# Quality-Aware Sensing Coverage in Budget-Constrained Mobile Crowdsensing Networks

Maotian Zhang, *Student Member, IEEE*, Panlong Yang, *Member, IEEE*, Chang Tian, *Member, IEEE*, Shaojie Tang, *Member, IEEE*, Xiaofeng Gao, *Member, IEEE*, Baowei Wang, and Fu Xiao

Abstract—Mobile crowdsensing has shown elegant capacity in data collection and has given rise to numerous applications. In the sense of coverage quality, marginal works have considered the efficient (less cost) and effective (considerable coverage) design for mobile crowdsensing networks. We investigate the optimal quality-aware coverage in mobile crowdsensing networks. The difference between ours and the conventional coverage problem is that we only select a subset of mobile users so that the coverage quality is maximized with constrained budget. To address this new problem, which is proved to be NP-hard, we first prove that the set function of coverage quality is nondecreasing submodular. By leveraging the favorable property in submodular optimization, we then propose an (1 - (1/e)) approximation algorithm with  $O(n^{k+2})$  time complexity, where k is an integer that is greater than or equal to 3. Finally, we conduct extensive simulations for the proposed scheme, and the results demonstrate that ours outperforms the random selection scheme and one of the state of the art in terms of total coverage quality by, at most,  $2.4 \times$  and  $1.5 \times$ and by, on average,  $1.4 \times$  and  $1.3 \times$ , respectively. Additionally, ours achieves a near-optimal solution, compared with the brute-force search results.

Manuscript received May 4, 2015; revised July 13, 2015; accepted October 4, 2015. Date of publication October 14, 2015; date of current version September 15, 2016. This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 61190114, Grant 61272487, Grant 61232016, Grant U1405254, Grant 61173136, Grant 61232018, Grant 61202024, and Grant BK20150030; by CMMI 1436786; by the PAPD fund; by the Collaborative Innovation Center of Atmospheric Environment and Equipment Technology; by the National Science Foundation of Shanghai under Grant 12ZR1445000; by the Shanghai Educational Development Foundation under Chenguang Grant 12CG09; and by the Shanghai Pujiang Program under Grant 13PJ1403900. The review of this paper was coordinated by Prof. J. Sun. (*Corresponding author: Panlong Yang.*)

M. Zhang and C. Tian are with the College of Communications Engineering, PLA University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210007, China (e-mail: maotianzhang@gmail.com; tianchang163@163.com).

P. Yang is with the College of Computer Science and Technology, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230027, China (e-mail: panlongyang@gmail.com).

S. Tang is with the Naveen Jindal School of Management, The University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX 75080 USA (e-mail: shaojie.tang@utdallas. edu).

X. Gao is with the Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China (e-mail: gao-xf@cs. sjtu.edu.cn).

B. Wang is with the School of Computer and Software, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing 210007, China (e-mail: wbw. first@163.com).

F. Xiao is with the Jiangsu High Technology Research Key Laboratory for Wireless Sensor Networks and the School of Computer, Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Nanjing 210007, China (e-mail: xiaof@njupt. edu.cn).

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this paper are available online at http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TVT.2015.2490679

*Index Terms*—Approximation algorithm, coverage, mobile crowdsensing networks, quality-aware sensing.

## I. INTRODUCTION

THE proliferation of smartphones and other mobile devices embedded with a number of sensors (e.g., an accelerator and a camera) has given rise to a new frontier called mobile crowdsensing [1], [2]. In a mobile crowdsensing system, individuals with sensing and computing devices collectively share data and extract information to measure a phenomenon of common interest. A growing and important class of crowdsensing systems is designed to provide place-related information and focus on locations that participants routinely visit as targets for collecting and analyzing data. For example, several systems have been developed for reconstructing a floor plan using crowdsourced images [3], autonomously naming downtown places [4] or counting the number of individuals of a crowd [5]. To fulfill these system execution quality and efficiency, the sensing data (such as images and audio) should be adequate, available, and affordable [6].

Consider an example in downtown places naming [4]. As the task starts, the recruited users will upload the collected sensing data (such as images and audio) of places that they routinely visit (e.g., cafes, shopping malls, and offices) to the crowd-sensing center. On one hand, the values of various places are different, taking the spatial properties of places into consideration. For example, places such as a shopping mall provide more valuable information than an office for a recommendation application [7]. Meanwhile, mobile users cover different numbers of places along their trajectories. Some of them, such as graduate students, may stay on campus most of the working time, whereas others, such as couriers, may travel to many places during the day [8]. Therefore, how to quantify the quality of mobile users associated with different places of interest (POIs) has become a challenge.

On the other hand, to fulfill the system task, the crowdsensing center should adopt the incentive mechanism to recruit adequate participants [9]. Even worse, there could be a strict budget constraint, which limits the number of selected mobile users. Then, the other challenge is how to make use of the constrained budget to select the qualified mobile users to achieve good coverage. Moreover, the areas with the crowdsensing task of monitoring are distributed in an even larger area. Sensing coverage for

0018-9545 © 2015 IEEE. Translations and content mining are permitted for academic research only. Personal use is also permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission. See http://www.ieee.org/publications\_standards/publications/rights/index.html for more information.

the relatively remote area would need more participants. There should be a tradeoff between the sensing quality and the number of participants involved.

In response to the aforementioned requirements and challenges, traditional schemes on coverage [10]-[12] and user selection [13] in sensor networks cannot work well, due to the specific properties of mobile crowdsensing networks, such as the dynamic conditions of the set of mobile users [1] and the variety of POIs. Nevertheless, very little effort has been devoted to this new field. One systematic study on the coverage properties of place-centric crowdsensing is conducted by Chon et al. [6]. In their study, they discovered some properties of mobile crowdsensing networks, e.g., the number of places covered by participants follows a power law distribution. In terms of the problem of coverage, a relatively related work was presented by Wang et al. [14], in which the coverage of photos obtained via crowdsourcing was investigated. However, the mobile users are opportunistic, dynamic, and sociable, which is different from the photos.

In this paper, we design an effective and efficient scheme to achieve considerable sensing coverage in a budget-constrained mobile crowdsensing network. We first propose *coverage quality* to evaluate the quality of the mobile user. It measures the weight of the POIs to be covered by mobile users.

Then, we deal with challenges brought by the budget constraint, which is referred to as the maximum coverage quality with the budget constraint problem, i.e., given a set of mobile users and POIs, how to select a subset of mobile users such that the coverage quality is maximized under the constraint of a predefined budget. To address this problem, which has proved to be NP-hard, we first prove the submodularity of the set function of the coverage quality. Then, leveraging the favorable properties in submodular optimization, we present an (1 - (1/e)) approximation algorithm. Finally, we conduct the simulations to evaluate the performance of our algorithms compared with other selection schemes.

Particularly, the contributions of this work are summarized as follows.

- We present the maximum coverage quality with the budget constraint problem in the mobile crowdsensing networks. To address this NP-hard problem, we first prove that the set function of coverage quality is nondecreasing submodular. Then, employing the favorable properties in submodular optimization, we design an effective, efficient, and near-optimal algorithm, where the approximation ratio is (1 (1/e)), and the time complexity is  $O(n^{k+2})$ , in which k is an integer that is greater than or equal to 3.
- We evaluate the proposed scheme with extensive simulations. The results of random-walk-based trace-driven simulations show that our algorithm achieves better performance than the random selection scheme and one of the state of the art [13] in terms of total coverage quality by, at most, 2.4× and 1.5× and by, on average, 1.4× and 1.3×, respectively. Moreover, when using realworld mobility traces, ours also outperforms the other methods.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the scenario and the system model. The definition and the solution of the maximum coverage quality with the budget constraint problem are presented in Section III. In Section IV, we use simulations to evaluate our algorithms. Section V introduces the related work. Finally, we conclude our work in Section VI.

#### **II. SYSTEM MODEL**

Here, we describe the scenario that we focus on and present the system model. We also introduce the definitions and denote frequently used notations.

## A. Scenario and Model Description

We consider that the crowdsensing center distributes the task that collects the information on the logical POIs (such as a restaurant and a user's home) to the mobile users who own sensor-enabled mobile devices. The set of POIs is denoted by  $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m\}$ , where m is the number of POIs. Let  $\vec{P} = {\vec{p_1}, \vec{p_2}, \dots, \vec{p_m}}$  be the locations of P, where each single POI includes a 2-D location information  $\vec{p_i} = \{x_i, y_i\}$ . In particular, the crowdsensing center allocates a predefined weight  $w_{p_i}$  for the POI  $p_i$ , taking the various values of different POIs into consideration, and correspondingly, the set of weights for P is  $W = \{w_{p_1}, w_{p_2}, \dots, w_{p_m}\}$ . That is, we consider the spatial properties of POIs, to improve the sensing coverage. The weight of a POI indicates how much useful information can be provided by this POI. For example, places such as a shopping mall or a restaurant could provide more valuable information than a residence or a workplace, for most of the crowdsensing applications, such as Jigsaw [3] and a place-naming system presented in [4].

Meanwhile, we assume that there are n candidate users, and the user set is given by  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ . The locations of user  $v_j$  could be denoted as  $\vec{l}_{v_j} = \{x_{v_j}, y_{v_j}\}$ . Each mobile user has an arbitrary movement trajectory and visits different places along the trajectory. Once the mobile user is close enough to the POI, we consider that a POI is properly covered if it could be identified (or detected) by analyzing multimodal sensing data (such as images and audio) [6]. The sensing data could be directly uploaded by participants through cellular networks. When the monitoring areas are not covered by a cellular network (e.g., third generation), the sensing data could be forwarded using opportunistic networks in a delay-tolerant manner via short-range communications, such as Bluetooth and WiFi. Formally, let  $r_s^j$  be the user  $v_j$ 's sensing range, and we regard that a POI  $p_i$  is covered by a mobile user  $v_i$  if and only if the POI is in the sensing range of this mobile user, i.e.,

$$\left|\vec{l}_{v_j} - \vec{p}_i\right| \le r_s^j.$$

Let  $f_{v_j}(p_i)$  represent whether a mobile user  $v_j$  covers a POI  $p_i$  or not, i.e.,

$$f_{v_j}(p_i) = \begin{cases} 1, & p_i \text{ is covered by } v_j \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



Fig. 1. Scenario and model.

We note that  $f_{v_j}(p_i)$  is independent of how many times POI  $p_i$  is covered by  $v_j$ . The set of POIs covered by user  $v_j$  is given by  $C_{v_j} = \{p_i : f_{v_j}(p_i) = 1 \forall p_i \in P\}$ . Accordingly, the set of POIs covered by all mobile users V is  $C_V = \bigcup_{j=1}^n C_{v_j}$ .

Fig. 1 shows the scenario and our model.  $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$  and  $\{p_4, p_5, p_6\}$  are covered by two mobile users  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , respectively. The crowdsensing center could select these two mobile users to collect the information (such as sensing data and location-based social media data) from those POIs [6].

# B. Coverage Quality

Different from traditional sensor networks [15], [16], the users with mobile sensing devices are endowed with uncertain but better mobility in a mobile crowdsensing network, which imposes new challenges on assessing the quality of the mobile user in terms of coverage. For instance, for a place-naming system [4], the platform wants to name a variety of urban places online, and hence, it prefers to recruit the mobile users who are capable of covering more distinct places. For a location-based recommendation system [17], places such as a shopping mall and a cafe provide more recommendable information, and hence, the mobile users who often visit such places tend to be selected by the mobile crowdsensing platform.

In dealing with the given challenges, we measure the quality of the mobile user in terms of coverage by *coverage quality*. The coverage quality of each mobile user is independent and only associated with the movement trajectory in which the mobile user could visit different POIs. The formal definition of coverage quality is defined as follows.

Definition 1—Coverage Quality: Given a POI  $p_i$  and a mobile user  $v_j$ , the coverage quality of  $v_j$  on  $p_i$ , which is denoted by  $U_{v_j}(p_i)$ , is the weight of  $p_i$  covered by  $v_j$ , i.e.,  $U_{v_j}(p_i) = w_{p_i} f_{v_j}(p_i)$ .

Then, the coverage quality of a set of mobile users  $V' = \{v_j : 1 \le j \le k\}$  respecting POI  $p_i$  is the total weights of  $p_i$  covered by the mobile users of V', i.e.,  $U_{V'}(p_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} U_{v_i}(p_i)$ .

Finally, the total coverage quality of the mobile users regarding all POIs  $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m\}$  is the sum of the coverage quality respecting each POI. By dividing the total number of POIs, it is normalized as  $U_{V'}(P) = (1/m) \sum_{i=1}^{m} U_{V'}(p_i)$ .

For example, in Fig. 1, there are two participants  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  with different movement trajectories, respectively, and six POIs  $P = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6\}$  with weights  $\{w_{p_1}, w_{p_2}, w_{p_3}, w_{p_4}, w_{p_5}, w_{p_6}\}$ . The coverage quality of  $v_1$  is  $U_{v_1}(C_{v_1}) = (1/3)(w_{p_1} + w_{p_2} + w_{p_3})$ , which is different with that of  $v_2$ ,  $U_{v_2}(C_{v_2}) = (1/3)(w_{p_4} + w_{p_5} + w_{p_6})$ . Then, the total coverage quality of these two mobile users regarding all six POIs is  $U_{v_1,v_2}(C_{v_1} \cup C_{v_2}) = (1/6)(w_{p_1} + w_{p_2} + w_{p_3} + w_{p_4} + w_{p_5} + w_{p_6})$ .

# III. MAXIMUM COVERAGE QUALITY WITH BUDGET CONSTRAINT

Here, we describe the maximum coverage quality with the budget constraint problem and present the corresponding algorithm to address it.

## A. Problem Statement

In practical scenarios, the budget of the crowdsensing center to recruit participants is limited, determining the number of mobile users that could be selected. Intuitively, the budget could be directly represented by the reward. The crowdsensing center should pay some rewards to motivate participants for the sensing tasks. We assume that the set of mobile users V = $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$  is associated with different costs  $\{b(v_j)\}_{j=1}^n$ . The total cost of selecting mobile users should not exceed a given budget *B*. We define the problem as follows.

Definition 2—Maximum Coverage Quality With Budget Constraint Problem: Given a set of m POIs  $P = \{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m\}$ and n mobile users  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ , and given a predefined budget B(> 0), the maximum coverage quality with the budget constraint problem asks for a subset  $S(S \subseteq V)$ , such that the total cost of S is less than B, i.e., b(S) = $\sum_{v_j \in S} b(v_j) \leq B$ , and the total coverage quality of the selected users'  $U_S(P)$  is maximized.

Formally, the optimization problem is given by

$$\max_{S \subseteq V} \quad U_S(P) \quad \text{subject to} \quad b(S) \le B.$$

Solution Overview: In tackling the problem, we first claim that the problem could be transformed into the budget maximum coverage problem, which is proved to be NP-hard [18]. Then, we prove that the set function of the total coverage quality  $U_S(P)$ , given the set of selected mobile users S and the set of POIs P, is nondecreasing submodular. Finally, leveraging the favorable properties of submodular optimization [19], we present an (1 - (1/e)) approximation algorithm for the problem.

#### B. Conversion to Budgeted Maximum Coverage

Referring to [18], the budgeted maximum coverage problem is defined as follows. A collection of sets  $S = \{S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_n\}$ with associated costs  $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is defined over a domain of elements  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m\}$  with associated weights  $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^m$ . The goal is to find a collection of sets  $\mathcal{S}' \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ , such that the total cost of elements in S' does not exceed a given budget B and that the total weight of elements covered by S' is maximized.

To transform into the given problem, without loss of generalization, given a POI set P, we first consider a single mobile user  $v_j$  that covers a subset of POIs  $C_{v_i} = \{p_i : f_{v_i}(p_i) = 1, \dots \}$  $\forall p_i \in P$ . Then, a collection of cover set for all mobile users is  $\mathcal{C} = \{C_{v_1}, C_{v_2}, \dots, C_{v_n}\}$  with associated costs  $\{b(v_j)\}_{i=1}^n$ . The coverage quality of each user  $U_{v_i}$  is associated with the predefined weight of POIs, in which a domain of elements (i.e., POIs)  $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m\}$  is associated with W = $\{w_{p_1}, w_{p_2}, \ldots, w_{p_m}\}$ . Accordingly, the simple corresponding relation between these two set systems is  $\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}, P \longrightarrow X$ , and the targeted set  $S \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}',$  respectively. Therefore, the proposed problem could be reduced to the budgeted maximum coverage problem, which was proved to be NP-hard [18].

## C. Submodularity

To solve the given problem, we prove that the set function of the coverage quality is nondecreasing submodular, such that we could leverage the favorable property in submodular optimization. Before presenting the proof of the submodularity, we first provide preliminary knowledge on the submodular set function.

Definition 3: Given a finite set E, a real-valued function  $f(\cdot)$ on the set of subsets of E is called submodular if

$$f(A) + f(B) \ge f(A \cup B) + f(A \cap B) \ \forall A, B \subseteq E.$$

We often make use of the incremental value of adding element u to a set A, e.g., let  $\rho_u(A) = f(A \cup u) - f(A)$ . Moreover, if the function satisfies the *diminishing returns* rule, it is called submodular. That is, the difference from adding an element uto a subset A is at least as large as that from adding the same element to a superset B of A, i.e.,

$$f(A \cup \{u\}) - f(A) \ge f(B \cup \{u\}) - f(B)$$

for all element u and all pairs  $A \subseteq B$ . Furthermore,  $f(\cdot)$  is said to be nondecreasing if  $f(A) \leq f(B) \ \forall A \subseteq B \subseteq E$ . Lovász has shown that submodular functions could be understood as set functions with convexity [20].

Based on the given preliminaries, we acquire the following lemma and further give its proof.

Lemma 1: Given a set of POIs P and a subset of mobile users  $S(S \subseteq V)$ , the set function of the coverage quality  $U_S(P)$  is nondecreasing submodular.

*Proof:* It is straightforward that  $U_{\emptyset}(P) = 0$ . Consider V's two arbitrary subsets S and S',  $S \subseteq S' \subseteq V$ , we have  $U_S(P) \leq$  $U_{S'}(P)$  (the equality holds if  $C_{S'-S} = \emptyset$ ), and hence,  $U_S(P)$  is nondecreasing.

Consider a mobile user  $u \in V - S'$ ,  $|C_{S \cup \{u\}}| - |C_S|$  is the number of covered POIs in  $C_u$  that are not in  $C_s$ . We note that  $\bigcup_{v_j \in S'} C_{v_j}$  is at least as large as  $\bigcup_{v_j \in S} C_{v_j}$ . The coverage quality  $U_S(P)$  is associated with the sum of weights of POIs in  $C_S$ , and then, we have

$$U_{S \cup \{u\}}(P) - U_S(P) \ge U_{S' \cup \{u\}}(P) - U_{S'}(P).$$

It is satisfied with the *diminishing returns* rule in which the difference from adding an element to a set S is at least as large as that from adding the same element to a superset S' of S[21], and hence,  $U_S(P)$  is submodular. As a result,  $U_S(P)$  is nondecreasing submodular with  $U_{\emptyset}(P) = 0$ .

## D. Approximation Algorithm

Motivated by the submodular property of coverage quality [19], we propose an approximation algorithm to address the problem with guaranteed performance, as Algorithm 1 shows. The algorithm partially uses an enumeration technique, and then leverages greedy heuristic, to obtain better approximation ratios and output the candidate solution having the greatest coverage quality.

Algorithm 1 Approximation Algorithm for Maximum Coverage Quality with Budget Constraint Problem

#### Input:

PoI set P and its weight set W, candidate user set V, and a predefined budget B

## **Output:**

The target subset  $S, S \subseteq V$ 

- 1:  $H_1 \leftarrow \arg \max\{U_G(P), \text{ such that } G \subseteq V, |G| < k, \text{ and}$  $b(G) \leq B$ ;  $H_2 \leftarrow \emptyset$
- 2: For all  $G \subseteq V$ , such that |G| = k, and  $b(G) \leq B$  do
- $M \leftarrow V \setminus G$ 3:
- 4: Repeat
- 5: select  $v_j \in M$  that maximizes  $U_{v_j}(C_{v_j} - C_{v_j} \cap C_G)/$  $b(v_i)$

6: if 
$$b(G) + b(v_j) \le B$$
 then

 $\begin{array}{c} G \leftarrow G \cup \{v_j\} \\ M \leftarrow M \setminus \{v_i\} \end{array}$ 7: 8.

8: 
$$M \leftarrow M \setminus \{v\}$$

- 9: Until  $M = \emptyset$
- if  $U_G(P) > U_{H_2}(P)$  then  $H_2 \leftarrow G$ 10:
- 11: End For

12: If 
$$U_{H_1}(P) > U_{H_2}(P), S \leftarrow H_1$$
; otherwise,  $S \leftarrow H_2$ 

Let G be a subset of V and k be some fixed integer in Algorithm 1. We consider all subsets of V of cardinality k, which have cost of, at most, B, and each subset is completed to a candidate solution using greedy heuristic. Another set of candidate solutions contains all subsets of V of cardinality less than k, which have cost of, at most, B. Concretely, Algorithm 1 first enumerates all subsets of up to k users for some constant k > 0 and then complements these subsets using the modified greedy algorithm (lines 4–9).

Theorem 1: For  $k \ge 3$ , Algorithm 1 achieves an approximation ratio of (1 - (1/e)) for the maximum coverage quality with the budget constraint problem, i.e.,

$$U_S \ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{e}\right) U_{OPT} \quad \text{for} \quad k \ge 3$$

where  $U_{OPT}$  is the optimal value of the total coverage quality that can be achieved by any user set S.

*Proof:* The coverage quality derives from the weight of POIs covered by selected users, and it is maximized *iff* the corresponding subsets have the maximum total weight. According to the theorem presented in [18], the subsets selected by Algorithm 1 yield a total weight that is at least (1 - (1/e)) times the optimal value for  $k \ge 3$ . As a result, the total coverage quality of the selected user set has a lower bound by (1 - (1/e)) times the maximum total coverage quality. (See [18] for a detailed proof.)

*Complexity Analysis:* At first, straightforwardly, the enumeration of all subsets of V (line 2) takes  $O(|V|^k)$  time. Then, using the modified greedy selection (lines 4–9) takes  $O(|V|^2)$  time. Since the greedy selection is embedded into the enumeration part, associated with these two parts, the time complexity of the algorithm is given by

$$O(|V|^{k} \times |V|^{2}) = O(|V|^{k+2}) = O(n^{k+2}).$$

Therefore, the time complexity of our algorithm is  $O(n^{k+2})$ , where k is an integer that is greater than or equal to 3. For Algorithm 1, if sets with cardinality of at least k = 3 are enumerated, it achieves an approximation guarantee of (1 - (1/e)). However, the complexity of the proposed algorithm increases with increasing k.

## **IV. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION**

Here, we evaluate the performance of the proposed algorithm and present the results of trace-driven simulations using both synthetic random-walk-based trace and real-world mobile traces. Moreover, we make an analysis on these evaluation results.

## A. Random-Walk-Based Simulation

1) Simulation Settings: We create a simulator in MATLAB to generate synthetic random-walk-based mobility traces. We set different numbers of mobile users and POIs in a 3000 m  $\times$ 3000 m square field. POIs are assumed to be randomly distributed in the field. Each mobile user performs the random walk. The walking speed is set to 1.5 m/s, and the time interval for direction changing is set to 100 s. For simplicity, when the POI is in the user's sensing range, for instance,  $r_s = 45$  m (e.g., detecting a noise source) [10], this POI is recorded by the user. The traces record each user's ID and the covered POIs. Fig. 2 shows our simulation scenario, in which ten trajectories (colored lines) are generated by random walk of the users, and 50 POIs (cyan circles) are uniformly distributed in the setting area. In the simulations, we randomly allocate the weight to each POI, as well as the cost to each mobile user, according to a uniform distribution. We obtain the simulation results by averaging the evaluation results in 50 different instances.

We use the random selection algorithm (denoted by Random) and the method proposed by Kuo *et al.* (denoted by Kuo's) [13], for comparison. In the random selection algorithm, the mobile users are randomly selected into the target user set. In Kuo's scheme, due to the connection constraint, we set  $r_c = 70$  m (a typical communication range for commonly mobile devices)



Fig. 2. Simulation scenario. The colored lines and the cyan circles represent the trajectories of mobile users and the POIs, respectively (10 mobile users and 50 POIs).



Fig. 3. Impact of budget B on the total coverage quality (n = 10 and m = 50).

as the communication range of each mobile user in the simulation scenario. Two mobile users are connected as long as they come into each other's communication range. When performing our algorithm (denoted by Proposed) in the simulation, parameter k (as defined in Algorithm 1) is set to 3 to enhance the efficiency of the algorithm.

2) Simulation Results: The primary concern we need to evaluate is the impact of the budget constraint B on the total coverage quality. Intuitively, the bigger the given budget, the larger the total coverage quality. We note that the budget is normalized for better presentation. As Fig. 3 shows, both our algorithm and the random selection achieve more coverage quality as B increases. For better comparison, we also show the results of brute-force selection (denoted by Optimal) as an upper bound of the total coverage quality. The difference between the total coverage quality achieved by ours and the best achievable level is small, that is, 4.1% on average. The performance improvement achieved by our algorithm is significant compared



Fig. 4. Impact of the number of mobile users n on the total coverage quality (B = 0.5 and m = 50).



Fig. 5. Joint impact of both budget B and number of mobile users n on the total coverage quality (m = 50).

with the random selection and Kuo's method. That is, our algorithm outperforms the random selection and Kuo's method in terms of total coverage quality by, at most, 140.4% and 50.2% and by, on average, 40.6% and 38.9%, respectively. The connection constraint in Kuo's method limits the amount of selectable mobile users, resulting in the decrease of total coverage quality, compared with our scheme.

We then evaluate the impacts of the number of mobile users n on the total coverage quality. While other factors including budget (B = 0.5 and m = 50) remain unchanged, the total coverage quality increases with increasing the number of mobile users, as shown in Fig. 4. However, the increase gap is becoming small as the number of mobile users increases. Again, our algorithm outperforms the random selection and Kuo's scheme by, at most, 38.9% and 60.7% and by, on average, 27.4% and 33.2%, respectively. Moreover, Fig. 5 shows how the total coverage quality changes as the budget and the number of mobile users jointly increase. The total coverage quality escalates to the maxima with increasing both the budget and the number of mobile users.



Fig. 6. Impact of the number of POIs m on the total coverage quality (B = 0.5 and n = 10).



Fig. 7. Impact of the number of mobile users m on the efficiency (B = 0.5 and m = 50).

Moreover, the impacts of the number of POIs m on the total coverage quality is evaluated. We set the budget B = 0.5 and the number of mobile users n = 10. From Fig. 6, we observe that the total coverage quality slightly increases as the number of POIs increases, using the three comparative methods. Still, our algorithm achieves better performance than both the random selection and Kuo's method.

Finally, we introduce a metric to measure the efficiency of different selection schemes. Given the set of selected mobile users S and POIs P, the efficiency  $\eta$  is defined as the ratio between the coverage quality  $U_S(P)$  achieved by S and the sum of cost b(S) for recruiting S, i.e.,  $\eta = U_S(P)/b(S)$ . As shown in Fig. 7, for both our algorithm and the random selection, the efficiency increases with increasing the number of mobile users. Our algorithm achieves bigger improvement of the efficiency than the random selection by, at most, 44.1% and 32.4%, on average. The rationale lies in that, recalling Algorithm 1 (particularly line 5), it greedily selects the mobile user whose



Fig. 8. Mapping trajectories extracted from mobile data sets NCSU and NewYork into a 2-D region. (a) Thirty five trajectories extracted from the NCSU data set. (b) Thirty nine trajectories extracted from the NewYork data set.



Fig. 9. Impact of budget B on the total coverage quality using mobile data sets NCSU and NewYork, respectively. (a) Using the NCSU data set (number of POIs: m = 50). (b) Using the NewYork data set (number of POIs: m - 100).

efficiency is maximized into the target user set, and hence, the total efficiency is maximized.

## B. Real-World Trace-Driven Simulation

1) Simulation Settings: To further evaluate our proposed user selection method, we conduct real-world trace-driven simulations based on two mobile data sets collected from North Carolina State University (NCSU) and New York city (denoted by NCSU and NewYork, respectively) [22], [23]. In NCSU and NewYork data sets, there are, respectively, 35 and 39 daily trajectories that are collected by recruited participants who are equipped with Global Positioning System handheld receivers. In the simulations, we map these two mobility traces into 2-D regions, as shown in Fig. 8.

To perform our algorithm in trace-driven simulations, we capture POIs from Google Map based on the constructed regions and the real sites of the NCSU campus and New York city (concretely, Manhattan and its vicinity). In particular, to measure the impact of distinct POIs, we allocate the weight for each POI according to two different distributions, namely, uniform and power law distributions. We note that in [6], it is revealed that the relation between the POIs and the number of mobile users followed a power law distribution. Furthermore, we compare our algorithm with the random selection scheme. The simulation results are obtained by averaging the evaluation results in 50 different instances.

2) Simulation Results: We first measure the impact of budget B on the total coverage quality. The number of POIs are m = 50and m = 100 in the NCSU and NewYork data sets, respectively. As shown in Fig. 9, the total coverage quality increases with increasing budget for these two approaches. Moreover, our algorithm outperforms the random selection in terms of the total coverage quality. When the budget is low (< 0.5), the total coverage quality is bigger when using power law distribution than that when using uniform distribution, particularly for our algorithm. The reasons are that the majority of weight is dominated by few POIs when using power law distribution, and our algorithm tends to select the mobile users who visit these POIs.

We then evaluate the impact of the number of POIs, i.e., m, on the total coverage quality, where budget B and number of mobile users n are set to 0.5 and 10. The results in Fig. 10 are



Fig. 10. Impact of the number of POIs m on the total coverage quality using mobile data sets NCSU and NewYork, respectively (budget: B = 0.5). (a) Thirty five trajectories extracted from the NCSU data set. (b) Thirty nine trajectories extracted from the NewYork data set.

similar to that in random-walk-based simulations. The proposed algorithm achieves better performance than the random selection for using both NCSU and NewYork data sets.

#### V. RELATED WORK

Mobile crowdsensing [1], [2], [24]–[27] is a promising frontier, where individuals with sensing and computing devices (e.g., smartphones, tablets, and in-vehicle sensors) collectively share data and extract information to measure a phenomenon of common interest. A growing class of mobile crowdsensing systems is place centric, i.e., designed to provide place-related information. Meanwhile, previous localization techniques [28], [29] help us target the places that provide fruitful information. A number of prototypes have been developed, for example, Jigsaw has been designed for reconstructing a floor plan [3], and SecureFind is a privacy-preserving object-finding system via mobile crowdsensing [30]. Other instances include an autonomous place-naming system [4] and a crowd-counting application [5].

One fundamental problem in mobile place-centric crowdsensing is how to select the qualified users to achieve considerable coverage of POIs. Moreover, coverage is a key issue associated with the quality of sensing [31]. Coverage is also one of the most important issues (e.g., routing [32] and data delivery [33]) in traditional wireless sensor networks. Despite numerous research studies on traditional coverage (such as area coverage [10], [12], [34]–[36] and barrier coverage [11], [37], [38]) and user selection [13], [39], very little effort has been devoted to this new field. One systematic study of the coverage properties of place-centric crowdsensing has been conducted by Chon et al. [6]. Based on the deployment experiences and analysis of the collected data set, they revealed the relationship between the user population and coverage of POIs, i.e., the number of places covered by participants follows a power law distribution. Their work inspires us to study the maximum coverage utility and user selection problem for mobile place-centric crowdsensing. In terms of the problem of coverage, a relatively related work is SmartPhoto [14], in which the coverage of photos obtained via crowdsourcing was investigated, and three optimization problems, including maximum photo utility, online maximum photo utility, and minimum selection problem, have been studied. However, our scheme focuses on selecting qualified sensing data with budget limitations. Specifically, this work proposes a quality-aware design, where the covered areas are evaluated with weights. Zhang et al. [40] have proposed a participant selection framework named CrowdRecruiter for mobile crowdsensing. CrowdRecruiter aims to minimize incentive payment while satisfying a probabilistic coverage constraint. In contrast, we aim to maximize the place-centric sensing coverage with a predefined budget constraint. Recently, Zhao et al. [41] have proposed an energy-efficient opportunistic coverage scheme for people-centric urban sensing [42]. In their work, the human mobility features were exploited to construct an opportunistic coverage model and design an offline user selection scheme. While their work cares more about the tradeoff between energy consumption and coverage, ours explores both the diversity of POIs and the spatial property of the human involved.

## VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have investigated the coverage problem in the mobile crowdsensing network. In particular, we studied how to select a set of mobile users so that we could maximize the total coverage quality with a budget constraint. In tackling the problem, we have proved the submodularity of the set function of coverage quality with respect to the weights of POIs covered by mobile users. Then, we presented an (1 - (1/e)) approximation algorithm for the problem. Finally, we conducted trace-driven simulations with synthetic random-walk-based mobility traces to evaluate the performance of our algorithm. The simulation results validated our algorithms and showed that it outperforms the random selection algorithm and the state of the art.

In the future, we plan to study how to leverage the temporalspatial properties of both the mobile users and the POIs to improve the coverage of mobile crowdsensing networks. Moreover, we would like to conduct the systematic implementation using our algorithm.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. K. Ganti, F. Ye, and H. Lei, "Mobile crowdsensing: Current state and future challenges," IEEE Commun. Mag., vol. 49, no. 11, pp. 32-39, Nov. 2011.
- [2] M.-R. Ra, B. Liu, T. F. La Porta, and R. Govindan, "Medusa: A programming framework for crowd-sensing applications," in Proc. ACM MobiSys, 2012, pp. 337-350.
- [3] R. Gao et al., "Jigsaw: Indoor floor plan reconstruction via mobile crowdsensing," in Proc. ACM MobiCom, 2014, pp. 249-260.
- [4] Y. Chon, Y. Kim, and H. Cha, "Autonomous place naming system using opportunistic crowdsensing and knowledge from crowdsourcing," in Proc. ACM IPSN, 2013, pp. 19-30.
- [5] P. G. Kannan, S. P. Venkatagiri, M. C. Chan, A. L. Ananda, and L.-S. Peh, "Low cost crowd counting using audio tones," in Proc. 10th ACM SenSys, 2012, pp. 155-168.
- [6] Y. Chon, N. D. Lane, Y. Kim, F. Zhao, and H. Cha, "Understanding the coverage and scalability of place-centric crowdsensing," in Proc. ACM Ubicomp, 2013, pp. 3-12.
- [7] L. Deng and L. P. Cox, "Livecompare: Grocery bargain hunting through participatory sensing," in Proc. ACM HotMobile, 2009, pp. 4-9.
- [8] B. Han and A. Srinivasan, "Your friends have more friends than you do: Identifying influential mobile users through random walks," in Proc. ACM MobiHoc, 2012, pp. 5-14.
- D. Yang, G. Xue, X. Fang, and J. Tang, "Crowdsourcing to smartphones: [9] Incentive mechanism design for mobile phone sensing," in Proc. ACM MobiCom, 2012, pp. 173-184.
- [10] R. Tan, G. Xing, B. Liu, J. Wang, and X. Jia, "Exploiting data fusion to improve the coverage of wireless sensor networks," IEEE/ACM Trans. Netw., vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 450-462, Apr. 2012.
- [11] Y. Wang and G. Cao, "Barrier coverage in camera sensor networks," in Proc. ACM MobiHoc, 2011, pp. 12-21.
- [12] B. Liu, O. Dousse, P. Nain, and D. Towsley, "Dynamic coverage of mobile sensor networks," IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst., vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 301-311, Feb. 2013.
- [13] T.-W. Kuo, K. C.-J. Lin, and M.-J. Tsai, "Maximizing submodular set function with connectivity constraint: Theory and application to networks," in *Proc. IEEE INFOCOM*, 2013, pp. 1977–1985. [14] Y. Wang, W. Hu, Y. Wu, and G. Cao, "Smartphoto: A resource-aware
- crowdsourcing approach for image sensing with smartphones," in Proc. ACM MobiHoc, 2014, pp. 113-122.
- [15] J. Yick, B. Mukherjee, and D. Ghosal, "Wireless sensor network survey," Comput. Netw., vol. 52, no. 12, pp. 2292-2330, Aug. 2008.
- [16] Y. Liu et al., "Does wireless sensor network scale? A measurement study on GreenOrbs," IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst., vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 1983-1993, Oct. 2013.
- [17] J. Bao, Y. Zheng, and M. F. Mokbel, "Location-based and preferenceaware recommendation using sparse geo-social networking data," in Proc. ACM SIGSPATIAL, 2012, pp. 199–208. [18] S. Khuller, A. Moss, and J. S. Naor, "The budgeted maximum coverage
- problem," Inf. Process. Lett., vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 39-45, Apr. 1999.
- [19] A. Krause and C. Guestrin, "A note on the budgeted maximization of submodular functions," Carnegie Mellon Univ., Pittsburgh, PA, USA, Tech. Rep. CMU-CALD-05-103, Mar. 2005.
- [20] L. Lovász, "Submodular functions and convexity," in Mathematical Programming the State of the Art. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 1983, pp. 235-257.
- [21] G. L. Nemhauser, L. A. Wolsey, and M. L. Fisher, "An analysis of approximations for maximizing submodular set functions-I," Math. Program., vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 265-294, Dec. 1978.
- [22] I. Rhee et al., "On the levy-walk nature of human mobility," IEEE/ACM Trans. Netw., vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 630-643, Jun. 2011.
- [23] I. Rhee et al., CRAWDAD Data Set NCSU/Mobilitymodels (v. 2009-07-23), Jul. 2009. [Online]. Available: http://crawdad.org/ ncsu/mobilitymodels/
- [24] B. Guo et al., "Mobile crowd sensing and computing: The review of an emerging human-powered sensing paradigm," ACM Comput. Surveys, vol. 48, no. 1, p. 7, Sep. 2015.
- [25] M. Mun et al., "PEIR, the personal environmental impact report, as a platform for participatory sensing systems research," in Proc. ACM MobiSys, 2009, pp. 55-68.
- [26] R. K. Rana, C. T. Chou, S. S. Kanhere, N. Bulusu, and W. Hu, "Ear-phone: An end-to-end participatory urban noise mapping system," in Proc. ACM IPSN, 2010, pp. 105-116.
- [27] H. Ma, D. Zhao, and P. Yuan, "Opportunities in mobile crowd sensing," IEEE Commun. Mag., vol. 52, no. 8, pp. 29-35, Aug. 2014.
- [28] J. Wang, Q. Gao, H. Wang, P. Cheng, and K. Xin, "Device-free localization with multidimensional wireless link information," IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol., vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 356-366, Jan. 2015.

- [29] J. Wang et al., "Lightweight robust device-free localization in wireless networks," IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol. 61, no. 10, pp. 5681-5689, Oct. 2014.
- [30] J. Sun, R. Zhang, X. Jin, and Y. Zhang, "Securefind: Secure and privacypreserving object finding via mobile crowdsourcing," arXiv preprint arXiv:1503.07932, 2015.
- [31] S. He et al., "Maintaining quality of sensing with actors in wireless sensor networks," IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst., vol. 23, no. 9, pp. 1657-1667, Sep. 2012.
- [32] J. Shen, H. Tan, J. Wang, J. Wang, and S. Lee, "A novel routing protocol providing good transmission reliability in underwater sensor networks," J. Internet Technol., vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 171-178, Jan. 2015.
- [33] S. Xie and Y. Wang, "Construction of tree network with limited delivery latency in homogeneous wireless sensor networks," Wireless Pers. Commun., vol. 78, no. 1, pp. 231-246, Sep. 2014.
- [34] G. S. Kasbekar, Y. Bejerano, and S. Sarkar, "Lifetime and coverage guarantees through distributed coordinate-free sensor activation," IEEE/ACM Trans. Netw., vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 470-483, Apr. 2011.
- W. Wang, V. Srinivasan, B. Wang, and K.-C. Chua, "Coverage for tar-get localization in wireless sensor networks," *IEEE Wireless Commun.*, [35] vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 667-676, Feb. 2008.
- [36] X. Wang, S. Han, Y. Wu, and X. Wang, "Coverage and energy consumption control in mobile heterogeneous wireless sensor networks," IEEE Trans. Autom. Control, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 975-988, Apr. 2013.
- A. Chen, S. Kumar, and T. H. Lai, "Local barrier coverage in wireless sen-[37] sor networks," IEEE Trans. Mobile Comput., vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 491-504, Apr. 2010.
- [38] Z. Wang, J. Liao, Q. Cao, H. Qi, and Z. Wang, "Achieving k-barrier coverage in hybrid directional sensor networks," *IEEE Trans. Mobile* Comput., vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 1443-1455, Jul. 2014.
- [39] B. Han et al., "Mobile data offloading through opportunistic communications and social participation," IEEE Trans. Mobile Comput., vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 821-834, May 2012.
- [40] D. Zhang, H. Xiong, L. Wang, and G. Chen, "Crowdrecruiter: Selecting participants for piggyback crowdsensing under probabilistic coverage constraint," in *Proc. ACM Ubicomp*, 2014, pp. 703–714. D. Zhao, H. Ma, and L. Liu, "Energy-efficient opportunistic cover-
- [41] age for people-centric urban sensing," Wireless Netw., vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 1461-1476, Aug. 2014.
- [42] A. T. Campbell, et al., "The rise of people-centric sensing," IEEE Internet Comput., vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 12-21, Jul./Aug. 2008.



Maotian Zhang (S'12) received the B.S. degree in communication engineering from Tianjin University, Tianjin, China, in 2011. He is currently working toward the Ph.D. degree in computer science and engineering with PLA University of Science and Technology, Nanjing, China.

His current research interests include mobile computing, mobile crowdsensing networks, and mobile social networks.



Panlong Yang (M'02) received the B.S., M.S., and Ph.D. degrees in communication and information system from Nanjing Institute of Communications Engineering, Nanjing, China, in 1999, 2002, and 2005, respectively.

He is currently a Professor with the College of Computer Science and Technology, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China. His research interests include wireless mesh networks, wireless sensor networks, and cognitive radio networks.

Dr. Yang is a member of the IEEE Computer Society and the Association for Computing Machinery SIGMOBILE Society.



Chang Tian (M'02) received the B.S. degree in communication and information system and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in computer science and engineering from the Institute of Communications Engineering, Nanjing, China, in 1984, 1989, and 2001, respectively.

From September 1995 to September 1996, he was a Visiting Scholar with the University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy. He is currently a Professor with the PLA University of Science and Technology, Nanjing. He has widely published in the areas of distributed

systems, wireless ad hoc networks, signal processing for communications, and information theory. His current research interests include wireless sensor networks and network coding, among others.



Xiaofeng Gao (M'09) received the B.S. degree in information and computational science from Nankai University, Tianjin, China, in 2004; the M.S. degree in operations research and control theory from Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, in 2006; and the Ph.D. degree in computer science from The University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX, USA, in 2010.

She is an Associate Professor with the Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China. Her research in-

terests include data engineering, wireless communications, and combinatorial optimizations.



Shaojie Tang (M'09) received the Ph.D. degree in computer science from Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL, USA, in 2012.

He is currently an Assistant Professor with the Naveen Jindal School of Management, The University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX, USA. His research interests include social networks, mobile commerce, game theory, e-business, and optimization.

Dr. Tang received the Best Paper Awards at the 2014 ACM International Symposium on Mobile Ad

Hoc Networking and Computing (MobiHoc) and the 2013 IEEE International Conference on Mobile Ad Hoc and Sensor Systems. He also received the ACM SIGMobile Service Award in 2014. He has held various positions (as a Chair and a Technical Program Committee Member) at numerous conferences, including ACM MobiHoc and the IEEE International Conference on Network Protocols. He is an Editor of Elsevier's Information Processing in the Agriculture and the International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks.



computer science from Hunan University, Changsha, China, in 2005 and 2011, respectively. He is currently a Lecturer with the School of

Computer and Software, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, China. His research interests include steganography, wireless networks, and securing ad hoc networks.



Fu Xiao received the Ph.D. degree in computer science and technology from Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing, China.

He is currently a Professor and a Ph.D. supervisor with the School of Computer, Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications. His main research interest is sensor networks.