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#### Abstract

Water reflectance anisotropy has serious implications for the remote sensing of water surfaces. The $f^{\prime} / Q$ factor serves as a crucial bridge connecting the inherent and apparent optical properties of turbid water bodies; $f^{\prime} / Q$ varies not only with angular geometry and inherent optical properties (IOPs), but also with wavelength. However, there was limited research on $f^{\prime} / Q$ in turbid water bodies. This research conducted preliminary exploration on $f^{\prime} / Q$ in inland turbid water bodies based on measurements of in-situ multi-angle bidirectionality reflectance, including 17 angles and 251 bands when the solar zenith angle ( $\theta_{s}$ ) was between $40^{\circ}$ and $50^{\circ}$. This study indicated that $f^{\prime} / Q$ exhibited highly sensitivity in the wavelength range of $690-750 \mathrm{~nm}$ with a peak near 727 nm , as well as angular geometry played an important role in the BRDF. The $f^{\prime} / Q$ varied over the range of $0.13-0.18 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$, variation of 0.06 $\mathrm{sr}^{-1}$ across different bands, when viewing zenith angle $\left(\theta_{v}\right)$ and particle backscattering ratio ( $\tilde{b}_{b p}$ ) were 0 and 0.0183 , respectively; higher than the range observed for the ocean $\left(0.08-0.15 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}\right)$. The variability in $f^{\prime} / Q$ as a function of wavelength must be accounted for in turbid waters. The $f^{\prime} / Q$ was correlated with the IOPs in the range of $690-750 \mathrm{~nm}$, with coefficient of determination $\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{2}\right)$ values higher than 0.94 and root mean square error (RMSE) values lower than $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 4}$. Our findings are of great significance for understanding the relationship between inherent and apparent optical properties in inland water bodies, for BRDF studies, and for improving the accuracy of satellite product retrieval.


Index Terms-Bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF), inland waters, multi-angle reflectance, radiative transfer, remote sensing.

## I. Introduction

REMOTE sensing reflectance ( $R r s, \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$. see Table I for primary symbols and definitions used in this paper) provides necessary information about water surfaces and is not isotropic
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[1], [2], [3]. The bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF) factor $f^{\prime} / Q$ (if the backscattering coefficient $b_{b}$ is small with respect to the absorption coefficient $a$, the coefficient $f^{\prime}$ is replaced by $f$ ) varies not only with angular geometry and inherent optical properties (IOPs), but also with wavelength. Variability in the $f^{\prime} / Q$ ratio and its influence on ocean color remote sensing have been the focus of several theoretical studies. Morel and Gentili [2] showed that the $f^{\prime} / Q$ ratio was relatively independent of the solar zenith angle $\theta_{s}$ and satellite viewing angles expected for the moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer (MODIS) orbit, with $f^{\prime} / Q=0.0936,0.0944,0.0929$, and 0.0881 (standard deviation is 0.005 ) for $\lambda=440,500,565$, and 665 nm , respectively. Thus, in various bio-optical algorithms that relate Rrs to IOPs, $f^{\prime} / Q$ is assumed to be independent of wavelength $\lambda$ and $\theta_{s}$ for all MODIS wavebands of interest except for 667 nm (e.g., the MODIS semi-analytical chlorophyll algorithm; Carder et al. [4]). Using detailed radiative transfer computations, Morel et al. [5] found that the typical values of the $f^{\prime} / Q$ ratio are in the range of $0.08-0.15 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$ (around the mean value $0.11 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$ reported by Gordon et al. [6]), and established a look up table of the BRDF accounting for Raman scattering and making the particle phase function shape varying with chlorophyll concentration in open ocean waters. This method was adopted by NASA and has become a standard method. However, it works well only with Case 1 waters [7], i.e., not with coastal or inland waters. Tzortziou et al. [8] estimated values of $f^{\prime} / Q$ that ranged from 0.09 to $0.107 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$ for the nadir-viewing geometry in the middle region of Chesapeake Bay. The values were very similar to those reported by Morel and Mueller [9], and were not very different from those of Case 1 waters. Lee et al. [10] developed a method for correcting angle effects in water-leaving radiance $\left(L_{w}\right)$ using an IOP-centered approach, which can be applied to oceanic and coastal waters and has been widely used for correcting the BRDF angle.

Previous studies of bidirectional reflectance were restricted to oceanic and coastal waters, including Case 1 and Case 2 waters [5], [10], [11], [12]. Though the BRDF correction achieved in Case 1 and Case 2 waters, the degree of agreement between theoretical computations and measurements of $f^{\prime} / Q$ variability in turbid inland waters remains unknown. Further studies are needed for turbid inland waters, which are impacted by human activities and have extremely complex apparent optical properties. In particular, the effects of the BRDF on the co-varying of wavelength and angle in turbid inland waters are seldom

TABLE I
Significant Symbols, Definitions, and Unit

| Symbol | Definition | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | Total absorption coefficient | $\mathrm{m}^{-1}$ |
| $a_{w}$ | Pure water absorption coefficient | $\mathrm{m}^{-1}$ |
| $a_{C D O M}$ | Yellow substance absorption coefficient | $\mathrm{m}^{-1}$ |
| $a_{p}$ | Particle-absorption coefficient | $\mathrm{m}^{-1}$ |
| $b$ | Total scattering coefficient | $\mathrm{m}^{-1}$ |
| $b_{b}$ | Backscattering coefficient | $\mathrm{m}^{-1}$ |
| $b_{b w}$ | Backscattering coefficient of water molecules | $\mathrm{m}^{-1}$ |
| $b_{b p}$ | and particles, respectively | $\mathrm{m}^{-1}$ |
| $\tilde{b}_{b p}$ | Particle backscattering ratio ( $=b_{b p} / b_{p}$ ) | - |
| $C_{p g}$ | Attenuation coefficient of dissolved and particulate constituents | $\mathrm{m}^{-1}$ |
| $E_{d}$ | Downwelling irradiance | W m ${ }^{-2}$ |
| $E_{u}$ | Upwelling irradiance | W m ${ }^{-2}$ |
| $f$ | Ratio of Subsurface irradiance reflectance to the ratio $b_{b} / a$ | - |
| $f^{\prime}$ | Ratio of Subsurface irradiance reflectance to the ratio $b_{b} /\left(a+b_{b}\right)$ | $-$ |
| $L_{u}$ | Upwelling radiance | W m ${ }^{-2} \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$ |
| $L_{w}$ | Water-leaving radiance | W m ${ }^{-2} \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$ |
| $n$ | Refractive index of water | - |
| $Q$ | Ratio of irradiance to radiance | Sr |
| Rrs | Above-surface remote-sensing | $\mathrm{sr}^{-1}$ |
| $R$ | Irradiance reflectance ( $=E_{u} / E_{d}$ ) | - |
| $\lambda$ | Wavelength | nm |
| $\theta s$ | Solar zenith angle | rad |
| $\theta v$ | Viewing zenith angle | rad |
| $\theta^{\prime} v$ | Viewing nadir angle | rad |
| $\varphi$ | Viewing azimuth angle | rad |
| $\tau_{a}$ | Aerosol optical thickness | - |
| $\bar{n}$ | Average number of collisions | - |
| $\bar{w}$ | Single-scattering albedo | - |
| W | Wind speed | $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ |
| $\mathfrak{R}$ | Divergence factor when radiance enters into air from below the surface | - |

addressed due to the difficulty in collecting in situ multiangle data, and the particulate scattering volume function. Most previous studies focused on oceanic and coastal waters, and constructed BRDF models through simulations rather than the collection of in situ bidirectional data. In situ bidirectionality data are rarely measured due to the limitations of instruments measuring bidirectionality and particulate volume scattering functions (VSFs). Water color remote sensing may be influenced by various constituents, such as chlorophyll-a (Chla), total suspended matter (TSM), and colored dissolved organic substances (CDOM). Further studies are needed to determine the variability in BRDF for inland turbid waters, especially in several substances dominated together case 2 waters (T-2). Loisel and Morel [13] studied extreme situations in waters of yellow-substance-dominated (Y-2) and sediment-dominated Case 2 waters (S-2), less distributed in inland waters, and described the effect of $\theta_{s}$ on the bidirectional structure of the upward radiance field. In addition, Y-2, S-2 [13] and T-2 waters in Taihu Lake were compared in terms of their ratio spectral scattering and absorption coefficients ( $b / a$ ). The $b / a$ spectra are displayed in Fig. 1. T-2 waters was different from S-2 and Y-2 waters, although it had a similar magnitude to $\mathrm{S}-2$ and similar spectral shape to Y-2. It is believed that, in T-2 waters, several substances that are totally dominant. In T-2 waters, the $b / a$ is as


Fig. 1. Spectral values of the b/a ratio for $\mathrm{Y}-2, \mathrm{~S}-2$, and $\mathrm{T}-2$ waters.
low as 3.78 (single-scattering albedo $\bar{w}=0.79$ ) at 400 nm , is maximal (23.67) at $578 \mathrm{~nm}(\bar{w}=0.96)$, slightly decreases at $580-650 \mathrm{~nm}$, and strongly decreases at 650-678 nm [due to the increase in the absorption coefficient of phytoplankton $\left(a_{\mathrm{ph}}\right)$ ], while there is a slight increase at 678-695 nm with the decrease in $a_{\mathrm{ph}}$. Thus, the bidirectional nature of T-2 waters is likely to be different compared to S-2, Y-2, and Case 1 waters. The upward field tends to become isotropic with increased turbidity and high levels of scattering [9], [13] resulting in $f^{\prime} / Q$ being less sensitive to the viewing direction. We attempted to determine if the angle geometry tended to be isotropic and had less effect on the BRDF in turbid inland waters. In addition, we investigated whether the independence of the effect of wavelength from $f^{\prime} / Q$ values in Case 1 waters also applied to inland turbid waters.

In this study, we investigated bidirectional reflectance characteristics in the turbid inland waters of Lake Taihu based on in situ multiangular spectral radiance. Our main objectives were to show the effect of the different phase functions of two particulate backscattering ratios on bidirectional reflectance; analyze and highlight the bidirectional effect of the viewing zenith angle $\left(\theta_{v}\right)$, azimuth angle $(\phi)$, wavelength $\lambda$, and their co-variance based on measurements obtained at 17 angles in 251 bands; evaluate the variability in the relationship between $f^{\prime} / Q$ and IOPs for our measurement geometry. Therefore, the present study has a significant impact in understanding the relationship between inherent and apparent optical properties of inland waters, as well as in enhancing the development of remote sensing algorithms. Although the bottom albedo may have an impact on the upwelling radiant field in inland waters, the high turbidity of Taihu Lake means that this effect is not significant. The effect of winds and aerosol were not considered in our study.

## II. Methods

## A. Theoretical Background

The water-leaving radiance $L_{w}\left(0^{+}, \lambda, \Omega, I O P, W, \tau_{a}\right)$, is the light intensity per solid angle resulting from absorption and scattering in the water, and contains information regarding the IOPs of water bodies. The $L_{w}\left(0^{+}\right)$is related to angular
geometry $\Omega($ unit rad $)$, water IOPs, and wavelength that can be expressed as follows [5], [6], [14], [15]:

$$
\begin{align*}
L w & \left(0^{+}, \lambda, \theta_{s}, \theta_{v}, \varphi, \operatorname{IOP}, W, \tau_{a}\right) \\
= & E_{d}\left(0^{+}, \lambda, \theta_{s}, \tau_{a}\right) \times \Re\left(\theta^{\prime}{ }_{v}, W\right) \\
& \times \frac{f^{\prime}\left(\lambda, \theta_{s}, \mathrm{IOP}, W, \tau_{a}\right)}{Q\left(\lambda, \theta_{s}, \theta^{\prime}{ }_{v}, \varphi, \operatorname{IOP}, W, \tau_{a}\right)} \frac{b_{b}(\lambda)}{a(\lambda)+b_{b}(\lambda)} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $E_{d}\left(0^{+}\right)$is the downward irradiance just above the surface, and $\Re\left(\theta^{\prime}{ }_{v}, W\right)$ is a divergence factor that accounts for transmission and reflection effects when radiance enters the air from below the surface. Wind speed $(W)$ has a weak effect on $\Re$ that can be calculated accurately over a wide range of viewing angles using $W=0$ [16]; normally, it is equal to 0.54. $a(\lambda)$ and $b_{b}(\lambda)$ are the total absorption and backscattering coefficients at wavelength $\lambda$, respectively. The dimensionless coefficient $f^{\prime}$, which regulates the magnitude of irradiance reflectance, is a complex function of wavelength, $\theta_{s}$, water IOPs (mainly single scattering albedo and VSF), surface roughness, and aerosol optical thickness $\left(\tau_{a}\right)$ [9], [16], [17]. The $f^{\prime}$ quantity appears through the ratio $R\left(0^{-}\right)$of upwelling irradiance $E_{u}\left(0^{-}\right)$to incident downward irradiance $E_{d}\left(0^{-}\right)$(at null depth and just beneath the surface, denoted $\left(0^{-}\right)$), which is expressed as: $R\left(0^{-}\right)=$ $E_{u}\left(0^{-}\right) / E_{d}\left(0^{-}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(b_{b} /\left(a+b_{b}\right)\right)$. If $b_{b}$ is small with respect to $a$, the coefficient $f$ is used to relate $R$ to the ratio $b_{b} / a$ and averages about $0.32-0.33$ [16]. The global range of variation in $f$ is from about $0.3-0.6$ [9]. The bidirectional function $Q$ [14], which is defined as $Q=E_{u}\left(0^{-}\right) / L_{u}\left(0^{-}, \theta^{\prime}{ }_{v}, \varphi\right)$, is the ratio of upwelling irradiance $E_{u}\left(0^{-}\right)$to upwelling radiance $L_{u}\left(0^{-}\right)$, which gives rise to $L_{w}\left(0^{+}\right)$after its emergence. Thus, $Q$ is a bidirectional function with values that generally range from 3 to 6 sr [2] depending on the angular configuration $\left(\theta_{s}, \theta^{\prime}, \phi\right)$, water IOPs (particularly VSF), and environmental factors, including the wavelength, $\theta_{s}$, nadir angle $\left(\theta^{\prime}{ }_{v}\right)$, $\phi$, water IOPs, surface roughness, and $\tau_{a}$. The $\theta^{\prime}{ }_{v}$ is the in-water zenith angle of $\theta_{v}$, which is related to $\theta$ through Snell's law $\left(\sin \theta_{v}=n \sin \theta^{\prime}{ }_{v}\right.$, where $n=1.34$ is the refractive index of seawater). The angular coordinates used in this study refer to MG-96 [3].

The $f^{\prime} / Q\left(\mathrm{sr}^{-1}\right)$ describes the bidirectional reflectance when the total backscattering $b_{b}\left(\mathrm{~m}^{-1}\right)$ is not small compared to the absorption coefficient $a\left(\mathrm{~m}^{-1}\right)$ [15]. The $f^{\prime} / Q$ factor mainly depends on three variables, i.e., angular geometry, IOPs, and wavelength, with the effect of the W and $\tau_{a}$ being neglected due to their very small influence. Therefore, the multiangular $R_{r s}(\lambda, \Omega$, IOP $)$ could be expressed [6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{r s}(\lambda, \Omega, \mathrm{IOP})=\frac{L_{w}\left(0^{+}, \lambda, \Omega, \mathrm{IOP}\right)}{E_{d}\left(0^{+}, \lambda, \theta_{s}\right)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The multiangular $R_{r s}$ processing method [18] used the multiangular spectral radiance data measured above the water surface, including the viewing direction. By rearranging (1) and (2), the multiangular $R_{r s}(\lambda, \Omega$, IOP $)$ could be expressed in relation to backscattering and absorption coefficients [19]

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{r s}(\lambda, \Omega, \mathrm{IOP})=\Re\left(\theta^{\prime}{ }_{v}, W\right) f^{\prime} / Q\left(b_{b} / a+b_{b}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For turbid waters, the average number of collisions $(\bar{n})$ is important, as it is more directly related to the above parameters

TABLE II
Relevant Optical Information Obtained at Sampling Sites During a Tainu Lake Cruise in 2012

| No. | Year/month | Solar zenith angle $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right.$ ] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chla } \\ & {\left[\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { TSM } \\ & {\left[\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right]} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bp(550) } \\ & {\left[\mathrm{m}^{-1}\right]} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { acдoм }(400) \\ & {\left[\mathrm{m}^{-1}\right]} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | October 2012 | 47.4 | 22.25 | 120.08 | 19.51 | 1.06 |
| 2 | October 2012 | 41.3 | 13.78 | 86.31 | 17.89 | 1.02 |
| 3 | October 2012 | 41.6 | 13.95 | 46.62 | 14.12 | $1.02$ |
| 4 | October 2012 | 49.2 | 15.12 | 38.85 | 12.72 | 1.03 |
| 7 | October 2012 | 41.2 | 30.23 | 40.11 | 16.31 | 0.98 |
| 8 | October 2012 | 43.6 | 21.81 | 35.99 | 13.78 | 0.91 |
| 9 | October 2012 | 50.0 | 25.21 | 37.78 | 14.88 | 0.96 |

[1]. The $\bar{n}$ experienced by photons before they escape from water can be expressed as: $\bar{n}=(1-\bar{w})^{-1}$ or $\bar{n}=1+(b / a)$. Where $\bar{w}$ is the single-scattering albedo, $\bar{w}=b /(a+b)$, and $a$ and $b$ are the absorption and scattering coefficients, respectively. It should be noted that we used $\bar{n}$ instead of $\bar{w}$ because $\bar{n}$ is unbounded and more intuitive than $\bar{w}$ [13], especially when multiple-scattering is dominant in turbid waters.

## B. Data Processing and Calculation of the $f^{\prime} / Q$ Ratio

The multiangular remote-sensing reflectance $R_{r s}(\lambda, \Omega$, IOP $)$ was measured using a handheld three-dimensional positioning pole, with measurements made 10 times in every observation direction [18] on cloudless sunny days. The viewing zenith angle $\theta_{v}$ was measured from $0^{\circ}$ to $60^{\circ}$ at intervals of $15^{\circ}$, and the viewing angle relative to the sun $\varphi$ were measured from $0^{\circ}$ to $145^{\circ}$ at intervals of $45^{\circ}$

Therefore, bidirectionality data was measured including 17 observation directions $\left(\theta_{v}=0^{\circ}, 15^{\circ}, 30^{\circ}, 45^{\circ}\right.$ or $60^{\circ} ; \varphi=$ $0^{\circ}, 45^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}$, or $135^{\circ}$ ) and 251 bands (500-750 nm, at an interval of 1 nm ) in Taihu, China [18]. Seven stations (see Table II) were used in our study; data from stations 5 and 6 were omitted due to the small variations in $\theta_{s}$. Some IOPs were measured in the laboratory. The absorption coefficient spectra of $\operatorname{CDOM}\left(a_{\text {CDOM }}(\lambda)\right)$ and beam attenuation coefficient spectra of dissolved and particulate constituents $\left(C_{p g}(\lambda)\right)$ were measured by ultra-violet-visible spectroscopy (UV2410PC; Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) and calculated [20]. The absorption coefficients of total suspended matter $\left(a_{p}(\lambda)\right)$ and nonalgal particles $\left(a_{\text {NAP }}(\lambda)\right)$ were measured using a quantitative filter technique [21] and calculated as proposed Cleveland et al. [22]. The absorption coefficient spectra of phytoplankton $\left(a_{\mathrm{ph}}(\lambda)\right)$ were obtained by subtracting $a_{\mathrm{NAP}}(\lambda)$ from $a_{p}(\lambda)$, and the scattering coefficient spectra of TSM $\left(b_{p}(\lambda)\right)$ were obtained by subtracting $a_{p}(\lambda)$ and $a_{\text {CDOM }}(\lambda)$ from $C_{p g}(\lambda)$. The TSM and Chla concentrations were also measured. Some IOPs and related data are listed in Table II. The average $a_{\mathrm{CDOM}}(\lambda), a_{\mathrm{NAP}}(\lambda), a_{\mathrm{ph}}(\lambda)$, and $b_{p}(\lambda)$ spectra of seven sampling sites were shown in Fig. 2.

The spectral total absorption coefficient $a(\lambda)$ was calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(\lambda)=a_{w}(\lambda)+a_{\mathrm{CDOM}}(\lambda)+a_{\mathrm{ph}}(\lambda)+a_{\mathrm{NAP}}(\lambda) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{w}(\lambda), a_{\mathrm{CDOM}}(\lambda), a_{\mathrm{ph}}(\lambda)$, and $a_{\mathrm{NAP}}(\lambda)$ are the absorption coefficients of pure water, CDOM, phytoplankton, and nonalgal


Fig. 2. Average spectral values of $a_{\mathrm{CDOM}}(\lambda), a_{\mathrm{NAP}}(\lambda), a_{\mathrm{ph}}(\lambda)$, and $b_{p}(\lambda)$ of the seven sampling sites.
particles, respectively. $a_{w}(\lambda)$ was taken from Pope et al. [23] and Lee et al. [24], and linearly interpolated at intervals of 1 nm .

The angular shape of the particle scattering phase function is not a constant; it varies with particle size and composition [25], [26]. However, there is no reliable method to accurately measure the particle phase function shape; therefore, BRDF values will always be a best-guess estimate at present. Fortunately, recent technological advances have greatly expanded the number of VSFs and more models have been developed [27], [28]. Finally, we selected two different particulate backscattering ratios $\left(\tilde{b}_{b p}(\lambda)\right)$; one was the commonly used value of 0.0183 from Petzold's integrated volume scattering data, while the other is the 0.013 was obtained by Whitmire et al. [29] using a much larger data set. The particulate backscattering coefficients $\left(b_{b p}(\lambda)\right)$ were calculated directly as $\tilde{b}_{b p}(\lambda) \times b_{p}(\lambda)$ for all wavelengths. The spectral total backscattering coefficient $b_{b}(\lambda)$ was calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{b}(\lambda)=b_{b w}(\lambda)+b_{b p}(\lambda) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{b w}(\lambda)$ and $b_{b p}(\lambda)$ are the backscattering coefficients of pure water and total suspended particles, respectively. $b_{b w}(\lambda)$ was taken from Dall'Olmo et al. [30] and linearly interpolated at an interval of 1 nm .

In this study, the effects of $W$ and $\tau_{a}$ were ignored because $W \leq 5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ on the cloudless sunny days that characterized the study period. Based on the definition of $R_{r s}$, a multiangular $R_{r s}(\lambda, \Omega$, IOP $)$ was estimated as the ratio of $L_{w}\left(0^{+}, \lambda, \Omega\right.$, IOP $)$ to the measured $E_{d}\left(0^{+}, \lambda, \theta_{s}\right)$ provided by (2). The IOPs (i.e., $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{bb})$ were measured and variability in the quantity $f^{\prime} / Q\left(\mathrm{sr}^{-1}\right)$ was estimated according to (3). The time period selected was 10.00 to 14.00 . The $\theta_{s}$ ranged from $40^{\circ}-50^{\circ}$, and we assumed that $\theta_{s}$ was invariant while $\theta_{v}$ and $\varphi$ changed. Therefore, $f^{\prime} / Q\left(\mathrm{sr}^{-1}\right)$ was a function of wavelength, $\phi, \theta_{v}$, and IOPs.


Fig. 3. $f^{\prime} / Q$ of two particulate backscattering ratios (left: 0.0183, right: 0.013 ) as a function of viewing direction and wavelength at azimuth angles of $0^{\circ}, 45^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}$, and $135^{\circ}$ (from top to bottom).

## III. Results

## A. Selection of the Particle Backscattering Ratios $\tilde{b}_{b p}$

With reference to (3), the BRDF factor $f^{\prime} / Q$ was calculated as a function of the wavelength and angle geometry (see Fig. 3). The overall range of variation of this quantity was $0.130-0.331$ $\mathrm{sr}^{-1}$. Two particle backscattering ratios ( $\tilde{b}_{b p}$ ) value ( 0.0183 and 0.013 ) were used to calculate the backscattering coefficient $b_{b}$. The backscattering ratios exhibited a similar trend but a diverse range of $f^{\prime} / Q$ values (see Fig. 3). Larger values of $f^{\prime} / Q$ were seen when the backscattering ratio was equal to 0.013 (in the range of $0.174-0.331 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$ ), and were in the range of $0.130-$ $0.243 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$ when applying the commonly used value of 0.0183 derived from Petzold's integrated volume scattering. Therefore, we selected 0.0183 for this research due to the changes in angle and wavelength being like those in Petzold [25].

## B. Variations With Viewing Angles and Wavelength

Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine the statistical significance of bidirectional reflectance

TABLE III
Two-Way ANOVA of $f^{\prime} / Q$ According to WavELENGTH (500-750 NM) and Angle Geometry (VIEWing Angle of $0^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ and Azimuth Angle OF $0^{\circ}-135^{\circ}$ )

| Source | SS | df | MS | F | P |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wavelengths | 0.654 | 250 | 0.0026 | 587.2 | 0 |
| Angles | 0.682 | 16 | 0.0426 | 9561.5 | 0 |
| Error | 0.018 | 4000 | 0 |  |  |
| Total | 1.354 | 4266 |  |  |  |



Fig. 4. Summary of $f^{\prime} / Q$ as a function of azimuth angle $\phi\left(0^{\circ}, 45^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}\right.$, and $135^{\circ}$ ) and viewing angle $\theta_{v}\left(0^{\circ}, 15^{\circ}, 30^{\circ}, 45^{\circ}\right.$, and $\left.60^{\circ}\right)$ in wavelengths of $400-750 \mathrm{~nm}$.
with variations in wavelength and angle geometry. The ANOVA showed that the impacts of the 251 bands and 17 angles on $f^{\prime} / Q$ values (see Table III) were significantly different. The value of P was equal to 0 , indicating that wavelengths and angles affected the bidirectional reflectance.

The box plot in Fig. 4 shows the distribution of the BRDF factor, $f^{\prime} / Q$, across the azimuth and viewing angles for all bands. The box plot depicts the distribution of $f^{\prime} / Q$ as follows. The box shows the interquartile range (middle $50 \%$ of the data), the circle with dots shows the median $f^{\prime} / Q$, and the thin lines (whiskers) extending out of the box show the full range of data values (including extreme values). Box plots are useful for visualizing such features. The same line type has the same $\varphi$ and the same color indicates the same $\theta_{v}$.

For a viewing angle equal to $0^{\circ}, f^{\prime} / Q$ values were in the range of $0.130-0.179 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$; the median was $0.141 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$. These values were smaller than for other angles. At a viewing angle $\theta_{v}$ equal to $15^{\circ}$, the $f^{\prime} / Q$ values increased with increasing $\phi$, with median values of $0.142,0.144,0.146$, and $0.150 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$ for $\phi$ of $0^{\circ}, 45^{\circ}$, $90^{\circ}$, and $135^{\circ}$, respectively. The trends of the other interquartile values were the same, with an increase with increasing $\phi$ of $0.005-0.007 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$. There were no obvious changes when the viewing angle $\theta_{v}$ was $\leq 15^{\circ}$ as the $\phi$ increased from $0^{\circ}$ to $145^{\circ}$. However, at a viewing angle of $30^{\circ}$, there were obvious changes as the $\phi$ increased from $0^{\circ}$ to $145^{\circ}$, and especially from $90^{\circ}$ to $145^{\circ}$, with an increase of around $0.01 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$ seen in the $f^{\prime} / Q$ interquartile values. This change was more obvious when the viewing angle $\theta_{v}$ was $45^{\circ}$ or $60^{\circ}$. For example, when the viewing angle was $60^{\circ}$, the median value increased by $0.023 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$ as


Fig. 5. Spectral values of $f^{\prime} / Q$ at various angles: (a) $\varphi=0^{\circ}$ and $45^{\circ}$ and $\theta_{v}=$ $0^{\circ}, 15^{\circ}, 45^{\circ}$, and $60^{\circ}$; (b) $\varphi=90^{\circ}$ and $135^{\circ}$ and $\theta_{v}=0^{\circ}, 15^{\circ}, 45^{\circ}$, and $60^{\circ}$.
the $\phi$ increased from $90^{\circ}$ to $145^{\circ}$. The viewing angle had more effect on the $f^{\prime} / Q$ than the azimuth angle $\phi$. With an increase in viewing angle, the $f^{\prime} / Q$ interquartile values increased for an $\phi$ of $0^{\circ}-145^{\circ}$. More importantly, the larger the azimuth angle, the greater effect of viewing angle changes on $f^{\prime} / Q$. For example, at an $\phi$ of $0^{\circ}$, all the interquartile values increased by $0.01 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$ for viewing angles of $0-60^{\circ}$. However, the interquartile values increased by $0.041-0.054 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$ at an $\phi$ equal to $135^{\circ}$. In addition, the change is relatively small in the 0 degrees azimuth.

The overall range of variation of $f^{\prime} / Q$ with wavelength was $0.130-0.243 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$ (see Fig. 5). The $f^{\prime} / Q$ value increased monotonously with wavelength from 500 to 540 nm . There were small changes $\left(\sim 0.015 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}\right)$ from 541 to 685 nm . In the range of $690-750 \mathrm{~nm}, f^{\prime} / Q$ initially increased to extreme values and then decreased. The extreme values occurred in the infrared region at 727 nm , which was related to IOPs, absorption and scattering (see Section IV-A).

We evaluated the effect of changes in the main wavelength bands $(510,531,555,620,645,660,678,690,708,727$, and 740 nm ) on the $f^{\prime} / Q$. Those bands, including several wavelengths generally used in satellite measurements, have been applied for the retrieval of chlorophyll and sediment concentrations in turbid waters. The $f^{\prime} / Q$ was very complex result from water inherent optical properties with wavelength. The variations in interquartile values were like those in the mean values. The mean $f^{\prime} / Q$ value was $0.162 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$ for the main bands. The $f^{\prime} / Q$ value increased from 690 to 728 nm and then decreased. There were few differences among the bands. For example, at 531 and 555 nm , the mean value was $0.162 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$ at both 531 and 555 nm , the minimum value was $0.146 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$, the $25 \%$ and $75 \%$ interquartile values were 0.154 and $0.168 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$, respectively, and the median values were 0.1588 and $0.1583 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$, respectively. However, there was a slight difference in the maximum values ( 0.197 and $0.194 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$, respectively) when the viewing direction was $60^{\circ}$. we should draw attention to viewing direction that is equal to $60^{\circ}$. The 531 and 555 nm combination was therefore useful for turbid waters retrieval. Furthermore, 510, 645, and 690 nm had equal mean and interquartile values as well expect for the maximum of $510 \mathrm{~nm}, 0.1896 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$, that is bigger than 645 and 690 nm . This is to say the effect of BRDF on them is extremely similar or same. we also can use 510,645 , and 690 nm combination to retrieve. In conclusion, 531 and 555 nm combination and 510,645 , and 690 nm combination all can be


Fig. 6. Polar plots of $f^{\prime} / Q$ at viewing angles of $0^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ and azimuth angles of $0^{\circ}-135^{\circ}$, including the visible and infrared parts of the spectrum. The polar radius represents viewing angles of $0^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ and the polar angle represents azimuth angles of $0^{\circ}-135^{\circ}$.
used to retrieve due to the same effect of BRDF on those bands when viewing angle less than $60^{\circ}$.

## C. Look-Up Tables of the $f^{\prime} / Q$

Data for the selected solar zenith angles between $40^{\circ}$ and $50^{\circ}$ for Taihu Lake inland waters (see Table II and Fig. 5) were linearly interpolated. The corresponding polar plots of the color encoded $f^{\prime} / Q$ quantity is also shown (see Fig. 6) for the different wavelengths, used in water color remote sensing studies (510, $531,555,620,645,678,690,708,727$, and 740 nm ). The polar radius represents the viewing angle $\left(0^{\circ}-60^{\circ}\right)$ and the polar angle represents the $\phi\left(0^{\circ}-135^{\circ}\right)$. The following observations were made.

1) The $f^{\prime} / Q$ patterns were largely wavelength dependent in this turbid inland water body, especially at 708, 727, and 740 nm .
2) At the $\phi$ of $0^{\circ}$, the viewing direction had little effect on the $f^{\prime} / Q$ at $510,620,645,678,690,708,727$, and 740 nm .
3) The viewing angle $\theta_{v}$ had an obvious effect on the $f^{\prime} / Q$, which increased with increasing $\phi$.

TABLE IV
Part of the Lookup Tables Containing Mean $f^{\prime} / Q$ Values and Standard Deviation From 510 to 620 nm For Turbid Inland Waters (VIEwing Angle $\theta \mathrm{v}$ of $0^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ and Azimuth Angle $\Phi$ of $0^{\circ}-135^{\circ}$ )

| Parameter | Wavelength(nm) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{f}^{\prime} / \boldsymbol{Q}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 5}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{0}^{\circ}$ | $0.136 \pm 0.023$ | $0.146 \pm 0.026$ | $0.147 \pm 0.027$ | $0.134 \pm 0.024$ | $0.137 \pm 0.024$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{0}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{1 5}^{\circ}$ | $0.138 \pm 0.027$ | $0.149 \pm 0.031$ | $0.149 \pm 0.033$ | $0.134 \pm 0.030$ | $0.138 \pm 0.030$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{0}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{3 0}^{\circ}$ | $0.144 \pm 0.026$ | $0.154 \pm 0.029$ | $0.154 \pm 0.030$ | $0.140 \pm 0.028$ | $0.144 \pm 0.028$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{0}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{4 5}^{\circ}$ | $0.149 \pm 0.019$ | $0.159 \pm 0.022$ | $0.158 \pm 0.024$ | $0.144 \pm 0.021$ | $0.148 \pm 0.021$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{0}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{6 0}^{\circ}$ | $0.148 \pm 0.016$ | $0.159 \pm 0.019$ | $0.158 \pm 0.021$ | $0.143 \pm 0.016$ | $0.147 \pm 0.016$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{4 5}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{1 5}^{\circ}$ | $0.140 \pm 0.025$ | $0.150 \pm 0.028$ | $0.150 \pm 0.029$ | $0.137 \pm 0.026$ | $0.140 \pm 0.026$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{4 5}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{3 0}^{\circ}$ | $0.146 \pm 0.026$ | $0.157 \pm 0.029$ | $0.157 \pm 0.030$ | $0.143 \pm 0.028$ | $0.146 \pm 0.027$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{4 5}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{4 5}^{\circ}$ | $0.153 \pm 0.027$ | $0.164 \pm 0.030$ | $0.164 \pm 0.031$ | $0.149 \pm 0.028$ | $0.153 \pm 0.028$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{4 5}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{6 0}^{\circ}$ | $0.158 \pm 0.029$ | $0.168 \pm 0.033$ | $0.168 \pm 0.034$ | $0.152 \pm 0.031$ | $0.156 \pm 0.031$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{9 0}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{1 5}^{\circ}$ | $0.141 \pm 0.025$ | $0.152 \pm 0.028$ | $0.152 \pm 0.028$ | $0.138 \pm 0.026$ | $0.142 \pm 0.026$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{9 0}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{3 0}^{\circ}$ | $0.150 \pm 0.024$ | $0.160 \pm 0.027$ | $0.159 \pm 0.028$ | $0.145 \pm 0.026$ | $0.149 \pm 0.025$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{9 0}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{4 5}^{\circ}$ | $0.159 \pm 0.026$ | $0.169 \pm 0.029$ | $0.168 \pm 0.029$ | $0.153 \pm 0.027$ | $0.157 \pm 0.026$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{9 0}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{6 0}^{\circ}$ | $0.167 \pm 0.030$ | $0.175 \pm 0.032$ | $0.173 \pm 0.032$ | $0.159 \pm 0.030$ | $0.163 \pm 0.031$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{1 3 5}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{1 5}^{\circ}$ | $0.143 \pm 0.025$ | $0.154 \pm 0.026$ | $0.155 \pm 0.026$ | $0.142 \pm 0.025$ | $0.146 \pm 0.024$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{1 3 5}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{3 0}^{\circ}$ | $0.155 \pm 0.027$ | $0.166 \pm 0.029$ | $0.166 \pm 0.029$ | $0.154 \pm 0.028$ | $0.159 \pm 0.027$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{1 3 5}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{4 5}^{\circ}$ | $0.166 \pm 0.033$ | $0.177 \pm 0.035$ | $0.176 \pm 0.034$ | $0.162 \pm 0.034$ | $0.167 \pm 0.033$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{1 3 5}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{6 0}^{\circ}$ | $0.190 \pm 0.041$ | $0.197 \pm 0.042$ | $0.194 \pm 0.040$ | $0.182 \pm 0.043$ | $0.186 \pm 0.042$ |

TABLE V
Part of the Lookup Tables Containing Mean $f^{\prime} / Q$ Values and Standard Deviation From 645 to 740 nm

| Parameter | Wavelength(nm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{f}^{\prime} / \boldsymbol{Q}$ | $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 8}$ | 740 |
| $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{0}^{\circ}$ | $0.143 \pm 0.024$ | $0.134 \pm 0.018$ | $0.138 \pm 0.018$ | $0.158 \pm 0.023$ | $0.179 \pm 0.026$ | $0.152 \pm 0.026$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{0}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{1 5}^{\circ}$ | $0.143 \pm 0.030$ | $0.133 \pm 0.025$ | $0.138 \pm 0.025$ | $0.160 \pm 0.031$ | $0.181 \pm 0.032$ | $0.157 \pm 0.029$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{0}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{3 0}^{\circ}$ | $0.149 \pm 0.029$ | $0.139 \pm 0.024$ | $0.144 \pm 0.024$ | $0.167 \pm 0.029$ | $0.190 \pm 0.030$ | $0.166 \pm 0.028$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{0}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{4 5}^{\circ}$ | $0.153 \pm 0.021$ | $0.144 \pm 0.017$ | $0.148 \pm 0.016$ | $0.172 \pm 0.020$ | $0.195 \pm 0.019$ | $0.172 \pm 0.020$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{0}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{6 0}^{\circ}$ | $0.152 \pm 0.016$ | $0.143 \pm 0.014$ | $0.147 \pm 0.013$ | $0.173 \pm 0.016$ | $0.195 \pm 0.014$ | $0.175 \pm 0.016$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{4 5}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{1 5}^{\circ}$ | $0.146 \pm 0.026$ | $0.137 \pm 0.020$ | $0.141 \pm 0.020$ | $0.162 \pm 0.026$ | $0.183 \pm 0.030$ | $0.159 \pm 0.029$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{4 5}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{3 0}^{\circ}$ | $0.152 \pm 0.028$ | $0.142 \pm 0.022$ | $0.147 \pm 0.022$ | $0.169 \pm 0.029$ | $0.192 \pm 0.033$ | $0.168 \pm 0.033$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{4 5}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{4 5}^{\circ}$ | $0.159 \pm 0.028$ | $0.148 \pm 0.022$ | $0.153 \pm 0.022$ | $0.177 \pm 0.029$ | $0.202 \pm 0.034$ | $0.178 \pm 0.033$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{4 5}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{6 0}^{\circ}$ | $0.162 \pm 0.032$ | $0.152 \pm 0.025$ | $0.156 \pm 0.026$ | $0.180 \pm 0.032$ | $0.204 \pm 0.037$ | $0.183 \pm 0.037$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{9 0}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{1 5}^{\circ}$ | $0.147 \pm 0.026$ | $0.138 \pm 0.019$ | $0.142 \pm 0.019$ | $0.163 \pm 0.024$ | $0.185 \pm 0.027$ | $0.158 \pm 0.026$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{9 0}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{3 0}^{\circ}$ | $0.154 \pm 0.026$ | $0.145 \pm 0.019$ | $0.149 \pm 0.019$ | $0.171 \pm 0.023$ | $0.194 \pm 0.024$ | $0.167 \pm 0.025$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{9 0}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{4 5}^{\circ}$ | $0.163 \pm 0.027$ | $0.154 \pm 0.021$ | $0.157 \pm 0.021$ | $0.181 \pm 0.025$ | $0.206 \pm 0.027$ | $0.180 \pm 0.027$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{9 0}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{6 0}^{\circ}$ | $0.170 \pm 0.026$ | $0.161 \pm 0.025$ | $0.163 \pm 0.027$ | $0.186 \pm 0.025$ | $0.212 \pm 0.030$ | $0.191 \pm 0.030$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{1 3 5}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{1 5}^{\circ}$ | $0.151 \pm 0.025$ | $0.142 \pm 0.019$ | $0.146 \pm 0.019$ | $0.167 \pm 0.023$ | $0.189 \pm 0.030$ | $0.160 \pm 0.030$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{1 3 5}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{3 0}^{\circ}$ | $0.165 \pm 0.027$ | $0.154 \pm 0.020$ | $0.157 \pm 0.020$ | $0.181 \pm 0.025$ | $0.208 \pm 0.032$ | $0.177 \pm 0.032$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{1 3 5}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{4 5}^{\circ}$ | $0.174 \pm 0.033$ | $0.164 \pm 0.026$ | $0.167 \pm 0.025$ | $0.191 \pm 0.031$ | $0.218 \pm 0.037$ | $0.190 \pm 0.039$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\mathbf{1 3 5}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{6 0}^{\circ}$ | $0.195 \pm 0.043$ | $0.187 \pm 0.037$ | $0.187 \pm 0.033$ | $0.212 \pm 0.038$ | $0.243 \pm 0.044$ | $0.230 \pm 0.048$ |

4) Generally, the $f^{\prime} / Q$ value increased with the viewing angle $\theta_{v}$ and azimuth angle $\phi$. More importantly, the effect of the $\theta_{v}$ was greater than the $\phi$.
5) For several wavelengths (e.g., 531 and 555 nm , and 510, 620 , and 690 nm ) $f^{\prime} / Q$ patterns were highly similar regardless of the angle geometry.
Thus, more attention should be focused on the effect of the $f^{\prime} / Q$ on turbid inland water bodies, regardless of the angle geometry or wavelength.

Example mean $f^{\prime} / Q$ values and standard deviation as a function of wavelength, viewing angle, and azimuth angle are provided in Tables IV and V; detailed lookup tables data for other wavelength values are available on request from the authors.

## IV. DISCUSSION

Large variations of $f^{\prime} / Q$ were seen according to wavelength (see Fig. 5), different from previous studies [5], [13] which the $f^{\prime} / Q$ was not highly spectrally sensitive. It is important


Fig. 7. (a) Scatter plot of $f^{\prime} / Q\left(45^{\circ}, 135^{\circ}\right)$ and $\bar{n}$ in the range of $690-727 \mathrm{~nm}$. (b) Scatter plot of $f^{\prime} / Q\left(45^{\circ}, 135^{\circ}\right)$ and $\bar{n}$ in the range of $728-750 \mathrm{~nm}$.


Fig. 8. The spectra of (a) $f^{\prime}$, (b) Q , and (c) $f^{\prime} / Q$ were obtained both without considering Raman scattering and fluorescence, as well as including Raman scattering and fluorescence, within the range of $400-750 \mathrm{~nm}$, respectively.
to determine why $f^{\prime} / Q$ varies with wavelength. The largest changes in the $f^{\prime} / Q$ values in this study occurred from 690 to 727 nm , and 728 to 750 nm , and may be attributable to average number of collisions $\bar{n}$ and inelastic scattering. In addition, solar zenith angle $\theta_{s}$ is a crucial factor to impact BRDF though the multiangular remote-sensing reflectance was measured maintaining small variations. Therefore, it is necessary to explore the relationships and influences of these three factors on $f^{\prime} / Q$.

## A. Average Number of Collisions $\bar{n}$ Considerations

The average number of collisions $\bar{n}$ (6), is an intuitively efficient symbol of diffuseness state inside the radiant field, which is in turn directly related to the $f^{\prime} / Q$ [1]. The $f^{\prime} / Q$ of viewing angle $\theta_{v}=45^{\circ}$ and azimuth angle $\varphi=135^{\circ}$ was used and $\bar{n}$ was analyzed in relation to $f^{\prime} / Q\left(45^{\circ}, 135^{\circ}\right)$ (see Fig. 7). Negative correlations between $f^{\prime} / Q$ and $\bar{n}$ were apparent [see Fig. 7(a)] at wavelengths of 690-727 nm as a result of absorption and scattering, with $f^{\prime} / Q$ values increased as absorption increased and scattering decreased. At 728-750 nm, there was a positive linear correlation [see Fig. 7(b)] between $f^{\prime} / Q$ and $\bar{n}\left(R^{2}=0.938\right)$, with $f^{\prime} / Q$ increasing with $\bar{n}$. The $f^{\prime} / Q$ values also increased as absorption increased and scattering decreased. The above results indicate the need to further study the relationship between $f^{\prime} / Q$ and IOPs.

## B. Inelastic Scattering Considerations

The relationship between $f^{\prime} / Q$ and wavelength is likely influenced by inelastic scattering, primarily involving chlorophyll fluorescence, CDOM, and Raman scattering. The $f^{\prime}, \mathrm{Q}$, and $f^{\prime} / Q$ values (see Fig. 8) of station 1 were computed to study the impact of inelastic scattering through radiative transfer
simulations using the HydroLight 6.0 [31] code. The simulations were conducted with the following input parameters

1) wavelength, $\lambda$ ( 100 values, from 400 to 750 nm at an interval of 5 nm );
2) depth: infinite depth;
3) particles ratio, $\tilde{b}_{b p}: 0.0183$;
4) chlorophyll concentration: $22.25 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$;
5) mineral particle concentration: $95.88 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$;
6) CDOM absorption at $440 \mathrm{~nm}: 0.53 \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$;
7) Raman scattering coefficient at $488 \mathrm{~nm}: 2.60 \times 10^{-4}$;
8) chlorophyll fluorescence quantum efficiency: 0.02 ;
9) solar zenith angle: $47.40^{\circ}$;
10) wind speed: $5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$;
11) real index of refraction: 1.34 ;
12) cloud coverage: $0 \%$;
13) airmass type: continental;
14) relative humidity: $80.0 \%$;
15) aerosol optical thickness at $550 \mathrm{~nm}: 0.261$;
16) total ozone: 300.0 Dobson units.

In addition, the IOP models and other input parameters were consistent with Zhang et al. [32] which was focused on the $f$ factor using He6. The impacts of Raman scattering and fluorescence [31] on the $f^{\prime}, Q$, and $f^{\prime} / Q$ were depicted in Fig. 8(a)-(c), respectively. From Fig. 8, it can be observed that inelastic scattering had almost no impact on $f^{\prime}, Q$, and $f^{\prime} / Q$. This may be attributed to the turbidity of the Lake, which masked inelastic scattering due to high-intensity scattering. The results of radiative transfer simulations revealed that the shape of $f^{\prime} / Q$ closely resembled that of $f^{\prime}$, with $f^{\prime}$ and $Q$ exhibiting contrasting characteristics in their variations.

## C. Solar Zenith Angle $\theta_{s}$ Considerations

The variation in solar zenith angle within the range of $40^{\circ}-50^{\circ}$ and there is still a maximum difference of $10^{\circ}$ among different sites. Its impact on $f^{\prime} / Q$ are of paramount importance to this study. Therefore, it is essential to use radiative transfer simulations to discuss the effect of $\theta_{s}$ on $f^{\prime} / Q$. The other input parameters in Section IV-B remained unchanged, with only the $\theta_{s}$ being altered. The coefficient of variation (CV) was used to express the relative variability of $f^{\prime}, Q$, and $f^{\prime} / Q$ in various conditions. The formula for the CV is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CV}=\left(\frac{\sigma}{\mu}\right) \times 100 \% \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ and $\mu$ are the standard deviation and the mean of data, respectively.

The CV for $f^{\prime} / Q$ [see Fig. 9(a)] remained below $5.98 \%$ and was close to the CV for $f^{\prime}$ within the solar zenith angle range of $40^{\circ}-50^{\circ}$, which was lower than the CV for wavelengths [see Fig. 9(b)] greater than $7.50 \%$. It was indicated that the influence of wavelength variation on $f^{\prime} / Q$ was greater than the solar zenith angle when it was within the range of $40^{\circ}-50^{\circ}$. Moreover, the CV increased as the angle increased, within the range of $0^{\circ}-80^{\circ}$ for solar zenith angles. The CV for wavelength was at its lowest, approximately $0.79 \%$, at a viewing zenith angle of $10^{\circ}$; and it reached its maximum, approximately $7.84 \%$, at


Fig. 9. (a) Coefficients of variation for $f^{\prime}, Q$, and $f^{\prime} / Q$ at different wavelengths within the solar zenith angle range of $40^{\circ}-50^{\circ}$ when $\theta_{v}=40^{\circ}, \varphi=135$. (b) The coefficients of variation of $f^{\prime} / Q$ at various angles for wavelengths ranging from 400 to 750 nm . Red circle represents $\theta_{s}\left(\theta_{v}=40^{\circ}, \varphi=135^{\circ}\right)$; blue square represents $\theta_{v}\left(\theta_{s}=50^{\circ}, \varphi=135^{\circ}\right)$; black plus sign represents $\varphi$ $\left(\theta_{s}=50^{\circ}, \theta_{v}=40^{\circ}\right)$.


Fig. 10. Coefficients of variation of $f^{\prime} / Q$ at different wavelengths for solar zenith angle ( $\theta_{v}=40^{\circ}, \varphi=135^{\circ}$ ), viewing zenith angle ( $\theta_{s}=50^{\circ}, \varphi=$ $135^{\circ}$ ), and viewing azimuth angle ( $\theta_{s}=50^{\circ}, \theta_{v}=40^{\circ}$ ).
$50^{\circ}$; and it gradually increased with the increase in azimuth angle. The simulation results were consistent with the in situ results, highlighting that the impact of wavelength on $f^{\prime} / Q$ was as significant as the influence of angle and should not be overlooked.

Furthermore, the CV for $f^{\prime} / Q$ with respect to solar zenith angle, viewing zenith angle, and azimuth angle in the $400-750 \mathrm{~nm}$ range was calculated (see Fig. 10). The CV for viewing azimuth angle was the smallest ranging from $6.67 \%$ to $11.37 \%$, with the CV for solar zenith angle ranging from $12.27 \%$ to $17.02 \%$. Notably, the CV for viewing zenith angle was significantly higher than that for solar zenith angle, ranging from $56.19 \%$ to $74.89 \%$.

In addition, the particle backscattering ratio $\tilde{b}_{b p}$ is crucial for the study of $f^{\prime} / Q$ [28], as illustrated in Fig. 3. We modified the input for Part B , changing the value of $\tilde{b}_{b p}$ to range from 0.01 to 0.06 [29], [33], [34], [35], [36] and calculated the CV at different wavelengths, which ranged from $1.98 \%$ to $2.91 \%$ and was lower than the CV of $f^{\prime} / Q$ caused by angle and wavelength. More in-depth research is required to explore the relationship between $f^{\prime} / Q$ and $\tilde{b}_{b p}$ [28], [32].

In this article, we made an uncertainty analysis of $f^{\prime} / Q\left(573, \theta_{v}, \varphi\right)$ according to the relative error in $R_{r s}(573)$
because the relative error in $R_{r s}\left(\lambda, \theta_{v}, \varphi\right)$ is almost constant across the wavelength range, especially in the $500-800 \mathrm{~nm}$. The relative error of $f^{\prime} / Q\left(573, \theta_{v}, \varphi\right)$ was not large and had a slight variation for each observation direction at the seven sample sites. The maximal, minimal and values of these 17 observation directions values were $6.5 \%, 0.6 \%$, and $3.0 \%$, respectively. And the absorption and backscattering coefficients measuring errors were not included in the estimate of $f^{\prime} / Q$ error though may significantly modify the relative errors of $f^{\prime} / Q$.

## V. Conclusion

In this study, the bidirectional reflectance data was measured at 17 angles and 251 bands in an inland turbid lake (Taihu Lake, China). Based on the in situ multiangle bidirectional reflectance data and the calculated $f^{\prime} / Q$ values, we draw the following conclusions.

1) The $f^{\prime} / Q$ values varied among wavelength $\lambda$, viewing angles $\theta_{v}$ and azimuth angle $\phi$ in an inland turbid water, and varied over the range of $0.13-0.243 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$ at $\theta_{v}=0^{\circ}$, higher than the range observed for the ocean ( $0.08-0.15$ $\mathrm{sr}^{-1}$ ).
2) The $f^{\prime} / Q$ increased as the $\theta_{v}$ and $\phi$ increased. The viewing angle $\theta_{v}$ had more effect on the BRDF factor $f^{\prime} / Q$ than the azimuth angle $\phi$; the larger the $\phi$, the greater effect of viewing angle changes on the $f^{\prime} / Q$. The changes were not obvious when the viewing angle was $\leq 15^{\circ}$. The variations were greatest when the $\phi$ and $\theta_{v}$ were $145^{\circ}$ and $60^{\circ}$, respectively. The extremely turbid waters are perfectly diffuse scattering bodies, which leads to isotropy [5], [13]. However, an isotropic regime was not reached within the upward field and the angle geometry led to variation in the $f^{\prime} / Q$ value of about $0.06 \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$.
3) Wavelength $\lambda$ also influenced the $f^{\prime} / Q$ of the $L_{w}$ in the turbid inland waters, especially in the spectral ranges of $690-750 \mathrm{~nm}$, and the $f^{\prime} / Q$ ratio was correlated with the IOPs [coefficient of determination $\left(R^{2}\right)>0.94$ and RMSE $<0.004$ ).
4) Two particle backscattering ratios ( 0.0183 and 0.013 ) exhibited similar trends but produced a diverse range of $f^{\prime} / Q$ values.
5) Inelastic scattering had a minimal impact on the $f^{\prime} / Q$ and can be disregarded in Lake Taihu as simulated by Hydrolight.
The bidirectional effects, once computed, can be removed to obtain normalized reflectance or normalized water leaving radiance. The results of this study indicated that lookup tables could be used as a first approximation for $f^{\prime} / Q$ values in T-2 inland turbid waters at all wavelengths, and could inform the selection of appropriate hyperspectral satellite bands and angles for analyzing turbid inland waters.
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