

Guest Editorial

Introduction to the Focused Section on Healthcare Mechatronics

I. INTRODUCTION

A RECENT U.S. National Academy of Engineering and Institute of Medicine study (*Building a Better Delivery System: A New Engineering/Health Care Partnership*, National Academy Press, 2005) advocated the applications of system engineering tools and information/communication technologies to improve the quality and productivity of the healthcare system. Mechatronics design principle provides a synergistic integration of information, computation, and electromechanical device and systems, which plays an important role in advancing healthcare at both the device and system levels.

In this “Focused Section on Healthcare Mechatronics” of the IEEE/ASME TRANSACTIONS ON MECHATRONICS, we solicited articles that summarize recent development in employing mechatronics approach to the design of healthcare systems and devices to improve quality of care or efficiency and productivity of healthcare delivery. In this editorial, we will highlight articles related to this topic published in previous issues of this TRANSACTIONS as well as introduce the six articles published in this Focused Section.

II. RELATED ARTICLES PUBLISHED IN THE PAST FIVE YEARS IN IEEE/ASME TRANSACTIONS ON MECHATRONICS

During the past five years, there were numbers of papers and Focused Sections related to healthcare mechatronics that were published in the IEEE/ASME TRANSACTIONS ON MECHATRONICS. The majority of these papers are related to robotics or assisted rehabilitation, surgery, and prosthesis.

A. Rehabilitation

In 2006, a series of papers were published that discussed the design of exoskeleton for rehabilitation. Gupta and O’Malley [1] summarized the space, weight, and kinematic constraints as well as workspace and performance requirements for a haptic arm exoskeleton used for robot-assisted rehabilitation and training. Their paper described the design and control of a five-degree-of-freedom (5-DOF) haptic arm exoskeleton that was capable of providing kinesthetic feedback to the lower arm joints and wrist of the patient. The weight and volume limitations were also discussed in Kong and Jeon’s work [2] on the development of a tendon-driven power assistive exoskeleton designed for the elderly. The exoskeleton for patients and the old by Sogang University (EXPOS) is a caster walker that used tendon-connecting motors and pulley at the hip and knee joints to generate the

necessary assistive power. Mori *et al.* [3] developed a robotic assistive device to provide mobility for a patient with leg disability. The device consisted of a pair of telescopic crutches, a powered lower extremity orthosis, and a pair of mobile platforms. A motion strategy was proposed to coordinate the use of the three modules to assist the patient to stand up from a sitting position, walk, and ascend a flight of stairs. In 2007, Perry *et al.* [4] presented the design of an anthropomorphic, 7-DOF cable-actuated dexterous exoskeleton for neurorehabilitation (CADEN-7). The novel placement of the motors (proximal) and cable-pulley (distal) led to a low inertia, high stiffness, zero backlash, and back-drivable powered upper-limb-assistive device. Masia *et al.* [5] presented the design and characterization of a hand robot that completed the development of an upper extremity robot that can be used for neurorehabilitation of the upper-extremity motor functions. A novel dual-rotor statorless motor was the key component in the design.

Assisted gait and posture rehabilitation had also received attention. Using subsensory electrical stimulation with visual-audio feedback, a foot pressure sensory compensation system was developed by Lee *et al.* [6]. Experiments demonstrated the effectiveness of the proposed system used in conjunction with visual-auditory feedback in compensating sensory loss and improving posture control for amputees. Kong and Tomizuka [7] developed a gait monitoring system based on air pressure sensors embedded in between the cushion pad and the sole in shoes. The design employed fuzzy logic to detect gait phases from measuring ground contact force. Using vector and kinematic analysis, both normal and abnormal gaits can be identified. To *et al.* [8] designed a variable constraint hip mechanism for a hybrid neuro-prosthesis. The mechanism was designed to provide posture stability for paraplegic patient while maintaining uninhibited hip rotation. A hydraulic system was designed to provide the necessary structure coupling for posture stability while minimizing the passive resistance during hip rotation.

Zhang *et al.* [9] used extremum-seeking control to find the velocity setpoint for developing the optimal velocity trajectory to maximize the user’s power output for an exercise machine. Based on the availability to measure the user’s torque input, two different controllers were designed to ensure acceptable trajectory tracking and passivity. Treating the human motion control as a feedback-control system, Kong and Tomizuka [10] modeled the human controller as a set of fixed algorithms amplified by a fictitious gain (FG). The FG can be adjusted to compensate for changes in the human control loop, such as physical impairment or load variation. An exoskeleton controller that realized the FG was developed and experimentally verified.

B. Surgery and Intervention Procedures

Kode and Cavusoglu [11] realized local actuation at the end-effector of a laparoscopic needle driver through a series connection of a dc micromotor and a shape memory alloy (SMA) actuator. Their approach avoided the DOF limitation due to power transmission associated with an external actuator pack that is common in existing robotic tools for minimally invasive surgery. Liu *et al.* [12] investigated methods to improve the positional accuracy of a neurosurgical robot. The use of a back-propagation neural network to compensate the joint transmission error of the robot was proposed and verified through a robot-assisted neurosurgery using a phantom. The achievable positioning accuracy is within the target registration error of the phantom. To provide realistic feedback for surgeons during robot-assisted surgery, Tholey and Desai [13] developed a 7-DOF haptic device that was able to provide 4-DOF force feedback and 7-DOF position feedback. Force feedback was provided by a spatial mechanism through a universal joint located at the grasping mechanism. Friction estimation was employed to improve the fidelity of the position feedback and force display.

High fidelity and stable force feedback is a key challenge in robot-assisted surgery. Zemiti *et al.* [14] presented the design of a compact and lightweight robot that allowed for measuring manipulation force during minimally invasive surgeries (MIS). The device used a regular force sensor attached to a trocar external to the patient and provided uninterrupted force measurement and removed the need for integrating miniature force sensor into surgical instruments. Mitsuishi *et al.* [15] presented an augmented force-feedback algorithm to improve force perception in laparoscopic MIS. The approach identified several force augmentation modes associated with laparoscopic surgery. An algorithm was developed to switch among different modes based on position and force measurements. A proportional-integral (PI) gain-scheduling force feedback controller is used to provide stable force control.

The June 2008 issue of the TRANSACTIONS included a “Focused Section on Mechatronic Systems for MRI Applications.” Most of the papers in the Focused Section discussed the MR compatibility of actuators, sensors, and systems. We will highlight four of the papers that described system level integration of surgical procedures. Greer *et al.* [16] outlined the development of the human-machine interface of a telerobotic surgical system. The interface combined haptic surgical interface with audio feedback and stereoscopic imaging device to incorporate 3-D MR image manipulation. Zemiti *et al.* [17] presented image segmentation and registration algorithms as well as the position control needed to register and localize a puncture robot on computer tomography (CT) or MR images for image guided intervention. MRI guided surgical procedure requires the compatibility of material as well as the component under high magnetic field (1.5 T or greater). Ultrasonic, pneumatic, and hydraulic motors are viable actuators in an MRI environment. Goldenberg *et al.* [18] presented a robotic system using ultrasonic motor for an MRI-guided ablation. Fischer *et al.* [19] presented a similar system using pneumatic servo. Phantom studies had verified the proposed workflow and the accuracy of the visualization and targeting of the system.

C. Prosthesis

Hand prosthesis has received the most attention in the past five years. Zollo *et al.* [20] reported the design and control of a three-finger (thumb, index, and middle finger) anthropomorphic hand. Design optimizations were performed to limit the number of actuators and DOFs to achieve the required size and weight constraints for hand prosthesis. The corresponding control system was developed to track reference trajectories that were obtained from neuroscience literature. The same research group subsequently developed a soft compliant tactile microsensor (SCTM) [21]. Using a cumulative summation algorithm, the SCTM was able to detect slip event with adequate response that met neurophysiological requirements while maintaining adequate toughness needed for a tactile sensor. Recently, researcher at Vanderbilt University designed a 16-joint anthropomorphic hand prosthesis intended for myoelectric interface [22]. Five independent actuators were used to generate a set of eight canonical hand postures. The speed and force capacity of the prosthesis were experimentally characterized.

Myoelectric signal is the most common interface for lower arm prosthesis. In the June 2007 “Focused Section on Advanced Integrated Mechatronics,” two different pattern recognition approaches were proposed to extract essential information from electromyographic (EMG) signals. Liu *et al.* [23] used a cascade kernel learning machine (CKLM) to classify EMG features extracted from autoregressive models and EMG histograms. Two learning kernels, generalized discriminant analysis and support vector machine were employed in sequence. The proposed algorithm was implemented in a DSP and achieved 93.54% recognition rate. Chu *et al.* [24] proposed the use of linear discriminant analysis (LDA) in linear supervised feature projection of EMG signals. EMG feature vectors were extracted using a wavelet packet transformation followed by LDA-based dimensional reduction. A multilayer perceptron classifier was used to recognize nine hand motions. The proposed approach achieved a 97.4% recognition accuracy. Using a different modality, Carrozza *et al.* [25] demonstrated the feasibility of using foot movement as a viable biometric interface. The signal pick up mechanism is similar to that of Kong and Tomizuka [7] using the insole of a shoe. Biomechanical analyses of the foot anatomy and joint kinematics were used in developing the interface. The proposed interface was experimentally verified in the control of a prosthetic hand.

D. Diagnosis and Others

To improve the maneuverability of capsule-type endoscopes in the gastrointestinal tract, Kim *et al.* [26] developed a locomotion mechanism for endoscopic capsules that consisted of a pair of clamping devices and a pair of shape memory alloy spring-based linear actuators. Experiments verified the effectiveness of the mechanism to improve locomotion efficiency of the capsule under sequential control. Quirini *et al.* [27] took a different approach by designing a set of four super-elastic legs actuated by a brushless mini-motor. The legged capsule can achieve a typical speed between 10 and 40 mm/min.

Koizumi *et al.* [28] presented an impedance controller for a master-slave-type remote ultrasonic diagnostic system. The paper proposed a trajectory-tracking controller to maintain position tracking of the master and slave manipulators as well as maintaining the desired contact force between the ultrasound probe and the affected area. The path control performance of the proposed controller was experimentally verified.

Sensor encapsulated within orthopedic implants can provide *in vivo* diagnostic information of the potential abnormality or impending failure that is critical to the well-being of the patient. For these intelligent implants, power is always the main limiting factor. Platt *et al.* [29] proposed the use of piezo-ceramics (PZT) to generate *in vivo* electric energy during normal physical activities. The feasibility of the proposed approach was tested on a total knee replacement implant.

Li and Xu [30] presented the design and control of a translational parallel manipulator for assisting chest compression in cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR). Design analyses were conducted to achieve the desired workspace. Dynamic models were developed and a computed torque control algorithm was employed to achieve the desired performance. A design prototype was used in the experimental validation.

III. HIGHLIGHTS OF THE FOCUSED SECTION

This Focused Section collected six papers that represent a sample of current developments in healthcare mechatronics. A majority (two-thirds) of the papers received was in the areas of robot-assisted rehabilitation and prosthesis.

The first paper introduces a novel hybrid locomotion design of a miniature endoscopic capsule. The proposed hybrid approach combines an on-board legged actuation mechanism [27] with an external magnetic field that guides the capsule motion through a permanent magnet embedded in the device. The legged mechanism is employed to modify the capsule profile and generate adequate force to dislodge the device from collapsed regions of the gastrointestinal tracts to enable external magnetic guided locomotion. The device and associated wireless control were verified through *ex vivo*, *in vivo*, and *in-vitro* experiments.

The second paper describes the design of an intelligent trunk corset using pneumatic artificial rubber muscle to support the rollover movement of cancer bone metastasis patients. The intention of the patient is derived by processing EMG signal with a neural network. Based on the signal, the artificial muscle is controlled to provide the necessary support and restrict the motion of the trunk. The device was experimentally verified and was able to reduce the discomfort of the patient while providing similar level of support as compared with existing hard corset.

The remaining four papers are related to rehabilitation therapy using robotic devices or sensor integrated vibrotactile feedback. The first of these four papers discusses a novel control algorithm to realize joint torque and motion profiles to be exerted by an exoskeleton during rehabilitation exercises to reproduce equivalent buoyancy and drag forces associated with aquatic therapy. The approach uses ground contact force measurements

to determine appropriate motion phases that are used to compute the desired joint torque and motion profile. The proposed algorithm was confirmed through human subject experiments. The second rehabilitation paper presents a 6-DOF robot that provides gait rehabilitation to patients and allows velocity update as well as synchronous motion between upper and lower limbs. The proposed device and therapy is an integration of robotics and virtual reality technology. The algorithms for trajectories generation as well as walking interaction control for upper and lower limb connections were proposed, simulated and tested. It allows patients to update their walking velocity on various terrain types and to navigate in virtual environments through upper and lower limb connections. The third rehabilitation paper addresses the feasibility of using specially designed exoskeleton and training paradigms to adjust the gait of healthy individuals. A 7-DOF actively controlled leg exoskeleton is paired with force-field control to deliver the appropriate interaction force between the subject and the orthosis for effective gait training. Human subject testing demonstrated effective gait alteration for healthy subjects in less than an hour. The last paper proposes a system that provides real-time feedback during postural training using vibrotactile actuators and a triaxial inertial sensor. In addition to visual and auditory feedback, the proposed system adds another approach for the clinician to use in posture or balance training for patients with visual or auditory perception issues following stroke or other injuries. Preliminary human subject testing showed promising results.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The Guest Editors would like to express their sincere appreciation to all the authors who submitted their manuscripts for publication in this Focused Section. The Guest Editors also want to acknowledge the efforts of the reviewers who provided their professional and constructive comments in a timely manner. Finally, the Guest Editors would like to thank the Editor-in-Chief, Prof. K.-M. Lee and Ms. M. Raine for their support and guidance throughout the production of this Focused Section.

GEORGE T.-C. CHIU, *Guest Editor*
School of Mechanical Engineering
Purdue University
West Lafayette, IN 47907 USA

JAYDEV P. DESAI, *Guest Editor*
Department of Mechanical Engineering
University of Maryland
College Park, MD 20742 USA

JASON GU, *Guest Editor*
Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
Dalhousie University
Halifax, NS B3J 2X4, Canada

GUILLAUME MOREL, *Guest Editor*
Intelligent Systems and Robotics Institute
University Pierre and Marie Curie
75252 Paris, France

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Gupta and M. K. O'Malley, "Design of a haptic arm exoskeleton for training and rehabilitation," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 280–289, Jun. 2006.
- [2] K. Kong and D. Jeon, "Design and control of an exoskeleton for the elderly and patients," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 428–432, Aug. 2006.
- [3] Y. Mori, J. Okada, and K. Takayama, "Development of a standing style transfer system "ABLE" for disabled lower limbs," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 372–380, Aug. 2006.
- [4] J.C. Perry, J. Rosen, and S. Burns, "Upper-limb powered exoskeleton design," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 408–417, Aug. 2007.
- [5] L. Masia, H. I. Krebs, P. Cappa, and N. Hogan, "Design and characterization of hand module for whole-arm rehabilitation following stroke," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 399–407, Aug. 2007.
- [6] M.-Y. Lee, C. F. Lin, and K. S. Soon, "New foot pressure activated sensory compensation system for posture-control enhancement in amputees," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 236–243, Jun. 2007.
- [7] K. Kong and M. Tomizuka, "A gait monitoring system based on air pressure sensors embedded in a shoe," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 358–370, Jun. 2009.
- [8] C. S. To, R. Kobetic, J. R. Schnellenger, M. L. Audu, and R. J. Triolo, "Design of a variable constraint hip mechanism for a hybrid neuroprosthesis to restore gait after spinal cord injury," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 197–205, Apr. 2008.
- [9] X. T. Zhang, D. M. Dawson, W. E. Dixon, and B. Xian, "Extremum-seeking nonlinear controllers for a human exercise machine," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 233–240, Apr. 2006.
- [10] K. Kong and M. Tomizuka, "Control of exoskeletons inspired by fictitious gain in human model," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 689–698, Dec. 2009.
- [11] V. R. C. Kode and M. C. Cavusoglu, "Design and characterization of a novel hybrid actuator using shape memory alloy and DC micromotor for minimally invasive surgery applications," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 455–464, Aug. 2007.
- [12] J. Liu, Y. Zhang, and Z. Li, "Improving the positioning accuracy of a neurosurgical robot system," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 527–533, Oct. 2007.
- [13] G. Tholey and J. P. Desai, "A general-purpose 7 DOF haptic device: Applications toward robot-assisted surgery," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 662–669, Dec. 2007.
- [14] N. Zemiti, G. Morel, T. Ortmaier, and N. Bonnet, "Mechatronic design of a new robot for force control in minimally invasive surgery," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 143–153, Apr. 2007.
- [15] M. Mitsuishi, N. Sugita, and P. Pitakwatchara, "Force-feedback augmentation modes in the laparoscopic minimally invasive telesurgical system," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 447–454, Aug. 2007.
- [16] A. D. Greer, P. M. Newhook, and G. R. Sutherland, "Human-machine interface for robotic surgery and stereotaxy," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 355–361, Jun. 2008.
- [17] N. Zemiti, I. Bricault, C. Fouard, B. Sanchez, and P. Cinquin, "LPR: A CT and MR-compatible puncture robot to enhance accuracy and safety of image-guided interventions," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 306–315, Jun. 2008.
- [18] A. A. Goldenberg, J. Trachtenberg, W. Kucharczyk, Y. Yang, M. Haider, L. Ma, R. Weersink, and C. Raoufi, "Robotic system for closed-bore MRI-guided prostatic interventions," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 374–379, Jun. 2008.
- [19] G. S. Fischer, I. Iordachita, C. Csoma, J. Tokuda, S. P. DiMaio, C. M. Tempany, N. Hata, and G. Fichtinger, "MRI-compatible pneumatic robot for transperineal prostate needle placement," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 295–305, Jun. 2008.
- [20] L. Zollo, S. Roccella, E. Guglielmelli, M. C. Carrozza, and P. Dario, "Biomechatronic design and control of an anthropomorphic artificial hand for prosthetic and robotic applications," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 418–429, Aug. 2007.
- [21] L. Beccai, S. Roccella, L. Ascari, P. Valdastrì, A. Sieber, M. C. Carrozza, and P. Dario, "Development and experimental analysis of a soft compliant tactile microsensor for anthropomorphic artificial hand," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 158–168, Apr. 2008.
- [22] L. A. Dalley, T. E. Wiste, T. J. Withrow, and M. Goldfarb, "Design of a multifunctional anthropomorphic prosthetic hand with extrinsic actuation," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 699–706, Dec. 2009.
- [23] Y.-H. Liu, H.-P. Huang, and C.-H. Weng, "Recognition of electromyographic signals using cascaded kernel learning machine," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 253–264, Jun. 2007.
- [24] J. U. Chu, I. Moon, Y. J. Lee, S. K. Kim, and M. S. Mun, "A supervised feature-projection-based real-time EMG pattern recognition for multi-function myoelectric hand control," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 282–290, Jun. 2007.
- [25] M. C. Carrozza, A. Persichetti, C. Laschi, F. Vecchi, R. Lazzarini, P. Vacalebri, and P. Dario, "A wearable biomechatronic interface for controlling robots with voluntary foot movements," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 1–11, Feb. 2007.
- [26] B. Kim, S. Lee, J. H. Park, and J. Park, "Design and fabrication of a locomotive mechanism for capsule-type endoscopes using shape memory alloys (SMAs)," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 77–86, Feb. 2005.
- [27] M. Quirini, A. Menciassi, S. Scapellato, C. Stefanini, and P. Dario, "Design and fabrication of a motor legged capsule for the active exploration of the gastrointestinal tract," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 169–179, Apr. 2008.
- [28] N. Koizumi, S. Warisawa, H. Hashizume, and M. Mitsuishi, "Continuous path controller for the remote ultrasound diagnostic system," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 206–218, Apr. 2008.
- [29] S. R. Platt, S. Farritor, K. Garvin, and H. Haider, "The use of piezoelectric ceramics for electric power generation within orthopedic implants," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 455–461, Aug. 2005.
- [30] Y. Li and Q. Xu, "Design and development of a medical parallel robot for cardiopulmonary resuscitation," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 265–273, Jun. 2007.



George T.-C. Chiu (M'03) received the B.S. degree from National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan, in 1985, and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees from the University of California, Berkeley, in 1990 and 1994, respectively, all in mechanical engineering.

Since 1996, he has been with the School of Mechanical Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, where he is currently a Professor. Before joining Purdue, he was a Research and Development Engineer at Hewlett-Packard Company, where he was involved in developing high-performance color inkjet printers and multifunction machines. His research interests include modeling and control of digital imaging and printing systems, motion and vibration control and perception, human motor control, and digital fabrication. He has authored or coauthored more than 100 journal and refereed conference papers and three patents. He is currently serving as the secretary for the ASME Dynamic Systems and Control Division and as an Associate Editor for the *Journal of Electronic Imaging* and the *IFAC Journal of Control Engineering Practice*.

Dr. Chiu is a member of the ASME and the Society for Image Science and Technology (IS&T).



Jaydev P. Desai (S'97–A'99–M'03–SM'07) graduated from the Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay, India, in 1993. He received the M.A. degree in mathematics in 1997, and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in mechanical engineering and applied mechanics in 1995 and 1998, respectively, all from the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia.

He is currently an Associate Professor in the Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, and the Director of the Robotics, Automation, Manipulation, and Sensing (RAMS) Laboratory. His research interests include image-guided surgical robotics, haptics, reality-based soft-tissue modeling for surgical simulation, model-based teleoperation in robot-assisted surgery, and cellular manipulation. He is a member of the Editorial Board of the *ASME Journal of Medical Devices*.

Dr. Desai was the recipient of a National Science Foundation CAREER Award, the Lead Inventor Award on the “outstanding invention of 2007 in physical science category” at the University of Maryland, and the Ralph R. Teetor Educational Award. He is currently a member of the Haptics Symposium Committee, Co-Chair of the Surgical Robotics Technical Committee of the IEEE Robotics and Automation Society, and a member of the Editorial Boards of the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING and IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY IN BIOMEDICINE. He is also a member of the ASME.



Jason Gu (S'98–M'00–SM'05) received the B.S. degree in electrical engineering and information science from the University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China, in 1992, the Master's degree in biomedical engineering from Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shangzhong, China, in 1995, and the Ph.D. degree from the University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada, in 2001.

He was with Shanghai Institute of Technical Physics, Shanghai, China, for one year. Since 2000, he has been with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada, where he is currently a Professor. He is also a cross-appointed Professor in the School of Biomedical Engineering and Faculty of Computer Science, Dalhousie University, for his multidisciplinary research work. His research interests include robotics, mechatronics, biomedical engineering, rehabilitation engineering, neural networks, and control.

Dr. Gu was the recipient of the Best Paper Award at ICCSE 2003. He was also awarded the Faculty of Engineering Teaching Award in 2003, the outstanding IEEE Student Branch Councillor Award in 2004, the Discovery Award of the Province of Nova Scotia in 2005, and the Faculty of Engineering Research Award in 2006. He is a member of the American Society of Engineering Education.



Guillaume Morel received the M.S degree in electrical engineering and the Ph.D. degree in mechanical engineering from the University Pierre and Marie Curie (UPMC), Paris, France, in 1990 and 1994, respectively.

He was a Postdoctoral Researcher at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge (1995–1996), a Research Engineer at Eléctricité de France (1996–1997), and an Assistant Professor at the University of Strasbourg I (1997–2001). Since 2007, he has been a Professor at UPMC, where he is currently the Head of the Robotics Division in the School of Engineering Polytech'Paris-UPMC and the Agathe Research Team at the Institute of Intelligent Systems and Robotics. His research interests include interactive robot systems and control, particularly force control and visual servo with applications to medicine and surgery. For the past few years, he has been developing the concept of comanipulation, where a user and a robot physically collaborate to synergistically realize a manipulation task.

Dr. Morel was an Associate Editor of the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation during 2008–2009 and is a Technical Editor of the IEEE/ASME TRANSACTIONS ON MECHATRONICS.