# Full Four-Channel 6.3-Gb/s 60-GHz CMOS Transceiver With Low-Power Analog and Digital Baseband Circuitry

Kenichi Okada*, Member, IEEE*, Keitarou Kondou*, Member, IEEE*, Masaya Miyahara*, Member, IEEE*, Masashi Shinagawa, Hiroki Asada, Ryo Minami, Tatsuya Yamaguchi, Ahmed Musa*, Student Member, IEEE*, Yuuki Tsukui, Yasuo Asakura, Shinya Tamonoki, Hiroyuki Yamagishi, Yasufumi Hino, Takahiro Sato, Hironori Sakaguchi, Naoki Shimasaki, Toshihiko Ito, Yasuaki Takeuchi, Ning Li*, Member, IEEE*, Qinghong Bu, Rui Murakami, Keigo Bunsen, Kota Matsushita, Makoto Noda*, Member, IEEE*, and Akira Matsuzawa*, Fellow, IEEE*

*Abstract—***This paper presents a 60-GHz direct-conversion RF front-end and baseband transceiver including analog and digital circuitry for PHY functions. The 65-nm CMOS front-end consumes 319 and 223 mW in transmitting and receiving mode, respectively. It is capable of more than 7-Gb/s 16QAM wireless communication for every channel of the 60-GHz standards, which can be extended up to 10 Gb/s. The 40-nm CMOS baseband including analog, digital, and I/O consumes 196 and 427 mW for 16QAM in transmitting and receiving modes, respectively. In the analog baseband, a 5-b 2304-MS/s ADC consumes 12 mW, and a 6-b 3456-MS/s DAC consumes 11 mW. In the digital baseband integrating all PHY functions, a (1440, 1344) LDPC decoder consumes 74 mW with the low energy efficiency of 11.8 pJ/b. The entire system including both RF and BB using a 6-dBi antenna built in the organic package can transmit 3.1 Gb/s over 1.8 m in QPSK and 6.3 Gb/s over 0.05 m in 16QAM.**

*Index Terms—***Baseband, CMOS, direct conversion, IEEE802.15.3c, injection-locked oscillator, LDPC, millimeter wave, 16QAM, 60 GHz, transceiver.**

# I. INTRODUCTION

**D**UE to the steady increase of both computational power and data traffic, a higher data rate is required even for wireless communications. One of the most promising technologies is wireless communication using 60-GHz carrier frequency [1]–[3]. The IEEE 802.15.3c standard defines four

Manuscript received April 13, 2012; revised August 28, 2012; accepted August 28, 2012. Date of publication October 24, 2012; date of current version December 31, 2012. This paper was approved by Guest Editor Wim Dehaene. This work was supported in part by MIC, MEXT, STARC, NEDO, Canon Foundation, and VDEC in collaboration with Cadence Design Systems, Inc., and Agilent Technologies Japan, Ltd.

K. Okada, M. Miyahara, H. Asada, R. Minami, A. Musa, Y. Tsukui, H. Sakaguchi, T. Ito, Y. Takeuchi, N. Li, Q. Bu, R. Murakami, K. Bunsen, K. Matsushita, and A. Matsuzawa are with the Department of Physical Electronics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo 152-8552, Japan (e-mail: okada@ssc.pe. titech.ac.jp).

K. Kondou, M. Shinagawa, Y. Asakura, S. Tamonoki, H. Yamagishi, Y. Hino, and M. Noda are with Sony Corporation, Tokyo 141-0001, Japan.

T. Yamaguchi is with Toyota, Aichi, Japan.

T. Sato is with Nintendo Corporation, Kyoto, Japan.

N. Shimasaki and R. Murakami are with Panasonic Corporation, Osaka, Japan.

T. Ito is with ABB, Tokyo, Japan.

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this paper are available online at http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/JSSC.2012.2218066

2.16-GHz-bandwidth channels around the 60-GHz frequency [4]. In QPSK, 3.5 Gb/s can be achieved, and 7 Gb/s in 16QAM can be achieved by using the 2.16-GHz frequency bandwidth in RF data rate. This channel allocation is also common for the standards such as the draft version of the IEEE 802.11ad and ECMA-387 and the industrial specifications such as WiGig and WirelessHD [5]–[8]. As a PHY data rate, 3.1 Gb/s in QPSK and 6.3 Gb/s in 16QAM can also be achieved using the 2.16-GHz frequency bandwidth. This is very strong motivation to use the 60-GHz carrier frequency.

The 60-GHz carrier frequency is 25 times higher than that of a conventional 2.4-GHz wireless LAN, which causes stringent requirements for the RF front-end design. The signal bandwidth is 2.16 GHz, which is 108 times wider, which causes design difficulties for analog baseband circuitry. The baseband data rate is 6.3 Gb/s, which is 117 times faster, so a different design approach is required for digital baseband to reduce power consumption. In terms of design difficulties, a 60-GHz transceiver is very different from conventional transceivers.

The 60-GHz wireless transceivers implemented by CMOS chips employing heterodyne architectures have been reported [9]–[14]. A direct-conversion architecture has been commonly used especially for less than 5 GHz because of fewer components and no need for a SAW-filter, which is advantageous in terms of layout area and power consumption. The 60-GHz transceivers employing direct-conversion architectures have been also reported and actually perform less power consumption and smaller area [1]–[3], [15]–[17]. However, it is still difficult for a direct-conversion transceiver to achieve full four-channel connectivity due to the tradeoff between phase noise and the frequency tuning range in 60-GHz quadrature LO synthesis, which is particularly severe for 16QAM [3]. In addition, wideband gain characteristics for both the transmitter and receiver have to be maintained across the entire frequency range, which also have to be flat in case of single-carrier modulation. These requirements must also be evaluated with the non-idealities of analog and digital baseband circuitry while there are only a few reports of fully integrated 60-GHz transceivers [1], [11], [14]. In this paper, a 60-GHz direct-conversion transceiver supporting four-channel 16QAM is demonstrated with analog and digital baseband circuitry.

Modulation	OPSK	16OAM	
Distance	1.5 m	0.5 <sub>m</sub>	
PHY data rate	$3.1$ Gb/s	$6.3$ Gb/s	
Tx output	$6.0$ dBm		
Back-off	4.0 dB	5.0 dB	
Tx antenna gain		$6.0$ dBi	
LOS loss	$-71.5$ dB -62.0 dB		
	$6.0$ dBi		
Rx antenna gain			
Implementation loss		$-3.0$ dB	
NF	6.0 dB		
Thermal noise		$-75.5$ dBm	
Received level	-60.5 dBm	$-52.0$ dBm	
Received CNR	15.0dB	$23.5 \text{ dB}$	
Required CNR	9.8 dB	$17.2 \text{ dB}$	

TABLE I TARGET SPECIFICATION

The conventional 60-GHz system using convolutional codec requires 16QAM for 3.1 Gb/s and 256QAM for 6.3 Gb/s [11] due to the large redundancy of error correcting code (ECC) and pilot words. To achieve a PHY data rate of 3.1 Gb/s in QPSK and 6.3 Gb/s in 16QAM, this redundancy has to be reduced while maintaining system robustness, which is achieved, in this work, by developing a high-rate powerful low-density paritycheck (LDPC) code and a high-speed digital carrier-and-timing recovery (DCTR) that enables symbol synchronization without pilot words.

The purpose of this work is to prove the feasibility of 60-GHz CMOS transceiver with higher integration including RF front-end, analog, and digital baseband circuitry. This paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the entire transceiver system. Section III discusses the details of circuit implementation for the four-channel direct-conversion RF front-end with stand-alone measurements. Section IV presents the analog baseband (ABB) design for the analog-to-digital converter (ADC), digital-to-analog converter (DAC), variable gain amplifier (VGA), and clock phase-locked loop (PLL). The digital baseband (DBB) is described in Section V, including the low-power and high-rate LDPC decoder and encoder and DCTR. Section VI shows measurement results for the entire transceiver. Finally, Section VII summarizes this paper.

## II. ARCHITECTURE

#### *A. System Requirements*

Table I shows the target specification of the proposed transceiver, which is designed for 1.5-m communication in QPSK and 0.5-m in 16QAM. The PHY data rate is specified as 3.1 Gb/s in QPSK and 6.3 Gb/s in 16QAM. The PA has a 4-to-5 dB back-off from a saturated output power of 6.0 dBm and the transmitter and receiver antennas have a gain of 6.0 dBi. The thermal noise level is calculated with a signal bandwidth of 1760 MHz, which is  $-75.5$  dBm. A noise figure of 6.0 dB is assumed. To receive a signal in a very short distance, a low-gain mode is implemented by a variable-gain low-noise amplifier (LNA). The LNA is designed for an input power



Fig. 1. Block diagram of the entire transceiver system.

of  $-60-20$  dBm, where the signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (SNDR) of the receiver becomes more than the required carrier-noise ratio (CNR) at least. The required CNR in Table I is for a bit error rate (BER) of  $10^{-3}$  assuming only thermal noise, and more than 5 dB margin can be obtained.

# *B. Proposed Transceiver*

Fig. 1 shows the entire block diagram of the 60-GHz transceiver, including the RF front-end, analog, and digital baseband circuitry [1], which is implemented by two CMOS chips. The RF chip is implemented using a 65-nm CMOS process, and the baseband chip is implemented using a 40-nm CMOS process.

The RF front-end employs a direct-conversion architecture. A wide frequency coverage and low phase-noise performance are realized by an injection-locked oscillator [2], [3], [18], consisting of a 20-GHz PLL and a 60-GHz quadrature injection-locked oscillator. The transmitter and receiver have two independent 6-dBi antennas, which are embedded in a generic organic ball-grid array (BGA) package [19], [20]. The RF front-end is controlled by the DBB through a serial interface, which is capable of channel selection, gain control, power management, and time-division duplex (TDD) operation.

The analog baseband circuitry is implemented in the baseband chip with digital baseband circuitry, which consists of a 5-b ADC, 6-b DAC, 0-to-40-dB VGA [21], and clock PLL. The sampling rate of the ADC and DAC are 4/3 and 2 of the symbol rate, respectively, e.g., 2304 and 3456 MS/s in the case of 1728 PMsymbol/s. The VGA also works as an LPF [21], and external LPFs are used for the transmitter side.

Fig. 1 also shows the block diagram of the fully integrated analog and digital BB. The DBB utilizes a (1440, 1344) LDPC [22], [23] of IEEE802.15.3c. The BB employing the high-rate powerful ECC and high-speed DCTR [24] enables a high PHY-bit rate of 3.1 Gb/s using QPSK and 6.3 Gb/s using 16QAM at a BW of 2.16 GHz due to the very small redundancy of 7%. The code redundancy of 7% enables a data rate of 3 Gb/s by QPSK using a channel bandwidth of 2.16 GHz while others require 16QAM for 1080p 60-Hz uncompressed video streaming. This architecture mitigates the effect of the large nonlinearity and phase noise in 60-GHz band because a lower level of modulation can be employed to achieve the same user-data rate than that of a conventional wireless system



Fig. 2. Block diagram of the 60-GHz direct-conversion transceiver.

with a high redundancy mainly due to a low-code rate. The user-data rate can be controlled to 1.6, 3.1, and 6.3 Gb/s by using  $\pi/2$ -shift BPSK,  $\pi/2$ -shift QPSK, and 16QAM, respectively. In Tx of DBB, user data are LDPC encoded, then Golay preamble and synchronization pattern are inserted to the coded data. 16-tap Tx FIR filter equalizes the spectrum of transmitting signals to root-Nyquist spectrum as satisfying the required spectral mask. In Rx of DBB, a log-likelihood ratio for LDPC decoding can be obtained by the DCTR from received symbols. The received symbols are equalized by an eight-tap FIR Rx filter whose tap weights are obtained by using LMS algorithm. Test modules include pseudo-random bit sequence (PRBS) generator, 655-kbit RAM, BER calculator, and additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) generator for evaluating BER as a function of signal-to-noise ratio (SNR).

# III. RF FRONT-END

Fig. 2 shows the block diagram of the 60-GHz front-end. Both the transmitter and receiver employ a direct-conversion architecture. The transmitter consists of a four-stage PA, differential preamplifiers, I/Q double-balanced Gilbert mixers, and a quadrature injection-locked oscillator (QILO). The receiver consists of a four-stage LNA, differential amplifiers, I/Q passive mixers, a QILO, and baseband amplifiers. Each amplifier has a wideband matching block for covering the four channels defined in the 60-GHz wireless standards, such as IEEE 802.11ad [4]–[8]. The 60-GHz QILO works as a frequency tripler with an integrated 20-GHz PLL [2], [3], [18], and generates 58.32, 60.48, 62.64, and 64.80 GHz with a 36-MHz reference.

# *A. Transmitter*

Figs. 3 and 4 show a four-stage power amplifier and up-conversion mixers for the I and Q paths, respectively. In this work, a transmission-line-based design is employed to achieve a reliable simulation, since it is easy to build a scalable and accurate transmission-line model based on the measurement results.



Fig. 3. 60-GHz four-stage power amplifier.



Fig. 4. Up-conversion mixer.

The matching block is implemented by a  $6-\mu$ m-width  $7-\mu$ m-gap transmission line for area reduction, while the previous design uses a 10- $\mu$ m-width 15- $\mu$ m-gap transmission line for low loss characteristics [2], [3]. The total width including both-side gaps becomes 20  $\mu$ m (=7 + 6 + 7  $\mu$ m) from 40  $\mu$ m (= 15 +  $10 + 15 \mu m$ ). This MIM transmission line is also used as a distributed-constant decoupling capacitor since there is no ideal lumped-constant capacitor at 60 GHz [2], [3]. A commonsource structure is employed for the power amplifier due to higher linearity. As an up-conversion mixer, a double-balanced Gilbert-cell mixer is employed as shown in Fig. 4. The capacitive cross-coupling technique is used for gain enhancement [25], [26], which is also used in LO buffers for higher isolation. The output of the up-conversion mixers in the I and Q paths are connected to each other, and it is connected to the power amplifier



Fig. 5. Layouts of mixer cores. (a) Symmetric. (b) Asymmetric.



Fig. 6. Mixer layouts including matching networks. (a) Symmetric. (b) Asymmetric.



Fig. 7. Mixer schematics including matching networks. (a) Symmetric. (b) Asymmetric.

through a two-stage differential amplifier and a balun as shown in Fig. 2.

Fig. 5 shows layouts of the mixer core parts used in the up-conversion mixers. Fig. 5(a) shows a symmetric mixer core used in the previous design [2], [3], and Fig. 5(b) shows an asymmetric mixer core used in this work. The symmetric core is more symmetric, but it is difficult to maintain the symmetric property when considering the matching block, since the matching block needs crossing parts in both the RF and LO paths, as shown in Figs.  $6(a)$  and  $7(a)$ . Figs.  $6(b)$  and 7(b) are much better in terms of symmetrical properties in both the RF and LO paths, LO-to-RF isolation, and LO leakage. In this design, the up-conversion mixer in Fig. 4 is completely symmetric in its circuit schematic. However, the symmetric property in layout has to also be considered. Due to the highly differential and symmetric layout in Fig. 5(b), employed in this design, we could achieve a large improvement in the LO leakage and error vector magnitude (EVM) characteristics, and



Fig. 8. Tx conversion gain.



Fig. 10. Measured spectrum of sideband rejection at 100-MHz offset. (a)  $IF_{I} = IF_{Q}$ . (b)  $IF_{I} = IF_{Q} - 90^{\circ}$ .

the same layout structure is also used in the down-conversion mixer.

Fig. 8 shows the measured conversion gain of each channel with LO frequencies of 58.32, 60.48, 62.64, and 64.80 GHz, which is measured from the  $I$  input to the PA output in Fig. 2. The transmitter covers four channels and the lower cutoff frequency is less than 1 MHz. Fig. 9 shows the measured output power in channel 3. The saturated output power is 6 dBm, and the output-referred 1 dB-compression point is  $-2$  dBm. Fig. 10 shows the measured spectrum to show the sideband rejection ratio (SRR) and LO leakage suppression in channel 3. The spectrum is measured by using an external down-conversion mixer,





Fig. 13. Down-conversion mixer.

and the output power is 1 dBm in case of Fig. 10(b). The baseband signals are at 100 MHz. I and Q inputs have the same phase and amplitude in case of Fig.  $10(a)$ , and Q input is  $90^\circ$  shifted in case of Fig. 10(b). Both SRR and LO leakage suppression are more than 40 dB for every channel. The estimated I/Q phase mismatch is less than 1.1 degrees. Fig. 10 also shows the frequency characteristic of SRR with the constant bias condition, and the degradation at higher frequency is mainly caused by the cutoff mismatch between I and Q baseband amplifiers.

# *B. Receiver*

Fig. 12 shows a four-stage low-noise amplifier. Both the first and second stages employ a  $1-\mu m$  finger width and a commonsource topology for noise optimization, since the second stage still has a large noise contribution at the millimeter frequency range. Thus, the common-source common-source topology is employed instead of a cascode topology to improve the noise figure [27]. The third and fourth stages have a  $2-\mu m$  finger width for gain optimization. The input matching block has a shuntgrounded structure for electrostatic discharge (ESD) protection.

Fig. 13 shows a down-conversion mixer. A parallel-line transformer is used for single-to-differential conversion. A mismatch of the transformer is compensated by this differential amplifier with high common-mode rejection realized by matching blocks and capacitive cross-coupling [26]. The baseband differential amplifier has a gain-peaking load to maintain the entire gain flatness.



Fig. 14. Rx conversion gain.



Fig. 15. Rx noise figure.

Fig. 14 shows the measured conversion gain of each channel with LO frequencies of 58.32, 60.48, 62.64, and 64.80 GHz, which is measured from the LNA input to the  $I$ + output in Fig. 2. The receiver covers four channels and the lower cutoff frequency is less than 1 MHz. The LNA gain is controlled by the DBB through the gate bias of LNA, which has more than 10-dB gain control range. Fig. 15 shows the measured noise figure of each channel. According to the frequency characteristics in Fig. 15, the baseband amplifier also contributes to the noise performance due to the large conversion loss of down-conversion mixer. The noise figure of the entire Rx in channel 3 is less than 4.9 dB in the high-gain mode. The measured IIP3 of Rx is  $-14$  dBm in the low-gain mode. Fig. 16 shows the measured input-to-output power characteristic with the measured output-referred IM3 and the output-referred noise figure derived from the measured NF. Fig. 16 also shows the SNDR for the high-gain and low-gain modes, which is calculated from the above IM3 and NF. The SNDR is determined by the noise floor at a low input power while it is by the IM3 at an input power of higher than  $-43$  dBm in case of the high-gain mode. At an input power of higher than  $-40$  dBm, the low-gain mode has a higher SNDR, and it still performs a SNDR of 19 dB at an input power of  $-20$  dBm. A peak SNDR of more than 31 dB is achieved for every channel.



Fig. 16. Output power of the receiver.



Fig. 17. 60-GHz quadrature frequency synthesizer.

Note that the modulation error ratio (MER) will be degraded by the phase noise and the I/Q mismatch and always becomes smaller than the SNDR.

## *C. Quadrature Local Synthesizer*

Fig. 17 shows a block diagram of the 60-GHz quadrature local synthesizer. According to the IEEE standard, there are four channels, and the carrier frequencies are 58.32, 60.48, 62.64, and 64.80 GHz [4]. For a 60-GHz oscillator, this 7-GHz frequency tuning range cannot easily be covered. In addition, there is a tradeoff between the phase noise and the frequency tuning range, and a phase noise of at least -90 dBc/Hz at 1-MHz offset frequency is required at 60 GHz for direct-conversion transceivers [3]. Thus, an injection-locked oscillator is employed in this work [1]–[3]. A 60-GHz quadrature injection-locked oscillator (QILO) and a 20-GHz PLL are used. The 60-GHz QILO works as a frequency tripler with the 20-GHz PLL. The phase noise of the 60-GHz QILO is basically determined by that of the 20-GHz PLL [3]. The PLL is an integer- $N$  type and uses a 36-MHz reference clock. The frequency of 20 GHz is sufficient for obtaining a wide frequency tuning range and good phase-noise performance, since the quality factor of on-chip inductors and capacitors is still high at 20 GHz. Thus, we can obtain a good phase noise performance at 60 GHz with a wide frequency range.

In terms of the in-band phase noise, the 20-GHz PLL has the high division ratios such as 1620, 1680, 1740, and 1800, so the in-band phase noise becomes high. However, the in-band phase noise can be canceled by the baseband DCTR. The loop-



Fig. 18. QILO.



Fig. 19. Free-running frequency of the QILO.

bandwidth of PLL is designed to be narrow not to degrade the out-of-band phase noise.

Fig. 18 shows the circuit schematic of the QILO. The QILO has a quadrature configuration, so a quadrature LO signal can always be obtained. It consists of two LC tanks, and these Iand Q- oscillators are connected to each other through tail transistors. The I-Q cross coupling causes an increase of parasitic capacitance and inductance, which reduces the oscillation frequency and tuning range. Thus, the cross coupling part is placed closely in the layout design to widen the frequency tuning range.

Fig. 19 shows the free-running frequency of the QILO, which covers 58.0 to 64.7 GHz. Fig. 20 shows the measured spectrum with and without the 20-GHz injection. The reference spur is less than  $-58$  dBc. Fig. 21 shows the measured locking range using the integrated 20-GHz PLL, and Table II summaries the locking range. The locking range is 0.63-to-2.04 GHz depending on the channel. The upper-bound frequency of the PLL is slightly lower than required, so a 1.4-V supply voltage is used only for channel 4 while channels 1 to 3 use 1.2 V. Fig. 22 shows the measured phase noise with the 20-GHz injection. A phase noise of less than  $-95$  dBc/Hz at 1-MHz offset frequency is achieved for every channel.

## *D. Measurement Results of the RF Front-End*

Here, we describe the measurement results of modulation performance. Fig. 23 shows the measurement setup for the



Fig. 20. Output spectrum of the QILO. (a) Free-run. (b) Injected.



Fig. 21. Measured locking range of the 60-GHz QILO.

TABLE II MEASURED LOCKING RANGE OF THE 60-GHZ QILO

	Lower-bound freq.	Upper-bound freq.	Locking range
channel 1	57.84 GHz	59.16 GHz	$1.32$ GHz
channel 2	59.96 GHz	62.00 GHz	$2.04$ GHz
channel 3	62.22 GHz	63.32 GHz	$1.10\,\mathrm{GHz}$
channel 4	64.33 GHz	64.96 GHz	$0.63$ GHz

RF front-end. In Fig. 23, the left side is used as a transmitter, and the right side is used as a receiver. An arbitrary waveform generator (AWG) (Agilent M8190A) is used to generate a modulated signal, and a digital oscilloscope (Agilent DSA91304A) is also used to evaluate the modulation performance. The RF chip is implemented in a BGA package, and two 6-dBi antennas embedded in the package for Tx and Rx [19], [20] are used for the measurement. The size of package is 16.3 mm  $\times$  14.4 mm. Two 60-GHz signals are connected from the chip to the package antenna through  $270-\mu m$  bonding wires. The antenna is designed in consideration of the parasitics of bonding wires for impedance matching between the chip and the package antenna. Thus, there are no 60-GHz connections between the package and the board [3].

Tables III and IV summarize the measurement results for QPSK and 16QAM, respectively, showing the constellation,



TABLE III MEASUREMENT SUMMARY FOR QPSK MODULATION OF THE RF FRONT-END

Channel	ch. 1		ch. 2		ch. 3		ch. 4		Max rate	
	净	s,		$\mathbf{w}$	拿	$\ast$	$\mathcal{L}$	$\mathcal{Y}_\ell$	$\delta \vec{S}$ .	醵
Constellation		$\bullet$	$\cdot$	窟	¥	ä.	客	濠	谿	核
<b>Spectrum</b>			60.48	63.72					10 $-10$ -20 -30	
<b>Back-off</b>	3.8 <sub>d</sub> B		3.9dB		4.4dB		5.0dB		(ch.3)	4.4dB
Data rate			3.5 Gb/s 3.5 Gb/s 3.5 Gb/s 3.5 Gb/s						$(ch.1-ch.4)$	$8.0$ Gb/s
<b>EVM</b>	$-21.2$ dBl				$-21.6$ dB $-21.4$ dB		$-20.1$ dB		(ch.3)	$-17.3$ dB
<b>SNR</b>	21.1 dB			21.5 dB		$21.4$ dB		20.1 dB	(ch.3)	17.3 dB
<b>Distance</b>	1.3 m		1.4 <sub>m</sub>		1.6 <sub>m</sub>		1.6 <sub>m</sub>		(ch.3)	$>0.01$ m

TABLE IV MEASUREMENT SUMMARY FOR 16QAM MODULATION OF THE RF FRONT-END



spectrum, back-off, RF data rate, error vector magnitude (EVM), SNR (MER), and communication distance. The symbol rate is 1.76 Gs/s with a roll-off factor of 25%, and the RF data rates with 2.16 GHz-BW are 3.52 and 7.04 Gb/s for QPSK and 16QAM, respectively. The full-rate communication speed is possible for every channel of the IEEE standard. The maximum data rates using a wider bandwidth in QPSK and 16QAM with a 25-% roll-off are at least 8 Gb/s (channels 1 to



Fig. 22. Measured phase noise of the 60-GHz LO. (a) Channel 1. (b) Channel 2. (c) Channel 3. (d) Channel 4.



Fig. 23. Measurement setup for the direct-conversion RF front-end.

4) and 10 Gb/s (channel 3) within a BER of  $10^{-3}$ . For the EVM measurement, equalization is applied in the built-in software of the oscilloscope. The EVM of 16QAM is around  $-23$  dB for every channel, which is normalized by the maximum symbol amplitude.1 The maximum communication distances with a

<sup>1</sup>When it is normalized by the average symbol amplitude, it becomes 2.5 dB smaller, e.g., EVM of 16QAM in channel 3 is about 21 dB.

bandwidth of 2.16 GHz are 1.3, 1.4, 1.6, and 1.6 m in QPSK and 0.3, 0.5, 0.5, and 0.3 m in 16QAM for channels 1 to 4, respectively.

For the spectrum measurement, the Tx output signal is received by a horn antenna and is measured by a spectrum analyzer (Agilent E4448A) with a down-conversion mixer (Millitech MXP-15-RF0FN) and a preamplifier (Quinstar

	RF data rate (modulation)	EVM <sup>3</sup>	<b>CMOS</b> tech.	Integration	Direct- conversion	Power consumption	Area
[16] U. Toronto	4 Gb/s (BPSK)	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	65 nm	Tx, Rx, w/o LO	Yes	374 mW (1.2 V) 233 mW (1.0 V)	$1 \text{ mm}^2$
[38] NTU	$4 \text{Gb/s}$ (OOK)	$\equiv$	90 nm	Tx, Rx, VCO, on-board antenna	$\equiv$	$183$ mW $(Tx)$ $103$ mW $(Rx)$	$0.43 \text{ mm}^2 \text{ (Tx)}$ $0.68 \text{ mm}^2$ (Rx)
[39] NTU	$2 \text{Gb/s}$ (FSK)	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	90 nm	Tx, Rx, LO, on-board antenna	$\overbrace{\qquad \qquad }^{ }$	$280$ mW $(Tx)$ $150$ mW $(Rx)$ 80 mW (PLL)	$1.26 \text{ mm}^2$
$[40]$ Hiroshima Univ.	$1 \text{Gb/s}$ (OOK)		90 nm	Tx, Rx, w/o PLL	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$51$ mW $(Tx)$ $116$ mW $(Rx)$	$0.85 \,\mathrm{mm}^2$ (Tx) $1.92 \text{ mm}^2$ (Rx)
$[10]$ NEC	$2.6$ Gb/s (OPSK)		90 nm	Tx, Rx, w/o LO	N <sub>o</sub>	$133$ mW $(Tx)$ $206$ mW $(Rx)$	$4.5$ mm <sup>2</sup>
$\overline{[9]}$ Gatech	7 Gb/s (QPSK) 15 Gb/s (16QAM)	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	90 nm	Tx, Rx, LO, package	No	$173$ mW $(Tx)$ $189 \text{ mW}$ (Rx)	$6.5 \text{ mm}^2$
$[41]$ UCB	4 Gb/s (QPSK) $7 \text{Gb/s}$ (QPSK) <sup>1</sup>	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$90 \text{ nm}$	Tx, Rx, LO	Yes <sup>5</sup>	170 mW (Tx mode) 138 mW (Rx mode)	$6.88 \text{ mm}^2$
[3] Tokyo Tech	8 Gb/s (QPSK) 11 Gb/s (16OAM) 16 Gb/s (16 QAM) $[26]$	$-17$ dB	$65 \text{ nm}$	Tx, Rx, LO, BGA package with antennas	Yes <sup>6</sup>	252 mW (Tx mode) $172 \text{ mW}$ (Rx mode)	$7.3$ mm <sup>2</sup> (TRx) $1.2 \text{ mm}^2$ (PLL)
$[17]$ IMEC	7 Gb/s (16QAM)	$-18$ dB	$40 \text{ nm}$	Tx, Rx, w/o PLL	Yes <sup>6</sup>	167 mW (Tx mode) $112 \text{ mW}$ (Rx mode)	$0.7 \text{ mm}^2$
[13] <b>CEA-LETI</b>	3.8 Gb/s $(16QAM)^2$	$-17$ dB <sup>4</sup>	$65 \text{ nm}$	Tx, Rx, LO, HTCC package with antennas	N <sub>o</sub>	357 mW (Tx mode) 454 mW (Rx mode) 732 mW (ext. PA)	$9.3$ mm <sup>2</sup> (TRx) $0.46$ mm <sup>2</sup> (PA)
[11] SiBeam	7.14 Gb/s (16QAM)	$-19dB$	65 nm	Tx, Rx, LO for 32 antennas	No	1.82 W(Tx mode) $1.25$ W (Rx mode)	$77.2 \,\mathrm{mm}^2 \,(\mathrm{T}x)$ 72.7 mm <sup>2</sup> $(Rx)$
[14] Toshiba	2.62 Gb/s $(OPSK)^2$	$\equiv$	65 nm	Tx, Rx, LO, BGA package with antenna (analog BB, digital BB)	N <sub>o</sub>	160 mW (Tx mode) 233 mW (Rx mode)	$2.86 \,\mathrm{mm}^2$
Tokyo Tech (this work)	8 Gb/s (QPSK) 10 Gb/s (16QAM)	$-21$ dB	$65 \text{ nm}$	Tx, Rx, LO, BGA package with antennas (analog BB, digital BB)	Yes <sup>6</sup>	319 mW (Tx mode) 223 mW (Rx mode)	5.48 mm <sup>2</sup>

TABLE V PERFORMANCE COMPARISON OF 60-GHZ RF FRONT-ENDS

 $1$  loop-back

 $2$  PHY data rate

 $3$  EVM including both Tx and Rx impairments for 2.16GHz-BW 16QAM, normalized by average symbol amplitude

EVM including only Rx impairment

 $5$  using 90 $^{\circ}$  hybrid

 $6$  using quadrature injection locked oscillator

QLW-50754518-I1). The measured spectrum has a 2.16-GHz bandwidth, which satisfies the spectrum mask determined in the IEEE802.15.3c standard.

The transmitter and receiver consume 257 and 162 mW from a 1.2-V supply, respectively. The PLL consumes 61 mW. In the low-power mode, capable of only QPSK, Tx and Rx consume 150 and 104 mW, respectively. The measured output power in the low-power mode is  $-4$  dBm.

Table V shows a performance comparison with other 60-GHz transceivers. The proposed RF front-end integrates Tx, Rx, LO including PLL, and is evaluated with the embedded antennas. The front-end covers all of the four channels and achieves full data rates for QPSK and 16QAM with the best EVM. Table VI also shows the performance summary of the RF front-end.

# IV. ANALOG BASEBAND

# *A. ADC*

Fig. 24 shows a block diagram of the proposed 5-b 2304-MS/s ADC. The ADC consists of two interleaved flash ADCs. The

TABLE VI RF FRONT-END PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

Tx		
	CG	18dB
	$P_{\rm sat}$	5.6 dBm (channel 3)
Rx		
	CG	23 dB (high-gain mode)
		9 dB (low-gain mode)
	NF	$<$ 4.9 dB (channel 3)
	IIP <sub>3</sub>	$-14$ dBm (channel 3)
LO		
	Injection PLL	19.44, 20.16, 20.88, 21.60 GHz
	Ref. spur	$<-58$ dBc@20.16 GHz
	Locking range	$0.63 - 2.04$ GHz
	<b>Ouadrature ILO</b>	58.0–64.7 GHz (free-run)
	Phase noise@1 MHz-offset	$<-95$ dBc/Hz

sampling rate of the ADC is 4/3 of the symbol rate. The output data of the ADC are transferred to the DBB after slowing down its data rate by serial-to-parallel (S/P) circuits since the



Fig. 24. Block diagram of the proposed 5-b 2304-MS/s ADC.



Fig. 25. Schematic of the dynamic comparator for the ADC.

operating frequency of the DBB is 288 MHz. Fig. 25 shows a double-tail latched comparator [28] with capacitive offset cancellation for the flash ADCs [29], [30]. The offset voltage of the comparator can be reduced by adjusting the capacitance at the output nodes of the first stage of comparators [31]. 5-bit binary-weighted PMOS varactors are used for adjusting the capacitance. The gate size of unit varactor is 400 nm  $\times$  40 nm. The source and drain nodes are connected to the comparator output node. The gate node is connected to GND or VDD: the capacitance of 0.25 fF is added when GND is applied to the gate node, a capacitance of 0.13 fF is added when VDD is applied to the gate node. In this case, the offset voltage can be controlled in 3-mV steps. The maximum differential input swing of the ADC is 480 mV<sub>pp</sub>, so 1 LSB becomes 15 mV. To suppress effective number of bits (ENOB) degradation of the ADC to less than 0.2 b, the comparator has to be designed to have an input-referred offset of less than 1/8 LSB, which is around 2 mV in standard deviation  $(1\sigma)$ . The offset voltage of the comparator is suppressed from 10 to 1.5 mV in standard deviation by capacitive offset cancellation. This means that the comparator does not require any other technique for offset cancellation, such as using a preamplifier, so low power consumption can also be achieved. In addition, a passive-type S/H circuit is not required in the proposed ADC, which basically consists of switches and capacitors. A passive-type S/H circuit makes the design of the VGA output buffer severe considerably, which, further, increases power consumption. Thus, the dynamic comparator is designed to work as a S/H circuit in the proposed ADC.

The proposed ABB circuits are implemented using a 40-nm CMOS process. The size of the VGA and ADC is  $0.16 \text{ mm}^2$ , including the S/P circuits. These circuits are implemented in an SoC, and the output of the VGA cannot be measured directly. Thus, the ADC is evaluated with the VGA [21], and the measurement data is read through the DBB. Fig. 26 shows the measured differential non-linearity (DNL) and integral nonlinearity



Fig. 26. Measured DNL and INL of the ADC.



Fig. 27. Measured frequency characteristic of the receiver circuit.



Fig. 28. Measured spectrum with an input frequency of 100 MHz.

(INL) of the ADC. The DNL and INL are calculated by a histogram method and a 100-MHz sine wave is utilized as the input signal and the VGA gain is set to 12 dB. The DNL and INL errors are less than  $+0.54/-0.47$  LSB and  $+0.55/-0.44$  LSB, respectively. The INL degradation is mainly caused by the nonlinearity of the VGA. Fig. 27 shows the frequency characteristic of ABB receiver. The measured gain of VGA is plotted from 0 to 40 dB in 10-dB steps, which is normalized by the gain at 100-MHz input. The cutoff frequency of 1 GHz is almost constant across the gain variation. The variation of  $-3$ -dB bandwidth is suppressed to less than  $\pm 10\%$ . The gain curve also shows good flatness from 10 to 40 dB with small variation within  $\pm 1$  dB from 3 to 600 MHz. Fig. 28 shows the spectrum



Fig. 29. Block diagram of the proposed 6-b 3456-MS/s DAC.



Fig. 30. Measured DNL and INL of the DAC.

analysis with 100-MHz input signal and 12-dB VGA gain. A peak SNDR of 26.1 dB is achieved. The VGA and the ADC including S/P circuits consume 9 and 12 mW from a 1.1-V supply voltage, respectively. An FoM of 316 fJ/conv.step is achieved even including the S/P circuits and VGA nonidealities.

## *B. DAC*

Fig. 29 shows a block diagram of the 6-b 3456-MS/s DAC. The sampling rate of the DAC is twice the symbol rate. The digital data from the DBB are transferred at a 216-MHz operating frequency with 16 parallel paths. To receive these data, the operating frequency of the DAC is increased to 3456 MS/s by a parallel-to-serial (P/S) circuit. The proposed DAC consists of a 3-b thermometer and 3-b binary structure to drive a 50- $\Omega$  resistive load. By introducing the combination of thermometer and binary structure, glitches are suppressed and a small core area is achieved. The size of current source is determined for suppressing the DNL to less than 1/4 LSB [32], [33]. The size of the DAC is 0.04 mm<sup>2</sup>. The DNL and INL of DAC are  $+0.1/-0.13$ LSB and  $+0.13/-0.13$  LSB, respectively, as shown in Fig. 30. Fig. 31 shows the measurement results of output power and spurious free dynamic range (SFDR). The output power is normalized in consideration of the aperture effect. The output power has  $-1.7$  dB drop at 1-GHz output frequency. A 52-dB SFDR is obtained at 27-MHz output frequency. The SFDR remains above 40 dB until the output frequency reaches 200 MHz. From a 1.1-V supply voltage, the DAC consumes 11 mW with 50- $\Omega$ loads, and the P/S circuit consumes 10 mW.

# *C. Clock PLL*

An integer- $N$  PLL provides a clock for the DAC and a clock for the ADC with a reference clock of  $f_s/48$ , where  $f_s$  is the symbol rate. The clock PLL consists of an *LC*-based voltage-



Fig. 31. Measured output power and SFDR of the DAC versus output frequency.

controlled oscillator (VCO) with an oscillation frequency of  $4f_s$ , frequency dividers, phase frequency detector, charge pump, and loop filter. 1/2 and 1/3 frequency dividers followed by VCO provide a  $2f_s$  clock for the DAC and a  $(4/3)f_s$  clock for the ADC, respectively. The locking status of the PLL can be monitored through a register of the DBB. The ADC and DAC clocks can be blocked by the DBB when not required. The simulated jitter of PLL is 0.94 ps in a typical condition. In the measurement result, the clock PLL operates with a reference clock of 35 MHz and consumes 42 mW. A reference clock of 35 MHz is used because the PLL does not lock to an expected reference clock of 36 MHz used for RF chip.

## V. DIGITAL BASEBAND

# *A. Low-Density Parity-Check Code*

We have developed a self-orthogonal quasi-cyclic (1440, 1344) LDPC code employed in the IEEE802.15.3c standard [4], where  $(n, k)$  denotes the codeword length of n and the source word length of  $k$ .

The code rate of the LDPC code is extremely high, 14/15, which is close to the theoretical code-rate limit,  $1 - (1 + \sqrt{4n \cdot w(w-1)} + 1)/(2n) = 0.9351$ , obtained from the Steiner bound [34] for a self-orthogonal code with a full-rank parity-check matrix having a column weight of  $w = 3$ . The rate of 14/15 is the highest code rate among all LDPC codes employed in wireline and wireless standards, and the second highest rate is 9/10 for an LDPC code in the European Digital Video Broadcasting Satellite II (DVB-S2) standard. The code parameters n and  $k$  are designed so that each value is a multiple of 8, 16, 24, 32, and 48 for ease of scalable byte-oriented parallel operation in a hardware implementation, because the degree of parallelism required for hardware depends on the implementation architecture and the CMOS process applied. A relatively short codeword length of 1440 is determined because the parity-check matrix has to be sufficiently small to implement decoder's hardware with a practical size around 600 k gates for a high throughput over 6 Gb/s in the 60-GHz wireless communication system.

A common concern of hardware implementation using an LDPC code is whether an error floor exists in the BER performance. The proposed 14/15 LDPC code has an original paritycheck matrix [22] to avoid the error floor.



Fig. 32. Block diagram of the analog and digital baseband.



Fig. 33. Block diagram of the LDPC decoder.

TABLE VII ADC PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

Resolution	5 bit
Sampling rate	2304 MS/s
Power consumption <sup>1</sup>	$12 \text{ mW}$
DNL, INL	$< 0.8$ LSB
SNDR <sup>2</sup>	26.1 dB
FoM	$316 \text{ fJ/c.s}$
Active area <sup>1</sup>	$0.06 \,\mathrm{mm}^2$

 $1$  Single channel including S/P blocks.

 $2$  VGA gain is  $12 \text{ dB}$ .

Fig. 33 shows a block diagram of the proposed LDPC decoder [23]. The LDPC decoder consists of an  $F_n$  memory, a  $Q_{m,n}$  memory,  $N_p$  message-processing units, an  $A_m$  update unit, a  $B_m$  update unit, and a syndrome-check unit, where  $F_n$ is the log-likelihood ratio of the  $n$ th variable received from the channel,  $Q_{m,n}^{(i)}$  denotes the messages sent from variable node *n* to check node *m*, during the *i*th iteration,  $\hat{c}_n^{(i)}$  denotes the

TABLE VIII DAC PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

Resolution	6 bit
Sampling rate	3456 MS/s
Power consumption <sup>1</sup>	$21 \,\mathrm{mW}$
DNL, INL	$< 0.5$ LSB
<b>SFDR</b>	$39$ dBc
Active area <sup>1</sup>	$0.02 \,\mathrm{mm}^2$

<sup>1</sup> Single channel including P/S block.

decoded bit of *n*th variable during *i*th iteration,  $N_p = 120$ is the degree of parallelization defined as the number of variable-node operations simultaneously executed,  $A_m$  and  $B_m$  are temporal variables for calculating the messages sent from check node m to variable node n, and the function  $\psi(x)$  is defined as  $\psi(x) = -\ln(\tanh(x/2))$ . If the parity-check matrix was not well structured, the  $A_m$  and  $B_m$  update units might require complex multiplexers and demultiplexers. This hardware architecture eliminates them when a quasi-cyclic code is used, and the

TABLE IX PERFORMANCE COMPARISON OF STATE-OF-THE-ART LDPC DECODERS

	Sony (this work)	NCTU, 2010 [35]	ST Micro, 2011 [42]
codeword length (bits)	1440	672	1944
IEEE802 standard	15.3c	15.3c	11n
max user rate $(Gb/s)$	6.3	5.79	0.693
CMOS process	40nmLP	65nmLP	SOI65nmLP
core area $(mm^2)$	0.46	1.56	2
supply voltage $(V)$	1.1	1.0	1.2
operation frequency (MHz)	280	197	360
power at BER = $10^{-6}$ (mW)	74	361	288
energy efficiency (pJ/bit)	11.8	62.4	416
error floor at BER = $10^{-11}$	none	not confirmed	not confirmed
chip configuration	all BB	LDPC only	LDPC only



Fig. 34. Block diagram of the DCTR.



Fig. 35. Die photograph of RF chip.

column-based scheduling is employed to reduce the latency of overlapped message passing. The designed LDPC decoder can perform up to 18 iterations for BPSK, eight iterations for QPSK,



Fig. 36. Die photograph of BB chip.

and 3 iterations for 16QAM when the operating frequency is 1/6 times of the symbol rate.

Table IX summarizes the performance comparison of state-of-the-art LDPC decoders. The LDPC decoder only consumes 74 mW with a BER of  $10^{-6}$ , and achieves an extremely low energy efficiency of 11.8 pJ/bit at 6.3 Gb/s, which is 1/6 times compared with that of the decoder [35].

			Area	Power
RF chip				
	Tx (w/o LO)		$1.43 \text{ mm}^2$	137 mW / 74 mW (low-power mode)
	Rx (w/o LO)		$1.24 \text{ mm}^2$	42 mW / 27 mW (low-power mode)
	$60$ -GHz LO	<b>QILO</b>	$0.01 \text{ mm}^2$	$36 \text{ mW}$
		LO buffer	$0.52 \text{ mm}^2$	84 mW / 40 mW (low-power mode)
		sub total	$0.53 \text{ mm}^2$	120 mW / 76 mW (low-power mode)
	20-GHz PLL	$\overline{vco}$	$0.13 \text{ mm}^2$	$22.9m$ W
		<b>Buffer</b>	$0.51 \text{ mm}^2$	$29.5 \text{ mW}$
		Loop components	$0.73 \text{ mm}^2$	8.6 mW
		sub total	$1.37 \text{ mm}^2$	$61 \text{ mW}$
	Logic		$0.38 \,\mathrm{mm}^2$	$0.4 \text{ mW}$
	total in Tx mode		319 mW	/ 211 mW (low-power mode)
	total in Rx mode		$223 \text{ mW}$	/ 165 mW (low-power mode)
BB chip				
	<b>DBB</b> Tx		$0.14 \text{ mm}^2$	27 mW (QPSK) / 41 mW (16QAM)
	DBB Rx		$1.15 \,\mathrm{mm}^2$	206 mW (QPSK) / 224 mW (16QAM)
	<b>ADC</b>		$0.16 \,\mathrm{mm}^2$	$12 \text{ mW} \times 2$
	<b>VGA</b>		$0.16 \,\mathrm{mm}^2$	$9 \text{ mW} \times 2$
	<b>DAC</b>		$0.04 \,\mathrm{mm}^2$	$21 \text{ mW} \times 2$
	<b>PLL</b>		$0.54 \,\mathrm{mm}^2$	$42 \text{ mW}$
	LVDS for Tx		$0.56 \,\mathrm{mm}^2$	45 mW (QPSK) / 70 mW (16QAM)
	LVDS for Rx		$0.56 \,\mathrm{mm}^2$	70 mW (QPSK) / 120 mW (16QAM)
	total in Tx mode		196 mW	
	total in Rx mode		427 mW	

TABLE X AREA AND POWER CONSUMPTION SUMMARY

# *B. Digital Carrier and Timing Recovery*

Fig. 34 shows a block diagram of the proposed DCTR, which consists of two digital-domain PLLs for the automatic blind estimation of timing and carrier phase errors [24], [36]. To achieve a throughput of more than 6 Gb/s, a parallelization technique for the DCTR is proposed to reduce the individual operation frequency. As an issue of the conventional parallelization of PLL, the performance degradation in pull-in frequency range and convergence time cannot be avoided due to the estimation error of an initial phase and a period fluctuation for a sampled signal. Thus, in the proposed DCTR, the initial phase and period fluctuation are separately estimated at a timing-loop filter and a number-controlled oscillator (NCO) shown in Fig. 34 [24].

The proposed DCTR consists of two eight-parallel PLLs for timing and carrier recovery. Equalized signals and estimated timing offsets are converted to timing-recovered signals by the interpolation filter in Fig. 34. Then, the timing-recovered signals and estimated carrier offsets are converted to timing-carrier-recovered signals by the rotation filter. This PLL-based DCTR reduces the sampling frequency of ADC to (4/3)  $f_s$  from  $2f_s$ used in conventional systems [37]. Enable signals are used to drop invalid signals from the recovered signals, since the sampling rate of ADC is 4/3 of symbol rate and 1/3 is not used on average.

# VI. TRANSCEIVER TESTING RESULTS

Here, we describe the measurement results for the entire transceiver including the RF front-end, analog, and digital baseband circuitry. Figs. 35 and 36 show die photos of the RF and BB chips, respectively. The RF chip is implemented using standard 65-nm CMOS technology, and the baseband chip using standard 40-nm CMOS technology. The chip sizes are 4.2 mm  $\times$  4.2 mm and 3 mm  $\times$  3 mm, respectively. Table X summarizes the core area and power consumption for individual circuit blocks.

Fig. 37 shows the measurement setup. The Tx BB generates a test signal pattern, which is a PRBS with an order of 63, and the Tx RF transmits the LDPC-coded PRBS with the package antenna. Fig. 38 shows the measured spectra of the entire transmitter chain including the antenna, RF, ABB and DBB blocks for QPSK and 16QAM with the spectral mask defined in IEEE 802.15.3c. The back-off from the saturated output power is 4.5 dB for QPSK and 10.5 dB for 16QAM. In case of 16QAM, the noise floor of spectrum analyzer becomes closer to the signal level due to the large back-off. The spectra in Fig. 38 are for channel 2, and the measured spectra for the other channels also satisfy the spectral mask. The transmitted signal is received by the Rx RF board, and down converted into I/Q signals. The gain configuration of the Rx RF is controlled by the BB. The Rx BB demodulates and decodes the received signals with a 35-MHz reference. The BER and SNR are aggregated in the Rx BB. Fig. 39 shows the measured BER for QPSK as a function of Tx-to-Rx distance. The communication distance at a BER of  $10^{-6}$  is 1.1, 1.7, 1.8, and 1.3 m for channels 1–4, respectively, in QPSK. It has been confirmed that the LDPC codec shows a coding gain of 6.4 dB and does not show an error floor around a BER of  $10^{-11}$ . The communication distance at a BER of  $10^{-3}$ is more than 0.01 m in 16QAM for channels 1 to 4, and the distance at a BER of of  $6.2 \times 10^{-4}$  in 16QAM is 0.05 m for channel 2.



Fig. 37. RF front-end and baseband measurement setup.



Fig. 38. Measured spectrum through DBB, ABB and RF blocks. (a) QPSK. (b) 16QAM.

Table XI summarizes measured constellations and SNR for QPSK and 16QAM, which are obtained at a 0.01-m Tx-to-Rx distance. The constellations are restored from the measured data of the ADC in the BB chip. 3.1-Gb/s (QPSK) and 6.3-Gb/s (16QAM) wireless communications are achieved for every channel with the highly efficient LDPC codec. The degradation



Fig. 39. Measured communication distance for 3.1-Gb/s QPSK with and without LDPC.

TABLE XI MEASURED CONSTELLATION OF THE RF FRONT-END AND BASEBAND

	ch1	ch <sub>2</sub>	ch3	ch4				
		QPSK: 3.1Gb/s within 2.16GHz-BW						
<b>Constellation</b>				撫				
<b>SNR</b>	14.8dB	14.8dB	15.9dB	15.8dB				
<b>BER</b>	$< 10^{-11}$	$< 10^{-11}$	$< 10^{-11}$	$< 10^{-11}$				
			16QAM: 6.3Gb/s within 2.16GHz-BW					
<b>Constellation</b>								
<b>SNR</b>	14.1dB	15.3dB	15.6dB	15.1dB				
<b>BER</b>	$5.0 \times 10^{-3}$	$8.4 \times 10^{-4}$	$6.7 \times 10^{-4}$	$8.3 \times 10^{-4}$				

of SNR in Table XI from that in Tables III and IV would be caused by the difference of measurement conditions. The results in Tables III and IV are obtained by using an AWG with a 10-b DAC, an oscilloscope with an 8-b ADC and a 495-tap adaptive Rx equalizer. On the other hand, the result in Table XI is measured with the BB chip, which consists of an on-chip 5-b

	$PHY$ data rate <sup>1</sup> (modulation)	Integration	Package	Channel	Power consumption	Area
[11] SiBeam	$3.8 \text{Gb/s}$ (16QAM)	Tx, Rx, LO for 32 antennas	ceramic	2, 3	1.82 W $(Tx \text{ mode})^3$ $1.25 W$ (Rx mode) <sup>3</sup>	77.2 mm <sup>2</sup> $(Tx)^3$ 72.7 mm <sup>2</sup> $(Rx)^3$
[14] Toshiba	$2.62$ Gb/s (QPSK) $2.07$ Gb/s (QPSK) <sup>2</sup>	Tx, Rx, LO, analog BB, digital BB (PHY, MAC), in-package antenna	organic <b>BGA</b>	2 (at least)	592 mW $(Tx \text{ mode})^4$ $756 \,\mathrm{mW}$ (Rx mode) <sup>4</sup>	$2.86 \,\mathrm{mm}^2$ (RF) $13.26$ mm <sup>2</sup> (BB) <sup>4</sup>
This work	$3.1$ Gb/s (QPSK) $6.3$ Gb/s $(16QAM)$	Tx, Rx, LO, analog BB, digital BB (PHY), in-package antennas	organic BGA	1, 2, 3, 4	for QPSK $475 \,\mathrm{mW}$ (Tx mode) 583 mW (Rx mode) for 16QAM $515 \,\mathrm{mW}$ (Tx mode) $650 \,\mathrm{mW}$ (Rx mode)	5.48 mm <sup>2</sup> (RF) 2.19 mm <sup>2</sup> (BB)

TABLE XII PERFORMANCE COMPARISON OF 60-GHZ TRANSCEIVERS

PHY data rate within 2.16 GHz-BW

 $\overline{2}$ MAC data rate

 $3$  BB is not included.

 $4$  MAC is included.

DAC with a 16-tap TX filter, on-chip VGA, and 6-b ADC with an eight-tap adaptive Rx filter. The BER is degraded by the impairment of gain flatness in both RF and ABB circuitry. The impairment of gain flatness cannot be completely equalized by the DBB filters due to the limited number of taps. For the further performance improvement, the gain flatness has to be improved as a single-carrier system, and the equalization has to be enhanced.

Table XII summarizes a performance comparison of 60-GHz transceivers evaluated with baseband circuitry. The proposed transceiver achieves four-channel wireless communication for both QPSK and 16QAM with lower power consumption.

## VII. CONCLUSION

This paper is the first report of a 60-GHz 16QAM transceiver including RF front-end, antenna, analog, and digital baseband circuitry, which achieves four-channel wireless communication for both QPSK and 16QAM with lower power consumption. The 65-nm CMOS direct-conversion front-end consumes 319 and 223 mW in transmitting and receiving modes, respectively. It is capable of more than 7-Gb/s 16QAM wireless communication, which can be extended up to 10 Gb/s. The 40-nm CMOS baseband including analog, digital, and I/O consumes 196 and 427 mW for 16QAM in transmitting and receiving modes, respectively. Such a low power performance is realized mainly by a 5-b 2304-MS/s ADC consuming 12 mW and a (1440, 1344) LDPC decoder consuming 74 mW with a user-bit rate of 6.3 Gb/s. The entire system including both RF and BB using the 6-dBi antennas built in the organic package can communicate 3.1 Gb/s over 1.8 m in QPSK and 6.3 Gb/s over 0.05 m in 16QAM.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Dr. Hirose, Dr. Suzuki, Dr. Sato, and Dr. Kawano of Fujitsu Laboratories, Ltd., Dr. Taniguchi of JRC, Dr. Hirachi of AMMSys Inc., Prof. Suga of Aoyama Gakuin University, and Dr. Fukuzawa and Prof. Ando of Tokyo Institute of Technology for their valuable advice and technical support.

# **REFERENCES**

- [1] K. Okada, K. Kondou, M. Miyahara, M. Shinagawa, H. Asada, R. Minami, T. Yamaguchi, A. Musa, Y. Tsukui, Y. Asakura, S. Tamonoki, H. Yamagishi, Y. Hino, T. Sato, H. Sakaguchi, N. Shimasaki, T. Ito, Y. Takeuchi, N. Li, Q. Bu, R. Murakami, K. Bunsen, K. Matsushita, M. Noda, and A. Matsuzawa, "A full 4-channel 6.3 Gb/s 60 GHz directconversion transceiver with low-power analog and digital baseband circuitry," in *IEEE ISSCC Dig. Tech. Papers*, Feb. 2012, pp. 217–219.
- [2] K. Okada, K. Matsushita, K. Bunsen, R. Murakami, A. Musa, T. Sato, H. Asada, N. Takayama, N. Li, S. Ito, W. Chaivipas, R. Minami, and A. Matsuzawa, "A 60 GHz 16QAM/8PSK/QPSK/BPSK direct-conversion transceiver for IEEE802.15.3c," in *IEEE ISSCC Dig. Tech. Papers*, Feb. 2011, pp. 160–161.
- [3] K. Okada, N. Li, K. Matsushita, K. Bunsen, R. Murakami, A. Musa, T. Sato, N. Takayama, S. Ito, W. Chaivipas, R. Minami, T. Yamaguchi, Y. Takeuchi, H. Yamagishi, M. Noda, and A. Matsuzawa, "A 60 GHz 16QAM/8PSK/QPSK/BPSK direct-conversion transceiver for IEEE802.15.3c," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 46, no. 12, pp. 2988–3004, Dec. 2011.
- [4] *IEEE Std.*, 802.15.3c-2009, Oct. 2009 [Online]. Available: http://standards.ieee.org/getieee802/download/802.15.3c-2009.pdf
- [5] *IEEE Std.*, IEEE802.11ad [Online]. Available: http://standards.ieee. org/develop/project/802.11ad.html
- [6] ECMA. [Online]. Available: http://www.ecma-international.org/publications/files/ECMA-ST/ECMA-387.pdf
- [7] WiGig.. [Online]. Available: http://wirelessgigabitalliance.org/specifications/
- [8] WirelessHD. [Online]. Available: http://www.wirelesshd.org/pdfs/ WirelessHD-Specification-Overview-v1.1May2010.pdf
- [9] S. Pinel, S. Sarkar, P. Sen, B. Perumana, D. Yeh, D. Dawn, and J. Laskar, "A 90 nm CMOS 60 GHz radio," in *IEEE ISSCC Dig. Tech. Papers*, Feb. 2008, pp. 130–131.
- [10] M. Tanomura, Y. Hamada, S. Kishimoto, M. Ito, N. Orihashi, K. Maruhashi, and H. Shimawaki, "TX and RX front-ends for 60 GHz band in 90 nm standard bulk CMOS," in *IEEE ISSCC Dig. Tech. Papers*, Feb. 2008, pp. 558–559.
- [11] S. Emami, R. F. Wiser, E. Ali, M. G. Forbes, M. Q. Gordon, X. Guan, S. Lo, P. T. McElwee, J. Parker, J. R. Tani, J. M. Gilbert, and C. H. Doan, "A 60 GHz CMOS phased-array transceiver pair for multi-Gb/s wireless communications," in *IEEE ISSCC Dig. Tech. Papers*, Feb. 2011, pp. 164–165.
- [12] A. Siligaris, O. Richard, B. M. C. Mounet, F. Chaix, R. Ferragut, C. Dehos, J. Lanteri, L. Dussopt, S. D. Yamamoto, R. Pilard, P. Busson, A. Cathelin, D. Belot, and P. Vincent, "A 65 nm CMOS fully integrated transceiver module for 60 GHz wireless HD applications," in *IEEE ISSCC Dig. Tech. Papers*, Feb. 2011, pp. 162–163.
- [13] F. Vecchi, S. Bozzola, E. Temporiti, D. Guermandi, M. Pozzoni, M. Repossi, M. Cusmai, U. Decanis, A. Mazzanti, and F. Svelto, "A wideband receiver for multi-Gbit/s communications in 65 nm CMOS," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 551–561, Mar. 2011.
- [14] T. Mitomo, Y. Tsutsumi, H. Hoshino, M. Hosoya, T. Wang, Y. Tsubouchi, R. Tachibana, A. Sai, Y. Kobayashi, D. Kurose, T. Ito, K. Ban, T. Tandai, and T. Tomizawa, "A 2 Gb/s-throughput CMOS transceiver chipset with in-package antenna for 60 GHz short-range wireless communication," in *IEEE ISSCC Dig. Tech. Papers*, Feb. 2012, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 266–267.
- [15] C. Marcu, D. Chowdhury, C. Thakkar, L.-K. Kong, M. Tabesh, J.-D. Park, Y. Wang, B. Afshar, A. Gupta, A. Arbabian, S. Gambini, R. Zamani, A. M. Niknejad, and E. Alon, "A 90 nm CMOS low-power 60 GHz transceiver with integrated baseband circuitry," in *IEEE ISSCC Dig. Tech. Papers*, Feb. 2009, pp. 314–315.
- [16] A. Tomkins, R. A. Aroca, T. Yamamoto, S. T. Nicolson, Y. Doi, and S. P. Voinigescu, "A zero-IF 60 GHz 65 nm CMOS transceiver with direct BPSK modulation demonstrating up to 6 Gb/s data rates over a 2 m wireless link," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 44, no. 8, pp. 2085–2099, Aug. 2009.
- [17] V. Vidojkovic, G. Mangraviti, K. Khalaf, V. Szortyka, K. Vaesen, W. V. Thillo, B. Parvais, M. Libois, S. Thijs, J. R. Long, C. Soens, and P. Wambacq, "A low-power 57-to-66 GHz transceiver in 40 nm LP CMOS with  $-17$  db EVM at 7 Gb/s," in *IEEE ISSCC Dig. Tech. Papers*, Feb. 2012, pp. 268–269.
- [18] A. Musa, R. Murakami, T. Sato, W. Chaivipas, K. Okada, and A. Matsuzawa, "A 56–65 GHz injection-locked frequency tripler with quadrature outputs in 90-nm CMOS," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 46, no. 11, pp. 2635–2649, Dec. 2011.
- [19] R. Suga, H. Nakano, Y. Hirachi, J. Hirokawa, and M. Ando, "Millimeter-wave antenna with high-isolation using slab waveguide for WPAN applications," in *Proc. IEEE EuMC*, Oct. 2011, pp. 543–546.
- [20] R. Suga, H. Nakano, Y. Hirachi, J. Hirokawa, and M. Ando, "A small package with 46 dB isolation between Tx and Rx antennas suitable for 60 GHz WPAN module," *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech.*, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 640–646, Mar. 2012.
- [21] M. Miyahara, H. Sakaguchi, N. Shimasaki, and A. Matsuzawa, "An 84 mW 0.36 mm<sup>2</sup> analog baseband circuits for 60 GHz wireless transceiver in 40 nm CMOS," in *IEEE RFIC Symp. Dig. Papers*, June 2012, pp. 495–498.
- [22] M. Noda, "Designing a self-orthogonal quack-cyclic code with extended minimum hamming distance," in *Proc. IEEE Turbo Coding*, Apr. 2006.
- [23] H. Yamagishi and M. Noda, "High throughput hardware architecture for (1440, 1344) low-density parity-check code utilizing quasi-cyclic structure," in *Proc. IEEE Turbo Coding*, Sep. 2008, pp. 78–83.
- [24] K. Kondou and M. Noda, "A new parallel algorithm for full-digital phase-locked loop for high-throughput carrier and timing recovery systems," in *Proc. IEEE ICECS*, Dec. 2010, pp. 1156–1159.
- [25] W. Chan and J. Long, "A 56–65 GHz injection-locked frequency tripler with quadrature outputs in 90-nm CMOS," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 43, no. 12, pp. 2739–2746, Dec. 2008.
- [26] H. Asada, K. Bunsen, K. Matsushita, R. Murakami, Q. Bu, A. Musa, T. Sato, T. Yamaguchi, R. Minami, T. Ito, K. Okada, and A. Matsuzawa, "A 60 GHz 16 Gb/s 16QAM low-power direct-conversion transceiver using capacitive cross-coupling neutralization in 65 nm CMOS," in *Proc. IEEE A-SSCC*, Nov. 2011, pp. 373–376.
- [27] N. Li, K. Bunsen, N. Takayama, Q. Bu, T. Suzuki, M. Sato, T. Hirose, K. Okada, and A. Matsuzawa, "A 24 dB gain 51–68 GHz CMOS low noise amplifier using asymmetric-layout transistors," in *Proc. ES-SCIRC*, Sept. 2010, pp. 342–345.
- [28] D. Schinkel, E. Mensink, E. Klumperink, E. van Tuijl, and B. Nauta, "A double-tail latch-type voltage sense amplifier with 18 ps setup+hold time," in *IEEE ISSCC Dig. Tech. Papers*, Feb. 2007, pp. 314–315.
- [29] M. Miyahara, Y. Asada, D. Paik, and A. Matsuzawa, "A low-noise self-calibrating dynamic comparator for high-speed ADCs," in *Proc. IEEE A-SSCC*, Nov. 2008, pp. 269–272.
- [30] Y. Asada, K. Yoshihara, T. Urano, M. Miyahara, and A. Matsuzawa, "A 6 bit, 7 mW, 250 fJ, 700 MS/s subranging ADC," in *Proc. IEEE A-SSCC*, Nov. 2009, pp. 141–144.
- [31] G. V. der Plas, S. Decoutere, and S. Donnay, "A 0.16 pJ/conversionstep 2.5 mW 1.25 GS/s 4 b ADC in a 90 nm digital CMOS process," in *IEEE ISSCC Dig. Tech. Papers*, Feb. 2006, pp. 566–567.
- [32] M. J. M. Pelgrom, A. C. J. Duinmaijer, and A. P. G. Welbers, "Matching properties of MOS transistors," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 1433–1439, Oct. 1989.
- [33] A. van den Bosch, M. Steyaert, and W. Sansen, "An accurate statistical yield model for CMOS current-steering D/A converters," *Analog Integr. Circuits Signal Process.*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 173–180, Dec. 2001.
- [34] R. Townsend and J. E. Weldon, "Self-orthogonal quasi-cyclic codes," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. IT-13, no. 2, pp. 183–195, Apr. 1967.
- [35] S.-Y. Hung, S.-W. Yen, C.-L. Chen, H.-C. Chang, S.-J. Jou, and C.-Y. Lee, "A 5.7 Gbps row-based layered scheduling LDPC decoder for IEEE 802.15.3c applications," in *Proc. IEEE A-SSCC*, Nov. 2010.
- [36] F. M. Gardner, "Interpolation in digital modems Part I: Fundamentals," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 501–507, Mar. 1993.
- [37] D. Nakano, Y. Kohda, K. Takano, T. Yamane, N. Ohba, and Y. Katayama, "Multi-Gbps 60-GHz single-carrier system using a low-power coherent detection technique," in *Proc. IEEE Cool Chips XIV*, Apr. 2011.
- [38] J. Lee, Y. Huang, Y. Chen, L. H., and C. Chang, "A low-power fully integrated 60 GHz transceiver system with OOK modulation and on-board antenna assembly," in *IEEE ISSCC Dig. Tech. Papers*, Feb. 2009, pp. 316–317.
- [39] H. Wang, M. Hung, Y. Yeh, and J. Lee, "A 60-GHz FSK transceiver with automatically-calibrated demodulator in 90-nm CMOS," in *Symp. VLSI Circuits Dig. Tech. Papers*, June 2010, pp. 95–96.
- [40] A. Oncu, S. Ohashi, K. Takano, T. Takada, J. Shimizu, and M. Fujishima, "1 Gbps/ch 60 GHz CMOS multichannel millimeter-wave repeater," in *Symp. VLSI Circuits Dig. Tech. Papers*, June 2010, pp. 93–94.
- [41] C. Marcu, D. Chowdhury, C. Thakkar, J.-D. Park, L.-K. Kong, M. Tabesh, Y. Wang, B. Afshar, A. Gupta, A. Arbabian, S. Gambini, R. Zamani, E. Alon, and A. M. Niknejad, "A 90 nm CMOS low-power 60 GHz transceiver with integrated baseband circuitry," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 44, no. 12, pp. 3434–3447, Dec. 2009.
- [42] J. L. Coz, P. Flatresse, S. Engels, A. Valentian, M. Belleville, C. M. Raynaud, D. Croain, and P. Urard, "Comparison of 65 nm LP bulk and LP PD-SOI with adaptive power gate body bias for an LDPC codec," in *IEEE ISSCC Dig. Tech. Papers*, Feb. 2011, pp. 336–337.



**Kenichi Okada** (S'99–M'03) received the B.E., M.E., and Ph.D. degrees in communications and computer engineering from Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan, in 1998, 2000, and 2003, respectively.

From 2000 to 2003, he was a Research Fellow with the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan. From 2003 to 2007, he was an Assistant Professor with the Precision and Intelligence Laboratory, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Yokohama, Japan. Since 2007, he has been an Associate Professor with the Department

of Physical Electronics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan. He has authored or coauthored more than 200 journal and conference papers. His current research interests include reconfigurable RF CMOS circuits for millimeter-wave CMOS wireless front-ends, cognitive radios, and low-voltage RF circuits.

Dr. Okada is a member of the Institute of Electronics, Information and Communication Engineers (IEICE), the Information Processing Society of Japan (IPSJ), and the Japan Society of Applied Physics (JSAP). He received the Ericsson Young Scientist Award in 2004, the A-SSCC Outstanding Design Award in 2006 and 2011, the ASP-DAC Special Feature Award in 2011, and 32 international and domestic awards. He is a member of the ISSCC Technical Program Committee.



**Keitarou Kondou** (M'12) received the B.S. degree in physics from Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan, in 1995, and the M.S. degree in information systems from Nara Institute of Science and Technology, Nara, Japan, in 1997.

He joined Sony Corporation, Tokyo, Japan, in 1997 and was involved in research and development of protocols for an indoor-wireless-network system. Since 2000, he has worked on the research and development of signal processing and error-correction technologies for storage and wireless systems.



converters.

**Masaya Miyahara** (S'99–M'03) received the B.E. degree in mechanical and electrical engineering from Kisarazu National College of Technology, Kisarazu, Japan, in 2004, and the M.E. and Ph.D. degree in physical electronics from Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan, in 2006 and 2009, respectively.

Since 2009, he has been an Assistant Professor with the Department of Physical Electronics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan. His research interest is mixed signal circuits, especially data



**Ahmed Musa** (S'11) received the B.Sc. degree in both electrical engineering and computer engineering from King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals (KFUPM), Dhahran, KSA, in 2006, and the M.S. degree in physical electronics from Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan, in 2009, where he is currently working toward the Ph.D. degree in physical electronics.

His research interests are CMOS RF/Microwave circuit design and PLL frequency synthesizers.



**Masashi Shinagawa** received the B.E. and M.E. degrees in engineering from Yokohama National University, Kanagawa, Japan, in 2001 and 2003, respectively.

In 2003, he joined Sony Corporation, Tokyo, Japan. His current research interest is a high-speed digital signal processing over 3 Gb/s for a 60-GHz wireless system with robust packet and symbol synchronization.



**Yuuki Tsukui** received the B.E. degree in electrical and electronic engineering from Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan, in 2011, where he is currently working toward the M.E. degree.



**Hiroki Asada** received the B.E. and M.E. degrees in physical electronics from Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan, in 2010 and 2012, respectively.



**Yasuo Asakura** received the B.E. and M.E. degrees in electrical and electronic engineering from Kobe University, Kobe, Japan, in 2008 and 2010, respectively. In 2010, he joined Sony Corporation. Currently he is working on research and development of a high-speed digital signal processing.



**Ryo Minami** received the B.E. degree in electrical and electronic engineering from Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan, in 2011, where he is currently working toward the M.E. degree in physical electronics.

Mr. Minami is a member of the Institute of Electronics, Information and Communication Engineers (IEICE).



**Shinya Tamonoki** received the B.E. and M.E. degrees in engineering from Tohoku University, Miyagi, Japan, in 2005 and 2007, respectively.

In 2007, he joined Sony Corporation, Tokyo, Japan. From 2007 to 2008, he worked on the research and development of OLED display. From 2008 to 2011, he worked on Sony Mobile Display for mass product of OLED display. Currently, he is working on the research and development of a high-speed digital signal processing.



**Tatsuya Yamaguchi** received the B.E. and M.E. degrees in physical electronics from Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan, in 2010 and 2012, respectively.

He is currently with Toyota, Aichi, Japan.



**Hiroyuki Yamagishi** received the B.E. and M.E. degrees in communications engineering from Osaka University, Osaka, Japan, in 1993 and 1995, respectively.

He joined Sony Corporation, Tokyo, Japan, in 1995, where he was involved in the research and development of signal processing, channel coding, and error-correction technologies for communication and storage systems. Since 2007, he has been involved with the development of millimeter-wave systems. His current research interests are millimeter-wave

communication systems and signal-processing technologies.



**Yasufumi Hino** received the B.E. and M.E. degrees in electronic engineering from Kyusyu University, Fukuoka, Japan, in 1997 and 1999, respectively.

In 1999, he joined Sony Corporation, Tokyo, Japan, and was initially engaged in the research and development of analog integrated circuits for wireless telecommunication systems.



**Yasuaki Takeuchi** received the B.E. degree in electrical and electronic engineering from Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan, in 2011, where he is currently working toward the M.E. degree.

Mr. Takeuchi is a member of the Institute of Electronics, Information and Communication Engineers (IEICE).



**Takahiro Sato** received the B.E. and M.E. degrees in physical electronics from Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan, in 2010 and 2012, respectively.

He is currently with Nintendo Corporation, Kyoto, Japan.



**Ning Li** (S'09–M'10) received the B.S. degree in electronics engineering and M.S. degree in physical electronics from Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, in 1999 and 2002, respectively, and the Ph.D. degree from Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan, in 2010.

Her research interests include RF circuit design.



**Hironori Sakaguchi** received the B.Sc. degree in information network engineering from Aichi Institute of Technology, Aichi, Japan, in 2000.

Since 2006, he has been a Member of the Technical Staff with Matsuzawa and Okada Laboratories, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan.



**Qinghong Bu** received the B.S. degree in process equipment and control system from Shandong University of Science and Technology, Shandong, China, in 2005, and the M.S. degree in measurement technology and instruments from Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, China, in 2007. She is currently working toward the Ph.D. degree at the Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan.

Her research interests includes RF and mm-wave circuit design.



**Naoki Shimasaki** received the B.E. and M.E. degrees in physical electronics from Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan, in 2007 and 2009, respectively.

He is currently with Panasonic Corporation, Osaka, Japan.



**Rui Murakami** received the B.E. and M.E. degrees in physical electronics from Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan, in 2009 and 2011, respectively. He is currently with Panasonic Corporation, Osaka, Japan.



**Toshihiko Ito** received the B.E. and M.E. degrees in physical electronics from Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan, in 2010 and 2012, respectively.

He is currently with ABB, Tokyo, Japan.



**Keigo Bunsen** received the B.E. and M.E. degrees in physical electronics from Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan, in 2009 and 2011, respectively. He is currently with Sony Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.



**Kota Matsushita** received the B.E. and M.E. degrees in physical electronics from Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan, in 2009 and 2011, respectively. He is currently with Sony Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.

**Makoto Noda** (M'10) received the B.E. and Ph.D. degrees in engineering from Keio University, Kanagawa, Japan, in 1986 and 2004, respectively.

In 1986, he joined Sony Corporation, Tokyo, Japan. From 1986 to 1994, he worked on the research and development of magnetic recording media for a rotary digital audio tape recorder format R-DAT, a digital-data storage format DDS, and a pre-embossed discrete-track hard disk system. Since 1994, he has been working on the research and development of designing new channel codes and error-correction codes for storage and wireless systems. He designed a 24-b/27-b dc-free trellis code employed to a camcorder format MICROMV, a run-length limited code and a low-density parity-check (LDPC) error-correction code employed to a tape-data-storage format DAT320, and a rate-14/15 LDPC code employed to a 60 GHz wireless standard IEEE802.15.3c. His recent research interest is a high-speed digital signal processing over 3 Gb/s for a 60-GHz wireless system with robust packet and symbol synchronization as well as the error-correction coding. Currently he is a Chief Distinguished Engineer of Sony Corporation.



**Akira Matsuzawa** (M'88–SM'01–F'02) received the B.S., M.S., and Ph.D. degrees in electronics engineering from Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan, in 1976, 1978, and 1997, respectively.

In 1978, he joined Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd. Since then, he has been working on the research and development of analog and Mixed Signal LSI technologies; ultrahigh-speed ADCs, intelligent CMOS sensors, RF CMOS circuits, and digital readchannel technologies for DVD systems. From 1997 to 2003, he was a General Manager in advanced LSI

technology development center. On April 2003, he joined Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan, and he is a Professor of physical electronics. His current research is mixed-signal technologies, RF CMOS circuit design for SDR, and high-speed data converters.

Prof. Matsuzawa is a Fellow of the Institute of Electronics, Information and Communication Engineers (IEICE). He served as a guest Editor-in-Chief for a special issue on analog LSI technology of the *IEICE Transactions on Electronics* in 1992, 1997, and 2003, a committee member for analog technology in ISSCC, IEEE SSCS elected Adcom from 2005 to 2008, and an an IEEE Solid-State Circuits Society (SSCS) Distinguished lecturer. Now he serves IEEE SSCS Japan chapter chair. He received the IR100 Award in 1983, the R&D100 Award and the Remarkable Invention Award in 1994, and the ISSCC Evening Panel Award in 2003 and 2005.