## Erratum

## Erratum to "A Pulsed Current Inductive Method and Its Applications for Continuous Measurement of the Critical Current of Long Superconducting Tapes"

Yiwen Chen<sup>10</sup>, Zhijian Jin<sup>10</sup>, *Member, IEEE*, and Xiao-Fen Li<sup>10</sup>

In the above article [1], Table II is changed into

TABLE IIPolynomial Fitting Parameters For k(n)

tape w	$a_2$	$a_1$	$a_0$	error
4 mm	1.337×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-1.125×10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.309	<0.2%
10 mm	1.238×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-1.041×10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.281	<0.2%

Fig. 6 is changed into



Fig. 6. Geometrical factor k in (10) as functions of n, given for 4- and 10-mm tapes, respectively. The dashed lines are parabolic fittings to the calculated points, with a relative deviation less than 0.2%.

Equation 12 is changed into

$$\frac{\Delta I_c}{I_c} = \left[\frac{1}{k}\frac{\partial k}{\partial n} + \frac{1}{n^2}\ln\frac{\mu_0 \mathrm{d}I/\mathrm{d}t}{E_c}\right]\Delta n$$

And in page 7, "Taking a typical pulse with a 700-A peak and a 5-ms duration as an example, the relative error  $\Delta I_c/I_c$ is less than  $\Delta n\%$  over a wide range of *n* from 19 to 37 and less than  $0.3\Delta n\%$  for *n* in the range of 25–35 for both 4- and 10-mm tapes." is changed into "Taking a typical pulse with a 700-A peak and a 5-ms duration as an example, the relative error  $\Delta I_c/I_c$  is less than  $1.6\Delta n\%$  over a wide range of *n* from 19 to 37 and less than  $\Delta n\%$  for *n* in the range of 25–35 for both 4- and 10-mm tapes."

## REFERENCES

 Y. Chen, Z. Jin, and X.-F. Li, "A pulsed current inductive method and its applications for continuous measurement of the critical current of long superconducting tapes," *IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas.*, vol. 71, pp. 1–10, 2022.

The authors are with the School of Electronic Information and Electrical Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China (e-mail: xf\_li@sjtu.edu.cn).

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TIM.2023.3269073