## Correction to "Computation of Lumped Microstrip Capacities by Matrix Method—Rectangular Sections and End Effect"

## ANDREW FARRAR AND A. T. ADAMS

In the above correspondence,<sup>1</sup> (1) is difficult to interpret in the form given. In this equation, the field point  $(x_i, y_i, z_i)$  is at the edge of subsection  $\Delta S_i$ , and the source point  $(x_j, y_j, z_j)$  is at the center of subsection  $\Delta S_i$ . Also, to (1) should be added a negative expression similar to that given in the brackets with  $(2n-2)^2$  replaced by  $(2n)^2$ , in order to include the images below the ground plane.

For wide strips  $(W/H > 2.5)$ , the data in Fig. 4 were found to be in error due to computational difficulties. The computational method has been improved, and the corrected data are shown in Fig. 1.

Manuscript received and revised Determinisms. However, and the partial company, Heavy Military Electronics System. Syracuse N.Y. 13201.<br>
A. Farrar is with General Electric Company, Heavy Military Electronics System. Syracu



Fig. 1. Excess capacity of open-circuited microstrip.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to thank Dr. P. Sylvester, McGill University, Montreal, P. Q., Canada, and M. Maeda, Central Research Labora-<br>tory, Tokyo, Japan, for their helpful comments on this problem.

# Computer Program Descriptions

### FINPLT: A Finite-Element Field-Plotting Program

- PURPOSE : LANGUAGE : FINPLT draws smooth contours on a Calcomp digital plotter for any surface for which potential values are known on an arbitrary set of points. Fortran IV, G level; source deck length 750 cards.
- AUTHORS : Z. Csendes and P. Silvester, Department of Electrical Engineering, McGill University, Montreal 110, P.Q., Canada.
- AVAILABILITY: ASIS—NAPS Document No. NAPS-017 Copies of the source deck maybe purchased from the authors for U. S. \$20.

**DESCRIPTION:** FINPLT was written to complement the author dielectric-loaded waveguide-analysis program [1],

and utilizes a compatible geometrical data format. Accordingly, the field region must be divided into triangular elements, as described in [1], and the potential values specified on a set of Newton–Cotes interpolation nodes for each element.

In applications to fields arising from other sources, it is best to first generate the interpolation point set with the sophisticated geometric routines in FINPLT and then determine the potential values corresponding to it.

#### PRINCIPLE OF OPERATION

By using two-dimensional Newton–Cotes interpolation polynomials, any function of two independent variables may be approximated in any polygonal region by dividing the region into triangles. Although these polynomials may be written to an arbitrary order, only second-order polynomials accommodate a simple algorithm that yields curved contours. In standard quadratic form, taking  $\{\zeta_i\}$  to be triangular coordinates,  $\{\phi_i\}$  to be the potential values at the in-

 $\zeta_i^2 A_i + \zeta_i (B_{1i} + \zeta_i B_{2i}) + (P + C_{1i} + \zeta_i C_{2i} + \zeta_i^2 C_{3i}) = 0$  (1) where  $i=1, 2, 3, j=i \mod(3)+1$ , and  $A_i = 2(\phi_a + \phi_f - 2\phi_c)$ 

$$
B_{1i} = -\phi_a - 3\phi_f + 4\phi_e
$$
  
\n
$$
B_{2i} = 4(\phi_f + \phi_b - \phi_e - \phi_e
$$
  
\n
$$
C_{1i} = \phi_f
$$
  
\n
$$
C_{2i} = 4\phi_e - \phi_d - 3\phi_f
$$
  
\n
$$
C_{3i} = 2(\phi_d + \phi_f - 2\phi_e).
$$

Here,  $(a, d, f)$  and  $(b, c, e)$  are the *i*th cyclic permutations of  $(1, 4, 6)$ and  $(2, 3, 5)$ , respectively. By setting P equal to a constant in (1) and using the relationships

$$
x = x_1 \zeta_1 + x_2 \zeta_2 + x_3 \zeta_3 \n y = y_1 \zeta_1 + y_2 \zeta_2 + y_3 \zeta_4 \n \zeta_1 + \zeta_2 + \zeta_3 = 1
$$
\n(2)

where  $(x_i, y_i)$  are the coordinates of the *i*th vertex of the triangle, (1) can be solved for the locus of points on the equipotential contour.

Although first- to fourth-order finite-element triangles may be supplied as data, in order to use (1), FINPLT determines  $N^2$  secondorder subelements in each Nth-order element by evaluating the coordinates and potential values for a set of points midway between the previous set. In each subelement, the intersections of the potential with the sides of the triangular subelement are determined and the three triangular coordinates stepped off in specified intervals, from the smallest value found with the intersection of the potential with the sides to the largest.

Since a quadratic expression is solved, the equipotential contours are not necessarily single-valued at any value of the x coordinate. Many ways of distinguishing points on the upper and lower branches of the contours have been considered, but none have proved to be fool-

Manuscript received September 14, 1971; revised November 23, 1971,<br>For program listing, order NAPS-01705 from ASIS National Auxiliary Public<br>tions Service, c/o CCM Information Corporation, 909 Third Avenue, New Yor<br>N. Y. 1

terpolation points, and  $P$  to be the potential, this polynomial is