



Open Access

# Low-Loss Singlemode PECVD Silicon Nitride Photonic Wire Waveguides for 532–900 nm Wavelength Window Fabricated Within a CMOS Pilot Line

IEEE Photonics Journal

An IEEE Photonics Society Publication

Volume 5, Number 6, December 2013

- A. Z. Subramanian
- P. Neutens
- A. Dhakal
- R. Jansen
- T. Claes
- X. Rottenberg
- F. Peyskens
- S. Selvaraja, Member, IEEE
- P. Helin
- B. Du Bois
- K. Leyssens
- S. Severi
- P. Deshpande
- R. Baets, Fellow, IEEE
- P. Van Dorpe



DOI: 10.1109/JPHOT.2013.2292698 1943-0655 © 2013 IEEE





# Low-Loss Singlemode PECVD Silicon Nitride Photonic Wire Waveguides for 532–900 nm Wavelength Window Fabricated Within a CMOS Pilot Line

A. Z. Subramanian, <sup>1,2</sup> P. Neutens, <sup>3</sup> A. Dhakal, <sup>1,2</sup> R. Jansen, <sup>3</sup> T. Claes, <sup>3</sup>
X. Rottenberg, <sup>3</sup> F. Peyskens, <sup>1,2</sup> S. Selvaraja, <sup>1,2,3</sup> *Member, IEEE*, P. Helin, <sup>3</sup> B. Du Bois, <sup>3</sup> K. Leyssens, <sup>3</sup> S. Severi, <sup>3</sup> P. Deshpande, <sup>3</sup>
R. Baets, <sup>1,2</sup> *Fellow, IEEE*, and P. Van Dorpe<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Photonics Research Group, Ghent University-IMEC, Ghent 9000, Belgium <sup>2</sup>Centre for Nano- and Biophotonics, Ghent University, Ghent 9000, Belgium <sup>3</sup>IMEC, Leuven 3001, Belgium

> DOI: 10.1109/JPHOT.2013.2292698 1943-0655 © 2013 IEEE

Manuscript received September 30, 2013; revised November 11, 2013; accepted November 19, 2013. Date of publication November 25, 2013; date of current version December 4, 2013. The work of A. Z. Subramanian, A. Dhakal, and R. Baets was supported by the ERC-InSpectra Advanced Grant. The work of F. Peyskens was supported in part by the ERC-InSpectra Advanced Grant and in part by Bijzonder Onderzoekfonds (BOF) of Ghent University. The work of P. Neutens was supported by Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek Vlaanderen (FWO). Corresponding author: A. Z. Subramanian (e-mail: ananth.subramanian@intec.ugent.be).

**Abstract:** PECVD silicon nitride photonic wire waveguides have been fabricated in a CMOS pilot line. Both clad and unclad single mode wire waveguides were measured at  $\lambda = 532$ , 780, and 900 nm, respectively. The dependence of loss on wire width, wavelength, and cladding is discussed in detail. Cladded multimode and singlemode waveguides show a loss well below 1 dB/cm in the 532–900 nm wavelength range. For singlemode unclad waveguides, losses < 1 dB/cm were achieved at  $\lambda = 900$  nm, whereas losses were measured in the range of 1–3 dB/cm for  $\lambda = 780$  and 532 nm, respectively.

**Index Terms:** Waveguides, waveguide devices, fabrication and characterization, photonic materials, gratings.

## 1. Introduction

Silicon photonics has evolved to become a real-world technology. The combination of high-indexcontrast (HIC) and compatibility with complementary-metal-oxide-semiconductor (CMOS) processing has helped in low-cost and high volume production of high-quality photonic components and circuits. The major driving force behind silicon photonics remains the integration of photonic and electronics components on a common silicon-based platform mainly related to on-chip interconnects and telecom applications. In recent years, there has been a tremendous surge of interest towards integration of photonic devices with different functionalities on a chip for biological sensing and detection [1], [2]. Examples include lab-on-a-chip based systems for evanescent field based sensing [3], fluorescence [4] and Raman spectroscopy [5]. For such applications, the visible and very-nearinfrared (VNIR) (500–950 nm) wavelength window is of particular interest due to minimal photo damage to living cells, negligible water absorption, low fluorescence, and the availability of low-cost sources and sensitive silicon-based detectors.

However, for all its technological development silicon remains transparent only for wavelengths > 1.1  $\mu$ m thereby making it unsuitable for most of the bio-related applications that require shorter wavelengths in the visible-VNIR range for optimum performance. Silicon nitride (Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>) is a wellknown dielectric material that is transparent in the visible-NIR and beyond, compatible with CMOSbased processes for low-cost mass fabrication, possesses relatively high refractive index (n  $\sim$  2.0) for tighter confinement, does not suffer from two-photon absorption, and has lower temperature sensitivity than silicon. Predominantly, Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> is deposited using low-pressure chemical vapor deposition (LPCVD) or plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) technique. Of the two, LPCVD is often preferred as it provides an excellent control over the homogeneity of material index and thickness. However, it remains a high-temperature process (> 700 °C) and it induces high stress, particularly in the thicker films (> 300 nm) making it unsuitable for many integrated optical devices. On the other hand, PECVD is a low-temperature process (200–400 °C) and enables stressfree thicker film deposition, making it a better alternative for many photonic-based applications. However, the film homogeneity is poorer than in the case of LPCVD films. Therefore, a welloptimized process for low-loss Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> waveguides using PECVD provides a very attractive route towards high-volume fabrication of integrated photonic devices.

So far, low-loss Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> waveguides in the visible-VNIR have been fabricated mostly by LPCVD [6]–[8]. Low-loss (< 1 dB/cm) in these waveguides was achieved by restricting the etch-depth to low values (5 nm) [6] or by making wide (> 10  $\mu$ m) multimode waveguides [7] in combination with complete cladding of the waveguide by SiO<sub>2</sub>. Gorin *et al.* demonstrated low-loss (< 0.5 dB/cm) high-index PECVD slab waveguides in the 470 nm–633 nm wavelength range [9]. This was achieved by optimizing precursor gas ratio, low-frequency PECVD that reduced the absorption losses and rapid thermal annealing of the waveguides. Recently, singlemode photonic wire (cladded) with low waveguide (< 0.7 dB/cm) and bend (< 0.05 dB/90°) loss fabricated within a CMOS pilot-line was demonstrated for the first time at 660 nm using PECVD technology [10]. However, the dependence of waveguide loss on different parameters such as wavelength (within the visible-VNIR range), waveguide width and cladding is yet to be reported. In this work, we compare PECVD nitride photonic wires fabricated in a CMOS pilot-line for different wavelengths (532, 780, and 900 nm), singlemode widths, and cladding conditions respectively. Waveguide loss of < 1 dB/cm was achieved for cladded waveguides at 532 nm and 900 nm wavelength.

## 2. Waveguide Design and Simulations

For Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> waveguide characterization a test mask was designed comprising of straight and spiral waveguides of different lengths (1, 2, 4, and 8 cm) and different widths with grating couplers (GCs) at each end for input and output coupling of light. The Fimmwave mode solver was used for design and simulation. A cross-section of 180–220 nm height and width in the range of 300–1000 nm was used for the core of the Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> waveguide. It was found that the minimum oxide thickness to avoid any significant leakage into the substrate was 1.5  $\mu$ m; therefore in the simulations the oxide thickness was taken as 2.0  $\mu$ m. The above geometry of Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> waveguide ensured singlemode operation in the complete visible-VNIR range. The grating couplers (GCs) were designed using CAMFR, an eigenmode expansion tool [11], [12]. The GCs were designed for TE polarization and the corresponding period, linewidth and etch-depth was calculated for different wavelengths (532, 780, and 900 nm) as described in our previous work on the GCs for NIR wavelength [13].

Fig. 1 shows the dispersion results for the Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> waveguides at different wavelengths for different widths for both cladded and uncladded waveguides. The cladded waveguides received a 2  $\mu$ m thick PECVD SiO<sub>2</sub>-top coating. The refractive index of Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> was taken as 1.93 (at 532 nm) and 1.89 (at 780 nm and 900 nm) and, 1.46 as the index of SiO<sub>2</sub>, respectively. The refractive indices were determined by ellipsometry on the deposited Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub> thin films (to be discussed in the next section). The Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> height was taken as 180 nm for  $\lambda = 532$  nm and, 220 nm for  $\lambda = 780$  nm and  $\lambda = 900$  nm, respectively. Based on the above parameters, the singlemode width at 532 nm wavelength was determined to be ~380 nm for the cladded and ~530 nm for the unclad waveguides. At 780 nm, singlemode width was 900 nm for the unclad waveguide and ~630 nm for the cladded



Fig. 1. Dispersion diagram for  $Si_3N_4$  waveguides for different widths and wavelengths (a) 532, (b) 780, and (c) 900 nm.

waveguide, and finally the corresponding singlemode width at 900 nm wavelength was  $\sim$ 1100 nm for the unclad and  $\sim$ 770 nm for the cladded waveguide respectively. It should be noted that an unclad waveguide reaches cutoff for widths  $\leq$  500 nm at 900 nm wavelength, as depicted in Fig. 1(c).

# 3. Waveguide Fabrication and Characterization

To build a photonic circuit in Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, we start with a 200 mm bare silicon wafer. After cleaning the wafer, 2–2.4  $\mu$ m of silicon dioxide (SiO<sub>2</sub>) was deposited using a high-density plasma (HDP) CVD process. On top of the isolating oxide, Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> was deposited using PECVD on different wafers. Two thicknesses of Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> were chosen, 180 nm Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> stack for 532 nm wavelength and 220 nm Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> stack for 780 and 900 nm wavelength operation respectively. PECVD Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> was deposited using SiH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> at 400 °C, which ensured CMOS back-end compatibility. The precursor gas-ratio was chosen as to minimize loss, following the results of [8]. After the layer deposition, waveguides and grating couplers were patterned by using 193 nm optical lithography. This was followed by the inductive coupled plasma-reactive ion-etch process, using fluorine-based etch chemistry. The waveguides were completely etched to form strip waveguides with different widths (300-1000 nm) and the GCs were partially etched (70-140 nm) by tuning the etch duration. The optimum value for the underlying oxide thickness and etch-depth for GCs was based on a previous study on the optimization of GC at 900 nm wavelength [13]. Photoresist was used as an etch-mask for both etch processes. After dry etching, the wafers were cleaned by using oxygen plasma and a wet chemical process. Since Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> does not possess any absorption band in the visible-VNIR wavelength range, therefore no annealing or thermal treatment was applied to the nitride samples.

The refractive index and thickness of the film were determined by ellipsometry. The film quality in terms of roughness was determined using atomic force microscopy (AFM) on both the SiO<sub>2</sub> and Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> films. Finally, the propagation loss in the wire waveguide was measured at different wavelengths by cut-back method using spiral waveguides with different lengths and bend radius.



Fig. 2. Refractive index of as-deposited Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> thin film determined by ellipsometry.

The measurements were done by coupling light from a laser source using a singlemode fiber through an input GC into the  $Si_3N_4$  waveguide. Another similar fiber is positioned above an output GC to collect the light into a power meter. The position of the fiber was optimized for the maximum transmission. These measurements were performed for TE polarization using different laser sources (532, 780, and 900 nm).

# 4. Experimental Results and Discussion

#### 4.1. Optical Characterization of the Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> Thin Film

The ellipsometry measurements were performed on the as-deposited Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> thin films. A fit to the experimental values using Cauchy dispersion model yielded the best results for the index and thickness. The value of the thickness as determined by the Cauchy model compared well with the measured thickness value using stylus profilometer. Fig. 2 shows the refractive index vs. wavelength for the fully optimized Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> thin films. A refractive index of ~1.89 was measured for the Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> at 780 nm. A standard 9-point thickness measurement was performed on one of the test wafers from the lot. An average thickness of 178.9 nm was obtained with a standard deviation of 4.6 nm for a targeted value of 180 nm. The minimum and maximum thicknesses were 174.2 and 186.2 nm, respectively.

The film quality in terms of roughness for both the SiO<sub>2</sub> and Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> thin films was determined using AFM. In order to have a low scattering loss the deposited thin film (both oxide and nitride) should be as smooth as possible. Any roughness present on the oxide layer is transferred to the nitride layer deposited later on top that eventually leads to light scattering out of the waveguide. The 3D representation of the root mean square (RMS) roughness value of the films (2  $\mu$ m HDP SiO<sub>2</sub> and 100 nm PECVD Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> on top of 2  $\mu$ m HDP SiO<sub>2</sub>) measured using AFM is shown in Fig. 3. The measurements were done with the help of an AFM probe by scanning the top surface of both the SiO<sub>2</sub> and Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> films over an area of 2  $\mu$ m × 2  $\mu$ m. The RMS roughness value for the 2  $\mu$ m HDP SiO<sub>2</sub> film was measured to be extremely low at 0.13 nm [see Fig. 3(a)] and the 100 nm Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> film deposited on top of oxide also exhibited a very low RMS roughness value of 0.28 nm [see Fig. 3(b)].

# 4.2. Optical Characterization of the Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> Wire Waveguide

The Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> strip waveguides were inspected under scanning electron microscope (SEM) for measuring the waveguide dimension and analyzing the etch quality of the waveguides. On the test wafers for measurements at 532 nm, the bottom cladding was 2  $\mu$ m HDP silicon dioxide, optimized to give the highest GC efficiency. The thickness of the nitride stack was fixed at 180 nm whereas the



Fig. 3. RMS roughness value measured by AFM of (a)  $SiO_2$  and (b) as-deposited  $Si_3N_4$  thin film.



Fig. 4. SEM pictures of Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> cross-section prepared by FIB (a) Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> waveguide, (b) complete cross-section including underlying SiO<sub>2</sub>, and (c) GC with 630 nm period and 140 nm etch depth.

waveguide width was varied from 300 nm to 1000 nm. For cladded waveguides, another layer of 2  $\mu$ m SiO<sub>2</sub> was deposited on top of the waveguides. For the 780 and 900 nm wavelengths, the bottom oxide cladding was 2.4  $\mu$ m HDP SiO<sub>2</sub>. The thickness of Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> was fixed at 220 nm and width was varied between 450 and 800 nm. Fig. 4 shows the SEM pictures of the cross-section of one such fabricated waveguide corresponding to the 220 nm Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> waveguide and one of the GCs. The crosssection of the waveguide and GC were made using focused ion beam (FIB) and were analyzed using SEM. The relatively low-index-contrast between  $Si_3N_4$  and  $SiO_2$  (as compared to  $SiO_2$  and silicon) leads to low contrast SEM images due to which there is no marked distinction between Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub> layers in Fig. 4. In order to avoid charging effects, a thin layer of gold was deposited prior to FIB/ SEM measurement. The nominal width of the waveguide (on the mask) was designed to be 500 nm and the measured width on the chip was 485  $\pm$  25 nm. The Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> thickness was measured to be  $230 \pm 15$  nm for a targeted value of 220 nm. This is depicted in Fig. 4(a). It is also evident from Fig. 4(a) that there is a slight over-etching of the nitride leading to an etching of around 20-40 nm of the underlying oxide as well. Fig. 4(b) shows the entire cross-section of the waveguide along with the underlying oxide layer. The targeted oxide thickness was 2.4  $\mu$ m and the measured thickness is found to be the same. However, across the wafer the oxide thickness was found to vary by over 5%. Lastly, Fig. 4(c) shows the cross-section of the GCs. The intended period and etch depth of the GC was 630 nm and 140 nm respectively. The measured period and etch depth was found to be 620 nm and 135 nm which is in reasonable agreement with the nominal value.

Two types of GCs were designed linear GC and curved GC but for all the results discussed in this paper, only the LGC is considered. In case of LGC for 780 and 900 nm, two GCs at either end of the waveguide were defined on a 8  $\mu$ m wide waveguide which was adiabatically tapered down to the desired wire width. Whereas for 532 nm, a 5  $\mu$ m wide waveguide was used together with a taper of 125  $\mu$ m length. The period of the GC was fixed for different wavelengths of operation whereas the etch depths (70, 120, 140 nm) and fill factor (0.45, 0.5, 0.55, 0.6) were varied. For 532 nm



Fig. 5. Waveguide loss variation for the different widths of unclad  $Si_3N_4$  waveguide at 532, 780, and 900 nm.

wavelength operation, the period of the GC was fixed at 365 nm, for 780 nm wavelength, the period was fixed at 530 nm and finally for 900 nm wavelength, the period was fixed at 630 nm respectively. Typical efficiency of these GCs were around  $\sim$ 7 dB/coupler that is comparable to standard GCs used in silicon photonics. However, being a deposited material it is very much possible to improve the efficiency of the coupler to < 3 dB/coupler through the use of distributed Bragg reflector [13], [14] or as recently shown by using metallic reflectors underneath the film [15], [16]. The cladded samples due to the reduced grating index showed an improvement in the coupling efficiency to  $\sim$ 6 dB/coupler with a slight blue shift in the peak wavelength.

The variation in the waveguide loss for the different widths of the unclad Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> wire at 532 nm, 780 nm and 900 nm wavelengths is shown in Fig. 5. The waveguides exhibited < 1 dB/cm for wider widths (> 700 nm) for both 532 nm and 900 nm wavelengths. For the widths in the range of 500 nm– 700 nm, the wires showed losses in the range of 1–2 dB/cm for all the three wavelengths whereas for the widths < 500 nm relatively higher waveguide loss was measured. At 532 nm wavelength, waveguide widths in the range of 300–1000 nm were measured and the best loss value was achieved for the waveguides wider than 800 nm at 0.65 dB/cm. At 780 nm wavelength, four different widths (450, 500, 600, and 700 nm) were measured and the best loss value measured was 1.33 dB/cm for 700 nm wide waveguide. Finally at 900 nm wavelength, four widths (500, 600, 700, and 800 nm) were measured and the best loss values were exhibited by 800 nm wide waveguides at 0.62 dB/cm. The 500 nm wide waveguide showed much higher transmission loss. This is because around 500 nm the mode is close to cut-off, as shown in Fig. 1 and the cutback method could not be trusted anymore consequently the loss values at 500 nm wide waveguide at  $\lambda = 900$  nm is not shown in Fig. 5.

The waveguide loss variation for different widths and different wavelengths for cladded samples is shown in Fig. 6. At 532 nm wavelength, the waveguides showed a loss of < 1 dB/cm for 400 nm wide wire (singlemode) and the losses gradually dropped to beyond the measuring accuracy of the set-up (< 0.1 dB/cm) for wider waveguides. For the widths < 400 nm, the waveguide loss was < 2 dB/cm. At 900 nm wavelength, loss was < 1 dB/cm for the entire singlemode regime of 500–800 nm wide waveguides. The best loss measured was 0.3 dB/cm for 800 nm wide waveguide. Due to the presence of upper cladding, the 500 nm wide waveguide also exhibited low loss unlike unclad waveguide where the waveguide went into cut-off (see Fig. 1).

The loss behavior observed for the  $Si_3N_4$  wire waveguides- the reduction in the loss for the clad samples and for the wider waveguides, together indicate the presence of sidewall roughness. As is clear from the AFM results in Fig. 3 that shows negligible roughness on the deposited film itself so



Fig. 6. Waveguide loss variation for the different widths of clad  $Si_3N_4$  waveguide at (a) 532 nm and (b) 900 nm.

etching is believed to have caused some roughness on the sidewalls. The loss pattern observed at 900 nm wavelength is mainly attributed to the presence of sidewall roughness that leads to excess scattering thereby increasing the loss. At 780 nm wavelength, Rayleigh scattering is stronger than at 900 nm ( $\sim 1/\lambda^4$ ) and in the presence of sidewall roughness, this scattering becomes more prominent leading to higher loss than at 900 nm wavelength. At narrow widths such as 450 nm (at  $\lambda = 780$  nm) and 500 nm (at  $\lambda = 900$  nm), waveguides are closer to cut-off (see Fig. 1) which leads to an increased leakage loss into the substrate and together with the sidewall roughness contributes to the overall waveguide loss. This puts an upper limit on the minimum width of the waveguide that can be used for practical applications. At 532 nm wavelength, the wider waveguides (> 600 nm) show losses < 1 dB/cm since the waveguides are multimode which increases the confinement of the mode resulting in less influence of the sidewalls on the waveguide loss. But in the singlemode regime (< 600 nm) the effect of the sidewalls and Rayleigh scattering increases significantly which causes the waveguide loss to increase exponentially.

As already seen in Fig. 6 that the use of cladding has significant effect on the loss values and losses < 1 dB/cm is achieved in 532–900 nm wavelength range. But applications such as evanescent field based sensors demand unclad singlemode waveguides with low-loss values. In order to have similar losses (< 1 dB/cm for the 532–900 nm wavelength range) in unclad Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> wire waveguides, slight improvement in the etching process is envisaged to reduce the sidewall roughness. In addition, wider waveguides tend to have lower losses (see Fig. 5) which also makes fabrication tolerances much less stringent and less prone to fabrication imperfections. For example, the singlemode widths for the wavelengths 780 and 900 nm, as shown in Fig. 1, are 900 nm and 1100 nm, respectively. Such wide waveguides are expected to yield lower loss even when unclad and are favorable in terms of fabrication tolerances as well.

Finally, to test the uniformity of the fabrication process the loss was measured on different dies across a wafer. Fig. 7(a) shows the regions from where the dies were selected whereas Fig. 7(b) shows the actual loss measured from these dies at 900 nm wavelength. Each data point on the Fig. 7(b) represents an average over three different waveguides from each die. The samples used were unclad and had a wire width of 800 nm. The result shows a reasonable uniformity in the performance of the waveguide across the wafer. The dies (4, 5, 6, and 7) towards the center of the wafer showed lower loss (< 0.8 dB/cm) whereas the dies (10–12) towards the edge showed slightly higher loss ( $\sim$ 1 dB/cm). This is because close to the edge of the wafer the fabrication non-uniformity is the greatest resulting in imperfections and higher losses. The result for die #3 is not shown in Fig. 7 as it was not possible to measure the waveguide due to unwanted debris sticking to



Fig. 7. Waveguide loss variation across wafer for different dies of 800 nm wide unclad  $Si_3N_4$  waveguide at 900 wavelength. The die location is shown in (a), whereas the corresponding waveguide loss in (b).

the waveguide surface that could not be removed. It is worth mentioning here that the wafer measured was not tiled and it is widely accepted that wafer-tiling leads to an uniform exposure and reaction of the material to the etching process and consequently to an uniformity in the device's performance. The loss variation across the wafer along with the uniformity in the thickness of the thin film points towards a good stable process for the fabrication of the waveguides.

#### 5. Conclusion

We have demonstrated low-loss singlemode PECVD photonic wire waveguides fabricated in a CMOS pilot line for visible-VNIR wavelength applications. The PECVD nitride thin film was measured to have a refractive index of 1.89 at 780 nm and it showed a very smooth surface (RMS roughness ~0.28 nm). The waveguide losses were measured using cutback method and were performed at 532, 780, and 900 nm wavelengths, respectively, for Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> wires with a height in the range of 180–220 nm and widths in the range of 450–800 nm. Both unclad and SiO<sub>2</sub> cladded waveguides were measured and the losses were compared. The Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> wire waveguide losses exhibited a large dependence on the width and upper cladding condition. Cladded singlemode Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> wires exhibited low-loss (< 1 dB/cm) in the 532–900 nm wavelength regime whereas the unclad singlemode wire waveguides exhibited losses < 1 dB/cm only at 900 nm and higher loss of 2.3 dB/cm (at 532 nm) and 1.33 dB/cm (at 780 nm), respectively. A good uniformity along the wafer was measured in terms of index, thickness and waveguide loss indicating stable process for reproducible results.

#### References

- X. Fan and I. M. White, "Optofluidic microsystems for chemical and biological analysis," Nat. Photon., vol. 5, no. 10, pp. 591–597, Oct. 2011.
- [2] A. L. Washburn and R. C. Bailey, "Photonics-on-a-chip: Recent advances in integrated waveguides as enabling detection elements for real world, lab-on-a-chip biosensing applications," *Analyst*, vol. 136, no. 2, pp. 227–236, Jan. 2011.
- [3] C. L. Arce, D. Witters, R. Puers, J. Lammertyn, and P. Bienstman, "Silicon photonic sensors incorporated in a digital microfluidic system," *Analytical Bioanalytical Chem.*, vol. 404, no. 10, pp. 2887–2894, Dec. 2012.
- [4] S. Kuhn, P. Measor, E. J. Lunt, B. S. Philips, D. W. Deamer, A. R. Hawkins, and H. Schmidt, "Loss-based optical trap for on-chip particle analysis," *Lab Chip*, vol. 9, no. 15, pp. 2212–2216, Aug. 2009.
- [5] P. C. Ashok, G. P. Singh, H. A. Rendall, T. F. Krauss, and K. Dholakia, "Waveguide confined Raman spectroscopy for microfluidic interrogation," *Lab Chip*, vol. 11, no. 7, pp. 1262–1272, Apr. 2011.
- [6] F. Prieto, B. Sepúlveda, A. Calle, A. Llobera, C. Domínguez, A. Abad, A. Montoya, and L. M. Lechuga, "An integrated optical interferometric nanodevice based on silicon technology for biosensor applications," *Nanotechnology*, vol. 14, no. 8, pp. 907–912, Aug. 2003.

- [7] N. Daldosso, M. Melchiorri, F. Riboli, M. Girardini, G. Pucker, M. Crivellari, A. Lui, and L. Pavesi, "Comaprison among various Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> waveguide geometries grown within a CMOS fabrication pilot line," *J. Lightw. Technol.*, vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 1734–1740, Jul. 2004.
- [8] İ. Goykhman, B. Desiatov, and U. Levy, "Ultrathin silicon nitride microring resonator for bio-photonic applications at 970 nm wavelength," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 97, no. 8, pp. 081108-1–081108-3, Aug. 2010.
- [9] A. Gorin, A. Jaouad, E. Grondin, V. Aimez, and P. Charette, "Fabrication of silicon nitride waveguides for visible-light using PECVD: A study of the effect of plasma frequency on optical properties," *Opt. Exp.*, vol. 16, no. 8, pp. 13 509– 13 516, Sep. 2008.
- [10] S. Romero-Garcia, F. Merget, F. Zhong, H. Finkelstein, and J. Witzens, "Silicon nitride CMOS-compatible platform for integrated photonics applications at visible wavelengths," *Opt. Exp.*, vol. 21, no. 12, pp. 14 036–14 046, Jun. 2013.
- [11] P. Bienstman and R. Baets, "Optical modeling of photonic crystals and VCSELs using eigenmode expansion and perfectly matched layers," *Opt. Quant. Electron.*, vol. 33, no. 4/5, pp. 327–341, Apr. 2001.
- [12] D. Taillaert, P. Bienstman, and R. Baets, "Compact efficient broadband grating coupler for silicon-on-insulator waveguides," *Opt. Lett.*, vol. 29, no. 23, pp. 2749–2751, Dec. 2004.
- [13] A. Z. Subramanian, S. Selvaraja, P. Verheyen, A. Dhakal, K. Komorowska, and R. Baets, "Near-infrared grating couplers for silicon nitride photonic wires," *Photon. Technol. Lett.*, vol. 24, no. 19, pp. 1700–1703, Oct. 2012.
- [14] G. Roelkens, D. Vermeulen, S. Selvaraja, R. Halir, W. Bogaerts, and D. Van Thourhout, "Grating based optical fiber interfaces for silicon-on-insulator photonic integrated circuits," *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quant. Electron.*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 571–580, May/Jun. 2011.
- [15] S. Romero-Garcia, F. Merget, F. Zhong, H. Finkelstein, and J. Witzens, "Visible wavelength silicon nitride focusing grating coupler with AlCu/TiN reflector," *Opt. Lett.*, vol. 38, no. 14, pp. 2521–2523, Jun. 2013.
  [16] W. S. Zaoui, M. F. Rosa, W. Vogel, M. Berroth, J. Butschke, and F. Letzkus, "Cost effective CMOS compatible
- [16] W. S. Zaoui, M. F. Rosa, W. Vogel, M. Berroth, J. Butschke, and F. Letzkus, "Cost effective CMOS compatible grating couplers with backside metal mirror and 69% coupling efficiency," *Opt. Exp.*, vol. 20, no. 26, pp. B238–B243, Dec. 2012.