red boxes.

To illustrate the significance of jamming signals over the background measurement noise, we map out the $S_{\rm RO}$ averaged at $H_{\rm SL} < -140$ km for 2019–2022 using all available GPS-RO data from COSMIC-1, MetOp, TSX, TDX, KOMPSAT-5, and PAZ. Unfortunately, the COSMIC-1 mission was ending in 2019, and the global RO coverage came mostly from the other satellites.



Fig. 5. Time series of the monthly jamming power in (a) MSME and (b) CA, as observed by GNSS-RO sensors at $H_{\rm SL} < -140$ km. The earlier period of MetOp-A/B $S_{\rm RO}$ data are excluded in the time series because of the noise data during the OL testing period. The small annual variation in COSMIC-1 $S_{\rm RO}$ before 2017 is likely due to the residuals of the deep refraction from atmospheric water vapor, as seen in Fig. 3.

Despite the reduced number of samples in recent years, as shown in Fig. 3, jamming signals are clearly seen in the North and Central Africa (CA), the Mediterranean, the Eastern Europe, and the Middle East. The $S_{\rm RO}$ enhancement is spread to Russia in 2022, which is likely related to Russo–Ukrainian War. It is clear that these enhanced $S_{\rm RO}$ values are significantly greater than the atmospheric residuals or other measurement noise seen mostly over oceans.

Fig. 4 shows a distribution of enhanced $S_{\rm RO}$ at -140 km in January 2022 from COSMIC-2 over the southern Europe and Africa where the hot spot values are significantly above the oceanic background where atmospheric residuals would maximize. The monthly mean $S_{\rm RO}$ are calculated separately for GPS and GLONASS signals, showing that the jamming on GPS signals is much stronger than on GLONASS. Two regions are identified to track their long-term variation of jamming power: Mediterranean Sea and Middle East (MSME) and CA. In 2020, significant jamming on GPS is found over Turkey, Syria, Bulgaria, and Somalia, whereas in 2021, strong GPS jamming is

evident in Azerbaijan, Turkey, Mediterranean Sea, Tunisia, and South Sudan.

The time series of RO signals from the MSME and CA regions show that the GPS jamming began to increase substantially since 2017, whereas the jamming on GLONASS only had a moderate increase (see Fig. 5). The increased jamming on GPS signals seems to be consistent with frequent military uses of GNSS-navigated systems in the regions of conflict. The trends of GPS jamming power variations are also consistent among the measurements from independent GNSS-RO receivers, despite differences in receiver type and LEO altitude. Although the jamming is ubiquitous at all local times in these regions, the daytime power appears to be slightly great than the nighttime.

Interestingly, the GPS jamming power shows a sharp decrease in the MSME and CA regions since the start of Russo-Ukrainian War (February 2022). The decrease is evident in the COSMIC-2 and MetOp data, although the average S_{RO} jamming power is still above the pre-2017 level. Note that the enhanced S_{RO} in a monthly mean would require a sustained jamming power and often it is from state-sponsored electronic warfare. The sociopolitical impacts of the Russo–Ukrainian War are complex. Demands for the electrical warfare could shift from one region to the other as conflicts develop, causing changes in a wider region.

IV. SUMMARY

In this study, we developed an algorithm to detect and monitor long-term variations of GNSS jamming power, using the normalized GNSS-RO SNR (SRO) measurements from deeply occulted heights ($H_{SL} < -140$ km). The algorithm was applied to all GPS-RO L1 SNR observations from COSMIC-1, MetOp, TSX, TDX, KOMPSAT-5, and PAZ since 2006. Global distributions of $S_{\rm BO}$ at $H_{\rm SL} < -140$ km reveal a significant enhancement in the North and CA, the Mediterranean, the Eastern Europe, and the Middle East during 2019-2022. We derived the monthly mean S_{BO} from two conflict zones: MSME and CA, where the GPS jamming was frequently used by state-sponsored electronic warfare. The time series of these S_{RO} data show that the jamming power started to increase since 2017 but decreased sharply since the Russo-Ukrainian War started. Because of complex socio-political factors, the war's impacts on the GPS jamming in the surrounding regions warrant further investigations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank UCAR COSMIC Data Analysis and Archive Center (CDAAC) for data processing and distribution.

REFERENCES

- [1] 2022. [Online]. Available: https://safeairspace.net/
- [2] 2019. [Online]. Available: https://www.timesofisrael.com/flights-at-bengurion-airport-suffer-weeks-of-interruption-to-gps-systems/
- [3] 2022. [Online]. Available: https://safety4sea.com/us-marad-gpsinterference-incidents-reported-in-the-eastern-mediterranean-sea/
- [4] 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.databridgemarketresearch.com/ reports/global-gps-global-positioning-systems-market
- [5] 2021. [Online]. Available: https://ops.group/blog/wp-content/ uploads/2021/05/opinfo_FAA_Information_Note_-_Turkey_-_PKK_UAS_Attack_-_19_MAY_2021_-_FINAL.pdf
- [6] 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.flyingmag.com/airlines-reportrussian-gps-jamming-in-four-regions/

- [8] M. J. Murrian, L. Narula, P. A. Iannucci, S. A. Budzien, B. W. O'Hanlon, and T. E. Humphreys, "GNSS interference monitoring from low earth orbit," *Signal Process.*, 2020.
- [9] M. J. Murrian et al., "First results from three years of GNSS interference monitoring from low Earth orbit," *Navigation, J. Inst. Navigation*, vol. 68, no. 4, pp. 673–685, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1002/navi.449.
- [10] 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.itu.int/hub/2022/08/warningharmful-interference-rnss/
- [11] P. N. Mohammed, M. Aksoy, J. R. Piepmeier, J. T. Johnson, and A. Bringer, "SMAP L-band microwave radiometer: RFI mitigation prelaunch analysis and first year on-orbit observations," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 54, no. 10, pp. 6035–6047, Oct. 2016.
- [12] E. Daganzo-Eusebio, R. Oliva, Y. H. Kerr, S. Nieto, P. Richaume, and S. M. Mecklenburg, "SMOS radiometer in the 1400-1427-MHz passive band: Impact of the RFI environment and approach to its mitigation and cancellation," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 51, no. 10, pp. 4999–5007, Oct. 2013, doi: 10.1109/TGRS.2013.2259179.ird-00913353.
- [13] R. H. Mitch et al., "Signal characteristics of civil GPS jammers," in *Proc.* 24th Int. Tech. Meeting Satell. Division Inst. Navigation, Portland, OR, USA, Sep. 2011, vol. 5, pp. 1907–1919.
- [14] P. Voosen, "NASA overcomes military's GPS tweaks to peer inside hurricanes," *Science*, Jun. 2019, doi: 10.1126/science.aay3678.
- [15] J. Querol, A. Alonso-Arroyo, R. Onrubia, D. Pascual, H. Park, and A. Camps, "SNR degradation in GNSS-R measurements under the effects of radio-frequency interference," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Appl. Earth Observ. Remote Sens.*, vol. 9, no. 10, pp. 4865–4878, Oct. 2016.
- [16] T. M. Roberts, T. K. Meehan, J. Y. Tien, and L. E. Young, "Detection and localization of terrestrial L-band RFI with GNSS receivers," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 60, 2022, Art. no. 5801311, doi: 1109/TGRS.2021.3109524.
- [17] C. Chew, T. Maximillian Roberts, and S. Lowe, "RFI mapped by spaceborne GNSS-R data," *NAVIGATION: J. Inst. Navigation*, vol. 70, no. 4, Dec. 2023, Art. no. navi.618, doi: 10.33012/navi.618.
- [18] D. L. Wu, J. Gong, and M. Ganeshan, "GNSS-RO deep refraction signals from moist marine atmospheric boundary layer (MABL)," *Atmosphere*, vol. 13, 2022, Art. no. 953, doi: 10.3390/atmos13060953.



Dong L. Wu received the B.S. degree in space physics from the University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China, in 1985, and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in atmospheric science from the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA, in 1993 and 1994, respectively.

He is the Project Scientist of NASA's Total and Spectral Solar Irradiance Sensor (TSIS) mission at Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC). His research interests include remote sensing of atmospheric clouds and winds. He was the principal in-

vestigator (PI) of Goddard's IceCube project (CubeSat flight demonstration of 883-GHz radiometer for cloud ice measurements). He was a Principal Research Scientist and the Supervisor of Aerosol and Cloud Group, Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA, during 1994–2011. He was a Co-Investigator Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) during 1994–2008, CloudSat during 2006–2010, Multi–angle Imaging SpectroRadiometer (MISR) since 2008, and NASA's Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS) since 2007. He has authored and coauthored more than 180 papers on peer-reviewed journals.

Dr. Wu was the recipient of the NASA Exceptional Achievement Medal in 2001, 2008, and 2022, JPL Ed Stone award for Outstanding Research Paper in 2006, and Robert H. Goddard Award for Science in 2019.