Commentary

Social Robots: The Friend of the Future or Mechanical Mistake?

Jordan Miller

Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85281 USA

Isolation can manifest anywhere a person chooses to age. It is detrimental to mental health and is compared to smoking cigarettes and obesity [1]. While interaction with another human being is preferable, sometimes, individuals are not able to do this. Older adults who live far from their families, individuals inside assisted living facilities during the COVID-19 pandemic, and individuals who have a limited social circle due to retirement or loss of friends may all feel isolated [2]. Cognitive decline can cause isolation in older adults as well [3]. Social robotics is poised to impact society by addressing isolation and providing companionship for these individuals by augmenting human interaction when none is available.

An exciting application of this technology is to assist older adults living with dementia and their informal caregivers. The robot could provide conversational therapy to the person living with dementia, a recommended tool by the Alzheimer's Association [4]. The robot would engage the person in meaningful conversation and help replace the pressure to remember [5]. While the person living with dementia is interacting with the robot, their informal caregiver could use this time to address their isolation or mental health. Informal caregivers often struggle mentally and physically from caregiving, so this would provide them with an outlet to take care of themselves [6]. Additionally, the robot could serve as a therapeutic tool for the informal caregiver when needed.

Assisting people living with dementia and their informal caregivers are the applications our team

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/MTS.2022.3173311 Date of current version: 14 June 2022.

looks to solve. Before we answer this, we are trying to understand how healthy older adults would accept this technology. We did this through a 2×2 Wizard of Oz experiment where we investigated how interactive the robot needed to be to make the conversation feel more natural and reciprocal.

While we are still exploring this question, there are some exciting preliminary results. These include that healthy older adults will accept a social robot and discuss very personal things with it. Some of these conversations include death, careers, and travel throughout the years. Many participants reported feeling the same comfort as they would talking to a friend, or in some cases with specific conversation topics, more comfortable talking to the robot than their friends. Many participants disclosed controversial personal opinions to the robot, and some reported that they told the robot things they had not told their friends.

These results show a promising feature for healthy older adults and provide valuable insights into the development of social robots. They all reported they would continue to interact with a social robot even though they do not suffer from isolation.

While these preliminary results show promise, it also raises concerns for the future of social robots if precautions and guidelines are not put into place now. One concern is the level of confidentiality. It is easy to imagine a scenario where two people often use the same social robot. If the robot repeats confidential, personal information to the other individual, it could exploit the person who disclosed it.

Developers should go out of their way to create a cost-effective solution to social robots, or they may

June 2022 0278-0097/22©2022 IEEE 47

disproportionately affect the way the upper-class and lower-class age. If we are not careful, social robots could become an elite technology only assisting those who can afford it. In this society, older adults may have to choose between their mental health or physical safety.

It is important to emphasize this technology should not replace human interaction. It is easy to imagine a scenario where an adult child places their loved one living with dementia inside a care facility with a social robot and believes it is okay to no longer interact with their parent. Precautions will need to be set up in environments to avoid this.

Social robots should have facial recognition capabilities that allow for profiles to avoid repeating sensitive information. The robot should remember previous conversations from previous sessions to build trust and friendship with the robot. These profiles should only be accessible to the person whose account it is, much like a personal streaming device remembers preferences but needs a password to access the account. The only time the robot should report information to the authorities is if the user discloses they will harm themselves or others. This standard will ensure that the privacy of the user is maintained during and after their interactions.

The networks social robots are connected to need higher protection from cybercrime such as hacking, especially if facial recognition or computer vision is enabled on the robot. If a cyber-attack happens against a social robot inside a home, it may leak information that could compromise the user. A cybercriminal may record the user without them knowing or gain access to information that makes the user vulnerable.

An ethical consideration needs to be given to how much information loved ones and professionals receive from the robot. If a user is diagnosed with dementia, loved ones may want access to the conversations to monitor the conversations and how they are progressing cognitively. Additionally, they may desire the option to "drop in" on their loved ones, so they can monitor from long distances. Developers should consider the ethical risks and potential harm to the person living with dementia that these features could cause. It is necessary to understand how and when these features are used; otherwise, they may exploit individuals and make them feel like they are not in control of their lives.

IF DEVELOPERS DO not take these precautions and begin to develop this technology without thinking about how it could impact society, this could be one of society's biggest failures. It is paramount to bring social scientists, medical professionals, and end-users together to discuss safety features and address ethical concerns about this technology. It may be too late to address these concerns if developers wait until social robots become socially acceptable. The technology could benefit society, or in a worst-case scenario, further marginalize the groups who need it most. ■

References

- [1] E.Y. Cornwell and L. J. Waite, "Social disconnectedness, perceived isolation, and health among older adults," *J. Health Soc. Behav.*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 31–48, 2009, doi: 10.1177/002214650905000103.
- [2] J. Miller and T. McDaniel, "Socially assistive robots for storytelling and other activities to support aging in place," in *Multimedia for Accessible Human Computer Interfaces*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer-Verlag, no. 64, 2021, pp. 145–172, doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-70716-3_6.
- [3] Z. I. Santini et al., "Social disconnectedness, perceived isolation, and symptoms of depression and anxiety among older Americans (NSHAP): A longitudinal mediation analysis," *Lancet Public Health*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. e62–e70, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(19)30230-0.
- [4] Alzheimer's Association. Activities | Alzheimer's Association. Accessed: Oct. 6, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://www.alz.org/help-support/caregiving/daily-care/activities
- [5] C-Care Health Services. 10 Benefits of Storytelling for Alzheimer Patients | C-Care Health Services. Accessed: Oct. 6, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://www.c-care.ca/blog/alzheimer/10-benefitsstorytelling-alzheimer-patients/
- [6] Alzheimer's Association. Caregiver Depression | Alzheimer's Association. Accessed: Oct. 6, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://www.alz.org/help-support/caregiving/caregiver-health/caregiver-depression

Jordan Miller is currently pursuing a PhD with Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA, investigating how to make social robots converse more naturally.

Direct questions and comments about this article to Jordan Miller, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85281 USA; jlmill41@asu.edu.